A G E N D A

National Collegiate Athletic Association

Division I Presidential Advisory Group

Conference call
Dial-In number: 1-800-755-8070
Reservation number: 11122826
Bruce Grube, chair

January 12, 2009
3 p.m. EST

1. Opening remarks.

2. Report of the October 29, 2008, Division I Presidential Advisory Group meeting and highlights from the October 30, 2008, Division I Board of Directors meeting. [Supplement No. 1]

3. President's report.

4. Review of the agenda for the January 17, 2008, Board of Directors meeting. [Supplement No. 2]

    a. Update on the work and recommendations of the Men's Basketball Academic Enhancement Group. [Supplement No. 3]

    b. Status report on the efforts of the Football Academic Group. [Supplement No. 4]

    c. Task Force on Commercial Activity – Statement of Principles. [Supplement No 5 will be distributed via E-mail at a later date.]

    d. Legislative Issues.

        (1) Review of the process regarding the override requests on Proposal No. 2007-30-c. [Supplement No. 6]

        (2) Review of legislative process and discussion of proposals that are of potential interest to the Presidential Advisory Group and the Board of Directors. [Supplement No. 7]

[Note: As a reminder, at its January 14 – 15 meeting, the Legislative Council will be responsible for considering and ultimately voting on, all legislative proposals being considered in the annual legislative cycle. Proposals receiving at least two-thirds support by the Legislative Council at that meeting will be considered adopted but that action will not be considered final until after the conclusion of the Board of Directors January 17 meeting, to help ensure the Board has the appropriate level of oversight. Therefore, pursuant to this process, the Board has the authority to consider any proposal adopted by the Legislative Council but is not required to examine every adopted proposal. The intent of this process is to allow the Legislative Council to take the final action on the day-to-day legislative matters necessary for the efficient management of Division I, and to leave to the discretion of the Board the authority to address the broader legislative issues facing the division. The Presidential Advisory Group can assist the Board in identifying legislative issues appropriate for Board review and possible action.]

5. Update regarding NCAA travel initiatives.

6. Review of 2009 Convention Events. (Supplement No. 8)

7. Plans for the April 29, 2009, in-person meeting of the Division I Presidential Advisory Group.

   a. Suggested discussion items.

   b. Start thinking about selection of new chair.

8. Other business.

9. Future meeting dates and times.

   a. April 29, 2009, National Office, Indianapolis, Indiana.
   b. August, 2009, TBA Conference Call.
   c. October 28, 2009, National Office, Indianapolis, Indiana.

10. Adjournment.

REPORT OF THE OCTOBER 29, 2008, MEETING OF THE DIVISION I PRESIDENTIAL ADVISORY GROUP

Participants:

Charles Bantz, Indiana University/Purdue University - Indianapolis
William Beauchamp, University of Portland
Philip Dubois, University of North Carolina, Charlotte
Robert Fisher, Belmont University
Milton Gordon, California State University, Fullerton
Bruce Grube, Georgia Southern University (chair)
Walter Harrison, University of Hartford,
William (Bill) Meehan, Jacksonville State University
Ann Millner, Weber State University
Kevin Mullen, Siena College
Thomas Powell, Mount St. Mary's University
Randall Webb, Northwestern State University
Myles Brand, NCAA
S. David Berst, NCAA
Beth DeBauche, NCAA, recording secretary

Presidential Advisory Group members unable to participate were: Al Bowman, Robert Caret, Rebecca Chopp, Anthony DiGiorgio, William Harvey, Ronald Mason, David Sweet and James Wright.

Participating during portions of the meeting, NCAA staff members: Joni Comstock, Megan Coyne, Julie Cromer, Diane Dickman, Jim Isch, Damani Leech, Kevin Lennon, Steve Mallonee, Todd Petr, Dennie Poppe and Bill Regan.

1. Opening remarks. The chair recognized David Sweet, president of Youngtown State, and William Harvey, president of Hampton University, as new members of the Presidential Advisory Group.

2. **Report of the August 4, 2008, Division I Presidential Advisory Group conference call and highlights from the August 7, 2008, Division I Board of Directors meeting**. The group briefly reviewed actions from the August 7, 2008, Division I Board of Directors meeting relative to issues discussed by the Division I Presidential Advisory Group (PAG) during its conference call on August 4, 2008.

3. **Report of the August 7 meeting of the NCAA Executive Committee.** Bernard Franklin and Delise O'Meally provided the report of the August 7 meeting, as well as, an overview of the Executive Committee's October 30 meeting. As part of this report, the PAG learned more about the NCAA's new Conflict of Interest policy.

4. **NCAA President's report**. Dr. Brand provided the group with an update in which he highlighted the following:

a. Update regarding sportsmanship initiatives. The group learned that a subgroup of the Board has been put together to examine and assist with the initiatives of the Committee on Sportsmanship and Ethical Conduct.

b. Status report of the efforts of the Division I Task Force on Commercial Activity in Intercollegiate Athletics. The group learned that this task force has worked over the course of the summer and fall to develop a statement of principles regarding Commercial Activity in Intercollegiate Athletics to assist institutions, conferences and the national office in addressing these complex issues. Once this preliminary statement is developed, the plan is to share it with the Board of Directors and Presidential Advisory Group and then ask the Leadership Council to examine the statement of principles and fill in any necessary details regarding the application of these principles.

c. Discussion regarding value-based decision making. Dr. Brand informed the group that the Board will have an opportunity for an in-depth discussion regarding the need for making decisions about intercollegiate athletics that are based on the values of higher education. As part of the conversation, it is anticipated the Board will seek to identify the values of higher education that pertain to intercollegiate athletics and will consider how best to bring these values to bear both at the national and local levels.

5. **Review of the agenda for the October 30, 2008, Board of Directors meeting.**

a. Report from the Committee on Academic Performance meeting. The group received a report from the chair of the Committee on Academic Performance (CAP) on that group's October 27-28, 2008, meeting and learned that:

(1) Occasion-Three and Four Historical Penalties Waver/Appeal procedure. During its August 2008 meeting, the Board raised several issues and recommendations regarding the APP Occasion-Three and Four Historical Penalty waiver policies and procedures. Based on those recommendations, a series of edits were suggested

(2) Update regarding establishment of subgroup to examine APR data following coaches. The group learned that the Committee on Academic Performance would like more time to consider a recommendation from a subgroup of the Board of Directors that the head coaches names be collected through the NCAA Division I Academic Performance Program data collection program starting

with the fall 2009 data collection and that the head coach's name(s) be published for each APR year as part of each institution's public report suite. That as part of this reporting, in instances in which more than one head coach was employed in a given year, the report would indicate the names of all head coaches.

(3) Interest in collecting more data regarding two-year transfer student-athletes.

b. Update on the work of the Division I Men's Basketball Academic Enhancement Group. The Presidential Advisory Group received an update on the ongoing work of the Men's Basketball Academic Enhancement Group, which was charged by the Board to not only identify characteristics that may improve the academic performance of Division I men's basketball student-athletes but to suggest changes that would enhance the academic progress and graduation rates of those student-athletes. The group learned that the Basketball Academic Enhancement Group is next expected to meet on December 3 to continue its work. Presidential Advisory Group members offered the following comments:

c. Championships travel initiatives. NCAA Senior Vice-Presidents Joni Comstock and Jim Isch joined the meeting to discuss recent travel industry trends and to review a series of recommendations related to travel issues. During the presentation, they noted that NCAA championships have been impacted by significant changes in the travel industry as illustrated by the fact travel costs increased approximately 31 percent in Division I since last year. Contributing to the high cost of championships transportation has been a reduction in flight capacity. Accordingly, a series of recommendations have been developed that fall within three tiers based on the level of approval required. Tier-one issues include measures the staff has begun to implement through the application of revised travel administrative procedures, Tier-two recommendations that expanded the fly/drive mileage threshold for fall 2008 championships, which were approved by the Division I Championships/Sports Management Cabinet, and Tier-three recommendations that are being reviewed by the membership right now and may include some legislative changes.

6. Update from the Division I Governance Structure.

a. News from the Cabinet and Council meetings. Beth DeBauche provided the PAG with a status report from the new Division I governance structure in which she highlighted the important agenda items identified by the cabinets and councils. As part of this report, she indicated those serving within the structure not only appear to

be enthusiastic about their involvement in the structure but seem committed to enhancing the level of communication and coordination in the structure.

b. Legislative issues of interest in the 2008-09 annual cycle. Staff liaisons David Berst and Beth DeBauche briefly reviewed the modified legislative process whereby the Board of Directors has ultimate legislative authority to consider any proposal in the annual legislative cycle but does not have to consider every proposal, as the Legislative Council will provide a level of review. Thereafter, they highlighted proposals in the cycle that they thought would be of interest to the presidents, including:

Proposal No. 2008-32 ELIGIBILITY -- GENERAL ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS -- FULL-TIME ENROLLMENT -- REQUIREMENT FOR COMPETITION -- NONTRADITIONAL COURSES Intent: To specify that enrollment in a nontraditional course (e.g., distance-learning, correspondence, extension, Internet courses, independent study or any other course or credit that is not earned in a typical face-to-face classroom environment with regular contact hours between the instructor and the student) offered by the certifying institution may be used to satisfy the full-time enrollment requirement for competition, provided the specified conditions are met.

Proposal No. 2008-34 ELIGIBILITY -- FRESHMAN ACADEMIC REQUIREMENTS -- CORE CURRICULUM TIME LIMITATION -- STUDENTS WITH EDUCATION IMPACTING DISABILITIES Intent: To specify that if a prospective student-athlete with a diagnosed education-impacting disability graduates from high school within the core-curriculum time limitation, he or she may use up to three core courses completed after high school graduation to satisfy the core-curriculum or minimum grade-point average requirements, or both.

Proposal No. 2008-35 ELIGIBILITY -- PROGRESS-TOWARD-DEGREE REQUIREMENTS -- REGULATIONS FOR ADMINISTRATION OF PROGRESS TOWARD DEGREE -- NONTRADITIONAL COURSES Intent: To specify that nontraditional courses (e.g., distance-learning, correspondence, extension, Internet courses, independent study or any other course or credit that is not earned in a typical face-to-face classroom environment with regular contact hours between the instructor and the student) completed at a four-year institution other than the certifying institution, may be used to meet credit hour and percentage-of-degree requirements, provided the specified conditions are met.

Proposal No. 2008-40 FINANCIAL AID -- MAXIMUM INSTITUTIONAL GRANT-IN-AID LIMITATIONS BY SPORT -- WOMEN'S VOLLEYBALL

Intent: In women's volleyball, to increase the maximum institutional grant-in-aid limitation from 12 to 13.

Proposal No. 2008-41 FINANCIAL AID -- MAXIMUM INSTITUTIONAL GRANT-IN-AID LIMITATIONS BY SPORT -- BASEBALL Intent: In baseball, to increase the annual limit on the value of financial aid awards (equivalencies) to counters from 11.7 to 14.

Proposal No. 2008-46 PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- BASEBALL -- PRESEASON PRACTICE AND FIRST CONTEST -- CHAMPIONSHIP SEGMENT Intent: In baseball, to specify that in the championship segment, an institution shall not commence practice sessions prior to the Friday that is three weeks prior to the first permissible contest date for the championship segment and shall not play its first contest (game or scrimmage) with outside competition prior to the Friday in February that is 14 weeks before the Friday immediately preceding Memorial Day.

Proposal No. 2008-48 PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- BASEBALL -- MAXIMUM NUMBER OF CONTESTS -- 52 Intent: In baseball, to reduce the maximum number of contests from 56 to 52.

Proposal No. 2008-59 DIVISION MEMBERSHIP -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- EMERGING SPORTS FOR WOMEN -- ARCHERY, BADMINTON, SYNCHRONIZED SWIMMING, TEAM HANDBALL AND SAND VOLLEYBALL Intent: To add sand volleyball as an emerging sport for women; further, to remove archery, badminton, synchronized swimming and team handball as emerging sports for women and to eliminate other specified legislation associated with these sports (e.g., playing and practice season regulations, sports sponsorship minimum contest and participant requirements).

Proposal No. 2008-62 COMMITTEES -- COMMITTEES REPORTING TO BOARD OF DIRECTORS -- DIVISION I PRESIDENTIAL ADVISORY GROUP -- DUTIES -- LEGISLATIVE ISSUES Intent: To specify that members of the NCAA Division I Presidential Advisory Group who represent Football Championship Subdivision conferences shall have the authority to act on behalf of the Football Championship Subdivision members of the Board of Directors on legislative issues that relate to championship subdivision football, subject to review by the full Board of Directors.

Proposal No. 2008-70 EXECUTIVE REGULATIONS -- SELECTION OF TEAMS AND INDIVIDUALS FOR CHAMPIONSHIPS PARTICIPATION -- AUTOMATIC QUALIFICATION -- ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS, SPORTS OTHER THAN MEN'S BASKETBALL -- MULTISPORT

CONFERENCE -- DEFINITION OF CORE INSTITUTION Intent: In sports other than men's basketball, to specify that for the purposes of multisport conference eligibility for automatic qualification in a particular sport, a core institution is an institution that has been an active member of Division I during the eight preceding years or an institution that has sponsored the sport at the Division I level the eight preceding years.

Proposal No. 2008-71 EXECUTIVE REGULATIONS -- SELECTION OF TEAMS AND INDIVIDUALS FOR CHAMPIONSHIPS PARTICIPATION -- AUTOMATIC QUALIFICATION --ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS, SPORTS OTHER THAN MEN'S BASKETBALL -- MULTISPORT CONFERENCE -- EXCEPTION -- MEMBER THAT HAS SPONSORED THE SPORT AT THE DIVISION I LEVEL THE EIGHT PRECEDING YEARS Intent: In sports other than men's basketball, to specify that a multisport conference that falls below six core members may be considered for automatic qualification in that sport, provided the conference adds an institution to its membership (for a total of at least six members) that has sponsored the sport for the preceding eight years at the Division I level and at least six institutions (including the added institution) have conducted conference competition together at the Division I level in the sport the preceding two years.

Proposal No. 2008-72 EXECUTIVE REGULATIONS -- SELECTION OF TEAMS AND INDIVIDUALS FOR CHAMPIONSHIPS PARTICIPATION -- AUTOMATIC QUALIFICATION -- ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS, SPORTS OTHER THAN MEN'S BASKETBALL -- MULTISPORT CONFERENCE -- EXCEPTION -- SPORTS SPONSORSHIP Intent: In sports other than men's basketball, to specify that a multisport conference that adds a conference-sponsored sport may be considered for automatic qualification in that sport, provided at least six institutions have conducted conference competition together at the Division I level for the preceding two years and the sport is sponsored by less than 65 percent of the Division I membership.

c. Other legislative matters of interest. David Berst discussed the status of Proposal No. 2007-109, which was tabled by the Board of Directors at its August 2008 meeting to determine if an alternative approach could be identified. David reported that for every NCAA championship, the NCAA's footage/archival provider and each of the NCAA's broadcast partners have reviewed and consented to a model under which the current videographer credential would be broadened in scope to allow the access and coverage intended in 2007-109. Under this policy, thought videographers will not be permitted access to the area designated for media photographers, rather the videographer location will be courtside/fieldside with the credentialed media photographers, as determined by the sport committee over each championship,

consistent with current practice. Further, the institutional videographers will be permitted to shoot in the locker room, with the caveat that NCAA broadcast rights holders may assert a right to have access in such cases.

d. 2009 NCAA Convention schedule and updates. The group was encouraged to attend the 2009 NCAA Convention in Washington, D.C and briefly reviewed a schedule of key events, set forth below:

Thursday, January 15

- Opening Business Session. (4 – 5:30 p.m.)
- Delegates Reception and Honors Celebration. (6 – 10 p.m.)

- Division I Business Session – At the conclusion of the Legislative Forum. [Note: Vote on the override of Proposal Nos. 2006-40 and 2006-87 will occur during the Division I Business Session.]
- Association-wide Membership Issues Forum. (2:30 – 3:30 p.m.)
- Opening Business Session. (4 – 5:30 p.m.)
- Trade Show. (5:30 – 6:30 p.m.)

Friday, January 16

- Division I Issues/Legislative Forum. (9 - 11:30 a.m.)
- BOD/Leadership Council/Legislative Council Luncheon. (11:30 a.m. – 1 p.m.)
- Division I Issues/Legislative Forum (cont.) (1 – 3 p.m.)
- Division I Business Session – At the conclusion of the Legislative Forum. [Note: Vote on the override of Proposal No. 2007-30-c will occur during the Division I Business Session.]

Saturday, January 17

- Joint SAAC/BOD breakfast. (8 – 9:30 a.m.)
- Board of Directors meeting. (9:30 a.m. – 5 p.m.)
- Executive Committee meeting. (5:30 – 7:30 p.m.)
- President's Reception. (7:30 – 9 p.m.)

b. Review of override process. The group was informed that at its August meeting, the Board of Directors determined to sustain its previous adoption of Proposal No. 2007-30-c. Accordingly, a vote of the active Division I membership will occur on January 16, 2008, during the Division I Business Session at the NCAA Convention. At least a

five-eighths majority vote of individual active members present and voting shall be required to override the legislative action taken by the Board. The vote shall be based on the principle of one institution, one vote and the vote shall be taken by roll call.

7. **FCS Football and the Division I Football Championship update**. Dennie Poppe and Damani Leech joined the group to discuss the recommendation of the Division I Football Championship Committee, which was recently approved by the Championships/Sports Management Cabinet to amend the date formula for the Division I Football Championship. Pursuant to this amendment, the championship game will occur annually during a window between December 29 and the day before the BCS National Championship game. This recommendation comes in response to an earlier action by the Championships/Sport Management Cabinet to expand the championships bracket from 16 to 20 teams in 2010. Under the current date formula, the bracket expansion was going to result in either the elimination of the regular season bye week or starting the regular season one week earlier. Therefore, the modification of the championship date formula appeared to the Cabinet to be the best way to accommodate bracket expansion. It was also noted during this discussion that efforts are being made to enhance the brand image for not only the Division I Football Championship but the Football Championship Subdivision.

8. **Future meeting dates and times.** The next conference call of the group is scheduled for January 12, 2009, at 3 p.m. Eastern. Group members are encouraged to send agenda topics to staff liaison Beth DeBauche.

Subcommittee chair: Bruce Grube, Georgia Southern University
Staff Liaisons: S. David Berst, Division I governance
Beth DeBauche, Division I governance

MINUTES OF THE

NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION

DIVISION I BOARD OF DIRECTORS

NCAA National Office
Indianapolis, Indiana

October 29 - 30, 2008

Participants:

Michael Adams, University of Georgia, Southeastern Conference
Charles Bantz, Indiana University-Purdue University at Indianapolis, Summit League
James Barker, Clemson University, Atlantic Coast Conference (chair)
William Beauchamp, University of Portland, West Coast Conference
Frank Brogan, Florida Atlantic University, Sun Belt Conference
Robert Bruininks, University of Minnesota, Big Ten Conference
Bruce Grube, Georgia Southern University, Southern Conference
Robert Kustra, Boise State University, Western Athletic Conference
Kevin Mullen, Siena College, Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference
Harvey Perlman, University of Nebraska, Big Twelve Conference
John Peters, Northern Illinois University, Mid-American Conference
Thomas Powell, Mount St. Mary's University, Northeast Conference
Shirley Raines, University of Memphis, Conference USA
David Schmidly, University of New Mexico, Mountain West Conference
Nancy Zimpher, University of Cincinnati, Big East Conference
S. David Berst, NCAA
Myles Brand, NCAA
Beth DeBauche, NCAA, recording secretary
Bernard Franklin, NCAA

[NOTE: Anthony Di Giorgio, Winthrop University, Big South Conference was unable to participate. Edward Ray, Oregon State University, Pacific-10 Conference participated via onference call.

Also in attendance were Damon Evans, University of Georgia, Southeastern Conference, chair of the Division I Leadership Council and Joe D'Antonio, Big East Conference, chair of the Division I Legislative Council.

NCAA staff members Scott Bearby, Erik Christianson, Elsa Cole, Joni Comstock, Megan Coyne, Julie Cromer, Dennis Cryder, Abe Frank, Lynn Holzman, Michelle Hosick, Jim Isch, Tom Jernstedt, Kevin Lennon, Steve Mallonee, Delise O'Meally, Tom Paskus, Todd Petr, Bill Regan, Wallace Renfro, Greg Shaheen, Robert Vowels, Charlotte Westerhaus and Bob Williams were also present during portions of the meeting.

[NOTE: Please refer to the report of the October 29 - 30, 2008, Division I Board of Directors meeting for the actions taken (formal votes or "sense of the meeting"), in accordance with the NCAA policy governing the minutes of all Association entities. While certain items on the Board's agenda were acted upon at various times throughout the meeting, all final actions within a given topic are combined in the report for convenience of reference. The information contained in this document includes only those items that are not contained in the report of the Board of Directors (Attachment No. 1).]

Session 1

The meeting was called to order at 6:40 p.m. on October 29, 2008, by the chair, President Barker. All were present as noted above.

1. Opening remarks. James Barker welcomed the members to this special session.

2. **Presentation and discussion regarding value-based decision making.** Myles Brand led this discussion for the Board. Greg Curtner was also introduced to the group.

Session 2

The meeting was called back to order at 8 a.m. on October 30, 2008, by the chair, President Barker. All were present as noted above.

1. Opening remarks. James Barker acknowledged David Schmidly who was in attendance at his first Board of Directors meeting and welcomed Joe D'Antonio the new chair of the Division I Legislative Council.

2. Executive Committee. Staff liaisons Bernard Franklin and Delise O'Meally provided a review of the committee's August 7, 2008, meeting along with a preview of the committee's October 30, 2008, meeting.

3. President's Report. NCAA President Myles Brand provided his quarterly report to the Board of Directors, in which he discussed, among other things, the work of the Task Force on Commercial Activity in Intercollegiate Athletics and the ongoing efforts to address the problem of negative fan behavior.

4. **Litigation Update.** Elsa Cole, general counsel, provided this report to the Board.

5. **Governmental relations report.** NCAA Managing Director for Governmental Relations Abe Frank presented this report.

6. **Report from the Division I Committee on Infractions and the Infractions Appeals Committee.** Former Committee on Infractions chair and University of Nebraska law professor Jo Potuto and attorney Chris Griffin, chair of the Infractions Appeals Committee, provided the Board with an overview of the committees' charge and reviewed a number of suggested procedural changes.

7. **Report of the Division I Presidential Advisory Group (PAG).** The Division I Presidential Advisory Group chair, Bruce Grube, provided an overview of the group's October 29, 2008, meeting.

8. **Report of the Committee on Academic Performance (CAP)**. Walter Harrison, as chair of the Committee on Academic Performance, presented this report. Additionally, Kevin Lennon, Todd Petr, Julie Cromer, and Diane Dickman of the NCAA staff were present to assist President Harrison in discussing the committee's work.

9. **Overview of the preliminary efforts of the Basketball Academic Enhancement Group.** Staff liaison David Berst provided an overview of the Basketball Academic Enhancement Groups efforts and he explained that the group hopes to provide recommendations to the Board for review by April 2009.

10. **News from the Division I Governance Structure.** Damon Evans, chair of the Leadership Council and the Communications and Coordination Committee, provided the Board with an update from the new governance structure.

11. **Report of the October 20-21, 2008, Division I Legislative Council.** Joe D'Antonio, as the new chair of the Legislative Council, presented the report from the Legislative Council's first meeting.

12. **Legislative issues of interest in the 2008-09 annual cycle**. Staff liaison Beth DeBauche reviewed the new legislative process with the Board and highlighted a few legislative

proposals in the 2008-09 legislative cycle that appeared to rise to the level of presidential interest.

13. **Proposal No. 2007-109 Executive Regulations – NCAA Properties and Marketing Restrictions – Exception for Institutions Participating in NCAA Championship Events – Number of and Access of Videographers and Photographer.** NCAA Senior Vice President Greg Shaheen updated the Board regarding efforts to reach a compromise policy regarding the use of video and access for videographers at NCAA Championships under current legislation.

14. **Financial Overview.** NCAA senior vice president for administration and CFO Jim Isch provided an update regarding the general financial landscape for intercollegiate athletics in these volatile financial times.

15. **Update regarding championships travel initiatives.** Senior Vice President for Championships and SWA Joni Comstock and Managing Director Dave Schnase shared information about initiatives that have been, and will be, considered to address difficulties and expenses associated with championships travel.

16. **Appeal from the University of California – Davis.** Staff liaison David Berst reviewed correspondence and pertinent points submitted by the University of California – Davis related to the university's request to receive a portion of the Division I broad-based revenue distribution.

17. **Adjournment.** President Barker adjourned the meeting at 2:45 p.m.

# # # # #

A G E N D A

National Collegiate Athletic Association
Division I Board of Directors

Gaylord National Resort & Convention Center
National Harbor, Maryland

January 17, 2009
8 a.m. – 5 p.m.

NOTE: The Board is scheduled to participate in the following functions with other Division I governance bodies in advance of the Board of Directors meeting:

Board of Directors/Leadership Council/Legislative Council Luncheon
Friday, January 16 (11:30 a.m. – 1 p.m.)

Joint Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee/
Board of Directors Breakfast Saturday, January 17 (8 – 9:30 a.m.)

1. Opening remarks.

2. Minutes of the October 29 – 30, 2008, Board of Directors meeting. [Supplement No. 1] [**Anticipated Action Item]**

3. Report of the October 30, 2008, meeting of the NCAA Executive Committee. [Supplement No. 2] [No action anticipated.]

4. NCAA president's report. [No action anticipated.]

   - Status report regarding Youth Basketball Initiative.

5. Litigation update. [Supplement No. 3 will be distributed at the meeting.] [No action anticipated.]

6. Governmental relations report. [Supplement No. 4] [No action anticipated.]

7. Report of the Division I Presidential Advisory Group January 12 conference call. [Supplement No. 5. An addendum will be distributed at the meeting.] [No action anticipated – report by Bruce Grube, chair.]

8. Task Force on Commercial Activities –Principles. [Supplement No 6 will be distributed via e-mail at a later date and distributed at the meeting.] [Anticipated to refer report to the Leadership Council]

9. Update on the work and recommendations of the Men's Basketball Academic Enhancement Group. [Supplement No. 7] [No action anticipated.]

10. Status report on the efforts of the Football Academic Working Group. [Supplement No. 8] [No action anticipated.]

11. Report from the Working Group Examining Issues Associated with Academic Risk. [Supplement No. 9 will be distributed at the meeting.] [No action anticipated – report by Sidney McPhee, chair.]

12. Division I Governance Structure Update.

    a. Update from the substructure, including a report from the Communications and Coordination Committee. [Supplement No. 10] [No action anticipated.]

    b. Report of the January 15, 2009, Division I Leadership Council. [Supplement No. 11. An addendum will be distributed at the meeting.] [No action anticipated.]

    c. Report of the January 14 – 15, 2009, Division I Legislative Council. [Supplement No. 12. An addendum will be distributed at the meeting.] [Anticipated Action Item]

        (1) Possible emergency/noncontroversial legislation.

        (2) Proposals of potential interest to the Board of Directors.

        [Note: As a reminder, at its January 14 – 15 meeting, the Legislative Council will be responsible for considering, and ultimately voting on, all legislative proposals being considered in the annual legislative cycle. Proposals receiving at least two-thirds support by the Legislative Council at that meeting will be

considered adopted but that action will not be considered final until after the conclusion of the Board of Directors January 17 meeting, to help ensure the Board has the appropriate level of oversight. Therefore, pursuant to this process, the Board has the authority to consider any proposal adopted by the Legislative Council but is not required to examine every adopted proposal. The intent of this process is to allow the Legislative Council to take the final action on the day-to-day legislative matters necessary for the efficient management of Division I, and to leave to the discretion of the Board the authority to address the broader legislative issues facing the division. The Presidential Advisory Group can assist the Board in identifying legislative issues appropriate for Board review and possible action.]

(2) Report of legislative proposals adopted by the Legislative Council.

d. Modification to Bylaw 32.6.2.

13. New NCAA Sportsmanship Initiatives. [Supplement No. 13] [No action anticipated.]

14. Update regarding championships travel initiatives. [Supplement No. 14] [No action anticipated.]

15. Future meeting dates.

a. April 30, 2009, National Office, Indianapolis, Indiana.
b. August 6, 2009, National Office, Indianapolis, Indiana.
c. October 29, 2009, National Office, Indianapolis, Indiana.

16. Adjournment.

Division I Men's Basketball Academic Enhancement Group
Executive Summary
(December 3, 2008, meeting)

The following information provides a summary of the discussion that occurred at the Division I Basketball Academic Enhancement Group's December 3, 2008, meeting in Chicago,, Illinois. This summary will provide an update of the positions previously supported by the group as reflected in its September 15, 2008, progress report.

1. Academic Progress Rate Policies.

a. The group agreed not to make any recommendations at this time to the Committee on Athletic Performance regarding potential changes in the calculation of the academic progress rates in men's basketball.

b. The group received feedback from several conferences and academic associations expressing concern regarding preliminary recommendations to the current policies set forth in the group's September 15 progress report as they may dilute the original intent of the academic performance program. * The group agreed that the "historical" sanctions currently being imposed by the Committee on Athletics Performance would likely facilitate change in the academic performance and /or profile of Division I men's basketball student-athletes.

c. The group noted that the Committee on Academic Performance continues to examine these issues in consultation with the group's "0 for 2 Phenomenon" subcommittee and that the group would delay further discussion on this issue until its April meeting to allow appropriate groups to discuss and determine if there is merit to any further policy changes or adjustment in the academic progress rate calculation for Division I men's basketball student-athletes.

2. Mandatory Summer School.

a. The group noted concerns rose by many conferences regarding a strict two-year mandatory summer school attendance requirement and moved away from support of such a concept. The group expressed support for mandatory summer school attendance based on the academic profile and academic performance of individual student-athletes and institutional men's basketball teams.

b. The group expressed some preliminary support for a model that would require mandatory summer school attendance for those entering student-athletes who were determined by the institution to be "at risk" students as defined by the institution. Mandatory summer school attendance for continuing students the summer immediately following their initial year of regular enrollment would be based on the men's basketball team/or individuals failure to meet benchmark academic progress rate standards. The group agreed to continue to review the data related to benchmark standards as it continues to discuss the merits of this new approach.

c. The group continued to support the greater "access" concept as well as the continuing eligibility requirements previously set forth in the September 15 progress report. However, it was noted that issues/concerns regarding the appearance of being rewarded for achieving a lower academic progress rate since those required to attend summer school for failure to meet required academic benchmarks would in essence have greater access to work with their coaches. The group agreed to continue further discussion of an equitable access concept at its next meeting.

3. Playing and Practice Seasons.

a. The group expressed support for a more staggered concept for the beginning of on-court preseason basketball practice that would begin October 1 and provide for gradual increase in on-court practice between October 1 through October 15 (with two days off each week), at which time the current 20 hours per week of on-court practice (with one day off per week) would be applicable.

b. The group supported the implementation of institutional policies related missed class time due to athletics participation, including the required approval by the faculty athletic representative or faculty athletics committee of individual athletics participation schedules that specify the amount of missed class time due to athletics participation. The group also recommended modifications to preclude missed class time in conjunction with informal preseason practice scrimmages and exhibition games.

[Note: There is a proposal in the current legislative cycle to be voted on by the Division I Legislation Council in January 2009 to preclude missed class time in conjunction with informal preseason practice scrimmages.]

c. The group supported a recommendation to decrease the maximum number of contests by one, thus permitting institutions to engage in a maximum of 28 regular season contests or 26 regular season contests and one qualifying regular-season multiple-team event. Such competition shall be conducted over a 15 week period.

d. The group agreed that as a best practice, institutions should be strongly encouraged to participate in no more than an average of two games per week (Monday through Sunday) while classes are in session, should not participate in any games during the week of final examinations as defined in the institution's catalog and should not participate in more than one away-from-home regular season conference game Monday through Friday of any given week. The group noted that these would not be legislative requirements, but agreed that conference offices should monitor these practices and require documentation from institutions not meeting them prior to the beginning of the season that provides an explanation as to noncompliance and a future plan to meet such practices.

4. Academic Preparedness.

a. The group continued to discuss the concept of a "year of readiness" for entering men's basketball student-athletes who may satisfy NCAA initial eligibility standards, but do not satisfy institutional admissions standards and are not predicted to succeed academically and graduate in a timely manner. Some recruiting equity concerns were raised if national standards are not established to determine those student-athletes who would be subject to the "year of readiness." It should be noted that student-athletes would be eligible for financial aid and to practice at home during such a year. The group directed the staff to continue to gather data for review at its next meeting to assist the group in examining potential standards as well as the composition of any impacted group.

b. The group also continued to discuss the concept of a "year of readiness" for two-year college transfer student-athletes. Discussion related to whether both qualifiers and non-qualifiers should be subject to the "year of readiness" and what requirements should be satisfied while in attendance at the two-year college in order to be eligible immediately on transfer to a Division I institution. It was agreed that staff should provide additional data to be reviewed by the group at its next meeting regarding how

many two-year college transfers are non-qualifiers and how such individuals are performing academically at the Division I institution.

c. The group continued to support the concepts set forth in its September 15 progress report related to the collection of research data, the continued review of the use of nontraditional courses to meet transfer requirements and the limitations on the use of physical education activities courses to meet two-year college transfer requirements.

d. The group continued to discuss the appropriate mechanisms for academically unprepared student-athletes who enroll in two year colleges to remediate deficiencies in order to eventually graduate from a Division I institution.

5. Timeline for Completion of Work.

a. Available committee members may be called upon to meet at the 2009 NCAA Convention, and the full committee will meet in conjunction with the Division I Men's Basketball Championship. The group anticipates completing its work by the conclusion of the academic year.

b. The group will forward recommendations to the Division I Board of Directors for consideration at its August or October 2009 meetings with the hope that legislative items will be included in the 2009-10 legislative cycle and voted on initially by the Legislative Council at its January 2010 meeting.

c. The group noted that conferences will continue to be provided updates and progress reports to facilitate the opportunity to provide feedback related to the group's proposed recommendations.

A G E N D A

National Collegiate Athletic Association

Football Academic Working Group
Conference Call

Dial-In - 800/213-0326
Passcode - 277069

December 17, 2008

1. Introductions and opening comments. (Joseph Castiglione)

2. Eligibility subcommittees' reports.

   a. Football Bowl Subdivision. (Rhonda Hatcher)

   b. Football Championship Subdivision. (Alan Hauser)

3. Retention subcommittees' reports.

   a. Football Bowl Subdivision. (Brad Bates)

   b. Football Championship Subdivision. (Joe Sterrett)

4. Finances subcommittees' reports.

   a. Football Bowl Subdivision. (Warde Manuel)

   b. Football Championship Subdivision. (Peter Fields)

5. Discussion of subcommittee reports.

6. Date and site of in-person meeting.

7. Other business.

NCAA/12/12/08/DLP:kg

Football Academic Working Group
July 18, 2008, Meeting Executive Summary

1. APR General Trends. Since 2003-04 the football APR has increased from 930.2 to 940.9 (10.7 points) and increases appear to occur primarily after year 2 among FBS institutions and after year 3 for FCS schools. However, only men's basketball has a lower overall APR (931.9) than football. Football APR problems tend to be due to eligibility rather than retention as the average eligibility rate in football (931.5 in 2006-07) lags considerably behind all other sport groups (men's basketball at 947.9 had the next-lowest eligibility rate in 2006-07).

2. Eligibility.

   a. Key findings indicate the following:

      (1) Incoming freshmen in football have lower high school grades and test scores than all sports other than men's basketball; however, football has lower first-year GPAs, fewer credits earned per term and lower eligibility rates than any other sport.

      (2) Summer school attendance is much higher among FBS football student-athletes (81 percent attended summer school) than FCS (38 percent).

      (3) Football student-athletes earn more credits and with a higher GPA during spring semesters than they do during fall semesters.

      (4) Two-year transfers have a much higher likelihood of becoming 0-for-2s than other football student-athletes.

      (5) FBS non-transfer students are most likely to become 0-for-2s as they exhaust their athletics eligibility. FCS non-transfers are most likely to become 0-for-2s during their first year, but also show a heightened risk as they exhaust eligibility. There is a spike in first-term eligibility losses during the second year for FBS student-athletes.

      (6) There is a high rate of student-athletes losing the eligibility point (1-for-2s) in the fall term. Those student-athletes who become first-term 1-for-2s dig a fairly deep academic hole during that term – their average first term GPA is 1.13 versus 2.56 for those who maintain eligibility and 6.4 credits versus 12.2 for those keeping their eligibility.

      (7) Approximately 27 percent of two-year transfers into FBS schools lose the eligibility point in the first term of their enrollment at the four-year institution.

That number is approximately 20 percent among two-year transfers into FCS institutions.

(8) Over 20 percent of four-year transfers into FCS schools lose an eligibility point after their first term of attendance and these transfers tend to continue to lose a high number of eligibility points as they move through the system.

(9) Four-year transfers into FCS institutions are at a high risk of becoming 0-for-2s in the first year of attendance.

(10) The average academic preparation of students in high school is the best predictor of APR, but the number of two-year transfers is also an important indicator of APR as an outcome.

b. Concepts/proposals to consider.

(1) Minimum Academic Requirement for Spring Practice Participation.

(a) Compared to other sports, there appear to be a higher proportion of eligibility "1-for-2s" in the first term of enrollment for football student-athletes and such a requirement may inspire improved academic performance by football student-athletes during the fall term or risk losing their competitive position on the football team during spring football practice.

(b) Not earning an eligibility point in the first term of the academic year puts student-athletes in a fairly deep academic hole to get out of by the start of the next academic year and this issue is exacerbated for student-athletes who do not have the resources to attend summer school.

(c) For such a requirement, consider allowing the penalized student-athlete to still participate in some countable athletically related activities – other than on-field practice – during the spring term (e.g., team meetings, weight lifting and conditioning).

(d) Consideration should be given as to whether incoming freshmen who earned summer school credit hours prior to initial enrollment would be evaluated by such a requirement.

(e) It was noted that consideration should be given to the impact of reduced squad sizes for spring practice because of departed student-athletes (eligibility exhausted, NFL) and those deemed ineligible by this rule.

(f) It was proposed that academic study sessions be required during team practice time for those ineligible student-athletes.

(2) Academic Year in Residence and/or Adjustment to Core Course Curriculum Requirements for 2-4 Transfer Football Student-Athletes.

(a) Requiring a year in residence for all two-year transfers or at least non-qualifying two-year transfers would allow for an adjustment period to four-year academic requirements.

(b) Consider a proposal that would require two-year transfers to meet the same core course curriculum requirements as incoming freshmen student-athletes.

(c) Consider adjusting the core course curriculum requirements (e.g., 6 hours of math, 6 hours of English) for two-year transfers to be eligible to transfer.

(3) Five Years of Eligibility.

(a) Consider requiring a student-athlete "earn" the ability to use a fifth season of competition through some academic threshold (e.g., graduation).

(b) Consider increasing academic requirements for postseason participation for student-athletes participating in a fifth season of eligibility.

(c) Obtain data regarding the academic performance of first year student-athletes who redshirt and those who compete.

(4) Other.

(a) Consider increasing the progress-toward-degree requirements for football student-athletes (e.g., 30 credit hours per year).

(b) Consider the academic pros and cons of early admissions and an early NLI signing date in football.

(c) Review discussions regarding an APR rate specific to individual coaches.

(d) Explore the impact of new legislation requiring more than one season of eligibility remaining for 4-4 transfers to FCS institutions using the one-time transfer exception.

(e) Evaluate the "best practices" of institutions with APRs above 925 and those with recently developed APR Improvement Plans.

(f) Consider required summer school for student-athletes on teams with an APR below a certain number.

3. Retention.

a. Key findings indicate the following:

(1) FBS non-transfer student-athletes are most likely to leave the institution (either as a 1-for-2 or 0-for-2) as they exhaust their athletics eligibility.

(2) Two-year transfers are much more likely to leave school without graduating than other football student-athletes.

(3) More than 20 percent of four-year transfers into FCS institutions lose the retention point in their first year of attendance.

b. Concepts/proposals to consider.

(a) Evaluate the "best practices" of institutions with APRs above 925, high retention APR scores and those with recently developed APR improvement plans.

(b) Consider impact of lost R points from student-athletes pursuing a professional football career.

(c) Explore reasons for the high proportion of lost R points for student-athletes who exhaust their athletics eligibility.

(d) Review and consider current discussions by CAP regarding whether or not student-athletes who graduate with eligibility remaining should remain in the APR cohort if they continue to participate.

(e) Get data regarding the academic performance of student-athletes who continue to compete after graduation.

4. Finance.

a. Key findings indicate the following:

(1) While there appears to be some correlation (not causation) between APR and athletic department expenditures it should be noted that the budgets between those institutions with an APR between 900 and 925, and those with an APR between 925 and 950 are not significantly different, on average.

(2) While there are large financial differences with the FBS, the group's study should view APR issues on an institutional, rather than on a conference-by-conference basis.

(3) There is some correlation between athletics department resources and APR; this relationship is not as strong as other variables (e.g., high school academic profile, percent of 2-4 transfers on team).

(4) An awareness of the financial impact of unfunded mandates/recommendations from the working group (e.g., summer school) is important.

b. Concepts/Proposals to consider.

(1) Evaluate "best practices" of institutions with limited resources and APR scores above 925 and/or improved APR scores.

(2) Explore opportunities for FCS institutions to use guarantee money from FBS contests to support summer school.

(3) Endorse a proposed study of academic support systems/budgets at Division I football-playing institutions.

5. Time Demands Reported by Football Student-Athletes. During the meeting the following information was provided the group

a. Football student-athletes report more time on athletics than all other sport groups.

b. Football student-athletes at Football Championship Subdivision (FCS) institutions typically report more athletics/academic balance (35 hours/week on athletics, 37 hours/week on academics in season) than football student-athletes at Football Bowl Subdivision (FBS) institutions. Football players at FBS schools report spending nearly 45 hours/week in-season on athletics, conditioning and other sport functions. This total is substantially higher than reported among student-athletes in other sports.

c. Weekday sport commitments among FCS football student-athletes are similar to those in other sports and weekend time commitments tend to be lower.

d. FBS football players also report more time spent on academic pursuits (approximately 40 hours/week) than would be expected given their athletics time demands. FBS football players miss relatively few classes and spend less time away from campus than other student-athletes.

e. Similar to other Division I student-athletes, a majority of FBS football players report spending as much or more time on their sport (including conditioning and other team functions) out-of-season as in-season.

f. FCS football student-athletes miss slightly higher numbers of classes than FBS football student-athletes, however, fewer than student-athletes in most other sports.

g. Although FCS football student-athletes report less time available for socializing/relaxing and sleeping than student-athletes in other Division I sports, they express much less dissatisfaction with time available for other pursuits. Nearly one-quarter of FBS football players say they would prefer to spend less time on athletics than they do currently. That rate is only 13% among FCS football players and 10-13% among males in other sports. FBS football players are also more likely than other male student-athletes to state that they would prefer more time relaxing by themselves and sleeping.

6. Other discussion items during meeting.

a. It was determined that constituent groups such as FARA, SAAC, NCAA Convention, CCA, AFCA, N4A should be provided updates and status reports regarding working group's efforts.

b. The group decided to maintain the FBS/FCS subcommittee structure.

c. The subcommittees were encouraged to continue their review of specific issues pertaining to eligibility, retention and finances and after assessing the progress of each subcommittee a decision would be made regarding the next in-person meeting.

SUPPLEMENT NO. 5
DI Presidential Advisory Group 1/09

December 19, 2008

MEMORANDUM

TO: Board of Directors.

FROM: Graham Spanier, president Pennsylvania State University.
Chair of the Presidential Task Force on Commercial Activities in Intercollegiate Athletics.

SUBJECT: Process to consider final task force report.

The Presidential Task Force will present its final report at the Division I Board of Directors meeting on January 17. A copy of the report will be delivered to members of the Board shortly before the Convention or when you arrive on site.

The recommended process for consideration of the report will be for the Board, following your discussion in January, to request that the Leadership Council examine the details of the report, recommend possible implementation steps to the Board and manage tasks performed by other governance bodies as necessary in order to develop appropriate legislation for consideration by the Division I membership in the 2009-10 legislative cycle.

It should be noted that the task force continues to be steadfast in its support of amateurism and also believes it appropriate to establish a new peer review process that can monitor trends related to commercial activities and also act as arbiter for commercial advertising that could result in exploitation of student-athletes.

I hope this information is of assistance to you and conferences offices in advance of receipt of the task force report. I will represent the Big Ten Conference as an alternate at the Board's January meeting and look forward to our discussion of this topic.

GS:vlm

cc: Presidential Task Force on Commercial Act ivies in Intercollegiate Athletics.
Division I Leadership Council
Division I Presidential Advisory Group

PROPOSAL NO. 2007-30-C -- RECRUITING -- CONTACTS AND EVALUATIONS -- MEN'S BASKETBALL EVALUATIONS -- APRIL CONTACT PERIOD.

Status: Membership Vote at Convention

Intent: To specify that evaluations of live athletics activities during the April contact period shall be limited to regularly scheduled high school, preparatory school and two-year college contests/tournaments and practices; and regular scholastic activities involving prospective student-athletes enrolled only at the institution at which the regular scholastic activities occur; further, to amend the April contact period to begin at noon on the first Thursday following the Men's Basketball Championship and continue through seven days following the initial date for the spring signing of the National Letter of Intent.

A. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.8.8, as follows:

13.1.8.8 Basketball Evaluations.

(a) Men's Basketball. In men's basketball, each institution is limited to 130 recruiting-person days (see Bylaw 13.02.7) during recruiting periods (contact and evaluation periods) that occur during the academic year.

(1) Fall Contact Period. Evaluations at sites other than a prospective student-athlete's educational institution are prohibited during the fall contact period. Evaluations of live athletics activities shall be limited to regularly scheduled high school, preparatory school and two-year college contests/tournaments and practices; and regular scholastic activities involving prospective student-athletes enrolled only at the institution at which the regular scholastic activities occur.

(2) Academic Year Evaluation Period. Evaluations of live athletics activities during the academic year evaluation period shall be limited to regularly scheduled high school, preparatory school and two-year college contests/tournaments and practices; and regular scholastic activities involving prospective student-athletes enrolled only at the institution at which the regular scholastic activities occur.

(3) March **and April** Contact Period**s**. Evaluations of live athletics activities during the March **and April** contact period**s** shall be limited to regularly scheduled high school, preparatory school and two-year college contests/tournaments and practices; and regular scholastic activities involving prospective student-athletes enrolled only at the institution at which the regular scholastic activities occur. Evaluations at nonscholastic events are prohibited during *this* **these** period**s**.

(4) April Contact Period.

(i) Evaluations at Nonscholastic Events. Evaluations at nonscholastic events during the April contact period are limited to events that are approved, sanctioned, sponsored or conducted by the applicable state high school, preparatory school or two-year college association, National Federation of High School Associations or the National Junior College Athletic Association.

Coaching staff members may only attend such an event on Saturday and/or Sunday, and not on any weekend during which the PSAT, SAT, PLAN or ACT national standardized tests are administered, regardless of where the event occurs. If an approved nonscholastic event occurs at a high school, preparatory school or two-year college, it is not necessary to have a contact with a prospective student-athlete who attends the educational institution.

(ii) Evaluations at a Prospective Student-Athlete's Educational Institution (Other Than Nonscholastic Events). Evaluations of live athletics activities at a prospective student-athlete's educational institution shall be limited to regularly scheduled preparatory school or two-year college contests/tournaments and practices; and regular scholastic activities involving prospective student-athletes enrolled only at the institution at which the regular scholastic activities occur, and only in conjunction with an off-campus contact.

[13.1.8.8-(a)-(5) through 13.1.8.8-(a)-(6) renumbered as 13.1.8.8-(a)-(4) through 13.1.8.8-(a)-(5), unchanged.]
B. Administrative: Amend 30.10.1, as follows:

30.10.1 Basketball, Men's. The following recruiting periods shall apply to men's basketball:

[30.10.1-(a) through 30.10.1-(d) unchanged.]

(e) April 1 through the Wednesday immediately prior to the NCAA Division I Men's Basketball Championship game:	**Quiet Period**
(f) The Thursday immediately prior to the NCAA Division I Men's Basketball Championship game to noon on the Thursday immediately after the game:	**Dead Period**
(*e***g**) *The month of April* **Noon on the Thursday immediately after the NCAA Division I Men's Basketball Championship game through seven days following the initial date for the spring signing of the National Letter of Intent** [except for (1) *and (2)* below]:	Contact Period
(1) Thursday prior to the NCAA Division I Men's Basketball Championship game to Thursday noon after the game:	*Dead Period*
(*2***1**) Monday through Thursday of the week that includes the initial date for the spring signing of the National Letter of Intent:	Dead Period
(*f***h**) *May 1* **The eighth day after the initial date for the spring signing of the National Letter of Intent** through July 5 [except for (1) below]:	Quiet Period

[30.10.1-(g) through 30.10.1-(l) relettered as 30.10.1-(i) through 30.10.1-(n), unchanged.]
Source: NCAA Division I Championships/Competition Cabinet (Men's Basketball Issues Committee).

Effective Date: August 1, 2008

Proposal Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: Concern has been expressed about the proliferation of nonscholastic events conducted during the April contact period and the resulting consequences to the well being of the prospective student-athletes who travel all over the country to participate in such events. Historically, the April contact period was increased in an effort to accommodate a change in the legislation to permit off-campus, in-person contacts with junior prospective student-athletes. The legislation to permit contacts with junior prospective student-athletes has been rescinded and the scholastic organizations have not provided necessary leadership with regard to the oversight of the nonscholastic events. The committee has been monitoring the merits of the April contact period for quite some time. Accordingly, this recommendation is intended to address concerns about the significant amount of class time missed by prospective student-athletes during key academic time periods in order to accommodate travel to and from events, the disruption to the relationship between NCAA Division I basketball coaches and their own student-athletes during a critical academic time period and the increasing role of outside influences during the April contact period. The proposed modification of the length of the contact period is designed to reinstate the previous contact period that existed prior to the change in legislation that permitted contacts with junior prospective student-athletes.

Estimated Budget Impact: Potential reduction in recruiting costs.
Impact on Student-Athlete's Time: None.

Position Statement(s):

Academics/Eligibility/Compliance Cabinet The cabinet agrees with the sponsor's rationale statement and notes the support of the NCAA Division I Men's Basketball Issues Committee for this proposal. The cabinet prefers Proposal No. 2007-30-C over Proposal No. 2007-30-B.

History

Oct 24, 2007: Submit; Submitted for consideration as an alternative to Proposal No 2007-30-A.

Jan 13, 2008: Mgmt Council 1st Review, Forwarded for Membership Comment

Jan 15, 2008:	Comment Period; Start of Comment Period
Feb 13, 2008:	Academics/Eligibility/Compliance Cabinet, Recommends Approval
Mar 14, 2008:	Comment Period; End of Comment Period; (Official Comment Totals: Support = 7, Oppose = 5, Abstain = 0)
Apr 14, 2008:	Mgmt Council 2nd Review, Approved
Apr 24, 2008:	Board Consideration, Adopted
Apr 25, 2008:	Adopted, Override Period; Start of Override Period
Jun 23, 2008:	Adopted, Override Period; End of Override Period; (Number of Override Request = 62)
Jun 23, 2008:	Board Review; Based on the number of override requests received, the Board of Directors will review its action on the proposal at its August 2008 meeting.
Aug 07, 2008:	Board Review; Upheld adoption. Override vote by Division I membership will occur during the Division I Business Session at the 2009 NCAA Convention.

NCAA Division I Legislative Council
Actions, Preliminary Positions, Points to Consider, Comments and Mootnicity Implications Related to 2008-09 Legislative Cycle Proposals

This attachment describes the details of the Legislative Council's actions, preliminary positions, points to consider and comments, along with any mootnicity issues, related to legislation scheduled for initial consideration in January 2009.

Please note that a position of preliminary support for a proposal reflects that the council supported the sponsor's rationale statement and any additional rationale for support expressed in the position statements for the particular proposal. Further, a position of preliminary opposition reflects that it is likely the council agreed with opposition expressed in position statements for the particular proposal. If no preliminary position is noted for a particular proposal, the council took no position, but noted comments and points for membership consideration in preparation for the council's initial formal review of the legislation in January 2009.

Please note that the NCAA Division I Official Notice will be available on the NCAA Web site by November 15, 2008. The Official Notice will include all of the 2008-09 legislative cycle proposals that are to be initially considered in January 2009.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
2008-9	NCAA MEMBERSHIP AND CHAMPIONSHIPS AND POSTSEASON FOOTBALL -- CONDITIONS AND OBLIGATIONS OF MEMBERSHIP -- OPERATING AND CAPITAL FINANCIAL DATA REPORT	To specify that an institution shall submit financial data detailing operating revenues, expenses and capital related to its intercollegiate athletics program to the NCAA on an annual basis, as specified, as a condition and obligation of membership and in order to be eligible to enter a team or individual in NCAA championship competition.	Preliminary support.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
2008-10	PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- MINORITY GRADUATE ASSISTANT COACH -- CHAMPIONSHIP SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL	To establish a program by which a minority graduate assistant coach position is awarded annually to each NCAA Division I Football Championship Subdivision (FCS) conference and to establish the parameters of the program and position, as specified.	• Noted general support for the concept, but noted several concerns with the details, including: o Potential legal issues; o Budgetary issues, including broad implications of such a program to Division I generally; o Potential competitive equity issues. • Suggested tabling the proposal pending additional review and study. (Following the Legislative Council meeting, the proposal was withdrawn by the sponsor.)
2008-11	PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON THE DUTIES OF COACHES -- NONCOACHING ACTIVITIES -- EXCEPTION -- STUDENT MANAGER -- BASEBALL	In baseball, to specify that up to four student managers may be involved in on-field activities (e.g., catching in the bullpen, pitching batting practice, hitting fungoes, shagging balls) without counting in the institution's coaching limitations, provided the student managers participate in the activities within five years of their initial full-time collegiate enrollment and do not provide instruction to student-athletes or engage in other coaching activities; further, to specify that a student-manager shall not be eligible for varsity intercollegiate competition in the same year in which the individual serves as a manager.	Preliminary opposition.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
2008-12	PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON NUMBER OF OFF-CAMPUS RECRUITERS AT ANY ONE TIME -- EXCEPTION -- BASKETBALL -- JULY EVALUATION PERIODS	In basketball, to specify that during the July evaluation periods, a coach replaced for the purpose of off-campus recruiting activities is not required to return to the institution's campus before engaging in additional recruiting activities, provided no more than three coaches engage in off-campus recruiting activities each day.	• Noted a possible travel cost savings if a coach is not required to return to campus, but that additional costs may be incurred to have four coaches away from campus at all times during the evaluation periods. • Concept could be expanded beyond the summer and to other sports. • Concern expressed related to monitoring and a potential conflict with a desired increase in summer access to incoming prospective student-athletes.
2008-13	AMATEURISM -- EXCEPTION FOR PRIZE MONEY FOR STUDENT-ATHLETES -- OUTSIDE THE PLAYING SEASON DURING AN OFFICIAL VACATION PERIOD -- INDIVIDUAL SPORTS	In individual sports, to permit a student-athlete to accept prize money based on his or her place finish or performance in an open athletics event (an event that is not invitation only), provided the competition occurs outside the institution's declared playing and practice season during any official vacation period and the prize money does not exceed actual and necessary expenses and is provided only by the sponsor of the open event.	• Potential to cause a proliferation of open events, including events on an institution's campus, which would present possible recruiting advantages. • Consider restricting application to summer only. • Although the proposal only covers expenses, does it reflect a change in the amateurism philosophy? • Currently permissible to receive actual and necessary expenses from a team or the sponsor of the open event.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
2008-14	RECRUITING -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETE -- ENROLLMENT AND ATTENDANCE IN SUMMER CLASSES PRIOR TO INITIAL FULL-TIME ENROLLMENT	To specify that an individual shall no longer be considered a prospective student-athlete for purposes of the contact limitations in Bylaw 13 and shall be considered a student-athlete for purposes of Bylaw 16 at the point in which he or she officially registers and enrolls and attends classes in an institution's summer term prior to initial full-time enrollment.	Preliminary support.

2008-15	RECRUITING -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETE -- INSTITUTIONAL ORIENTATION SESSION	To specify that a recruited prospective student-athlete who has signed a National Letter of Intent (NLI) [or, for an institution that does not use the NLI in a particular sport or for a prospective student-athlete who is not eligible to sign an NLI (e.g., four-year college transfer), the institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid] and has reported to a required institutional orientation session within 14 calendar days prior to the opening day of classes of a regular academic year term shall not be subject to the contact restrictions in Bylaw 13 and shall be considered a student-athlete for purposes of Bylaw 16 as of the opening day of the required orientation.	• Is the legislation necessary?
			• Expressed concern related to differing institutional approaches to orientation sessions and potential advantages.
			  o Required vs. optional or none.
			  o Sessions throughout the summer vs. immediately before fall term.
			• May assist with issues related to room and board for international student-athletes prior to the beginning of the term.
			  o Alternative legislation should be tailored to address such issues.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
2008-16	RECRUITING -- CONTACTS AND EVALUATIONS -- CONTACTABLE INDIVIDUALS -- STUDENT-ATHLETE WITHDRAWN FROM FOUR-YEAR COLLEGE -- EXCEPTION -- OFFICIAL CHURCH MISSION	To specify that an institution shall not contact a student-athlete who has begun service on an official church mission without obtaining permission from the institution from which the student-athlete withdrew prior to beginning his or her mission if the student-athlete signed a National Letter of Intent (NLI) and attended the institution (with which he or she signed the NLI) as a full-time student; further, to specify that if such a student-athlete has completed his or her official church mission and does not enroll full time in a collegiate institution within one calendar year of completion of the mission, an institution may contact the student-athlete without obtaining permission from the first institution.	Preliminary support.
2008-17	RECRUITING -- CONTACTS AND EVALUATIONS -- PERMISSIBLE RECRUITERS -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL -- HEAD COACH RESTRICTIONS -- SPRING EVALUATION PERIOD -- OTHER OFF-CAMPUS ACTIVITIES	In bowl subdivision football, to specify that the head coach shall not participate in an off-campus coaching clinic, visit a prospective student-athlete's educational institution for any reason or meet with a prospective student-athlete's coach at an off-campus location during the April 15 through May 31 evaluation period.	Preliminary support.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
2008-18	RECRUITING -- TELEPHONE CALLS INITIATED BY A PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETE AT HER OWN EXPENSE -- WOMEN'S LACROSSE	In women's lacrosse, to specify that an institutional coaching staff member may receive telephone calls placed by a prospective student-athlete at her own expense before July 1 following her junior year in high school, provided the coaching staff member does not request that the a prospective student-athlete call at a specific time or otherwise prearrange the telephone call; further; to specify that telephone calls placed after July 1 following the prospective student-athlete's junior year in high school may be prearranged.	Preliminary opposition. • Agreed that the concept should be considered as part of the Leadership Council's examination of early recruitment and commitments.
2008-19	RECRUITING -- CONTACTS AND EVALUATIONS -- FOOTBALL -- ONE CONTACT WITH JUNIORS OR SENIORS -- SPRING EVALUATION PERIOD	In football, to specify that during the spring evaluation period, an institution may make one in-person, off-campus contact per prospective student-athlete (including prospective student-athletes in their junior year) at the prospective student-athlete's educational institution; further, to specify that an institution may use an additional evaluation in conjunction with the contact.	• Expressed concern regarding potential of increased costs, increased time spent in recruiting and increased recruiting pressures. o Pressures involved with contacts are greater than those related to evaluations. • Potential significant intrusion on prospective student-athletes during class time.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
2008-20-A	RECRUITING -- FOOTBALL EVALUATIONS -- SCHOLASTIC AND NONSCHOLASTIC ACTIVITIES -- EVENTS ORGANIZED AND CONDUCTED BY SCHOLASTIC ATHLETICS GOVERNANCE BODIES -- OTHER EVALUATION EVENTS	In football, to specify that live athletics evaluations are permissible at events organized and conducted solely by a scholastic athletics governing body (e.g., state high school athletics association, junior college athletics association); further, to specify that institutional staff members shall not attend a recruiting event in which information (e.g., athletics or academic credentials, highlight video) related to prospective student-athletes is presented or otherwise made available.	• Expressed concern regarding potential involvement or attempted influence of nonscholastic entities on events for which the organization and conduct would be solely limited to scholastic athletics governing bodies. • Sponsored an alternative proposal (2008-20-B) that does not include the provision to allow evaluations at events organized and conducted solely by a scholastic athletics governing body, but maintains the provision that would preclude institutional staff members from attending a recruiting event in which information (e.g., athletics or academic credentials, highlight video) related to prospective student-athletes is presented or otherwise made available. o Adoption of Proposal No. 2008-20-A would render Proposal No. 2008-20-B moot.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
2008-21	RECRUITING -- ADMISSIONS AND GRADUATION DATA, BANNED DRUG LIST AND INITIAL-ELIGIBILITY STANDARDS -- REPORTS AND NOTIFICATION -- ELIGIBILITY CENTER	To specify that the NCAA Eligibility Center shall provide the information contained within the disclosure reports related to admissions, graduation-rate data, academic progress rate and graduation success rate to a prospective student-athlete and his or her parents or legal guardians after he or she has registered with the Eligibility Center and the Eligibility Center has received an institution's request to add the prospective student-athlete to the institution's institutional request list; further, to specify that the Eligibility Center shall provide notification of initial-eligibility standards, the banned drug list and information about nutritional supplements to a prospective student-athlete after he or she has registered with the Eligibility Center.	• Noted the potential to reduce the administrative burden on institutions. • Expressed concern related to the potential administrative burden on the NCAA Eligibility Center. • Noted that the provision of institution-specific information may occur too late in the recruiting process. • Noted concern expressed by the Committee on Competitive Safeguards and Medical Aspects of Sports that it is important that institutions are educated and should be responsible for educating prospective student-athletes regarding banned drugs and nutritional supplements.
2008-22	RECRUITING -- PRINTED RECRUITING MATERIALS -- INSTITUTIONAL POSTCARDS	To specify that an institutional postcard may be provided to a prospective student-athlete, his or her parents or legal guardians, his or her coach or any other individual responsible for teaching or directing an activity in which a prospective student-athlete is involved, provided its dimensions do not exceed 4 1/4 by 6 inches, it contains only the institution's name and logo or an athletics logo on one side when produced and it includes only handwritten information, (e.g., words, illustrations) on the opposite side when provided to a prospective student-athlete.	• Suggestion made to potentially include some of the issues addressed in the proposal question and answer document in the language of the proposal. o Additional details help clarify application.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
2008-23	RECRUITING -- RECRUITING MATERIALS -- ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSIONS -- AFTER NATIONAL LETTER OF INTENT SIGNING OR OTHER WRITTEN COMMITMENT	To specify that after the calendar day on which a prospective student-athlete signs a National Letter of Intent (NLI), signs an institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid, or submits a room or tuition deposit in response to the institution's written offer of admission, there shall be no limit on the forms of electronically transmitted correspondence sent by the institution with which the prospective student-athlete has committed.	Preliminary opposition as currently drafted. • Anticipate sponsor modification. • Suggested modification would specify that a prospective student-athlete who does not sign a National Letter of Intent (NLI) must submit a tuition or room deposit and to establish a date (e.g., late NLI signing period) on which the exception may begin to apply.
2008-24	RECRUITING -- RECRUITING MATERIALS -- OTHER RECRUITING MATERIALS POSTED TO INSTITUTION'S WEB SITE	To permit an institution to print and provide other recruiting information that is posted on its Web site to prospective student-athletes via regular mail as attachments to general correspondence or electronic mail, via facsimile or during any permissible on- or off-campus contact.	• Sponsor indicated intent to modify the proposal to specify that general information (e.g., press release, competition schedule) posted to an institution's Web site may be printed and provided to prospective student-athletes. An institution may not post information created for recruiting purposes to its Web site.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
2008-25-A	RECRUITING -- OFFICIAL VISIT AND LETTER OF INTENT PROGRAMS -- REQUIREMENTS FOR OFFICIAL VISIT AND OFFERS OF ATHLETICALLY RELATED FINANCIAL AID -- COMPLETION OF AMATEURISM CERTIFICATION QUESTIONNAIRE	To specify that a high school, preparatory school or transfer (if applicable) prospective student-athlete must complete the amateurism certification questionnaire administered by the NCAA Eligibility Center before an institution may provide the prospective student-athlete an official visit; further, to specify that an institution shall not provide a high school, preparatory school or transfer prospective student-athlete a written offer of athletically related financial aid until he or she has completed the amateurism certification questionnaire with the Eligibility Center.	• Would assist the Eligibility Center in beginning the process of certifying the amateur status prospective student-athletes at an earlier date, thus easing case volume leading up to and after the start of the academic year. • Places more responsibility on the institution, earlier in the process. • Enhancements to the process for completing the questionnaire are in progress. • Prospective student-athletes will be under the same ethical obligations. • Does not increase institutional culpability. • Adoption would render Proposal No. 2008-25-B moot.
2008-25-B	RECRUITING -- LETTER OF INTENT PROGRAMS -- REQUIREMENTS FOR OFFERS OF ATHLETICALLY RELATED FINANCIAL AID -- COMPLETION OF AMATEURISM CERTIFICATION QUESTIONNAIRE	To specify that an institution shall not provide a high school, preparatory school or transfer (if applicable) prospective student-athlete a written offer of athletically related financial aid until he or she has completed the amateurism certification questionnaire administered by the NCAA Eligibility Center.	• Presents less of a burden on institutions. o More prospective student-athletes take official visits than are offered athletically related financial aid. • Would be rendered moot by adoption of Proposal No. 2008-25-A.
2008-26	RECRUITING -- OFFICIAL VISIT -- MEALS ON OFFICIAL VISIT -- MEALS AT AIRPORT OR BUS OR TRAIN STATION	To specify that meals may be provided to a prospective student-athlete on an official visit at the airport or bus or train station at the time of his or her arrival or departure.	Withdrawn by sponsor.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
2008-27	RECRUITING -- UNOFFICIAL VISIT -- HOUSING -- LODGING IN DORMITORIES -- ADDITIONAL RESTRICTION -- WOMEN'S LACROSSE	In women's lacrosse, to specify that an institution's athletics department staff member shall not arrange lodging for a prospective student-athlete on an unofficial visit in an enrolled student-athlete's residence (e.g., dormitory room, apartment) until August 1 following the prospective student-athlete's junior year in high school.	Preliminary opposition. • Agreed that the concept should be considered as part of the NCAA Division I Leadership Council's examination of early recruitment and commitments.
2008-28	RECRUITING -- FINANCIAL AID AGREEMENTS -- OFFERS OF AID BEFORE JULY 1 FOLLOWING JUNIOR YEAR -- WOMEN'S LACROSSE	In women's lacrosse, to specify that an institution shall not offer (or indicate that it will or may offer), orally or in writing, athletically related financial aid, other institutional financial aid or admissions assistance before July 1 following the prospective student-athlete's junior year in high school; further, to specify that an institution shall not accept any commitment from a prospective student-athlete before July 1 following the prospective student-athlete's junior year in high school.	Preliminary opposition. • Agreed that the concept should be considered as part of the Leadership Council's examination of early recruitment and commitments.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
2008-29	RECRUITING -- TRYOUTS -- EXCEPTIONS -- VOLUNTARY SUMMER CONDITIONING -- SPORTS OTHER THAN FOOTBALL AND BASKETBALL	In sports other than football and basketball, to specify that a prospective student-athlete may engage in voluntary summer workouts conducted by an institution's strength and conditioning coach with department-wide duties and may receive workout apparel (on an issuance and retrieval basis), provided he or she is enrolled in the institution's summer term prior to the student's initial full-time enrollment at the certifying institution.	• Concern expressed that different standards would exist for football, basketball and all other sports. o Different standards were intentional based on history of legislation for football and basketball. • Concern expressed related to need for additional staffing, pressure for summer enrollment and increased risk/liability issues. • Promotes greater equity among sports. • Provides structure for safety presence during workouts.
2008-30-A	RECRUITING -- SPORTS CAMPS AND CLINICS -- EMPLOYMENT AT CAMP OR CLINIC -- HIGH SCHOOL, PREPARATORY-SCHOOL TWO-YEAR COLLEGE COACHES OR OTHER INDIVIDUALS INVOLVED WITH PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETES -- EMPLOYMENT AS SPEAKER -- BASKETBALL	In basketball, to specify that an institution shall not employ (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) a speaker in any basketball camp or clinic (including a coaches clinic or a camp or clinic involving nonprospects) who is involved in coaching prospective student-athletes or is associated with a prospective student-athlete as a result of the prospective student-athlete's participation in basketball; further, to specify that such an individual may be employed as a camp counselor, but may not perform speaking duties other than those normally associated with camp counselor duties (e.g., skill instruction).	• Similar concerns may exist for other sports. • Sponsored an alternative proposal (2008-30-B) to broaden the application and specify that an institution shall not employ (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) an individual who is involved in coaching prospective student-athletes or is associated with a prospective student-athlete as a result of the prospective student-athlete's participation in basketball as a speaker or presenter at any athletically related events or activities (e.g., booster club functions or as an outside consultant). o Adoption of Proposal No. 2008-30-B would render Proposal No. 2008-30-A moot.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
2008-31	RECRUITING -- USE OF RECRUITING FUNDS -- RECRUITING SERVICES -- VIDEO SERVICES	To eliminate the requirement that off-campus observation of a prospective student-athlete on a video that is made available by a scouting service be considered an evaluation activity subject to applicable evaluation regulations.	Withdrawn by sponsor.
2008-32	ELIGIBILITY -- GENERAL ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS -- FULL-TIME ENROLLMENT -- REQUIREMENT FOR COMPETITION -- NONTRADITIONAL COURSES	To specify that enrollment in a nontraditional course (e.g., distance-learning, correspondence, extension, Internet courses, independent study or any other course or credit that is not earned in a typical face-to-face classroom environment with regular contact hours between the instructor and the student) offered by the certifying institution may be used to satisfy the full-time enrollment requirement for competition, provided the specified conditions are met.	• Sentiment expressed that institutional policy should govern full-time enrollment. • Flexibility could reduce missed class time and benefit teams for which significant travel is required. • Suggestion made that a limit on the number of courses that may be used may be appropriate. • Concern expressed related to potential for abuse. • Legislation would apply to cross-listed courses. o Courses offered at another institution, but recognized by the certifying institution as regular enrollment.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
2008-33	ELIGIBILITY -- SEASONS OF COMPETITION -- FIVE-YEAR RULE -- CRITERIA FOR DETERMINING SEASON OF ELIGIBILITY -- DELAYED ENROLLMENT -- WOMEN'S VOLLEYBALL	In women's volleyball, to specify that a student-athlete who does not initially enroll full time in a collegiate institution within one year following the high school graduation date of the student-athlete's class and participates in organized events after the one-year period, shall be charged with a season of intercollegiate competition for each year of participation and shall fulfill an academic year in residence on matriculation at the certifying institution before being eligible to represent the institution in intercollegiate competition.	Preliminary support.
2008-34	ELIGIBILITY -- FRESHMAN ACADEMIC REQUIREMENTS -- CORE CURRICULUM TIME LIMITATION -- STUDENTS WITH EDUCATION IMPACTING DISABILITIES	To specify that if a prospective student-athlete with a diagnosed education-impacting disability graduates from high school within the core-curriculum time limitation, he or she may use up to three core courses completed after high school graduation to satisfy the core-curriculum or minimum grade-point average requirements, or both.	Preliminary support.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
2008-35	ELIGIBILITY -- PROGRESS-TOWARD-DEGREE REQUIREMENTS -- REGULATIONS FOR ADMINISTRATION OF PROGRESS TOWARD DEGREE -- NONTRADITIONAL COURSES	To specify that nontraditional courses (e.g., distance-learning, correspondence, extension, Internet courses, independent study or any other course or credit that is not earned in a typical face-to-face classroom environment with regular contact hours between the instructor and the student) completed at a four-year institution other than the certifying institution, may be used to meet credit hour and percentage-of-degree requirements, provided the specified conditions are met.	• Sentiment expressed that institutional policy should govern full-time enrollment. • Flexibility could reduce missed class time and benefit teams for which significant travel is required. • Suggestion made that a limit on the number of courses that may be used may be appropriate. • Concern expressed related to potential for abuse. • Legislation would apply to cross-listed courses. o Courses offered at another institution, but recognized by the certifying institution as regular enrollment.
2008-36	ELIGIBILITY -- PROGRESS-TOWARD-DEGREE REQUIREMENTS -- ELIGIBILITY FOR COMPETITION -- INTERNATIONAL COMPETITION WAIVER -- USE OF CREDITS EARNED	To specify that credits earned by a student during the term or terms to which an international competition waiver applies may be used to satisfy the 24/36 hour, percentage-of-degree and grade-point average progress-toward degree requirements.	Preliminary support.
2008-37	ELIGIBILITY -- TRANSFER REGULATIONS -- EXCEPTIONS FOR TRANSFERS FROM FOUR-YEAR COLLEGES -- CHURCH MISSION	To eliminate the church mission exception to the transfer residence requirement for transfers from four-year colleges.	Preliminary support.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
2008-38	ELIGIBILITY -- TRANSFER REGULATIONS -- FOUR-YEAR COLLEGE TRANSFERS -- COMPETITION AND RECEIPT OF ATHLETICALLY RELATED FINANCIAL AID IN YEAR OF TRANSFER -- TENNIS	In tennis, to specify that a transfer student from a four-year institution who enrolls at the certifying institution as a full-time student after the conclusion of the first term of the academic year and qualifies for an exception to the one-year residence requirement shall not be eligible for competition until the following academic year if he or she has competed during the same academic year and received athletically related financial aid during the same academic year from the previous four-year institution.	Preliminary support.
2008-39	ELIGIBILITY -- OUTSIDE COMPETITION, EFFECTS ON ELIGIBILITY -- EXCEPTION -- CONFERENCE ALL-STAR COMPETITION AGAINST U.S. NATIONAL TEAM -- WOMEN'S ICE HOCKEY	In women's ice hockey, to specify that a student-athlete may compete during the academic year as a member of a conference all-star team in up to two contests against the U.S. Women's National Ice Hockey Team in the 12 months prior to the Winter Olympic Games, provided the student-athlete is academically eligible for competition at the time of the competition.	Preliminary support.
2008-40	FINANCIAL AID -- MAXIMUM INSTITUTIONAL GRANT-IN-AID LIMITATIONS BY SPORT -- WOMEN'S VOLLEYBALL	In women's volleyball, to increase the maximum institutional grant-in-aid limitation from 12 to 13.	• Agreed that the concept should be considered as part of the NCAA Division I Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet's examination of maximum institutional grant-in-aid limitations. • Noted potential addition of sand volleyball may have an impact on the volleyball limit.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
2008-41	FINANCIAL AID -- MAXIMUM INSTITUTIONAL GRANT-IN-AID LIMITATIONS BY SPORT -- BASEBALL	In baseball, to increase the annual limit on the value of financial aid awards (equivalencies) to counters from 11.7 to 14.	• Agreed that the concept should be considered as part of the Division I Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet's examination of maximum institutional grant-in-aid limitations. • Sentiment expressed that significant changes were only recently made to the baseball financial aid regulations.
2008-42	AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- COMPLIMENTARY ADMISSIONS AND TICKET BENEFITS -- POSTSEASON EVENTS	To specify that an institution may provide each student-athlete who participates in or is a member of a team participating in a postseason event (e.g., conference championship, NCAA championship, National Invitation Tournament, bowl game) with six complimentary admissions to all intercollegiate athletics events at the site at which the student (or team) participates.	Preliminary support.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
2008-43	AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- HOUSING AND MEALS -- FRUIT, NUTS AND BAGELS	To specify that an institution may provide fruit, nuts and bagels to student-athletes at any time.	• Overall potential for increased costs, but a possible practical savings or maintenance of supplement budget. o Cost could be shifted from supplements to fruit, nuts and bagels. • Potential to provide better nutrition to student-athletes. • Is the legislation necessary? o Is the current meals and benefits legislation sufficient to meet the nutritional needs of student-athletes? o Encourage responsible behavior of student-athletes and full use of current legislation. • Potential recruiting advantage.
2008-44	AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- EXPENSES PROVIDED BY THE INSTITUTION FOR PRACTICE AND COMPETITION -- DEPARTURE/RETURN EXPENSE RESTRICTIONS -- EXCEPTIONS -- TRAVEL FOLLOWING CHAMPIONSHIPS EVENTS AND POSTSEASON FOOTBALL GAMES	To specify that return transportation following contests in NCAA championship events, national governing body championships in emerging sports or licensed postseason football games that take place during the institution's official vacation period during the academic year or between regular academic terms are exempt from the requirement that a student-athlete remain no longer than 36 hours following the conclusion of the competition in order to receive actual and necessary expenses to return to campus.	Preliminary support.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
2008-45	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- GENERAL REGULATIONS -- SKILL INSTRUCTION -- SPORTS OTHER THAN FOOTBALL -- CONCURRENT SESSIONS	In sports other than football, to specify that prior to September 15 and after April 15, no more than four student-athletes from the same team may be involved in skill-related instruction with their coaches at any one time in any one facility.	• Concern expressed related to potential advantage for institutions with multiple facilities. o Coaches could devote more time to recruiting activities.
2008-46	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- BASEBALL -- PRESEASON PRACTICE AND FIRST CONTEST -- CHAMPIONSHIP SEGMENT	In baseball, to specify that in the championship segment, an institution shall not commence practice sessions prior to the Friday that is three weeks prior to the first permissible contest date for the championship segment and shall not play its first contest (game or scrimmage) with outside competition prior to the Friday in February that is 14 weeks before the Friday immediately preceding Memorial Day.	• Noted that the current legislation has only been in effect for one year. o Time may not be sufficient to fully evaluate impact and potential options within the current legislation. o Change would erode compromise reached related to geographical realities.
2008-47	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- BASEBALL -- MAXIMUM NUMBER OF CONTESTS -- 52 DURING THE CHAMPIONSHIP SEGMENT AND FOUR DURING THE NONCHAMPIONSHIP SEGMENT	In baseball, to specify that an institution shall limit its total playing schedule with outside competition during the institution's playing season to 52 contests (games and scrimmages) during the championship segment and four contests (games and scrimmages) during the nonchampionship segment.	Withdrawn by sponsor.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
2008-48	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- BASEBALL -- MAXIMUM NUMBER OF CONTESTS -- 52	In baseball, to reduce the maximum number of contests from 56 to 52.	• Noted that the NCAA Division I Board of Directors has indicated intent to review the recently adopted baseball legislation after three years. • Sentiment expressed that the issues may not have been fully reviewed prior to the adoption of the current legislation.
2008-49	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- BASKETBALL -- FIRST CONTEST -- EXCEPTIONS -- INFORMAL PRACTICE SCRIMMAGES -- NO MISSED CLASS TIME	In basketball, to specify that no class time shall be missed in conjunction with an informal practice scrimmage, including activities associated with such scrimmages (e.g., travel, pregame and postgame activities).	Preliminary support.
2008-50	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL -- FIRST CONTEST EXCEPTIONS AND ANNUAL EXEMPTIONS -- FOREIGN TEAM IN U.S. AND USA BASKETBALL CLUB	In women's basketball, to eliminate the exception to the first contest date and the annual exemptions for exhibition contests against club members of USA Basketball and foreign teams in the U.S.	Preliminary support.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
2008-51	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- PRESEASON PRACTICE -- CROSS COUNTRY, FIELD HOCKEY, WOMEN'S RUGBY, SOCCER, WOMEN'S VOLLEYBALL AND MEN'S WATER POLO	In cross country, field hockey, women's rugby, soccer and men's water polo, to specify that an institution shall not commence practice sessions before 16 days prior to the date on which the first permissible contest or date of competition may occur; further, in women's volleyball, to specify that an institution shall not commence practice sessions before 20 days prior to the date on which the first permissible contest may occur.	• An institution that delays its first contest would be able to practice more than those that start on the first permissible date. o Resulting in additional expenses in such circumstances. • Some anecdotal indication that starting dates would be the same or very similar under the current legislation or the proposal.
2008-52	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- FOOTBALL -- PRESEASON PRACTICE -- LIMIT ON NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS -- REPLACEMENT OF STUDENT-ATHLETE DUE TO INJURY OR ILLNESS	In football, to specify that a student-athlete who sustains an injury or illness that prevents further participation in preseason practice activities may be replaced with another student-athlete without including that individual in the institution's limitation on the number of individuals who may participate in preseason practice.	• NCAA Division I Football Championship Subdivision Governance Committee expressed preliminary opposition. o Concern related to potential financial impact and potential abuse of the rule, in that it may result in "tryouts." • NCAA Football Bowl Subdivision o Suggestion to add clarifying language from rationale to text of the legislation. o Noted that the preclusion of a replaced student-athlete from further participation in practice activities (including meetings and film review) should alleviate concern related to the nature of the injury or illness.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
2008-53	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- GOLF -- MAXIMUM CONTEST LIMITATIONS -- DETERMINATION OF DATE OF COMPETITION	In golf, to specify that an institution is considered to have used a date of competition if five or more student-athletes participate on behalf of the institution or any number of student-athletes contribute to a team score on a particular date.	• May result in a potential competitive advantage and not fully address varsity and subvarsity issues. • Sponsor indicated support of the American Golf Coaches Association.
2008-54	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- GOLF -- ANNUAL EXEMPTIONS -- HAWAII OR ALASKA	In golf, to specify that the dates of competition for one tournament per year in Hawaii or Alaska either against or under the sponsorship of an active Division I member located in Hawaii or Alaska, by a member located outside the area in question are exempted from the maximum number of dates of competition.	Preliminary support.

2008-55	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- WOMEN'S SOCCER -- FIRST CONTEST OR DATE OF COMPETITION -- 12-WEEK SEASON	In women's soccer, to specify that an institution shall not play its first contest or engage in its first date of competition (game) with outside competition prior to the 12th weekend prior to the start of the women's soccer championship.	• Noted that the current legislation has only been in effect since August 1, 2008.
			• Is the legislation necessary?
			  o Will men's soccer seek the same change next year?
			• Legislation has changed frequently in recent years.
			  o Changes were due to attempt to conduct the men's and women's championships on the same weekends, which did not succeed logistically.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
2008-56	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- TENNIS -- MAXIMUM NUMBER OF DATES OF COMPETITION -- INDIVIDUAL SINGLES OR DOUBLES TOURNAMENT LIMITATIONS -- NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS	In tennis, to increase from three to four the number of student-athletes who may participate in an individual singles or doubles tournament without counting the event as one of the institution's dates of competition.	Preliminary support.
2008-57	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- TRACK AND FIELD -- SAFETY EXCEPTION -- HURDLES AND STEEPLECHASE	To specify that a coach may be present during voluntary individual workouts in the institution's regular practice facility (without the workouts being considered as countable athletically related activities) when the student-athlete is engaged in jumping hurdles or the jumping element of the steeplechase.	• Does a true safety issue exist for these events? • Would other events or sports seek for similar provisions (e.g., jumping elements of other sports).
2008-58	CHAMPIONSHIPS AND POSTSEASON FOOTBALL -- CRITERIA FOR ESTABLISHMENT OR CONTINUATION OF CHAMPIONSHIPS -- MINIMUM SPONSORSHIP FOR CHAMPIONSHIPS -- WOMEN'S SPORTS	To specify that a National Collegiate Championship or a division championship may be established in a women's sport if at least 40 institutions sponsor the sport.	Preliminary support.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
2008-59	DIVISION MEMBERSHIP -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- EMERGING SPORTS FOR WOMEN -- ARCHERY, BADMINTON, SYNCHRONIZED SWIMMING, TEAM HANDBALL AND SAND VOLLEYBALL	To add sand volleyball as an emerging sport for women; further, to remove archery, badminton, synchronized swimming and team handball as emerging sports for women and to eliminate other specified legislation associated with these sports (e.g., playing and practice season regulations, sports sponsorship minimum contest and participant requirements).	• Sand volleyball has satisfied established criteria to be considered by the membership for inclusion on the list of emerging sports for women. • Addition of sand volleyball and the removal of the other sports will be addressed in separate votes. • Concern expressed related to the timing of implementation of sand volleyball and its impact on "indoor" volleyball (e.g., potential impact on the number of coaches/burden on coaches, impact on scholarships). • Concern with moving forward prior to other issues (e.g., playing and practice seasons, financial aid) being addressed or outlined.
2008-60	DIVISION MEMBERSHIP -- PROVISIONAL MEMBERSHIP PROCESS AND REQUESTING RECLASSIFICATION -- INSTITUTIONS SUBJECT TO ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE PROGRAM PENALTIES	To specify that the NCAA Division I Administration Cabinet may deny an institution's advancement to active Division I membership for any Division II institution seeking reclassification to Division I or any provisional member seeking active Division I status, if any of the institution's sport programs are subject to penalties pursuant to the NCAA Division I Academic Performance Program.	• Noted that language is intentionally vague to allow for flexibility in application. • Noted that review authority currently exists. o Additional language would better protect against legal challenges.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
2008-62	COMMITTEES -- COMMITTEES REPORTING TO BOARD OF DIRECTORS -- DIVISION I PRESIDENTIAL ADVISORY GROUP -- DUTIES -- LEGISLATIVE ISSUES	To specify that members of the NCAA Division I Presidential Advisory Group who represent Football Championship Subdivision conferences shall have the authority to act on behalf of the Football Championship Subdivision members of the Board of Directors on legislative issues that relate to championship subdivision football, subject to review by the full Board of Directors.	Preliminary support.
2008-63	DIVISION MEMBERSHIP -- MEMBERSHIP REQUIREMENTS -- MINIMUM PARTICIPANTS REQUIREMENTS FOR SPORTS SPONSORSHIP -- TRACK AND FIELD -- MULTI-DAY MEETS	In track and field, to specify that if the number of student-athletes participating in a multi-day meet on behalf of the institution over the course of the entire meet equals or exceeds the minimum number of participants required for sports sponsorship and the meet is conducted on consecutive days, an institution may use the competition as a contest in meeting the minimum-contest requirements.	• Possible unintended consequences as it relates to the application of NCAA Bylaw 17 maximum contest limitations. • Noted that currently, in the case of a multiday meet, 14 student-athletes must compete only on at least one day of the meet in order for the meet to count toward the institution's minimum number of contests for the purpose of sport sponsorship.
2008-64	DIVISION MEMBERSHIP -- MEMBERSHIP REQUIREMENTS -- FOOTBALL BOWL SUBDIVISION REQUIREMENTS -- WAIVERS	To specify that the members of the NCAA Division I Administration Cabinet representing NCAA Division I Football Bowl Subdivision (FBS) conferences, by a two-thirds majority of those members present and voting, may grant waivers of the FBS membership requirements.	Preliminary opposition. • Agreed that the concept should be considered as part of the Leadership Council's broader examination of membership requirements during the membership moratorium.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
2008-65	ADMINISTRATIVE REGULATIONS -- FOREIGN TOURS AND COMPETITION -- TIMING OF TOUR -- PROHIBITION DURING ACADEMIC YEAR LABOR DAY VACATION PERIOD	To specify that an institution shall not participate in a foreign tour that occurs in conjunction with a Labor Day academic year vacation period.	Preliminary support.
2008-66	ADMINISTRATIVE REGULATIONS -- RECRUITING CALENDARS -- FOOTBALL -- DEAD PERIOD	In football, to specify that an institution shall designate two weeks (not required to be consecutive) during the months of June and July as a dead period.	FCS: Preliminary opposition. FBS: Preliminary opposition.
2008-67	ADMINISTRATIVE REGULATIONS -- RECRUITING CALENDARS -- SOFTBALL -- CONTACT PERIOD AUGUST 1 THROUGH THE DAY PRIOR TO THANKSGIVING DAY	In softball, to establish a contact period from August 1 through the day prior to Thanksgiving Day.	• Noted that the current legislation has only been effective since August 1, 2007. • Would ease stress on prospective student-athletes and missed class time created by a truncated period.
2008-68	ADMINISTRATIVE REGULATIONS -- RECRUITING CALENDARS -- WOMEN'S LACROSSE -- FALL EVALUATIONS	In women's lacrosse, to specify that during the fall contact period, evaluations of prospective student-athletes participating in lacrosse activities who have not started their senior year in high school are limited to the three weekends prior to Thanksgiving.	• Will likely be difficult to determine/verify whether all participants in the events are seniors. • Sponsor indicated that the proposal likely will be modified to be similar to current men's lacrosse recruiting calendar.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
2008-70	EXECUTIVE REGULATIONS -- SELECTION OF TEAMS AND INDIVIDUALS FOR CHAMPIONSHIPS PARTICIPATION -- AUTOMATIC QUALIFICATION -- ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS, SPORTS OTHER THAN MEN'S BASKETBALL -- MULTISPORT CONFERENCE -- DEFINITION OF CORE INSTITUTION	In sports other than men's basketball, to specify that for the purposes of multisport conference eligibility for automatic qualification in a particular sport, a core institution is an institution that has been an active member of Division I during the eight preceding years or an institution that has sponsored the sport at the Division I level the eight preceding years.	• Provides greater flexibility for multisport conferences in meeting automatic qualification eligibility requirements in sports other than men's basketball. • Potentially dilutes original intent of legislation designed to reward multisport conferences with active Division I institutions, not non-Division I institutions sponsoring a Division I sport. • Agreed that the concept should be considered as part of the Leadership Council's broader examination of membership requirements during the membership moratorium. • Adoption of Proposal No. 2008-70 would render Proposal Nos. 2008-71 and 2008-72 moot.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
2008-71	EXECUTIVE REGULATIONS -- SELECTION OF TEAMS AND INDIVIDUALS FOR CHAMPIONSHIPS PARTICIPATION -- AUTOMATIC QUALIFICATION --ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS, SPORTS OTHER THAN MEN'S BASKETBALL -- MULTISPORT CONFERENCE -- EXCEPTION -- MEMBER THAT HAS SPONSORED THE SPORT AT THE DIVISION I LEVEL THE EIGHT PRECEDING YEARS	In sports other than men's basketball, to specify that a multisport conference that falls below six core members may be considered for automatic qualification in that sport, provided the conference adds an institution to its membership (for a total of at least six members) that has sponsored the sport for the preceding eight years at the Division I level and at least six institutions (including the added institution) have conducted conference competition together at the Division I level in the sport the preceding two years.	• Provides greater flexibility for multisport conferences in meeting automatic qualification eligibility requirements in sports other than men's basketball (not as flexible as Proposal No. 2008-70). • Potentially dilutes original intent of legislation designed to reward multisport conferences with active Division I institutions, not non-Division I institutions sponsoring a Division I sport. • Agreed that the concept should be considered as part of the Leadership Council's broader examination of membership requirements during the membership moratorium. • Would be rendered moot by adoption of Proposal No. 2008-70.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
2008-72	EXECUTIVE REGULATIONS -- SELECTION OF TEAMS AND INDIVIDUALS FOR CHAMPIONSHIPS PARTICIPATION -- AUTOMATIC QUALIFICATION -- ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS, SPORTS OTHER THAN MEN'S BASKETBALL -- MULTISPORT CONFERENCE -- EXCEPTION -- SPORTS SPONSORSHIP	In sports other than men's basketball, to specify that a multisport conference that adds a conference-sponsored sport may be considered for automatic qualification in that sport, provided at least six institutions have conducted conference competition together at the Division I level for the preceding two years and the sport is sponsored by less than 65 percent of the Division I membership.	• Provides greater flexibility for multisport conferences in meeting automatic qualification eligibility requirements in sports other than men's basketball. (Current rules provide an exception for sports not sponsored by a majority of the Division I membership.) • Potentially dilutes original intent of legislation designed to reward multisport conferences with active Division I institutions, not non-Division I institutions sponsoring a Division I sport. • Agreed that the concept should be considered as part of the Leadership Council's broader examination of membership requirements during the membership moratorium. • Would be rendered moot by adoption of Proposal No. 2008-70.
2008-74	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- BASEBALL -- FIRST CONTEST -- CHAMPIONSHIP SEGMENT -- ALUMNI CONTEST	In baseball, to permit an alumni contest to be conducted the weekend prior to the first permissible contest date of the championship segment.	• Noted that alumni contests may currently be conducted in the fall. • May be difficult for many alumni to participate in the fall. • Noted that conditions may not be ideal in the early spring.

Division I Meetings
2009 Annual Convention Meeting Schedule

	Wednesday January 14		Thursday, January 15			Friday, January 16		Saturday, January 17
7:30		Conference Meetings 7:30 a.m.–7 p.m.						
8			Legislative Council (LGC) *(8 – 11:30 a.m.) (Baltimore 3-5)*			Joint Breakfast SAAC/LDC/LGC *(8 – 9:30 a.m.) (Baltimore 4-5)*		Joint SAAC/BOD Breakfast *(8 – 9:30 a.m) (Cherry Blossom BR)*
8:30					Conference Meetings *(8:30 a.m.–3:30 p.m.)*			
9							Conference Meetings (8:30 a.m.–3:30 p.m.)	
9:30				Assn-wide Programming *(9:30 – 11a.m.)*		DI Issues/Legislative Forum *(9:30 – 11:30 a.m.) (Woodrow Wilson A-B)*		Board of Directors Meeting *(9:30 a.m. – 5 p.m.) (Woodrow Wilson B-C)*
10			LDC/CCA *(10 – 11:30 a.m.) (Potomac D)*					
10:30								
11				Assn-wide Programming *(11:15 – 12:15)*				
11:30			LGC/LDC/CCA Luncheon *(11:30 a.m. – 1 p.m.) (Baltimore 1-2)*			BOD/LDC/LGC Luncheon *(11:30 a.m. – 1 p.m.) (Baltimore 4-5)*		
Noon								BOD Luncheon *(12 - 1 p.m.) (Woodrow Wilson D)*
12:30								
1	Legislative Council (LGC) (1-7 p.m.)		Leadership Council (LDC) *(1 – 3:30 p.m.) (Woodrow Willson B-D)*			DI Legislative/Issues Forum *(1 – 3:30 p.m.) (Woodrow Wilson A-B)* Override vote (3 p.m.)		Board of Directors Meeting continues
1:30				Assn-wide Programming *(1:30 – 3 p.m.)*				
2								
2:30								
3								
3:30								
4			Opening Business Session *(4 – 5:30 p.m.) (Potomac A-B)*			Communication and Coordination Committee Meeting/Reception *(4 – 6 p.m.) (Baltimore 4-5)*		
4:30								
5								
5:30								Executive Committee Meeting *(5:30 – 8 p.m.) (Woodrow Wilson A)*
6			Delegates Reception and Honors Celebration *(6 – 10 p.m.) (Newseum – Off-site)*					
6:30								
7								
7:30								
8								President's Reception (7:30 – 9 p.m.) *(Cherry Blossom)*
8:30								
9								
10								

Meetings either DI LGC/LDC Councils should attend.

Additional Association-wide events.

Meetings DI Board of Directors would be interested in attending.

12/15/08:vlm

SUPPLEMENT NO. 8
DI Presidential Advisory Group 1/09

REPORT OF THE JANUARY 12, 2009, CONFERENCE CALL OF THE DIVISION I PRESIDENTIAL ADVISORY GROUP

Participants:

Charles Bantz, Indiana University/Purdue University – Indianapolis
Al Bowman, Illinois State University
Robert Caret, Towson University
Rebecca Chopp, Colgate University
Anthony DiGiorgio, Winthrop University
William Beauchamp, University of Portland
Philip Dubois, University of North Carolina, Charlotte
Robert Fisher, Belmont University
Bruce Grube, Georgia Southern University (chair)
Walter Harrison, University of Hartford,
William (Bill) Meehan, Jacksonville State University
Ann Millner, Weber State University
Kevin Mullen, Siena College
Thomas Powell, Mount St. Mary's University
David Sweet, Youngstown State University
Randall Webb, Northwestern State University
S. David Berst, NCAA
Beth DeBauche, NCAA, recording secretary

Presidential Advisory Group members unable to participate were:, Milton Gordon, California State University, Fullerton; William Harvey, Hampton University; Ronald Mason, Jr., Jackson State University; and James Wright of Dartmouth College.

Participating during portions of the conference call were NCAA staff members: Steve Brown, Joni Comstock, Diane Dickman, Bernard Franklin, Kevin Lennon, Steve Mallonee, Delise O'Meally, and Wallace Renfro.

1. **Opening remarks**. The chair recognized the new members to the Presidential Advisory Group.

2. **Report of the October 29, 2008, Division I Presidential Advisory Group conference call and highlights from the October 30, 2008, Division I Board of Directors meeting**. The group briefly reviewed actions from the October 30, 2008, Division I Board of Directors meeting relative to issues discussed by the Division I Presidential Advisory Group (PAG) during its meeting on October 29, 2008.

3. **President's report.** Wallace Renfro, senior advisor to the president, provided a few comments to the group. Additionally, Walter Harrison, chair of the Committee on

Academic Performance and member of this Board subcommittee exploring the concept of an Academic Performance Coaches Rate, informed the group that the subcommittee is recommending that the Board approve the development and implementation of a publicly available Web site search that provides for each Division I head coach the APR "lifetime batting average" accounting for all head coaching years; and each year, school and APR used to create this "lifetime" running rate. He also noted that the subcommittee had discussed the concept of developing a secure Web site that would provide academic and other information specific to head coaches that could be reviewed by select institutional personnel, but that given the costs and complexity of such an initiative, the subcommittee is not recommending immediate development of such a Web site. The subcommittee is recommending that the Board charge the staff with conducting a feasibility study regarding implementation of this initiative including the idea of beginning to collect data, but not implementing until a future date.

While the PAG did not take a formal vote, members indicated support for the subcommittee's approach and recommendation. The PAG members suggested that the public Web site should be implemented as soon as possible using all available data.

4. <u>Review of the agenda for the January 17, 2008, Board of Directors meeting</u>.

a. Update on the work and recommendations of the Men's Basketball Academic Enhancement Group. The group was informed that the BAEG, at its meeting on December 3, agreed to modify a few of its preliminary recommendations. Specifically, it was reported that rather than recommending mandatory summer school for all incoming men's basketball student-athletes, the group has shifted its focus to recommending mandatory summer school based on the academic profile and academic performance of individual student-athletes and institutional teams. The details regarding impacted students and programs still need to be developed, but the BAEG has expressed preliminary interest in requiring summer school for entering student-athletes who are determined and defined by the institution to be "at risk." The BAEG is also discussing a similar concept for continuing student-athletes in the summer immediately following their initial year of enrollment. The PAG was interested to learn that the BAEG is still supportive of the "access" concept, although individual members expressed concerns over the arguable appearance of rewarding programs that have low academic achievement.

Additionally, the PAG was informed that the BAEG is not recommending any changes in the APR calculation in men's basketball at this time, but asked the 0 for 2 subcommittee to continue its work, which it has, and as a result, the subcommittee has revised its recommendations regarding APR adjustments and waivers. Further, it was reported that the BAEG is examining the concept of a "year of readiness" for

both student-athletes initially enrolling and two-year college transfer student-athletes. The PAG asked to receive an update on the ongoing work of the BAEG at its April 2009, meeting.

b. **Status report on the efforts of the Football Academic Group**. The PAG was informed that this group, which is currently working in subcommittees, is taking a deliberate approach to trying to address its important charge of trying to identify ways to improve the academic performance of football student-athletes. Accordingly, it is anticipated the FAWG will be able to provide a status report to the PAG in April, with more formal recommendations coming later in the year.

c. **Task Force on Commercial Activity – Statement of Principles**. The PAG learned that this task force, which was charged with seeking to find the balance point between the two extreme positions of unrealistic idealism and crass commercialism, has developed a set of principles for commercial activity to help further guide this important discussion. This statement of principles will be shared with the Board at its January meeting, and if generally accepted by the Board, it is anticipated that the Board will charge the Leadership Council with filling in the necessary and supporting details, including any recommendations for legislation. Any recommendations developed by the substructure will ultimately be reviewed at the presidential level, including the Presidential Advisory Group.

d. **Legislative Issues**.

(1) Review of the process regarding the override requests on Proposal No. 2007-30-C. The group members were provided a brief overview of the process to be used for considering the override requests on Proposal No. 2007-30-C. Additionally, the group was reminded that this proposal was intended to address concerns expressed about the proliferation of nonscholastic events conducted during the April contact period and the resulting consequences to the well-being of the prospective student-athletes who travel all over the country to participate in such events. Individual members shared their concern over the override effort and stressed the importance of seeing the Board's adoption sustained.

(2) Review of legislative process and discussion of proposals that are of potential interest to the Presidential Advisory Group and the Board of Directors. The PAG members were reminded that at its January 14-15 meeting, the Legislative Council will consider and ultimately vote on, all legislative proposals being considered in the annual legislative cycle and that proposals receiving at least two-thirds support by the Legislative Council will be considered adopted, but that action will not be considered final until after the conclusion of the Board of Directors January 17 meeting, to help ensure the Board has the appropriate level

of oversight. Therefore, individual group members shared comments as follows:

(a) Proposal No. 2008-60 B. One member shared his conference's preference for alternative Proposal No. 2008-60–B over Proposal No. 2008-60-A. Proposal No. 2008-60-B specifies that the Administration Cabinet may deny an institution's advancement to active Division I membership for any Division II institution seeking reclassification to Division I or any provisional member seeking active Division I status, if any of the institution's sports programs are subject to penalties pursuant to the Academic Performance Program, applicable to institutions beginning the provisional or reclassifying process on or after August 1, 2009, rather than applicable to institutions in the provisional or reclassifying process as of that date.

(b) Proposal No. 2008-48. It was shared with the group that at its October meeting, the Board, in the course of reviewing Proposal No. 2008-48 – Playing and Practice Seasons – Baseball – Maximum Number of Contests – 52, noted there has been insufficient time to assess the impact of the baseball reform package. Individual members expressed varying opinions with regard to the Board's suggested approach but acknowledged the Board's position.

5. **Update regarding NCAA travel initiatives**. The PAG received an update on efforts made by staff and through the governance structure to address escalating costs and a reduction in capacity impacting NCAA championships travel. Specifically, they were informed that with 22 of 88 championships having been completed, there appears to have been some positive developments as a result of staff-driven and governance-approved changes. Examples cited include a reduction in commercial flights by 19 and a reduction of about $700,000 in the cost of charter flights. The PAG asked to receive updates regarding the impact of these changes.

6. **Review of 2009 Convention Events.** The PAG members were encouraged to attend the following events at the 2009 NCAA Convention:

Thursday, January 15

The Opening Business Session. (4 – 5:30 p.m. – Potomac A – B)

Delegates' Reception and Honors Celebration. (6 – 10 p.m. – Newseum)

Friday, January 16

Division I Issues Forum. (9:30 – 11:30 a.m. and 1 – 3 p.m. Woodrow Wilson A- B)
Board/Leadership Council/Legislative Council Luncheon. (11:30 a.m.–1 p.m. Baltimore 4–5)*
Division I Business Session. (3 - 3:30 p.m. Woodrow Wilson A- B)

Saturday, January 17

Joint SAAC/Board breakfast. (8 – 9:30 a.m. Cherry Blossom) *
Board of Directors meeting. (9:30 a.m. – 5 p.m. - Woodrow Wilson Room B – C)*

NOTE: *** BOD only**

7. **Plans for the April 29, 2009, in-person meeting of the Division I Presidential Advisory Group**. The group members supported inviting the conference commissioners to attend the April 29, 2009, meeting in Indianapolis.

   a. **Suggested discussion items**. There are a number of agenda items anticipated for the April meeting including: An update on actions taken at the 2009 NCAA Convention, news regarding the efforts of the Basketball Academic Enhancement Working Group, and the Football Academic Working Group. Additionally, the group should anticipate an update on the Youth Basketball Initiative and the ongoing discussion regarding the appropriate balance point for commercial activities in intercollegiate athletics. Further, the group members were asked to notify NCAA staff liaisons David Berst or Beth DeBauche with suggested agenda items.

   b. **Start thinking about selection of new chair**. The group members were informed that the chair's term will end at the conclusion of the April 30, 2009, meeting when he is scheduled to rotate off the Board of Directors. The group was reminded that in order to serve as chair, a member must also be a member of the Board of Directors. Interested representatives were encouraged to contact the chair directly to discuss the position.

Subcommittee chair: Bruce Grube, Georgia Southern University
Staff Liaisons: S. David Berst, Division I governance
Beth DeBauche, Division I governance