A G E N D A

National Collegiate Athletic Association
Division I Presidential Advisory Group

The Westin Indianapolis — April 28, 2010
Indianapolis, Indiana — Noon to 5 p.m.

1. Opening remarks.

2. Report of the January 11, 2010, Division I Presidential Advisory Group conference call and highlights from the January 16, 2010, Division I Board of Directors meeting. [Supplement No. 1]

3. Report of the January 16, 2010, meeting of the NCAA Executive Committee. [Supplement No. 2]

4. Report from Interim NCAA President Jim Isch.

   - Update on presidential search.
   - Media contract.

5. Update from the Division I Governance Structure.

   a. News from the Division I governance substructure.

      (1) Cabinet reports through the Communications and Coordination Committee. [Supplement No. 3]

      (2) Report of the March 23, 2010 Leadership Council meeting. [Supplement No. 4]

         (a) Final report regarding Division I membership criteria.

         (b) Cost savings recommendations.

      (3) Agenda and Report of the April 12-13, 2010 Legislative Council meeting. [Supplement No. 5 – Report posted subsequent to the 4/12/10 posting due to timing of the meeting]

   b. Other governance or legislative matters of interest to the group.

6. Discussion of recommended diversity requirements for Division I governance bodies. [Supplement No. 6]

7. Review of the agenda for the April 29, 2010, Board of Directors meeting. [Supplement No. 7]

   a. Report from the Committee on Academic Performance. [Supplement No. 8]

   b. Final report of the Football Academic Working Group. [Supplement No. 9]

   c. Report of new budget initiatives for Division I. [Supplement No. 10]

8. Update on the NCAA Outreach Program for First-Time Chancellors and Presidents. [Supplement No. 11]

9. Discussion of other issues of interest to the group.

10. Other business.

11. Future meeting dates and times.

    a. August, 9, 2010, 3 p.m. (Eastern time), conference call.

    b. October 27, 2009, The Westin Indianapolis, Indianapolis, Indiana.

    c. January 10, 2011, 3 p.m. (Eastern time), conference call.

12. Adjournment.

REPORT OF THE JANUARY 11, 2010, CONFERENCE CALL OF THE DIVISION I PRESIDENTIAL ADVISORY GROUP

Participants:

Charles Bantz, Indiana University/Purdue University – Indianapolis
Greg Dell'Omo, Robert Morris University
Philip Dubois, University of North Carolina, Charlotte
Robert Fisher, Belmont University
Joanne Glasser, Bradley University
Milton Gordon, California State University, Fullerton
Franklin Hagenbeck, United States Military Academy
Walter Harrison, University of Hartford
Penelope Kyle, Radford University
William (Bill) Meehan, Jacksonville State University
Ann Millner, Weber State University
Kevin Mullen, Siena College
Kenneth Peacock, Appalachian State University
David Sweet, Youngstown State University
Randall Webb, Northwestern State University
George Wright, Prairie View A&M University
S. David Berst, NCAA
Jacqueline Campbell, NCAA, recording secretary

Presidential Advisory Group members unable to participate were: Robert Caret, Towson University; E. William Beauchamp, University of Portland; William Harvey, Hampton University; and Drew Gilpin Faust, Harvard University.

Participating during portions of the conference call were NCAA staff members: Julie Cromer, Jim Isch, Kevin Lennon, Steve Mallonee, Delise O'Meally, Wallace Renfro and Greg Shaheen. In addition, Dan Parker, president, and Laurie Wilder, senior vice president and managing director of Parker Executive Search, participated in a portion of the call.

1. **Opening Remarks**. The chair welcomed the group and recognized the new members of the Presidential Advisory Group (PAG).

2. **Report of the October 28, 2009, Division I Presidential Advisory Group meeting and highlights from the October 29, 2009, Division I Board of Directors meeting**. The group briefly reviewed actions from the October 29, 2009, Division I Board of Directors meeting relative to issues discussed by the Division I Presidential Advisory Group during

its October 28, 2009, meeting. The group approved the report of its October 28, 2009, meeting.

3. **Interim President's Report**. Jim Isch, along with Dan Parker and Laurie Wilder of Parker Executive Search, updated the group on the search process for the next NCAA president. The group was asked to comment regarding desired characteristics of a new president and individuals were invited to contact Dan Parker to provide input. Isch also informed the group that he would be speaking to the membership at the upcoming NCAA Convention about plans to examine the financial model for intercollegiate athletics, which has become an area of growing concern for university presidents.

4. **Review of agenda for the January 16, 2010, Board of Directors meeting**.

a. **Update from the Committee on Academic Performance**.
The group received an update on the activities of the Committee on Academic Performance (CAP) from the chair, Walt Harrison. Harrison noted that items on the committee's agenda for the upcoming year include an evaluation of the results of a study of the academic support services provided by Division I institutions, a review of the Division I initial-eligibility and 2-4 transfer requirements, and a comprehensive examination of the Academic Progress Program (APP) to determine if the original goals of the program are being achieved.

b. **Legislative Issues**.

(1) Review of the process regarding the override requests on Proposal Nos. 2008-46 and 2008-59. The group members were provided a brief overview of the process that will take place when considering the override requests on Proposal Nos. 2008-46 and 2008-59 during the Division I Business Session on Friday, January 15.

(2) Review of legislative process and discussion of proposals that are of potential interest to the Presidential Advisory Group and the Board of Directors. The PAG members were reminded that during its January 13-14 meeting, the Legislative Council will consider, and ultimately vote on, all legislative proposals being considered in the annual legislative cycle and that proposals receiving at least two-thirds support of the Legislative Council will be considered adopted, but that action will not be considered final until after the conclusion of the Board of Directors January 16 meeting, to ensure the Board has the appropriate level of oversight.

In addition, it was noted that the FCS members of the PAG have jurisdiction to further consider any action taken by the FCS Governance Subcommittee of the Legislative Council during its January13 meeting. The PAG members and conference offices will be notified of the subcommittee's actions via email on Thursday, January 14 in order to allow for review or comment prior to the January 16 Board meeting.

c. Report from the Communications and Coordination Committee.
The group was informed that the Communications and Coordination Committee is comprised of the chairs of the Division I cabinets and councils and was created to coordinate consideration of issues and legislative concepts between the various governance bodies and to ensure a high level of communication among the bodies. It was noted that the committee met via teleconference subsequent to the fall PAG meeting and received updates on the fall meetings of each of the Division I governance bodies. The committee members also highlighted the cabinets and councils agendas for the upcoming year.

d. Status report of the efforts of the Football Academic Working Group.
The PAG received a report of the working group's key findings regarding the academic performance of Division I football student-athletes and recommendations for strategies to improve their academic performance. It was noted that the working group will submit its final report to the Board in April for appropriate legislation to be considered in the 2010-11 legislative cycle.

5. Review of 2010 Convention events. The PAG members were encouraged to attend the following events at the 2010 NCAA Convention:

Thursday, January 14
Opening Business Session (3:30-5:30 p.m. – Centennial Ballroom)
Delegates Reception (5:30-7 p.m. – Regency Ballroom)

Friday, January 15
Division I Issues Forum (9:30-11:30 a.m. and 1-3 p.m. – International Ballroom)
Board of Directors/Leadership Council/Legislative Council Luncheon (11:30 a.m. – 1 p.m. – Regency V)*
Division I Business Session (3-4 p.m. – International Ballroom)

Saturday, January 16
Joint SAAC/Board of Directors Breakfast (8-9:30 a.m. – Cairo/Hong Kong)*
Board of Directors meeting (9:30 a.m. – 5 p.m. – International Ballroom North)*

NOTE: *Board of Directors members only.

6. **Update on possible cost saving initiatives**. The group was informed that cost savings initiatives will be an item on the February meeting agenda of all the cabinets and the March meeting agenda of the Leadership Council. The PAG will be asked to review the recommendations from the various governance groups during its April meeting.

7. **Future meeting dates**. The group was informed that the next meeting of the PAG will be in-person April 28, 2010, in Indianapolis, Indiana. The group was reminded that conference commissioners attend the April meeting to participate in discussions with the presidents.

Subcommittee chair: Ann Millner, Weber State University
Staff Liaisons: S. David Berst, Division I governance
Jacqueline Campbell, Division I governance

REPORT OF THE JANUARY 16, 2010, MEETING OF THE NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION DIVISION I BOARD OF DIRECTORS

1. **Report of the October 29, 2009, Board of Directors Meeting. The Board approved the revised minutes of its October 29, 2009, meeting noting an amended vote [16-0-1 (Raines)] for Item No. 5-c regarding the Infractions Committee appointment.** (Unanimous voice vote) [Reference Supplement No. 1.]

2. **Report of the October 29, 2009, Executive Committee Meeting**. The Board reviewed a report of the October 29, 2009, meeting of the Executive Committee and took no action. [Reference Supplement No. 2.]

3. **Report of the Division I Presidential Advisory Group (PAG).** The Board received a report from Ann Millner, chair of the Division I Presidential Advisory Group, regarding the group's January 11, 2010, conference call. The Board was informed of PAG's review of various Board agenda items as they were reviewed by the Board. [Reference Supplement No. 4.]

4. **Report from the Interim President.** NCAA Interim President Jim Isch reported on the following items:

   a. Update on Presidential Search. Dan Parker of Parker Executive Search, updated the Board on the search process for the next NCAA president. The group was asked to comment regarding desired characteristics of a new president and individuals were invited to contact Dan Parker directly to provide input.

   b. Value-Based Decisions. The Board received a report from Greg Curtner, outside counsel, regarding values-based decision-making and was informed that a small working group including presidents, athletics directors, commissioners and experts will be working with staff to examine the issue.

   c. Enforcement Process. Bernard Franklin, NCAA executive vice president, reminded the Board of a 2006 report related to the evaluation of the Association's enforcement policies and was informed that a number of recommendations from that report have been implemented, and a small group, including Board members, will be formed to review the results of those recommendations, as well as a number of broader related issues. The Board requested a report from the group in April.

5. **Litigation Update**. Elsa Cole, NCAA General Counsel, provided this report to the Board.

6. **Report from the Committee on Academic Performance**. The group received an update on the activities of the Committee on Academic Performance (CAP) from the chair, Walter Harrison. Harrison noted that items on the committee's agenda for the upcoming year include an evaluation of the results of a study of the academic support services provided by Division I institutions, a review of the Division I initial-eligibility and 2-4 transfer requirements and a comprehensive examination of the Academic Performance Program (APP) to determine if the original goals of the program are being achieved. [Reference Supplement No. 6.]

7. **Status Report on the Efforts of the Football Academic Working Group**. The Board received a report of the working group's key findings regarding the academic performance of Division I football student-athletes and recommendations for strategies to improve their academic performance. It was noted that the working group will submit its final report to the Board in April for appropriate legislation to be considered in the 2010-11 legislative cycle. [Reference Supplement No. 7.]

8. **Infractions Appeals Committee Appointment**. The Board appointed Patti Ohlendorf, vice president of institutional relations and legal affairs at the University of Texas at Austin. (Unanimous voice vote) [Reference Supplement No. 8.]

9. **Division I Governance Structure Update**.

   a. **Update from the Communications and Coordination Committee**. The group was informed that the Communications and Coordination Committee is comprised of the chairs of the Division I cabinets and councils, and was created to coordinate consideration of issues and legislative concepts between the various governance bodies and to ensure a high level of communication among the bodies. It was noted that the committee continues to effectively communicate within the structure and move the Division I agenda.

   b. **Report of the January 14, 2010, meeting of the Leadership Council**. Damon Evans, chair of the Division I Leadership Council, reported briefly on the January 14, 2010, Leadership Council meeting. [Refer to Attachment D for the full report.]

      (1) The Board received information that the Council has concluded its work related to the consideration of Division I membership principles and standards, and will present its final recommendations to the Board for review during its April 2010 meeting.

(2) The Board also was informed that the Leadership Council continued its discussions regarding methods to enhance gender, ethnic minority and positional representation among those individuals serving in the Division I governance structure. The Leadership Council recommended that in April, the Board of Directors be presented with a slate of FBS nominations for the eight councils and cabinets that meets the following requirements:

(a) Apply the current gender (35 percent) and ethnic minority (20 percent) minimum requirements to **each** council and cabinet (three 31-person bodies and five 21-person bodies).

(b) Apply a **new** minimum positional requirement for athletics directors (20 percent) and faculty athletics representatives (20 percent) to **each** council and cabinet independently (eight total groups).

(c) Determine that the requirements of "a" and "b" above apply to the combined FCS and Division I representatives for each council and cabinet, effective with FCS and Division I term expirations in April and June 2012. [Note: It is recommended that all the FCS and Division I terms on councils and cabinets expire during the same year in order to enable those conference to work together in submitting nominations that would meet the new diversity requirements.

(d) That the Board adopt appropriate emergency legislation in April 2010 to implement these policies.

BOARD ACTION: **The Board endorsed the endorsed the Leadership Council's recommendations for enhanced diversity requirements for various Division I governance bodies.** (Unanimous voice vote)

c. **Report of the January 13-14, 2010, meeting of the Division I Legislative Council**.

(1) Report on the override votes. The Board was informed that the override votes on Proposal Nos. 2008-46 (For 100, Against 168, Abstain 19) and 2008-59 (For 166, Against 118, Abstain 1) were unsuccessful. (Refer to Attachment B for the voting results.)

(2) Report of the Legislative Council's Actions Related to Selected Proposals. Joseph D'Antonio, chair of the Division I Legislative Council, reported the

Legislative Council's action relative to men's basketball Proposal Nos. 2009-96, 2009-97, 2009-98 and 2009-99, and the definition of a "deserving team" in FBS football (Proposal No. 2009-103) that had been sponsored by the Board in October. (Refer to Attachment C for the full report of the legislative Council's actions.)

(3) Emergency or Noncontroversial Legislation. **The Board agreed to adopt emergency legislation to delay the effective date of Proposal No. 2008-59 to August 1, 2011**. (Unanimous voice vote) This proposal recently was sustained following an attempted override vote and adds women's sand volleyball to the NCAA list of emerging sports.

10. **Update on Possible Cost Savings Initiatives**. The Board was informed that cost savings initiatives will be an item on the February meeting agenda of all the cabinets and the March meeting agenda of the Leadership Council. It is anticipated that the Board will receive a report with recommendations during its April 2010 meeting.

11. **Future meeting Dates**.

a. April 29, 2010, Indianapolis, Indiana.

b. August 12, 2010, Indianapolis, Indiana.

c. October 28, 2010, Indianapolis, Indiana.

d. January 15, 2011, NCAA Convention, San Antonio, Texas.

e. April 28, 2011, National Office, Indianapolis, Indiana.

f. August 11, 2011, National Office, Indianapolis, Indiana.

g. October 27, 2011, National Office, Indianapolis, Indiana.

Board of Directors chair: James Barker, Clemson University
Staff Liaisons: S. David Berst, Division I governance
Jacqueline Campbell, Division I governance

MINUTES OF THE

NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Hyatt Regency Atlanta
Atlanta, Georgia

January 16, 2010

Participants:

Charles Bantz, Indiana Univ.-Purdue Univ. at Indianapolis
James Barker, Clemson University
Kathleen Brasfield, Angelo State University
Damon Evans, University of Georgia
Judy Genshaft, University of South Florida
James Harris, Widener University
William Harvey, Hampton University
Stephen Jordan, Metropolitan State College of Denver
Lynn Oberbilling, Smith College
Ann Millner, Weber State University
Kevin Mullen, Siena College
Harvey Perlman, University of Nebraska, Lincoln
John Peters, Northern Illinois University
Beverley Pitts, University of Indianapolis
Edward Ray, Oregon State University, chair
Paul Trible, Christopher Newport University
Jim Isch, NCAA
Bernard Franklin, NCAA
Delise O'Meally, NCAA, recording secretary

Robert Kustra, Boise State University, and Shirley Raines, University of Memphis, were not able to participate.

Also in attendance were: Gary Brown, director of NCAA News; Elsa Cole, vice president of legal affairs/general counsel; Joni Comstock, senior vice president of championships/senior woman administrator; Dennis Cryder, senior vice president of branding and communications; Michelle Hosick, associate director of NCAA News; Tom Jernstedt, executive vice president, Kevin Lennon, vice president of academic and membership affairs; Keith Martin, interim vice president for administration/chief financial officer; Wallace Renfro, vice president and senior advisor to the president; Greg Shaheen, senior vice president of basketball and business strategies; Robert Vowels, vice president of educational affairs; Charlotte Westerhaus, vice president of diversity and inclusion; Bob Williams, managing director of public and media relations; David Berst, Daniel Dutcher and Mike Racy, NCAA governance vice presidents; and Jackie Campbell, Leah Kareti, and Terri Steeb, NCAA governance directors.

DRAFT

[Note: These minutes contain only actions taken (formal votes or stated "sense of the meeting") in accordance with NCAA policy regarding minutes of all Association entities. While certain items on the Committee's agenda were acted on at various times throughout the meeting, all final actions within a given topic are combined in these minutes for convenience of reference.]

The meeting was called to order at 4 p.m. by the chair, President Ray. All members were present as noted above.

1. Welcome and announcements. Ray welcomed Kathleen Brasfield, director of athletics at Angelo State University and incoming chair of the Division II Management Council. Also, Ray presented Stephen Jordan, chair of the Division II Presidents Council, and Paul Trible, chair of the Division III Presidents Council, with awards for their service to the Executive Committee.

2. Approval of October 29, 2009, meeting minutes.

   It was VOTED

   "To approve the Executive Committee minutes of the October 29, 2009, meeting as distributed."

3. Executive session. Ray convened an executive session during which the Executive Committee received an update from NCAA Interim President Jim Isch, approved the position description for the position of president of the NCAA and accepted the recommendation of Mercer in compliance with the Taxpayer Bill of Rights.

4. St. Andrews Presbyterian College request. The Committee reviewed a request from St. Andrews Presbyterian College related to NCAA membership standards. Pursuant to NCAA Constitution 3.02.3.1, one of the requirements necessary for active membership is accreditation by one of the six regional accrediting agencies. St. Andrews requested that the Executive Committee consider accreditation by a national accrediting body as sufficient to meet this requirement. The Executive Committee agreed to form a working group to study the issue.

5. Association-wide membership and the approval of a working group. The Executive Committee appointed a working group to explore from an Association-wide perspective the issue of membership growth within the NCAA.

6. NCAA Executive Committee Finance Committee report.

a. Fiscal year 2008-09 audited statements. The Finance Committee met with the audit firm of KPMG to review fiscal year 2008-09 financial statements and conduct the required audit communications and review.

(1) KPMG issued an unqualified opinion for the fiscal year statements ending August 31, 2009.

(2) Highlights of the Consolidated Statement of Financial Position are:

(a) The Association's total assets increased by approximately $34 million in comparison to the prior year. The increase was primarily in the investments holdings as of the year end and the acquisition of Arbiter and eOfficials. Assets purchased consisted of primarily goodwill and intangible assets.

(b) The Association's net assets increased by more than $47 million this past fiscal year due to the surplus of revenues over expenses. It was noted that the Association does not budget investment earnings since these funds, by Executive Committee policy, are allocated to the quasi-endowment.

(c) All allocations to the respective reserves, per Executive Committee policy, have been made.

(d) The Association had an increase in revenue this past year that was primarily due to the increases in TV rights fees, ticket revenues from championships and the revenues from the Eligibility Center. Investments earnings for the year were $9 million, as compared to a loss of $4 million in the prior year.

(e) From an expense standpoint, the distribution to Division I increased approximately nine percent per the scheduled distribution plan. Division I championships expenses decreased approximately nine percent, which is primarily the result of lower costs of travel

this past year. The increase in Association-wide expense is due to the addition of Arbiter and eOfficials LLC expenses and youth basketball expenses.

(f) Excess revenues over expenses for the year were approximately $47 million.

It was VOTED

"To approve the fiscal year 2008-09 financial statements."

b. Recommended uses of unallocated net assets. Fiscal year 2008-09 year ended with the Association having $15.8 million in unrestricted net assets available for allocation. The Finance Committee recommended the surplus be used in the following manner:

(1) $15 million distributed back to the Division I members. The Association committed $5 million last January from budget reductions, and the result of additional revenues over expenses at year end allows for an additional $10 million.

(2) $400,000 allocated to the Myles Brand Chair for Pancreatic Cancer.

(3) $400,000 allocated for the presidential search and executive move.

It was VOTED

"To approve the proposed unallocated net assets allocations."

c. First quarter fiscal year 2009-10 budget to actual.

(1) The Association has limited financial activity in the first quarter, with the majority of revenues and expenditures occurring in the second half of the year related to championship activity and revenue distributions.

(2) Revenue received is 16 percent of the budget and is in line with the prior year. Although championships revenue is three percentage points behind the prior year, this is the result of timing differences, and, to date, men's basketball tickets sales revenues are in line with last year.

(3) The Association's expenses are seven percent of the total budget for the first quarter and are in line with the prior year.

d. Investment subcommittee report. The investment subcommittee of the Finance Committee met during the Convention and made the decision to add an opportunistic strategies allocation to the quasi endowment. The primary reasons for adding an allocation are to increase diversification, the potential for a partial hedge against future inflation and the possibility of adding alpha by allowing managers more discretion in their investment approach. The subcommittee approved Wellington Diversified Inflation hedges and Leuhold Weeden's Core Fund for 10 percent of the overall quasi-endowment allocation. Funding will come from the annual contributions to the quasi-endowment and the current stock and bond funds. The investment subcommittee voted to rebalance the two portfolios in accordance with its asset allocation policies.

e. New building project financing. The Finance Committee reviewed various funding options for the new building project. It was determined that, as a result of the favorable rates in the market, the staff should explore financing $20 million of financing for the building project over a maximum 10-year period. It also was determined that the annual debt service could be paid from the Eligibility Center revenues and cost savings from holding our meetings in Indianapolis.

It was VOTED

"To approve a resolution for the authorization and issuance of bonds or other comparable financing options, and the reimbursement of building project expenses with the proceeds of the selective financing option."

7. Litigation update. The Committee received a report from the NCAA general counsel regarding litigation and settlement actions.

8. NCAA Division I Board of Directors and Divisions II and III Presidents Councils reports.

a. Division I Board of Directors. The Committee received an update on the actions of the Division I Board of Directors that included the following:

(1) Values-based decision-making. Received a presentation regarding values-based decision-making and was informed that a small working group including presidents, athletics directors, commissioners and experts will be working with staff to examine the issue.

(2) Supplemental distribution. Agreed to recommend that the Executive Committee approve the Finance Committee's recommendation for a supplemental distribution of $15 million to the Division I membership.

(3) Sand volleyball – emerging sport. Adopted emergency legislation to delay the effective date of NCAA Division I Proposal No. 2008-59 to August 1, 2011. This legislation survived an override vote and adds women's sand volleyball as an emerging sport.

(4) Diversity requirements. Endorsed the Division I Leadership Council's recommendations for enhanced diversity requirements for the various Division I governance bodies. The new standards would add positional requirements for athletics directors (20 percent) and faculty athletics representatives (20 percent) to the current gender (35 percent) and ethnic minority (20 percent) requirements. In addition, the various subdivisions [Football Bowl Subdivision (FBS) on its, own and Football Championship Subdivision (FCS) and Division I combined] will be required to meet the new standards on each of the eight councils and cabinets. The expectation is that FBS conferences meet these requirements when appointing their representatives to the councils and cabinets this spring. The FCS and Division I conferences would be required to meet the new minimums in the spring 2012 when their terms expire.

b. Division II Presidents Council. The Committee received an update on the actions of the Division II Presidents Council that included the following:

(1) 2010 NCAA Convention. Division II completed another successful Convention. The membership voted on 14 proposals during its business session – all 14 passed. Significant proposals included the four proposals presented as the Presidents Council "Life in the Balance" package:

(a) 2010 NCAA Convention Division II Proposal No. 2010-5—playing and practice seasons—fall sports, which moves the start date for the first contest or date of competition by one week later; starts the preseason practice a week later in the summer; and shortens the season with a reduction in contests or dates of competition.

(b) 2010 NCAA Convention Division II Proposal No. 2010-6—playing and practice seasons—spring sports, which reduces the maximum number of contests from 56 to 50 in baseball; reduces the maximum number of dates of competition from 24 to 21 in golf; and eliminates the tournament dates exception in softball.

(c) 2010 NCAA Convention Division II Proposal No. 2010-7—playing and practice seasons—winter break, which establishes a "dead period" from December 20 through December 26 for winter sports (basketball, swimming and diving, indoor track and field and wrestling), during which it would not be permissible for an institution to have practice, competition or other countable athletically related activities (e.g., weight training, conditioning); and establishes a "dead period" during the same dates for all sports, during which it would not be permissible for a student-athlete to participate in voluntary athletically related activities on campus, unless the facility is open to the general student-body.

(d) 2010 NCAA Convention Division II Proposal No. 2010-8—playing and practice seasons—winter sports—basketball, which reduces the maximum permissible number of contests in men's and women's basketball from 27 to 26.

(2) Division II financial dashboard tool. At its annual chancellors and presidents session Friday, Division II chancellors and presidents previewed information on a new financial dashboard tool that will be unveiled at the June 2010 Chancellors and Presidents Summit. This tool will provide the membership with access to accurate, timely and relevant information to encourage moderation in the growth rate of athletics budgets and provide a catalyst for communication and education among presidents, chief financial officers and athletics departments, thus re-centering athletics within the educational mission of the university.

(3) Division II public service announcement. Jordan shared the most recent Division II public service announcement, which features Division II student-athletes telling why they "Chose Division II." All five student-athletes are members of the national Division II Student-Athlete Advisory Committee.

c. Division III Presidents Council. The Committee received an update on the actions of the Division III Presidents Council. These included:

(1) Division III Identity Initiative. The 2010 Convention marked the formal launch of the division's strategic positioning platform. Division III delegates received a presentation on the content and intended purpose of the platform, and saw early creative expressions of the platform, including a video describing the essence of the Division III experience.

(2) Convention highlights. Other Convention highlights included a presentation on the concepts under consideration to enhance the leadership and engagement of presidents in the division. The membership adopted nine proposals in the Division III business session, including a unanimous vote on 2010 NCAA Convention Division III Proposal No.1, which established in the Division III philosophy statement an expectation for presidential leadership to be exercised at the campus, conference and national levels.

9. NCAA Executive Committee Subcommittee on Gender and Diversity Issues. The Committee received a report on the work of the Executive Committee Subcommittee on Gender and Diversity Initiatives. The Committee noted that the subcommittee had the opportunity to meet with Parker Executive Search and offer comments and feedback relative to the presidential search.

10. Future meetings. The Committee reviewed its future meetings schedule.

11. Adjournment. Ray adjourned the meeting at 6:20 p.m.

# # # # #

DRAFT

KEY ITEMS FROM FEBRUARY CABINET MEETINGS

[For more detailed information regarding the February cabinet meetings, please view the complete cabinet reports found at:

http://www.ncaa.org/wps/ncaa?key=/ncaa/ncaa/legislation+and+governance/committees/division1.html.]

ACADEMIC CABINET.

Review of Eligibility Standards for Prospective Student-Athletes. The cabinet continued its review of initial-eligibility and transfer-eligibility requirements. Based on NCAA research, along with feedback from the NCAA Division I Board of Directors, two-year college and academic support communities, the cabinet continues to believe that the greatest improvements in student-athlete academic preparation will come when all eligibility requirements (e.g., initial eligibility, progress toward degree, transfer) are aligned to support student-athletes' academic success. The cabinet considered enhancements to the current initial and transfer eligibility requirements designed to identify those prospective student-athletes who are academically prepared for college work, and to foster additional support and accommodation to student-athletes who are academically underprepared. The cabinet will continue to discuss both models in June when it meets jointly with the NCAA Division I Committee on Academic Performance.

ADMINISTRATION CABINET.

1. **Committee Appointments**. The cabinet approved appointments to Division I and Association-wide committees.

2. **Cost Savings Initiatives.** The cabinet reviewed and confirmed the recommendations developed during its September 2009 meeting relative to possible cost savings measures in legislative areas for which it has been assigned oversight responsibility. The cabinet stressed that any such measures ultimately identified and prioritized by the Leadership Council and Board of Directors be consistent with the Association's core mission. The cabinet also noted that although cost savings initiatives should be a high priority item in Division I, such measures should be scrutinized closely so as not to detrimentally impact equitable championship opportunities for student-athletes or detract from the overall championship experience.

3. **Governance Assessment Tool**. The cabinet reviewed the results of a preliminary tool designed to assess the 31 multisport conferences' knowledge of the goals and objectives behind the recent changes to the Division I governance structure. The cabinet discussed possible next steps in conducting a broader assessment of the new governance structure.

AMATEURISM CABINET.

1. **NCAA Proposal No. 2009-22 (Amateurism and Eligibility – Involvement with Professional Teams – Exception – Prior to Initial Full-Time Collegiate Enrollment – Seasons of Competition).** The cabinet recommended that the Division I Legislative Council adopt noncontroversial legislation to modify the effective date of the delayed enrollment portion of NCAA Proposal No. 2009-22 to August 1, 2011, for sports other than tennis and, for tennis, to August 1, 2012.

2. **Commercial Activity in Division I Intercollegiate Athletics.** The cabinet reviewed draft legislative concepts that would allow the use of current student-athletes name, picture and likeness to be used in promotional activities. The cabinet will continue to discuss this issue at its June meeting.

CHAMPIONSHIPS/SPORTS MANAGEMENT CABINET.

1. **Cabinet Review of NCAA Bylaws 17 and 31.** The cabinet continued its discussion of possible cost-reduction recommendations related to the administration of championships and playing and practice seasons. In September 2009, the cabinet identified potential areas of modification that would lead to institutional cost-reductions. During the February 2010 meeting, the cabinet reviewed the concepts identified in September and, discussed the pros, cons, student-athlete impact, estimated financial impact and any necessary legislative or policy changes that would result from the modifications.

   The concepts that were identified for future discussion will be discussed at the cabinet's June 2010 meeting. Cabinet members agreed to solicit feedback from their campuses and conferences for review at its June 2010 meeting. In addition to concepts that would require a legislative or cabinet policy change, cabinet members were asked to identify cost-reduction initiatives that have been implemented on their campus or within their conference. NCAA staff will compile a list of best practices to accompany any recommended legislative or policy changes.

   Finally, it was noted that fiscal management is an ongoing responsibility of the cabinet and cost reductions and revenue generation will be consistent themes during future discussions. The following concepts have been identified for further discussion:

   a. Prohibit hotel accommodations on the night before home contests-NCAA Football Championship Subdivision (FCS).

   b. Prohibit hotel accommodations on the night before home contests [all sports other than Football Bowl Subdivision (FBS) football.]

c. Reduce the number of practice opportunities in all sports (number to be determined after discussion with key stakeholders.)

d. Reduce to 21 the number of practice opportunities in all sports.

e. Reduce preseason practice opportunities in volleyball to 21.

f. Count preseason Sundays when calculating preseason practice opportunities.

g. Eliminate travel for preseason practice.

h. Evaluate all exceptions to the 48/36 hour rule.

i. Reduce total competitions in all sports.

j. Establish one number for total competitions.

k. Reduce length of season and number of contests in ice hockey.

l. Reduce contests in all nonchampionship segments.

m. No overnight travel for nonchampionship segments.

n. No missed class time for nonchampionship segments.

o. Consider eliminating travel for practice during official vacation period.

p. Evaluate the number of complimentary tickets student-athletes are permitted to receive.

The cabinet agreed that the following concepts do not warrant additional discussion at this time:

a. Prohibit hotel accommodations on the night before home contests-FBS.

b. Eliminate all exceptions to the 48/36 hour rule.

c. Reduce to 18 the number of practice opportunities in all sports.

d. Eliminate contests in all nonchampionship segments.

e. Increase travel mileage limitation to 400 miles for championships travel in all sports.

2. Women's Rowing - Automatic Qualification (AQ). The cabinet agreed to delay automatic qualification in women's rowing until 2013. The cabinet also discussed the NCAA Division I Women's Rowing Committee's recommendation that a play-in be held

when the number of automatic qualification-eligible conferences exceeds 50 percent of the bracket. The cabinet did not dispute that a play-in might be the most equitable way to handle automatic qualification when the number of eligible conferences exceeds 50 percent of the bracket; however, the cabinet expressed concern related to the expense that would accompany a play-in, whether the expense is incurred by a small number of institutions or ultimately by the NCAA.

AWARDS, BENEFITS, EXPENSES AND FINANCIAL AID CABINET.

1. **Review of Feedback from Financial Aid Discussion Document.** The NCAA Division I Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet continued its review of the financial aid items prioritized during its February 2010 meeting. In December 2009, the cabinet distributed a discussion document outlining the legislative history of NCAA Bylaw 15 and describing possible legislative concepts for future consideration. In order to stimulate meaningful discussion across the membership and generate feedback for the cabinet, the discussion document was posted on the cabinet's page of ncaa.org and distributed to the NCAA Division I directors of athletics, NCAA Division I conference commissioners, the chair of the NCAA Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee, the chair of the Conference Commissioners Association Compliance Administrators, the executive director of the National Association of Collegiate Directors of Athletics, the executive director of the National Association of Collegiate Women Athletic Administrators, the president of the National Association for Athletics Compliance, the chair of the National Association of Financial Aid Administrators and the executive directors of selected coaches associations. Further, the concepts were reported to the NCAA Division I Leadership Council at the 2010 NCAA Convention and shared with the membership during the Convention's Division I Issues Forum.

   Twenty-nine responses, ranging from individual coaches and institutions to conference offices and coaches associations were received. In addition, cabinet members reported on discussions taking place within their conferences about the concepts from the discussion document. The variety of feedback received provided useful information to the cabinet. Overall, the respondents were supportive of the cabinet's decision to undertake a review of Bylaw 15, and of the cabinet's willingness to seek feedback from a variety of entities as part of its review [Reference: Supplement No. 1]. Concept Nos. 1, 2, 4, 5 and 6 were supported by a majority of the respondents. And, although Concept No. 9 was the only concept with over half of the respondents responding they were opposed to the concept, only six respondents replied that they supported Concept No. 8, and only nine replied that they supported Concept No. 10. Feedback on Concept Nos. 3-a, 3-b and 7 did not demonstrate either clear support or clear opposition to those concepts.

   Based on the feedback received, the cabinet agreed to request that the staff solicit additional feedback from the membership regarding several concepts that garnered support

from the cabinet and the membership (Concept Nos. 1, 2, 3-b, 4, 5, 6 and 7). This feedback will be gathered prior to the cabinet's June 2010 meeting. During its June 2010 meeting, the cabinet plans to develop and sponsor proposals for consideration during the 2010-11 legislative cycle.

2. **Referral from NCAA Division I Basketball Academic Enhancement Group related to Bylaw 15.01.5.** The cabinet discussed an issue referred by the Basketball Academic Enhancement Group related to the legislative barriers that exist for former student-athletes to return to his or her institution and earn their degree after a professional career (Bylaw 15.01.5). Specifically, the cabinet was asked to explore whether there is a willingness to remove these barriers through legislation noting that flexibility in this area may encourage more former student-athletes to return to complete their degrees. For example, a men's basketball student-athlete has not yet graduated and departs an institution after four years to pursue a professional career in his sport. The student-athlete returns to the institution four years later to finish his undergraduate degree. The current legislation specifies that in order to receive athletically related financial aid, the student-athlete must earn the aid (e.g., work in the athletics department). The cabinet agreed to take no action at this time, noting the current legislation is sufficient because there is a permissible way to provide athletics aid to these student-athletes (i.e., earned aid). Further, when truly extenuating circumstances are presented, institutions may consider submitting an NCAA Division I Legislative Council Subcommittee for Legislative Relief waiver on the student's behalf to permit the institution to provide the student-athlete with unearned athletics aid. Finally, the cabinet directed the staff to continue to monitor this issue and provide an update at a future meeting.

3. **Chapter 33 (Post-9/11 G.I. Bill) and Yellow Ribbon Program.** The cabinet was provided an overview of the Post-9/11 G.I. Bill and the Yellow Ribbon Program, which became effective in August 2009. The cabinet discussed how eligibility for both programs is determined and how these sources of aid impact individual and team financial aid limits. The Post-9/11 G.I. Bill is for service members on active duty after September 11, 2001. Eligible service members may transfer entitlement to a spouse, children, or any combination of spouse and child. The Yellow Ribbon Program allows institutions to voluntarily enter into an agreement with the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) to fund tuition expenses that exceed the amount covered by the Post-9/11 G.I. Bill. Participating institutions may contribute up to 50 percent of those expenses, and the VA will match that amount.

   The cabinet agreed to sponsor legislation for the 2010-11 legislative cycle that would add VA funds awarded through the Post-9/11 G.I. Bill to the list of exempted government grants in Bylaw 15.2.5.1. In the interim, the cabinet agreed to request a blanket waiver of Bylaw 15.2.5 (government grants) from the Subcommittee for Legislative Relief, effective through the 2010-11 academic year, to exempt VA funds awarded through the Post-9/11 G.I. Bill from counting against a student-athlete's individual limit. The blanket waiver request does not include Yellow Ribbon Program funds from either the VA or a

participating institution. Finally, the cabinet members agreed to solicit feedback from their conferences related to whether the Yellow Ribbon Program funds should be exempt and re-examine the Yellow Ribbon Program during the cabinet's June 2010 meeting.

RECRUITING AND ATHLETICS PERSONNEL ISSUES CABINET.

1. **Legislative Proposals.** The NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet commented on four legislative proposals related to the area of recruiting that were introduced in the 2009-10 legislative cycle after the cabinet's September meeting. The proposals were forwarded by the NCAA Division I Legislative Council to the membership at its January meeting and will be voted on by the Legislative Council at its April meeting. Two of the proposals opposed by the cabinet relate to men's basketball camps and clinics.

2. **Priority Item – Examination of the Recruiting Model.** The cabinet engaged in a comprehensive discussion regarding the current recruiting model, including but not limited to: communication methods and frequency, evaluations, campus visits and verbal and written offers of athletics aid. The cabinet directed the staff to develop alternative recruiting models as a result of the discussion. The cabinet agreed to obtain feedback from the NCAA membership on such models prior to sponsoring appropriate legislation at its June meeting for consideration as part of the 2010-11 legislative cycle.

3. **Priority Item – Discussion on Limits of Noncoaching Staff with Sport-Specific Responsibilities.** The cabinet reviewed survey results obtained from the membership pertaining to noncoaching staff members with sport-specific responsibilities. The cabinet directed the staff to develop alternative proposals that would place numerical limitations on such personnel in the sports of football and men's and women's basketball. The cabinet agreed to seek additional feedback from institutions and the coaches' associations regarding such proposals prior to sponsoring appropriate legislation

.

Core Values

1. Maintain a collegiate model of intercollegiate athletics in which student-athletes participate as an avocation, balancing their academic, social and athletics experiences;

2. Ensure that the well-being of student-athletes is paramount, which includes preserving participation opportunities;

3. Maintain and preserve competitive equity among institutions while sustaining a spending model for athletics consistent with academic spending;

4. Address the critical public perception of intercollegiate athletics where there appears to be spending behaviors contrary to those elsewhere in higher education (coaching salaries vs. faculty salaries, facility build-outs on a grand scale vs. facilities that are functional, dependence on commercialism vs. sense of detachment from commercial influence elsewhere), and

5. Respect institutional autonomy and philosophical differences.

Cost Savings Recommendations

At the request of the Division I Board of Directors, the Division I cabinets reviewed bylaws for which they have been assigned oversight responsibility in order to identify those that could possibly be modified to provide financial relief to the membership. In their review, the cabinets were mindful of the impact of proposed changes on the principles of fair competition, the well-being of student-athletes, sound academic standards, the integrity of sports and costs. Below is a list of various bylaws and cost savings recommendations applicable to each bylaw. Also included are financial recommendations discussed by the Division IA Athletics Directors Association. The Leadership Council is asked to review the recommendations and determine those that should be prioritized and forwarded to the Board of Directors for legislative consideration. In considering the recommendations, the Leadership Council should be mindful that financial decision-making is best defended when aligned with the core values of the NCAA and intercollegiate athletics rather than through an exercise to merely reduce costs.

Principles to Consider When Evaluating Cost Savings Measures

1. The necessity for a "cultural shift" in Division I philosophy in order to engage in successful deregulation efforts that result in cost savings. [*Administration Cabinet*]

2. That although cost savings initiatives should be a high priority item in Division I, such measures should be scrutinized closely so as not to detrimentally impact equitable championship opportunities for student-athletes or detract from the overall championship experience. [*Administration Cabinet*]

Bylaw 11 – Conduct and Employment of Athletics Personnel

1. Eliminate live, in-person scouting for all sports. [*Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet*]

2. Reduce the number of coaches who can recruit off campus at any one time. [*Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet*]

3. Create guidelines to limit the number of institutional staff members with sport-specific responsibilities. [*Division IA Athletics Directors Association*]

4. Continued examination of graduate assistant coaches and noncoaching staff with sport-specific responsibilities. [*Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet*]

Bylaw 13 – Recruiting

- Deregulate communication with prospective student-athletes (e.g., telephone calls, text messages, electronic mail, "do not call list"). [*Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet*]

- Eliminate the mailing of all printed recruiting materials. [*Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet*]

- Eliminate the use of express mail for delivery of the National Letter of Intent (NLI) (e.g., send via electronic mail or regular mail). [*Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet*]

- Limit the number of official visits for all sports. [*Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet*]

- Reduce the number of official visits per prospective student-athlete. [*Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet*]

- Limit the expenses associated with official visits (e.g., lodging, meals, entertainment). [*Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet*]

- Prohibit official visits for prospective student-athletes who have already signed an NLI. [*Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet*]

- Limit the number of institutional subscriptions to recruiting or scouting services. [*Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet*]

- Create recruiting calendars for all sports. [*Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet*]

- Shorten the July evaluation period for the sport of basketball. [*Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet*]

- Eliminate the "micro" web sites in favor of one official athletics web site. [*Division IA Athletics Directors Association*]

- Eliminate printed media guides. [*Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee*]

Bylaw 14 – Eligibility: Academic and General Requirements

1. Examination of the current "five-year clock." [*Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet*]

2. Examination of current season-of-competition legislation. [*Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet*]

Bylaw 15 – Financial Aid

1. Create an additional exception to the one-year period of award to specify that a student-athlete who graduates during the previous academic year (including summer) may receive athletically related financial aid for less than one academic year, provided the student-athlete will exhaust his or her remaining athletics eligibility during the fall term. [*Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet*]

2. Consider eliminating the traditional grant-in-aid and awarding need-based scholarships. [*Division IA Athletics Directors Association*]

3. Consider eliminating the traditional grant-in-aid and awarding tuition-only scholarships. [*Division IA Athletics Directors Association*]

Bylaw 16 – Awards, Benefits and Expenses for Enrolled Student-Athletes

1. Prohibit hotel accommodations on the night before home contests. [*Championships/Sports Management Cabinet (supports for all sports except FBS football) and Division IA Athletics Directors Association (supports recommendation for all sports)*]

2. Create an additional exception to the departure/return expense restrictions to specify that an eligible student-athlete may receive actual and necessary travel expenses to represent the institution in athletics competition, provided the student-athlete departs for the competition no earlier than 60 hours prior to the start of the actual competition, the institution can demonstrate

overall cost savings, the student-athletes do not miss additional scheduled class time due to the earlier departure and the institution's faculty athletics representative certifies in writing, prior to the travel, that student-athletes miss no additional scheduled class and that there is overall cost savings. Further, the recommendation would specify that the institution must include any additional costs incurred due to the earlier departure (e.g., hotel nights, meals) when evaluating whether there is overall cost savings. [*Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet*]

3. Evaluate the number of complimentary tickets student-athletes are permitted to receive. [*Championships/Sports Management Cabinet*]

4. Eliminate travel for preseason practice activities. [*Championships/Sports Management Cabinet*]

5. Evaluate all exceptions to the 48/36 hour departure/return expense rule. [*Championships/Sports Management Cabinet*]

6. Establish squad limits for team travel in all sports. [*Division IA Athletics Directors Association*]

7. Create an exception to the departure/return expense legislation to specify that return transportation following contests in NCAA championship events, National Governing Body (NGB) championships in emerging sports or licensed postseason football games that take place during the institution's official vacation period during the academic year or between regular academic terms are exempt from the requirement that a student-athlete remain no longer than 36 hours following the conclusion of the competition in order to receive actual and necessary expenses to return to campus, provided the return transportation expenses are equal to or less than the value of the return travel purchased by the team (i.e., within 36 hours following the conclusion of the actual competition). [*Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet*]

8. Request consideration of an amendment to Bylaw 16.8.1.5.1.1-(a) to specify that an institution may only provide (in lieu of team transportation) the actual transportation costs incurred by the student-athlete to travel from: (1) campus to the event site and back to campus; (2) campus to the student-athlete's home and back to campus; or (3) the student-athlete's home to the event site and back home. Further, to specify that the institution may provide actual transportation costs only if the student-athlete either returns to campus on his or her own or travels home from the event site. [*Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet*]

9. Eliminate institutional awards banquets for student-athletes. [*Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee*]

10. Eliminate conference championships gifts for student-athletes. [*Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee*]

11. Consider prohibiting off-campus team housing for away games played within 60 miles of campus. [*Division IA Athletics Directors Association]*

12. Consider placing restrictions on the use of charter and/or commercial aircraft for team travel. [*Division IA Athletics Directors Association*]

Bylaw 17 – Playing and Practice Seasons

1. Reduce total competition in all sports. [*Championships/Sports Management Cabinet*]

2. Establish one number for total competition in championship and nonchampionship segment. [*Championships/Sports Management Cabinet*]

3. Reduce length of season and number of contests in the sport of ice hockey. [*Championships/Sports Management Cabinet*]

4. Reduce contests in all nonchampionship segments. [*Championships/Sports Management Cabinet]*

5. Prohibit missed class time during the nonchampionship segment. [*Championships/Sports Management Cabinet*]

6. Eliminate nonchampionship season competition. [*Division IA Athletics Directors Association*]

7. Eliminate sports team travel to foreign countries. [*Division IA Athletics Directors Association*]

8. Eliminate travel for practice during official vacation periods. [*Championships/Sports Management Cabinet*]

9. Reduce the number of permissible regular season contests. [*Division IA Athletics Directors Association*]

Miscellaneous Recommendations

1. That the Leadership Council sponsor a legislative proposal to establish a process for casting override votes that does not require an institution to be present at the NCAA Convention Division I Business Session. [*Administration Cabinet*]

2. When submitting legislative proposals, conferences, cabinets and committees should include a "real time" (as opposed to varying or potential) cost impact statement as part of the proposal's budget implications. [*Administration Cabinet*]

3. Reduce the length of time for in-person cabinet/council/committee meetings (particularly those that involve student-athletes' missing class). [*Administration Cabinet*]

REPORT OF THE NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION DIVISION I LEADERSHIP COUNCIL MARCH 23, 2010, MEETING

1. ACTION ITEMS.

- **Division I Membership Standards**. The Leadership Council reviewed a final draft of recommendations for Division I membership standards.

  Recommendation: That the Board of Directors endorse the attached report of recommendations for Division I membership standards for review by Division I membership groups and conferences during the spring 2010 and that in August 2010, the Board sponsor necessary legislative proposals for implementation of the recommendations beginning in August 2011. (See Attachment)

2. INFORMATION ITEMS.

a. **Update on President's search**. Jim Isch, interim NCAA president, updated the group on the search for the next NCAA president and noted that the process appears to be on track to have the new president in place by the start of the 2010-11 academic year.

b. **Update on Media Contract**. Greg Shaheen, NCAA senior vice president of basketball and business strategies, discussed the status of the Association's media contract. Shaheen reviewed information related to past contracts and options as the Association moves forward. Shaheen informed the Council of discussions related to expansion of the NCAA Division I Men's Basketball Championship and the factors being considered as expansion is evaluated.

c. **Litigation Report.** Elsa Cole, NCAA general counsel, reported on the legal activity in which the Association is involved.

d. **Cost Savings Initiatives**. The Leadership Council reviewed a list of possible cost savings measures recommended by various Division I cabinets, as well as a number of financial recommendations discussed by the IA Athletics Directors Association. The Council noted that many of the recommendations appear to address "low-hanging fruit" and it may be wiser to take a more global approach when attempting to address spending in intercollegiate athletics. The Council recommended that staff collect additional data regarding actual spending areas and, with the possible help of consultants, develop a set of principles that could guide a review of spending. The Council suggested a two-pronged approach involving the evaluation of costs on campus as well as a review of the Association's spending related to the activities of the national office.

e. **Legislative items in the 2009-10 cycle of potential interest to the Leadership Council.** The Council received a report on the two legislative proposals (Proposal No. 2009-22 and Proposal No. 2009-51-B) that received the requisite number of requests for an override vote. The Council was informed of the status of the two proposals and the options for action by the Legislative Council during its April meeting.

f. **Report of the January 14, 2010, Leadership Council meeting**. The Council approved the report of its January 14, 2010, meeting. (Unanimous voice vote)

g. **Report from the Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee.** Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee chair Nick Fulton presented a report of the committee's recent meeting and priorities for the upcoming year.

h. **Future Meetings**.

a. October 5, 2010, Indianapolis, Indiana.
b. January 15, 2011, San Antonio, Texas.

Leadership Council chair: Damon Evans, University of Georgia
Staff Liaisons: S. David Berst, Division I governance
Jacqueline Campbell, Division I governance
Kevin Lennon, academic and membership affairs

NCAA Leadership Council Final Report Regarding Division I Membership Criteria

Background.

On August 9, 2007, the Division I Board of Directors adopted Legislative Proposal 2007-10, which established a four-year a moratorium that prohibited consideration of any new institutional, conference or multi-divisional Division I members until August 2011.

The Board concluded that the time was right, following similar moratoriums in Divisions II and III, for the Division I membership to assess and study the impact of membership migration and to develop reasonable philosophical expectations and legislative requirements for membership in the division. The Board requested the Leadership Council to conduct this study and to make appropriate recommendations regarding possible NCAA legislation.

A preliminary report of recommendations was provided to the Board of Directors in April 2009 and the Division I governance structure, member conferences and institutions were asked to provide reactions. The Leadership Council has continued discussions and submits the following report of recommendations to the Board of Directors for its April 29, 2010 meeting.

Excerpt from the Division I Manual--Division I Philosophy (Bylaw 20.9).

Athletics programs in Division I are guided by a philosophy statement that among other things:

- Emphasizes high standards of academic quality and breadth of academic opportunity;
- Strives for regional and national excellence and prominence and recognizes the dual objective of serving both the institutional community and the general public;
- Provides for extensive opportunities for participation in varsity intercollegiate athletics for both men and women (consistent with the requirements of Title IX) and sponsors at the highest feasible level of intercollegiate competition, men's and women's basketball and football, recognizing subdivisional designations in football;
- Advocates scheduling athletics contests primarily among Division I institutions;
- Emphasizes maintaining institutional control over all funds supporting athletics; and that
- Understands, respects, and supports the programs and philosophies of other membership divisions.

Additional Standards and Assumptions Relied Upon by the Leadership Council in its discussions included that:

- Applicants for membership in Division I should be required to meet, over a period of time, prescribed criteria in order to assure compatibility and compliance with the principles and program objectives of Division I.
- Central to the mission of intercollegiate athletics in Division I is the academic and athletic success of student-athletes, as well as protection of the student-athletes' health and well-being.
- Division I members should be expected to demonstrate a meaningful program-wide financial commitment across its entire athletics program, including for financial aid, number of sports sponsored, recruiting, athletics facilities, rules compliance, coaching, team travel and academic support services.
- Membership standards should promote conference stability, provide access to Division I for qualifying institutions and treat institutions fairly in determining their status as members of Division I.
- The number and nature of multisport conferences in Division I are fundamental considerations in establishing the level, structure and scope of Division I championship competition, the allocation of financial benefits and access to services and governance of the division.
- The multisport conference is the foundation of the NCAA DI governance structure, championships structure, and compliance structure and is rightly positioned in the proposed Division I membership process as a gatekeeper in the new membership model.
- A more comprehensive definition of a multisport conference is appropriate.
- There is a finite level of resources, benefits and good will available to current members of the division, which should be maintained or enhanced through any further expansion of membership.
- Potential new members of Division I should be expected to demonstrate a sustained commitment to Division I standards, assumptions and the Division I philosophy statement before election to membership in the division.
- Current voting ratios/percentages by conference and subdivision within Division I should be maintained through appropriate mathematical adjustments in the event the membership increases.
- The Division I brand has a value that can be approximated through a calculation of the value of direct and indirect services and benefits, as well as of the "image" of Division I and its subdivisions.

Recommendations.

The Council requests that the Board of Directors endorse the following recommendations for further discussion and debate by Division I membership groups and conferences during the spring 2010 and that in August 2010, the Board sponsor appropriate legislative proposals for membership consideration in January and April 2011.

1. **Potential new members of Division I shall enter the division through a four-year "reclassification" process following no less than five-years of membership in Division II. Further, the process described in Bylaw 20.5 shall apply, and an application for membership in Division I will be accepted only after presenting a bona fide offer of membership from a Division I multisport, voting conference. The sponsoring conference will be expected to provide appropriate guidance and counsel to the transitioning new member, assist with scheduling, monitor student-athlete well being and enhance accountability during the transition process.**

   Comment: Requiring conference sponsorship and prior membership in Division II is intended to promote the long-term stability of the Association. There should be a check list to assist conferences and transitioning institutions to ensure that institutions are meeting their appropriate benchmarks. The Administration Cabinet should provide additional thought to the timing of when various benchmarks should apply.

2. **The "provisional" process provided for in Bylaw 20.3.1, which now permits an institution outside the NCAA to become a Division I member through a seven-year process, shall be eliminated.**

   Comment: Multisport conferences within the Division I championships and governance structure are well suited to determine the needs of conferences and thereby the division. Experience in Division II serves as an appropriate platform to demonstrate the traits necessary to become a productive Division I member.

3. **An application fee shall be required before entering the "reclassification" process, which should either be commensurate with; (1) the estimated annual average value of direct benefits through distributions and championships made available to Division I members - - currently approximately $1,300,000, or (2) the "median" annual value of direct benefits through distributions and championships - - currently approximately $900,000.**

   Comment: This requirement should be data-driven and will change from time to time. This calculation does not include the costs of services or costs to administer the reclassification program. Upon election to Division I membership, the application fee shall be deposited in the NCAA Student Athlete Opportunity Distribution Fund. In the event an institution withdraws during the reclassification process, the application fee would be returned to the institution on a prorated basiss—75% after year one, $50% after year two, 30% after year three and 0% thereafter. It should also be emphasized that conferences may require separate fees for joining the conference. Discussion occurred regarding alternatives where the application fee would be analogous to a business model tied to "brand" value or

possibly tied to the educational and administrative costs of the process. It was concluded that as a higher-education association, data based on direct benefits received would be a simple and clear method and also would demonstrate a meaningful commitment from the prospective member.

4. **Upon beginning the "reclassification" process, the institution must meet Division I sports sponsorship requirements (i.e., 50 percent of the grants-in-aid maximums in the conference sponsored sports in which the institution will participate). In addition, the alternative means of satisfying this requirement now set forth in Bylaw 20.9.1.2-(b) (c) and (d) would continue to apply.**

   Comment: This is the current requirement and no change is recommended. Data reviewed regarding a possible increase to these minimums did not appear to support a change.

5. **A prospective member institution may not be elected to active membership in Division I if it is subject to a "historical penalty" under the APR program.**

   Comment: The Leadership Council believes a demonstrated commitment to academics should be required. The Committee on Academic Performance recommends that a numerical standard (e.g., 925) be avoided in deference to a "category" of academic penalties.

6. **A preliminary NCAA certification shall be required in year 1 of the four-year "reclassification" period and a full compliance review shall be required before final election to Division I membership. (See Proposed New Division I Reclassification Process beginning on page 8.)**

   Comment: There should be an expectation that the sponsoring conference will assist the institution in this process and that special attention should be given to rules compliance, academic support services, recruiting, coaches, team travel and facilities. Meeting standards early in the process and then demonstrating the ability to sustain such standards is important. The review process must make it clear that reclassification is an evolutionary process so that there is no confusion that receiving positive marks during the certification process does not guarantee eventual Division I membership.

7. **A member institution in Division I will qualify to begin receiving revenue distributions related to sports sponsorship and grants-in-aid upon serving three**

calendar years as an "active" Division I member. The institution would qualify in year one of active membership to receive Student-athlete Opportunity, Special Assistance and Academic Enhancement Funds, as well as basketball grant funds as determined by its sponsoring conference.

Comment: This change ensures that sustainability in the division is not based solely on receipt of NCAA revenues.

8. **The multi-divisional practice that permits a Division II or III institution to designate one men's and one women's sport in Division I shall be discontinued upon the completion of the 2010-11 academic year, except in a sport where no championship is conducted in its division. Division II and III institutions that sponsor sports teams in Division I in 2010-11 may continue to do so in the sports so designated but will forfeit this opportunity permanently if it fails to conduct the sport in Division I in any ensuing academic year. No change is recommended to qualify for participation in national collegiate championships.**

   Comment: It appears there is agreement to discontinue multidivisional competition, but teams that currently conduct such division I programs should be "grandfathered."

9. **A multisport conference in Division I may not receive voting privileges, conference grant funds or committee service positions in the Division I governance structure until legislation is enacted that names the conference among those identified in applicable sections of Constitution 4 related to representation and voting ratios on the Division I Board of Directors, Legislative and Leadership Councils and the five cabinets. (Refer to No. 13 below.)**

   Comment: This is the current requirement in Division I. Refer to Constitution 3.3.3.2.

10. **No change in criteria is recommended for designation in the Football Championship Subdivision, although it is recommended that the FBS subdivision consider legislation that would require a bona fide invitation for membership in an FBS conference before and an FCS institution may change subdivisions.**

    Comments: The FCS conferences do not appear to support additional membership requirements for its subdivision. However, it was noted that the bowl structure of the FBS should not be expected to support an expanded membership in that subdivision without conference sponsorship and support.

11. The role of the Administration Cabinet and the benchmarks for the four-year reclassification process shall be reviewed by the Administration Cabinet to ensure coordination by the cabinet with sponsoring conferences.

Comments: Through coordination with conferences in providing guidance and assistance to potential new member institutions, some procedural functions performed now by the Administrative Cabinet could be transferred to conference offices.

12. Constitution 3.2.3.1 shall be amended to provide that the vote to elect an institution to Division I active membership upon recommendation by the Administration cabinet shall be taken by the Board of Directors, rather than the Leadership Council.

Comments: This should be noncontroversial legislation and is recommend by the Leadership Council.

13. NCAA Division I – Recommended Multisport Conference Standards and Definition.

a. Institutional Members.

(1) A multisport conference shall have a minimum of seven (7) active Division I members.
(2) A multisport conference shall have a minimum of seven (7) active members participate in both men's and women's basketball.

b. Conference Sports Sponsorship.

(1) A multisport conference shall sponsor a minimum of 12 NCAA Division I sports.
(2) A multisport conference shall sponsor a minimum of six men's sports. In each of these six sports, a minimum of six active members shall compete.
(3) A multisport conference shall sponsor a minimum of six women's sports. In each of these six sports, a minimum of six active members shall compete, or a minimum of five active members for an emerging sport.
(4) In addition to men's basketball, a multisport conference shall, at a minimum, sponsor either football, with a minimum of six active members competing in football, or sponsor two additional men's team sports, with a minimum of six active members participating in each of these two sports.
(5) In addition to women's basketball, a multisport conference shall sponsor at least two additional women's team sports, with a minimum of six active members participating in each of these two sports.

c. Regular Season Conference Competition.

(1) Basketball: Basketball teams shall participate in a regular season conference schedule of a double round robin, or a minimum of 14 regular season conference contests.
(2) Minimum Required Team Sports, Other Than Basketball [b- (4) and (5) above]: In the team sports sponsored to meet the minimum team sports sponsorship requirement, teams shall compete in a minimum regular season conference schedule of five contests. A minimum of five regular season conference contests must be hosted by one of the two competing teams at its home site venue.

d. Officiating. A multisport conference shall provide oversight of the officiating programs for selecting, training and assigning officials for its men's and women's basketball programs.

e. Compliance. A multisport conference shall have a comprehensive compliance program.

f. Continuity. A multisport conference must meet the institutional membership requirement (a. above) before it may be considered for continuity. To establish continuity, a multisport conference must meet the sports sponsorship and regular season competition requirements (b. and c. above) for a period of eight consecutive years. If a conference establishes continuity, it may be considered for multisport membership in Division I.

g. Core. A multisport conference that is recognized in the NCAA Governance Structure as a result of legislation [see No. 9 above] shall be considered a core conference. A core conference shall:

(1) Have representation and voting standing in the NCAA Division I Governance Structure.
(2) Be eligible to submit nominees for and have its delegates serve on NCAA Division I committees.
(3) Be eligible to receive AQ into NCAA championships, provided the conference competes with a minimum of six active participants in the sport.
(4) Receive conference grant funds.
(5) Receive, on behalf of its members, Special Assistance/Student-Athlete Opportunity Funds.

Proposed New Division I Reclassification Process.

The following information outlines the membership reclassification process for any Division II or non-NCAA institution seeking to reclassify its entire athletics program to Division I membership. It should be noted that multidivisional membership (i.e., classifying one men's and or one women's sport other than football and basketball in Division I) will be discontinued following the 2010-11 academic year for any additional Division II or III institutions.

General Requirements.

The following general requirements must be satisfied prior to an institution entering the reclassification process.

- The reclassifying institution must have been an active NCAA Division II member for the preceding five years.
- The institution must be meeting applicable Division I minimum financial aid and sports-sponsorship membership requirements.
- The institution must have been extended a bona fide offer of membership by an active DI multisport voting conference.
- The institution and conference must complete an application form accompanied by an application fee (based on a yet to be determined figure based on either the average or mean of the Division I revenue distributions and championship benefits in the year of application) no later than June 1 preceding entry into year one of the reclassification process that is approved by the Division I Administration Cabinet. The institution must provide notice if it is currently involved in an investigation, an infractions case or on probation with its current governing body.
- The institution must submit a skeletal strategic plan to the Administration Cabinet that addresses the Division I philosophy statement (see Bylaw 20.9) and athletics certification operating principles (see Bylaw 22.2) approved by the conference office no later than June 1 preceding entry into year one of the reclassification process.

[Note: The Administration Cabinet shall have oversight responsibilities and shall monitor each institution's progress through the reclassification process. The cabinet shall have the authority to decline moving an institution to the ensuing year of the process if it deems that deficiencies warrant that the institution repeat a year].

Year One.

- Attendance at an orientation session conducted by the national office staff related to basic Division I operating rules and membership requirements. Institutional representatives required to attend are the chancellor or president (or an individual appointed by the chancellor or president with executive status at the institution), the director of athletics, the senior woman administrator, the faculty athletics representative and the senior compliance administrator.
- Attendance at the NCAA Convention Division I Issues Forum and business session (if such a session is conducted). Institutional representatives required to attend are the chancellor or president (or an individual appointed by the chancellor or president with executive status at the institution), the director of athletics, the senior woman administrator, the faculty athletics representative and the senior compliance administrator.
- Attendance at a regional rules seminar conducted by the NCAA. Institutional representatives required to attend are the chancellor or president [or an individual appointed by the chancellor or president with executive status at the institution], the director of athletics, the senior woman administrator, the faculty athletics representative and the senior compliance administrator.
- Apply all Division I legislation except scheduling requirements and continuing eligibility requirements (e.g., progress-toward-degree, five year rule) for student-athletes who are completing their final season of competition and were enrolled at the institution at least one year prior to entering year one of the reclassification process.
- Completion of a preliminary NCAA athletics certification orientation (conference representatives will also be required to be in attendance).
- Process institutional and individual student-athlete violations of Division I legislation through the Division I enforcement and student-athlete reinstatement processes. The institution shall be subject to any and all sanctions for violations of Division I legislation.
- Submit an annual report and an updated strategic plan by June 1 based on feedback from the previous year's plan. The institution shall report all violations to the Administration Cabinet as part of the annual report and provide notice if the institution is currently involved in an investigation, infractions case or on probation with its current governing body.

Year Two.

- Full compliance with all Division I legislation and membership requirements.
- Attendance at the NCAA Convention Division I Issues Forum and business session (if applicable). Institutional representatives required to attend are the chancellor or president (or an individual appointed by the chancellor or president with executive status at the institution), the director of athletics, the senior woman administrator, the faculty athletics representative and the senior compliance administrator.
- Attendance at a regional rules seminar conducted by the NCAA. Institutional representatives required to attend are the chancellor or president (or an individual appointed by the chancellor or president with executive status at the institution), the director of athletics, the senior woman administrator, the faculty athletics representative and the senior compliance administrator.
- Completion of a compliance review conducted by the national office and submission of a report with an institutional response to the findings and recommendations.
- Process institutional and individual student-athlete violations of Division I legislation through the Division I enforcement and student-athlete reinstatement processes. The institution shall be subject to any and all sanctions for violations of Division I legislation.
- Submit an annual report and an updated strategic plan by June 1 based on feedback from the previous year's plan. The institution shall report all violations to the Administration Cabinet as part of the annual report and provide notice if the institution is currently involved in an investigation, infractions case or on probation with its current governing body.

Year Three.

- Attendance at the NCAA Convention Division I Issues Forum and business session (if applicable). Institutional representatives required to attend are the chancellor or president (or an individual appointed by the chancellor or president with executive status at the institution), the director of athletics, the senior woman administrator, the faculty athletics representative and the senior compliance administrator.
- Attendance at a regional rules seminar conducted by the NCAA. Institutional representatives required to attend are the chancellor or president (or an individual appointed by the chancellor or president with executive status at the institution), the director of athletics, the senior woman administrator, the faculty athletics representative and the senior compliance administrator.

- Completion of an athletics certification orientation.
- Process institutional and individual student-athlete violations of Division I legislation through the Division I enforcement and student-athlete reinstatement processes. The institution shall be subject to any and all sanctions for violations of Division I legislation.
- Submit an annual report and an updated strategic plan by June 1 based on feedback from the previous year's plan. The institution shall report all violations to the Administration Cabinet as part of the annual report and provide notice if the institution is currently involved in an investigation, infractions case or on probation with its current governing body.

Year Four.

- Successfully complete an NCAA athletics certification and evaluation visit.
- Attendance at the NCAA Convention Division I Issues Forum and business session (if applicable). Institutional representatives required to attend are the chancellor or president (or an individual appointed by the chancellor or president with executive status at the institution), the director of athletics, the senior woman administrator, the faculty athletics representative and the senior compliance administrator.
- Attendance at a regional rules seminar conducted by the NCAA. Institutional representatives required to attend are the chancellor or president [or an individual appointed by the chancellor or president with executive status at the institution], the director of athletics, the senior woman administrator, the faculty athletics representative and the senior compliance administrator.
- Process institutional and individual student-athlete violations of Division I legislation through the Division I enforcement and student-athlete reinstatement processes. The institution shall be subject to any and all sanctions for violations of Division I legislation.
- Submit an annual report and an updated strategic plan by June 1 based on feedback from the previous year's plan. The institution shall report all violations to the Administration Cabinet as part of the annual report and provide notice if the institution is currently involved in an investigation, infractions case or on probation with its current governing body.
- A prospective member institution may not be elected to active membership if it is subject to a historical penalty under the NCAA Division I Academic Performance Program.

Post-Reclassification.

- After completion of the four year reclassification process, the Board of Directors, on the recommendation of the Division I Administration Cabinet, shall have the authority to elect the institution to active Division I status.
- The institution must submit a progress report to the Committee on Athletics Certification regarding its progress on any plans for improvement (as previously recommended by the committee) no later than the conclusion of its second year of active Division I membership.
- A new Division I institution will qualify to receive revenue distributions related to sports sponsorship and grants-in-aid after serving three calendar years as an active Division I member.
- A new Division I institution will qualify immediately to receive Student-Athlete Opportunity, Special Assistance and Academic Enhancement Funds, as well as basketball grant funds as determined by the institution's conference.

REPORT OF THE NCAA DIVISION I LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL MEETING APRIL 12-13, 2010

ACTION ITEM.

- None.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

1. Legislative Actions. A list of the NCAA Division I Legislative Council's legislative actions may be found in Attachment A and detailed voting results may be found in Attachment B.

[Note: Per NCAA Constitution 5.3.2.2.4.1, legislation adopted by the Legislative Council shall be subject to possible review by the NCAA Division I Board of Directors at its next meeting. At its discretion, the Board of Directors may ratify, amend or defeat legislation adopted by the Legislative Council. Further, per Constitution 5.3.2.2.4.2, the Board of Directors may resurrect a proposal defeated on final review by the Legislative Council and consider the proposal on its merits. The Board also may amend such a proposal.]

2. Report of NCAA Division I Legislative Review/Interpretations Committee.

a. The Legislative Council approved the minute from the November 19, 2009, teleconference (No. 27) as distributed.

b. The Legislative Council heard and considered an appeal of an interpretive decision issued March 18, 2010, by the Legislative Review and Interpretations Committee related to the application of the effective date of NCAA Proposal No. 2009-31. The council upheld the committee's decision.

3. Report of the NCAA Division I Legislative Council Subcommittee for Legislative Relief. (For additional information, see Supplement No. 10 of the Legislative Council meeting materials and the NCAA Division I Legislative Council Subcommittee for Legislative Relief page on the NCAA Web site.)

a. The Legislative Council approved a revision to the guidelines for review of and the required information standards for waiver requests involving NCAA Bylaw 14.2.3.2 (delayed enrollment sports -- other than men's ice hockey, skiing and tennis) and 14.2.3.2.2 (tennis) and international mandatory military service. The revisions were made to account for the adoption of Proposal No. 2009-22.

b. The Legislative Council approved revisions to the review thresholds for waiver requests processed using the streamlined review process. The revisions were made to account for the adoption of Proposal No. 2009-22.

c. The Legislative Council approved the inclusion of four additional waivers to the current list of previously approved waivers.

d. The Legislative Council approved revisions to guidelines for waiver requests related to Bylaw 14.5.5.4 (four-year college transfers – eligibility for institutional financial aid) that involve assertions of misinformation or lack of information and the establishment of guidelines for waiver requests related to Bylaw 15.3.3.1 (one-year period) that involve assertions of misinformation or lack of information.

4. Election of Chair. Shane Lyons, representative of the Atlantic Coast Conference, was elected to serve as chair of the council.

5. Future Meeting Dates.

a. October 18-19, 2010, Indianapolis, Indiana.

b. January 2011, San Antonio in conjunction with the NCAA Convention.

c. April 11-12, 2011, Indianapolis, Indiana.

Council Chair: *Joseph D'Antonio, Big East Conference*
Council Liaisons: *Lynn Holzman, Academic and Membership Affairs*
Steve Mallonee, Academic and Membership Affairs
Binh Nguyen, Academic and Membership Affairs
Leeland Zeller, Academic and Membership Affairs

NCAA Division I Legislative Council January 2010 Legislative Actions

1. Actions Related to Proposals Subject to Override Vote.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2009-22	AMATEURISM AND ELIGIBILITY -- INVOLVEMENT WITH PROFESSIONAL TEAMS -- EXCEPTION -- PRIOR TO INITIAL FULL-TIME COLLEGIATE ENROLLMENT -- DELAYED ENROLLMENT -- SEASONS OF COMPETITION -- SPORTS OTHER THAN MEN'S ICE HOCKEY	NCAA Division I Amateurism Cabinet	For sections A-D: August 1, 2010; applicable to student-athletes who initially enroll full time in a collegiate institution on or after August 1, 2010. For section E, as it applies to sports other than tennis: August 1, 2011; applicable to student-athletes who initially enroll full time in a collegiate institution on or after August 1, 2011. For section E, as it applies to tennis: August 1, 2012, applicable to student-athletes who initially enroll full time in a collegiate institution on or after August 1, 2012.	In sports other than men's ice hockey and skiing, to specify that prior to initial full-time collegiate enrollment, an individual may enter into an agreement to compete on a professional team and compete on a professional team, provided the agreement does not guarantee or promise payment (at any time) in excess of actual and necessary expenses to participate on the team; further, in sports other than men's ice hockey, skiing, tennis, swimming and diving and women's volleyball, to specify that a student-athlete who does not initially enroll full-time in a collegiate institution within one year (six months for tennis) or the next opportunity to enroll following the high school graduation date of the prospective student-athlete's class and participates in organized events after the specified time period shall be charged with a season of intercollegiate competition for each year of participation and shall fulfill an academic year in residence (one year for each year of competition in tennis) on matriculation at the certifying institution before being eligible to represent the institution in intercollegiate competition.	Amended the effective date for section E, as it applies to sports other than tennis to August 1, 2011; applicable to student-athletes who initially enroll full time in a collegiate institution on or after August 1, 2011. For section E, as it applies to tennis: August 1, 2012, applicable to student-athletes who initially enroll full time in a collegiate institution on or after August 1, 2012. Adopted, as amended.
The council noted that the delayed effective date will address issues related to notice and will provide ample time for conferences and other constituencies to consider and develop potential adjustments that may be fully vetted through the legislative process during the 2010-11 legislative cycle. In addition, the council noted that the Amateurism Cabinet plans to sponsor legislation to address issues related to prospective student-athletes who delay enrollment in order to participate in Olympic and national team training programs that include competition.					

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2009-51-B	RECRUITING -- CAMPS AND CLINICS -- INSTITUTION'S SPORTS CAMPS AND CLINICS -- LOCATION RESTRICTION -- BASKETBALL	NCAA Division I Legislative Council	Immediate; a contract signed before September 17, 2008, for men's basketball camps may be honored; a contract signed before September 16, 2009, for women's basketball camps may be honored.	In basketball, to specify that an institution's camp or clinic shall be conducted on the institution's campus or within a 100-mile radius of the institution's campus.	Amended the proposal to apply only to men's and women's basketball. Adopted, as amended.

2. Proposals Recommended as Emergency or Noncontroversial Legislation.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2010-2	COMMITTEES -- STUDENT-ATHLETE ADVISORY COMMITTEE -- TERM OF OFFICE	NCAA Division I Legislative Council (Student-Athlete Advisory Committee)	Immediate	To specify that the term of office of a member of the Student-Athlete Advisory Committee shall commence on the first day of July following the member's appointment.	Supported as noncontroversial legislation. Adopted.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2010-3	COMMITTEES -- COMMITTEES WITH CHAMPIONSHIPS ADMINISTRATION AND SPORTS ISSUES RESPONSIBILITIES -- MEN'S ICE HOCKEY COMMITTEE -- COMPOSITION	NCAA Division I Championships/Sports Management Cabinet	August 1, 2010	To specify that the Men's Ice Hockey Committee shall consist of one member from each conference that is eligible for and applies for automatic qualification into the Division I Men's Ice Hockey Championship.	Supported as noncontroversial legislation. Adopted.

3. Actions Related to Modifications of Wording.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
M-2010-1	AMATEURISM -- INVOLVEMENT WITH PROFESSIONAL TEAMS -- TRYOUTS AND PRACTICE WITHOUT COMPETITION	NCAA Division I Legislative Council	August 1, 2010; applicable to student-athletes who initially enroll full time in a collegiate institution on or after August 1, 2010.	In sports other than men's ice hockey and skiing, to clarify that prior to initial full-time collegiate enrollment, an individual may try out with a professional athletics team and participate in practice sessions without competition conducted by a professional team, provided he or she does not receive more than actual and necessary expenses to participate.	Approved.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
M-2010-2	RECRUITING -- PERMISSIBLE RECRUITERS -- NONCOACHING STAFF MEMBERS WITH SPORT-SPECIFIC RESPONSIBILITIES -- BASKETBALL -- ON-CAMPUS ATHLETICS EVENT	NCAA Division I Legislative Council	Immediate	In basketball, to clarify that a noncoaching staff member with sport-specific responsibilities shall not attend an on-campus athletics event involving prospective student-athletes, unless the staff member is an immediate family member or legal guardian of one of the participants in the activity.	Approved.
M-2010-3	RECRUITING AND PERSONNEL -- TELEPHONE CALLS -- PERMISSIBLE CALLERS -- NONCOACHING STAFF MEMBERS AND NONCOUNTABLE COACHES -- AFTER RECEIPT OF FINANCIAL DEPOSIT	NCAA Division I Legislative Council	Immediate	To clarify that on or after May 1 of a prospective student-athlete's senior year in high school, noncoaching staff members and noncountable coaches are permitted to make telephone calls to or receive telephone calls from the prospective student-athlete, provided the institution has received a financial deposit in response to the institution's offer of admission.	Approved.
M-2010-4	RECRUITING -- USE OF RECRUITING FUNDS -- RECRUITING OR SCOUTING SERVICES -- CRITERIA FOR SUBSCRIPTION -- INDIVIDUAL ANALYSIS REQUIRED	NCAA Division I Legislative Council	Immediate	To clarify that individual analysis beyond demographic information or rankings for each prospective student-athlete for whom information is disseminated must be provided by a recruiting or scouting service in order for an institution to subscribe to such a service.	Approved.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
M-2010-5	ELIGIBILITY -- TWO-YEAR AND 4-2-4 COLLEGE TRANSFERS -- PHYSICAL EDUCATION ACTIVITY COURSES -- TRANSFERABLE DEGREE CREDIT AND GRADE-POINT AVERAGE REQUIREMENTS -- MEN'S BASKETBALL	NCAA Division I Legislative Council	August 1, 2010; applicable to two-year college transfer student-athletes who enroll full-time as transfer students at a Division I institution on or after August 1, 2010.	In men's basketball, to clarify that not more than two credit hours of physical education activity courses may be used to fulfill the two-year and 4-2-4 college transfer transferable degree credit and grade-point average requirements.	Approved.
M-2010-6	LEGISLATIVE AUTHORITY AND PROCESS -- PROCESS FOR ADOPTION OR AMENDMENT OF LEGISLATION -- AMENDMENT BY LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL ON FINAL REVIEW	NCAA Division I Legislative Council	Immediate	To clarify that during its final review of a legislative proposal, the Legislative Council may amend a proposal by a three-fourths majority vote of the Legislative Council members present and voting, provided the amendment does not increase the modification specified in the original proposal.	Approved.

4. Actions Related to 2009-10 Legislative Cycle Proposals.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2009-10-1	PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- GRADUATE-ASSISTANT COACH - NO PREVIOUS FOUR-YEAR COLLEGE OR PROFESSIONAL COACHING EXPERIENCE -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL	Big Ten Conference	Immediate; for new appointments of graduate assistant coaches	To amend Proposal No. 2009-10 to specify that, in bowl subdivision football, a graduate-assistant coach is any coach who has received a baccalaureate degree and has not previously coached at a four-year collegiate institution or in a professional football league.	Defeated.
2009-10	PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- GRADUATE-ASSISTANT COACH -- EMPLOYMENT WITHIN SEVEN YEARS OF GRADUATION OR EXHAUSTING ELIGIBILITY -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL	Southeastern Conference	Immediate; for new appointments of graduate assistant coaches	In bowl subdivision football, to specify that a graduate-assistant coach is any coach who has received a baccalaureate degree and has either received his or her first baccalaureate degree or has exhausted athletics eligibility (whichever occurs later) within the previous seven years and qualifies for appointment as a graduate assistant under the policies of the institution.	Adopted.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2009-12-A	PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT-ASSISTANT COACH	NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet	August 1, 2010	To permit a student-athlete to serve as an undergraduate student-assistant coach at the institution at which the student-athlete most recently participated in intercollegiate athletics, provided the student-athlete is currently enrolled at the institution as a full-time undergraduate student who has exhausted his or her eligibility in the sport or has become injured to the point that he or she is unable to practice or compete ever again; further, to specify that the limit of undergraduate student-assistant coaches in each sport shall be the same as the limit of countable coaches permitted in the sport.	Adopted.
2009-14-A	PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- MANAGER -- FORFEITURE OF ELIGIBILITY IN BASEBALL	NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet	August 1, 2010	To establish criteria by which an individual may serve as a manager, as specified.	Adopted.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2009-19-A	PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON NUMBER OF COACHES AND OFF-CAMPUS RECRUITERS -- WOMEN'S SAND VOLLEYBALL AND WOMEN'S VOLLEYBALL	NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet	August 1, 2010	To specify that an institution that sponsors only women's sand volleyball shall have a limit of two coaches who may be employed and a limit of two coaches who may contact or evaluate prospective student-athletes off-campus at any one time; further, to specify that an institution that sponsors women's sand volleyball and women's volleyball shall have a limit of four coaches who may be employed and a limit of two coaches who may contact or evaluate prospective student-athletes off-campus at any one time.	Tabled. With the delay to the effective date for the addition of women's sand volleyball to the list of emerging sports for women, current proposals and any new concepts related to the sport will be considered in the 2010-11 legislative cycle.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2009-19-B	PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON NUMBER OF COACHES AND OFF-CAMPUS RECRUITERS -- WOMEN'S SAND VOLLEYBALL	NCAA Division I Legislative Council	August 1, 2010	In women's sand volleyball, to specify that the limit on the number of coaches who may be employed is two and the limit on the number of coaches who may contact or evaluate prospective student-athletes off campus at any one time is two.	Tabled. With the delay to the effective date for the addition of women's sand volleyball to the list of emerging sports for women, current proposals and any new concepts related to the sport will be considered in the 2010-11 legislative cycle.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2009-29	RECRUITING AND ELIGIBILITY -- FOUR-YEAR PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETES -- PERMISSION TO CONTACT AND TRANSFER RELEASE -- RESPONSE TO REQUEST AND HEARING OPPORTUNITY	Big South Conference	August 1, 2010	To specify that if an institution receives a written request from a student-athlete to permit another institution to contact a student-athlete about transferring or a request for a release in conjunction with the application of the one-time transfer exception, the institution shall grant or deny a request within seven business days of receipt of the request; further, to specify that if the request is denied, the institution shall conduct a hearing and provide written results within 15 business days of receipt of the student-athlete's written request and that the student-athlete shall be provided the opportunity to appear in-person or via telephone and actively participate in the hearing. To also specify that if the institution fails to respond to the student-athlete's written request or fails to conduct the hearing or provide written results within the specified time period, permission to contact or the transfer release shall be granted by default and the institution shall provide the written permission or release to the student-athlete.	Adopted.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2009-30-B	RECRUITING -- PERMISSIBLE RECRUITERS -- NONCOACHING STAFF MEMBERS WITH SPORT SPECIFIC RESPONSIBILITIES	NCAA Division I Legislative Council	Immediate	To specify that a noncoaching staff member with sport-specific responsibilities (excluding clerical staff) shall not attend an on- or off-campus athletics event in the staff member's sport that involves prospective student-athletes (e.g., high-school contest, sports camp) unless the staff member is an immediate family member or legal guardian of one of the participants in the activity; further, to establish conditions by which a staff member who is an immediate family member or legal guardian of a participant may attend such an event, as specified.	On initial consideration, defeated an amendment to specify that a noncoaching staff member with sport-specific responsibilities shall not attend an on- or off-campus athletics event in the staff member's sport that involves prospective student-athletes (e.g., high-school contest, sports camp); on reconsideration, adopted the amendment. Adopted an amendment to exclude sport-specific clerical staff. Adopted, as amended.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2009-32-B	RECRUITING -- TELEPHONE CALLS -- TIME PERIOD FOR TELEPHONE CALLS -- EXCEPTION -- UNLIMITED DURING CONTACT PERIOD -- SPORTS WITH DEFINED RECRUITING CALENDARS OTHER THAN FOOTBALL	Big Ten Conference	August 1, 2010	In sports with defined recruiting calendars other than football, to specify that during a contact period, telephone calls may be made at the institution's discretion.	On initial consideration, adopted; on reconsideration, adopted.
2009-35	RECRUITING -- CONTACTS AND EVALUATIONS -- VISIT TO PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETE'S EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTION -- VISITS DURING EVALUATION PERIOD -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL	Big East Conference	Immediate	In football, to specify that not more than two coaches per institution may visit a prospective student-athlete's educational institution on any one calendar day during an evaluation period.	Adopted.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2009-39	RECRUITING -- LIMITATIONS ON NUMBER OF EVALUATIONS -- EVALUATION DAYS -- WOMEN'S SAND VOLLEYBALL	NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet	August 1, 2010	To specify that an institution that sponsors only women's sand volleyball or sponsors both women's volleyball and women's sand volleyball is limited to 100 evaluation days (measured August 1 through July 31); further, to specify that if an institution sponsors both women's volleyball and women's sand volleyball, a coach's involvement outside a volleyball contact or evaluation period with a local sports club (volleyball or sand volleyball) per Bylaw 13.11.2.3 shall count toward the limit.	Tabled. With the delay to the effective date for the addition of women's sand volleyball to the list of emerging sports for women, current proposals and any new concepts related to the sport will be considered in the 2010-11 legislative cycle.
2009-40	RECRUITING -- PRINTED RECRUITING MATERIALS -- GENERAL CORRESPONDENCE -- INSTITUTIONAL LETTERHEAD	NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet	August 1, 2010	To establish additional provisions governing general correspondence that an institution may send to prospective student-athletes, their parents or legal guardians, their coaches or any other individual responsible for teaching or directing an activity in which a prospective student-athlete is involved, as specified.	Adopted.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2009-41	RECRUITING -- RECRUITING MATERIALS -- ATHLETICS PUBLICATIONS -- NO PRINTED MEDIA GUIDES OR RECRUITING BROCHURES	Pacific-10 Conference	Immediate	To specify that an institution shall not produce (or arrange for or authorize a third party to produce) a media guide, recruiting brochure or any similar athletics publication printed in a hard copy format other than a game program; further, to specify that an institution may post a media guide or recruiting brochure on its Web site, but may not print such items and provide them to a prospective student-athlete (or his or her parents or legal guardians).	Defeated.
2009-42	RECRUITING -- RECRUITING MATERIALS -- ATHLETICS PUBLICATIONS -- NO PRINTED PUBLICATIONS TO PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETES	Southeastern Conference	August 1, 2010	To specify that an institution shall not provide a printed media guide or any other printed athletics publication not listed in Bylaw 13.4.1.1 to a prospective student-athlete, his or her parents or legal guardians, the prospective student-athlete's educational institution or any individual involved in the coaching of a prospective student-athlete.	Defeated an amendment to specify that an institution may not provide a media guide to a prospective student-athlete via a digital media storage device (e.g., compact disc, flash drive). On initial consideration, defeated; on reconsideration, adopted.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2009-47-A	RECRUITING -- LETTER OF INTENT PROGRAMS, FINANCIAL AID AGREEMENTS -- WRITTEN OFFER OF AID BEFORE SIGNING DATE – CHAMPIONSHIP SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL	Big East Conference	August 1, 2010	In championship subdivision football, to specify that prior to August 1 of a prospective student-athlete's senior year in high school, an institution shall not provide a written offer of athletically related financial aid or indicate in writing to the prospective student-athlete that an athletically related grant-in-aid will be offered by the institution.	Defeated.
2009-47-B	RECRUITING -- LETTER OF INTENT PROGRAMS, FINANCIAL AID AGREEMENTS -- WRITTEN OFFER OF AID BEFORE SIGNING DATE	Ivy Group	August 1, 2010	To specify that prior to August 1 of a prospective student-athlete's senior year in high school, an institution shall not provide a written offer of athletically related financial aid or indicate in writing to the prospective student-athlete that an athletically related grant-in-aid will be offered by the institution.	Adopted.
2009-49-1	RECRUITING -- TRYOUTS -- COMPETITION AGAINST PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETES -- CHAMPIONSHIP SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL -- NO PAYMENT OR RECRUITING	Ivy Group	August 1, 2010	To amend Proposal No. 2009-49 (FCS) to specify that, in championship subdivision football, an institution's varsity team may compete against a two-year college team and its subvarsity team may compete against a two-year college team, a high school team or a preparatory school team, provided no payment or other inducement (e.g., guarantee) is provided to such a team and no recruiting activities occur with members of such a team in conjunction with such competition.	Adopted.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2009-49	RECRUITING -- TRYOUTS -- COMPETITION AGAINST PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETES – FOOTBALL -- CHAMPIONSHIP SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL	Southeastern Conference	August 1, 2010	In championship subdivision football, to prohibit an institution's varsity and subvarsity intercollegiate teams from competing against any team that includes prospective student-athletes.	Adopted, as amended by Proposal No. 2009-49-1.
2009-50	RECRUITING AND ADMINISTRATIVE REGULATIONS -- LOCAL SPORTS CLUBS -- ADDITIONAL RESTRICTIONS -- QUIET PERIODS -- WOMEN'S SOCCER	Big 12 Conference	Immediate	In women's soccer, to specify that during a dead or quiet period, institutional coaching staff members may not coach a local sports club team at an off-campus competition where prospective student-athletes are present; further, to establish quiet periods in women's soccer, as specified.	Defeated.
2009-58	ADMINISTRATIVE REGULATIONS -- RECRUITING CALENDARS -- MEN'S SOCCER	Big West Conference	August 1, 2010	In men's soccer, to establish a recruiting calendar, as specified.	Defeated.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2009-61	ELIGIBILITY -- FULL TIME ENROLLMENT -- REQUIREMENT FOR PRACTICE -- WAIVER -- U.S. OLYMPIC COMMITTEE/NATIONAL GOVERNING BODY -- FORMER STUDENT ATHLETE AT ANOTHER INSTITUTION -- INDIVIDUAL SPORTS AND WOMEN'S ROWING	NCAA Division I Championships/Sports Management Cabinet (Olympic Sports Liaison Committee)	August 1, 2010	In individual sports and women's rowing, in a case in which the U.S. Olympic Committee or national governing body in the sport has recommended the individual's participation, to permit a former student-athlete who has graduated and has no eligibility remaining to participate in organized practice sessions at an institution other than the one he or she previously attended.	Adopted.
2009-62	ELIGIBILITY -- FULL-TIME ENROLLMENT -- REQUIREMENT FOR PRACTICE -- WAIVER -- U.S. OLYMPIC COMMITTEE/NATIONAL GOVERNING BODY -- FORMER STUDENT-ATHLETE -- DURATION OF WAIVER -- INDIVIDUAL SPORTS AND WOMEN'S ROWING	NCAA Division I Championships/Sports Management Cabinet (Olympic Sports Liaison Committee)	August 1, 2010	In individual sports and women's rowing, in a case in which the U.S. Olympic Committee or national governing body (NGB) in the sport has recommended the individual's participation, to specify that a former student-athlete's participation in organized practice sessions shall be limited to the number of years that allows the individual to practice with the institution's team in preparation for two consecutive Olympic Games following exhaustion of eligibility or completion of degree, whichever is earlier.	Adopted.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2009-70	FINANCIAL AID -- MAXIMUM INSTITUTIONAL GRANT-IN-AID LIMITATIONS BY SPORT -- WOMEN'S SAND VOLLEYBALL	NCAA Division I Awards, Benefits and Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet	August 1, 2010	In sand volleyball, to establish the maximum equivalency and counter limitations, as specified.	Tabled. With the delay to the effective date for the addition of women's sand volleyball to the list of emerging sports for women, current proposals and any new concepts related to the sport will be considered in the 2010-11 legislative cycle.
2009-74	AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- BENEFITS, GIFTS AND SERVICES -- OCCASIONAL MEALS -- LOCATION OF MEAL PROVIDED BY REPRESENTATIVE OF ATHLETICS INTERESTS	Horizon League	Immediate	To permit a representative of the institution's athletics interests to provide an occasional meal to a student-athlete or the entire team in a sport on campus or in a facility that is regularly used for home competition.	Adopted.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2009-75-B-1	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS AND RECRUITING -- MANDATORY MEDICAL EXAMINATION -- SICKLE CELL SOLUBILITY TEST -- OPTION TO DECLINE AND SIGN WRITTEN RELEASE	Ivy Group	August 1, 2010	To amend Proposal No. 2009-75-B to specify that the required medical examination or evaluation that student-athletes who are beginning their initial season of eligibility and students who are trying out for a team must undergo prior to participation in voluntary summer conditioning or voluntary individual workouts pursuant to the safety exception, practice, competition or out-of-season conditioning activities shall include a sickle cell solubility test (SST), unless documented results of a prior test are provided to the institution or the individual declines the test and signs a written release.	Adopted.
2009-75-B	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS AND RECRUITING -- MANDATORY MEDICAL EXAMINATION -- SICKLE CELL SOLUBILITY TEST -- DOCUMENTED RESULTS OF PRIOR TEST	NCAA Division I Legislative Council	August 1, 2010	To specify that the required medical examination or evaluation that student-athletes who are beginning their initial season of eligibility and students who are trying out for a team must undergo prior to participation in voluntary summer conditioning or voluntary individual workouts pursuant to the safety exception, practice, competition or out-of-season conditioning activities shall include a sickle cell solubility test (SST), unless documented results of a prior test are provided to the institution.	Adopted, as amended by Proposal No. 2009-75-B-1.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2009-77	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL -- PRESEASON PRACTICE -- ON-COURT PRACTICE -- 30 DAYS OF COUNTABLE ACTIVITIES WITHIN 40 DAYS PRIOR TO FIRST CONTEST	Southeastern Conference and Big East Conference	August 1, 2010	In women's basketball, to specify that an institution shall not commence on-court preseason basketball practice sessions prior to 5 p.m. on the date that is 40 days prior to the date of the institution's first regular-season contest; further, to specify that an institution shall not engage in more than 30 days of countable athletically related activities prior to its first regular-season contest.	Adopted.
2009-78	PLAYING AND PRACTICES SEASONS -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL -- NUMBER OF CONTESTS -- MAXIMUM LIMITATIONS	Atlantic Coast Conference	August 1, 2011	In women's basketball, to specify that an institution shall limit its total regular-season playing schedule with outside competition to 26 contests and one qualifying regular-season multiple team event or 28 contests during a playing season in which the institution does not participate in a qualifying regular-season multiple team event.	Defeated.
2009-79-B	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- NONCHAMPIONSHIP SEGMENT -- TRAVEL RESTRICTIONS -- CROSS COUNTRY, FIELD HOCKEY, SOCCER, SOFTBALL AND WOMEN'S VOLLEYBALL -- EXCEPTION -- 400-MILE RADIUS	NCAA Division I Championships/Sports Management Cabinet	August 1, 2010	In cross country (for institutions without indoor or outdoor track and field), field hockey, soccer, softball and women's volleyball, to specify that team travel to competition in the nonchampionship segment shall be restricted to ground transportation, unless there are no other Division I institutions located within 400 miles of the institution.	Adopted.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2009-79-A	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- NONCHAMPIONSHIP SEGMENT -- TRAVEL RESTRICTIONS -- CROSS COUNTRY, FIELD HOCKEY, SOCCER, SOFTBALL AND WOMEN'S VOLLEYBALL	Southeastern Conference	August 1, 2010	In cross country (for institutions without indoor or outdoor track and field), field hockey, soccer, softball and women's volleyball, to specify that team travel to competition in the nonchampionship segment shall be restricted to ground transportation.	Rendered moot by the adoption of Proposal No. 2009-79-B.
2009-79-C	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- NONCHAMPIONSHIP SEGMENT -- TRAVEL RESTRICTIONS -- CROSS COUNTRY, FIELD HOCKEY, SOCCER, SOFTBALL AND WOMEN'S VOLLEYBALL -- HAWAII EXCEPTION	Western Athletic Conference	August 1, 2010	In cross country (for institutions without indoor or outdoor track and field), field hockey, soccer, softball and women's volleyball, to specify that an institution located in Hawaii may travel by air for nonchampionship segment competition; further, to specify that an institution located outside Hawaii may travel by air for nonchampionship segment competition in Hawaii against a Division I institution located in Hawaii.	Defeated.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2009-83	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS AND DIVISION MEMBERSHIP -- REGULATIONS FOR PLAYING SEASON AND MINIMUM CONTEST REQUIREMENTS FOR SPORTS SPONSORSHIP -- WOMEN'S SAND VOLLEYBALL	Sun Belt Conference	August 1, 2010	In women's sand volleyball, to establish the playing and practice season and the minimum number of contests necessary for sports sponsorship purposes, as specified.	Tabled. With the delay to the effective date for the addition of women's sand volleyball to the list of emerging sports for women, current proposals and any new concepts related to the sport will be considered in the 2010-11 legislative cycle.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2009-88	ADMINISTRATIVE REGULATIONS -- FOREIGN TOURS AND COMPETITION -- ELIGIBILITY OF STUDENT-ATHLETES -- INCOMING-STUDENT PARTICIPATION -- BASKETBALL	Atlantic 10 Conference	August 1, 2010	In basketball, to permit an incoming student-athlete (freshman or transfer) to represent the institution on a foreign tour that occurs during the summer prior to his or her initial full-time enrollment at the certifying institution and participate in practice prior to departure for the foreign tour, provided: (a) he or she has earned at least three hours of acceptable degree credit during the summer term at the certifying institution; and (b) he or she is eligible to represent the institution in intercollegiate competition during the academic year immediately following the tour; further, to specify that a basketball student-athlete shall not participate in more than one foreign tour for a particular institution.	Adopted.
2009-94	ADMINISTRATIVE REGULATIONS -- BASKETBALL EVENT CERTIFICATION -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL -- GEOGRAPHIC REQUIREMENT	NCAA Division I Board of Directors [(Championships/Sports Management Cabinet) (Women's Basketball Issues Committee)]	September 1, 2010	In women's basketball, to specify that in order for a basketball event to be certified, participants on nonscholastic teams in a certified event must be legal residents of the state in which the team is located or a geographically adjoining state and not more than a total of three prospective student-athletes from adjoining states may participate on any one nonscholastic team.	Adopted.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2009-97	FINANCIAL AID -- COUNTERS -- AID AFTER DEPARTURE OF HEAD COACH -- NONCOUNTER -- MEN'S BASKETBALL	NCAA Division I Board of Directors (Men's Basketball Academic Enhancement Group)	August 1, 2010	In men's basketball, to specify that a student-athlete who receives athletically related institutional financial aid in academic years following the departure of a head coach from the institution is not a counter, provided: (a) The student-athlete participated in basketball and received athletically related institutional financial aid during the coach's tenure at the institution; and (b) The student-athlete does not participate in basketball during the later academic years at the institution; further, to specify that if the student-athlete later participates in basketball at the institution, the student-athlete shall become a counter for all years during which athletically related institutional aid was received.	Adopted.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2009-98	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- PRESEASON PRACTICE MODEL -- MISSED CLASS-TIME POLICIES -- MEN'S BASKETBALL	NCAA Division I Board of Directors (Men's Basketball Academic Enhancement Group)	For all provisions other than section C as it relates to the maximum number of contests: August 1, 2010 For section C as it relates to the maximum number of contests: August 1, 2011 (Contracts signed before 8/6/09 that cause noncompliance with the maximum contests limitation may be honored.)	In men's basketball, to establish a preseason practice model, as specified.	Section A: Adopted. Section B: Adopted. Section C, preseason practice: Defeated. Section C, maximum number of contests: Defeated.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2009-100	RECRUITING -- TRYOUTS -- NONSCHOLASTIC PRACTICE, CONTEST OR EVENT -- MEN'S BASKETBALL	NCAA Division I Board of Directors	Immediate; a contract signed before October 29, 2009 may be honored.	In men's basketball, to specify that an institution shall not host, sponsor or conduct a nonscholastic basketball practice, contest or event in which men's basketball prospective student-athletes participate on its campus or at an off-campus facility regularly used by the institution for practice and/or competition by any of the institution's sport programs.	Referred to Men's Basketball Issues Committee. The proposal remains in the legislative process and will be considered again in the 2010-11 legislative cycle. If the proposal is adopted, as noted in the effective date, a contract signed before October 29, 2009, may be honored. If the legislation is adopted, actions contrary to the legislation that are taken pursuant to contracts signed on or after October 29, 2009, will result in violations.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2009-101-1	RECRUITING -- SPORTS CAMPS AND CLINICS -- RECRUITING CONVERSATIONS DURING INSTITUTION'S CAMPS AND CLINICS -- EXCEPTION -- MEN'S BASKETBALL	Ivy Group	Immediate	To amend Proposal No. 2009-101 to specify that, in men's basketball, it is permissible for an institution's men's basketball coaches to engage in recruiting conversations with prospective student-athletes during the institution's men's basketball camps or clinics.	Adopted.
2009-101	RECRUITING -- SPORTS CAMPS AND CLINICS -- RECRUITING DURING INSTITUTION'S CAMPS AND CLINICS -- EXCEPTION -- MEN'S BASKETBALL	NCAA Division I Board of Directors	Immediate	In men's basketball, to specify that it is permissible for an institution's men's basketball coaches to recruit prospective student-athletes during the institution's basketball camps and clinics.	Adopted, as amended by Proposal No. 2009-101-1.
2009-102	RECRUITING -- SPORTS CAMPS AND CLINICS -- EMPLOYMENT AT INSTITUTION'S CAMPS AND CLINICS -- ENROLLED STUDENTS AND INSTITUTIONAL STAFF MEMBERS ONLY -- MEN'S BASKETBALL	NCAA Division I Board of Directors	September 1, 2010	In men's basketball, to specify that an institution shall only employ (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) enrolled students and/or institutional staff members in any capacity at its camps and clinics.	Defeated.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2009-103	ADMINISTRATIVE REGULATIONS -- POSTSEASON BOWL LICENSING -- CONTEST STATUS -- DESERVING TEAM -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL	NCAA Division I Board of Directors (Big 12 Conference)	August 1, 2010	In bowl subdivision football, to specify that for the purpose of postseason bowl eligibility, a "deserving team" shall be defined as one that has won a number of games against Football Bowl Subdivision opponents that is equal to or greater than the number of its overall losses.	Adopted.

Conference/Delegate	Sub-division	Voting Weight	Amend 2009-22	Amend 2009-51-B	2010-2 as non-controversial	2010-2	M-2010-1	M-2010-2	M-2010-3	M-2010-4	M-2010-5	M-2010-6	2009-10-1 (FBS only)	2009-10 (FBS Only)	2009-12-A	2009-14-A	Table women's sand volleyball proposals	2009-29	Amend 2009-30-B (Sport specific)	Amend 2009-30-B (Exclud clerical staff)
America East/C. Doyle	DI	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Atlantic 10/E. Pasque	DI	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N
Atlantic Coast/S. Lyons	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Atlantic Sun/B. Breedlove	DI	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y
Big 12/L. Ebihara	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	N	N	Y
Big East/J. D'Antonio	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y
Big Sky/J. Gee	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y
Big South/M. Eaker	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Big Ten/B. Jaffee	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Big West/C. Masner	DI	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
CAA/S. Groff	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y
C-USA/R. Philippi	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Horizon/E. Jacobs	DI	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Ivy/C. Campbell-McGovern	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	A	Y			Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y
MAAC/W. Maher	DI	1.2	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y
Mid-American/J. Mynarski	FBS	1.5	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y
MEAC/S. Stills	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Missouri Valley/M. Mulvenna	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y
Mountain West/J. Ruggiero	FBS	1.5	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y
Northeast/M. Hefferan	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Ohio Valley/M. Banker	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Pacific-10/K. Koong	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y
Patriot League/P. Muffley	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y
SEC/G. Sankey	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y
Southern/D. King	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Southland/T. Shoemake	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y
SWAC/A. Robinson	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Sun Belt/K. Keene	FBS	1.5	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y
Summit/A. Torain	DI	1.2																		
West Coast/K. Peters	DI	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			N	Y	Y	N	N	N
WAC/R. Spear	FBS	1.5	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Missouri Valley FB/A. Woodrick	FCS	1																		
Pioneer League/B. Collier	FCS	1																		
Adopt/Yes			49.8	48.6	49.8	49.8	48.6	49.8	49.8	49.8	45.6	46.8	3	18	37.8	40.8	48.3	36.9	36	47.4
Defeat/No			0	1.2	0	0	1.2	0	0	0	0	0	24	9	12	9	1.5	12.9	13.8	2.4
Abstain			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Not Present			1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	4.2	4.2	24	24	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2
Total			51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51

Conference/Delegate	Reconsider Amendment to 2009-30-B (Sport-specific)	Amend 2009-30-B (Sport-specific)	2009-30-B, as amended	2009-32-B	Reconsider 2009-32-B	2009-32-B	2009-35 (FBS only)	2009-40	2009-41	Amend 2009-42 (no digital media storage devices)	2009-42	Reconsider 2009-42	2009-42	2009-47-A (FCS only)	2009-47-B	2009-49-1 (FCS only)	2009-49 (FCS only), as amended	2009-50	2009-58
America East/C. Doyle	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y		Y			N	N
Atlantic 10/E. Pasque	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	N	N	N	Y	N		N			Y	A
Atlantic Coast/S. Lyons	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y			N	N
Atlantic Sun/B. Breedlove	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y			N	N
Big 12/L. Ebihara	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	N	N		N			A	N
Big East/J. D'Antonio	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N		Y			N	N
Big Sky/J. Gee	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y		N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N
Big South/M. Eaker	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y		Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	N	Y
Big Ten/B. Jaffee	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N		Y			N	N
Big West/C. Masner	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N		Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y		N			N	N
CAA/S. Groff	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N		Y	N	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N
C-USA/R. Philippi	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Y		N			N	N
Horizon/E. Jacobs	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y			Y	N
Ivy/C. Campbell-McGovern	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N		Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N
MAAC/W. Maher	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y		Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y			N	N
Mid-American/J. Mynarski	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y		N			N	N
MEAC/S. Stills	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N		N	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	N	A
Missouri Valley/M. Mulvenna	Y	Y	Y	N				N	N	N	N				N			N	N
Mountain West/J. Ruggiero	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y		N			Y	Y
Northeast/M. Hefferan	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N		Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N
Ohio Valley/M. Banker	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N
Pacific-10/K. Koong	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	N	N		Y			Y	N
Patriot League/P. Muffley	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N		N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N
SEC/G. Sankey	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N		N			Y	A
Southern/D. King	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N		Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N
Southland/T. Shoemake	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	A
SWAC/A. Robinson	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N
Sun Belt/K. Keene	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	N		Y			N	N
Summit/A. Torain					Y	N						Y	Y						
West Coast/K. Peters	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N		N	Y	N	N	Y	Y		Y			N	N
WAC/R. Spear	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y		Y			Y	A
Missouri Valley FB/A. Woodrick														N		Y	Y		
Pioneer League/B. Collier														N		Y	Y		
Adopt/Yes	49.8	48.3	41.4	28.5	27	30.9	21	31.8	20.1	29.1	24.6	25.5	27.3	0	31.8	13	13	16.2	2.7
Defeat/No	0	1.5	8.4	21.3	22.8	18.9	6	18	29.7	20.7	25.2	24.3	22.5	13	18	0	0	30.6	39
Abstain	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	8.1
Not Present	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	24	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	0	1.2	0	0	1.2	1.2
Total	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	13	51	13	13	51	51

Conference/Delegate	2009-61	2009-62	2009-74	2009-75-B-1	2009-75-B (as amended)	2009-77	2009-79-B	2009-79-C	2009-88	2009-94	2009-97	2009-98 Section A	2009-98 Section B	2009-98 Section C (preseason practice)	2009-98 Section C (maximum number of contests)	2009-78	Refer 2009-100 to Men's Basketball Issues Committee	2009-101-1	2009-101, as amended	2009-102	2009-103 (FBS only)	2010-3 as non-controversial
America East/C. Doyle	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N		Y
Atlantic 10/E. Pasque	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	N		A
Atlantic Coast/S. Lyons	N	Y	N	A	A	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y
Atlantic Sun/B. Breedlove	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N		Y
Big 12/L. Ebihara	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	A	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y
Big East/J. D'Antonio	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Big Sky/J. Gee	N	N	N	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N		Y
Big South/M. Eaker	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N		Y
Big Ten/B. Jaffee	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N
Big West/C. Masner	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	Y	Y	N	N	N		Y
CAA/S. Groff	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y
C-USA/R. Philippi	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	A	Y	Y	Y	Y
Horizon/E. Jacobs	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N		Y
Ivy/C. Campbell-McGovern	Y	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N		Y
MAAC/W. Maher	N	Y	Y	Y	A	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N		Y
Mid-American/J. Mynarski	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y
MEAC/S. Stills	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y
Missouri Valley/M. Mulvenna	Y	N	N	Y	Y	N	Y	N	A	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	Y	A	N	N		
Mountain West/J. Ruggiero	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	A	A	N	N	Y	Y	A	Y	N	Y	Y
Northeast/M. Hefferan	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N		Y
Ohio Valley/M. Banker	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N		Y
Pacific-10/K. Koong	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	A	Y	N	Y	A
Patriot League/P. Muffley	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N		Y
SEC/G. Sankey	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y
Southern/D. King	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N		Y
Southland/T. Shoemake	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y
SWAC/A. Robinson	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N		
Sun Belt/K. Keene	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y
Summit/A. Torain																						Y
West Coast/K. Peters	N	N	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N		Y
WAC/R. Spear	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	A
Missouri Valley FB/A. Woodrick																						
Pioneer League/B. Collier																						
Adopt/Yes	27.6	29.1	29.1	28.5	34.5	35.1	34.8	21.9	41.7	47.4	37.5	25.5	33.9	19.5	11.4	17.7	49.8	34.2	43.2	9.6	22.5	39.9
Defeat/No	22.2	20.7	20.7	18.3	11.1	14.7	15	27.9	6.9	2.4	12.3	22.8	14.4	30.3	35.4	32.1	0	6.9	6.6	40.2	4.5	3
Abstain	0	0	0	3	4.2	0	0	0	1.2	0	0	1.5	1.5	0	3	0	0	8.7	0	0	0	5.7
Not Present	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	24	2.4
Total	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51

Conference/Delegate	2010-3
America East/C. Doyle	Y
Atlantic 10/E. Pasque	A
Atlantic Coast/S. Lyons	Y
Atlantic Sun/B. Breedlove	Y
Big 12/L. Ebihara	Y
Big East/J. D'Antonio	Y
Big Sky/J. Gee	A
Big South/M. Eaker	Y
Big Ten/B. Jaffee	Y
Big West/C. Masner	A
CAA/S. Groff	Y
C-USA/R. Philippi	Y
Horizon/E. Jacobs	Y
Ivy/C. Campbell-McGovern	Y
MAAC/W. Maher	Y
Mid-American/J. Mynarski	Y
MEAC/S. Stills	Y
Missouri Valley/M. Mulvenna	
Mountain West/J. Ruggiero	Y
Northeast/M. Hefferan	Y
Ohio Valley/M. Banker	Y
Pacific-10/K. Koong	Y
Patriot League/P. Muffley	Y
SEC/G. Sankey	Y
Southern/D. King	Y
Southland/T. Shoemake	A
SWAC/A. Robinson	Y
Sun Belt/K. Keene	Y
Summit/A. Torain	Y
West Coast/K. Peters	Y
WAC/R. Spear	Y
Missouri Valley FB/A. Woodrick	
Pioneer League/B. Collier	
Adopt/Yes	45
Defeat/No	0
Abstain	4.8
Not Present	1.2
Total	51

Recommendations for Diversity in the Division I Governance Structure

During its January 16, 2010 meeting, the Board of Directors endorsed the following recommendations from the Leadership Council regarding ways to enhance gender, ethnic minority and positional representation among those individuals serving in the Division I governance structure:

1. Apply the current gender (35 percent) and ethnic minority (20 percent) minimum requirements to **each** council and cabinet (three 31-person bodies and five 21-person bodies).

2. Apply a **new** minimum positional requirement for athletics directors (20 percent) and faculty athletics representatives (20 percent) to **each** council and cabinet independently (eight total groups).

3. Determine that the requirements of "a" and "b" above apply to the combined FCS and Division I representatives for each council and cabinet, effective with FCS and Division I term expirations in April and June 2012. [Note: It is recommended that all the FCS and Division I terms on councils and cabinets expire during the same year in order to enable those conference to work together in submitting nominations that would meet the new diversity requirements.

4. That the Board adopt appropriate emergency legislation in April 2010 to implement these policies.

The Leadership Council recommended that in April, the Board of Directors be presented with a slate of FBS nominations for the eight councils and cabinets that meets the above requirements.

SUPPLEMENT NO. 7
DI Presidential Advisory Group 4/10

A G E N D A

National Collegiate Athletic Association
Division I Board of Directors

The Westin Indianapolis — April 29, 2010
Indianapolis, Indiana — 8 a.m. – 3 p.m.

1. Opening remarks.

2. Minutes of the January 16, 2010, Board of Directors meeting. [Supplement No. 1] [**Anticipated Action Item.]**

3. Report of the January 16, 2010, meeting of the NCAA Executive Committee. [Supplement No. 2] [No action anticipated.]

4. Report of the Division I Presidential Advisory Group April 29, 2009, meeting. [Supplement No. 3.] **[Possible action item.]**

5. Governmental relations report. [Supplement No. 4] [No action anticipated.]

6. Final report of the Football Working Group. [Supplement No. 5 – report presented by Joseph Castiglione, chair.] [**Anticipated Action Item.**]

7. Report from Interim NCAA President Jim Isch. [No action anticipated.]

   - NABC update. (Jim Haney, NABC executive director to provide update.) [Supplement No. 6]

   - Update on presidential search.

   - Media contract.

8. Litigation update. [Supplement No. 7 will be distributed at the meeting.] [No action anticipated.]

9. Report from the Committee on Academic Performance. [Supplement No. 8. An addendum will be distributed at the meeting. – report by Walter Harrison, chair.] [No action anticipated.]

10. Report of new budget initiatives for Division I. [Supplement No. 9] [**Anticipated Action Item.**]

11. Division I Governance Structure Update.

    a. Update from the February cabinet meetings. [Supplement No. 10] [No action anticipated.]

    b. Report of the March 23, 2010, Division I Leadership Council meeting. [Supplement No. 11] [**Anticipated Action Item.**]

    c. Report of the April 12-13, 2010, Division I Legislative Council meeting. [Supplement No. 12 will be distributed in advance of the meeting.] [An agenda is enclosed for your reference.] **[Possible Action Item.]**

        (1) Possible emergency/noncontroversial legislation.

        (2) Report of legislative proposals adopted by the Legislative Council.

            [Note: As a reminder, the Legislative Council will be responsible for considering, and ultimately voting on, all legislative proposals remaining in the annual legislative cycle. Thereafter, the Board has the authority to consider any proposal adopted by the Legislative Council but is not required to examine every adopted proposal. The intent of this process is to allow the Legislative Council to take the final action on the legislative matters necessary for the efficient management of Division I, and to leave to the discretion of the Board the authority to address the broader legislative issues facing the division. After the April 12-13, 2010, Legislative Council meeting, and prior to the Board meeting, the Board will be sent a listing of those proposals adopted by the Legislative Council to assist in preparation for the meeting.]

        (3) Update on requests for override votes on Proposal Nos. 2009-22 and 2009-51-B.

11. Committee on Infractions. [Supplement No. 13] **[Anticipated Action Item.]**

    - Committee appointment.

    - Status report on infractions issues.

12. Governance transitional issues. **[Anticipated Action Items.]**

    a. Appointment of new members to the Division I Board [Supplement No. 14]

    b. Appointment of FBS members to councils and cabinets. [Supplement No. 15A] [Supplement No. 15B will be posted prior to the meeting.]

    c. Appointments to the NCAA Executive Committee.

13. Other business.

14. Future meeting dates.

    a. August 12, 2010, The Westin Indianapolis, Indianapolis, Indiana.

    b. October 28, 2010, The Westin Indianapolis, Indianapolis, Indiana.

    c. January 15, 2011, San Antonio, Texas in conjunction with the 2011 NCAA Convention.

    d. April 28, 2011, The Westin Indianapolis, Indianapolis, Indiana.

    e. August 11, 2011, The Westin Indianapolis, Indianapolis, Indiana.

    f. October 27, 2011, The Westin Indianapolis, Indianapolis, Indiana.

15. Adjournment.

Executive Summary of the
NCAA Division I Committee on Academic Performance April 2010 Meeting
Prepared for the NCAA Division I Board of Directors

1. **Annual NCAA Division I Academic Performance Program (APP) data report.** The NCAA Division I Committee on Academic Performance reviewed a summary of the latest NCAA Division I Academic Progress Rate (APR) data in preparation for this year's public release. In the coming weeks the NCAA will announce team APR, public recognition for top performers and APP.

2. **Feedback for NCAA Division I Football Academic Working Group.** The committee reviewed the report of the Football Academic Working Group and supports the concept of encouraging graduation within four and a half years to synchronize the end of competitive eligibility with graduation for many football student-athletes. However, the committee noted several complications associated with the working group's recommendation to require football student-athletes to complete nine hours in order to be eligible for all games of the subsequent season. Specifically, the committee noted the possible impact to student-athletes attending institutions following quarter-based academic calendars, which will be examined by the NCAA Division I Academic Cabinet in June. Further, the committee noted the need to identify and examine any potential unintended consequences of this rule change, particularly for upperclassmen who may be considering whether to remain in school or depart to pursue professional opportunities (e.g., loss of eligibility for two to four games could factor into decisions to declare for the NFL draft).

3. **NCAA Division I Supplemental Support Fund (SSF).** The committee supported a request for fiscal year 2011 funding of the SSF in the amount of $950,000 allocated from the NCAA Division I Academic Enhancement Fund and understands this request will be reviewed as part of the Association's normal budget process. In addition, the committee directed the staff to provide a detailed, data-driven progress report in April 2011 that will outline the impact of all initiatives funded through the SSF and will provide a qualitative review of institutional progress in implementing APR Improvement Plans.

4. **Examination of APP.** The committee is continuing its comprehensive review of the APP and will focus on the following questions over the next few meetings:

   a. How well is the APR predicting graduation (or progress toward graduation)?

   b. Are teams obtaining high APRs without posting similarly high graduation rates? If so, should the APR be modified to address such cases?

   c. Can we project graduation rates for the next several cohorts based on the current APR data?

d. Can graduation rates associated with particular APR values be more accurately estimated (e.g., at the 900 and 925 benchmarks)?

e. Based on these evaluations, should the APR metric be altered and should the APR penalty benchmarks be changed?

f. Should the current penalty structure be modified in any way to facilitate the goals of academic reform?

FOOTBALL ACADEMIC WORKING GROUP
FINAL REPORT

BACKGROUND

The current one-year average APR in football (948), while up 18 points since 2003-04, is the second lowest of all sports. In April 2008 President Brand requested the establishment of a working group to examine the academic performance of football student-athletes with the goal of identifying strategies for improvement. Chaired by Joseph R. Castiglione, director of athletics at the University of Oklahoma, the working group is composed of 27 individuals, including Presidents, directors of athletics, commissioners, head coaches and faculty athletics representatives. The working group has had two in-person meetings, made presentations at the AFCA and NCAA Conventions and conducted multiple conference calls as a full group and in various subcommittees.

KEY FINDINGS

Research data and discussion of the working group have generated the following key findings regarding the academic performance of Division I college football student-athletes:

1. APR problems tend to be due to eligibility rather than retention issues. The most recent annual data show football with a 936 eligibility rate which is lower than any other sport and over 20 points lower than the eligibility rate in men's basketball.

2. Incoming freshmen in football have lower high school academic profiles (e.g., core GPA, SAT scores) than any other Division I sport besides basketball. Yet, first year college academic performance is worse than all other sports, including basketball.

3. Summer school enrollment is much higher in FBS than FCS. While 98% of FBS institutions and 94% of FCS institutions have at least one football student-athlete in summer school, 81% of all FBS student-athletes who persist into the next year are enrolled in summer school, compared to only 38% of those FCS student-athletes who persist into the next year. There also seems to be a relationship between athletics department budget and the number of credit hours attempted during summer school in FBS.

4. While football student-athletes miss less class time and spend less time away from school than most other sport groups, they reported greater time demands from their sport than student-athletes in all other sports.

5. APR 0-for-2s are more likely to occur in FBS after student-athletes exhaust eligibility and in FCS after the first year of enrollment. Two-year college transfers are more likely to be 0-for-2s than non-transfers and four-year college transfers.

6. Football student-athletes lose a significant number of eligibility points during the season of competition (i.e. in the fall term). When a first-year football student-athlete loses an e-point in the fall term, he is much more likely to lose additional e-points or become an 0-for-2. There is a similar trend for sophomores and juniors.

7. While there is a correlation between athletic department resources and APR for institutions, there is a much stronger correlation between APR and academic preparation of incoming student-athletes as measured by variables such as high school GPA, SAT scores and percentage of 2-4 transfers on a football squad.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. **Fall Term Academic Requirement for Future Competition.** Football student-athletes who do not successfully complete 9 credit hours and earn an eligibility point following either the fall semester or quarter, would be ineligible for participation in the first four games the following football season. However, if the student-athlete has successfully completed 27 credit hours by the end of the summer session he may have his penalty reduced to only the first two games of that season.

   Rationale. As noted in the key finding #1, football APR problems tend to be due to eligibility rather than retention and this recommendation will directly impact eligibility as well as academic progress issues. This recommendation also addresses key findings #2 (improving first-year college performance) and #6 (lost e-points in the fall term and subsequent 0-for2s).

   The working group debated whether the minimum academic requirement should be 6 or 9 credit hours; however, research indicated that of those student-athletes who achieved nine credit hours in the first semester of their freshman year and remained in school through at least the third year, over 72 percent graduated from that institution by the end of the fifth year. By contrast, the graduation rate was 51 percent among a similar group that did not achieve nine credit hours in the fall of their freshman year.

   Additional discussion was held as to whether the 9-hour requirement should be applied to only incoming freshmen or continuing student-athletes as well. Research data indicated that the negative impacts of the loss of eligibility are relatively consistent across all academic classes. For example, data show that 44 percent of freshmen who failed to maintain eligibility in the first term also failed to achieve academic eligibility in the second term as compared to only seven percent of those freshmen who were academically eligible after the first term. Similarly, 38 percent of the sophomores who were ineligible after the first term failed again in the second term of that academic year, compared to less than 10 percent of sophomores who

were eligible after the first term. These ratios for juniors were 35 percent and seven percent for the respective groups.

The group also noted that data from the latest NCAA Graduation Success Rate (GSR) study indicated that although the football bowl subdivision rate is up nearly three points since 1995, the rate has declined or leveled off in recent years. Therefore, it was the group's opinion that a concerted effort must be made to improve Division I football's graduation rate and that nine credit hours should be the minimum academic requirement. It is hoped that such a policy will put more football student-athletes in position to graduate within four and a half years, which may help with key finding #5 (the significant number of 0-for-2 departures after exhausting eligibility).

Originally the committee considered recommending that if a student-athlete did not earn 9 credit hours during the fall semester he would be automatically ineligible for the following football season. However, various constituent groups expressed concern with the severity of the measure and that a process should be available for the student-athlete to allow him to regain his eligibility as long as he met certain academic performance conditions. Therefore, the recommendation includes a four-game suspension and a provision that allows a student-athlete to restore his eligibility for two games as long as he successfully passes 27 credit hours at the end of the summer session, which would place the student-athlete in a better position to graduate in 4 ½ years.

During the various presentations to constituent groups questions were raised as to whether incoming freshmen could count summer "bridge" credit hours toward the 9-hour first term requirement and the 27-credit hour requirement at the end of the summer session. It was the group's decision that incoming freshmen may not count credit hours earned the summer prior to enrollment to meet the 9-hour fall term requirement. However, it was decided that those credit hours earned prior to enrollment may be counted with the summer hours earned after his freshman year to satisfy the 27-credit hour requirement.

Input from the membership also raised the question as to whether remedial courses could be counted toward the 9-hour and 27-hour requirements. It was the position of the working group that for incoming freshmen only remedial courses could be used to meet the 9-hour and 27-hour requirements.

It should be noted the group considered that in addition to requiring football student-athletes to successfully complete 9 credit hours and earn an eligibility point following either the fall semester or quarter that this same requirement be for the spring semester or term as well. However, it was the consensus of the group that requiring 9 credit hours for the spring semester or term was unnecessary since the normal progress-towards-degree requirements for each student-athlete would address this issue.

In addition to the 27-hour rule, any mitigating circumstances that impact a football student-athlete's ability to satisfy these academic requirements will also be considered through the current Division I progress-towards-degree waiver process. Relief should only be provided if it can be demonstrated by supporting documentation that the student-athlete was unable to satisfy these academic requirements due to compelling mitigating circumstances outside the control of the student-athlete and that the student-athlete is on track to graduate within five years.

A policy similar to this, which required a baseball student-athlete to be eligible at the start of the fall semester or quarter in order to be eligible to participate in the following baseball season, was implemented for the 2007-08 year in that sport. It appears that this academic requirement has been very effective in raising the eligibility rate in baseball. In fact, the eligibility rate in baseball increased by 18 points in the year after that rule went into effect. The eligibility rate in the spring term (the term directly affected by the rule in the sport of baseball) went up by over 40 points after this rule was enacted.

Possible impact. If a fall standard of 9 credit hours earned plus the E-point was put into place, 11.8% of MFB players at semester schools who have not exhausted their athletic eligibility would have a four-game penalty in the subsequent season. Approximately 17.1% of MFB players at quarter schools would have a four-game penalty the next season of competition. Note that actual numbers would be expected to be much lower due to (a) students who actually dropped out during that term (they show up as not meeting the enhanced standard here) and (b) students changing their fall academic behavior to meet an enhanced standard.

The following results apply to semester squads only (using data from the 2008-09 academic year):

- 54% of those football student-athletes declared ineligible for competition in the next season would result from the failure to earn their E-point. The additional 46% would lose eligibility due to failure to meet the 9 credit requirement.

- The proportion of football student-athletes currently not meeting the enhanced standard is similar in FBS (12%) and FCS (11%).

- Currently, 20% of football student-athletes with eligibility remaining at HBCUs do not meet the enhanced standards.

- Impacts are lower on private institutions as compared to public institutions.

- The current per squad average impact is about 7.5 players per squad. 15% of football squads have 2 or fewer student-athletes below that standard; roughly one-third of squads have 10 or more players below this standard (maximum=23).

2. **Exhausted Eligibility Departures.** The working group recommends to the Committee on Academic Performance (CAP) that the waiver of the contemporaneous penalty for football bowl subdivision student-athletes that are 0-for-2 after exhausting eligibility be eliminated.

   Rationale. The working group noted that this waiver was originally put in place to mitigate the financial aid impact on prospective student-athletes who sign financial aid agreements and issues created when institutions are required to reduce financial aid as part of a contemporaneous penalty. Because these penalties can be deferred until the following academic year, there is little or no impact on PSAs that have already signed NLIs. The working group also noted research indicating the disproportionate number of 0-for-2s in FBS football compared to other sports and that the elimination of this exception may compel student-athletes and institutions to better manage retention and eligibility issues for student-athletes with exhausted eligibility. This recommendation addresses key finding #5. It should be noted that the FCS subcommittee examined this concept and did not make the same recommendations for FCS institutions.

3. **Time Demands.** The group recommends continued study of the football student-athlete time demands to review what activities are accountable during a 20-hour week and what football-related activities occur outside the 20-hour time frame.

   Rationale. It is the group's belief that the recommendations pertaining to fall eligibility and exhausted eligibility departures will help shift the balance between academics and athletics to more of an emphasis on academics. However, the group recognized this is a complex issue and although current regulations exist that address student-athlete time demands more study of this issue should be conducted to discern the difference between mandatory and voluntary time demands. New data will be available in the spring of 2010 and the working group would like to review this data and devote more time to the study of time demands on football student-athletes.

4. **Two-Year College Transfer Requirements.** Given key findings #5 and #7, the working group supports the efforts of the Academic Cabinet in its effort to develop enhanced academic requirements or a required academic year in residence for two-year college transfers and recommends the development of two-four transfer requirements that more accurately reflect the academic preparation most likely to lead to completion of a four-year degree.

Rationale: Without the necessary data for modeling transfer student-athlete success, the working group was unable to formulate specific, data-driven recommendations to enhance the two-four transfer requirements. However, the Academic Cabinet and Committee on Academic Performance recently implemented measures to collect two-year transfer academic performance data and began a review of potential models in February 2010. Specifically, the working group encourages exploration of concepts such as a core curriculum for two-year college transfers, more precise specifications regarding remediation completed at the two-year institution and limitations on the number of activity courses permitted to meet transfer requirements.

During the group's review of the academic requirement for 2 year college transfers a significant number of the working group expressed concern with the overall lack of academic preparedness of the student athletes in general. Although it was not the charge of this working group to address academic preparedness in other sports the group believes that this topic should be addressed by the association in the future.

5. **Head Coaches' APR Portfolio.** The working group recommends to the Committee on Academic Performance (CAP) that in addition to attributing APR scores of football student athletes to the head coach, the scores also be attributed to the institution's chief executive officer and director of athletics and that additional institutional information (e.g., student body graduation rate, available resources) be included to provide context.

   Rationale. Institutional characteristics, including academic mission, admissions requirements and available resources all work in concert with support and guidance from coaches, administrators and faculty to facilitate student-athlete academic success. Working group members expressed the importance of an examination of all elements contributing to the APR performance of student-athletes including, but not limited to, the involvement of head coaches. Given the working group's interest in providing additional information through the APR Head Coaches' Portfolio, CAP reviewed this draft recommendation in July 2009 and determined that such elements should not be included at this time, given the additional institutional burden that would be placed on institutions to compile and report the information, as well as the complexities related to programming such reports. However, the committee agreed to reconsider these elements as it explores enhancements to the report at the end of the initial two-year phase-in period.

BEST PRACTICES.

The working group believes that most strategies to improve the academic performance of football student-athletes reside in the sharing and implementation of "best practices" among institutions, instead of national policies and requirements. This is consistent with NCAA Strategic Plan Goal 3.2.

Examples can be gleaned from Academic Improvement Plans recently submitted by institutions seeking to improve their APR scores. There are several institutions have successfully improved their APR scores and their strategies should continue to be shared with the membership. This information is available through a searchable database on the NCAA website and accessible via unique institutional logins and passwords.

The Facilitating Learning and Achieving Graduation (FLAG) program should also be emphasized among the best practices. The FLAG is a tool designed to help campuses assess the risk level of all student-athletes, assign suggested services based on risk factors, and evaluate the overall effectiveness of an institution's support services. While the FLAG program has been presented to the Board of Directors and endorsed by the Academic Cabinet, there will be a three-phased implementation of the program beginning 2009-10. Institutions with football programs should be encouraged to fully participate in this program.

Also, the National Association of Academic Advisors for Athletics is planning to develop a best practices program for the efficient academic support of football student-athletes. Upon completion, this information should be included in the final collection of FAWG best practices materials.

Finally, it is suggested that these and other resources be made more conveniently available to access on the NCAA website and supported by technology to facilitate the sharing of best practices between member institutions.

Note, however, that the best practices should be viewed as a place for institutions to begin, not end, as they examine their own policies and practices and that all practices may not work at every institution. It should also be noted that these best practices are models used by other institutions but may not necessarily be supported by research data available to the working group.

FY 2010-11 and FY 2011-12
Executive Summary of New Initiatives

Division I Initiatives (Action)

1. **FY 2010-11 | Distribution to Membership ($33,814,000).** This amount represents an increase of 8.1% going to the Division I membership based on the long-term budget plan approved by the NCAA Executive Committee. For FY 2011-12, distributions will be determined based on the final resolution of the television contract.

2. **FY 2010-11 | NCAA Football Championship Series Bracket Expansion ($250,000).** Championships will move forward with the four team FCS bracket expansion beginning with the 2010 football championship. Division I Board approved the Football Championship Series expansion of 16 teams to 20 teams last budget cycle for planning purposes. Approximately $400,000 was reallocated from championships to fund the expansion. The remaining allocation is the additional funds necessary for the FCS bracket expansion.

3. **FY 2010-11 | Expanded Softball Bat Testing ($83,000).** This initiative would expand the number of bats tested by an additional testing of 60 bats across all Divisions and enhancing the testing process. Resources aligned with student-athlete welfare and safety priorities, and an effective risk management best practice.

4. **FY 2011-12 | Preliminary Round Host Expenses ($50,000).** This amount reflects an increase stipend to more accurately reflect the host institution's costs associated with preliminary round expenses for tennis, men's golf and fencing sites.

5. **FY 2011-12 | Division I Outdoor Track and Field ($45,000).** Men's and Women's Track and Field Committee select the timing groups for the regionals, and this funding will enable the host sites to be reimbursed for the such expenses.

Executive Committee and Presidential New Initiatives (Information)

6. **FY 2010-11 | Men's Basketball Recruiting ($410,000).** Basketball recruiting environment has been a major problem for the NCAA membership. This initiative has been identified as an investment area by the Basketball Focus Group (BFG). These expectations and responsibilities include:

    - Developing specific expertise in and knowledge of the men's basketball recruiting environment through monitoring, investigation, outreach and educational efforts

designated to strengthen the enforcement staff's ability to monitor and enforce compliance with NCAA rules.

- Developing specific expertise in and knowledge of the men's basketball recruiting environment and using that expertise and knowledge in providing support to the basketball focus group staff.

- Developing and investigating cases involving potential violations of NCAA legislation, the compilation and evaluation of evidence of infractions, the drafting of necessary documents, and the oral presentation of information and evidence before the NCAA Committee on Infractions.

6. (continued year two) FY 2011-12 | Men's Basketball Recruiting and Major Enforcement Investigations ($105,000). This is a continuation of the investment in Men's Basketball Recruiting. This position is responsible for developing and investigating cases involving potential violations of NCAA legislation, the compilation and evaluation of evidence of infractions, the drafting of necessary documents, and the oral presentation of information and evidence before the NCAA Committee on Infractions.

FY 2010-11 and FY 2011-12
New Initiatives

		FY 2010-11	FY 2011-12
Division I			
	Proposed Expenditures		
1	Distribution to membership.	$ 33,814,000	TBD
	Division I Championships		
2	NCAA Football Championship Series bracket expansion.	$ 250,000	
3	Expanded softball testing.	$ 83,000	
4	Preliminary round host expenses.		$ 50,000
5	Division I outdoor track and field.		$ 45,000
	Subtotal	**$ 34,147,000**	**$ 95,000**
Executive Committee and Presidential New Initiatives Proposed Expenditure			
	Enforcement		
6	Men's basketball recruiting and major enforcement investigations.	$ 410,000	$ 105,000
	Subtotal	**$ 410,000**	**$ 105,000**
	Total of President's Recommendation for New Initiatives	**$ 34,557,000**	**$ 200,000**

NCAA Outreach Program for First-Time Chancellors and Presidents

Overview of Current Program and Suggestion to Improve Current Program

1. Purpose of Current Program.

    a. Provide first-time chancellors/presidents with important information to familiarize them with college athletics and the NCAA.

    b. Provide first-time chancellors/presidents with resources and information that may help them assert appropriate institutional control over their athletics program.

    c. Promote the NCAA's advocacy and reform agenda and cultivate "champions" for the NCAA.

    d. Lay groundwork for greater presidential involvement in the governance structures.

2. History of Current Program.

    a. Implemented pilot program in 2004-05.

    b. Feedback was overwhelmingly positive – and, as result, program implemented in fall 2005.

    c. Conducted to date:

        (1) 39 on-campus visits.

        (2) NCAA Convention sessions in 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010.

3. Logistics of Current Campus and Convention Sessions.

    a. Campus session.

        (1) New chancellors/presidents from NCAA Division I prefer campus visits.

        (2) "NCAA team" conducts visit.

        (3) Team selected based on a review of the previsit assessment submitted by the new chancellor/president.

(4) Standard visit schedule:

(a) 10 a.m. to noon session with new chancellor/president.

(b) Private lunch for the new chancellor/president and peer chancellor/president.

(c) 1 to 2 p.m. session with new chancellor's/president's cabinet.

(5) Conference office participation is optional.

b. Convention session.

(1) Majority of participants tend to be NCAA Divisions II and III institutions.

(2) Begin session with a 20- to 30-minute general overview and comments from NCAA president.

(3) Followed by 60-90 minute roundtable discussions for each division.

(4) Participants: NCAA staff members, peer chancellors/presidents and new chancellors/presidents.

(5) At the 2010 Convention, sitting chancellors and presidents served as peers.

c. Role of peer chancellors/presidents.

(1) Provide first-hand experience.

(2) Share ideas that may or may not have worked.

(3) Serve as a resource for future, if appropriate.

d. Role of NCAA staff members.

(1) Facilitate discussion.

(2) Assist peer chancellor/president in providing information relative to NCAA policy, legislation, programs, etc.

4. Sitting chancellors/presidents as peers.

REPORT OF THE APRIL 28, 2010, MEETING OF THE DIVISION I PRESIDENTIAL ADVISORY GROUP

ACTION ITEMS.

Division I Membership Standards. The Presidential Advisory Group (PAG) reviewed the Leadership Council's final report of recommendations regarding Division I membership standards, which included the following requirements related to Football Championship Subdivision (FCS) football:

1. That an institution seeking to move from FCS to the Football Bowl Subdivision (FBS) must have a bona fide invitation for membership from a FBS conference.

2. That a super-majority vote (two thirds) be required to revise the current FCS scholarship limit or to modify current FCS membership standards (i.e., scheduling requirements, sports sponsorship requirements).

Recommendation: That the Division I Board of Directors sponsor necessary legislative proposals for implementation of the recommended membership standards beginning in August 2011.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

1. **Introduction of the NCAA President-Elect.** Mark Emmert, NCAA president-elect was introduced to the group. The president-elect addressed the group, noting his appreciation for being selected as the next president of the Association, as well as his anticipation of future challenges.

2. **NCAA Media Contract.** The group received a report from Greg Shaheen, NCAA senior vice president for basketball and business strategies, regarding the general terms of the NCAA's new Turner/CBS media contract. The group discussed possible expansion of the championship field and expressed its support to move to 68 teams. The group offered comments for the Division I Men's Basketball Committee to consider when it discusses possible format for a 68-team championship this spring and summer.

3. **Update on Internal Task Forces**. Jim Isch, interim NCAA president, reviewed key short term task forces that have been formed to evaluate topics of importance to Division I and the national office. It is anticipated that appropriate recommendations from these groups will be reported to the Board of Directors and referred to appropriate groups within the governance structure for consideration.

4. **Update on Legislative Issues.** The group was informed that the Division I Legislative Council reconsidered Proposal Nos. 2009-22 and 2009-51-B, which were subject to override requests from the membership. The Council amended the effective date of Section E (related to delayed enrollment and organized competition) of Proposal No. 2009-22 to August 1, 2011, for sports other than tennis, and August 1, 2012, for tennis. In reviewing Proposal No. 2009-51-B, the Council amended the proposal to apply only to men's and women's basketball. If these

changes are acceptable to the membership, no override votes will be necessary of these matters during the 2011 NCAA Convention.

5. **Report from the Committee on Academic Performance.** The group received an update from Committee on Academic Performance chair Walter Harrison. He noted that during its recent meeting, the committee previewed the latest Academic Performance Rate (APR) data, supported a request to continue the Division I Supplemental Support Fund for fiscal year 2011 and continued its comprehensive review of the Academic Performance Program (APP).

6. **Final Report from the Football Academic Working Group.** Dennis Poppe, NCAA vice president for football and baseball, presented the final report of the Football Academic Working Group. Certain concerns by CAP were reviewed and it was noted further consideration of potential unintended consequences is being encouraged by CAP before legislation is sponsored by the Board of Directors.

7. **Recommendations for Diversity in the New Governance Structure.** The group reviewed the recommendations for diversity in the governance structure that were endorsed by the Board of Directors in January and several commissioners expressed concern that adding positional requirements to the gender and ethnicity requirements will make it extremely difficult for conferences to fill their nomination slates with volunteers who have an appropriate level of knowledge and expertise. PAG noted its support conceptually for the recommendations, but suggested that the Board consider implementing the recommendations in stages, and possibly refer the issue to the Leadership Council to develop alternative recommendations.

8. **Update on the NCAA Outreach Program for First-Time Chancellors and Presidents.** The group received an update on the outreach program and was informed of the positive responses from first-time presidents and chancellors with regard to the role of sitting presidents in the program. The presidents were encouraged to notify staff if they have an interest in participating in the program and a letter will be transmitted to PAG members and commissioners in the near future to solicit participation in the program.

9. **Future Meeting Dates and Times.**

   (1) August 9, 2010, 3 p.m. (Eastern time), conference call.

   (2) October 27, 2010, The Westin Indianapolis, Indianapolis, Indiana.

   (3) January 10, 2011, 3 p.m. (Eastern time), conference call.

Subcommittee chair: Ann Millner, Weber State University
Staff Liaisons: S. David Berst, Division I governance
Jacqueline Campbell, Division I governance

NCAA DIVISION I PRESIDENTIAL ADVISORY GROUP
April 28, 2010, MEETING ATTENDANCE

Presidential Advisory Group members in attendance:
Charles Bantz, Indiana University-Purdue University of Indianapolis
William Beauchamp, University of Portland
Robert Caret, Towson University
Greg Dell'Omo, Robert Morris University
Philip Dubois, University of North Carolina, Charlotte
Robert Fisher, Belmont University
Joanne Glasser, Bradley University
Milton Gordon, California State University, Fullerton
Buster Hagenbeck, United States Military Academy
Walter Harrison, University of Hartford
Penelope Kyle, Radford University
William Meehan, Jacksonville State University
Ann Millner, Weber State University (chair)
Kevin Mullen, Siena College
Kenneth Peacock, Appalachian State University
David Sweet, Youngstown State
Randall Webb, Northwestern State University
George C. Wright, Prairie View A&M University

Commissioners in attendance:
Tom Burnett, Southland Conference
Beth DeBauche, Ohio Valley Conference
Tom Douple, Summit League
Rich Ensor, Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference
Dennis Farrell, Big West Conference
Doug Fullerton, Big Sky Conference
Robin Green, Ivy League
Ted Gumbart, Atlantic Sun Conference
John Iamarino, Southern Conference
Kyle Kallander, Big South Conference
Jon LeCrone, Horizon League
Bernadette McGlade, Atlantic 10 Conference
Noreen Morris, Northeast Conference
Patrick Nero, America East Conference
Carolyn Schlie Femovich, Patriot League
Patty Viverito, Missouri Valley Conference
Tom Yeager, Colonial Athletic Association
Jamie Zaninovich, West Coast Conference

President unable to attend:
Drew Gilpin Faust, president, Harvard University

James Ammons, president, Florida A&M University

Other Visitors.
NCAA president-elect Mark Emmert
Major Neal Mayo, aide-de-camp, superintendent, USMA

NCAA staff Liaisons in attendance:
S. David Berst, NCAA
Jacqueline Campbell, NCAA, recording secretary

Other NCAA staff members in attendance: Elsa Cole, Daniel Calandro, Julie Cromer, Diane Dickman, Jim Isch, Kevin Lennon, Steve Mallonee, Keith Martin, Delise O'Meally, Tom Paskus, Todd Petr, Dennis Poppe, Wallace Renfro, Dave Schnase, Carl Segura, Greg Shaheen.