A G E N D A

National Collegiate Athletic Association

Division I Legislative Council

JW Marriott Indianapolis
Indianapolis

January 11-12, 2012

(Note: Items in bold are anticipated action items.)

1. Opening remarks.

2. Report of the October 27, 2011, NCAA Division I Board of Directors meeting. [Supplement No. 1]

3. Report of the November 30, 2011, NCAA Division I Communications and Coordination Committee teleconference. [Supplement No. 2]

4. Presidential Retreat Working Group updates. [Supplement No. 3-a, 3-b and 3-c]

   - Rules Working Group overview and discussion.

5. Litigation update.

6. Legislative issues.

   a. Status of override requests following October Board of Directors meeting.

   b. **Legislation recommended as emergency or noncontroversial. [Supplement No. 4]**

   c. Tabled proposals from April meeting.

      (1) NCAA Proposal No. 2010-26-3 – Amateurism – promotional activities – use of a student-athlete's name or likeness – cosponsors of promotional activities – consolidations of media activities. [Supplement No. 5-a]

      (2) Proposal No. 2010-58-C – Eligibility, financial aid and playing and practice seasons – summer academic preparation and college acclimatization – men's basketball – national service academy exception. [Supplement No. 5-b]

(3) Consideration of proposals related to limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members. [Supplement No. 5-c]

d. **Initial review of legislative proposals in the 2011-12 legislative cycle.**

(1) 2012 NCAA Division I Official Notice. [Supplement No. 6]

(2) NCAA Division I 2011-12 Legislative Cycle Voting Chart. [Supplement No. 7]

(3) Points to consider related to 2011-12 proposals. [Supplement No. 8]

(4) Question and Answer Document related to 2011-12 proposals. [Supplement No. 9]

(5) Comments received from various constituent groups. [Supplement No. 10]

7. NCAA Division I committee/subcommittee reports.

[Note: The Legislative Review/Interpretations Committee, Subcommittee on Legislative Relief, Committee on Athletics Certification and Student-Athlete Reinstatement Committee report directly and only to the Legislative Council. Therefore, reports from those entities will be fully reviewed. For the other entities listed below, the Legislative Council will focus its review only on legislative action and legislative information items included in the reports.]

a. Report of the NCAA Division I Legislative Review and Interpretations Committee.

b. Report of the December 1-3, 2011, NCAA Division I Committee on Student-Athlete Reinstatement meeting. [Supplement No. 11]

c. Report of the November 18-20, 2011, NCAA Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee (SAAC) meeting. [Supplement No. 12]

d. Report of the January 10, 2012, NCAA Division I Football Championship Subdivision Governance Committee meeting.

8. NCAA association-wide committee reports.

   [Note: The Legislative Council will focus its review only on legislative action and legislative information items included in this report.]

   - Report of the November 3-4, 2011, NCAA Committee on Sportsmanship and Ethical Conduct meeting. [Supplement No. 13]

9. Convention schedule. [Supplement No. 14]

10. Election of chair and vice chair at April meeting.

11. Future meeting dates.

    a. April 16-17, 2012, Indianapolis.

    b. June Administrative Committee conference call (TBD).

    c. October 15-16, 2012, Indianapolis.

12. Other business.

13. Key discussion points summary.

14. Adjournment.

REPORT OF THE OCTOBER 27, 2011, MEETING OF THE NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION DIVISION I BOARD OF DIRECTORS

1. **Report of the August 11, 2011, Board of Directors Meeting. The Board approved the report of its August 11, 2011, meeting.** (Unanimous voice vote)

2. **President's Report.** NCAA President Mark Emmert thanked the group for participating in a joint meeting with the Presidential Advisory Group (PAG) the previous day in order to review the reports of the four Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Working Groups and the NCAA Division I Committee on Academic Performance (CAP). He noted that this Board meeting may be one of the most important in the NCAA's history as the Board will take actions that will begin a transformation of intercollegiate athletics. President Emmert emphasized the fact that the decisions made during this meeting should align with the NCAA's enduring values and support the Association's principles.

3. **Presidential Retreat Initiatives.**

   a. **NCAA Division I Committee on Academic Performance**. Walt Harrison, chair of the Committee on Academic Performance, presented the following recommendations:

      (1) Student-Athlete Success – Initial Eligibility.

         (a) That the Board adopt emergency legislation that requires prospective student-athletes, at a minimum, to meet the current qualifier standard in order to be eligible for practice during the first regular academic term and receipt of athletically related financial aid during the first year. Second semester (and second and third quarter) eligibility for practice will be based on successful completion of nine semester or eight quarter hours in the first regular academic term of enrollment.

         (b) That the Board adopt emergency legislation that requires prospective student-athletes to meet the following standard in order to compete in the first academic year:

            i. A grade-point average/test score average that is set at approximately one-half of a standard deviation below the national student body mean. This represents an increase from the current one standard deviation below the national student mean. The new sliding scale requires a high school core grade-point average to be approximately 0.5 grade-point average units higher for a given test score compared to the current qualifier standard (e.g., SAT of 1000 would require 2.5

high school core grade-point average for competition vs. 2.0 currently).

ii. A successful completion of 10 core courses *prior to* seventh semester (or equivalent) of high school. Seven of the 10 core courses must be successfully completed in English, math and natural/physical science.

(c) That the Board adopt legislation to expand the early academic qualifier program, details of which will be worked out over the next year.

(2) Student-Athlete Success – Two-Year College Transfer Standards.

(a) That the Board adopt as emergency legislation NCAA Proposal No. 2011-69, which increases two-year college transfer requirements for qualifiers and nonqualifiers with an effective date of August 1, 2012, for students first entering any collegiate institution (e.g., increases minimum grade-point average from 2.0 to 2.5; limits use of physical education activity credits to two units; requires a transferable physical/natural sciences course for nonqualifiers) on or after August 1, 2012.

(b) That the Board adopt as emergency legislation Proposal No. 2011-70, as written, with an effective date of August 1, 2012.

(c) That the Board allow Proposal No. 2011-65, the year of academic readiness, to progress through the normal legislative cycle.

(3) Team Success - Access to Championships and a New NCAA Division I Academic Performance Program (APP) Penalty Structure.

(a) That the Board approve a 930 NCAA Division I Academic Progress Rate (APR) as a minimum academic standard to participate in postseason competition. This includes a mission filter only in the first year a team fails to meet the benchmark and an improvement filter in the second year and beyond.

(b) That the Board approve the transition to the 930 APR to occur over the next three years.

(c) That the Board approve a three-level APP penalty structure.

(d) That the Board approve the creation of an Advisory Group for Historically Black Colleges and Universities and other low resourced institutions.

(e) That all conferences be required to develop and maintain a written policy regarding teams subject to postseason restriction with respect to automatic qualifications for postseason competition and revenue distribution.

BOARD ACTION: **The Board approved all recommendations and necessary legislative changes regarding student-athlete and team academic success as described above.** (Unanimous Voice Vote)

b. Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Student-Athlete Well-Being Working Group. Sidney McPhee, vice chair of the Student-Athlete Well-Being Group, presented the following recommendations:

(1) Cost of Attendance.

(a) That the Board adopt legislation for aid awards that take effect August 1, 2012, or thereafter (awards may be executed before that date), to permit a Division I student-athlete who has received institutional financial aid equal to the value of a full grant-in-aid (i.e. tuition and fees, room and board and required course related books) to receive additional athletically related financial aid up to the value of the institution's "cost of attendance," or up to $2,000, whichever is less. The additional "miscellaneous expense allowance" amount is to be indexed annually to the consumer price index.

[Note: Student Athlete Opportunity Funds may be used at the institution's discretion toward these miscellaneous expenses as is currently permitted. A Pell Grant will not be included in the NCAA financial aid calculation and is considered an exempted government grant for purposes of applying NCAA regulations.]

(b) That the Board adopt legislation, effective August 1, 2012, to provide that only athletically-related aid will be counted toward team limits in equivalency sports.

[Note: All nonathletically-related financial aid now will be excluded from team equivalency computations, which will permit student-athletes to receive additional sources of financial aid without affecting team financial aid limits. The "miscellaneous expense allowance" would be applicable

once the total of athletics and other permissible aid exceeds the value of a full grant.]

(c) That the Board adopt a best practice that all prospective and enrolled student-athletes should submit the Free Application for Federal Student Aid (FAFSA). Further, because the "miscellaneous expense allowance" legislation is permissive in nature, conferences should be encouraged to consider common application of this legislation among their members and sports.

(2) Multiyear Grants-In-Aid.

(a) That the Board adopt legislation to redefine the period of award for aid awards that take effect August 1, 2012, or thereafter (awards may be executed before that date), to permit athletics aid to be awarded for a period beyond the minimum of one academic year, up to the date the student-athlete exhausts eligibility to compete in all sports.

[Note: Maintain, subject to existing exceptions, legislation to require the period of award for athletics aid to be not less than one academic year. Maintain only nonathletically-related conditions in athletics aid agreements regarding cancelation or reduction of aid during the period of its award. Gradation provisions should include:

i. Maintaining current restrictions and processes on reducing or canceling athletics aid during the period of the award;

ii. Permitting institutions discretion to increase athletics aid during the period of its award, and maintaining current requirements for hearing opportunities related to reducing or canceling aid during the period of the award.]

(b) That the Board adopt legislation to be effective for awards executed on or after August 1, 2012, to require institutions to award at least a prescribed minimum of an equivalency grant to each student-athlete who receives athletics aid in an equivalency sport.

[Note: Institutions currently are required in baseball to award a minimum 0.25 equivalency award but the Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet is developing a "block grant" system. The Student-Athlete Well-Being Working Group agreed that a .10 minimum may be appropriate for all sports, but the group will consider the alternative

minimum cabinet model and report a final recommendation to the Board for action in January or April 2012.]

(c) That the Board adopt legislation, effective immediately, to eliminate financial aid eligibility restrictions (five years of aid within a six-year period) to permit institutions to provide athletics aid to former student-athletes who remain at, or return to, the institution to complete their baccalaureate degree requirements.

BOARD ACTION: The Board approved all the working group's recommendations and necessary legislative changes as emergency legislation as described above. In addition, the Board agreed that the $2,000 "miscellaneous expense allowance" should be maintained for no less than three years. (Unanimous voice vote.)

c. **Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Rules Working Group**. Steadman Upham, vice chair of the Rules Working Group, requested the Board endorse a resolution that summarizes the concepts and direction outlined by the working group as they do their part to transform intercollegiate athletics. [See Attachment A for the resolution.]

BOARD ACTION: The Board endorsed the resolution. (Unanimous voice vote)

d. **Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Enforcement Working Group**. Ed Ray, chair of the Enforcement Working Group, noted that the working group continues to review a tiered violation structure, penalty structure and the process for adjudication. The working group plans to continue discussions and make recommendations to the Board in April or August 2012, depending on when the Rules Working Group concludes its work.

e. **Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Resource Allocation Working Group**. Ann Millner, vice chair of the Resource Allocation Working Group, noted that the working group has received much feedback on various concepts it has discussed, particularly those regarding a reduction in playing seasons (championship and nonchampionship) and a reduction in scholarships (football and men's and women's basketball). The working group plans to continue discussions and make recommendations to the Board in January 2012.

4. **Communications Plan.** Bob Williams, NCAA vice president of communications, and Bob Knapp, chief executive officer of Neubrand, reviewed with the Board a communications plan/strategy that will be used to assist the NCAA in the communication of actions related to Presidential Retreat initiatives. The intent is that communication should be immediate

and consistent, so that the NCAA membership and the general public are aware of the context and importance of the changes, as well as the potential impact on intercollegiate athletics.

5. **Final Report of the Bowl Game Licensing Task Force.** Harvey Perlman, chair of the Task Force, presented the final report of the Task Force, which included the following recommendations in the area of governance, advertising and sponsorship, and student-athlete welfare:

   a. NCAA regulation of postseason bowls should be consistent with and limited by the core mission of the NCAA.

   b. That each bowl-sponsoring agency be governed by a Board of Directors that has a majority of its members from the community in which the bowl is located.

   c. The title or presenting sponsor of a certified bowl shall not advertise or appear to promote products or activities that may be detrimental to the welfare of student-athletes or the image and best interests of higher education and intercollegiate athletcs. Each bowl-sponsoring agency shall adopt policies designed to exclude advertisements associated with bowls that are inconsistent with the welfare of student-athletes, or the image and best interest of higher education and intercollegiate athletics.

   d. That conferences should require as part of their agreement with bowl title and presenting sponsors that the bowl-sponsoring agency avoid promotional activities inconsistent with the values of the NCAA or of higher education.

   e. That participating conferences should contractually require each bowl to provide a certain number of advertisements promoting the value of intercollegiate athletics and the collegiate model.

   f. That the Board for each bowl-sponsoring agency shall ensure that it is in compliance with the designated standards in the Task Force report relating to protecting student-athlete welfare, which includes the conduct of bowl games within a specified time period.

   g. That the new academic standards applied to NCAA Division I championship participation shall be equally applied to postseason bowl games.

h. That the NCAA, in consultation with conference commissioners, determine whether or not the collection of financial information would serve the interests of intercollegiate athletics.

i. That the NCAA discontinue its current detailed licensing system and should embrace and develop a certification system that provides assurance that minimum standards of governance and operation are in place.

BOARD ACTION: The Board approved all the Task Force recommendations except the portion of Item No. 4-f above specifying the period of time within which bowl games may occur. [Unanimous Voice Vote of Football Bowl Subdivision (FBS) Board members.]

The Board directed staff to bring back to the Board recommendations regarding how to address the issue of possibly not having enough teams that qualify for the number of bowl games in a given year.

BOARD ACTION: While the Board endorsed the principles expressed in Item No. 4-f above, it directed staff/Task Force to work with the FBS commissioners to address a new time period for bowl games that can be considered by the Board at its April 2012 meeting. (Unanimous Voice Vote.)

6. <u>Division I Governance Structure Update</u>.

a. Report of the October 13, 2011, Meeting of the Leadership Council. Mike Alden, chair of the Division I Leadership Council, presented the following recommendations:

(1) Men's Basketball Recruiting Model. The Leadership Council concluded its discussions of concepts to be included in a men's basketball recruiting model. The Leadership Council recommended that the Board of Directors take final action to adopt a men's basketball recruiting model that provides for earlier and increased access to prospective student-athletes by Division I coaches. [Reference Attachment B for details of the new recruiting.]

BOARD ACTION: The Board adopted the recommended men's basketball recuiting model for full implementation by August 1, 2012. (Unanimous Voice Vote.)

(2) Summer Relationship and Skill Development for Student-Athletes. During its discussions regarding a men's basketball recruiting model, the Leadership

Council agreed to recommend that the Board of Directors take final action to permit entering and continuing student-athletes to engage in summer athletics development (eight hours of conditioning per week, of which no more than two hours can be devoted to skill instruction), regardless of enrollment in summer school.

BOARD ACTION: The Board supported the concept of coaches having access to prospective and current student-athletes during the summer, but asked the Leadership Council to bring back to the Board in January models for further consideration (e.g., requiring enrollment in summer school in order to participate in summer athletics activities; permitting participation in summer athletics activities without enrollment in summer school, provided student-athlete meets specified academic criteria) (Unanimous Voice Vote.)

(3) Multidivisional Reclassification – Opposite Gender -- NCAA Bylaw 20.4.1.2. The Leadership Council agreed to recommend that the Board of Directors adopt noncontroversial legislation that would permit current Division II and Division III institutions that had one sport (other than football and basketball) classified in Division I for the 2010-11 academic year to petition to have one sport of the opposite gender reclassified to Division I. The Leadership Council agreed that the reclassification process should be identical to the two-year process that existed before the adoption of Proposal No. 2010-100, that the application fee should be increased to $10,000 and that there be no time limitation for the opportunity to reclassify a second sport.

BOARD ACTION: The Board adopted noncontroversial legislation as recommended. (Unanimous Voice Vote.)

b. Report of the October 17-18, 2011, Meeting of the Division I Legislative Council. Carolyn Campbell-McGovern, chair of the Division I Legislative Council, noted that in light of the continuing work of the working groups and the potential for significant changes to Division I legislation, the Council discussed broad concepts related to the legislative proposals in the 2011-12 cycle and offered feedback to the working groups in a variety of areas and anticipate guidance on processing the legislative proposals in the current cycle.

7. <u>Division I Committee on Infractions</u>. The committee recommended the Board ratify the committee's revisions to specified provisions within Bylaw 32.

BOARD ACTION: The Board approved the bylaw revisions as recommended. (Unanimous Voice Vote.)

8. **National Association of Basketball Coaches (NABC) Report**. Jim Haney, executive director of the NABC, thanked the Board for the opportunities extended to the NABC and to him personally to participate in discussions regarding important matters facing the NCAA and that affect the sport of men's basketball. He outlined continuing concerns of coaches related to the APR effects when there are coaching changes and expressed support for initiatives to encourage summer school for prospective and enrolled student-athletes, and for the Leadership Council's men's basketball recruiting model. He noted that graduation and retention are key focus areas for the NABC and that new initial eligibility requirements, structure for academic redshirts and increased standards for two-year college transfers increase the need for coaches to build meaningful relationships with prospects and enrolled student-athletes to monitor and help manage academic performance.

9. **Future Meeting Dates**.

a. January 14, 2012, in conjunction with the NCAA Convention, Indianapolis, Indiana.

b. April 26, 2012, Indianapolis, Indiana.

c. August, 2, 2012, Indianapolis, Indiana.

d. Tuesday, October 30, 2012, Indianapolis, Indiana.

Board of Directors chair: Judy Genshaft, University of South Florida
Staff Liaisons: S. David Berst, Division I governance
Jacqueline Campbell, Division I governance

NCAA DIVISION I BOARD OF DIRECTORS
October 27, 2011, MEETING ATTENDANCE

Board members in attendance:
Stanley Albrecht, Utah State University, Western Athletic Conference
Guy Bailey, Texas Tech University, Big 12 Conference
William Beauchamp, University of Portland, West Coast Conference
Judy Genshaft, University of South Florida, Big East Conference, chair
Nathan Hatch, Wake Forest University, Atlantic Coast Conference
David Hopkins, Wright State University, Horizon League
Sidney McPhee, Middle Tennessee State University, Sun Belt Conference
William Meehan, Jacksonville State University, Ohio Valley Conference
Ann Millner, Weber State University, Big Sky Conference
Harris Pastides, University of South Carolina, Southeastern Conference
John Peters, Northern Illinois University, Mid-American Conference
Edward Ray, Oregon State University, Pacific-12 Conference
David Schmidly, University of New Mexico, Mountain West Conference
Lou Anna Simon, Michigan State University, Big Ten Conference
David Skorton, Cornell University, Ivy League
Steadman Upham, University of Tulsa, Conference USA

Board members not in attendance:
William R. Harvey, Hampton University, Mid-Eastern Athletic Conference
Timothy White, University of California, Riverside, Big West Conference

NCAA staff Liaisons in attendance:
S. David Berst, NCAA
Jacqueline Campbell, NCAA, recording secretary

Guests from other Division I governance bodies:
Michael Alden, University of Missouri, chair of the Division I Leadership Council
Carolyn Campbell-McGovern, Ivy League, chair of the Division I Legislative Council
Jim Haney, executive director of the National Association of Basketball Coaches (NABC)
Walter Harrison, University of Hartford, chair of the Division I Committee on Academic Performance
Harvey Perlman, University of Nebtraska, chair of the Division I Bowl Licensing Task Force

Guests from the NCAA Pathway Program:
Sherie Cornish Gordon, Norfolk State University
Kimberly Johnson, Texas Christian University
Monica Love, United States Military Academy
Diane O'Brien, University of California, Santa Barbara
Melody Reifel Werner, Eastern Michigan University
Alice Tym, University of Illinois at Chicago

Other Guests:
Bob Knapp, chief executive officer, Neubrand

Other NCAA staff members in attendance: Troy Arthur, Erik Christainson, Joni Comstock, Diane Dickman, Mark Emmert, Bernard Franklin, Lynn Holzman, Michelle Hosick, Tom Hosty, Jim Isch, Kevin Lennon, Steve Mallonee, Kathleen McNeely, Delise O'Meally, Tom Paskus, Todd Petr, Dennie Poppe, Bill Regan, Donald Remy, Wallace Renfro, Greg Shaheen, Jennifer Strawley, Robert Vowels, Wendy Walters and Bob Williams.

Resolution

"*Whereas*, the working group has concluded that bold actions are necessary to change the regulatory culture in meaningful ways that, in conjunction with an enhanced enforcement structure, will better support the collegiate model by placing emphasis on the most significant regulations;

"*Whereas*, the working group recognizes the challenges inherent to our current regulatory culture, including the creation of a number of rules that are nationally insignificant, difficult to enforce and do not clearly enhance the academic and athletics success of student-athletes;

"*Be it therefore resolved*, that the working group shall establish principle-based outcomes that will apply to each operating bylaw, promote the fundamental constitutional principle of each operating bylaw and serve as the basis for legislation of national significance that merits inclusion in the Manual;

"*Be it further resolved*, that the working group shall examine "competitive equity" in terms of fairness of opportunity to compete among institutions or groups of institutions;

"*Be it further resolved*, that the working group shall identify an appropriate penalty structure that reinforces the need to adhere to established principles;

"*Be it further resolved*, that the working group shall identify opportunities to increase shared responsibility for rules compliance among those who participate in, lead and administer intercollegiate athletics at the campus, conference and national levels;

"*Be it further resolved*, that the working group shall develop a filtering process to evaluate future proposals to ensure that such legislation is consequential, readily enforceable, promotes our enduring values and further identified principle-based outcomes;

"*Be it further resolved*, that the working group shall encourage NCAA staff to reach out to membership constituents to gather feedback on concepts identified by the working group; and

"*Be it further resolved*, that the working group shall review specific principle-based outcomes and operational bylaws at its December 2011 meeting."

Division I Men's Basketball Recruiting Model

Feature	Leadership Council Recommendation	Additional Comments	Effective Date
Recruiting calendar evaluations			
April	• Permit evaluations at certified nonscholastic events on two weekends. • Neither weekend can fall on ACT/SAT testing dates or Easter. • Events must be subject to NCAA certification program, which should include an additional requirement that the event may not begin before Friday 6 pm and must conclude no later than Sunday 4 pm.		**Immediately**
July	• Permit evaluations at nonscholastic certified events during a total of 12 days in July; the 12 days would consist of three four-day periods (with intervening dead periods) that run from Wednesday at 5 pm to Sunday at 5 pm.	• The evaluation period would consist of the first three four-day periods (Wednesday 5 p.m. – Sunday 5 p.m.) beginning with the first Wednesday on or after July 6. • The staff can continue discussion regarding whether event certification criteria should be modified to require events to conclude at an earlier time on the final day.	**Immediately**
Communication with PSAs			
Types of Communication and Phone Call Frequency	• Eliminate restrictions on all modes of communication on or after June 15 following the completion of the prospect's sophomore year in high school. • Eliminate communication restrictions applicable to prospects participating in certified basketball events (April/July).		**June 15, 2012**

Feature	Leadership Council Recommendation	Additional Comments	Effective Date
Off-campus contacts			
Off-campus contact starting point	• Off campus contacts may be made with a prospect during the junior year in high school. • Recruiting periods other than April – contacts during the junior year only at the prospect's educational institution. • April recruiting period – contacts during the junior year only at the prospect's educational institution or at the prospect's residence.	• Current rule limiting visits to a prospect's educational institution to not more than once a week would remain applicable.	**August 1, 2012**
Off-campus contact in conjunction with an evaluation	• Permit recuiting opportunities with juniors and seniors to be either contacts or evaluations. Contacts may not be made during the time period when classes are in session or during the day of a prospect's competition. • The current academic year recruiting calendar shall maintain recruiting periods, but will eliminate distinctions between contact and evaluation periods.	• Institutions are permitted to still have seven recuiting opportunities during each academic year.	**August 1, 2012**
Official visits			
Starting point	• January 1 of the junior year through the senior year of high school.	• Current requirements (standardized test score, high school transcript, register with Eligiblity Center, placed on institution's IRL) necessary to provide an official visit would remain applicable. • Included in the total number of permissible visits for the prospect and the institution.	**August 1, 2012**
Travel expenses	• May be provided to the prospect and two parents/legal guardians.		**August 1, 2012**

REPORT OF
THE NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION
DIVISION I COMMUNICATIONS AND COORDINATION COMMITTEE (CCC)
NOVEMBER 30, 2011, CONFERENCE CALL

***ACTION ITEMS*.**

- None.

***INFORMATIONAL ITEMS*.**

1. **Report of the Committee's August 16, 2011, Conference Call.** The committee approved the report of its August 16, 2011, conference call.

2. **Key Items from the September 2011, Division I Cabinet and October Legislative Council Meetings.** The committee received a report of the key items from the September 2011, cabinet and October Legislative Council meetings.

   a. **Administration Cabinet** – During its September meeting, the cabinet reviewed and approved the new online override voting process, which is modeled after the voting session process that occurred during the Division I Business Session at the annual NCAA Convention. The process will allow for a discussion period consistent with the one that occurred prior to the vote on the Convention floor. All active Division I and affiliate members of the Association (e.g., coaches associations) will have the opportunity to participate in the discussion period, as they did when the vote was conducted in person. The discussion period will be followed by the voting period, in which only active Division I members may participate. The online voting process is being used for the first time this fall as Division I conducts an override vote on Proposal No. 2009-100-A.

   b. **Legislative Council**. During its October meeting, the Legislative Council reviewed proposals in the 2011-12 legislative cycle through three key lenses developed by the Rules Working Group: (1) Is the rule meaningful and of consequence…is it an issue that merits a national regulation or can it simply be addressed by institutions/conferences/coaches associations? Is it really necessary? (2) Is the rule enforceable.. does it present significant challenges either in monitoring compliance as well as proving violations?, and (3) Does the rule support the success of the student-athlete, academically and/or athletically? When developing its initial position on the proposals in the 2011-12 legislative cycle, the Legislative Council indicated an intent to table a number of the proposals that did not appear to meet the test of the three lenses.

3. **Guiding Principles.** Mark Hollis, chair of the Amateurism Cabinet, reported that during discussions at its September meeting, the Amateurism Cabinet noted that a number of the guiding principles established by that cabinet could, and probably should, apply to all Division I cabinets. It was noted that the work of several of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups involve developing principles that align with the Association's enduring values. It was the sense of the group that once the working groups complete their work, the cabinets can reevaluate their guiding principles to ensure they are consistent and align with the enduring values of the Association.

4. **Update on NCAA Presidential Retreat Initiatives.** The committee received an update on actions taken by the Board of Directors during its October meeting, as well as a report regarding plans for the working groups going forward and the involvement of the Division I cabinets and councils.

   a. **Actions Taken by the Board of Directors.**

      (1) **NCAA Division I Committee on Academic Performance**.

         (a) **Initial-Eligibility Standards.** The Board adopted legislation that would increase initial-eligibility requirements, resulting in more rigorous academic standards for competition than for practice and receipt of athletically related financial aid. The Board approved an increase to the standard for immediate access to competition to a 2.300 grade-point average and an increased sliding scale. Incoming student-athletes will need to earn a test score/grade-point average that is set at approximately one-half standard deviation below the national student body mean. Student-athletes who achieve the current minimum initial-eligibility standard on the test score/grade-point average sliding scale, with at least a minimum 2.000 core course grade-point average, will continue to be eligible for athletically related financial aid during the first year of enrollment and practice during the first regular academic term of enrollment. Student-athletes can earn the opportunity to practice in the second term by passing nine-semester or eight-quarter hours. The Board approved a reqirement that prospects successfully complete 10 of the 16 total required core courses before the start of their senior year in high school. Seven of the 10 successfully completed courses must be in English, math and science. These new requirements are effective for students first entering college full time August 1, 2015, or after.

(b) Two-Year College Transfer Requirements. The Board adopted legislation to increase the transferable grade-point average from 2.000 to 2.500; limit the number of physical education activity courses to a total of two; and for nonqualifiers, requiring the completion of a core curriculum (six credits of English, three credits of math and three credits of science). The enhanced two-year college transfer requirements will be effective for student-athletes initially enrolling at a collegiate institution on or after August 1, 2012; no student-athlete currently enrolled in a two-year collegiate institution will be impacted. The Board took no action on the proposal related to the Year of Academic Readiness and agreed to leave it in the 2011-12 legislative cycle to be voted on at the January 2012 meeting of the NCAA Division I Legislative Council.

(c) Access to Championships and APP Penalty Structure. The Board approved the implementation schedule for the postseason eligibility standard, as well as a new APR three-level penalty structure. The Board established a 930 APR as a minimum academic standard to participate in Division I postseason competition. This includes a mission filter only in the first year a team fails to meet the benchmark and an improvement filter in the second year and beyond. There will be a transition time period of three years for access to the postseason. The Board also specified that all conferences must adopt a policy regarding teams that do not meet championship academic access standards with respect to automatic qualification for postseason and revenue distribution. The new postseason eligibility structure will take effect in the 2012-13 academic year, with a two-year implementation window before the benchmark moves from 900 to 930.

(2) Student-Athlete Well Being Working Group.

(a) Cost of Attendance. The Board adopted legislation that would permit a Division I student-athlete who has received institutional financial aid equal to the value of a full grant-in-aid (i.e., tuition and fees, room and board and required course-realted books) to receive additional athletically related financial aid up to the value of the institution's "cost of attendance," or up to $2,000, whichever is less. The Board decided not to revisit this amount for three years.

(b) Multiyear Grant-in-Aid. The Board adopted legislation that would permit athletics aid, up to the permissible limits, to be awarded for a period beyond the minimum of one academic year, up to the date the student-athlete exhausts eligibility to compete in all sports.

(c) **Team Financial Aid Limits.** The Board adopted legislation to provide that only athletically-related aid will be counted toward team limits in equivalency sports.

(d) **Eligibility for Aid: Former Student-Athletes.** The Board adopted legislation, effective immediately, to eliminate financial aid eligibility restrictions (five years of aid within a six-year period) to permit institutions to provide athletics aid to former student-athletes who remain at, or return to, the institution to complete their baccalaureate degree requirements.

(3) **Rules Working Group**. The Board endorsed a resolution that summarizes the concepts and direction outlined by the working group as they move forward with their evaluation of the rules.

b. **Plans Moving Forward.** The committee was informed that the Rules Working Group has developed a communication plan that includes conducting feedback gathering sessions (e.g., teleconferences) with various constituent groups. Following the initial outreach, the working group will focus on gathering feedback on specific principles and or proposals, particularly any that are generated from the group's December meeting. The working group plans to use the February cabinet meetings as an opportunity to gather additional comments on principles/proposals developed specific to bylaws for which the cabinets have oversight responsibility.

5. **Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee Update**. Katie Willett, staff liaison to the Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee (SAAC), reported on the committee's November 18-20, 2011, meeting in Indianapolis. It was noted that along with the regular review of legislative proposals, the Division I SAAC discussed the following:

- Text messaging and other forms of communication used in the recruiting process;
- A division-wide community service project; and
- An initiative aimed at creating an increased awareness of SAAC and how to better insert the voice of student-athletes at all levels of governance activity (i.e., campus, conference, national).

Committee Chair: Michael Alden, University of Missouri
Staff Liaison: Jacqueline Campbell, Division I Governance

Division I Communication and Coordination Committee November 30, 2011, Teleconference	
ATTENDEES	ABSENTEES
Mike Alden, University of Missouri, Leadership Council	William Chaves, Eastern Washington University, Administration Cabinet
Sarah Bobert, Marquette University – Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet	Scott Krapf, Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee
Carolyn Campbell-McGovern, Ivy League, Legislative Council	
Herman Holt, University of North Carolina, Asheville, Academic Cabinet	
Mark Hollis, Michigan State University, Amateurism Cabinet	
Ian McCaw, Baylor University, Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet	
Judy Rose, University of North Carolina, Charlotte, Championships/Sports Management Cabinet	
Jacqueline Campbell, NCAA, recording secretary	
Other NCAA staff members who participated on the teleconference: David Berst, Shauna Cobb, Angie Cretors, Jennifer Daniels, Lynn Holzman, Michelle Hosick, Kayla McCulley, Rachel Newman, Jobrina Perez, Carol Reep, Kris Richardson, Alex Smith, Jennifer Strawley, Sharon Tufano, Katie Willet and Leeland Zeller.	

Post-Presidential Retreat Updates
November 30, 2011

Collegiate Model – Rules Working Group

Presentation to Board: April 2012
Chair: James Barker, President
Clemson University

Vice Chair: Steadman Upham, President
University of Tulsa

Group Met
OCT 11

Next Meeting
DEC 13

Direct questions and feedback to staff contact:
Kevin Lennon, klennon@ncaa.org

DEVELOPMENTS

The Collegiate Model – Rules Working Group will meet next on December 13, at which time the group will review specific principle-based outcomes and operational bylaws.

Since its October meeting, the Working Group:

- Has continued outreach and communication efforts with the membership. In addition to written feedback provided by organizations, they have called and met with key constituent organizations for feedback and comment.

- Continues work at a brisk pace in reviewing NCAA Bylaws 11 through 16. Staff has made great progress in drafting principle-based outcomes for the Working Group's consideration, and has begun the process of identifying specific operating bylaws.

- Completed drafts that provide an overview of a new regulatory approach, as well as a redefining of competitive equity in terms of "fairness of competition."

By the conclusion of the December 13 meeting, the Working Group hopes to have:

- Reviewed, amended as necessary, and approved an overview of a regulatory approach.

- Reviewed, amended as necessary, and approved a Fairness of Competition principle.

- Discussed and offered feedback on a general approach to principle-based outcomes and operating bylaws.

- Endorsed a statement indicating that the new regulatory approach will result in significantly fewer NCAA rules, but those identified will have a stronger tie to our enduring values.

- Provided specific reactions to key questions posed by staff to assist in moving forward, including on membership and constituent communication efforts.

- Discussed a recommended approach related to evaluating existing and future legislative proposals.

- Discussed implications of, and created a plan for, increasing shared responsibility within the governance structure among conferences, institutions and professional organizations.

- Discussed other regulatory concepts, including the creation of incentives in a regulatory culture.

In addition, the Collegiate Model – Rules Working Group will meet jointly with the Collegiate Model – Enforcement Working Group in early 2012. Both working groups have voiced acknowledgment of the need for strong collaboration between their efforts.

EXISTING INFORMATION

At its October meeting, the Collegiate Model – Rules Working Group supported a new approach to the regulatory aspect of intercollegiate athletics that will ensure legislation aligns with and addresses our enduring values. In support of this new approach, the group decided to:

(1) Establish principle-based outcomes that will apply to each operating bylaw, promote the fundamental constitutional principle of each operating bylaw and serve as the basis for legislation of national significance that merits inclusion in the Manual;

(2) Redefine "competitive equity" in terms of fairness to member institutions and student-athletes;

(3) Work collaboratively with the Collegiate Model – Enforcement Working Group to identify an appropriate penalty structure that reinforces the need to adhere to established principles; and

(4) Increase shared responsibility for rules compliance among those who participate in, lead and administer intercollegiate athletics at the campus, conference and national levels, and coaches.

The Collegiate Model – Rules Working Group also briefed the Division I Board of Directors at its October 27 meeting on its progress to date. The working group requested and received endorsement from the Board for a resolution that summarizes the concepts and direction for the working group as they do their part to transform intercollegiate athletics, driven by principle-based outcomes.

Collegiate Model – Enforcement Working Group

Presentation to Board: Beginning January 2012
Chair: Edward Ray, President
Oregon State University

Vice Chair: Nancy Zimpher, Chancellor
State University of New York

Direct questions and feedback to staff contact:
Julie Roe Lach, jroe@ncaa.org

Group Teleconferenced
NOV 16

Next Meeting
DEC 5-6

DEVELOPMENTS

The Collegiate Model – Enforcement Working Group held a teleconference on November 16, at which the Working Group agreed to recommend a new violation structure to the Division I Board of Directors. The recommendation is predicated upon the members' agreement that the current violation structure of secondary and major violations does not allow for the necessary discretion and flexibility to increase the significance of intentional secondary violations and penalties. Additionally, some current major violations would be more appropriately handled through a different classification system delineating institutional and individual responsibility. As a result, the Working Group is recommending a move from the current model to a four-level violation structure:

- Level I – The most egregious violations.
- Level II – Serious violations that currently border between major and secondary.
- Level III – Significant violations that warrant staff review.
- Level IV – Minor or technical violations.

On the call, Chairman Ed Ray reported that the Board generally supports the direction the Working Group is heading and is comfortable with four levels of violations. The Board also supports including, where appropriate, violations against directors of athletics, presidents and chancellors related to oversight of the athletics program.

In addition to the violation matrix, the presidents on the Working Group requested a higher-level narrative that frames the guiding principles and desired outcomes of the Working Group's efforts. The narrative remains in draft form, but highlights the previously articulated principles of fairness, accountability and process integrity (see Existing Information), process structure, and the following desired outcomes:

- A new violation structure that appropriately categorizes the severity of infractions and allows for different levels of accountability for institutions and individuals.

- A much faster mode for processing violations that delivers expediency without compromising process integrity or fairness.

- Strong penalties that deter the risk/reward analysis and address any unfair advantage.

- Clear definition of shared responsibility and resulting expectations of individuals, institutions, conferences, and the national office.

The Working Group will meet next on December 5-6. At that meeting, staff will present interim changes and a final draft of guiding principles to the Working Group for final review. The Working Group will also evaluate a proposed penalty structure and discuss redefining institutional control.

In addition, because changing the process of how rules are developed and enforced is the work of the Collegiate Model – Rules Working Group, the Collegiate Model – Enforcement Working Group will meet jointly with the Rules Working Group early in 2012. Both working groups have voiced acknowledgment of the need for strong collaboration between their efforts.

EXISTING INFORMATION

Timeline

- Finalize working group meeting schedule – December
- Initial proposals to Board – January 2012
- Final proposals to Board – April 2012
- Legislative package to Board – August 2012

Draft Guiding Principles

The Principle of Fairness – Any new violation and penalty structure must be fair to all parties involved in the process and consider the interests of all member institutions that uphold integrity through rules compliance. Appropriate weight should be given to fair process considerations for those culpable for violations or otherwise involved and potential legal implications. The severity of penalties must have a direct correlation with the significance of the violations, and both need to coincide with the NCAA enduring values as identified by the membership and staff.

The Principle of Accountability – The new violation and penalty structures should be designed to hold those institutions, coaches, administrators and student-athletes who violate the rules accountable for their conduct, both at the individual and institutional levels. In addition, both the NCAA staff and membership (coaches, administrators, institutions and conferences) must be held accountable for the fairness of the process and must understand the shared responsibility of accountability to the intercollegiate model, regardless of the direct impact on those involved in violations.

The Principle of Process Integrity – Any new structures must be designed to ensure effectiveness and efficiency in the process and its results. The new structures must be easily understood, legitimate, timely, respecting of confidentiality while transparent with the process and sufficiently workable to establish clear and strict guidelines and boundaries.

Resource Allocation Working Group

Presentation to Board: January 2012
Chair: Michael Adams, President
University of Georgia

Group Teleconference
NOV 4

Vice Chair: Ann Millner, President
Weber State University

Next Meeting
DEC 2

Direct questions and feedback to staff contact:
Kathleen McNeely, kmcneely@ncaa.org

DEVELOPMENTS

The group will meet in person in Atlanta on December 2 to revisit recommendations made to date against enduring values, as outlined below. The working group will also seek to reach final consensus on a proposal to reduce non-coaching personnel for men's basketball and football and to review the current minimum number of sports required for Division I.

EXISTING INFORMATION

The Resource Allocation Working Group held a teleconference on November 4 to review draft recommendations regarding the minimum number of sports required for Division I membership and limitations on non-coaching personnel; the group also discussed revisiting recommendations that have been made to date. The working group:

1. Decided to revisit approved proposals to verify that the recommendations correlate with the enduring values that include: academic and athletic student-athlete success; the collegiate model; amateurism; and competitive equity among institutions of similar commitment to collegiate athletics. The approved proposals to be revisited are:

    a. Elimination of non-championship segment competition. The sports with non-championship segment competition are cross country, field hockey, soccer, softball, lacrosse and volleyball.

    b. A 10 percent reduction in regular-season competition for all sports. *Note: if the Division I Board passes the elimination of non-championship segment competition, credit would be given for non-championship reductions.*

    c. Eliminating all foreign travel.

    d. Reduction of:

        (1) FBS football scholarships from 85 to 80.

(2) FCS football scholarships from 63 to 60, with 80 overall counters.

(3) Men's basketball scholarships from 13 to 12.

(4) Women's basketball scholarships from 15 to 13. These scholarships would be reapportioned to other women's sports.

(5) In addition, the working group requests that the Committee on Academic Performance (CAP) consider incentives that would allow institutions above a certain APR score to maintain FBS football scholarships at 85, FCS scholarships at 63 (with 85 overall counters), men's basketball scholarships at 13 and women's basketball scholarships at 15.

2. Reviewed proposals regarding limitations on non-coaching personnel. Five proposals related to limitations on non-coaching personnel were discussed. The working group supported a proposal from the Division I-A Athletic Directors Association, which provided for maximum allowable non-coaching personnel for FBS football and men's basketball. The working group directed NCAA staff to work with the DI-A Athletic Directors Association to refine the proposal and to work with FCS institutions to develop a proposal for non-coaching personnel for FCS football.

3. Proposals for a change to the minimum number of sports required for Division I membership were on the agenda, but were not discussed. This agenda item will carry forward to the December 2 meeting.

Committee on Academic Performance (CAP)

Presentation to Board: October 2011
Chair: Walter Harrison, President
University of Hartford

Vice Chair: Roderick McDavis, President
Ohio University

Group Presented to Board
OCT 26-27

Direct questions and feedback to staff contacts:
Kevin Lennon, klennon@ncaa.org
Bernard Franklin, bfranklin@ncaa.org

DEVELOPMENTS

With the CAP recommendations now codified in legislation passed by the Division I Board of Directors, information on implementation efforts will now be sent to NCAA membership as a separate document as information becomes available. Such materials will include frequently asked questions, fact sheets, and opportunities to receive/participate in briefings from NCAA staff on the new legislation.

EXISTING INFORMATION

The Division I Board of Directors voted October 27 to adopt legislation implementing recommendations to improve the academic success of student-athletes. The NCAA Division I Committee on Academic Performance, and the Division I Academic Cabinet, created a package of proposals aimed at improving academic success. The package of proposals includes increased initial-eligibility standards, increased two-year college transfer standards, an increase of the penalty benchmark to 930, and amending the NCAA Division I Academic Performance Program to establish an academic standard of 930 for participation in the postseason.

Student-Athlete Well-Being Working Group

Presentation to Board: October 2011
Chair: Sidney McPhee, President,
Middle Tennessee State University

Direct questions and feedback to staff contact:
David Berst, dberst@ncaa.org

Group
Presented to
Board
OCT 26-27

DEVELOPMENTS

With the Student-Athlete Well-Being working group's recommendations now codified in legislation passed by the Division I Board of Directors, information on implementation efforts will now be sent to NCAA membership as a separate document as information becomes available. Such materials will include frequently asked questions, fact sheets, and opportunities to receive/participate in briefings from NCAA staff on the new legislation.

EXISTING INFORMATION

The Division I Board of Directors voted on October 27 to adopt legislation implementing the recommendations of the Student-Athlete Well-Being Working Group. The legislation implementing these recommendations is effective August 1, 2012.

FOR FUTURE DISCUSSION

The Board discussed the issues below, and expressed the desire to revisit these issues as early as their January 2012 meeting.

Early Enrollment.

The working group agreed that the practice of early graduation and enrollment in college should receive increased scrutiny to ensure that only qualified students are permitted to engage in the practice. The timing and sequencing of core courses and disproportionate levels of credits earned in a compressed period should disqualify student-athletes from practice and competition until following the normal high

school graduation dates of such individuals. Therefore, the working group supports the Academic Cabinet, the NCAA Eligibility Center and the Committee on Academic Performance in the quest to address these issues. The group is concerned that premature high school graduation for the principal purpose of participating in spring practice for fall sports has both led to academic abuses and otherwise is not in the best interests of NCAA student-athletes.

Initial Eligibility.

The working group supports the Committee on Academic Performance's preliminary views regarding an increase in the GPA and test score requirements to attain full eligibility as a freshman. The group also supports consideration of a category of student-athletes who may qualify for financial aid and practice, but not competition in their initial year in residence.

Minimum Equivalency Awards and Possible Squad Limits by Sport.

The working group will evaluate financial aid awards for 2012-13 in order to determine whether individual financial aid award minimums should be established in sports other than baseball, which has a 25 percent minimum in place. Also, based upon comments expressed by the membership regarding the potential to "stockpile" through use of non-athletics related financial aid, such awards will be monitored in order to determine whether squad limits are appropriate and necessary.

Post-Presidential Retreat Updates
December 7, 2011

NOTE: For the working groups other than Resource Allocation, the November 30 update document remains current. We intend to send an update next week as well, following meetings of the Collegiate Model: Enforcement and Collegiate Model: Rules working groups.

Resource Allocation Working Group

Presentation to Board: January 2012
Chair: Michael Adams, President
University of Georgia

No additional meetings are required.

Vice Chair: Ann Millner, President
Weber State University

Direct questions and feedback to staff contact:
Kathleen McNeely, kmcneely@ncaa.org

DEVELOPMENTS

The Resource Allocation Working Group met on December 2. The focus of the meeting was to review previously considered proposals against the Association's enduring values, which are:

- Student-athlete success academically and athletically is paramount.
- The collegiate model, in which athletics is embedded in the values of higher education including shared responsibility and accountability, should be protected and sustained.
- Amateurism defines the relationship between students and institutions in the collegiate model of athletics.
- Competitive equity and fairness of opportunity among institutions of similar commitment to intercollegiate athletics should guide the administration of the college model.

As outlined below, several of the previously considered proposals were eliminated or modified. In addition, the group discussed proposals related to non-coaching staff members and the minimum number

of sports required for Division I membership. It was the decision of the Working Group that there should be no change made to the minimum number of sports required for Division I membership.

The group will continue to work on its final report to the Division I Board of Directors through December; the deliberations of the group, however, have concluded.

FINAL APPROVED PROPOSALS

The following are the approved proposals that will be recommended to the Division I Board in January, along with the working group rationale for each proposal.

1. The working group felt that a more thoughtful approach to looking at the number of competitions was necessary before making a recommendation. Therefore the proposal related to the number of competitions is:

   That an immediate moratorium be instituted to cap the number of contests/dates of competition at the levels that currently exist in all sports. It is also recommended that the NCAA commission a study to determine the maximum number of contests/dates of competition during the championship segment of the playing season that is essential to the success of each NCAA sport. In addition, the Working Group recommends that the scope of this study specifically examine how contests in the sport of basketball are counted.

   The working group further recommends that once the study is completed and the new contest/dates of competition limits are in place for each sport, that those new limits remain in place for 10 years.

   This new proposal replaces prior discussions on elimination of non-championship segment competition and a 10 percent reduction in regular-season competition for all sports.

   Rationale: It is important for the student-athlete to have the appropriate number of competitions in place that allow them to be successful as athletes, while maximizing the time available for academic success and campus life pursuits.

2. Reduction in scholarships as follows:

   a. FBS football scholarships from 85 to 80.

   b. FCS football scholarships from 63 to 60, with 80 overall counters.

   c. Women's basketball scholarships from 15 to 13. [Note: The working group will no longer recommend a requirement that the scholarships eliminated from women's basketball be reallocated to other women's sports. The working group noted that it should be at each institution's discretion as to how the dollars are to be reallocated.]

   In addition, the working group also agreed they would **not** request the Committee on Academic Performance to consider incentives that would allow institutions above a certain APR score to maintain the current levels of scholarships for football and women's basketball. The working group and President Emmert reaffirmed their position and support of Title IX.

Post-Presidential Retreat Updates
December 19, 2011

Collegiate Model – Rules Working Group

Presentation to Board: April 2012
Chair: James Barker, President
Clemson University

Vice Chair: Steadman Upham, President
University of Tulsa

Group Met
DEC 13

Next Meeting
TBD

Direct questions and feedback to staff contact:
Kevin Lennon, klennon@ncaa.org

DEVELOPMENTS

The Collegiate Model – Rules Working Group met on December 13 to continue its discussion regarding a new regulatory culture and review specific principle-based outcomes and operational bylaws. At the meeting, the group identified some over-arching principles, such as fairness, accountability and integrity, which will guide work within the individual bylaws.

The group identified a framework for a new approach to NCAA rules. Under this construct, all NCAA legislation must tie back to the NCAA enduring values of student-athlete success, the collegiate model, amateurism or equity and must support or advance a constitutional principle. The model will define specific principle-based outcomes in key bylaws, with accompanying operating bylaws that provide further guidance to assist with compliance. The operating bylaws are to be meaningful, enforceable and supportive of student success. Additional guidance will be provided by the national office, conferences and professional organizations. The group also continued its work on a redefined principle of competitive equity to better focus on issues of fairness.

In addition, the group decided to ask the Division I Board of Directors to place a moratorium on new legislation for the 2012-13 legislative cycle (unless part of the presidentially led reform agenda), as well as to ask the Legislative Council to table proposals in the 2011-12 cycle that could be impacted by the Working Group's efforts. In order to make the principles-based approach to the rule book successful, the group believes that suspending legislation in 2012-13 and tabling a large majority of the 78 proposals in the current cycle that could be related to the group's charge is necessary to allow the membership time to think more broadly about the rules and the rules-making process.

Going forward, the Working Group plans to solicit feedback from the membership – including the various committees, councils and cabinets in the Division I governance structure – in early 2012. While the group plans to work as efficiently and move as quickly as possible, the members believe that rewriting the rule book and creating a new regulatory approach requires a thoughtful process to produce quality work.

In addition to gathering feedback, members of the working group will work with identified thought leaders in each bylaw area to prepare completed drafts of principle-based outcomes and operating bylaws for the Working Group's review prior to wide circulation in early 2012.

The Working Group agreed there are broader issues related to institutional integrity and the NCAA regulatory culture that require the attention of each of our campuses, conferences and the NCAA.
The Working Group believes it is important for the NCAA to determine the need to regulate behaviors historically not considered within the scope of NCAA rules, and to discuss appropriate NCAA involvement even when behaviors may not be covered by NCAA rules. The working group encouraged NCAA leadership to consider how best to address issues of institutional integrity and the regulatory culture.

EXISTING INFORMATION

The Collegiate Model – Rules Working Group is charged with streamlining the Division I manual to place appropriate emphasis on rules that are significant, enforceable and contribute to student-athlete success. The group supports a new approach to the regulatory aspect of intercollegiate athletics that will ensure current and future legislation aligns with and addresses our enduring values.

The Working Group requested and received endorsement from the Division I Board of Directors at its October 27 meeting for a resolution that summarizes the concepts and direction for the working group as they do their part to transform intercollegiate athletics, driven by principle-based outcomes.

In addition, the group will meet jointly with the Collegiate Model – Enforcement Working Group in early 2012. Both working groups have voiced acknowledgment of the need for strong collaboration between their efforts.

Collegiate Model – Enforcement Working Group

Presentation to Board: Beginning January 2012
Chair: Edward Ray, President
Oregon State University

Vice Chair: Nancy Zimpher, Chancellor
State University of New York

Direct questions and feedback to staff contact:
Julie Roe Lach, jroe@ncaa.org

Group met
DEC 5-6 and 16

Next Teleconferences
DEC 19 and JAN 3

DEVELOPMENTS

The Collegiate Model – Enforcement Working Group met on December 5-6 and teleconferenced on December 16 to more fully vet changes to the violation and penalty structures as well as significant changes to the Committee on Infractions (COI) process for serious violations. During the December 5-6 meeting, the group emphasized the need to clearly frame the problems and desired outcomes guiding their work (outlined below). The group intends to provide a narrative (outlining the problems and solutions) to the Board in January with some of the specific changes being considered.

I. What is the problem?

- Risk/reward analysis undermines integrity. One factor is violators do not believe they will be caught. If they are, the penalty is not perceived as strong.
- Cases (enforcement) take too long.
- Cases (enforcement and student-athlete reinstatement) lack transparency.
- The expectations to achieve institutional control are not clearly defined and rewarded if attained.
- Campus leadership should be held accountable for the impact of bad actors on a campus' culture.
- Expectations of shared responsibility of upholding the enforcement model for conferences, institutions, the COI/Infractions Appeals Committee and national office need to be defined.
- Current violation structure does not provide enough flexibility.
- Current approach to penalties is not understood, sufficiently predictable or perceived as strong.

II. What are we striving for (outcomes)?

- Strong penalties that are predictable deter the risk/reward analysis and address any unfair advantage.
- A much faster mode for processing violations that delivers expediency without compromising process integrity or fairness.
    - Clear metrics for every stage of processing a case.
- Clear understanding of what aspects of enforcement and student-athlete reinstatement cases can be more transparent, and corresponding transparency where appropriate.
- Clear definition of institutional control.
    - Rewards/incentives for effective compliance programs.
- Strengthened support for presidential leadership.
- Clear definition of shared responsibility and resulting expectations of individuals, institutions, conferences, and the national office.
- A new violation structure that appropriately categorizes the severity of infractions and allows for different levels of accountability for institutions and individuals.

Proposed Penalties

The group reviewed research of penalties imposed in major infractions cases for the past five years and noted that there are six core penalties imposed in cases. Based on the membership's survey responses in September 2011, the first two penalties noted below are the most effective institutional penalties to deter violations. In terms of penalties imposed through a show cause order that impose restrictions on individuals, the membership's survey emphasized (and the group agreed) that suspension from coaching activities (including competition) has the most impact.

Core Penalties

- Competition restrictions: Postseason, nonconference schedule, full schedule
- Financial penalty: Return revenue (tournament, bowl game, TV); fines
- Scholarship restrictions: Headcount sports (numbers or percent), equivalency sports (percent)
- Recruiting restrictions
- Show cause: length; components; option to censure president, athletic director, faculty athletics representative, etc.
- Probation (would have redefined requirements)

The group also reviewed mitigating and aggravating factors that would increase or decrease the severity of a case (and thus, corresponding penalties). Some of the members are convening again via

teleconference today to discuss the narrative, and the full group convenes January 3 to discuss the report to be submitted to the Division I Board of Directors in January.

EXISTING INFORMATION

On its November 13 teleconference, the Collegiate Model – Enforcement Working Group agreed to recommend a new violation structure to the Division I Board of Directors:

- Level I – The most egregious violations.
- Level II – Serious violations that currently border between major and secondary.
- Level III – Significant violations that warrant staff review.
- Level IV – Minor or technical violations.

Desired Outcomes of Working Group Efforts:

- A new violation structure that appropriately categorizes the severity of infractions and allows for different levels of accountability for institutions and individuals.

- A much faster mode for processing violations that delivers expediency without compromising process integrity or fairness.

- Strong penalties that deter the risk/reward analysis and address any unfair advantage.

- Clear definition of shared responsibility and resulting expectations of individuals, institutions, conferences, and the national office.

Because changing the process of how rules are developed and enforced is the work of the Collegiate Model – Rules Working Group, the Collegiate Model – Enforcement Working Group will meet jointly with the Rules Working Group early in 2012. Both working groups have voiced acknowledgment of the need for strong collaboration between their efforts.

Student-Athlete Well-Being Working Group

Presentation to Board: October 2011
Chair: Sidney McPhee, President,
Middle Tennessee State University

Group Presented to Board
OCT 26-27

Direct questions and feedback to staff contact:
David Berst, dberst@ncaa.org

DEVELOPMENTS

Since the Division I Board of Director's October 27 action to approve the miscellaneous expense allowance for student-athletes, membership has provided significant feedback about this permissive legislation. As of today, the NCAA have received more than the required number of petitions for override of the miscellaneous allowance provisions and it is possible that the same may be the case for multi-year grants-in-aid. The feedback has centered on a delay of the effective date, competitive equity, application of the allowance for student-athletes in equivalency sports, and implications for Title IX. Because of the override petitions, the Board will review its October decision and determine a course of action in January.

The Student-Athlete Well-being Working Group will be provided a range of options for discussion in advance of the PAG conference call in January and the subsequent Board meeting. The views of those groups will be reported to the Board and options available to the Board for action will include:

1. Take no action, which then would result in an online override vote of all active members and conferences in Division I. A 5/8ths majority vote of those casting votes would be required to set aside the Board's legislation.
2. Modify, amend or adopt an alternative proposal (for example, delay the effective date or change the application for equivalency sports to a percentage of the miscellaneous expense allowance based on the percentage of the grant), which would subject this new proposal to a new 60-day override period following the Board meeting. Such a change in the legislation will make the override vote null and the modified legislation would have an additional 60-day period for additional membership feedback.
3. Rescind the Board's October legislation and return to the pre-October financial aid rules. This action would render the Board's legislation moot.

Read more about the issue and possible Board actions at NCAA.org.

Committee on Academic Performance (CAP)

Presentation to Board: October 2011
Chair: Walter Harrison, President
University of Hartford

Vice Chair: Roderick McDavis, President
Ohio University

Group Presented to Board
OCT 26-27

Direct questions and feedback to staff contacts:
Kevin Lennon, klennon@ncaa.org
Bernard Franklin, bfranklin@ncaa.org

DEVELOPMENTS

There are no developments at this time.

EXISTING INFORMATION

The Division I Board of Directors voted October 27 to adopt legislation implementing recommendations to improve the academic success of student-athletes. The NCAA Division I Committee on Academic Performance, and the Division I Academic Cabinet, created a package of proposals aimed at improving academic success. The package of proposals includes increased initial-eligibility standards, increased two-year college transfer standards, an increase of the penalty benchmark to 930, and amending the NCAA Division I Academic Performance Program to establish an academic standard of 930 for participation in the postseason.

Resource Allocation Working Group

Presentation to Board: January 2012
Chair: Michael Adams, President
University of Georgia

Group Met
DEC 2

Vice Chair: Ann Millner, President
Weber State University

No additional meetings are required.

Direct questions and feedback to staff contact:
Kathleen McNeely, kmcneely@ncaa.org

DEVELOPMENTS

The December 7 update remains current for the Resource Allocation Working Group.

Although a reduction in the number of men's basketball scholarships was discussed, the working group believes that the current number of men's basketball scholarships at 13 is an appropriate number. Therefore no change is recommended.

Rationale: The proposed scholarship numbers allow for continued success of football and women's basketball programs while providing institutions with the opportunity to reallocate dollars to other initiatives that benefit student-athletes. The proposed scholarship reductions also will allow for athletics talent to be dispersed across more intercollegiate athletics programs.

3. Elimination of institutional foreign tours that may be scheduled during the summer-vacation period between the institution's spring and fall terms or during an academic year vacation period (other than Labor Day vacation period) published in the institution's official catalog.

   Rationale: Institutions feel a growing pressure to provide a foreign tour opportunity to each student-athlete. As a result, providing a foreign tour has become tied to the recruiting process. Student-athletes are encouraged to use institutional study abroad programs that are available during these time periods.

4. Provide the following constraints on non-coaching personnel:

   a. In the sport of football, the Working Group will recommend a limit of 12 non-coaching staff members, whose duties include support of the football program in any capacity, including third-party contractors that may be employed by an institution. The 12 non-coaching staff members will not include athletics trainers, academic support and compliance staff members.

   b. In the sport of men's basketball, the Working Group will recommend a limit of six non-coaching staff members, whose duties include support of the basketball program in any capacity, including third-party contractors that may be employed by the institution. The six non-coaching staff members will not include athletics trainers, academic support and compliance staff members.

   NOTE: The non-coaching personnel limitations do include videographers, strength and conditioning coaches, and operations and administrative personnel. The Collegiate Model—Rules Working Group is asked to work with staff to refine the list of what would be included in the non-coaching staff limits.

   Rationale: The membership and the Board of Directors have expressed significant concern with the proliferation of non-coaching staff members with sport-specific responsibilities. Dollars spent on these personnel can be allocated to areas that will more directly benefit the student-athlete and better align with enduring values.

2011- RECRUITING – BASKETBALL EVENT CERTIFICATION – MEN'S AND WOMEN'S BASKETBALL – LEGAL RESIDENCE AND ADJOINING STATES – EXCEPTIONS

Intent: In basketball, to establish exceptions to the requirement that participants on a nonscholastic team that participates in a certified event must be legal residents of the state in which the team is located or a geographically adjoining state and not more than a total of three prospective student-athletes from adjoining states may participate on any one nonscholastic team.

A. Bylaws: Amend 13.18, as follows:

13.18 Summer Basketball Event Certification -- Men's Basketball. In men's basketball, in order for a summer basketball event (e.g., camp, league, tournament or festival) to be certified, a certification application form must be submitted each year to the NCAA national office 45 days before the start of the event. An event review form for each event also must be submitted to the national office not later than three months after the event sessions. The following criteria must be met by each event in order to be certified:

[13.18-(a) through 13.18-(q) unchanged.]

13.18.1 Exceptions. An exception to Bylaw 13.18-(k) may apply pursuant to the following circumstances, which must be verified by the NCAA national office:

(a) A prospective student-athlete who is not an international prospective student-athlete or a student attending and living at a boarding school or two-year college shall establish and maintain a legal residence for a minimum of three months prior to participation in a certified event;

(b) A prospective student-athlete may be considered a legal resident of the state in which his or her legal guardian resides, provided the legal guardianship is established in a court of law, the prospective student-athlete resides with the guardian and the guardianship is permanent. In addition, the prospective student-athlete shall establish and maintain such residence for a minimum of three months prior to participation in a certified event;

(c) If a prospective student-athlete provides documentation to show that state residency requirements have been met even though he or she has not maintained residency in the state for the minimum period of three months, the prospective student-athlete may be considered a resident of the state;

(d) An international prospective student-athlete may be considered a legal resident of the state in which his or her host family resides, provided the prospective student-athlete is a participant in an official educational exchange program;

(e) **An international prospective student-athlete who is not a participant in an official educational exchange program may be considered a legal resident of the state in which his or her host family resides if the prospective student-athlete attended high school in the locale of the host family's residence for a minimum of one academic year;**

(f) **A prospective student-athlete who attended and lived at a boarding school or two-year college for a minimum of one academic year may be considered a legal resident of the state in which the educational institution is located; or**

(g) **If a nonscholastic team that includes prospective student-athletes from a metropolitan area located on a state border, the team may include more than three team members from adjoining states, provided each additional participant resides within the metropolitan area.**

B. Bylaws: Amend 13.19, as follows:

13.19 Basketball Event Certification -- Women's Basketball. In women's basketball, in order for a basketball event (e.g., camp, league, tournament or festival) to be certified, a certification application form must be submitted each year to the NCAA national office 45 days prior to the start of the event. An event review form for each event also must be submitted to the NCAA national office not later than three months following the event sessions. The following criteria must be met by each event in order to be certified:

[13.19-(a) through 13.19-(q) unchanged.]

13.19.1 Exceptions. An exception to Bylaw 13.18-(k) may apply pursuant to the following circumstances, which must be verified by the NCAA national office:

(a) **A prospective student-athlete who is not an international prospective student-athlete or a student attending and living at a boarding school or two-year college shall establish and maintain a legal residence for a minimum of three months prior to participation in a certified event;**

(b) **A prospective student-athlete may be considered a legal resident of the state in which his or her legal guardian resides, provided the legal guardianship is established in a court of law, the prospective student-athlete resides with the guardian and the guardianship is permanent. In addition, the prospective student-athlete shall establish and maintain such residence for a minimum of three months prior to participation in a certified event;**

(c) **If a prospective student-athlete provides documentation to show that state residency requirements have been met even though he or she has not maintained residency in the state for the minimum period of three months, the prospective student-athlete may be considered a resident of the state;**

2011- EXECUTIVE REGULATIONS -- ELIGIBILITY FOR CHAMPIONSHIPS -- INELIGIBILITY FOR USE OF BANNED DRUGS -- MANIPULATION OF DRUG TEST SAMPLE

Intent: To increase the penalty for a student-athlete who is involved in a case of clearly observed manipulation of an NCAA drug test sample to the loss of a minimum of two seasons of competition in all sports, as specified.

A. Bylaws: Amend 18.4.1.5.1.1, as follows:

18.4.1.5.1.1 Breach of NCAA Drug-Testing Program Protocol. A student-athlete who is in breach of the NCAA drug-testing program protocol (e.g., no show~~, *tampering with sample*~~) shall be considered to have tested positive for the use of any drug other than a "street" drug.

> **18.4.1.5.1.1.1 Manipulation of Drug Test Sample. A student-athlete who is involved in a case of clearly observed manipulation of an NCAA drug test sample, as documented per NCAA drug-testing protocol by a drug-testing crew member, shall be charged with the loss of a minimum of two seasons of competition in all sports if the season of competition has not yet begun for that student-athlete or a minimum of the equivalent of two full seasons of competition in all sports if the student-athlete is involved in the manipulation of a drug test sample during his or her season of competition (the remainder of contests in the current season and contests in the following two seasons up to the period of time in initial season in which the student-athlete was declared ineligible). The student-athlete shall remain ineligible for all regular-season and postseason competition during the time period ending two calendar years (730 days) the student-athlete was involved in the manipulation of a drug test sample and until the student-athlete tests negative (in accordance with the testing methods authorized by the Executive Committee) and the student-athlete's eligibility is restored by the Committee on Student-Athlete Reinstatement.**

B. Administrative: Amend 31.2.3.1, as follows:

31.2.3.1 Breach of NCAA Drug-Testing Program Protocol. A student-athlete who is in breach of the NCAA drug-testing program protocol (e.g., no show~~, *tampering with sample*~~) shall be considered to have tested positive for the use of any drug other than a "street" drug.

> **31.2.3.1.1 Manipulation of Drug Test Sample. A student-athlete who is involved in a case of clearly observed manipulation of an NCAA drug test sample, as documented per NCAA drug-testing protocol by a drug-testing crew member, shall be charged with the loss of a minimum of two seasons of competition in all sports if the season of competition has not yet begun for that student-athlete or a minimum of the equivalent of two full seasons of competition in all sports if the student-athlete is involved in the manipulation of a drug test sample during his or her season of competition (the remainder of contests in the current season and contests in the following two seasons up to the period of time in initial season in which the student-athlete was declared ineligible). The student-athlete shall remain ineligible for all**

regular-season and postseason competition during the time period ending two calendar years (730 days) the student-athlete was involved in the manipulation of a drug test sample and until the student-athlete tests negative (in accordance with the testing methods authorized by the Executive Committee) and the student-athlete's eligibility is restored by the Committee on Student-Athlete Reinstatement.

Source: NCAA Division I Championship/Sports Management Cabinet (Committee on Competitive Safeguards and Medical Aspects of Sports)

Effective Date: August 1, 2012, for tests occurring on or after August 1, 2012.

Proposal Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Executive Regulations

Rationale: The penalty for testing positive for use of a banned substance is very serious. The penalty is supported by the membership as a strong deterrent and to protect the health and safety of student-athletes and the integrity of the sports in which they participate. The current penalty for a breach of drug testing protocol is the same as the penalty for a positive test. A deliberate attempt by a student-athlete to alter his or her urine specimen (documented per NCAA drug-testing protocol by a drug-testing crew member), through the use of added substances or by substituting another's urine, demonstrates an egregious violation of ethical conduct. This behavior warrants an even more serious automatic penalty as a deterrent to such behavior and as a sanction when it occurs. As with all drug-testing penalties, there is an appeal process available to the involved student-athlete and his or her institution. This proposal is being recommended as noncontroversial legislation, inasmuch as broader consultation and debate are unlikely to improve the proposal in any substantial way, significant disagreement or alternative points of view will not be generated and there does not appear to be a significant impact on existing or proposed legislation.

Estimated Budget Impact: None

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

History
Jun 12, 2011: Submit; Submitted for consideration.
Jun 14, 2011: Committee on Competitive Safeguards and Medical Aspects of Sports, Recommends Approval
Sep 14, 2011: Championships/Sports Management Cabinet, Sponsored

(d) **<u>An international prospective student-athlete may be considered a legal resident of the state in which his or her host family resides, provided the prospective student-athlete is a participant in an official educational exchange program;</u>**

(e) **<u>An international prospective student-athlete who is not a participant in an official educational exchange program may be considered a legal resident of the state in which his or her host family resides if the prospective student-athlete attended high school in the locale of the host family's residence for a minimum of one academic year;</u>**

(f) **<u>A prospective student-athlete who attended and lived at a boarding school or two-year college for a minimum of one academic year may be considered a legal resident of the state in which the educational institution is located; or</u>**

(g) **<u>If a nonscholastic team that includes prospective student-athletes from a metropolitan area located on a state border, the team may include more than three team members from adjoining states, provided each additional participant resides within the metropolitan area.</u>**

Source:

Effective Date: Immediate

Proposal Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: Currently, the only avenue for setting aside the legislation associated with the basketball event certification process is a Subcommittee for Legislative Relief (SLR) waiver. Since the SLR process requires that a request be submitted by an entity within the NCAA membership, prospective student-athletes are forced to approach the athletics department at an NCAA member institution or a conference and request that they file a waiver on the prospective student-athlete's behalf. Such a process may appear to create an obligation between the prospective student-athlete and the institution or conference. Removing the institution or conference as the middleman is appropriate. This proposal will also streamline the process for the involved prospective student-athletes. This proposal is being recommended as noncontroversial legislation, inasmuch as broader consultation and debate are unlikely to improve the proposal in any substantial way, significant disagreement or alternative points of view will not be generated and there does not appear to be a significant impact on existing or proposed legislation.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Proposal Number: 2010-26-3

Title: AMATEURISM -- PROMOTIONAL ACTIVITIES -- USE OF A STUDENT-ATHLETE'S NAME OR LIKENESS -- CO-SPONSORS OF PROMOTIONAL ACTIVITIES -- CONSOLIDATION OF MEDIA ACTIVITIES

Intent: To amend NCAA Proposal No. 2010-26, to restrict the use of a student-athlete's name or likeness for promotions and advertisements, as specified; further, to eliminate the distinction in the application of the media activities legislation between those that occur during the playing season and those that occur outside the playing season.

A. Bylaws: Amend Proposal No. 2010-26, 12.02, as follows:

12.02 DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS

[12.02.1 unchanged.]

~~*12.02.2 Likeness. Likeness includes an individual's image, photograph, likeness (whether actual, simulated, photographic, computer generated, rendered, caricature or otherwise), voice (whether speaking, rapping, singing, altered or otherwise), personality, biographical information and/or other personal identification.*~~

~~*12.02.3 Name. Name includes an individual's name, nickname, sobriquet, signature (whether actual, simulated, photographic, computer generated, rendered, caricature or otherwise) and/or other personal identification.*~~

[12.02.4 through 12.02.7 renumbered as 12.02.2 through 12.02.5, unchanged.]

B. Bylaws: Amend Proposal No. 2010-26, 12.5.1, as follows:

12.5.1 Permissible.

12.5.1.1 Institutional, Charitable, Education or Nonprofit Promotions. A member institution or recognized entity thereof (e.g., fraternity, sorority or student government organization), a member conference or noninstitutional charitable, educational or nonprofit agency may use a student-athlete's name, likeness or appearance to support its charitable or educational activities or to support activities considered incidental to the student-athlete's participation in intercollegiate athletics, provided the following conditions are met:

(a) The promotional activity must be approved in writing by the institution's director of athletics (or his or her designee who may not be a coaching staff member), subject to the limitations on participants in such activities as set forth in Bylaw 17;

(b) The student-athlete and an authorized representative of the charitable, educational or nonprofit agency must sign a release statement granting permission to use his or her name, likeness or appearance in a manner consistent with the requirements of this section;

(c) The promotional activity may involve co-sponsorship by a commercial entity, as approved by the institution, subject to the following conditions:

(1) The promotion must identify (e.g., via graphics, voice over, text) the commercial entity's affiliation with the institution, conference or noninstitutional charitable, educational or nonprofit agency (e.g., entity is the official sponsor of the institution or event); and

(2) There is no indication in the makeup, wording or action of the co-sponsorship that the student-athlete endorses or is directly promoting the use of a product or service of the commercial entity.

(d) The student-athlete shall not miss class;

(e) The student-athlete's name, picture or appearance is not used to promote the commercial ventures of any nonprofit agency;

(~~e~~**f**) The student-athlete may accept actual and necessary expenses from the member institution, member conference or the charitable, educational or nonprofit agency related to participation in such activity; and

(~~f~~**g**) Any institutional commercial items with names or likenesses of multiple student-athletes **(other than highlight films or media guides per Bylaw 12.5.1.7)** may be sold only ~~*by*~~ **at** the member institution at which the student-athlete is enrolled, the institution's conference, institutionally controlled (owned and operated) outlets ~~*or other institutionally authorized entities (subject to Bylaw 12.5.1.1.1)*~~ or outlets controlled by the charitable, educational or nonprofit organization (e.g., location of the charitable or educational organization, site charitable event during the event). Items that include an individual student-athlete's name or likeness (e.g., name on jersey, name or likeness on a bobble-head doll), other than informational items (e.g., media guide, schedule cards, institutional publications), may not be sold.

~~*12.5.1.1.1 Sale of Institutional Commercial Items. A commercial entity may sell an institutional commercial item that includes the names and/or likenesses of multiple student-athletes, provided the following conditions are met:*~~

~~*(a) The sale of the commercial item is approved by the institution's director of athletics (or his or her designee who may not be a coaching staff member);*~~

~~*(b) The commercial item must be considered to be an institutional item and must include the name of the institution. Two or more institutions may collectively agree to authorize or license a commercial item that includes the names or likenesses of multiple student-athletes [any commercial item authorized for sale by the institution (or institutions) may be considered to be an institutional commercial item];*~~

~~*(c) The involved student-athletes have signed a release statement granting permission to use their names or likenesses in a manner consistent with the requirements of this section;*~~

~~*(d) The involved student-athletes shall not directly endorse or promote the use of the commercial item; and*~~

~~*(e) The commercial item does not involve or relate in any way to alcoholic beverages, tobacco products or an organization that is involved with or promotes gambling.*~~

12.5.1.1.~~*2*~~**1** Promotions Involving NCAA Championships, Events, Activities or Programs. The NCAA [or third part acting on behalf of the NCAA (e.g., host institution, conference, local organizing committee)] may use the name or likeness of an enrolled student-athlete to generally promote NCAA championships or other NCAA events, activities or programs.

12.5.1.1.~~*3*~~**2** Promotions Involving Commercial Locations/Sponsors. A member institution, a member conference or a charitable, educational or nonprofit organization may use the appearance, name or likeness of an enrolled student-athlete to promote its activities at the location of a commercial establishment, **provided the commercial establishment is not** ~~*which may be*~~ a co-sponsor of the activity, provided the student-athlete does not directly promote the sale of a commercial product or service in conjunction with the activity. **A commercial establishment would become a co-sponsor if the commercial establishment either advertises the presence of the student-athlete at the commercial location or is involved directly or indirectly in promoting the activity.**

[12.5.1.1.4 through 12.5.1.1.6 renumbered as 12.5.1.1.3 through 12.5.1.1.5, unchanged.]

[12.5.1.2 through 12.5.1.3 unchanged.]

12.5.1.4 **Congratulatory** Advertisement ~~*or Promotion*~~. **It is permissible for a student-athlete's name or picture, or the group picture of an institution's athletics squad, to appear in an advertisement of a particular business, commercial product or service, provided:**

(a) The primary purpose of the advertisement is to publicize the sponsor's congratulations to the student-athlete or team;

(b) The advertisement does not include a reproduction of the product with which the business is associated or any other item or description identifying the business or service other than its name or trademark;

(c) There is no indication in the makeup or wording of the advertisement that the squad members, individually or collectively, or the institution endorses the product or service of the advertiser;

(d) The student-athlete has not signed a consent or release granting permission to use the student-athlete's name or picture in a manner inconsistent with the requirements of this section; and

(e) If the student-athlete has received a prize from a commercial sponsor in conjunction with participation in a promotional contest and the advertisement involves the announcement of receipt of the prize, the receipt of the prize is consistent with the provisions of Bylaw 12.5.2.3.3 and official interpretations.

~~*An advertisement or promotion by a commercial entity may include a student-athlete's name or likeness (e.g., competition video footage, competition photographs), provided the following conditions are met:*~~

~~*(a) The advertisement or promotion is approved by the institution's director of athletics (or his or her designee who may not be a coaching staff member);*~~

~~*(b) The student-athlete has signed a release statement granting permission to use his or her name or likeness in a manner consistent with the requirements of this section;*~~

~~*(c) The student-athlete does not miss class;*~~

~~*(d) The advertisement or promotion identifies (e.g., via graphics, voice over, text) the commercial entity's affiliation with the institution, conference or the NCAA (e.g., entity is the official sponsor of the institution or event);*~~

~~*(e) There is no indication in the makeup, wording or action of the advertisement or promotion that the student-athlete endorses or is directly promoting the use of a product or service of the commercial entity;*~~

~~*(f) If a student-athlete's name is used in the advertisement or promotion, a reference to the student-athlete's institution must be used immediately before or after his or her name; and*~~

~~*(g) The advertisement or promotion does not involve alcoholic beverages, tobacco products or an organization that is involved with or promotes gambling.*~~

12.5.1.4.1 Effect of Violation. A violation of this bylaw shall be considered an institutional violation per Constitution 2.8.1; however, the student-athlete's eligibility shall not be affected.

[12.5.1.5 through 12.5.1.6 unchanged.]

12.5.1.7 Promotion by Third Party of Highlight Film, Video or Media Guide. Any party other than the institution or a student-athlete (e.g., a distribution company) may sell and distribute an institutional highlight film or video or an institutional or conference media guide that contains the names and pictures of enrolled student-athletes only if:

(a) The institution specifically designates any agency that is authorized to receive orders for the film, video or media guide;

(b) Sales and distribution activities have the written approval of the institution's athletics director;

(c) The distribution company or a retail store is precluded from using the name or picture of an enrolled student-athlete in any poster or other advertisement to promote the sale or distribution of the film or media guide; and

(d) There is no indication in the makeup or wording of the advertisement that the squad members, individually or collectively, or the institution endorses the product or services of the advertiser.

[12.5.1.7 through 12.5.1.8 renumbered as 12.5.1.8 through 12.5.1.9, unchanged.]

C. Bylaws: Amend Proposal No. 2010-26, 12.5.2, as follows:

12.5.2 Nonpermissible.

12.5.2.1 Advertisement and Promotions Subsequent to Enrollment. After becoming a student-athlete, an individual shall not be eligible for participation in intercollegiate athletics if the individual:

(a) Accepts any remuneration for or permits the use of his or her name or likeness to advertise, recommend or promote **directly** the sale or use of a commercial product or service of any kind~~*, except as permitted in Bylaws 12.5.1.1 and 12.5.1.4*~~, or

[Remainder of 12.5.2.1 unchanged.]

12.5.2.2 Use of a Student-Athlete's Name or Likeness **without Knowledge or Permission**. If a student-athlete's name or likeness appears on commercial items (e.g., T-shirts, sweatshirts, serving trays, playing cards, posters) or is used to advertise or promote a commercial product or service sold by an individual or agency **without the student-athlete's knowledge or permission** ~~*in a manner contrary to the requirements of Bylaw 12.5.1.4*~~, the student-athlete (or the institution acting on behalf of the student-athlete) is required to take steps to stop such an activity in order to retain his or her eligibility for intercollegiate athletics. Such steps are not required in cases in which a student-athlete's photograph is sold by an individual or agency (e.g., private photographer, news agency) for private use.

12.5.2.3 Specifically Restricted Activities. A student-athlete's involvement in promotional activities specified in this section is prohibited.

12.5.2.3.1 Name the Player Contest. A student-athlete may not permit use of his or her name or picture in a "name the player" contest conducted by a commercial business for the purpose of promoting that business.

12.5.2.3.2 Athletics Equipment Advertisement. A student-athlete's name or picture may not be used by an athletics equipment company or manufacturer to publicize the fact that the institution's team uses its equipment.

[12.5.2.3 renumbered as 12.5.2.4, unchanged.]

D. Bylaws: Amend Proposal No. 2010-26, 12.5.3, as follows:

12.5.3 Media Activities. A student-athlete may participate in media activities (e.g., appearance on radio, television, in films or stage productions or participation in writing projects) when such appearance or participation is related in any way to athletics ability or prestige, provided the student-athlete is eligible academically to represent the

institution, he or she does not receive any remuneration for such appearance or participation and he or she is not portrayed in a manner as promoting or directly endorsing the sale or use of a commercial product or service. The student-athlete may, however, receive legitimate and normal expenses directly related to such appearance or participation, provided the source of the expenses is the entity sponsoring the activity. A student-athlete participating in media activities may not miss class, except for class time missed in conjunction with away-from-home competition or to participate in a conference-sponsored media day.

12.5.3.1 Use of a Student-Athlete's Name of Likeness by a Media Entity in Conjunction with Coverage of Intercollegiate Competition and Other Activities. A media entity, or its authorized distributor, may include a student-athlete's name or likeness in its coverage and promotion of intercollegiate competition and other activities incidental to his or her participation in intercollegiate athletics, provided the student-athlete is not portrayed in a manner as promoting or directly endorsing the sale or use of a commercial product or service. A media entity may feature a student-athlete's name or likeness in the course of its journalistic coverage of news (and the promotions of such coverage) related to the student-athlete or his or her institution or conference or the NCAA.

Source: NCAA Division I Amateurism Cabinet

Effective Date: August 1, 2011

Category: Amendment-to-Amendment

Topical Area: Amateurism

Rationale: This amendment maintains current restrictions related to commercial advertisements and the sale of institutional commercial items; however, it would permit flexibility as it relates to co-sponsorship of institutional, charitable, education or nonprofit promotions. Also, it eliminates the distinction between media activities that occur during the playing season and those that occur outside the playing season.

Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)
none

History
Feb 17, 2011: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Feb 18, 2011: Amateurism Cabinet

Apr 12, 2011: Leg Council Init Review, Adopted; Pending Possible Board of Directors Review

Proposal Number: 2010-58-C

Title: ELIGIBILITY, FINANCIAL AID AND PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- SUMMER ACADEMIC PREPARATION AND COLLEGE ACCLIMATIZATION -- MEN'S BASKETBALL -- NATIONAL SERVICE ACADEMY EXCEPTION

Intent: In men's basketball, to establish a summer academic preparation and college acclimatization model, as specified, including exceptions for national service academies.

A. Constitution: Amend 3.2.4, as follows:

3.2.4 Conditions and Obligations of Membership.

[3.2.4.1 through 3.2.4.16 unchanged.]

3.2.4.17 Academic Assessment -- Men's Basketball.

3.2.4.17.1 Assessment of Student-Athletes Receiving Athletically Related Financial Aid. In men's basketball, an active member shall assess all incoming student-athletes (including transfer student-athletes) who have signed the institution's written offer of athletically related financial aid for the following academic year to identify those who require enrollment in summer school prior to initial full-time enrollment at the certifying institution. The assessment shall be based on criteria defined by the institution and shall include an assessment of learning skills. In following years, the institution shall re-evaluate all continuing student-athletes who will receive athletically related financial aid in the following academic year using institutionally defined criteria to identify student-athletes who should be encouraged to enroll in summer school. (See Bylaws 15.2.8.1.2.5 and 15.2.8.1.4.1.)

3.2.4.17.1.1 Exception -- No Summer Session. An institution that does not offer summer session classes is not required to assess incoming or continuing student-athletes.

3.2.4.17.2 Assessment of Student-Athletes -- Institution That Does Not Award Athletics Aid or Awards Only Need-Based Athletics Aid. In men's basketball, an institution that does not award athletically related financial aid or an institution that awards athletically related financial aid based solely on demonstrated financial need, as determined for all students by the institution's financial aid office using methodologies that conform to federal, state and written institutional guidelines (including institutional financial aid that is considered athletically related financial aid based on the intervention of athletics department staff), shall assess all recruited incoming student-athletes (including transfer student-athletes) in order to identify those that require enrollment in summer school prior to initial full-time enrollment at the certifying institution and in order to conduct athletics development activities pursuant to Bylaw 17.1.6.2.1.1.3. The assessment shall be based on criteria defined by the institution and shall include an assessment of learning skills. In following years, the institution shall re-evaluate all continuing recruited student-athletes using institutionally defined criteria to identify student-athletes who should be encouraged to enroll in summer school. (See Bylaws 15.2.8.1.2.5 and 15.2.8.1.4.1.)

3.2.4.17.2.1 Exception -- No Summer Session. An institution that does not offer summer session classes is not required to assess incoming or continuing student-athletes.

B. Bylaws: Amend 13.02.12, as follows:

13.02.12 Prospective Student-Athlete. A prospective student-athlete is a student who has started classes for the ninth grade. In addition, a student who has not started classes for the ninth grade becomes a prospective student-athlete if the institution provides such an individual (or the individual's relatives or friends) any financial assistance or

other benefits that the institution does not provide to prospective students generally. An individual remains a prospective student-athlete until one of the following occurs (whichever is earlier):

[13.02.12-(a) through 13.02.12-(c) unchanged.]

[13.02.12.1 through 13.02.12.2 unchanged.]

13.02.12.3 Exception -- Men's Basketball. In men's basketball, a recruited prospective student-athlete (including a transfer prospective student-athlete) who has signed the institution's written offer of financial aid for the following academic year shall be considered a student-athlete at the point in which he attends a class for which he is registered and enrolled in the institution's summer term prior to his initial full-time enrollment at the institution.

C. Bylaws: Amend 14.4.3, as follows:

14.4.3 Eligibility for Competition.

14.4.3.1 Fulfillment of Credit-Hour Requirements. Eligibility for competition shall be determined based on satisfactory completion of at least:

[14.4.3.1-(a) through 14.4.3.1-(c) unchanged.]

[14.4.3.1.1 through 14.4.3.1.2 unchanged.]

14.4.3.1.3 Summer School Requirements -- Men's Basketball. In men's basketball, an incoming student-athlete (including a transfer student-athlete) who attends summer school prior to initial full-time enrollment at the certifying institution and engages in summer athletics development activities (see Bylaw 17.1.6.2.1.1.3) shall successfully complete a minimum of three credit hours of acceptable degree credit (other than physical education activity courses) toward any of the institution's degree programs in order to be eligible to compete in his first regular term of full-time enrollment. Remedial, tutorial and noncredit courses may be used to satisfy this requirement, provided the courses are considered by the institution to be prerequisites for specific courses acceptable for any degree program and are given the same academic weight as other courses offered by the institution. A continuing student-athlete who attends summer school and who engages in summer athletics development activities (see Bylaw 17.1.6.2.1.1.3) shall successfully complete a minimum of six credit hours in order to be eligible to compete in the first term of the following academic year.

14.4.3.1.3.1 Exception -- National Service Academies. In men's basketball, if a continuing student-athlete at a national service academy enrolls in an academic summer school course (in addition to participation in required summer military training) and engages in summer athletics development activities, he shall successfully complete the course in order to be eligible to compete in the first term of the following academic year.

D. Bylaws: Amend 15.2.8, as follows:

15.2.8 Summer Financial Aid. Summer financial aid may be awarded only to attend the awarding institution's summer term, summer school or summer-orientation program, provided the following conditions are met:

[15.2.8-(a) through 15.2.8-(c) unchanged.]

15.2.8.1 General Stipulations. A student-athlete who is eligible for institutional financial aid during the summer is not required to be enrolled in a minimum full-time program of studies. However, the student-athlete may not receive financial aid that exceeds the cost of attendance in that summer term. A student-athlete may receive institutional

financial aid based on athletics ability (per Bylaw 15.02.4.1), outside financial aid for which athletics participation is a major criterion (per Bylaw 15.2.6.4) and educational expenses awarded (per Bylaw 15.2.6.5) up to the value of a full grant-in-aid, plus any other financial aid unrelated to athletics ability up to cost of attendance. (See Bylaws 15.01.6.1, 15.01.6.2, 16.3, 16.4 and 16.12.)

[15.2.8.1.1 unchanged.]

15.2.8.1.2 Enrolled Student-Athletes. After initial full-time enrollment during a regular academic year, a student-athlete shall not receive athletically related financial aid to attend the certifying institution's summer term or summer school unless the student-athlete received such athletically related aid from the certifying institution during the student-athlete's previous academic year at that institution. Further, such aid may be awarded only in proportion to the amount of athletically related financial aid received by the student-athlete during the student-athlete's previous academic year at the certifying institution, except that this proportionality restriction shall not apply to a student-athlete who has exhausted his or her eligibility and is enrolled in a summer program of studies that will permit the student-athlete to complete his or her degree requirements.

[15.2.8.1.2.1 through 15.2.8.1.2.4 unchanged.]

15.2.8.1.2.5 Required Financial Aid -- Men's Basketball. Each year, the institution shall assess all continuing men's basketball student-athletes who will receive athletically related financial aid in the following academic year using institutionally defined criteria to identify those who should attend summer school. Student-athletes who are not on schedule to graduate in five years from initial full-time enrollment shall be strongly encouraged to attend summer school. The institution shall provide a full athletics grant-in-aid to a student-athlete who is identified through the assessment and chooses to attend, provided he is enrolled in a minimum of six credit hours.

15.2.8.1.2.5.1 Exception -- No Athletically Related Aid or Need-Based Athletically Related Aid Only. An institution that does not award athletically related financial aid or an institution that awards athletically related financial aid based solely on demonstrated financial need, as determined for all students by the institution's financial aid office using methodologies that conform to federal, state and written institutional guidelines (including institutional financial aid that is considered athletically related financial aid based on the intervention of athletics department staff), is not required to award athletically related financial aid to student-athletes who attend summer school. However, the institution shall provide all the institutional financial aid (e.g., need-based aid) for which the student-athlete qualifies to a student-athlete who is identified through the assessment and chooses to attend summer school.

[15.2.8.1.3 unchanged.]

15.2.8.1.4 Prior to Initial, Full-Time Enrollment at the Certifying Institution -- Athletics Aid. The following conditions apply to the awarding of athletically related financial aid to a prospective student-athlete (including a prospective student-athlete not certified by the NCAA Eligibility Center as a qualifier) to attend an institution in the summer prior to the prospective student's initial, full-time enrollment at the certifying institution (see also Bylaw 13.02.11.1):

[15.2.8.1.4-(a) through 15.2.8.1.4-(e) unchanged.]

15.2.8.1.4.1 Required Financial Aid -- Men's Basketball. In men's basketball, a student-athlete who is required to attend summer school based on the institution's academic assessment (see Constitution 3.2.4.17) shall receive a full athletics grant-in-aid. Such a student-athlete shall enroll in a minimum of six credit hours (other than physical education activity courses) of acceptable degree credit toward

any of the institution's degree programs and shall receive learning skills education. Remedial, tutorial and noncredit courses may be used to satisfy this requirement, provided the courses are considered by the institution to be prerequisites for specific courses acceptable for any degree program and are given the same academic weight as other courses offered by the institution.

15.2.8.1.4.1.1 Exception -- No Athletically Related Aid or Need-Based Athletically Related Aid Only. An institution that does not award athletically related financial aid or an institution that awards athletically related financial aid based solely on demonstrated financial need, as determined for all students by the institution's financial aid office using methodologies that conform to federal, state and written institutional guidelines (including institutional financial aid that is considered athletically related financial aid based on the intervention of athletics department staff), is not required to award athletically related financial aid to student-athletes who attend summer school. However, a student-athlete who is required to attend summer school based on the institution's academic assessment shall receive all the institutional financial aid (e.g., need-based aid) for which the student-athlete qualifies.

E. Bylaws: Amend 17.1.6.2.1, as follows:

17.1.6.2.1 Institutional Vacation Period and Summer.

17.1.6.2.1.1 Sports Other than Championship Subdivision Football. In sports other than championship subdivision football, a student-athlete may not participate in any countable athletically related activities outside the playing season during any institutional vacation period and/or summer. Strength and conditioning coaches who are not countable coaches and who perform such duties on a department-wide basis may design and conduct specific workout programs for student-athletes, provided such workouts are voluntary and conducted at the request of the student-athlete.

[17.1.6.2.1.1.1 through 17.1.6.2.1.1.2 unchanged.]

17.1.6.2.1.1.3 Athletics Development Activities -- Men's Basketball. In men's basketball, an institution may designate eight weeks of the summer during which incoming and continuing student-athletes who are enrolled in and attending summer school (in a minimum of six credit hours) may engage in required weight-training, conditioning and skill-related instruction. A student-athlete's participation in such activities per Bylaw 17.02.1 shall be limited to a maximum of eight hours per week with not more than two hours per week spent on skill-related workouts.

17.1.6.2.1.1.3.1 Exception -- National Service Academies. In men's basketball, a national service academy may designate eight weeks of the summer during which incoming and continuing student-athletes who are enrolled in and attending summer school and/or required summer on campus military training may engage in required weight-training, conditioning and skill-related instruction. A student-athlete's participation in such activities per Bylaw 17.02.1 shall be limited to a maximum of eight hours per week with not more than two hours per week spent on skill-related workouts.

[17.1.6.2.1.2 unchanged.]

Source: Mountain West Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2011; effective beginning with the summer 2012.

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Eligibility

Rationale: This alternative proposal recognizes that the development of an institutional connection is a critically important factor in retaining students. Given the critical importance of the relationship between coach and student-athlete, it is fair to consider that enhancing that relationship will also enhance the connection that a student-athlete feels toward the institution. This proposal will provide opportunities for men's basketball student-athletes at the service academies to have similar interaction with their coaches as their civilian counterparts while still adhering to the institutional mission of developing future military officers through required summer military training. Graduation requirements at the service academies include not only completion of academic requirements but also completion of military training requirements. Due to the nature of those military requirements, most of them are conducted during the summer months. As a result, student-athletes at the service academies have very limited opportunities to enroll in academic courses during the summer, yet they are still expected to complete all requirements (academic as well as military) in four years. Allowing the service academies to replace academic courses with military courses in order to reach the same goals (retention and graduation) makes this concept equitable for the service academies.

Budget Impact: Will vary among institutions.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): Minimal as compared to the current model.

Position Statement(s)

none

History

Oct 22, 2010: Submit; Submitted for consideration as an alternative to Proposal Nos. 2010-58-A and 2010-58-B.

Jan 13, 2011: Leg Council Init Review, Amended the Proposal; Amended the effective date to specify that the legislation would be effective beginning with the summer 2012.

Jan 13, 2011: Leg Council Init Review, Defeated

Jan 15, 2011: Board Review; Board recinded the Legislative Council's action and forwarded the proposal to the membership for review and comment.

Jan 16, 2011: Comment Period; Start of Comment Period

Mar 16, 2011: Comment Period; End of Comment Period; (Official Comment Totals: Support = 0, Oppose = 1, Abstain = 0)

Apr 12, 2011: Leg Council Final Review, Tabled; Pending the review of the men's basketball recruiting model by the NCAA Division I Leadership Council.

2010-11 NCAA Division I Legislative Proposals Related to Limits on Noncoaching Staff Members

This document is intended to assist the NCAA membership in its understanding of proposals in the 2010-11 legislative cycle that relate to limits on noncoaching staff members in basketball and football.

	NCAA Proposal No. 2010-16-C	**Proposal No. 2010-16-C-1**	**Proposal No. 2010-16-C-2**
Sport	Basketball	Basketball	Basketball
Limit of Noncoaching Staff Members	2 (two for MBB and two for WBB)	4 (four for MBB and four for WBB)	2 (two for MBB and two for WBB)
Examples of Positions Included in Limit	• Director of operations • Video coordinator • Quality control personnel • Director of player development • Director of community relations • Any other noncoaching staff whose duties include support of the basketball program and who are not exempted	• Director of operations • Video coordinator • Quality control personnel • Director of player development • Director of community relations • <u>Clerical staff</u> • Any other noncoaching staff whose duties include support of the basketball program and who are not exempted	• Director of operations • Video coordinator • Quality control personnel • Director of player development • Director of community relations • Any other noncoaching staff whose duties include support of the basketball program and who are not exempted
Examples of Positions Excluded from Limit	• Clerical staff • Managers • Sports information personnel • Equipment manager • Academic advisor • Athletic trainer • Marketing staff • Any other noncoaching staff who <u>do not</u> directly support the basketball program	• <u>Full-time undergraduate students</u> • <u>Full-time graduate students</u> • Managers • Sports information personnel • Equipment manager • Academic advisor • Athletic trainer • Marketing staff • Any other noncoaching staff who <u>do not</u> directly support the basketball program	• Clerical staff • Managers • <u>Video personnel who are full-time undergraduate students</u> • Sports information personnel • Equipment manager • Academic advisor • Athletic trainer • Marketing staff • Any other noncoaching staff who <u>do not</u> directly support the basketball program
Effective Date	August 1, 2012	August 1, 2012	August 1, 2012

	Proposal No. 2010-18-C	Proposal No. 2010-18-C-1	Proposal No. 2010-18-C-2
Sport	Football (FBS)	Football (FBS)	Football (FBS)
Limit of Noncoaching Staff Members	6	9	6
Examples of Positions Included in Limit	• Director of operations • Video coordinator • Quality control personnel • Director of player development, • Director of community relations • Any other noncoaching staff whose duties include support of the football program and who are not exempted	• Director of operations • Video coordinator • Quality control personnel • Director of player development, • Director of community relations • Clerical staff • Any other noncoaching staff whose duties include support of the football program and who are not exempted	• Director of operations • Video coordinator • Quality control personnel • Director of player development, • Director of community relations • Any other noncoaching staff whose duties include support of the football program and who are not exempted
Examples of Positions Excluded from Limit	• Clerical staff • Managers • Sports information personnel • Equipment manager • Academic advisor • Athletic trainer • Marketing staff • Any other noncoaching staff who do not directly support the football program	• Full-time undergraduate students • Full-time graduate students • Managers • Sports information personnel • Equipment manager • Academic advisor • Athletic trainer • Marketing staff • Any other noncoaching staff who do not directly support the football program	• Clerical staff • Managers • Video personnel who are full-time undergraduate students • Sports information personnel • Equipment manager • Academic advisor • Athletic trainer • Marketing staff • Any other noncoaching staff who do not directly support the basketball program
Effective Date	August 1, 2012	August 1, 2012	August 1, 2012

	Proposal No. 2010-20-A	Proposal No. 2010-20-B	Proposal No. 2010-20-C	Proposal No. 2010-20-C-1
Sport	Football (FCS)	Football (FCS)	Football (FCS)	Football (FCS)
Limit of Noncoaching Staff Members	4	3	4	6
Examples of Positions Included in Limit	• Director of operations • Quality control personnel • Director of player development • Director of community relations • Video coordinator • Any other noncoaching staff whose duties are specific to and who work directly for the football program	• Director of operations • Quality control personnel • Director of player development • Director of community relations • Any other noncoaching staff whose duties are specific to and who work directly for the football program	• Director of operations • Quality control personnel • Director of player development • Director of community relations • Video coordinator • Any other noncoaching staff whose duties include support of the football program and who are not exempted	• Director of operations • Quality control personnel • Director of player development • Director of community relations • Video coordinator • Clerical staff • Any other noncoaching staff whose duties include support of the football program and who are not exempted
Examples of Positions Excluded from Limit	• Clerical staff who work exclusively for the football program • Managers who work exclusively for the football program	• Clerical staff who work exclusively for the football program • Managers who work exclusively for the football program • Video coordinators who work exclusively for the football program	• Clerical staff • Managers • Sports information personnel • Equipment manager • Academic advisor • Athletic trainer • Marketing staff • Any other noncoaching staff who do not directly support the football program	• Full-time undergraduate students • Full-time graduate students • Managers • Sports information personnel • Equipment manager • Academic advisor • Athletic trainer • Marketing staff • Any other noncoaching staff who do not directly support the football program
Effective Date	August 1, 2012	August 1, 2012	August 1, 2012	August 1, 2012

****

NCAA Proposal No. 2010-16-C Personnel -- Limitations on the Number and Duties of Coaches -- Noncoaching Staff Members -- Basketball -- Limit of Two

Question: If an individual supports both the men's and women's basketball program in the same capacity and is not otherwise exempted (e.g., video coordinator for both programs), does that individual count separately in the noncoaching limitation for each program?

Answer: Yes.

Proposal No. 2010-20-A Personnel -- Limitations on the Number and Duties of Coaches -- Noncoaching Staff with Sport-Specific Responsibilities -- Championship Subdivision Football -- Limit of Four

Question: What types of staff positions would be included in the proposed numerical limitations?

Answer: Some common examples of positions that would be included in the proposed numerical limitations include, but are not limited to, directors of operations, video coordinators, quality control personnel, directors of player development and directors of community relations. Individuals who may report to another unit or department within the department of athletics or outside the department of athletics, such as sports information directors, academic advisors, athletic trainers, equipment managers and marketing staff, would not be included in the proposed numerical limitations, even if these individuals have football-specific responsibilities.

Question: Why does the proposal have an effective date of August 1, 2012?

Answer: The delayed effective date was chosen in order to provide sufficient notice to institutions. It will be up to an institution's discretion to move effected noncoaching staff members into other positions if the institution is currently over the proposed limit of noncoaching staff members.

Question: How would the limits on noncoaching staff members affect employees who split their responsibilities among two to three sports (e.g., videographer for football and men's and women's basketball)?

Answer: Such an individual would not be included in the proposed limitations, provided he or she does not work directly for one of the sports for which the limits would apply and his or her responsibilities are not specific to such a sport.

Question: Does the proposed noncoaching limitation apply separately to an institution's varsity and junior varsity teams?

Answer: No.

Proposal Number: 2010-16-C

Title: PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON THE NUMBER AND DUTIES OF COACHES -- NONCOACHING STAFF MEMBERS -- BASKETBALL -- LIMIT OF TWO

Intent: In basketball, to specify that there shall be a limit of two noncoaching staff members (two for men's basketball and two for women's basketball) whose duties include support of the basketball program in any capacity (e.g., director of operations, video coordinator, quality control personnel, director of player development, director of community relations) who may be employed (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) by the institution; further, to specify that clerical staff and managers and noncoaching institutional staff members whose responsibilities relate to basketball, but who do not directly support the basketball program (e.g., sports information personnel, equipment manager, academic advisor, athletic trainer, marketing staff) are exempt from the limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members.

Bylaws: Amend 11.7, as follows:

11.7 LIMITATIONS ON THE NUMBER AND DUTIES OF COACHES **AND NONCOACHING STAFF MEMBERS**

11.7.1 General Provisions Applicable to All Sports with Numerical Coaching Limits.

[11.7.1.1 unchanged.]

[11.7.1.1.1 unchanged.]

[11.7.1.1.1.1 unchanged.]

11.7.1.1.1.1.1 Exception -- Noncoaching Staff Member with Sport-Specific Responsibilities. A noncoaching staff member with sport-specific responsibilities (e.g., director of operations, administrative assistant) may participate in organized activities involving only the coaching staff or may perform administrative duties (e.g., attend meetings involving coaching activities, analyze video of the institution's or an opponent's team, track statistics during practice or competition). However, such an individual is prohibited from participating in instructional activities with student-athletes and any on-court or on-field activities (e.g., assist with drills, throw batting practice), and is prohibited from participating with or observing student-athletes in the staff member's sport who are engaged in nonorganized voluntary athletically related activities (e.g., pick-up games). **(See Bylaw 11.7.5.)**

[Remainder of 11.7.1 unchanged.]

[11.7.2 through 11.7.4 unchanged.]

11.7.5 Limitation on the Number of Noncoaching Staff Members -- Basketball. There shall be a limit of two noncoaching staff members (two for men's basketball and two for women's basketball) whose duties include support of the basketball program in any capacity (e.g., director of operations, video coordinator, quality control personnel, director of player development, director of community relations) who may be employed (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) by the institution.

11.7.5.1 Exceptions to Number Limits.

11.7.5.1.1 Clerical Staff and Managers. Clerical staff and managers are exempt from the limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members.

11.7.5.1.2 Noncoaching Staff Who Provide Indirect Support. Noncoaching institutional staff members whose responsibilities relate to basketball, but who do not directly support the basketball program (e.g., sports information personnel, equipment manager, academic advisor, athletic trainer, marketing staff) are

exempt from the limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members.

Source: NCAA Division I Legislative Council

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel

Rationale: This alternative proposal maintains the goal of the original proposal, which is to address concerns related to the proliferation of noncoaching staff members with sport-specific responsibilities and its impact on competitive equity in men's and women's basketball. However, this alternative addresses concerns of potential circumvention of the intended application of the original proposal. For example, pursuant to the application of Proposal Nos. 2010-16-A or 2010-16-B, an institution could specify that 90 percent of a noncoaching staff member's duties are specific to basketball and 10 percent are specific to another sport or a nonbasketball related function. Pursuant to this proposal, a noncoaching staff member whose duties include support of the basketball program in any capacity must be included in the numerical limit; however, clerical staff and managers whose duties include support of the basketball program and other noncoaching institutional staff members whose responsibilities relate to basketball, but who do not directly support the basketball program are exempt from the limitation.

Budget Impact: Variable. Potential cost savings based on the current number of noncoaching staff currently employed by an institution.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

none

History

Oct 19, 2010: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Oct 19, 2010: Legislative Council, Sponsored; Sponsored as an alternative to Proposal Nos. 2010-16-A and 2010-16-B.

Jan 13, 2011: Leg Council Init Review, Forwarded for Membership Comment

Jan 16, 2011: Comment Period; Start of Comment Period

Mar 16, 2011: Comment Period; End of Comment Period; (Official Comment Totals: Support = 1, Oppose = 2, Abstain = 1)

Apr 12, 2011: Leg Council Final Review, Tabled

Proposal Number: 2010-16-C-1

Title: PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON THE NUMBER AND DUTIES OF COACHES -- NONCOACHING STAFF MEMBERS -- BASKETBALL -- LIMIT OF FOUR -- EXCEPTION FOR FULL-TIME STUDENTS

Intent: To amend NCAA Proposal No. 2010-16-C, in basketball, to specify that there shall be a limit of four noncoaching staff members (four for men's basketball and four for women's basketball) whose duties include support of the basketball program in any capacity (e.g., clerical staff, director of operations, video coordinator, quality control personnel, director of player development, director of community relations) who may be employed (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) by the institution; further to specify that a full-time undergraduate or graduate student at the certifying institution who performs duties in support of the basketball program is exempt from the limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members.

Bylaws: Amend Proposal No. 2010-16-C, as follows:

11.7 LIMITATIONS ON THE NUMBER AND DUTIES OF COACHES AND NONCOACHING STAFF MEMBERS

11.7.1 General Provisions Applicable to All Sports with Numerical Coaching Limits.

[11.7.1.1 unchanged.]

[11.7.1.1.1 unchanged.]

[11.7.1.1.1.1 unchanged.]

11.7.1.1.1.1.1 Exception -- Noncoaching Staff Member with Sport-Specific Responsibilities. A noncoaching staff member with sport-specific responsibilities (e.g., director of operations, administrative assistant) may participate in organized activities involving only the coaching staff or may perform administrative duties (e.g., attend meetings involving coaching activities, analyze video of the institution's or an opponent's team, track statistics during practice or competition). However, such an individual is prohibited from participating in instructional activities with student-athletes and any on-court or on-field activities (e.g., assist with drills, throw batting practice), and is prohibited from participating with or observing student-athletes in the staff member's sport who are engaged in nonorganized voluntary athletically related activities (e.g., pick-up games). (See Bylaw 11.7.5.)

[Remainder of 11.7.1 unchanged.]

[11.7.2 through 11.7.4 unchanged.]

11.7.5 Limitation on the Number of Noncoaching Staff Members -- Basketball. There shall be a limit of ~~*two*~~ **four** noncoaching staff members (~~*two*~~ **four** for men's basketball and ~~*two*~~ **four** for women's basketball) whose duties include support of the basketball program in any capacity (e.g., **clerical staff,** director of operations, video coordinator, quality control personnel, director of player development, director of community relations) who may be employed (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) by the institution.

11.7.5.1 Exceptions to Number Limits.

11.7.5.1.1 ~~*Clerical Staff and Managers*~~ **Full-Time Students**. ~~*Clerical staff and managers are*~~ **A full-time undergraduate or graduate student (see Bylaws 14.1.8.2 and 14.1.8.2.1.4) at the certifying institution who performs duties in support of the basketball program is** exempt from the limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members. **A student who is enrolled in his or her final semester or quarter of a degree program may be enrolled in less than a full-time program of studies, provided he or she is carrying (for credit) the courses necessary to complete the degree requirements.**

11.7.5.1.2 Noncoaching Staff Who Provide Indirect Support. Noncoaching institutional staff members whose responsibilities relate to basketball, but who do not directly support the basketball program (e.g., sports information personnel, equipment manager, academic advisor, athletic trainer, marketing staff) are exempt from the limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members.

Source: NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment-to-Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel

Rationale: Including clerical staff in the limit on the number of noncoaching staff members eliminates the need to strictly define responsibilities and functions that may be considered clerical. Exempting full-time students from the limit provides significant opportunities for them to gain experience and provides the sport program with valuable support.

Budget Impact: Variable. Potential cost savings based on the current number of noncoaching staff currently employed by an institution.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

none

History

Feb 08, 2011: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Feb 09, 2011: Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet

Apr 12, 2011: Leg Council Init Review, Tabled

Proposal Number: 2010-16-C-2

Title: PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON THE NUMBER AND DUTIES OF COACHES -- NONCOACHING STAFF MEMBERS -- BASKETBALL -- LIMIT OF TWO -- EXCEPTION FOR VIDEO PERSONNEL WHO ARE FULL-TIME UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS

Intent: To amend NCAA Proposal No. 2010-16-C, in basketball, to specify that a videographer who is a full-time undergraduate student at the certifying institution is exempt from the limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members.

Bylaws: Amend Proposal No. 2010-16-C, as follows:

11.7 LIMITATIONS ON THE NUMBER AND DUTIES OF COACHES AND NONCOACHING STAFF MEMBERS

11.7.1 General Provisions Applicable to All Sports with Numerical Coaching Limits.

[11.7.1.1 unchanged.]

[11.7.1.1.1 unchanged.]

[11.7.1.1.1.1 unchanged.]

11.7.1.1.1.1.1 Exception -- Noncoaching Staff Member with Sport-Specific Responsibilities. A noncoaching staff member with sport-specific responsibilities (e.g., director of operations, administrative assistant) may participate in organized activities involving only the coaching staff or may perform administrative duties (e.g., attend meetings involving coaching activities, analyze video of the institution's or an opponent's team, track statistics during practice or competition). However, such an individual is prohibited from participating in instructional activities with student-athletes and any on-court or on-field activities (e.g., assist with drills, throw batting practice), and is prohibited from participating with or observing student-athletes in the staff member's sport who are engaged in nonorganized voluntary athletically related activities (e.g., pick-up games). (See Bylaw 11.7.5.)

[Remainder of 11.7.1 unchanged.]

[11.7.2 through 11.7.4 unchanged.]

11.7.5 Limitation on the Number of Noncoaching Staff Members -- Basketball. There shall be a limit of two noncoaching staff members (two for men's basketball and two for women's basketball) whose duties include support of the basketball program in any capacity (e.g., director of operations, video coordinator, quality control personnel, director of player development, director of community relations) who may be employed (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) by the institution.

11.7.5.1 Exceptions to Number Limits.

11.7.5.1.1 Clerical Staff and Managers. Clerical staff and managers are exempt from the limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members.

11.7.5.1.2 Video Personnel Who are Full-Time Undergraduate Students. A videographer who is a full-time undergraduate student at the certifying institution is exempt from the limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members. A student who is enrolled in his or her final semester or quarter of a degree program may be enrolled in less than a full-time program of studies, provided he or she is carrying (for credit) the courses necessary to complete the degree requirements.

11.7.5.1.~~2~~**3** Noncoaching Staff Who Provide Indirect Support. Noncoaching institutional staff members whose responsibilities relate to basketball, but who do not directly support the basketball program (e.g., sports information personnel, equipment manager, academic advisor, athletic trainer, marketing staff) are exempt from the limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members.

Source: Atlantic Coast Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment-to-Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel

Rationale: Many teams use full-time undergraduate students in the video area. Exempting full-time undergraduate students who serve a role in the video department provides significant opportunities for them to gain experience and provides the sport program with valuable support.

Budget Impact: Variable. Potential cost savings based on the current number of noncoaching staff currently employed by an institution.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)
none

History
Mar 01, 2011: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Apr 12, 2011: Leg Council Init Review, Tabled

Proposal Number: 2010-18-C

Title: PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON THE NUMBER AND DUTIES OF COACHES -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL -- NONCOACHING STAFF MEMBERS -- LIMIT OF SIX

Intent: In bowl subdivision football, to specify that there shall be a limit of six noncoaching staff members whose duties include support of the football program in any capacity (e.g., director of operations, video coordinator, quality control personnel, director of player development, director of community relations) who may be employed (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) by the institution; further, to specify that clerical staff and managers and noncoaching institutional staff members whose responsibilities relate to football, but who do not directly support the football program (e.g., sports information personnel, equipment manager, academic advisor, athletic trainer, marketing staff) are exempt from the limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members.

Bylaws: Amend 11.7.2, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS only]

11.7.2 Football Bowl Subdivision.

11.7.2.1 Limitation on the Number of Coaches. There shall be a limit of one head coach, nine assistant coaches and two graduate assistant coaches who may be employed by an institution in bowl subdivision football.

[11.7.2.1 renumbered as 11.7.2.1.1, unchanged.]

[11.7.2.1.1 through 11.7.2.1.5 renumbered as 11.7.2.1.1.1 through 11.7.2.1.1.5, unchanged.] **11.7.2.2 Limitation on the Number of Noncoaching Staff Members. There shall be a limit of six noncoaching staff members whose duties include support of the football program in any capacity (e.g., director of operations, video coordinator, quality control personnel, director of player development, director of community relations) who may be employed (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) by the institution.**

11.7.2.2.1 Exceptions to Number Limits.

11.7.2.2.1.1 Clerical Staff and Managers. Clerical staff and managers are exempt from the limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members.

11.7.2.2.1.2 Noncoaching Staff Who Provide Indirect Support. Noncoaching institutional staff members whose responsibilities relate to football, but who do not directly support the football program (e.g., sports information personnel, equipment manager, academic advisor, athletic trainer, marketing staff) are exempt from the limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members.

[11.7.2.2 renumbered as 11.7.2.3, unchanged.]

[11.7.2.2.1 through 11.7.2.2.3 renumbered as 11.7.2.3.1 through 11.7.2.3.3, unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Legislative Council

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel

Date Printed: September 29, 2011

Rationale: This alternative proposal maintains the goal of the original proposal, which is to address concerns related to the proliferation of noncoaching staff members with sport-specific responsibilities and its impact on competitive equity in bowl subdivision football. However, this alternative addresses concerns of potential circumvention of the intended application of the original proposal. For example, pursuant to the application of Proposal Nos. 2010-18-A or 2010-18-B, an institution could specify that 90 percent of a noncoaching staff member's duties are specific to football and 10 percent are specific to another sport or a nonfootball related function. Pursuant to this proposal, a noncoaching staff member whose duties include support of the football program in any capacity must be included in the numerical limit; however, clerical staff and managers whose duties include support of the football program and other noncoaching institutional staff members whose responsibilities relate to football, but who do not directly support the football program are exempt from the limitation.

Budget Impact: Variable. Potential cost savings based on the current number of noncoaching staff currently employed by an institution.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

none

History

Oct 19, 2010: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Oct 19, 2010: Legislative Council, Sponsored; Sponsored as an alternative to Proposal Nos. 2010-18-A and 2010-18-B.

Jan 13, 2011: Leg Council Init Review, Forwarded for Membership Comment

Jan 16, 2011: Comment Period; Start of Comment Period

Mar 16, 2011: Comment Period; End of Comment Period; (Official Comment Totals: Support = 2, Oppose = 2, Abstain = 0)

Apr 12, 2011: Leg Council Final Review, Tabled

Proposal Number: 2010-18-C-1

Title: PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON THE NUMBER AND DUTIES OF COACHES -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL -- NONCOACHING STAFF MEMBERS -- LIMIT OF NINE -- EXCEPTION FOR FULL-TIME STUDENTS

Intent: To amend NCAA Proposal No. 2010-18-C, in bowl subdivision football, to specify that there shall be a limit of nine noncoaching staff members whose duties include support of the football program in any capacity (e.g., clerical staff, director of operations, video coordinator, quality control personnel, director of player development, director of community relations) who may be employed (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) by the institution; further to specify that a full-time undergraduate or graduate student at the certifying institution who performs duties in support of the football program is exempt from the limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members.

Bylaws: Amend Proposal No. 2010-18-C, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS only]

11.7.2 Football Bowl Subdivision.

11.7.2.1 Limitation on the Number of Coaches. There shall be a limit of one head coach, nine assistant coaches and two graduate assistant coaches who may be employed by an institution in bowl subdivision football.

[11.7.2.1.1 unchanged.]

11.7.2.2 Limitation on the Number of Noncoaching Staff Members. There shall be a limit of ~~*six*~~ **nine** noncoaching staff members whose duties include support of the football program in any capacity (e.g., **clerical staff**, director of operations, video coordinator, quality control personnel, director of player development, director of community relations) who may be employed (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) by the institution.

11.7.2.2.1 Exceptions to Number Limits.

11.7.2.2.1.1 ~~*Clerical Staff and Managers*~~ **Full-Time Students**. ~~*Clerical staff and managers are*~~**A full-time undergraduate or graduate student (see Bylaws 14.1.8.2 and 14.1.8.2.1.4) at the certifying institution who performs duties in support of the football program is**exempt from the limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members. **A student who is enrolled in his or her final semester or quarter of a degree program may be enrolled in less than a full-time program of studies, provided he or she is carrying (for credit) the courses necessary to complete the degree requirements.**

11.7.2.2.1.2 Noncoaching Staff Who Provide Indirect Support. Noncoaching institutional staff members whose responsibilities relate to football, but who do not directly support the football program (e.g., sports information personnel, equipment manager, academic advisor, athletic trainer, marketing staff) are exempt from the limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members.

[11.7.2.3 unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment-to-Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel

Rationale: Including clerical staff in the limit on the number of noncoaching staff members eliminates the need to strictly define responsibilities and functions that may be considered clerical. Exempting full-time students from the limit provides significant opportunities for them to gain experience and provides the sport program with valuable support.

Budget Impact: Variable. Potential cost savings based on the current number of noncoaching staff currently employed by an institution.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

none

History

Feb 08, 2011: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Feb 09, 2011: Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Sponsored

Apr 12, 2011: Leg Council Init Review, Tabled

Proposal Number: 2010-18-C-2

Title: PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON THE NUMBER AND DUTIES OF COACHES -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL -- NONCOACHING STAFF MEMBERS -- LIMIT OF SIX -- EXCEPTION FOR VIDEO PERSONNEL WHO ARE FULL-TIME UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS

Intent: To amend NCAA Proposal No. 2010-18-C, in bowl subdivision football, to specify that a videographer who is a full-time undergraduate student at the certifying institution is exempt from the limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members.

Bylaws: Amend Proposal No. 2010-18-C, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS only]

11.7.2 Football Bowl Subdivision.

11.7.2.1 Limitation on the Number of Coaches. There shall be a limit of one head coach, nine assistant coaches and two graduate assistant coaches who may be employed by an institution in bowl subdivision football.

[11.7.2.1.1 unchanged.]

[11.7.2.1.1.1 through 11.7.2.1.1.5 unchanged.]

11.7.2.2 Limitation on the Number of Noncoaching Staff Members. There shall be a limit of six noncoaching staff members whose duties include support of the football program in any capacity (e.g., director of operations, video coordinator, quality control personnel, director of player development, director of community relations) who may be employed (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) by the institution.

11.7.2.2.1 Exceptions to Number Limits.

11.7.2.2.1.1 Clerical Staff and Managers. Clerical staff and managers are exempt from the limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members.

11.7.2.2.1.2 Video Personnel Who are Full-Time Undergraduate Students. A videographer who is a full-time undergraduate student at the certifying institution is exempt from the limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members. A student who is enrolled in his or her final semester or quarter of a degree program may be enrolled in less than a full-time program of studies, provided he or she is carrying (for credit) the courses necessary to complete the degree requirements.

11.7.2.2.1.~~2~~**3** Noncoaching Staff Who Provide Indirect Support. Noncoaching institutional staff members whose responsibilities relate to football, but who do not directly support the football program (e.g., sports information personnel, equipment manager, academic advisor, athletic trainer, marketing staff) are exempt from the limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members.

[11.7.2.3 unchanged.]

[11.7.2.3.1 through 11.7.2.3.3 unchanged.]

Source: Atlantic Coast Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment-to-Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel

Rationale: Many teams use full-time undergraduate students in the video area. Exempting full-time undergraduate students who serve a role in the video department provides significant opportunities for them to gain experience and provides the sport program with valuable support.

Budget Impact: Variable. Potential cost savings based on the current number of noncoaching staff currently employed by an institution.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

none

History

Feb 23, 2011: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Apr 12, 2011: Leg Council Init Review, Tabled

Proposal Number: 2010-20-C

Title: PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON THE NUMBER AND DUTIES OF COACHES -- CHAMPIONSHIP SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL -- NONCOACHING STAFF MEMBERS -- LIMIT OF FOUR

Intent: In championship subdivision football, to specify that there shall be a limit of four noncoaching staff members whose duties include support of the football program in any capacity (e.g., director of operations, video coordinator, quality control personnel, director of player development, director of community relations) who may be employed (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) by the institution; further, to specify that clerical staff and managers and noncoaching institutional staff members whose responsibilities relate to football, but who do not directly support the football program (e.g., sports information personnel, equipment manager, academic advisor, athletic trainer, marketing staff) are exempt from the limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members.

Bylaws: Amend 11.7.3, as follows:

[Federated provision, FCS only]

11.7.3 Championship Subdivision Football.

11.7.3.1 Limitation on the Number of Coaches. There shall be a limit of 11 coaches of any type who may be employed by an institution in championship subdivision football.

[11.7.3.1 renumbered as 11.7.3.1.1, unchanged.]

[11.7.3.1.1 through 11.7.3.1.7 renumbered as 11.7.3.1.1.1 through 11.7.3.1.1.7, unchanged.]

11.7.3.2 Limitation on the Number of Noncoaching Staff Members. There shall be a limit of four noncoaching staff members whose duties include support of the football program in any capacity (e.g., director of operations, video coordinator, quality control personnel, director of player development, director of community relations) who may be employed (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) by the institution.

11.7.3.2.1 Exceptions to Number Limits.

11.7.3.2.1.1 Clerical Staff and Managers. Clerical staff and managers are exempt from the limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members.

11.7.3.2.1.2 Noncoaching Staff Members Who Provide Indirect Support. Noncoaching institutional staff members whose responsibilities relate to football, but who do not directly support the football program (e.g., sports information personnel, equipment manager, academic advisor, athletic trainer, marketing staff) are exempt from the limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members.

[11.7.3.2 renumbered as 11.7.3.3, unchanged.]

[11.7.3.2.1 through 11.7.3.2.2 renumbered as 11.7.3.3.1 through 11.7.3.3.2, unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Legislative Council

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel

Rationale: This alternative proposal maintains the goal of the original proposal, which is to address concerns related to the proliferation of noncoaching staff members with sport-specific responsibilities and its impact on competitive equity in championship subdivision football. However, this alternative addresses concerns of potential circumvention of the intended application of the original proposal. For example, pursuant to the application of Proposal Nos. 2010-20-A or 2010-20-B, an institution could specify that 90 percent of a noncoaching staff member's duties are specific to football and 10 percent are specific to another sport or a nonfootball related function. Pursuant to this proposal, a noncoaching staff member whose duties include support of the football program in any capacity must be included in the numerical limit; however, clerical staff and managers whose duties include support of the football program and other noncoaching institutional staff members whose responsibilities relate to football, but who do not directly support the football program are exempt from the limitation.

Budget Impact: Variable. Potential cost savings based on the current number of noncoaching staff currently employed by an institution.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

none

History

Oct 19, 2010: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Oct 19, 2010: Legislative Council, Sponsored; Sponsored as an alternative to Proposal Nos. 2010-20-A and 2010-20-B.

Jan 13, 2011: Leg Council Init Review, Forwarded for Membership Comment

Jan 16, 2011: Comment Period; Start of Comment Period

Mar 16, 2011: Comment Period; End of Comment Period; (Official Comment Totals: Support = 1, Oppose = 0, Abstain = 0)

Apr 12, 2011: Leg Council Final Review, Tabled

Proposal Number: 2010-20-C-1

Title: PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON THE NUMBER AND DUTIES OF COACHES -- CHAMPIONSHIP SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL -- NONCOACHING STAFF MEMBERS -- LIMIT OF SIX -- EXCEPTION FOR FULL-TIME STUDENTS

Intent: To amend Proposal No. 2010-20-C, in championship subdivision football, to specify that there shall be a limit of six noncoaching staff members whose duties include support of the football program in any capacity (e.g., clerical staff, director of operations, video coordinator, quality control personnel, director of player development, director of community relations) who may be employed (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) by the institution; further, to specify that a full-time undergraduate or graduate student at the certifying institution who performs duties in support of the football program is exempt from the limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members.

Bylaws: Amend Proposal No. 2010-20-C, as follows:

[Federated provision, FCS only]

11.7.3 Championship Subdivision Football.

11.7.3.1 Limitation on the Number of Coaches. There shall be a limit of 11 coaches of any type who may be employed by an institution in championship subdivision football.

[11.7.3.1.1 unchanged.]

11.7.3.2 Limitation on the Number of Noncoaching Staff Members. There shall be a limit of ~~*four*~~ **six** noncoaching staff members whose duties include support of the football program in any capacity (e.g., **clerical staff**, director of operations, video coordinator, quality control personnel, director of player development, director of community relations) who may be employed (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) by the institution.

11.7.3.2.1 Exceptions to Number Limits.

11.7.3.2.1.1 ~~*Clerical Staff and Managers*~~ **Full-time Students**. ~~*Clerical staff and managers are*~~ **A full-time undergraduate or graduate student (see Bylaw 14.1.8.2 and 14.1.8.2.1.4) at the certifying institution who performs duties in support of the football program is** exempt from the limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members. **A student who is enrolled in his or her final semester or quarter of a degree program may be enrolled in less than a full-time program of studies, provided he or she is carrying (for credit) the courses necessary to complete the degree requirements.**

11.7.3.2.1.2 Noncoaching Staff Members Who Provide Indirect Support. Noncoaching institutional staff members whose responsibilities relate to football, but who do not directly support the football program (e.g., sports information personnel, equipment manager, academic advisor, athletic trainer, marketing staff) are exempt from the limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members.

[11.7.3.3 unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment-to-Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel

Rationale: Including clerical staff in the limit on the number of noncoaching staff members eliminates the need to strictly define responsibilities and functions that may be considered clerical. Exempting full-time students from the limit provides significant opportunities for them to gain experience and provides the sport program with valuable support.

Budget Impact: Variable. Potential cost savings based on the current number of noncoaching staff currently employed by an institution.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

none

History

Feb 08, 2011: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Feb 09, 2011: Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Sponsored

Apr 12, 2011: Leg Council Init Review, Tabled

SUPPLEMENT NO. 6
DI Legislative Council 01/12

2012 DIVISION I OFFICIAL NOTICE

NOTICE

Legislation for consideration at the January and April 2012 NCAA Division I Legislative Council and NCAA Division I Board of Directors meetings

THE NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION
P.O. Box 6222
Indianapolis, Indiana 46206-6222
317/917-6222
ncaa.org
November 2011

Legislation Prepared By: Lynn Holzman, *Director of Academic and Membership Affairs*; Steve Mallonee, *Managing Director of Academic and Membership Affairs/Division I Governance Liaison;* and Leeland Zeller, *Associate Director of Academic and Membership Affairs*.

NCAA, NCAA logo and NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION are registered marks of the Association and use in any manner is prohibited unless prior approval is obtained from the Association.

Division I Official Notice

This publication contains all legislation for initial consideration by the NCAA Division I Legislative Council at its January 11-12, 2012, meeting and for possible consideration by the NCAA Division I Board of Directors at its January 14, 2012, meeting. All of the proposals in this publication were properly sponsored by conferences, cabinets, the Division I Legislative Council or Board of Directors. To assist the membership in communicating positions through conference offices and conference Legislative Council representatives, the proposals appear in topical groups.

After the January Legislative Council meeting, the national office staff will notify the Division I membership of all proposals forwarded to the membership for review and comment. The Division I membership will then have 60 days to provide comments, feedback and amendments that do not increase the scope of the original proposal. The Legislative Council will consider the feedback and comments during its April 2012 meeting.

In addition to the indices found at the end of this publication, users may use the "Bookmark" feature of the Adobe Reader to quickly scan the proposal numbers and titles and go directly to those proposals within the document. Users may also use the "Search" feature to find particular proposals. The proposals are presented by topical area by legislative citation and by proposal number within topical areas. The order of the topical areas is as follows:

Organization

Personnel

Amateurism

Recruiting

Eligibility

Financial Aid

Awards, Benefits and Expenses

Playing and Practice Seasons

Committees

Executive Regulations

No. 2011-11 ORGANIZATION -- GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE -- REMOVAL OF BYLAW 21

Intent: To specify that the Administration Cabinet shall oversee the administrative functions related to the management of the Division I governance structure and Division I representation on Association-wide and common committees; further, to remove Bylaw 21 from the Division I Manual and specify that policies and procedures related to selection, composition, duties, term of office and operation of committees and cabinets shall be published on the NCAA website.

A. Constitution: Amend 4.9, as follows:

[Dominant provision, all divisions, common vote]

4.9 COMMITTEES/CABINETS
~~*The bylaws shall provide for the establishment of such*~~ **The Association or each division shall establish** a governance substructure (e.g., cabinets, committees) as **the Association or** each division considers necessary. ~~*The number of members and tenure of each division's governance substructure shall be stipulated in Bylaw 21.*~~

B. Constitution: Amend 4.9, as follows:

4.9 COMMITTEES/CABINETS
The bylaws shall provide for the establishment of such a governance substructure (e.g., cabinets, committees) as each division considers necessary. The number of members and tenure of each division's governance substructure shall be stipulated in Bylaw 21.

4.9.1 Division I Committees/Cabinets. The Administration Cabinet shall oversee the administrative functions related to the management of the Division I governance substructure and Division I representation on Association-wide and common committees. Policies and procedures related to selection, composition, duties, term of office and operation of committees and cabinets shall be published on the NCAA website.

C. Bylaws: Amend 21, as follows:

[Includes dominant provisions (all divisions, divided vote), common provisions (all divisions, divided vote), division dominant provisions and federated provisions]

~~*21 Committees*~~

[Delete all provisions of Bylaw 21.]

Source: NCAA Division I Administration Cabinet

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Organization

Rationale: This proposal would eliminate Bylaw 21 in its entirety from Division I Manual and move the provisions to a committee handbook, which would be maintained on the NCAA website and would be readily available for the membership and governance structure to review as necessary. The Administration Cabinet would continue to maintain oversight authority regarding the administrative functions related to the management of the Division I governance substructure and

Division I representation on Association-wide and common committees. This proposal would not substantively change any current committee policies and procedures, such as those that set forth the selection, composition, duties, terms of office and operations of the various committees and cabinets. However, the Administration Cabinet would have the flexibility to change elements related to committees as deemed necessary without the need to propose legislation, which would, in turn, reduce the number of proposals in the legislative cycle and the related bureaucracy. A review of legislative history indicates the membership has readily adopted proposals (over 90 percent adoption since 1997) related to committees, with many adopted as noncontroversial legislation. Finally, this proposal supports efforts to simplify the Manual and focus on the most strategically important matters.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Committee on Women's Athletics: The committee opposes the proposal. The committee opposes moving the representation requirements for committees from the Manual and from the legislative process, noting that the representational requirements are crucial to the professional development of women and minorities and to effective committee discussions.

History:

Jun 10, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Oct 12, 2011 Committee on Women's Athletics, Recommends Defeat

Personnel

No. 2011-12 PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- GRADUATE ASSISTANT COACH -- BASKETBALL

Intent: In basketball, to permit an institution to employ one graduate assistant coach.

A. Bylaws: Amend 11.01.3, as follows:

11.01.3 Coach, Graduate Assistant -- **Basketball,** Bowl Subdivision Football and Women's Rowing. In bowl subdivision football, a graduate assistant coach is any coach who has received a baccalaureate degree and has either received his or her first baccalaureate degree or has exhausted athletics eligibility (whichever occurs later) within the previous seven years and qualifies for appointment as a graduate assistant under the policies of the institution. In **basketball and** women's rowing, a graduate assistant coach is any coach who has received a baccalaureate degree and qualifies for appointment as a graduate assistant under the policies of the institution. In **basketball,** bowl subdivision football and women's rowing, the individual is not required to be enrolled in a specific graduate degree program unless required by institutional policy. The following provisions shall apply:

[Remainder of 11.01.3 unchanged.]

B. Bylaws: Amend 11.7.4.2, as follows:

11.7.4.2 Exceptions to Number Limits. No individual other than coaches designated to fill the coaching categories set forth in Bylaw 11.7.4 may participate in any manner in the coaching of the intercollegiate team of a member institution during any game, practice or other organized activity, with the following exceptions:

[11.7.4.2.1 through 11.7.4.2.7 unchanged.]

11.7.4.2.8 Graduate Assistant Coach -- Basketball. In basketball, an institution may employ one graduate assistant coach (see Bylaw 11.01.3).

C. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.3.4.1, as follows:

13.1.3.4.1 Institutional Coaching Staff Members -- General Rule. All telephone calls made to and received from a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents, legal guardians or coaches) must be made and received by the head coach or one or more of the assistant coaches who count toward the numerical limitations in Bylaw 11.7.4 (see Bylaw 11.7.1.2). In **basketball,** bowl subdivision football and women's rowing, such telephone calls also may be made and received by a graduate assistant coach, provided the coach has successfully completed the coaches' certification examination per Bylaw 11.5.1.1.

Source: Big East Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel

Rationale: Current legislation prohibits the employment of a volunteer or graduate assistant coach in basketball. This proposal would establish an opportunity for individuals to advance their educational and career pursuits. Establishing a graduate assistant coaching position is a reasonable method of providing an additional coaching opportunity, comparable to other sports, without a tremendous increase in cost.

Estimated Budget Impact: Increased costs that will vary based on the cost of education at the institution.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Men's Basketball Issues Committee: The committee opposes the proposal and notes that given current squad sizes and the legislative financial aid limits, current coaching limitations [four head or assistant coaches, four undergraduate student coaches, strength and conditioning coach(s)] are sufficient. The committee also expresses concern that an additional coaching position only reinforces the perception identified by the NCAA Division I Board of Directors regarding the increased number of bench personnel.

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet opposes the proposal and notes that given current squad sizes and the legislative financial aid limits, current coaching limitations [four head or assistant coaches, four undergraduate student coaches, strength and conditioning coach(s)] are sufficient. In addition, the cabinet expresses concern that an additional coaching position only reinforces the perception identified by the NCAA Division I Board of Directors

regarding the increased number of bench personnel. Finally, the cabinet expresses concern with additional costs for institutions.

Women's Basketball Issues Committee: The committee took no formal position. The committee is concerned with additional costs for institutions at a time when many institutions' budgets are being modified and reduced. There is no specific timeframe for an individual to become a graduate assistant in the proposal. The proposal would provide an opportunity to gain experience for student-athletes and other individuals who have an interest in becoming a coach.

History:

Jun 27, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Aug 19, 2011 Women's Basketball Issues Committee, No Formal Position

Aug 29, 2011 Men's Basketball Issues Committee, Recommends Defeat

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Defeat

No. 2011-13 PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- GRADUATE ASSISTANT COACH -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL -- NO PREVIOUS FOOTBALL BOWL SUBDIVISION OR PROFESSIONAL COACHING EXPERIENCE

Intent: In bowl subdivision football, to specify that a graduate assistant coach must have either received his or her first baccalaureate degree or have exhausted athletics eligibility (whichever occurs later) within the previous seven years; or the individual must not have not previously served as a coach (either on a salaried or volunteer basis) at a Football Bowl Subdivision institution or in a professional football league.

Bylaws: Amend 11.01.3, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS only]

11.01.3 Coach, Graduate Assistant -- Bowl Subdivision Football and Women's Rowing.~~*In bowl subdivision football, a*~~ **A** graduate assistant coach is any coach who has received a baccalaureate degree and ~~*has either received his or her first baccalaureate degree or has exhausted athletics eligibility (whichever occurs later) within the previous seven years and*~~ qualifies for appointment as a graduate assistant under the policies of the institution. ~~*In women's rowing, a graduate assistant coach is any coach who has received a baccalaureate degree and qualifies for appointment as a graduate assistant under the policies of the institution. In bowl subdivision football and women's rowing, the*~~ **The** individual is not required to be enrolled in a specific graduate degree program unless required by institutional policy. The following provisions shall apply:

(a) In bowl subdivision football, the individual has either received his or her first baccalaureate degree or has exhausted athletics eligibility (whichever occurs later) within the previous seven years; or the individual has not previously served as a coach (either on a salaried or volunteer basis) at a Football Bowl Subdivision institution or in a professional football league;

[11.01.3-(a) through 11.01.3-(i) relettered as 11.01.3-(b) through 11.01.3-(j), unchanged.]

Source: Big East Conference

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel

Rationale: Currently, in bowl subdivision football, an individual may serve as a graduate assistant coach only if he or she has either received his or her first baccalaureate degree or has exhausted athletics eligibility (whichever occurs later) within the previous seven years. This proposal would allow an individual who falls outside the seven-year window the opportunity to be a graduate assistant coach, provided he or she has never coached at the Football Bowl Subdivision or professional level. The spirit and intent by which the membership adopted the current rule suggests that individuals who meet this exception to the seven-year restriction (e.g., played in the NFL for 10 years) should be provided an opportunity to be a graduate assistant coach.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Football Issues Committee: The committee supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet opposes the proposal and believes that the underlying issues are not nationally significant and that the potential impact on competitive equity is minimal. Further, the cabinet notes it is likely that isolated incidents led to the underlying restriction [i.e., receipt of first baccalaureate degree or have exhausted athletics eligibility (whichever occurs later) within the previous seven years]. The cabinet recommends potential deregulation of the underlying restriction.

History:

Jun 27, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Sep 06, 2011 Football Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Defeat

No. 2011-14 PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- GRADUATE ASSISTANT COACH -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL -- NO PREVIOUS COLLEGIATE OR PROFESSIONAL COACHING EXPERIENCE

Intent: In bowl subdivision football, to specify that a graduate assistant coach shall have no previous professional or collegiate football coaching experience as a head or assistant coach.

Bylaws: Amend 11.01.3, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS only]

11.01.3 Coach, Graduate Assistant -- Bowl Subdivision Football and Women's Rowing. ~~*In bowl subdivision football, a*~~ **A** graduate assistant coach is any coach who has received a baccalaureate degree and ~~*has either received his or her first baccalaureate degree or has exhausted athletics eligibility (whichever occurs later) within the previous seven years and*~~ qualifies for appointment as a graduate assistant under the policies of the institution. ~~*In women's rowing, a graduate assistant coach is any coach who has received a baccalaureate degree and qualifies for appointment as a graduate assistant under the policies of the institution. In bowl subdivision football and women's rowing, the*~~ **The** individual is not required to be enrolled in a specific graduate degree program unless required by institutional policy. The following provisions shall apply:

(a) In bowl subdivision football, the individual has no previous professional or collegiate football coaching experience as a head or assistant coach;

[11.01.3-(a) through 11.01.3-(i) relettered as 11.01.3-(b) through 11.01.3-(j), unchanged.]

Source: Pac-12 Conference, Big Ten Conference and Mid-American Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel

Rationale: This proposal attempts to align the rules pertaining to graduate assistant football coaches closer to the intent of NCAA Proposal No. 2009-10, which was to limit the proliferation of graduate assistant coach positions being filled by individuals with significant coaching experience. Under the current rule, a former professional player who graduated with a degree in 2000 and played ten years in the NFL could not become a graduate assistant coach, because his employment would begin outside the permissible seven-year window. However, a former professional player who finished his college career in 2000, played two years in the NFL and coached in the NFL for eight years while achieving a degree in 2006 could be a graduate assistant coach as long as his employment begins not later than 2013. Removing the seven-year window for employment as a graduate assistant coach and adding a requirement that graduate assistant coaches must have no previous collegiate or professional (e.g., National Football League, Arena League, Canadian Football League) coaching experience helps achieve this goal.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Football Issues Committee: The committee opposes the proposal. This proposal would preclude an individual from serving as a graduate assistant if he or she ever coached previously at the professional or collegiate levels. The committee prefers the greater flexibility offered by Proposal No. 2011-13.

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet opposes the proposal and believes that the underlying issues are not nationally significant and that the potential impact on competitive equity is minimal. Further, the cabinet notes it is likely that isolated incidents led to the underlying restriction [i.e., receipt of first baccalaureate degree or have exhausted athletics

eligibility (whichever occurs later) within the previous seven years]. The cabinet recommends potential deregulation of the underlying restriction.

History:

Jun 28, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Sep 06, 2011 Football Issues Committee, Recommends Defeat

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Defeat

No. 2011-15 PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- STUDENT ASSISTANT COACH -- FULL-TIME GRADUATE STUDENT WITHIN FIVE-YEAR PERIOD OF ELIGIBILITY

Intent: To permit a full-time graduate student within his or her five-year period of eligibility to serve as a student assistant coach, provided he or she meets additional criteria, as specified.

A. Bylaws: Amend 11.01.4, as follows:

11.01.4 Coach, ~~*Undergraduate*~~ Student Assistant. ~~*An undergraduate*~~ **A** student assistant coach is any coach who is a student-athlete who has exhausted his or her eligibility in the sport or has become injured to the point that he or she is unable to practice or compete ever again, and who meets the following additional criteria:

(a) Is enrolled at the institution at which he or she most recently participated in intercollegiate athletics;

(b) Is **enrolled as a full-time graduate student (see Bylaw 14.1.8.2.1.4) within his or her five-year period of eligibility (see Bylaw 14.2) or is** enrolled as a full-time undergraduate student in his or her first baccalaureate degree program (see Bylaw 14.1.8.2), except that during his or her final semester or quarter of the baccalaureate degree program, he or she may be enrolled in less than a full-time degree program of studies, provided he or she is carrying (for credit) the courses necessary to complete the degree requirements;

(c) Is receiving no compensation or remuneration from the institution other than the financial aid that could be received as a student-athlete and expenses incurred on road trips that are received by individual team members; and

(d) Is not involved in contacting and evaluating prospective student-athletes off campus or scouting opponents off campus and does not perform recruiting coordination functions (see Bylaw 11.7.1.2.2).

B. Bylaws: Amend 11.7, as follows:

11.7 LIMITATIONS ON THE NUMBER AND DUTIES OF COACHES

[11.7.1 unchanged.]

11.7.2 Bowl Subdivision Football. There shall be a limit of one head coach, nine assistant coaches and two graduate assistant coaches who may be employed by an institution in bowl subdivision football.

11.7.2.1 Exceptions to Number Limits. No individual other than coaches designated to fill the coaching categories set forth in Bylaw 11.7.2 may participate in any manner in the coaching of the intercollegiate team of a member institution during any football game, practice or other organized activity, with the following exceptions:

[11.7.2.1.1 unchanged.]

11.7.2.1.2 ~~*Undergraduate*~~ **Student Assistant** Coach. The limits on the number of coaches in this section do not apply to ~~*undergraduate*~~ **student assistant** coaches (see Bylaw 11.01.4).

[11.7.2.1.3 through 11.7.2.1.5 unchanged.]

[11.7.2.2 unchanged.]

11.7.3 Championship Subdivision Football. There shall be a limit of 11 coaches of any type who may be employed by an institution in championship subdivision football.

11.7.3.1 Exceptions to Number Limits. No individual other than coaches designated to fill the coaching categories set forth in Bylaw 11.7.3 may participate in any manner in the coaching of the intercollegiate team of a member institution during any football game, practice or other organized activity, with the following exceptions:

[11.7.3.1.1 unchanged.]

11.7.3.1.2 ~~*Undergraduate*~~ **Student Assistant** Coach. The limits on the number of coaches in this section do not apply to ~~*undergraduate*~~ **student assistant** coaches (see Bylaw 11.01.4).

[11.7.3.1.3 through 11.7.2.1.7 unchanged.]

[11.7.3.2 unchanged.]

11.7.4 Limitations on Number of Coaches and Off-Campus Recruiters. There shall be a limit on the number of coaches who may be employed by an institution and who may contact or evaluate prospective student-athletes off campus at any one time in each sport as follows:

[Limits on numbers of coaches and off-campus recruiters unchanged.]

[11.7.4.1 unchanged.]

11.7.4.2 Exceptions to Number Limits. No individual other than coaches designated to fill the coaching categories set forth in Bylaw 11.7.4 may participate in any manner in the coaching of the intercollegiate team of a member institution during any game, practice or other organized activity, with the following exceptions:

[11.7.4.2.1 unchanged.]

11.7.4.2.2 ~~*Undergraduate*~~ Student Assistant Coach. An institution may employ ~~*undergraduate*~~ student assistant coaches (see Bylaw 11.01.4). The limit on the number of ~~*undergraduate*~~ student assistant coaches in each sport shall be the same as the limit on the number of coaches in the sport per Bylaw 11.7.4.

[11.7.4.2.3 through 11.7.4.2.7 unchanged.]

[11.7.4.3 through 11.7.4.5 unchanged.]

Source: Southeastern Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel

Rationale: NCAA Bylaw 11.01.4 was modified in 2010 to expand opportunities for student-athletes to gain coaching experience while enrolled as full-time undergraduate students. However, the modification eliminated the opportunity for a graduate student who is within his or her five-year period of eligibility to serve as a student coach. This proposal would allow such a student-athlete to gain coaching experience while enrolled as a graduate student within his or her five-year eligibility period, which was previously permissible. Although part of the rationale for restricting the student assistant coach position to undergraduate students was to encourage former student-athletes to work toward graduation, the legislation should provide the flexibility to allow a graduate student within his or her five-year period of eligibility to gain exposure to the coaching profession by serving as a student coach. Finally, under the current legislation, a student-athlete actually may choose to delay graduation in order to fit the requirements to serve as an undergraduate coach, an outcome which should not be encouraged.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

History:

Jul 08, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-16 PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT ASSISTANT COACH -- EXCEPTION -- NONPARTICIPANT -- FOOTBALL

Intent: To specify that in football, an individual who has neither engaged in intercollegiate football competition for the certifying institution nor engaged in other countable athletically related activities in intercollegiate football beyond a 14-consecutive-day period at the certifying institution may serve as an undergraduate student assistant coach, provided the individual meets the remaining criteria applicable to an undergraduate student assistant coach, as specified; further, to specify that an individual who serves as a undergraduate assistant coach pursuant to the exception shall forfeit any remaining eligibility in football at the certifying institution.

Bylaws: Amend 11.01.4, as follows:

[Federated provisions, FBS and FCS, divided vote]

11.01.4 Coach, Undergraduate Student Assistant.

An undergraduate student assistant coach is any coach who is a student-athlete who has exhausted his or her eligibility in the sport or has become injured to the point that he or she is unable to practice or compete ever again, and who meets the following additional criteria:

(a) Is enrolled at the institution at which he or she most recently participated in intercollegiate athletics;

(b) Is enrolled as a full-time undergraduate student in his or her first baccalaureate degree program (see Bylaw 14.1.8.2), except that during his or her final semester or quarter of the baccalaureate degree program, he or she may be enrolled in less than a full-time degree program of studies, provided he or she is carrying (for credit) the courses necessary to complete the degree requirements;

(c) Is receiving no compensation or remuneration from the institution other than the financial aid that could be received as a student-athlete and expenses incurred on road trips that are received by individual team members; and

(d) Is not involved in contacting and evaluating prospective student-athletes off campus or scouting opponents off campus and does not perform recruiting coordination functions (see Bylaw 11.7.1.2.2).

11.01.4.1 Exception -- Nonparticipant -- Football. In football, an individual who has neither engaged in intercollegiate football competition for the certifying institution nor engaged in other countable athletically related activities in intercollegiate football beyond a 14-consecutive-day period at the certifying institution may serve as an undergraduate student assistant coach, provided the individual meets the criteria of Bylaw 11.01.4-(b) through 11.01.4-(d). An individual who serves as a undergraduate assistant coach pursuant to this provision shall forfeit any remaining eligibility in football at the certifying institution.

Source: Southern Conference

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel

Rationale: Currently, an undergraduate student assistant coach must be a former student-athlete who has exhausted his eligibility or has been injured to the point that he or she will never participate again. These restrictions severely limit the number of capable undergraduate students eligible to serve in this role. This proposal would increase the talent pool for undergraduate student assistant coaches and provide an educational opportunity for full-time students with an interest in the coaching profession. Students serving as undergraduate student assistant football coaches would receive invaluable experience while providing their institutions a reasonable means of augmenting their football coaching staff. Students serving as undergraduate student assistant football coaches would not be involved in contacting and evaluating prospective student-athletes off campus and would not perform recruiting coordination functions. It is important to note that this proposal would not increase the number of permissible undergraduate student assistant coaches in football as the institution would remain limited to a total of 12 such coaches in bowl subdivision football and 11 in championship subdivision football in accordance with NCAA Bylaw 11.7.4.2.2

(former student-athletes and nonparticipants combined).

Estimated Budget Impact: None; no increase to the limit on the number of coaches.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet opposes the proposal and notes the potential unintended consequences of outside individuals being involved with the program. The cabinet also notes that isolated incidents may be addressed through the waiver process. Finally, the cabinet notes that the proposal may decrease opportunities for student-athletes to participate based on limited number of opportunities.

History:

Jul 14, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Sep 06, 2011 Football Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Defeat

Oct 25, 2011 Sponsor modified the proposal to specify that an individual who serves as a undergraduate assistant coach pursuant to the exception shall forfeit any remaining eligibility in football at the certifying institution.

No. 2011-17 PERSONNEL -- CONTRACTUAL AGREEMENTS -- ATHLETICALLY RELATED INCOME -- PART-TIME OR VOLUNTEER STAFF WITH SPORT-SPECIFIC RESPONSIBILITIES

Intent: To specify that contractual agreements between a part-time or volunteer athletics department staff member with sport-specific responsibilities and an institution shall include the stipulation that the staff member is required to provide a written detailed account annually to the president or chancellor for all athletically related income and benefits from sources outside the institution.

Bylaws: Amend 11.2.2, as follows:

11.2.2 Athletically Related Income. Contractual agreements, including letters of appointment, between a full-time **athletics department staff member and an institution,** or **between a** part-time **or volunteer** athletics department staff member ~~(excluding secretarial or clerical personnel)~~ **with sport-specific responsibilities** and an institution shall include the stipulation that the staff member is required to provide a written detailed account annually to the president or chancellor for all athletically related income and benefits from sources outside the institution. In addition, the approval of all athletically related income and benefits shall be consistent with the institution's policy related to outside income and benefits applicable to all full-time**,** ~~or~~ part-time **or volunteer** employees. Sources of such income shall include, but are not limited to, the following:

[11.2.2-(a) through 11.2.2-(g) unchanged.]

Source: Big 12 Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel

Rationale: Current legislation requires all part-time staff members, excluding those with clerical or secretarial responsibilities, to make an annual report regarding athletically related outside income. While recognizing the need to maintain control, the significant increase in the number of athletics department staff members, especially part-time employees, makes the annual reporting process a significant undertaking. Moreover, since many of the part-time or volunteer positions are filled by students, event management staff, or other temporary employees, it is difficult to ensure that the proper report is submitted prior to their departure. Full-time staff members and part-time or volunteer positions with sport-specific responsibilities are the positions with the greatest potential for conflicts of interest. Therefore, such positions should be the focus of the outside income reporting requirement. This proposal does not change the current requirement that all full-time athletics department staff members, regardless of their responsibilities, are required to report any outside income.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet opposes the proposal and notes that it is not an issue of national significance. The cabinet recommends potential deregulation of the underlying outside income regulations.

History:

Jun 30, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Defeat

No. 2011-18 PERSONNEL AND RECRUITING -- RECRUITING COORDINATION FUNCTIONS -- TELEPHONE CALLS -- RECEIPT OF CALLS FROM PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETES

Intent: To eliminate the restriction on the receipt of telephone calls from prospective student-athletes (or prospective student-athletes' parents, legal guardians or coaches) that requires such calls to be received by the head coach or one or more of the assistant coaches who count toward the numerical limitations.

A. Bylaws: Amend 11.7.1.2, as follows:

11.7.1.2 Recruiting Coordination Functions. The following recruiting coordination functions (except related routine clerical tasks) must be performed by the head coach or one or more of the assistant coaches who count toward the numerical limitations in Bylaw 11.7.4:

[11.7.1.2-(a) unchanged.]

(b) Making telephone calls to ~~*or receiving telephone calls from*~~ prospective student-athletes (or prospective student-athletes' parents, legal guardians or coaches).

[11.7.1.2.1 unchanged.]

11.7.1.2.2 Exceptions -- Noncoaching Staff Members and Noncountable Coaches.

[11.7.1.2.2-(a) through 11.7.1.2.2-(b) unchanged.]

(c) Telephone Calls in Conjunction with Official Visit. A noncoaching staff member or coach who does not count toward the numerical limitations on head and assistant coaches in Bylaw 11.7.4 may initiate telephone calls to ~~*and receive telephone calls from*~~ a prospective student-athlete or those individuals accompanying the prospective student-athlete during the prospective student-athlete's official visit transportation and during his or her official visit.

(d) Telephone Calls Regarding Institutional Camp or Clinic Logistical Issues. A noncoaching staff member or coach who does not count toward the numerical limitations on head and assistant coaches in Bylaw 11.7.4 may initiate telephone calls to ~~*and receive telephone calls from*~~ a prospective student-athlete (or his or her parents, legal guardians, relatives or coach) that relate solely to institutional camp or clinic logistical issues (e.g., missing registration information), provided no recruiting conversation or solicitation of particular individuals to attend a camp or clinic occurs during such calls.

B. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.3.2.2, as follows:

13.1.3.2.2 Telephone Calls Initiated by Prospective Student-Athlete at His or Her Expense. Institutional ~~*coaching*~~ staff members ~~*(see Bylaw 13.1.3.4.1)*~~ may receive telephone calls placed by a prospective student-athlete at the prospective student-athlete's own expense at any time, including before July 1 following the prospective student-athlete's junior year in high school.

C. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.3.4, as follows:

13.1.3.4 Permissible Callers.

13.1.3.4.1 Institutional Coaching Staff Members -- General Rule. All telephone calls made to ~~*and received from*~~ a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents, legal guardians or coaches) must be made ~~*and received*~~ by the head coach or one or more of the assistant coaches who count toward the numerical limitations in Bylaw 11.7.4 (see Bylaw 11.7.1.2). In bowl subdivision football and women's rowing, such telephone calls also may be made ~~*and received*~~ by a graduate assistant coach, provided the coach has successfully completed the coaches' certification examination per Bylaw 11.5.1.1.

13.1.3.4.1.1 Exceptions -- Prior to Commitment. Prior to a prospective student-athlete signing a National Letter of Intent or the institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid or before the institution receives a financial deposit in response to the institution's offer of admission, the following institutional staff members may make telephone calls to ~~*or receive telephone calls from*~~ a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians) as specified:

[13.1.3.4.1.1-(a) unchanged.]

(b) Academic Advisors. It is permissible for academic advisors (including academic advisors within the athletics department) to make calls to ~~*or receive calls from*~~ a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians) related to admissions or academic issues, subject to any applicable limitation on the number of telephone calls an institution may place to prospective student-athletes.

[13.1.3.4.1.1-(c) unchanged.]

(d) Noncoaching Staff Members and Noncountable Coaches -- Telephone Calls in Conjunction with Official Visit. A noncoaching staff member or a coach who does not count toward the numerical limitations on head and assistant coaches in Bylaw 11.7.4 may initiate telephone calls to ~~*and receive telephone calls from*~~ a prospective student-athlete or those individuals accompanying the prospective student-athlete during the prospective student-athlete's official visit transportation and during his or her official visit.

13.1.3.4.1.2 Exception -- Noncoaching Staff Members and Noncountable Coaches -- After Commitment. A noncoaching institutional staff member or a coach who does not count toward the numerical limitations on head and assistant coaches in Bylaw 11.7.4 may make telephone calls to ~~*or receive telephone calls from*~~ a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians) beginning the calendar day after one of the following events occurs:

[13.1.3.4.1.2-(a) through 13.1.3.4.1.2-(b) unchanged.]

Source: Southeastern Conference

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel

Rationale: Current legislation prohibits institutional staff members who are not countable coaches from receiving telephone calls from a prospective student-athlete (or his or her parents, guardians, or coach) prior to his or her commitment to the institution, unless an exception applies. It is only permissible for noncoaching staff to forward a prospective student-athlete's call to a countable coach to avoid a violation. Many noncoaching staff members are capable of answering basic questions a prospective student-athlete may ask without needing to forward the call to a coach. Many times, coaches are unavailable and a message is left. Although noncoaching staff members are permitted to receive calls regarding basic logistical issues (e.g., camp logistics), at times, a call may involve both logistical and recruiting issues. If the prospective student-athlete begins to ask questions about recruiting issues, the noncoaching staff member is put in an awkward situation of telling the prospective student-athlete that he or she is not permitted to answer such questions. This proposal would permit noncoaching staff members to receive a call from a prospective student-athlete regardless of the content of the call.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Men's Basketball Issues Committee: The committee supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale. The cabinet also notes that the proposal reduces monitoring and is an appropriate deregulation given that many consider the current rule to be unenforceable.

Women's Basketball Committee: The committee supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

History:

Jul 08, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Aug 19, 2011 Women's Basketball Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

Aug 29, 2011 Men's Basketball Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-19 PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON NUMBER OF OFF-CAMPUS RECRUITERS AT ANY ONE TIME -- FOOTBALL -- EXCEPTION -- SPRING EVALUATION PERIOD

Intent: In bowl subdivision football, to specify that all nine assistant coaches may evaluate prospective student-athletes at any one time during the spring evaluation period; further, in championship subdivision football, to specify that all 11 coaches may evaluate prospective student-athletes at any one time during the spring evaluation period.

A. Bylaws: Amend 11.7.2.2, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS only]

11.7.2.2 Contact and Evaluation of Prospective Student-Athletes. Only those coaches who are counted by the institution within the numerical limitations on head and assistant coaches may contact or evaluate prospective student-athletes off campus. In addition, there is a limit of seven coaches (including the head coach) who may contact or evaluate prospective student-athletes off campus at any one time (see Bylaw 11.7.4).

[11.7.2.2.1 unchanged.]

11.7.2.2.2 Exception -- Spring Evaluation Period. All nine assistant coaches may evaluate prospective student-athletes at any one time during the spring evaluation period.

[11.7.2.2.2 through 11.7.2.2.3 renumbered as 11.7.2.2.3 through 11.7.2.2.4, unchanged.]

B. Bylaws: Amend 11.7.3.2, as follows:

[Federated provision, FCS only]

11.7.3.2 Off-Campus Contact and Evaluation of Prospective Student-Athletes. Only those coaches who are counted by the institution within the numerical limitations on head or assistant coaches may contact or evaluate prospective student-athletes off campus. In addition, there is a limit of seven coaches (including the head coach) who may contact and evaluate prospective

student-athletes off campus at any one time (see Bylaw 11.7.4).

[11.7.3.2.1 unchanged.]

11.7.3.2.2 Exception -- Spring Evaluation Period. All 11 coaches may evaluate prospective student-athletes at any one time during the spring evaluation period.

[11.7.3.2.2 renumbered as 11.7.3.2.3, unchanged.]

Source: Southeastern Conference

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel

Rationale: The recent change to calculate spring evaluation opportunities in football based on evaluation days provides an opportunity for additional flexibility in the use of evaluation opportunities. Permitting all nine assistant coaches in bowl subdivision football (due to the restriction on the head coach during the spring period) and all coaches in championship subdivision football to be on the road simultaneously allows for flexibility and efficiency in utilizing spring evaluation opportunities. This proposal will also result in savings by allowing institutions to determine the most cost-effective travel arrangements without being concerned with extra trips to and from campus for coaches (elimination of the baton rule during spring recruiting). There will be no recruiting advantages gained or other costs added because of restrictions currently in place that limit the number of evaluation days in the spring to 168.

Estimated Budget Impact: Potential savings related to the ability to coordinate more efficient travel.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Football Issues Committee: The committee supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The committee supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

History:

Jul 08, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Sep 06, 2011 Football Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-20 PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON THE NUMBER AND DUTIES OF COACHES -- OFF-CAMPUS RECRUITING -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL -- NONSCHOLASTIC EVENTS DURING SPRING EVALUATION PERIOD

Intent: In women's basketball, to specify that four coaches may evaluate prospective student-athletes at any one time at nonscholastic events during the spring evaluation period.

Bylaws: Amend 11.7.4, as follows:

11.7.4 Limitations on Number of Coaches and Off-Campus Recruiters. There shall be a limit on the number of coaches who may be employed by an institution and who may contact or evaluate prospective student-athletes off campus at any one time in each sport as follows:

Sport	Limit on Number of Coaches	Limit on Off-Campus Recruiters
[Baseball through Men's Basketball unchanged.]		
Basketball, Women's	4	**4 (at nonscholastic events during the spring evaluation period)** 3 **(at all other times)**
[Women's Bowling through Wrestling unchanged.]		

Source: Big East Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel

Rationale: Current legislation allows women's basketball coaches to evaluate prospective student-athletes at nonscholastic events during the one weekend (Friday, Saturday and Sunday) of the spring evaluation period. During this weekend, only three coaches may evaluate prospective student-athletes off campus at any one time. Although a coach must return to campus before he or she may engage in additional recruiting activities during this period, it is possible and highly likely that all four coaches are away from campus at the same time. The spring evaluation period occurs outside of the women's basketball playing season and at or near the end of the academic year. Since a majority of the recruiting activities will occur during a weekend and during a time in which student-athletes would likely choose to go home or participate in other activities, it is not necessary that a coach remain on campus. Consequently, it is reasonable to allow all four coaches to recruit off campus at the same time for this limited period of time.

Estimated Budget Impact: Cost of one additional off campus recruiter.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

Women's Basketball Issues Committee: The committee took no formal position, but noted its support for the concept. The committee intends to include this approach in its discussion of a new women's basketball recruiting model.

History:

Jun 30, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Aug 19, 2011 Women's Basketball Issues Committee, No Formal Position

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-21 PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON NUMBER OF OFF-CAMPUS RECRUITERS AT ANY ONE TIME -- EXCEPTION -- FOOTBALL -- CONTACT PERIOD

Intent: In football, to specify that during a contact period, a replaced coach is not required to return to the institution's campus before engaging in additional recruiting activities, provided not more than seven coaches engage in off-campus recruiting activities each day.

Bylaws: Amend 11.7.4.3, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS and FCS, divided vote]

11.7.4.3 Off-Campus Recruiting -- At Any One Time. It is permissible for a coach to leave campus to engage in off-campus contact or evaluation before another coach who is off campus actually returns to campus, provided the total number of coaches recruiting on behalf of the institution at any time does not exceed the permissible number. The coach being replaced must complete his or her recruiting activities before another coach may begin any off-campus recruiting activity. Further, the replaced coach may not engage in additional recruiting activities until after he or she has returned to the institution's campus.

[11.7.4.3.1 through 11.7.4.3.2 unchanged.]

Bylaw 11.7.4.3.3 Exception -- Football -- Contact Period. In football, during a contact period, a replaced coach is not required to return to the institution's campus before engaging in additional recruiting activities, provided not more than seven coaches engage in off-campus recruiting activities each day.

Source: Big East Conference and Big 12 Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel

Rationale: Current legislation includes an exception to the "at any one time" requirement applicable in basketball during the July evaluation periods and an exception in all other sports during June, July and August. The latter exception does not benefit football because a quiet period encompasses the months of June, July and August. This proposal would provide football with the intended cost saving opportunity during a period in which football programs engage in a majority of their recruiting activities. It should be noted that when the Championships/Sports Management

Cabinet considered the exception for basketball, it also discussed whether the proposal should be expanded to other time periods and other sports to provide flexibility and possible cost savings in travel expenses. Given the positive application of the legislation to basketball and other applicable sports, the exception should be extended to football during the contact period.

Estimated Budget Impact: Potential cost savings in recruiting travel expenses.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Football Issues Committee: The committee supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

History:

Jun 29, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Sep 06, 2011 Football Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-22 PERSONNEL -- BENCH PERSONNEL RESTRICTION -- MEN'S BASKETBALL

Intent: In men's basketball, to specify that during a contest against outside competition, there shall be a limit of 17 individuals who may occupy the team bench, not including student-athletes who are actively engaged in the competition.

Bylaws: Amend 11.7, as follows:

11.7 LIMITATIONS ON THE NUMBER AND DUTIES OF COACHES

[11.7.1 through 11.7.4 unchanged.]

11.7.5 Bench Personnel Restriction -- Men's Basketball. In men's basketball, during a contest against outside competition, there shall be a limit of 17 individuals who may occupy the team bench, not including student-athletes who are actively engaged in the competition.

Source: West Coast Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel

Rationale: Recently, concerns have been raised regarding the number of institutional staff members on the bench during the men's basketball contests. Further, the effort to regulate the number of men's basketball noncoaching staff members has proven to be a challenge because of differences among various programs. Placing a limit on the number of individuals who may sit on the team

bench during competition is one alternative to address the perceived increase in the numbers noncoaching staff members.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Men's Basketball Issues Committee: The committee opposes the proposal and is concerned about over-regulation and whether this proposal really addresses the real issue related to the employment of non-coaching staff members. The committee also notes that some personnel (e.g., athletic trainer, staff physician) are not generally included in the perception of "too many suits on the bench." Finally, the committee notes potential interpretive/monitoring issues regarding what constitutes the bench area.

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: Based on the sponsor's modification (see history section), the cabinet supports the proposal.

History:

Jul 15, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Aug 29, 2011 Men's Basketball Issues Committee, Recommends Defeat

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Defeat The cabinet recommends that the sponsor modify the proposal to match the bench limitations for the NCAA Men's Basketball Championship [i.e., 22 individuals in bench area (including student-athletes), 17 on bench (or 17 chairs) during competition]. In the event the sponsor does not support the suggested modification, the cabinet shall sponsor an alternative proposal. The cabinet supports the concept of limiting the number of individuals in the bench area during men's basketball competition but believes that an institution should use its discretion to determine who may occupy the bench area.

Oct 25, 2011 Sponsor modified the proposal to specify that during a contest against outside competition, there shall be a limit of 17 individuals who may occupy the team bench, not including student-athletes who are actively engaged in the competition. The proposal previously specified that institutional bench personnel shall be limited to four coaches, one director of basketball operations (or similar position) and two additional individuals (e.g., athletic trainer, team physician, manager).

Oct 25, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Approval

Amateurism

No. 2011-23 AMATEURISM -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- AGENT

Intent: To specify that an agent is any individual who, directly or indirectly, represents or attempts to represent an individual for the purpose of marketing his or her athletics ability or reputation for financial gain, or seeks to obtain any type of financial gain or benefit from securing a prospective student-athlete's enrollment at an educational institution or from a student-athlete's potential

earnings as a professional athlete.

Bylaws: Amend 12.02, as follows:

12.02 DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS

12.02.1 Agent. An agent is any individual who, directly or indirectly:

(a) Represents or attempts to represent an individual for the purpose of marketing his or her athletics ability or reputation for financial gain; or

(b) Seeks to obtain any type of financial gain or benefit from securing a prospective student-athlete's enrollment at an educational institution or from a student-athlete's potential earnings as a professional athlete.

12.02.1.1 Application. An agent may include, but is not limited to, a certified contract advisor, financial advisor, marketing representative, brand manager or anyone who is employed or associated with such persons.

[12.02.1 through 12.02.6 renumbered as 12.02.2 through 12.02.7, unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Amateurism Cabinet

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Amateurism

Rationale: As the salaries of professional athletes have risen, age restrictions to participate in professional sports leagues have changed and the notoriety of elite student-athletes has increased with scouting and media exposure, the interest of outside third parties in elite athletes is now greater than ever. As a result, an industry of individuals has been created, including runners, financial advisors, marketing representatives, business managers, brand managers and street agents who seek to broker elite athletes for financial gain. Although governing bodies have attempted to impose regulations on these individuals and their activities, the competitive nature of the industry has resulted in many finding ways to circumvent the rules. One constant is the use of outside third parties. These third parties typically operate free of any governing body's jurisdiction. In order to regulate the interaction of these individuals with prospective student-athletes and student-athletes, the definition of an agent must be broadened. This proposal is not intended to include parents or legal guardians, athletics department staff members, former teammates or those individuals who have the best interest of a prospective student-athlete or student-athlete in mind in providing assistance or information, provided they do not intend to receive a financial gain for their assistance.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Football Issues Committee: The committee supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

History:

Jun 10, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Jun 28, 2011 Amateurism Cabinet, Sponsored

Sep 06, 2011 Football Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

Oct 13, 2011 Leadership Council, Recommends Approval as Emergency or Noncontroversial Legislation

Oct 17, 2011 Leg Council Init Review;, Not Supported as Emergency Legislation

No. 2011-24 AMATEURISM -- AMATEUR STATUS -- EXPENSES FROM A SPONSOR FOR PRACTICE OR COMPETITION IN INDIVIDUAL SPORTS PRIOR TO FULL-TIME COLLEGIATE ENROLLMENT

Intent: In individual sports, to specify that, prior to full-time collegiate enrollment, an individual may accept up to actual and necessary expenses associated with an athletics event and practice immediately preceding the event, from a sponsor (e.g., neighbor, business) other than an agent, a member institution or a representative of an institution's athletics interests.

Bylaws: Amend 12.1.2, as follows:

12.1.2 Amateur Status. An individual loses amateur status and thus shall not be eligible for intercollegiate competition in a particular sport if the individual:

[12.1.2-(a) through 12.1.2-(g) unchanged.]

12.1.2.1 Prohibited Forms of Pay. "Pay," as used in Bylaw 12.1.2 above, includes, but is not limited to, the following:

[12.1.2.1.1 through 12.1.2.1.3 unchanged.]

12.1.2.1.4 Expenses, Awards and Benefits. Excessive or improper expenses, awards and benefits (see Bylaw 16 regarding permissible awards, benefits and expenses to enrolled student-athletes).

[12.1.2.1.4.1 through 12.1.2.1.4.4 unchanged.]

12.1.2.1.4.5 Expenses from Sponsor Other Than Parents/Legal Guardians or Nonprofessional Sponsor of Event. Actual and necessary expenses or any other form of compensation to participate in athletics competition (while not representing an educational institution) from a sponsor other than an individual upon whom the athlete is naturally or legally dependent or the nonprofessional organization that is sponsoring the competition. (See Bylaw 12.1.2.1.6.1.)

12.1.2.1.4.5.1 Expenses Prior to Full-Time Collegiate Enrollment -- Individual Sports. In individual sports, prior to full-time collegiate enrollment, an individual may accept up to actual and necessary expenses associated with an athletics event and practice immediately preceding the event, from a sponsor (e.g., neighbor, business) other than an agent, a member institution or a representative of an institution's athletics

interests.

[12.1.2.1.4.6 through 12.1.2.1.5.2 unchanged.]

12.1.2.1.6 Preferential Treatment, Benefits or Services. Preferential treatment, benefits or services because of the individual's athletics reputation or skill or pay-back potential as a professional athlete, unless such treatment, benefits or services are specifically permitted under NCAA legislation. [R]

12.1.2.1.6.1 Donations credited to or earmarked for a prospective or enrolled student-athlete for athletics participation (e.g., as an individual or a member of a team) from a sponsor (e.g., neighbor, business) other than the individual's relatives or legal guardians are considered improper benefits. **(See Bylaw 12.1.2.1.4.5.1.)**

[12.1.2.1.7 unchanged.]

[12.1.2.2 through 12.1.2.4 unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Amateurism Cabinet

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Amateurism

Rationale: Currently, an individual may only accept expenses to compete in an athletics event if the expenses are provided by his or her parents or legal guardians, the nonprofessional sponsor of an event or a team that the individual is representing. An individual who participates in a team sport and who competes on an outside team is able to travel and have his or her expenses paid in full or subsidized by a team, a team sponsor and/or event organizers or sponsors. Furthermore, an individual who participates on a team may participate in fundraising activities, provided the money raised is not earmarked for the individual. However, an individual who participates in an individual sport may not be associated with an amateur team or organization and, therefore, may not fundraise or seek financial assistance within his or her community to cover expenses for an athletics event. An individual who participates in an individual sport should have the same opportunities as those who participate in team sports. This proposal will enable youth who do not have the financial resources to participate in athletics competition and further their athletic aspirations.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Men's Golf Committee: The committee opposes the proposal. The application of the proposal would be very difficult to monitor and could have unintended consequences. This proposal also further distances the NCAA from the USGA as it relates to what is permissible from an amateurism perspective.

History:

Jun 10, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Jun 28, 2011 Amateurism Cabinet, Sponsored

Sep 08, 2011 Men's Golf Committee, Recommends Defeat

No. 2011-25 AMATEURISM -- EXCEPTIONS TO AMATEURISM RULE -- PRIZE MONEY PRIOR TO FULL-TIME COLLEGIATE ENROLLMENT -- TENNIS -- $10,000 PER YEAR

Intent: In tennis, to specify that, prior to full-time collegiate enrollment, an individual may accept prize money based on his or her place finish or performance in open athletics events, not to exceed $10,000 per calendar year; further, to specify that once the individual has reached the $10,000 limit, he or she may receive additional prize money on a per-event basis, provided such prize money does not exceed his or her actual and necessary expenses for participation in the event.

Bylaws: Amend 12.1.2.4, as follows:

12.1.2.4 Exceptions to Amateurism Rule.

12.1.2.4.1 Exception for Prize Money Prior to Full-Time Collegiate Enrollment **-- Sports other than Tennis**. ~~*Prior*~~ **In sports other than tennis, prior** to collegiate enrollment, an individual may accept prize money based on his or her place finish or performance in an open athletics event (an event that is not invitation only). Such prize money may not exceed actual and necessary expenses and may be provided only by the sponsor of the open event. The calculation of actual and necessary expenses shall not include the expenses or fees of anyone other than the prospective student-athlete (e.g., coach's fees or expenses, parent's expenses).

12.1.2.4.2 Exception for Prize Money Prior to Full-Time Collegiate Enrollment -- Tennis. In tennis, prior to full-time collegiate enrollment, an individual may accept up to $10,000 per calendar year in prize money based on his or her place finish or performance in open athletics events (events that are not invitation only). Such prize money may be provided only by the sponsor of an open event in which the individual participates. Once the individual has reached the $10,000 limit in a particular year, he or she may receive additional prize money on a per-event basis, provided such prize money does not exceed the individual's actual and necessary expenses for participation in the event. The calculation of actual and necessary expenses shall not include the expenses or fees of anyone other than the individual (e.g., coach's fees or expenses, parent's expenses).

[12.1.2.4.2 through 12.1.2.4.15 renumbered as 12.1.2.4.3 through 12.1.2.4.16, unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Amateurism Cabinet

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Amateurism

Rationale: Prospective student-athletes and their families spend exorbitant amounts of money for travel and other expenses related to competing in tennis events. Rather than limiting prospects to accepting prize money only equal to or lesser than expenses on a per tournament basis, this

proposal would allow prize money to be accepted on the aggregate to help cover further expenses. Research by the United States Tennis Association Player Development staff place the top junior and senior prospective student-athletes as having made significantly less than $10,000 per year in prize money as prospective student-athletes, and combined with the financial costs to their families, most are not earning prize money in excess of their expenses. This proposal will allow prospective student-athletes to earn a reasonable amount of prize money without having to produce extensive documentation, as is currently required.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

History:

Jun 10, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Jun 29, 2011 Amateurism Cabinet, Sponsored

No. 2011-26 AMATEURISM, RECRUITING, ELIGIBILITY AND AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- WORLD UNIVERSITY CHAMPIONSHIPS

Intent: To include the World University Championships in all bylaws that apply to the World University Games.

A. Bylaws: Amend 12.1.2.4, as follows:

12.1.2.4 Exceptions to Amateurism Rule.

[12.1.2.4.1 through 12.1.2.4.13 unchanged.]

12.1.2.4.14 Commemorative Items for Student-Athletes Participating in Olympic Games, World University Games, **World University Championships,** Pan American Games, World Championships and World Cup Events. It is permissible for student-athletes to receive commemorative items incidental to participation in the Olympic Games, World University Games, **World University Championships,** Pan American Games, World Championships and World Cup events through the applicable national governing body. These benefits may include any and all apparel, leisure wear, footwear and other items that are provided to all athletes participating in the applicable event.

[12.1.2.4.15 unchanged.]

B. Bylaws: Amend 12.5.1, as follows:

12.5.1 Permissible.

[12.5.1.1 through 12.5.1.8 unchanged.]

12.5.1.9 Olympic, Pan American, World Championships, World Cup**,** ~~*and*~~ World University Games **and World University Championships**. A student-athlete's name or picture may be used to promote Olympic, Pan American, World Championships, World Cup**,** ~~*or*~~ World

University Games **or World University Championships** as specified in this section.

[Remainder of 12.5.1 unchanged.]

C. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.7, as follows:

13.1.7 Limitations on Number of Evaluations.

[13.1.7.1 through 13.1.7.18 unchanged.]

13.1.7.19 Coaches' Attendance at Elite International Events. Coaching staff members may attend Olympic, Pan American, World Championships, World Cup**,** ~~or~~ World University Games **or World University Championships** competition that occurs outside the permissible contact and evaluation periods. However, attendance at qualifying competition for such events, including tryouts, remains subject to the applicable recruiting calendars.

[Remainder of 13.1.7 unchanged.]

D. Bylaws: Amend 14.1.8.3, as follows:

14.1.8.3 Waivers. Waivers may be granted to the minimum 12-semester or 12-quarter hour enrollment as follows:

[14.1.8.3.1 through 14.1.8.3.2 unchanged.]

14.1.8.3.3 Olympic Games, Pan American Games, World Championships, World Cup, World University Games**, World University Championships** or World Youth Championships -- Competition. The Progress-Toward-Degree Waivers Committee (see Bylaw 21.7.5.1.3.2) may waive the minimum full-time enrollment requirement for any participant in the Olympic Games, Pan American Games, World Championships, World Cup, World University Games**, World University Championships** or World Youth Championships (including junior levels of such events) who, because of such participation, may lose eligibility for practice and competition in any sports.

[Remainder of 14.1.8.3 unchanged.]

E. Bylaws: Amend 14.2.1, as follows:

14.2.1 Five-Year Rule. A student-athlete shall complete his or her seasons of participation within five calendar years from the beginning of the semester or quarter in which the student-athlete first registered for a minimum full-time program of studies in a collegiate institution, with time spent in the armed services, on official religious missions or with recognized foreign aid services of the U.S. government being excepted. For international students, service in the armed forces or on an official religious mission of the student's home country is considered equivalent to such service in the United States.

[14.2.1.1 through 14.2.1.3 unchanged.]

14.2.1.4 Athletics Activities Waiver. The Committee on Student-Athlete Reinstatement, or a committee designated by it, shall have the authority to waive this provision by a two-thirds majority of its members present and voting to permit student-athletes to participate in:

(a) Official Pan American, World Championships, World Cup, World University **Games, World University Championships** and Olympic training, tryouts and competition.

[14.2.1.4-(b) through 14.2.1.4-(c) unchanged.]

[Remainder of 14.2.1 unchanged.]

F. Bylaws: Amend 14.2.3.2, as follows:

14.2.3.2 Delayed Enrollment – Seasons of Competition.

[14.2.3.2.1 unchanged.]

14.2.3.2.1.1 Exception -- National/International Competition. For a maximum of one year after a prospective student-athlete's first opportunity to enroll full time in a collegiate institution following the one-year time period after his or her high school graduation date or the graduation date of his or her class, whichever occurs earlier, participation in the following organized national/international competition is exempt from application of Bylaw 14.2.3.2.1:

(a) Official Olympic Games, Pan American Games, World Championships, World Cup, World University Games **and World University Championships** competition;

(b) Officially recognized competition from which participants may directly qualify for final tryouts for a national team that will participate in the Olympic Games, Pan American Games, World Championships, World Cup**,** ~~*or*~~ World University Games **or World University Championships** and final tryout competition from which participants are selected for such teams; or

[14.2.3.2.1-(c) unchanged.]

[14.2.3.2.1.2 through 14.2.3.2.1.4 unchanged.]

14.2.3.2.1.5 Matriculation After 20th Birthday -- Tennis. In tennis, a student who is eligible under Bylaw 14.2.3.2, but who participates in organized tennis events after his or her 20th birthday and before full-time enrollment at the certifying institution shall be subject to the following:

(a) The student will be charged with one season of intercollegiate tennis competition for each calendar year after his or her 20th birthday and prior to full-time enrollment at the certifying institution during which the student-athlete has participated in organized tennis competition per Bylaw 14.02.9. [Note: This includes participation in intercollegiate tennis while enrolled full-time in another two-year or four-year institution; however, this provision replaces the season of competition counted in Bylaw 14.2 (only one season is used in any one year).]

(b) Upon matriculation at the certifying institution, the student-athlete must fulfill an academic year in residence before being eligible to represent the institution in intercollegiate tennis, unless the student transfers to the certifying institution with a minimum of 24 semester hours (or equivalent) of transferable degree credit. (Note: All other NCAA transfer and academic eligibility requirements apply.)

14.2.3.2.1.5.1 Exception -- Olympic Games, Pan American Games, World Championships, World Cup**,** ~~*and*~~ World University Games **and World University Championships** Participation. Participation in the Olympic Games, Pan American Games, World

Championships, World Cup**,** ~~*and*~~ World University Games **and World University Championships** is exempt from application of Bylaw 14.2.3.2.1.5.

G. Bylaws: Amend 14.4.3, as follows:

14.4.3 Eligibility for Competition.

[14.4.3.1 through 14.4.3.5 unchanged.]

14.4.3.6 Waivers of Progress-Toward Degree Rule. The Division I Progress-Toward-Degree Waivers Committee shall establish appropriate criteria for waivers of this legislation. The following waivers shall be administered by the conference members of the Association or, in the case of an independent institution, by the Division I Progress-Toward-Degree Waivers Committee.

[14.4.3.6-(a) unchanged.]

(b) International Competition. The credit hours required under the progress-toward-degree regulation of Bylaws 14.4.3.1 and 14.4.3.5-(c) may be prorated at nine hours per term of actual attendance during an academic year in which a student is not enrolled for a term or terms or is unable to complete a term as a full-time student as a result of participation in the FIFA World Youth Championship, Pan American Games, Olympic Games, World Championships, World Cup**,** ~~*or*~~ World University Games **or World University Championships** (including final Olympic tryouts and the officially recognized training program that directly qualifies participants for those tryouts). This waiver provision may be applied to no more than two semesters or three quarters. Credits earned by the student during the term or terms to which the waiver applies may be used to satisfy the 24-/36-hour [see Bylaw 14.4.3.1-(a)], percentage-of-degree (see Bylaw 14.4.3.2) and grade-point average requirements (see Bylaw 14.4.3.3).

[Remainder of 14.4.3 unchanged.]

H. Bylaws: Amend 14.7, as follows:

14.7 OUTSIDE COMPETITION, EFFECTS ON ELIGIBILITY
The eligibility of a student-athlete who engages in outside competition (see Bylaw 17.02.9) is affected as set forth in the following regulations.

[14.7.1 through 14.7.2 unchanged.]

14.7.3 Exceptions – All Sports. The following exceptions to the outside-competition regulations are permitted:

[14.7.3-(a) through 14.7.3-(d) unchanged.]

(e) Official World Championships, World University Games**, World University Championships** and World Cup Tryouts and Competition. A student-athlete may participate in official World Championships, World University Games**, World University Championships** and World Cup tryouts and competition.

[14.7.3-(f) unchanged.]

[Remainder of 14.7 unchanged.]

I. Bylaws: Amend 16.8.1.3, as follows:

16.8.1.3 Other Competition. During an academic year in which a student-athlete is eligible to represent an institution in athletics competition (or in the following summer), an institution may provide actual and necessary expenses related to participation in the following activities:

[16.8.1.3-(a) unchanged.]

(b) Specific competition (e.g., Olympic Trials) from which participants may directly qualify for the Olympic Games, Pan American Games, World Championships, World Cup**,** ~~*and*~~ World University Games **and World University Championships**; and

(c) One national team tryout competition event from which participants are selected for the national team that will participate in the Olympic Games, Pan American Games, World Championships, World Cup**,** ~~*or*~~ World University Games **or World University Championships**. Although national team participants may be selected from multiple tryout events for a particular listed competitive event, an institution may provide expenses for only one such tryout event for each of the listed competitive events.

Source: NCAA Division I Championships/Sports Management Cabinet (Olympic Sports Liaison Committee)

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Amateurism

Rationale: The World University Championships take place on even years and feature several individual sports and a few team sports that are not included in the World University Games, which occur on odd years. The World University Championships have grown and have had increasing success in recent years. They allow a large number of students and university sports leaders to unite on occasions other than at the World University Games. By expanding the noted bylaws to include the World University Championships, student-athletes participating in baseball, softball, cross country and women's equestrian will receive the same opportunities and benefits as student-athletes who participate in the World University Games.

Estimated Budget Impact: Varies, depending on the relevant bylaws and eligibility of student-athletes to participate in the World University Championships.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): Varies.

Position Statement(s)

Academic Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

Amateurism Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

History:

May 05, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

May 12, 2011 Olympic Sports Liaison Committee, Recommends Approval

Jun 24, 2011 Championships/Sports Management Cabinet, Sponsored

Sep 13, 2011 Academic Cabinet, Recommends Approval

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Approval

Sep 19, 2011 Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet, Recommends Approval

Sep 29, 2011 Amateurism Cabinet, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-27 AMATEURISM AND EXECUTIVE REGULATIONS -- FINANCIAL DONATIONS AND ADVERTISING AND SPONSORSHIP OF INTERCOLLEGIATE EVENTS -- PROFESSIONAL SPORTS ORGANIZATIONS

Intent: To specify that a professional sports organization may serve as a financial sponsor of an intercollegiate competition event, including regular season and postseason events, provided the organization is not publicly identified as such; and that a professional sports organization may serve as a financial sponsor of an activity or promotion that is ancillary to the competition event and may be publicly identified as such; further, to eliminate the prohibition on professional sports organizations or personnel as acceptable advertisers in conjunction with NCAA championships.

A. Bylaws: Amend 12.6.1, as follows:

12.6.1 Professional Sports Organizations.

12.6.1.1 To Intercollegiate Event. A professional sports organization may ~~*not*~~ serve as a financial sponsor of **an** intercollegiate competition **event, provided the organization is not publicly identified as such**. **A professional sports organization may serve as a financial sponsor of an activity or promotion that is ancillary to the competition event and may be publicly identified as such.** [D]

~~*12.6.1.1.1 Exception -- Sports Other Than Football and Men's Basketball. In sports other than football and men's basketball, an institution's marketing department may enter into a reciprocal contractual relationship with a professional sports organization for the specific purpose of marketing and promoting an institutionally sponsored sport.*~~

[12.6.1.2 unchanged.]

12.6.1.3 To Institution, Permissible. A member institution may receive funds from a professional sports organization, provided:

(a) The money is placed in the institution's general fund and used for purposes other than athletics;

(b) The money is placed in the institution's general scholarship fund and commingled with funds for the assistance of all students generally; or

(c) The money is received by the institution as a result of ~~*a reciprocal contractual marketing relationship*~~ **the professional sports organization's financial sponsorship of a specific intercollegiate competition event** and is placed in the ~~*athletics department's*~~ **institution's** budget for the specific ~~*purpose of marketing and promoting any institutionally sponsored sport other than football and men's basketball*~~ **event, including ancillary activities and promotions**.

12.6.1.4 To Conference, Permissible. A member conference may receive funds from a professional sports organization, provided the money is received by the conference as a result of the professional sports organization's financial sponsorship of a specific intercollegiate competition event and is placed in the conference's budget for the specific event, including ancillary activities and promotions.

[12.6.1.4 through 12.6.1.6 renumbered as 12.6.1.5 through 12.6.1.7, unchanged.]

B. Administrative: Amend 31.1.14, as follows:

31.1.14 Restricted Advertising and Sponsorship Activities. The following activities are restricted when they occur in conjunction with NCAA championships. Other restrictions are set forth in the championships handbooks.

31.1.14.1 Advertising. Advertising policies of the Association are designed to exclude those advertisements that do not appear to be in the best interests of higher education. The NCAA president shall have the authority to rule in cases where doubt exists concerning acceptable advertisers and advertising copy of game programs, broadcasts and telecasts of NCAA championships; however, the following expressly are prohibited:

[31.1.14.1-(a) unchanged.]

(b) Cigarettes and other tobacco products; **and**

~~*(c)Professional sports organizations or personnel (except as specified in the championships handbooks) in games other than licensed postseason football games; and*~~

[31.1.14.1-(d) relettered as 31.1.14.1-(c), unchanged.]

[31.1.14.1.1 unchanged.]

31.1.14.1.2 Sponsorships. A championships activity or promotion may not be sponsored by liquor, tobacco, beer or wine companies ~~*or by professional sports organizations or teams*~~ at any time.

31.1.14.1.2.1 Professional Sports Organizations. A professional sports organization may serve as a financial sponsor of an NCAA championship competition event, provided the organization is not publicly identified as such. A professional sports organization may serve as a financial sponsor of an activity or promotion that is ancillary to the competition event and may be publicly identified as such. The NCAA may receive financial contributions from a professional sports organization for sponsorship of a specific NCAA championship competition event, including ancillary activities and promotions.

Source: NCAA Division I Championships/Sports Management Cabinet

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Amateurism

Rationale: Current NCAA regulations limit the involvement of professional sports organizations as financial sponsors of intercollegiate events, including NCAA championships. In the case of NCAA championship events, the regulations limit the opportunities for the NCAA staff and/or local organizing committees, host institutions and/or conferences that administer championship events in promoting the NCAA championships or related activities. Professional sports teams have been interested in advertising NCAA championships through their promotional channels (e.g., email to season-ticket holders, team website, newsletters), during their contests (e.g., public address announcements, video/message boards, promotional booth) or with their media partners. Partnering with professional sports organizations can help to increase exposure and fan interest for intercollegiate competition events, including NCAA championships, without compromising the Association's principle of amateurism and line of demarcation between intercollegiate and professional sports. This legislation is specific to events and ancillary activities. It would not permit a professional sports organization to be a general financial sponsor of an institution, conference or the NCAA. It will remain impermissible for a professional team/organization to provide a direct financial donation to an institution, conference or the NCAA (other than as currently permitted). Finally, it will remain impermissible for a professional sports team/organization to use the names or likenesses of student-athletes in any manner.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Amateurism Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

Women's Golf Committee: The committee supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

History:

Jul 14, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Sep 07, 2011 Women's Golf Committee, Recommends Approval

Sep 29, 2011 Amateurism Cabinet, Recommends Approval

Recruiting

No. 2011-28-A RECRUITING -- PERMISSIBLE RECRUITERS -- GENERAL EXCEPTIONS -- RELATIVES OF ENROLLED STUDENT-ATHLETES

Intent: To specify that contacts between a prospective student-athlete or his or her relatives (traditional or nontraditional) or legal guardians and the relatives (traditional or nontraditional) or legal guardians of an enrolled student-athlete that occur on the day of a regularly scheduled home athletics event shall be permissible.

Bylaws: Amend 13.1.2, as follows:

13.1.2 Permissible Recruiters.

13.1.2.1 General Rule. All in-person, on- and off-campus recruiting contacts with a prospective student-athlete or the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians shall be made only by authorized institutional staff members. Such contact, as well as correspondence and telephone calls, by representatives of an institution's athletics interests is prohibited except as otherwise permitted in this section. Violations of this bylaw involving individuals other than a representative of an institution's athletics interests shall be considered institutional violations per Constitution 2.8.1; however, such violations shall not affect the prospective student-athlete's eligibility.

[13.1.2.1.1 unchanged.]

13.1.2.2 General Exceptions. This regulation is not applicable to:

[13.1.2.2-(a) through 13.1.2.2-(h) unchanged.]

(i) Relatives (or Legal Guardians) of Enrolled Student-Athletes. Contacts between a prospective student-athlete or his or her relatives (traditional or nontraditional) or legal guardians and the relatives (traditional or nontraditional) or legal guardians of an enrolled student-athlete that occur on the day of a regularly scheduled home athletics event.

Source: Southeastern Conference

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: Relatives of current student-athletes can serve as a valuable source of information for prospective student-athletes and their relatives. Parents, in particular, of current student-athletes offer a unique perspective on the team and the institution because of their children's participation. They can provide information about the institution based on first-hand knowledge of parental concerns, including information that the student-athletes themselves might not consider important. On-campus contact between relatives of current student-athletes and relatives of prospective student athletes may be unavoidable at a home athletics event. Permitting limited contact will allow the institution to provide prospective student-athletes and their parents with another valuable perspective on the institution and give them information they may need to make a fully-informed decision.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

History:

Jul 08, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Approval

Oct 18, 2011 Sponsor modified the proposal to expand the application to the prospective student-athlete's and the student-athlete's relatives (traditional or nontraditional). Also modified to apply to contacts that occur on the day of a regularly scheduled home athletics event (as opposed to being limited to an on-campus athletics event).

Oct 25, 2011 Proposal renumbered as Proposal No. 2011-28-A. An alternative is Proposal No. 2011-28-B.

No. 2011-28-B RECRUITING -- PERMISSIBLE RECRUITERS -- GENERAL EXCEPTIONS -- ON-CAMPUS CONTACT WITH RELATIVES OF ENROLLED STUDENT-ATHLETES

Intent: To specify that on-campus contacts between a prospective student-athlete or his or her relatives (traditional or nontraditional) or legal guardians accompanying the prospective student-athlete and the relatives (traditional or nontraditional) or legal guardians of an enrolled student-athlete shall be permissible.

Bylaws: Amend 13.1.2, as follows:

13.1.2 Permissible Recruiters.

13.1.2.1 General Rule. All in-person, on- and off-campus recruiting contacts with a prospective student-athlete or the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians shall be made only by authorized institutional staff members. Such contact, as well as correspondence and telephone calls, by representatives of an institution's athletics interests is prohibited except as otherwise permitted in this section. Violations of this bylaw involving individuals other than a representative of an institution's athletics interests shall be considered institutional violations per Constitution 2.8.1; however, such violations shall not affect the prospective student-athlete's eligibility.

[13.1.2.1.1 unchanged.]

13.1.2.2 General Exceptions. This regulation is not applicable to:

[13.1.2.2-(a) through 13.1.2.2-(h) unchanged.]

(i) Relatives (or Legal Guardians) of Enrolled Student-Athletes. On-campus contacts between a prospective student-athlete or his or her relatives (traditional or nontraditional) or legal guardians accompanying the prospective student-athlete and the relatives (traditional or nontraditional) or legal guardians of an enrolled student-athlete.

Source: Big East Conference

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: This alternative proposal is broader than the original proposal in that it allows for family members of prospective student-athletes who are accompanying prospective student-athletes on a visit to campus to speak with the relatives of current student-athletes at anytime. Relatives of current student-athletes can serve as a valuable source of information for prospective student-athletes and their families. Parents of current student-athletes offer a unique perspective on the team and the institution because of their children's participation. They can provide information about the institution based on first-hand knowledge of parental concerns, including information that the student-athletes themselves might not consider important. Contact between relatives of current student-athletes and family members of prospective student athletes may be unavoidable while a prospective student-athlete and his or her family are on-campus. Permitting limited on-campus contact will allow the institution to provide prospective student-athletes and their families with another valuable perspective on the institution and give them information they may need to make a fully-informed decision.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

History:

Oct 25, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

No. 2011-29-A RECRUITING -- PERMISSIBLE RECRUITERS -- STUDENT-ATHLETE -- OFF-CAMPUS CONTACTS DURING AN UNOFFICIAL VISIT

Intent: To specify that off-campus, in-person contacts between enrolled student-athletes and a prospective student-athlete are permissible if such contacts do not occur at the direction of a coaching staff member and the prospective student-athlete has notified the institution that he or she is making an unofficial visit.

Bylaws: Amend 13.1.2.7, as follows:

13.1.2.7 Student-Athlete. The following conditions apply to recruiting activities involving enrolled student-athletes: [D]

(a) Off-Campus Contacts. Off-campus, in-person recruiting contacts that are unavoidable incidental contacts between enrolled student-athletes (or other enrolled students) and a prospective student-athlete are permissible if such contacts do not occur at the direction of a coaching staff member. If unavoidable incidental contact occurs between a student-athlete and a prospective student-athlete (even at the prospective student-athlete's high school), such contact is permissible, provided the institution had no prior knowledge of the occurrence of the contact. **Off-campus, in-person contacts between enrolled student-athletes and a prospective student-athlete are permissible if such contacts do not occur at the direction of a coaching staff member and the prospective student-athlete has notified the institution that he or she is making an unofficial visit.**

[13.1.2.7-(b) through 13.1.2.7-(c) unchanged.]

Source: Southeastern Conference

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: Prospective student-athletes often have established relationships with current student-athletes, whether such relationships were established in high school, during the recruiting process or through other interactions. During an unofficial visit, a prospective-student-athlete is permitted to stay in an enrolled student-athlete's dormitory room if the prospective student-athlete pays the regular institutional rate for such lodging; however, the prospective student-athletes are prohibited from socializing off-campus with the student-athlete. If an interaction extends beyond "unavoidable incidental contact," the result is a violation. These situations happen frequently and create difficult situations for coaches and administrators, as they do not have access to private residences and gatherings. If such contact does not occur at the coaching staff's direction, it should not be a violation even if the interaction extends beyond "unavoidable incidental contact."

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

History:

Jul 08, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Approval

Oct 18, 2011 Proposal renumbered as Proposal No. 2011-29-A. An alternative is Proposal No. 2010-29-B.

No. 2011-29-B RECRUITING -- PERMISSIBLE RECRUITERS -- STUDENT-ATHLETE -- OFF-CAMPUS CONTACTS

Intent: To specify that off-campus, in-person contact between an enrolled student-athlete and a prospective student-athlete is permissible, provided such contact does not occur at the direction of an institutional staff member.

Bylaws: Amend 13.1.2.7, as follows:

13.1.2.7 Student-Athlete.

The following conditions apply to recruiting activities involving enrolled student-athletes: **[D]**

(a) Off-Campus Contacts. ~~*Off-campus, in-person recruiting contacts that are unavoidable incidental contacts between enrolled student-athletes (or other enrolled students) and a prospective student-athlete are permissible if such contacts do not occur at the direction of a*~~

~~*coaching staff member. If unavoidable incidental contact occurs between a student-athlete and a prospective student-athlete (even at the prospective student-athlete's high school), such contact is permissible, provided the institution had no prior knowledge of the occurrence of the contact.*~~ **Off-campus in-person contact between an enrolled student-athlete and a prospective student-athlete is permissible, provided such contact does not occur at the direction of an institutional staff member.**

[13.1.2.7-(b) through 13.1.2.7-(c) unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Legislative Council

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: Prospective student-athletes often have established relationships with current student-athletes, whether such relationships were established in high school, during the recruiting process or through other interactions. During an unofficial visit, a prospective-student-athlete is permitted to stay in an enrolled student-athlete's dormitory room if the prospective student-athlete pays the regular institutional rate for such lodging; however, the prospective student-athletes are prohibited from socializing off-campus with the student-athlete. If an interaction extends beyond "unavoidable incidental contact," the result is a violation. These situations happen frequently and create difficult situations for coaches and administrators, as they do not have access to private residences and gatherings. If such contact does not occur at a staff member's direction, it should not be a violation even if the interaction extends beyond "unavoidable incidental contact."

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

History:

Oct 18, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Oct 18, 2011 Legislative Council, Sponsored Sponsored as alternative to Proposal No. 2011-29-A.

No. 2011-30 RECRUITING -- TELEPHONE CALLS AND ELECTRONIC CORRESPONDENCE -- NO LIMITS ON OR AFTER FIRST PERMISSIBLE DATE

Intent: To deregulate the restrictions on telephone calls and electronically transmitted correspondence, as specified.

A. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.3, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS, FCS and Division I, divided vote]

13.1.3 Telephone Calls.

13.1.3.1 Time Period for Telephone Calls -- General Rule. Telephone calls to an individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before **June 15 during or at the conclusion of his or her sophomore year in high school. If an individual attends an educational institution that uses a nontraditional academic calendar (e.g., Southern Hemisphere) telephone calls to the individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before the day after the conclusion of his or her sophomore year in high school.** ~~*July 1 following the completion of his or her junior year in high school (subject to the exceptions below), or the opening day of classes of his or her senior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier; thereafter, staff members shall not make such telephone calls more than once per week.*~~

~~*13.1.3.1.1 Exception -- Baseball, Cross Country/Track and Field, Men's Lacrosse, Women's Lacrosse, Women's Sand Volleyball, Softball and Women's Volleyball. In baseball, cross country/track and field, men's lacrosse, women's lacrosse, women's sand volleyball, softball and women's volleyball, telephone calls to an individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before July 1 following the completion of his or her junior year in high school, or the opening day of classes of his or her senior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier. Thereafter, such telephone calls shall be limited to once per week outside a contact period, but may be made at the institution's discretion during a contact period.*~~

~~*13.1.3.1.2 Exception -- Football. In football, one telephone call to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) may be made from April 15 through May 31 of the individual's junior year in high school. Additional telephone calls to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before September 1 of the beginning of the individual's senior year in high school. Thereafter, such telephone contact is limited to once per week outside a contact period, but may be made at the institution's discretion during a contact period.*~~

~~*13.1.3.1.3 Exception -- Men's Basketball. In men's basketball, telephone calls to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before June 15 at the conclusion of the individual's sophomore year in high school. If an individual attends an educational institution that uses a nontraditional academic calendar (e.g., Southern Hemisphere) telephone calls to the individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before the day after the conclusion of the individual's sophomore year in high school. Thereafter, an institution may make telephone calls to a prospective student-athlete at its discretion.*~~

13.1.3.1.~~*3.*~~1 Telephone Calls to "1-900" Numbers -- Men's Basketball. In men's basketball, an institution shall not place a telephone call to a "1-900" number connected to a prospective student-athlete or an individual associated with a prospective student-athlete.

~~*13.1.3.1.4 Exception -- Women's Basketball. In women's basketball, telephone calls may be made to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) as follows:*~~

~~*(a) One telephone call during the month of April of the individual's junior year in high school on or after the Thursday after the conclusion of the NCAA Division I Women's Final Four.*~~

~~*(b) One telephone call during the month of May of the individual's junior year in high school.*~~

~~*(c) One telephone call on or after June 1 through June 20 of the individual's junior year in high school.*~~

~~*(d) One telephone call on or after June 21 through June 30 of the individual's junior year in high school.*~~

~~*(e) Three telephone calls during the month of July following the individual's junior year in high school, with not more than one telephone call per week [see Bylaw 13.1.6.2.2-(c)].*~~

~~*(f) Thereafter, one telephone call per week outside a contact period and unlimited telephone calls during a contact period.*~~

~~*13.1.3.1.4.1 Additional Restrictions -- Additional Communication with a Parent or Legal Guardian Who Serves on Staff of a Nonscholastic Team. An institution may not have any additional communication with a parent or legal guardian of a prospective student-athlete who serves on the staff of his or her daughter's nonscholastic team unless the parent or legal guardian also serves as a head coach of his or her daughter's scholastic team and the additional communication is unrelated to recruitment of his or her daughter.*~~

13.1.3.1.~~*4.*~~2 ~~*Additional Restrictions --*~~ July Evaluation Periods**-- Women's Basketball**. In women's basketball, during the July evaluation periods, all communication with a prospective student-athlete, the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians, the prospective student-athlete's coach or any individual associated with the prospective student-athlete as a result of the prospective student-athlete's participation in basketball, directly or indirectly, is prohibited.

13.1.3.1.~~*4.*~~2.1 Exception -- Communication After Commitment. In women's basketball, an institution may communicate with a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's relatives, legal guardians or coach) during the July evaluation periods, provided the communication does not occur until the calendar day after one of the following events occurs:

(a) The prospective student-athlete signs a National Letter of Intent (NLI) or the institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid; or

(b) The institution receives a financial deposit in response to the institution's offer of admission.

~~*13.1.3.1.5 Exception – Men's Ice Hockey. In men's ice hockey, an institution is permitted to make one telephone call per month to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) on or after June 15 at the conclusion of the individual's sophomore year in high school through July 31 after the individual's junior year in high school. An institution is permitted to make one telephone call per week to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) beginning August 1 following completion of the individual's junior year in high school.*~~

~~*13.1.3.1.5.1 Nontraditional Academic Calendars. If an individual attends an educational institution that uses a nontraditional academic calendar (e.g., southern hemisphere) telephone calls to the individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before the day after the conclusion of the individual's sophomore year in high school.*~~

~~*Thereafter, an institution may make telephone calls to an individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) as follows:*~~

~~*(a) One telephone call per month between the day after the conclusion of the individual's sophomore year in high school and the opening day of classes of individual's senior year in high school.*~~

~~*(b) Two telephone calls per month between the day after the conclusion of the individual's sophomore year in high school and the opening day of classes of individual's senior year in high school.*~~

~~*(c) In sports for which a defined recruiting calendar applies (see Bylaw 13.17), during a contact period that occurs on or after the opening day of classes of an individual's senior year in high school, telephone calls may be made at the institution's discretion.*~~

~~*13.1.3.1.6 Exception -- Women's Ice Hockey. In women's ice hockey, an institution is permitted to make one telephone call to an individual (or the individual's relatives or guardians) who is a resident of a foreign country on or after July 7 through July 31 following the completion of the individual's sophomore year in high school. An institution is permitted to make one telephone call per week to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) beginning July 7 following completion of the individual's junior year in high school, or the opening day of classes of his or her senior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier.*~~

~~*13.1.3.1.7 Application of Telephone Call Limitations. Once an institution reaches the applicable limit on telephone calls to a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians) for a particular time period (e.g., one per month, one per week, two per week), the institution may not initiate an additional telephone call during the same time period, even if no direct conversation occurs during the additional call (e.g., voicemail message).*~~

~~*13.1.3.1.8 Effect of Violations. Violations of Bylaw 13.1.3.1 and its subsections involving the first occasion when a staff member exceeds the permissible number of telephone calls during a given week (when it is otherwise permissible to call) shall be considered an institutional violation per Constitution 2.8.1 and shall not affect the prospective student-athlete's eligibility.*~~

13.1.3.2 Additional Regulations.

[13.1.3.2.1 unchanged.]

13.1.3.2.2 Telephone Calls Initiated by Prospective Student-Athlete at His or Her Expense. Institutional coaching staff members (see Bylaw 13.1.3.4.1) may receive telephone calls placed by a prospective student-athlete at the prospective student-athlete's own expense at any time, including before ~~*July 1 following the prospective student-athlete's junior year in high school*~~ **<u>the date on which an institution may begin placing telephone calls to the prospective student-athlete</u>** (see Bylaw 13.1.6.2).

~~*13.1.3.3 Exceptions.*~~

~~*13.1.3.3.1 Official Visit Exception. Institutional coaching staff members (see Bylaw 13.1.3.4.1) may make unlimited telephone calls to a prospective student-athlete during the five days*~~

~~*immediately preceding the prospective student-athlete's official visit (per Bylaw 13.6) to that institution. If more than one call per week occurs under this exception and a scheduled official visit is canceled due to circumstances beyond the control of the prospective student-athlete or the institution (e.g., trip is canceled by the prospective student-athlete, inclement weather conditions), such calls shall not be considered institutional violations and shall not affect the prospective student-athlete's eligibility. However, the institution shall submit a report to the conference office noting the cancellation of the official visit and the reasons for such cancellation.*~~

~~*13.1.3.3.1.1 Telephone Calls in Conjunction with Official Visit. Athletics department staff members may make unlimited telephone calls to a prospective student-athlete or those individuals accompanying the prospective student-athlete during the prospective student-athlete's official visit transportation and during his or her official visit.*~~

~~*13.1.3.3.2 Letter-of-Intent Signing-Date Exception. Institutional coaching staff members (see Bylaw 13.1.3.4.1) may make unlimited telephone calls to a prospective student-athlete on the initial date for the signing of the National Letter of Intent and during the two days immediately following the initial signing date.*~~

~~*13.1.3.3.2.1 Football Exception. In football, institutional coaching staff members may make unlimited telephone calls to prospective student-athletes during the period 48 hours before and 48 hours after 7 a.m. on the initial signing date for the National Letter of Intent.*~~

~~*13.1.3.3.3 Telephone Calls After Commitment. There shall be no limit on the number of telephone calls by the institution to a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians) beginning the calendar day after one of the following events occurs:*~~

~~*(a) The prospective student-athlete signs a National Letter of Intent (NLI) or the institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid; or*~~

~~*(b) The institution receives a financial deposit in response to the institution's offer of admission.*~~

~~*13.1.3.3.4 Off-Campus Contact Exception. Institutional coaching staff members (see Bylaw 13.1.3.4.1) may make unlimited telephone calls to a prospective student-athlete on the day a permissible, in-person, off-campus contact occurs with the prospective student-athlete.*~~

[13.1.3.4 renumbered as 13.1.3.3, unchanged.]

13.1.3.~~5~~**4** Nonpermissible Callers.

[13.1.3.5.1 renumbered as 13.1.3.4.1, unchanged.]

13.1.3.~~5~~**4**.2 Enrolled Student-Athletes. Enrolled student-athletes or other enrolled students shall not make or participate in telephone calls to prospective student-athletes at the direction of a coaching staff member or financed by the institution or a representative of its athletics interests; however, they may receive telephone calls at the expense of the prospective student-athlete on or after ~~*July 1 following the completion of the prospective student-athlete's junior year in high school*~~ **the date on which an institution may begin placing telephone calls to the prospective student-athlete** . An enrolled student-athlete may receive telephone

calls made at the expense of a prospective student-athlete prior to ~~*July 1 following the completion of the prospective student-athlete's junior year in high school*~~ **the date on which an institution may begin placing telephone calls to the prospective student-athlete**, provided there is no direct or indirect involvement by athletics department staff.

[13.1.3.5.2.1 renumbered as 13.1.3.4.2.1, unchanged.]

13.1.3.~~6~~**5** Collect and Toll-Free Telephone Calls. Institutional coaching staff members (see Bylaw 13.1.3.4.1) may accept collect and toll-free (e.g., 1-800, 1-888) telephone calls placed by a prospective student-athlete and a prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians, provided the calls are placed not earlier than ~~*July 1 following completion of the prospective student-athlete's junior year in high school*~~ **the date on which an institution may begin placing telephone calls to the prospective student-athlete**.

~~*13.1.3.6.1 Exception -- Men's Basketball. In men's basketball, institutional coaching staff members may accept collect and toll-free (e.g., 1-800, 1-888) telephone calls placed by a prospective student-athlete and the prospective student-athlete's parents and legal guardians, provided the calls are placed not earlier than the date on which an institution may begin placing telephone calls to a prospective student-athlete.*~~

~~*13.1.3.6.2 Exception -- Women's Basketball. In women's basketball, institutional coaching staff members may accept collect and toll-free telephone calls placed by a prospective student-athlete and the prospective student-athlete's parents and legal guardians, provided the calls are not placed earlier than the date on which an institution may begin placing telephone calls to the prospective student-athlete (see Bylaw 13.1.3.1.3).*~~

[13.1.3.7 renumbered as 13.1.3.6, unchanged.]

B. Bylaws: Amend 13.4.1, as follows:

13.4.1 Recruiting Materials. ~~*In sports other than men's basketball and men's ice hockey, a member institution shall not provide recruiting materials, including general correspondence related to athletics, to an individual (or his or her parents or legal guardians) until September 1 at the beginning of his or her junior year in high school. In men's basketball and men's ice hockey, an*~~ **An** institution shall not provide recruiting materials, including general correspondence related to athletics, to an individual (or his or her parents or legal guardians) until June 15 **during or** at the conclusion of his or her sophomore year in high school. **If an individual attends an educational institution that uses a nontraditional academic calendar (e.g., Southern Hemisphere) recruiting materials, including general correspondence related to athletics, shall not be provided to the individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) before the day after the conclusion of his or her sophomore year in high school.** [D]

[13.4.1.1 unchanged.]

13.4.1.2 Electronic ~~*Transmissions*~~ **Correspondence**. Electronic~~*ally*~~ ~~*transmitted*~~ correspondence ~~*that*~~ **(e.g., email, instant messages, text messages)** may be sent to a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians) ~~*is limited to electronic mail and facsimiles*~~. (See ~~*Bylaw*~~ **Bylaws** 13.1.6.2 **and 13.10.2**.) ~~*All other forms of electronically transmitted correspondence (e.g., Instant Messenger, text messaging) are prohibited.*~~ Color attachments may be included with electronic ~~*mail*~~ correspondence, provided the attachment

only includes information that is not created for recruiting purposes, except for items that are specifically permitted as printed recruiting materials (e.g., questionnaires), a media guide and video and audio materials, as permitted in Bylaw 13.4.1.5. Attachments other than a media guide and permissible video and audio materials shall not include any animation, audio or video clips and there shall be no cost (e.g., subscription fee) associated with sending the item attached to the electronic ~~*mail*~~ correspondence. [D]

~~*13.4.1.2.1 Exception -- Men's Basketball. Electronic correspondence (e.g., electronic mail, Instant Messenger, facsimiles, text messages) may be sent to a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians), provided the correspondence is sent directly to the prospective student-athlete (or his or her parents or legal guardians) and is private between only the sender and recipient (e.g., no use of chat rooms, message boards, posts to "walls"). (See Bylaws 13.1.6.2 and 13.10.2.) Color attachments may be included with electronic correspondence, provided the attachment only includes information that is not created for recruiting purposes, except for items that are specifically permitted as printed recruiting materials (e.g., questionnaires), a media guide and video and audio materials, as permitted in Bylaw 13.4.1.5. Attachments other than a media guide and permissible video and audio materials shall not include any animation, audio or video clips and there shall be no cost (e.g., subscription fee) associated with sending the item attached to the electronic correspondence. [D]*~~

~~*13.4.1.2.2 Exception -- Electronic Transmissions After Commitment. There shall be no limit on the forms of electronically transmitted correspondence sent to a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians) beginning the calendar day after one of the following events occurs:*~~

~~*(a) The prospective student-athlete signs a National Letter of Intent (NLI) or the institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid; or*~~

~~*(b) The institution receives a financial deposit in response to the institution's offer of admission.*~~

13.4.1.2.~~*3*~~**1** Exception -- Electronic ~~*Mail and Facsimiles*~~ **Correspondence** Regarding Institutional Camp or Clinic Logistical Issues ~~*-- Sports Other Than Men's Basketball*~~. Electronic ~~*mail and facsimiles*~~ **correspondence** to an individual (or his or her parents, legal guardians, relatives or coach) that ~~*relate*~~ **relates** solely to institutional camp or clinic logistical issues (e.g., missing registration information) ~~*are*~~ **is** not subject to the restrictions on recruiting materials, provided the correspondence does not contain recruiting language and no solicitation of particular individuals to attend a camp or clinic occurs.

~~*13.4.1.2.4 Exception -- Electronic Correspondence Regarding Institutional Camp or Clinic Logistical Issues -- Men's Basketball. Electronic correspondence to an individual (or his or her parents, legal guardians, relatives or coach) that relates solely to institutional camp or clinic logistical issues (e.g., missing registration information) is not subject to the restrictions on recruiting materials, provided the correspondence does not contain recruiting language and no solicitation of particular individuals to attend a camp or clinic occurs.*~~

Source: Big East Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: The legislation associated with the means and frequency by which coaches communicate with prospective student-athletes is ripe for deregulation. The legislation which prohibits the use of text messaging in the recruiting process has become outdated and institutions are allocating an inordinate amount of time and resources to monitor text messaging and telephone call activity. This proposal would have no impact on current legislation governing face-to-face contact, but would permit an unlimited use of all forms of electronically transmitted correspondence (e.g., email, text messaging, instant messaging, use of direct messaging features on social networking websites) and unlimited telephone contact by coaches as of June 15 of the prospective student-athlete's sophomore year (or between the sophomore and junior year) in high school. Although the proposal would not completely eliminate all aspects of telephone call and electronically transmitted correspondence monitoring, it would significantly reduce the burden.

Estimated Budget Impact: Variable. Some institutions may realize a cost savings related to communication monitoring.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Football Issues Committee: The committee took no position.

Men's Basketball Issues Committee: The committee supports the concepts of earlier access to prospective student-athletes and elimination of modes and frequency of telephonic/electronic communications. The cabinet also expresses support for the preliminary recommendations of the NCAA Division I Leadership Council related to the men's basketball recruiting model in this area.

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet opposes the proposal. However, the cabinet supports the concept and prefers Proposal No. 2011-31. The cabinet recommends that the sponsor withdraw the proposal and support Proposal Nos. 2011-31 and 2011-37.

Women's Basketball Issues Committee: The committee took no position. These issues will be discussed in the development the women's basketball recruiting model.

History:

Jun 30, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Aug 19, 2011 Women's Basketball Issues Committee, No Formal Position

Aug 29, 2011 Men's Basketball Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

Sep 06, 2011 Football Issues Committee, No Formal Position

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Defeat

Oct 25, 2011 Sponsor modified the dates for the first permissible telephone calls and for providing recruiting material from August 1 before the junior year in high school to June 15 at the conclusion of the sophomore year in high school.

No. 2011-31 RECRUITING -- TELEPHONE CALLS -- NO LIMITS AFTER FIRST PERMISSIBLE DATE

Intent: To eliminate the limitations on the number and frequency of telephone calls to prospective student-athletes, as specified.

Bylaws: Amend 13.1.3, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS, FCS and Division I, divided vote]

13.1.3 Telephone Calls.

13.1.3.1 Time Period for Telephone Calls -- General Rule. Telephone calls to an individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before July 1 following the completion of his or her ~~*junior*~~ **sophomore** year in high school (subject to the exceptions below), or the opening day of classes of his or her ~~*senior*~~ **junior** year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier~~*; thereafter, staff members shall not make such telephone calls more than once per week*~~.

~~*13.1.3.1.1 Exception -- Baseball, Cross Country/Track and Field, Men's Lacrosse, Women's Lacrosse, Women's Sand Volleyball, Softball and Women's Volleyball. In baseball, cross country/track and field, men's lacrosse, women's lacrosse, women's sand volleyball, softball and women's volleyball, telephone calls to an individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before July 1 following the completion of his or her junior year in high school, or the opening day of classes of his or her senior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier. Thereafter, such telephone calls shall be limited to once per week outside a contact period, but may be made at the institution's discretion during a contact period.*~~

~~*13.1.3.1.2 Exception -- Football. In football, one telephone call to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) may be made from April 15 through May 31 of the individual's junior year in high school. Additional telephone calls to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before September 1 of the beginning of the individual's senior year in high school. Thereafter, such telephone contact is limited to once per week outside a contact period, but may be made at the institution's discretion during a contact period.*~~

~~*13.1.3.1.3 Exception -- Men's Basketball. In men's basketball, telephone calls to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before June 15 at the conclusion of the individual's sophomore year in high school. If an individual attends an educational institution that uses a nontraditional academic calendar (e.g., Southern Hemisphere) telephone calls to the individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before the day after the conclusion of the individual's sophomore year in high school. Thereafter, an institution may make telephone calls to a prospective student-athlete at its discretion.*~~

13.1.3.1.~~*3.*~~1 Telephone Calls to "1-900" Numbers -- Men's Basketball. In men's basketball, an institution shall not place a telephone call to a "1-900" number connected to a prospective student-athlete or an individual associated with a prospective student-athlete.

~~*13.1.3.1.4 Exception -- Women's Basketball. In women's basketball, telephone calls may be made to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) as follows:*~~

~~*(a) One telephone call during the month of April of the individual's junior year in high school on or after the Thursday after the conclusion of the NCAA Division I Women's Final Four.*~~

~~*(b) One telephone call during the month of May of the individual's junior year in high school.*~~

~~*(c) One telephone call on or after June 1 through June 20 of the individual's junior year in high school.*~~

~~*(d) One telephone call on or after June 21 through June 30 of the individual's junior year in high school.*~~

~~*(e) Three telephone calls during the month of July following the individual's junior year in high school, with not more than one telephone call per week [see Bylaw 13.1.6.2.2-(c)].*~~

~~*(f) Thereafter, one telephone call per week outside a contact period and unlimited telephone calls during a contact period.*~~

~~*13.1.3.1.4.1 Additional Restrictions -- Additional Communication with a Parent or Legal Guardian Who Serves on Staff of a Nonscholastic Team. An institution may not have any additional communication with a parent or legal guardian of a prospective student-athlete who serves on the staff of his or her daughter's nonscholastic team unless the parent or legal guardian also serves as a head coach of his or her daughter's scholastic team and the additional communication is unrelated to recruitment of his or her daughter.*~~

13.1.3.1.~~*4.*~~2 ~~*Additional Restrictions --*~~ July Evaluation Periods**-- Women's Basketball**. In women's basketball, during the July evaluation periods, all communication with a prospective student-athlete, the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians, the prospective student-athlete's coach or any individual associated with the prospective student-athlete as a result of the prospective student-athlete's participation in basketball, directly or indirectly, is prohibited.

13.1.3.1.~~*4.*~~2.1 Exception -- Communication After Commitment. In women's basketball, an institution may communicate with a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's relatives, legal guardians or coach) during the July evaluation periods, provided the communication does not occur until the calendar day after one of the following events occurs:

(a) The prospective student-athlete signs a National Letter of Intent (NLI) or the institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid; or

(b) The institution receives a financial deposit in response to the institution's offer of admission.

~~*13.1.3.1.5 Exception -- Men's Ice Hockey. In men's ice hockey, an institution is permitted to make one telephone call per month to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) on or after June 15 at the conclusion of the individual's sophomore year in high school through July 31 after the individual's junior year in high school. An institution is permitted to make one telephone call per week to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) beginning August 1 following completion of the individual's junior year in high school.*~~

~~*13.1.3.1.5.1 Nontraditional Academic Calendars. If an individual attends an educational institution that uses a nontraditional academic calendar (e.g., southern hemisphere) telephone calls to the individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before the day after the conclusion of the individual's sophomore year in high school. Thereafter, an institution may make telephone calls to an individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) as follows:*~~

~~*(a) One telephone call per month between the day after the conclusion of the individual's sophomore year in high school and the opening day of classes of individual's senior year in high school.*~~

~~*(b) Two telephone calls per month between the day after the conclusion of the individual's sophomore year in high school and the opening day of classes of individual's senior year in high school.*~~

~~*(c) In sports for which a defined recruiting calendar applies (see Bylaw 13.17), during a contact period that occurs on or after the opening day of classes of an individual's senior year in high school, telephone calls may be made at the institution's discretion.*~~

~~*13.1.3.1.6 Exception -- Women's Ice Hockey. In women's ice hockey, an institution is permitted to make one telephone call to an individual (or the individual's relatives or guardians) who is a resident of a foreign country on or after July 7 through July 31 following the completion of the individual's sophomore year in high school. An institution is permitted to make one telephone call per week to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) beginning July 7 following completion of the individual's junior year in high school, or the opening day of classes of his or her senior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier.*~~

~~*13.1.3.1.7 Application of Telephone Call Limitations. Once an institution reaches the applicable limit on telephone calls to a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians) for a particular time period (e.g., one per month, one per week, two per week), the institution may not initiate an additional telephone call during the same time period, even if no direct conversation occurs during the additional call (e.g., voicemail message).*~~

~~*13.1.3.1.8 Effect of Violations. Violations of Bylaw 13.1.3.1 and its subsections involving the first occasion when a staff member exceeds the permissible number of telephone calls during a given week (when it is otherwise permissible to call) shall be considered an institutional violation per Constitution 2.8.1 and shall not affect the prospective student-athlete's eligibility.*~~

[13.1.3.2 unchanged.]

~~*13.1.3.3 Exceptions.*~~

~~*13.1.3.3.1 Official Visit Exception. Institutional coaching staff members (see Bylaw 13.1.3.4.1) may make unlimited telephone calls to a prospective student-athlete during the five days immediately preceding the prospective student-athlete's official visit (per Bylaw 13.6) to that institution. If more than one call per week occurs under this exception and a scheduled official visit is canceled due to circumstances beyond the control of the prospective student-athlete or the institution (e.g., trip is canceled by the prospective student-athlete, inclement weather*~~

~~*conditions), such calls shall not be considered institutional violations and shall not affect the prospective student-athlete's eligibility. However, the institution shall submit a report to the conference office noting the cancellation of the official visit and the reasons for such cancellation.*~~

~~*13.1.3.3.1.1 Telephone Calls in Conjunction with Official Visit. Athletics department staff members may make unlimited telephone calls to a prospective student-athlete or those individuals accompanying the prospective student-athlete during the prospective student-athlete's official visit transportation and during his or her official visit.*~~

~~*13.1.3.3.2 Letter-of-Intent Signing-Date Exception. Institutional coaching staff members (see Bylaw 13.1.3.4.1) may make unlimited telephone calls to a prospective student-athlete on the initial date for the signing of the National Letter of Intent and during the two days immediately following the initial signing date.*~~

~~*13.1.3.3.2.1 Football Exception. In football, institutional coaching staff members may make unlimited telephone calls to prospective student-athletes during the period 48 hours before and 48 hours after 7 a.m. on the initial signing date for the National Letter of Intent.*~~

~~*13.1.3.3.3 Telephone Calls After Commitment. There shall be no limit on the number of telephone calls by the institution to a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians) beginning the calendar day after one of the following events occurs:*~~

~~*(a) The prospective student-athlete signs a National Letter of Intent (NLI) or the institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid; or*~~

~~*(b) The institution receives a financial deposit in response to the institution's offer of admission.*~~

~~*13.1.3.3.4 Off-Campus Contact Exception. Institutional coaching staff members (see Bylaw 13.1.3.4.1) may make unlimited telephone calls to a prospective student-athlete on the day a permissible, in-person, off-campus contact occurs with the prospective student-athlete.*~~

[13.1.3.4 renumbered as 13.1.3.3, unchanged.]

13.1.3.~~5~~**4** Nonpermissible Callers.

[13.1.3.5.1 renumbered as 13.1.3.4.1, unchanged.]

13.1.3.~~5~~**4**.2 Enrolled Student-Athletes. Enrolled student-athletes or other enrolled students shall not make or participate in telephone calls to prospective student-athletes at the direction of a coaching staff member or financed by the institution or a representative of its athletics interests; however, they may receive telephone calls at the expense of the prospective student-athlete on or after July 1 following the completion of the prospective student-athlete's ~~*junior*~~ **sophomore** year in high school. An enrolled student-athlete may receive telephone calls made at the expense of a prospective student-athlete prior to July 1 following the completion of the prospective student-athlete's ~~*junior*~~ **sophomore** year in high school, provided there is no direct or indirect involvement by athletics department staff.

[13.1.3.5.2.1 renumbered as 13.1.3.4.2.1, unchanged.]

13.1.3.~~6~~**5** Collect and Toll-Free Telephone Calls. Institutional coaching staff members (see Bylaw 13.1.3.4.1) may accept collect and toll-free (e.g., 1-800, 1-888) telephone calls placed by a prospective student-athlete and a prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians, provided the calls are placed not earlier than ~~*July 1 following completion of the prospective student-athlete's junior year in high school*~~ **the date on which an institution may begin placing telephone calls to the prospective student-athlete**.

~~*13.1.3.6.1 Exception -- Men's Basketball. In men's basketball, institutional coaching staff members may accept collect and toll-free (e.g., 1-800, 1-888) telephone calls placed by a prospective student-athlete and the prospective student-athlete's parents and legal guardians, provided the calls are placed not earlier than the date on which an institution may begin placing telephone calls to the prospective student-athlete.*~~

~~*13.1.3.6.2 Exception -- Women's Basketball. In women's basketball, institutional coaching staff members may accept collect and toll-free telephone calls placed by a prospective student-athlete and the prospective student-athlete's parents and legal guardians, provided the calls are not placed earlier than the date on which an institution may begin placing telephone calls to the prospective student-athlete (see Bylaw 13.1.3.1.3).*~~

[13.1.3.7 renumbered as 13.1.3.6, unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: This proposal seeks to further the Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet's deregulation efforts regarding telephone calls. Currently, many institutions are spending thousands of dollars for software to monitor telephone calls, as well as countless hours investigating potential violations. However, coaches are able to easily circumvent the phone call limitations (e.g., one per week) by emailing a prospective student-athlete and requesting that the prospective student-athlete call the coach at his or her own expense. The current restrictions do not limit the amount of intrusion on prospective student-athletes. In fact, a prospective student-athlete may feel more compelled to make a call at his or her own expense to a coach in response to the email request than to answer a telephone call. Further, early in the recruiting process, coaches and prospective student-athletes should be expected to discuss the prospective student-athlete's preferred frequency and method of communication. As a result, reasonable parameters may be set as it relates to telephone calls.

Estimated Budget Impact: Variable, based on the amount of telephone calls made to prospective student-athletes.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Men's Basketball Issues Committee: The cabinet expresses support for the concepts of earlier access to prospective student-athletes and elimination of modes and frequency of telephonic/electronic of communications. The cabinet also expresses support for the preliminary

recommendations of the Leadership Council related to the men's basketball recruiting model in this area.

Women's Basketball Issues Committee: The committee took no position. This issue will be discussed in the development the women's basketball recruiting model.

History:

Jun 22, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Jun 23, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Sponsored

Aug 19, 2011 Women's Basketball Issues Committee, No Formal Position

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Modified the Proposal Proposal modified to specify that telephone calls to an individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before July 1 following the completion of his or her sophomore year in high school (subject to the exceptions below), or the opening day of classes of his or her junior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier.

Sep 29, 2011 Men's Basketball Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-32 RECRUITING -- TELEPHONE CALLS -- PERMISSIBLE CALLERS -- EXCEPTIONS -- PRIOR TO COMMITMENT -- COMPLIANCE ADMINISTRATORS

Intent: To permit compliance administrators to make telephone calls to or receive telephone calls from a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians) with no limit on the timing or number of such calls, provided the calls relate only to compliance issues.

Bylaws: Amend 13.1.3.4, as follows:

13.1.3.4 Permissible Callers.

13.1.3.4.1 Institutional Coaching Staff Members -- General Rule. All telephone calls made to and received from a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents, legal guardians or coaches) must be made and received by the head coach or one or more of the assistant coaches who count toward the numerical limitations in Bylaw 11.7.4 (see Bylaw 11.7.1.2). In bowl subdivision football and women's rowing, such telephone calls also may be made and received by a graduate assistant coach, provided the coach has successfully completed the coaches' certification examination per Bylaw 11.5.1.1.

13.1.3.4.1.1 Exceptions -- Prior to Commitment. Prior to a prospective student-athlete signing a National Letter of Intent or the institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid or before the institution receives a financial deposit in response to the institution's offer of admission, the following institutional staff members may make telephone calls to or receive telephone calls from a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians) as specified:

[13.1.3.4.1.1-(a) through 13.1.3.4.1.1-(b) unchanged.]

(c) Compliance Administrators. It is permissible for a compliance administrator to **make telephone calls to or receive** ~~return~~ telephone calls from a prospective student-athlete **(or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians)** with no limit on the timing or number of such telephone calls, provided the calls relate only to compliance issues.

[13.1.3.4.1.1-(d) unchanged.]

[Remainder of 13.1.3.4 unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: Compliance administrators should have the flexibility to make telephone calls to or receive telephone calls from a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student athlete's parents or legal guardians) in order to address compliance-related issues, even if such issues occur prior to the prospective student-athlete's commitment to the institution. However, it would remain impermissible for a compliance administrator to engage in a recruiting conversation during such a telephone call.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

History:

Jun 03, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Jun 23, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Sponsored

No. 2011-33 RECRUITING -- CONTACTS AND EVALUATIONS -- RECRUITING PERSON DAYS -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL, WOMEN'S SAND VOLLEYBALL AND WOMEN'S VOLLEYBALL

Intent: In women's basketball, women's sand volleyball and women's volleyball, to eliminate the limitation on the number of evaluations per prospective student-athlete.

A. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.5, as follows:

13.1.5 Contacts.

13.1.5.1 Sports Other Than Football**, Women's Sand Volleyball, Women's Volleyball** and Basketball. In sports other than football**, women's sand volleyball, women's volleyball** and basketball, an institution is limited to seven recruiting opportunities (contacts and evaluations combined) per prospective student-athlete (see Bylaw 13.1.5.5). During the senior year of high school, not more than three of the seven opportunities may be off-campus contacts at any site and shall include contacts with the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians, but

shall not include contacts made during an official visit per Bylaw 13.6.

[13.1.5.2 through 13.1.5.3 unchanged.]

13.1.5.4 Women's Basketball. In women's basketball, ~~*during the academic year, each institution shall be limited to seven recruiting opportunities (contacts and evaluations combined) per prospective student-athlete; however, during the prospective student-athlete's senior year, the*~~ **an** institution is limited to not more than three in-person, off-campus contacts **per prospective student-athlete** (see Bylaw 13.1.5.5). Women's basketball staff members shall not exceed 100 recruiting-person days during the academic year contact and evaluation periods.

[13.1.5.4.1 through 13.1.5.4.2 unchanged.]

13.1.5.5 Women's Sand Volleyball. In women's sand volleyball, an institution is limited to three in-person, off-campus recruiting contacts per prospective student-athlete at any site, including contacts made with the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians, but not including contacts made during an official visit per Bylaw 13.6.

13.1.5.6 Women's Volleyball. In women's volleyball, an institution is limited to three in-person, off-campus recruiting contacts per prospective student-athlete at any site, including contacts made with the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians, but not including contacts made during an official visit per Bylaw 13.6.

[13.1.5.5 through 13.1.5.10 renumbered as 13.1.5.7 through 13.1.5.12, unchanged.]

B. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.7, as follows:

13.1.7 Limitations on Number of Evaluations.

13.1.7.1 Visit (Without Contact) to Prospective Student-Athlete's Educational Institution **-- Sports Other Than Women's Basketball, Women's Sand Volleyball and Women's Volleyball**. ~~*A*~~ **In sports other than women's basketball, women's sand volleyball and women's volleyball, a** visit (without contact) by a coaching staff member to a prospective student-athlete's educational institution counts as an evaluation for all prospective student-athletes in that sport at that educational institution.

[13.1.7.1.1 unchanged.]

[13.1.7.2 unchanged.]

13.1.7.3 Limitations on Number of Evaluations -- Sports Other Than Football**, Women's Sand Volleyball, Women's Volleyball** and Basketball. In sports other than football**, women's sand volleyball, women's volleyball** and basketball, during the academic year, each institution shall be limited to seven recruiting opportunities (contacts and evaluations combined) per prospective student-athlete and not more than three of the seven opportunities may be contacts (see Bylaws 13.1.5.1 and 13.1.5.5). [D]

[13.1.7.4 through 13.1.7.5 unchanged.]

13.1.7.6 Limitations on Number of Evaluations -- Women's Basketball. In women's basketball, ~~*each institution shall be limited to seven recruiting opportunities (contacts and evaluations combined) during the academic year*~~ **there is no limit on the number of evaluations** per prospective student-athlete~~*; however, during the prospective student-athlete's senior year, the*~~

~~*institution is limited to not more than three in-person, off-campus contacts (see Bylaws 13.1.5.4 and 13.1.5.5)*~~. Women's basketball staff members shall not exceed 100 recruiting-person days during the academic year contact and evaluation periods. [D]

13.1.7.7 Limitations on Number of Evaluations -- Women's Sand Volleyball and Women's Volleyball. In women's sand volleyball and women's volleyball, there is no limit on the number of evaluations per prospective student-athlete. (See Bylaws 13.1.7.13 and 13.1.7.14.)

[13.1.7.7 through 13.1.7.21 renumbered as 13.1.7.8 through 13.1.7.22, unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: Recruiting model feedback indicated broad support for establishment of recruiting person days or evaluation days in all sports and the elimination of limitations on the number of evaluations. In the sports that have established recruiting person days or evaluation days, institutions should have the autonomy to determine how to use them without the limitation on the number of evaluations per prospective student-athlete. This proposal will eliminate the burden of monitoring the number of evaluations per prospective student-athlete and inadvertent violations of the limitation. Men's basketball is not included in this proposal due to the current review of men's basketball recruiting issues and rules by the Leadership Council. Football is not included due to the specific nature of the current evaluation periods and the limits on evaluations during each period. Softball is not included due to the fact that the observation of prospective student-athletes participating in high school softball competition does not count toward the limit on evaluation days. These sports and others are encouraged to explore either recommending revisions to current legislation or to establish recruiting-person days or evaluation days and to eliminate the limitation on the number of evaluations per prospective student-athlete.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Women's Basketball Issues Committee: The committee opposes the proposal. Evaluations are easier to monitor per prospective student-athlete and eliminating the limitation on the number of evaluations per prospective student-athlete would result in unnecessary trips to "babysit" specific prospective student-athletes during the recruiting process despite the overall number of recruiting person days.

Women's Volleyball Committee: The committee supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale. In addition, it is difficult to stay under the limit on the number of evaluations due to the number of large events in which prospective student-athletes participate.

History:

Jun 03, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Jun 23, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Sponsored

Aug 19, 2011 Women's Basketball Issues Committee, Recommends Defeat

Sep 08, 2011 Women's Volleyball Committee, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-34 RECRUITING -- BASKETBALL EVALUATIONS -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL -- EVALUATIONS DURING ACADEMIC YEAR EVALUATION PERIODS -- LIVE EVALUATIONS

Intent: In women's basketball, to specify that evaluations of live athletics activities during the academic year evaluation periods (other than permissible nonscholastic events) shall be limited to regularly scheduled high school, preparatory school and two-year college contests/tournaments and practices; and regular scholastic activities involving prospective student-athletes enrolled only at the institution at which the regular scholastic activities occur.

Bylaws: Amend 13.1.7.8, as follows:

13.1.7.8 Basketball Evaluations.

[13.1.7.8-(a) unchanged.]

(b) Women's Basketball. In women's basketball, each institution is limited to seven recruiting opportunities (contacts and evaluations combined) per prospective student-athlete (see Bylaw 13.1.5.5). Women's basketball staff members shall not exceed 100 recruiting-person days during the academic year contact and evaluation periods. [D]

(1) Academic Year Evaluation Period. Evaluations of live athletics activities during the academic year ~~*may occur at*~~ **shall be limited to:**

(i) ~~*regularly*~~ **Regularly** scheduled high school, preparatory school and two-year college contests/tournaments and practices; ~~*and pick-up games and open gyms*~~

(ii) Regular scholastic activities involving prospective student-athletes enrolled only at the institution at which the regular scholastic activities occur~~.~~; and

(iii) Evaluations at nonscholastic events during the women's basketball prospective student-athlete's academic year ~~*shall only occur*~~ during the last full weekend (including Friday, Saturday and Sunday) of the fall contact period and the Friday, Saturday and Sunday of the spring evaluation period.

[13.1.7.8-(b)-(2) unchanged.]

(c) Coaches' Attendance at Basketball Events.

[13.1.7.8-(c)-(1) unchanged.]

(2) Women's Basketball. During prescribed evaluation periods, institutional coaching staff members may attend regularly scheduled high school, preparatory school or two-year college contests/tournaments and practices; ~~*noninstitutional, nonorganized events (pick-up games);*~~ **regular scholastic activities involving prospective student-athletes enrolled only at the institution at which the regular scholastic activities occur**; institutional basketball camps per

Bylaw 13.12.1.1; and noninstitutional organized events (e.g., camps, leagues, tournaments, festivals, showcases) that are certified per Bylaw 13.19, including events that are approved, sponsored or conducted by an applicable state, national or international governing body, but are organized and conducted primarily for a recruiting purpose. It is permissible for coaches to attend organized team practices in preparation for a certified event only if such practice time has been designated by the organizer as part of the event. The basketball event certification program is not applicable to noninstitutional organized events that are approved, sponsored or conducted by an applicable state, national or international governing body and are not organized and conducted primarily for a recruiting purpose (e.g., intrastate and interstate high school basketball games, state high school all-star games, international competitions and practices associated with such contests). [D]

[Remainder of 13.1.7.8 unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet (Women's Basketball Issues Committee)

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: In women's basketball, there has been an escalation in the number of impermissibly arranged activities disguised as open gyms or pick-up games during the academic year evaluation periods. These activities subject coaches to potential rules violations and can create an environment in which contact between NCAA coaches and outside influences occur. During the academic year evaluation periods, evaluations should be limited to regularly scheduled high school, preparatory school and two-year college contests/tournaments and practices; regular scholastic activities involving prospective student-athletes enrolled only at the institution at which the regular scholastic activities occur; and noninstitutional organized events (e.g., camps, leagues, tournaments and festivals) that are certified through the basketball certification process. Further, legislation was recently adopted to preclude evaluations at noninstitutional, nonorganized events (e.g., pick-up games, open gyms) during the summer evaluation periods. Amending the legislation in this manner will expand that prohibition to the academic year evaluation period and, in addition, the legislation will be more similar to the current men's basketball rules. The Women's Basketball Coaches Association initiated and supports this legislative change.

Estimated Budget Impact: Potential reduction in recruiting costs.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

History:

May 05, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

May 12, 2011 Women's Basketball Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

Jun 23, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Sponsored

No. 2011-37 RECRUITING -- RECRUITING MATERIALS -- ELECTRONIC CORRESPONDENCE -- ALL FORMS OF DIRECT CORRESPONDENCE PERMITTED

Intent: To specify that an institution shall not provide recruiting materials, including general correspondence related to athletics, to an individual (or his or her parents or legal guardians) until July 1 following the completion of his or her sophomore year in high school, or the opening day of classes of his or her junior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier; further, to specify that electronic correspondence (e.g., email, instant messages, facsimiles, text messages) may be sent to a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians), provided the correspondence is sent directly to the prospective student-athlete (or his or her parents or legal guardians) and is private between only the sender and recipient (e.g., no use of chat rooms, message boards, posts to "walls").

Bylaws: Amend 13.4.1, as follows:

13.4.1 Recruiting Materials. ~~*In sports other than men's basketball and men's ice hockey, an*~~ **An** institution shall not provide recruiting materials, including general correspondence related to athletics, to an individual (or his or her parents or legal guardians) until ~~*September*~~ **July** 1 ~~*at the beginning*~~ **following the completion** of his or her ~~*junior*~~ **sophomore** year in high school**, or the opening day of classes of his or her junior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier**. ~~*In men's basketball and men's ice hockey, an institution shall not provide recruiting materials, including general correspondence related to athletics, to an individual (or his or her parents or legal guardians) until June 15 at the conclusion of his or her sophomore year in high school.*~~ [D]

[13.4.1.1 unchanged.]

13.4.1.2 Electronic ~~*Transmissions*~~ **Correspondence**. Electronic~~*ally*~~ ~~*transmitted*~~ correspondence ~~*that*~~ **(e.g., electronic mail, Instant Messenger, facsimiles, text messages)** may be sent to a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians)**,** ~~*is limited to electronic mail and facsimiles*~~ **provided the correspondence is sent directly to the prospective student-athlete (or his or her parents or legal guardians) and is private between only the sender and recipient (e.g., no use of chat rooms, message boards, posts to "walls")**. (See ~~*Bylaw*~~ **Bylaws** 13.1.6.2 **and 13.10.2**.) ~~*All other forms of electronically transmitted correspondence (e.g., Instant Messenger, text messaging) are prohibited.*~~ Color attachments may be included with electronic ~~*mail*~~ correspondence, provided the attachment only includes information that is not created for recruiting purposes, except for items that are specifically permitted as printed recruiting materials (e.g., questionnaires), a media guide and video and audio materials, as permitted in Bylaw 13.4.1.5. Attachments other than a media guide and permissible video and audio materials shall not include any animation, audio or video clips and there shall be no cost (e.g., subscription fee) associated with sending the item attached to the electronic ~~*mail*~~ correspondence. [D]

~~*13.4.1.2.1 Exception -- Men's Basketball. Electronic correspondence (e.g., electronic mail, Instant Messenger, facsimiles, text messages) may be sent to a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians), provided the correspondence is sent directly to the prospective student-athlete (or his or her parents or legal guardians) and*~~

~~*is private between only the sender and recipient (e.g., no use of chat rooms, message boards, posts to "walls"). (See Bylaws 13.1.6.2 and 13.10.2.) Color attachments may be included with electronic correspondence, provided the attachment only includes information that is not created for recruiting purposes, except for items that are specifically permitted as printed recruiting materials (e.g., questionnaires), a media guide and video and audio materials, as permitted in Bylaw 13.4.1.5. Attachments other than a media guide and permissible video and audio materials shall not include any animation, audio or video clips and there shall be no cost (e.g., subscription fee) associated with sending the item attached to the electronic correspondence. [D]*~~

~~*13.4.1.2.2 Exception -- Electronic Transmissions After Commitment. There shall be no limit on the forms of electronically transmitted correspondence sent to a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians) beginning the calendar day after one of the following events occurs:*~~

~~*(a) The prospective student-athlete signs a National Letter of Intent (NLI) or the institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid; or*~~

~~*(b) The institution receives a financial deposit in response to the institution's offer of admission.*~~

13.4.1.2.~~*3*~~**1** Exception -- Electronic ~~*Mail and Facsimiles*~~ **Correspondence** Regarding Institutional Camp or Clinic Logistical Issues ~~*-- Sports Other Than Men's Basketball*~~. Electronic ~~*mail and facsimiles*~~ **correspondence** to an individual (or his or her parents, legal guardians, relatives or coach) that ~~*relate*~~ **relates** solely to institutional camp or clinic logistical issues (e.g., missing registration information) ~~*are*~~ **is** not subject to the restrictions on recruiting materials, provided the correspondence does not contain recruiting language and no solicitation of particular individuals to attend a camp or clinic occurs.

~~*13.4.1.2.4 Exception -- Electronic Correspondence Regarding Institutional Camp or Clinic Logistical Issues -- Men's Basketball. Electronic correspondence to an individual (or his or her parents, legal guardians, relatives or coach) that relates solely to institutional camp or clinic logistical issues (e.g., missing registration information) is not subject to the restrictions on recruiting materials, provided the correspondence does not contain recruiting language and no solicitation of particular individuals to attend a camp or clinic occurs.*~~

Source: NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: There is growing concern that current prohibitions on electronic transmissions are outdated and lagging behind prospective student-athletes' use of technology. Current limitations are inhibiting the exchange of information in the most efficient, cost effective and least intrusive means as compared to other forms of communication, such as telephone calls. Developments in technology have made it easier and less expensive to communicate through the expanded availability and prevalence of mobile communication devices that are multifunctional and often provide options for the user to define his or her communication preferences. Institutions have been

permitted to send an unlimited number of emails to prospective student-athletes for several years and there have not been any concerns regarding frequency or intrusion. Today, most mobile communication devices permit email and text messages to be sent and received in the same manner. Further, research indicates that a clear majority of teens are texting and have unlimited texting plans. In fact, many teens prefer texting when compared to other methods of communication. This proposal seeks to deregulate the current restrictions on electronic communication as well as define electronic correspondence in a broad manner in order to account for future advancements in technology. Establishing a single date on which to begin all communication with a prospective student-athlete will bring uniformity and simplicity to the legislation. In the interest of such principles, sport programs should be expected to adjust their recruiting practices accordingly. In addition, the burden and expenditure of resources related to rules monitoring will be greatly decreased.

Estimated Budget Impact: Potential cost increase if an institution does not currently have an unlimited texting plan.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Football Issues Committee: The committee supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

Men's Basketball Issues Committee: The committee took no formal position, but supports the concepts of earlier access to prospective student-athletes and elimination of restrictions on the modes and frequency of telephonic/electronic communications. The committee also supports the preliminary recommendations of the Leadership Council related to the men's basketball recruiting model in this area.

Women's Volleyball Committee: The committee supports the proposal. Today's prospective student-athletes use various forms of electronic correspondence to communicate. Restricting their use in recruiting causes unnecessary monitoring for compliance officials and leads to unintentional violations as prospective student-athletes are unaware of the restrictions.

History:

Jun 22, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Jun 23, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Sponsored

Aug 29, 2011 Men's Basketball Issues Committee, No Formal Position

Sep 08, 2011 Women's Volleyball Committee, Recommends Approval

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Modified the Proposal Modified to specify that an institution shall not provide recruiting materials, including general correspondence related to athletics, to an individual (or his or her parents or legal guardians) until July 1 following the completion of his or her sophomore year in high school, or the opening day of classes of his or her junior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier.

Sep 16, 2011 Football Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-38 RECRUITING -- RECRUITING MATERIALS -- ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSIONS -- EXCEPTION -- SOCIAL MEDIA PLATFORMS -- AUTOMATED NOTIFICATIONS

Intent: To specify that automated electronic mail sent to a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians) from a social media platform as the result of an institutional staff member's response to a prospective student-athlete's (or the prospective student-athlete's parents' or legal guardians') request to establish a connection with the staff member (e.g., accepting friend request) shall not be considered electronic mail from the institutional staff member.

Bylaws: Amend 13.4.1.2, as follows:

13.4.1.2 Electronic Transmissions. Electronically transmitted correspondence that may be sent to a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians) is limited to electronic mail and facsimiles. (See Bylaw 13.1.6.2.) All other forms of electronically transmitted correspondence (e.g., Instant Messenger, text messaging) are prohibited. Color attachments may be included with electronic mail correspondence, provided the attachment only includes information that is not created for recruiting purposes, except for items that are specifically permitted as printed recruiting materials (e.g., questionnaires), a media guide and video and audio materials, as permitted in Bylaw 13.4.1.5. Attachments other than a media guide and permissible video and audio materials shall not include any animation, audio or video clips and there shall be no cost (e.g., subscription fee) associated with sending the item attached to the electronic mail correspondence. [D]

[13.4.1.2.1 through 13.4.1.2.2 unchanged.]

13.4.1.2.3 Exception -- Social Media Platforms -- Automated Notifications. Automated electronic mail sent to a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians) from a social media platform as the result of an institutional staff member's response to a prospective student-athlete's (or the prospective student-athlete's parents' or legal guardians') request to establish a connection with the staff member (e.g., accepting friend request) shall not be considered electronic mail from the institutional staff member.

Source: Southeastern Conference

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: It is currently impermissible for a coach to accept a Facebook friend request from a prospective student-athlete if the institution is not yet permitted to send recruiting correspondence to the prospective student-athlete. Accepting a Facebook friend request generates an automated response; an email to the prospective student-athlete notifying him or her that the coach has accepted the friend request. Such notifications serve no recruiting purpose. If a Facebook friend request is sent by a prospective student-athlete who may not receive recruiting correspondence, the coach must ignore it. A lack of a response by the coach could strain the potential relationship

since the coach is not permitted to call the prospective student-athlete or send an email explanation. If the coach is permitted to accept the friend request, he or she may later have the opportunity to respond to direct questions and explain applicable recruiting rules. This proposal acknowledges that, while Facebook and Twitter are the predominant social media platforms at this time, new platforms will emerge and new features will be created. This proposal would prevent these incidental aspects of social media platforms that are not created, used or manipulated for recruiting purposes from becoming trivial violations.

Estimated Budget Impact: Anticipated savings related to reduced monitoring.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Men's Basketball Issues Committee: The committee took no formal position. However, the cabinet notes potential issues as to whether automated responses (likes/dislikes) can be used in a way to carry on a recruiting conversation. The cabinet also notes that the proposals for earlier access/elimination of restrictions on modes and frequency of telephonic/electronic communication may address some of the concerns.

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale. The cabinet notes that it is an appropriate deregulation.

History:

Jul 08, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Aug 29, 2011 Men's Basketball Issues Committee, No Formal Position

Sep 12, 2011 Sponsor modified the proposal to specify that electronic mail sent to a prospective student-athlete from a social media platform as the result of an institutional staff member's response to a prospective student-athlete's request to establish a connection with the staff member (e.g., accepting friend request) shall not be considered electronic mail from the institutional staff member. Previously, the proposal specified that email sent as a result of a staff member's action (e.g., accepting a "friend" request or becoming a "follower" of a prospective student-athlete) would not be considered email from the staff member.

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-39 RECRUITING -- RECRUITING MATERIALS -- ADVERTISEMENTS AND PROMOTIONS -- CAMP OR CLINIC ADVERTISEMENTS -- CAMP OR CLINIC BROCHURES AVAILABLE AT EVENT VENUE

Intent: To specify that an institution may make institutional camp or clinic brochures available at the venue of an athletics event involving prospective student-athletes.

Bylaws: Amend 13.4.3.1.2, as follows:

13.4.3.1.2 Camp or Clinic Advertisements. Advertisements for an institution's camp or clinic are permissible if placed in a recruiting publication (other than a high school, two-year college or nonscholastic game program) that includes a camp directory that meets the following

requirements: [D]

[13.4.3.1.2-(a) through 13.4.3.1.2-(b) unchanged.]

[13.4.3.1.2.1 unchanged.]

13.4.3.1.2.2 Camp or Clinic Brochures Available at Event Venue. An institution may make institutional camp or clinic brochures available at the venue of an athletics event involving prospective student-athletes.

Source: Southern Conference

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: This proposal provides coaches with a simple and cost-effective means of advertising institutional camps and clinics to their target market. Coaches are currently permitted to distribute camp or clinic brochures to a prospective student-athlete's coach and, when contact is permitted after a competition, to the prospective student-athlete at competition sites. Allowing coaches to place camp or clinic brochures at the venue of an athletics event involving prospective student-athletes provides a nonintrusive and economical method of providing permissible recruiting material to prospective student-athletes and their families.

Estimated Budget Impact: May increase the number camp brochures produced and shipping costs.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

Women's Basketball Issues Committee: The committee opposes the proposal and is concerned with the potential for increased costs, because of the necessity to print more brochures at a time in which camp advertising has been moving to an online environment.

History:

Jul 14, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Aug 19, 2011 Women's Basketball Issues Committee, Recommends Defeat

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-40 RECRUITING -- OFFICIAL (PAID) VISIT -- ENTERTAINMENT/TICKETS ON OFFICIAL VISIT -- STUDENT HOST -- ENTERTAINMENT ALLOWANCE

Intent: To increase, from $30 to $40, the allowance that an institution may provide a student host for each day of a prospective student-athlete's official visit to cover all actual costs of entertaining the student host(s) and the prospective student-athlete; further, to increase, from $15 to $20, the

additional allowance an institution may provide the student host per day for each additional prospective student-athlete the host entertains.

Bylaws: Amend 13.6.7, as follows:

13.6.7 Entertainment/Tickets on Official Visit.

[13.6.7.1 through 13.6.7.4 unchanged.]

13.6.7.5 Student Host. The student host must be either a current student-athlete or a student designated in a manner consistent with the institution's policy for providing campus visits or tours to prospective students in general. The institution may provide the following to a student host entertaining a prospective student-athlete: [R]

(a) A maximum of $~~*30*~~ **40** for each day of the visit to cover all actual costs of entertaining the student host(s) and the prospective student-athlete (and the prospective student-athlete's parents, legal guardians or spouse), excluding the cost of meals and admission to campus athletics events. The cost of entertainment of the institution's athletics department staff members who accompany the prospective student-athlete is also excluded. If an athletics department staff member serves as the prospective student-athlete's host, his or her entertainment costs must be included in the entertainment allowance. The entertainment allowance may not be used for the purchase of souvenirs, such as T-shirts or other institutional mementos. It is permissible to provide the student host with an additional $~~*15*~~ **20** per day for each additional prospective student-athlete the host entertains;

[13.6.7.5-(b) through 13.6.7.5-(c) unchanged.]

13.6.7.5.1 Multiple Hosts. If several students host a prospective student-athlete, the $~~*30*~~ **40**-per-day entertainment money may be used to cover the actual and necessary expenses incurred by the prospective student-athlete and all hosts. Only one student host per prospective student-athlete may be provided a free meal if restaurant facilities are used. [D]

[13.6.7.5.2 through 13.6.7.5.3 unchanged.]

[13.6.7.6 unchanged.]

13.6.7.7 Meals on Official Visit. The cost of actual meals, not to exceed three per day, on the official visit for a prospective student-athlete and the prospective student-athlete's parents, legal guardians, spouse or children need not be included in the $~~*30*~~ **40**-per-day entertainment expense. Meals must be comparable to those provided to student-athletes during the academic year. A reasonable snack (e.g., pizza, hamburger) may be provided in addition to the three meals. [R]

[13.6.7.7.1 unchanged.]

13.6.7.8 Normal Retail Cost. If a boat, snowmobile, recreational vehicle or similar recreational equipment (including those provided by an institutional staff member or a representative of the institution's athletics interests) is used to entertain a prospective student-athlete or the prospective student-athlete's parents (or legal guardians) and spouse, the normal retail cost of the use of such equipment shall be assessed against the $~~*30*~~ **40**-per-day entertainment figure; further, if such normal retail costs exceeds the $~~*30*~~ **40**-per-day entertainment allowance, such entertainment may not be provided. [R]

Source: Big East Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: The current permissible allowance a student host may receive for entertainment activities is insufficient to pay for reasonable entertainment for the host and the prospective student-athlete. For example, the current allotment of entertainment money is not enough to pay for two movie tickets, two boxes of popcorn and two sodas. The small increase provided by this proposal will give a student host the opportunity to participate in normal entertainment activities with a prospective student-athlete. The last time the entertainment allowance was increased was 1996. According to the consumer price index inflation calculator on the Bureau of Labor Statistics website, if adjusted for inflation, the buying power of $30 in 1996 is the equivalent of approximately $43 in 2011 and the buying power of $15 in 1996 is the equivalent of approximately $21.50 in 2011.

Estimated Budget Impact: Varies by institution depending on the number of official visits conducted in a given academic year as well as the institution's policy on distributing host money.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

History:

Jun 24, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-41 RECRUITING -- UNOFFICIAL (NONPAID) VISIT -- FIRST OPPORTUNITY TO VISIT

Intent: To specify that an individual may not make an athletically-related unofficial visit (e.g., no contact with coaching staff, no athletics-specific tour) before June 15 at the conclusion of his or her freshman year in high school.

Bylaws: Amend 13.7, as follows:

13.7 UNOFFICIAL (NONPAID) VISIT

13.7.1 First Opportunity to Visit. An individual may not make an athletically-related unofficial visit (e.g., no contact with coaching staff, no athletics-specific tour) before June 15 at the conclusion of his or her freshman year in high school.

13.7.~~1~~**2** Number Permitted. A prospective student-athlete may visit a member institution's campus at his or her own expense an unlimited number of times. ~~*A prospective student-athlete may make unofficial visits before his or her senior year in high school.*~~

[13.7.1.1 through 13.7.1.2 renumbered as 13.7.2.1 through 13.7.2.2, unchanged.]

13.7.~~2~~**3** Entertainment/Tickets.

[13.7.2.1 through 13.7.2.4 renumbered as 13.7.3.1 through 13.7.3.4, unchanged.]

13.7.~~2~~**3**.5 Visit Unrelated to Recruitment. The limitations on providing entertainment to a prospective student-athlete **or on the first opportunity to visit** shall not extend to a visit to the institution's campus for a purpose having nothing whatsoever to do with the prospective student-athlete's athletics recruitment by the institution (e.g., band trip, fraternity weekend, athletics team's attendance at a sporting event with the high school coach). The institution's athletics department or representatives of its athletics interests may not be involved in any way with the arrangements for the visit, other than the institution providing (in accordance with established policy) free admissions to an athletics event on a group basis, rather than personally to the prospective student-athlete. [R]

13.7.~~2~~**3**.6 Visit Related to National Student-Athlete Day or National Girls and Women in Sports Day. The limitations on providing entertainment to a prospective student-athlete **or on the first opportunity to visit** shall not extend to a visit to the institution's campus for activities related to National Student-Athlete Day and National Girls and Women in Sports Day. [R]

[13.7.2.7 renumbered as 13.7.3.7 unchanged.]

[13.7.3 renumbered as 13.7.4 unchanged.]

Source: Big South Conference

Effective Date: June 15, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: The early recruitment of prospective student-athletes places undue pressure on prospective student-athletes and coaches. Prospective student-athletes are often pressured to visit campus for athletics purposes to avoid the restrictions of the official visit legislation. This practice can place an undue financial burden on the prospective student-athlete and his or her family. Similarly, coaches feel pressure to organize unofficial visits for prospective student-athletes who are only freshman in high school. Eliminating the opportunity for athletically-related visits to occur until the conclusion of the freshman year will alleviate pressure and burdens on coaches and prospective student-athletes and promote a more appropriate recruiting environment.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Men's Golf Committee: The committee opposes the proposal. Prospective student-athletes should be permitted to make unofficial visits at any time (other than dead periods).

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet opposes the proposal and believes such a restriction is inconsequential and unenforceable. The cabinet notes that the proposal would add additional monitoring requirements and would likely result in inadvertent violations.

History:

Jul 10, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Sep 08, 2011 Men's Golf Committee, Recommends Defeat

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Defeat

No. 2011-43 RECRUITING AND FINANCIAL AID -- LETTER-OF-INTENT PROGRAMS, FINANCIAL AID AGREEMENTS -- LETTER OF INTENT RESTRICTION -- LIMITATION ON NUMBER OF SIGNINGS -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL

Intent: In bowl subdivision football, to specify that there shall be an annual signing limit of 25 on the number of prospective student-athletes who may sign a National Letter of Intent or institutional offer of financial aid from December 1 through May 31; further to specify that a prospective student-athlete who signs a National Letter of Intent or an institutional offer of financial aid and becomes an initial counter for the same academic year in which the signing occurred (e.g., midyear enrollee) shall not count toward the annual limit on signings.

A. Bylaws: Amend 13.9.2.3, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS only]

13.9.2.3 Limitation on Number of National Letter of Intent/Offer of Financial Aid Signings -- Bowl Subdivision Football. In bowl subdivision football, there shall be an annual limit of ~~*28*~~ **25** on the number of prospective student-athletes who may sign a National Letter of Intent or an institutional offer of financial aid from **December 1** ~~*the initial signing date of the regular signing period of the National Letter of Intent*~~ through May 31. [D]

13.9.2.3.1 Exception -- Counter During Same Academic Year. A prospective student-athlete who signs a National Letter of Intent or an institutional offer of financial aid and becomes an initial counter for the same academic year in which the signing occurred (e.g., midyear enrollee) shall not count toward the annual limit on signings.

B. Bylaws: Amend 15.5.1.10.1, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS only]

15.5.1.10.1 Limitation on Number of National Letter of Intent/Offer of Financial Aid Signings -- Bowl Subdivision Football. [FBS] In bowl subdivision football, there shall be an annual limit of ~~*28*~~ **25** on the number of prospective student-athletes who may sign a National Letter of Intent or an institutional offer of financial aid from **December 1** ~~*the initial signing date of the regular signing period of the National Letter of Intent*~~ through May 31. [D]

15.5.1.10.1.1 Exception -- Counter During Same Academic Year. A prospective student-athlete who signs a National Letter of Intent or an institutional offer of financial aid and becomes an initial counter for the same academic year in which the signing occurred (e.g., midyear enrollee) shall not count toward the annual limit on signings.

Source: Southeastern Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: This proposal seeks to address concerns regarding to the practice of "oversigning" football prospective student-athletes to National Letters of Intent or financial aid agreements. Reducing the signing limit from 28 to 25 is an appropriate step to focus recruitment and signing of prospective student-athletes to the Football Bowl Subdivision limit on initial counters. By limiting the number of signees, institutions will be encouraged to focus their recruiting efforts on prospective student-athletes with the necessary academic and athletic credentials to succeed at the certifying institution.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal; however, it is concerned that the proposal does not address the issue of oversigning in other sports or the issue of offering aid the institution does not expect to have available.

Football Issues Committee: The committee supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

History:

Jul 08, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Sep 06, 2011 Football Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Approval

Sep 19, 2011 Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-44 RECRUITING -- LETTER-OF-INTENT PROGRAMS, FINANCIAL AID AGREEMENTS -- SUBMISSION OF TRANSCRIPT TO ELIGIBILITY CENTER BEFORE SIGNING

Intent: To specify that an institution shall not permit a high school prospective student-athlete (other than a prospective student-athlete who attends a secondary school in a foreign country) to sign a National Letter of Intent or an institution's written offer of athletically related financial aid until the NCAA Eligibility Center has received an official high school transcript for each high school the prospective student-athlete has attended through his or her sixth semester (or equivalent) of enrollment.

Bylaws: Amend 13.9, as follows:

13.9 LETTER-OF-INTENT PROGRAMS, FINANCIAL AID AGREEMENTS

[13.9.1 through 13.9.2 unchanged.]

13.9.3 Submission of Transcript to Eligibility Center Before Signing. An institution shall not permit a high school prospective student-athlete to sign a National Letter of Intent (NLI) or an institution's written offer of athletically related financial aid until the NCAA Eligibility Center has received an official high school transcript for each high school the prospective student-athlete has attended through his or her sixth semester (or equivalent) of enrollment. This provision does not apply to a prospective student-athlete who attends a secondary school in a foreign country. [D]

Source: NCAA Division I Academic Cabinet

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: Current legislative requirements that must be met before a written offer of athletically related aid may be provided have greatly improved the academic information shared between prospective student-athletes and institutions, as well as with the NCAA Eligibility Center. This proposal is intended to continue the pattern of facilitating academic information sharing in a timely manner while minimizing overall administrative burden. Ensuring that six-semester transcripts are available for evaluation prior to a prospective student-athlete's signing of a National Letter of Intent or an institution's written offer of financial aid will allow for identification of potential academic issues regarding initial-eligibility requirements and timely discussion of academically sound solutions. To assist with the goal of this proposal, the Eligibility Center will conduct preliminary evaluations of six-semester transcripts even if a test score is not on file for a prospective student-athlete. Additionally, prospective student-athletes who qualify for early academic certification will be identified and processed in a manner that will allow for campus and NCAA resources to be appropriately allocated in relation to final eligibility certifications.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale. The cabinet notes concern related to placing the burden on institutions to prompt the prospective student-athlete to submit transcripts. The cabinet recommends exploring options to increase efficiencies in the process (e.g., upon registration the high school is prompted by the NCAA Eligibility Center to submit transcripts).

History:

Jun 10, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Jun 28, 2011 Academic Cabinet, Sponsored

Sep 13, 2011 Academic Cabinet, Modified the Proposal Modified to eliminate the exception for home-schooled prospective student-athletes.

No. 2011-45 RECRUITING -- TRYOUTS -- NONSCHOLASTIC PRACTICE OR COMPETITION AND NONINSTITUTIONAL CAMPS AND CLINICS -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL

Intent: In women's basketball, to specify that an institution [including any institutional department (e.g., athletics, recreational/intramural)] shall not host, sponsor or conduct a nonscholastic basketball practice or competition in which women's basketball prospective student-athletes participate on its campus or at an off-campus facility regularly used by the institution for practice and/or competition by any of the institution's sport programs, and to establish limited exceptions, as specified; further, to specify that the use of an institution's facilities for noninstitutional camps is limited to the months of June, July and August; finally, to prohibit evaluations at noninstitutional events, camps or clinics that occur on a Division I campus during evaluation periods.

A. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.7.8, as follows:

13.1.7.8 Basketball Evaluations.

[13.1.7.8-(a) unchanged.]

(b) Women's Basketball. In women's basketball, each institution is limited to seven recruiting opportunities (contacts and evaluations combined) per prospective student-athlete (see Bylaw 13.1.5.5). Women's basketball staff members shall not exceed 100 recruiting-person days during the academic year contact and evaluation periods. [D]

(1) Academic Year Evaluation Period. Evaluations of live athletics activities during the academic year may occur at regularly scheduled high school, preparatory school and two-year college contests/tournaments and practices; and pick-up games and open gyms. Evaluations at nonscholastic events during the women's basketball prospective student-athlete's academic year shall only occur during the last full weekend (including Friday, Saturday and Sunday) of the fall contact period and the Friday, Saturday and Sunday of the spring evaluation period. **Evaluations at noninstitutional events, camps or clinics that occur on a Division I campus are prohibited.**

[13.1.7.8-(a)-(1)-(i) unchanged.]

(2) Summer Evaluation Period. During the summer evaluation period, a member institution's basketball coaching staff may attend institutional basketball camps per Bylaw 13.12.1.1 and noninstitutional organized events (e.g., camps, leagues, tournaments and festivals) that are certified per Bylaw 13.19. **Evaluations at noninstitutional events, camps or clinics that occur on a Division I campus are prohibited.**

(c) Coaches' Attendance at Basketball Events.

[13.1.7.8-(c)-(1) unchanged.]

(2) Women's Basketball. During prescribed evaluation periods, institutional coaching staff members may attend regularly scheduled high school, preparatory school or two-year college contests/tournaments and practices; noninstitutional, nonorganized events (pick-up games); institutional basketball camps per Bylaw 13.12.1.1; and noninstitutional organized events (e.g.,

camps, leagues, tournaments and festivals) that are certified per Bylaw 13.19, including events that are approved, sponsored or conducted by an applicable state, national or international governing body, but are organized and conducted primarily for a recruiting purpose. **Evaluations at noninstitutional events, camps or clinics that occur on a Division I campus are prohibited.** It is permissible for coaches to attend organized team practices in preparation for a certified event only if such practice time has been designated by the organizer as part of the event. The basketball event certification program is not applicable to noninstitutional organized events that are approved, sponsored or conducted by an applicable state, national or international governing body and are not organized and conducted primarily for a recruiting purpose (e.g., intrastate and interstate high school basketball games, state high school all-star games, international competitions and practices associated with such contests). [D]

[Remainder of 13.1.7.8 unchanged.]

B. Bylaws: Amend 13.11, as follows:

13.11 TRYOUTS

13.11.1 Prohibited Activities. A member institution, on its campus or elsewhere, shall not conduct (or have conducted on its behalf) any physical activity (e.g., practice session or test/tryout) at which one or more prospective student-athletes (as defined in Bylaws 13.11.1.1 and 13.11.1.2) reveal, demonstrate or display their athletics abilities in any sport except as provided in Bylaws 13.11.2 and 13.11.3. [D]

[13.11.1.1 through 13.11.1.7 unchanged.]

13.11.1.8 Nonscholastic Practice or Competition -- ~~*Men's*~~ Basketball. An institution [including any institutional department (e.g., athletics, recreational/intramural)] shall not host, sponsor or conduct a nonscholastic basketball practice or competition in which ~~*men's*~~ basketball prospective student-athletes (see ~~*Bylaw*~~ **Bylaws 13.11.1.1 and** 13.11.1.2) participate on its campus or at an off-campus facility regularly used by the institution for practice and/or competition by any of the institution's sport programs.

13.11.1.8.1 Exception -- State Multisport Events. An institution may host basketball related events that are part of officially recognized state multisport events.

13.11.1.8.2 Exception -- Other Events. An institution may host, sponsor or conduct a nonscholastic event that involves ~~*men's*~~ basketball prospective student-athletes, provided it meets one of the following conditions:

(a) The event is open (see Bylaw 13.11.3.1) and all participating ~~*men's*~~ basketball prospective student-athletes reside within a 50-mile radius of the institution's campus;

(b) The event is part of a program that is consistent with the mission of the institution (e.g., state wellness and educational programs) and is conducted in accordance with Bylaw 13.11.3.2; or

(c) The event is an ancillary event that is part of a nonathletics program (e.g., Boy Scouts~~,~~ **Girls Scouts**) and is conducted in accordance with Bylaw 13.11.3.2.

13.11.1.9 Use of Institutional Facilities for Noninstitutional Camps or Clinics -- ~~*Men's*~~ Basketball. In ~~*men's*~~ basketball, the use of institutional facilities for noninstitutional camps or clinics that include prospect-aged (see ~~*Bylaw*~~ **Bylaws 13.11.1.1 and** 13.11.1.2) participants shall be limited to the months of June, July and August.

[Remainder of 13.11 unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet (Women's Basketball Issues Committee)

Effective Date: Immediate; contracts signed before June 28, 2011 may be honored.

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: In women's basketball, there has been a proliferation of nonscholastic events held on Division I campuses during quiet periods in May and June. These events are being operated to assist institutions with recruiting opportunities. There have been reports that travel and lodging expenses are provided free of charge for prospective student-athletes or teams identified as important to the coaching staff's recruiting efforts, and funds and/or services provided by institutions and boosters are used to pay these expenses. College coaches feel that they are being leveraged to help the event operators arrange for discounted operational costs (e.g., facility fees) under the threat that the event operator will take the event to another institution's campus. Regardless of the level of involvement of the coaching staff, these events provide a significant recruiting advantage for the host institution. Institutions may continue to host noninstitutional camps or clinics during June, July and August and basketball competition that is part of state multisport events. Finally, this recommendation is the same as NCAA Proposal No. 2009-100-A, which was adopted for men's basketball, with the exception of the prohibition of evaluating at noninstitutional events, camps or clinics that occur on a Division I campus during evaluation periods. The Women's Basketball Coaches Association initiated and supports this legislative change.

Estimated Budget Impact: Potential lost revenue for use of facilities by outside entities.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None

Position Statement(s)

History:

May 05, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

May 12, 2011 Women's Basketball Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

Jun 23, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Sponsored

No. 2011-46 RECRUITING -- TRYOUTS -- NONSCHOLASTIC PRACTICE OR COMPETITION AND NONINSTITUTIONAL CAMPS OR CLINICS -- FOOTBALL

Intent: In football, to specify that an institution [including any institutional department (e.g., athletics, recreational/intramural)] shall not host, sponsor or conduct a nonscholastic football practice or competition (e.g., seven-on-seven events) in which football prospective student-athletes participate on its campus or at an off-campus facility regularly used by the institution for practice and/or competition by any of the institution's sport programs; further, to limit the use of institutional facilities for noninstitutional camps or clinics that include prospect-aged participants to June and July in bowl subdivision football and to June, July and August in championship subdivision football.

Bylaws: Amend 13.11.1, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS and FCS, divided vote]

13.11.1 Prohibited Activities. A member institution, on its campus or elsewhere, shall not conduct (or have conducted on its behalf) any physical activity (e.g., practice session or test/tryout) at which one or more prospective student-athletes (as defined in Bylaws 13.11.1.1 and 13.11.1.2) reveal, demonstrate or display their athletics abilities in any sport except as provided in Bylaws 13.11.2 and 13.11.3. [D]

[13.11.1.1 through 13.11.1.9, unchanged.]

13.11.1.10 Nonscholastic Practice or Competition -- Football. An institution [including any institutional department (e.g., athletics, recreational/intramural)] shall not host, sponsor or conduct a nonscholastic football practice or competition (e.g., seven-on-seven events) in which football prospective student-athletes participate on its campus or at an off-campus facility regularly used by the institution for practice and/or competition by any of the institution's sport programs.

13.11.1.11 Use of Institutional Facilities for Noninstitutional Camps or Clinics -- Football. In bowl subdivision football, the use of institutional facilities for noninstitutional camps or clinics that include prospect-aged participants shall be limited to the months of June and July. In championship subdivision football, the use of institutional facilities for noninstitutional camps or clinics that include prospect-aged participants shall be limited to the months of June, July and August.

[13.11.1.10 through 13.11.1.11 renumbered as 13.11.1.12 through 13.11.1.13, unchanged.]

Source: Southeastern Conference

Effective Date: Immediate; a contract signed before August 15, 2011 may be honored.

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: There has been a proliferation of nonscholastic football events recently conducted on institutional campuses or using institutional facilities, and such events are frequently associated with recruiting efforts. Rather than continue to support an increase in these types of events, it is appropriate to enact a ban on any institutional involvement with nonscholastic football events. This proposal would institute such a ban, while still permitting regular and postseason scholastic events to be played on an institution's campus in accordance with applicable rules (i.e., tryout exception).

Estimated Budget Impact: Potential for lost revenue for use of facilities by outside entities.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Football Issues Committee: The committee supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

History:

Jul 08, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Sep 06, 2011 Football Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-47 RECRUITING -- TRYOUTS -- LOCAL SPORTS CLUBS -- FOOTBALL

Intent: In football, to prohibit a coach or a noncoaching staff member with football-specific responsibilities from being involved in any capacity in a football club that includes prospective student-athletes.

Bylaws: Amend 13.11.2.3, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS and FCS, divided vote]

13.11.2.3 Local Sports Clubs. In sports other than basketball **and football**, an institution's coach may be involved in any capacity (e.g., as a participant, administrator or in instructional or coaching activities) in the same sport for a local sports club or organization located in the institution's home community, provided all prospective student-athletes participating in said activities are legal residents of the area (within a 50-mile radius of the institution). In all sports, an institution's coach may be involved in any capacity (e.g., as a participant, administrator or in instructional or coaching activities) in a sport other than the coach's sport for a local sports club or organization located in the institution's home community, provided all prospective student-athletes participating in said activities are legal residents of the area (within a 50-mile radius of the institution). Further, in club teams involving multiple teams or multiple sports, the 50-mile radius is applicable only to the team with which the institution's coach is involved; however, it is not permissible for the coach to assign a prospective student-athlete who lives outside the 50-mile area to another coach of the club. A coach also may be involved in activities with individuals who are not of a prospective student-athlete age, regardless of where such individuals reside. (In women's volleyball and women's sand volleyball, see Bylaws 13.1.7.12 and 13.1.7.13, respectively, for regulations relating to a coach's involvement with a local sports club and the permissible number of evaluation days.)

[13.11.2.3.1 through 13.11.2.3.3 unchanged.]

13.11.2.3.4 Noncoaching Staff Members with Sport-Specific Responsibilities -- Football. In football, a noncoaching staff member with football-specific responsibilities (e.g., director of football operations, manager, administrative personnel) shall not be involved

in any capacity (e.g., as a participant, administrator or in instructional or coaching activities) in a football club or organization that includes prospective student-athletes.

[13.11.2.3.4 renumbered as 13.11.2.3.5, unchanged.]

Source: Southeastern Conference

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: The recent emergence of seven-on-seven football clubs has created the need to modify existing rules related to involvement with local football clubs. Such clubs are now frequently comprised of highly talented football prospective student-athletes engaged in nonscholastic club football activities. Through this proposal, football would join basketball as sports in which coaching staff members are not permitted to be involved with local sports clubs that include prospective student-athletes.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Football Issues Committee: The committee supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

History:

Jul 08, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Sep 06, 2011 Football Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-48 RECRUITING -- SPORTS CAMPS AND CLINICS -- RECRUITING CONVERSATIONS DURING INSTITUTION'S CAMPS AND CLINICS -- EXCEPTION -- SPORTS OTHER THAN MEN'S BASKETBALL

Intent: In sports other than men's basketball, to specify that it is permissible for an institution's coach to engage in recruiting conversations with a prospective student-athlete during the institution's camps or clinics.

Bylaws: Amend 13.12.1.5, as follows:

13.12.1.5 Recruiting Calendar Exceptions. The interaction during sports camps and clinics between prospective student-athletes and those coaches employed by the camp or clinic is not subject to the recruiting calendar restrictions. However, an institutional staff member employed at

any camp or clinic (e.g., counselor, director) is prohibited from recruiting any prospective student-athlete during the time period that the camp or clinic is conducted (from the time the prospective student-athlete reports to the camp or clinic until the conclusion of all camp activities). The prohibition against recruiting includes extending ~~*verbal or*~~ written offers of financial aid to any prospective student-athlete during his or her attendance at the camp or clinic (see Bylaw 13.9.2.2)**, but does not include recruiting conversations between the certifying institution's coach and a participating prospective student-athlete during the institution's camps or clinics**. Other coaches wishing to attend the camp as observers must comply with appropriate recruiting contact and evaluation periods. In addition, institutional camps or clinics may not be conducted during a dead period.

~~*13.12.1.5.1 Exception -- Recruiting Conversations During Camps and Clinics -- Men's Basketball. It is permissible for an institution's men's basketball coaches to engage in recruiting conversations with prospective student-athletes during the institution's men's basketball camps or clinics.*~~

Source: Big South Conference

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: By allowing coaches to engage in a meaningful and direct exchange of information with prospective student-athletes during camps and clinics, the importance of third parties in the recruiting process is reduced. In addition, compliance staffs will be relieved of bureaucratic monitoring obligations related to whether recruiting conversations are occurring during camps or clinics or such conversations are occurring only during the unofficial visits that occur before or after the camp or clinics. The rule has worked well in men's basketball and should apply to all sports.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

Women's Basketball Issues Committee: The committee supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

History:

Jul 10, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Aug 19, 2011 Women's Basketball Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-49 RECRUITING -- SPORTS CAMPS AND CLINICS -- EMPLOYMENT AT CAMP OR CLINIC -- ATHLETICS STAFF MEMBERS -- NONINSTITUTIONAL, PRIVATELY OWNED CAMPS OR CLINICS -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL -- HEAD COACH EXCEPTION -- CHARITABLE OR NONPROFIT CAMP OR CLINIC

Intent: In bowl subdivision football, to specify that an institution's head coach may participate as a volunteer (e.g. counselor, guest lecturer, consultant) on one day in June or July outside the designated two periods of 15 consecutive days at a charitable or nonprofit camp or clinic, as specified.

Bylaws: Amend 13.12.2.3.3, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS only]

13.12.2.2.3 Noninstitutional, Privately Owned Camps/Clinics -- Bowl Subdivision Football. In bowl subdivision football, an institution's coach or noncoaching staff member with responsibilities specific to football may be employed (either on a salaried or volunteer basis) in any capacity (e.g., counselor, guest lecturer, consultant) in a noninstitutional, privately owned camp or clinic at any location, provided the camp or clinic is operated in accordance with restrictions applicable to an institutional camp or clinic (e.g., open to any and all entrants, no free or reduced admission to or employment of athletics award winners). However, employment in such a camp or clinic is limited to two periods of 15 consecutive days in the months of June and July or any calendar week (Sunday through Saturday) that includes days of those months (e.g., May 28-June 3). The dates of the two 15-day periods must be on file in the office of the athletics director. [D]

13.12.2.3.3.2.1 Head Coach Exception -- Charitable or Nonprofit Camp or Clinic. In bowl subdivision football, an institution's head coach may participate as a volunteer (e.g., counselor, guest lecturer, consultant) on one day in June or July outside the designated two periods of 15 consecutive days at a charitable or nonprofit camp or clinic. Any proceeds derived from the camp or clinic must go to a charitable or nonprofit organization. The camp or clinic must be operated in accordance with restrictions applicable to an institutional camp or clinic.

Source: Mid-American Conference

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: The original intent of establishing two 15-day periods in which coaches may be involved with noninstitutional camps or clinics was to promote a work-life balance for coaches. However, the restriction prevents coaches from participating in otherwise permissible worthwhile volunteer opportunities for charitable or community camps or clinics because they do not occur within the designated time periods. A single calendar day exception would permit coaches the flexibility to volunteer at a meaningful event without significantly interfering with work-life balance. The limited time period for volunteering (one day) and the required nature of the event (charitable or nonprofit) should allay any concerns regarding a potential recruiting advantage.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Football Issues Committee: The committee took no position.

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet opposes the proposal and notes that isolated incidents may be addressed through waivers. The cabinet also notes that institutions have control over their designated two periods of 15 consecutive days.

History:

Jul 15, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Sep 06, 2011 Football Issues Committee, No Formal Position

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Defeat

No. 2011-50 RECRUITING -- USE OF RECRUITING FUNDS -- RECRUITING OR SCOUTING SERVICES -- CRITERIA FOR SUBSCRIPTION

Intent: In sports other than basketball and football, to specify that an institution may subscribe to a recruiting or scouting service involving prospective student-athletes, provided the service is made available to all institutions desiring to subscribe and at the same fee rate for all subscribers; further, to specify that an institution is permitted to subscribe to a service that provides scholastic and/or nonscholastic video. In basketball and football, to eliminate the restriction on subscribing to a service that includes access to nonscholastic video.

Bylaws: Amend 13.14.3, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS, FCS and Division I, divided vote]

13.14.3 Recruiting or Scouting Services.

13.14.3.1 Basketball and Football. ~~*An*~~ **In basketball and football, an** institution may subscribe to a recruiting or scouting service involving prospective student-athletes, provided the institution does not purchase more than one annual subscription to a particular service and the service: [D]

(a) Is made available to all institutions desiring to subscribe and at the same fee rate for all subscribers;

(b) Publicly identifies all applicable rates;

(c) Disseminates information (e.g., reports, profiles) about prospective student-athletes at least four times per calendar year;

(d) Publicly identifies the geographical scope of the service (e.g., local, regional, national) and reflects broad-based coverage of the geographical area in the information it disseminates;

(e) Provides individual analysis beyond demographic information or rankings for each prospective student-athlete in the information it disseminates; **and**

(f) Provides access to samples or previews of the information it disseminates before purchase of a subscription~~; and~~**.**

~~*(g) Provides video that is restricted to regularly scheduled (regular-season) high school, preparatory school or two-year college contests and for which the institution made no prior arrangements for recording. (Note: This provision is applicable only if the subscription includes video services.)*~~

13.14.3.1**.1** Video-Only Services. An institution is permitted to use or subscribe to a video service that only provides video of prospective student-athletes and does not provide information about or analysis of prospective student-athletes. Use of a subscription to such a service is subject to the provisions of Bylaw 13.14.3**.1**, except for subsections (c) and (e). [D]

13.14.3.2 Sports Other Than Basketball and Football. In sports other than basketball and football, an institution may subscribe to a recruiting or scouting service involving prospective student-athletes, provided the service is made available to all institutions desiring to subscribe and at the same fee rate for all subscribers. An institution is permitted to subscribe to a service that provides scholastic and/or nonscholastic video. The institution may not contract with a service in advance to have a particular contest recorded or provided. [D]

Source: Big East Conference, Conference USA and Mountain West Conference

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: The criteria set forth in the current legislation, initially suggested by the Men's Basketball Issues Committee and endorsed by the Football Issues Committee and Women's Basketball Issues Committee, were intended to address concerns that services were being used as leverage in the recruiting process in those sports. However, the extension of the legislation to all sports has created a myriad of unintended consequences in recruiting cultures (e.g., volleyball) other than those in which it was intended. The proposal would codify a current blanket legislative relief waiver and increase its scope as it relates to access to basketball and football nonscholastic video. The waiver was issued because of the difficulty in determining which scouting services are permissible and inconsistencies in enforcing the legislation. The proposal maintains basic restrictions that currently apply to scouting or recruiting services for basketball and football, but returns other sports to the rules that were in place before the adoption of the current rule, with the exception that a service may provide nonscholastic video. Several sports exist primarily or exclusively in the nonscholastic environment (e.g., gymnastics, golf). Consequently, there is little or no scholastic video available. Finally, in basketball and football, nonscholastic video should not be prohibited as the same standard for access should apply to all sports.

Estimated Budget Impact: Could result in additional subscriptions to recruiting services.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Football Issues Committee: The committee opposes the proposal and notes that given the concerns regarding recruiting in the nonscholastic environment, it seems incongruous to allow increased access to nonscholastic video. The committee noted that it prefers to maintain the restriction on nonscholastic video.

Men's Basketball Issues Committee: The committee supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale. The cabinet also notes that the Leadership Council preliminary recommendations related to the men's basketball recruiting model support reinstatement of a limited observation period in April to view nonscholastic events.

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

Women's Basketball Issues Committee: The committee took no position, but noted that nonscholastic videos are being developed and sold to prospective student-athletes who, in turn, send the video to the coach.

Women's Volleyball Committee: The committee supports the proposal. The problematic issues surrounding scouting services in football and basketball are not applicable to volleyball.

History:

Jun 30, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Aug 19, 2011 Women's Basketball Issues Committee, No Formal Position

Aug 29, 2011 Men's Basketball Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

Sep 06, 2011 Football Issues Committee, Recommends Defeat

Sep 08, 2011 Women's Volleyball Committee, Recommends Approval

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-51 RECRUITING -- USE OF RECRUITING FUNDS -- RECRUITING OR SCOUTING SERVICES -- CRITERIA FOR SUBSCRIPTION -- SPORTS OTHER THAN BASKETBALL AND FOOTBALL

Intent: In sports other than basketball and football, to specify that an institution may subscribe to a recruiting or scouting service involving prospective student-athletes, provided the service is made available to all institutions desiring to subscribe and at the same fee rate for all subscribers; further, to specify that an institution is permitted to subscribe to a service that provides scholastic and/or nonscholastic video.

Bylaws: Amend 13.14.3, as follows:

13.14.3 Recruiting or Scouting Services.

13.14.3.1 Basketball and Football. ~~*An*~~ **In basketball and football, an** institution may subscribe to a recruiting or scouting service involving prospective student-athletes, provided the institution does not purchase more than one annual subscription to a particular service and the service: [D]

(a) Is made available to all institutions desiring to subscribe and at the same fee rate for all subscribers;

(b) Publicly identifies all applicable rates;

(c) Disseminates information (e.g., reports, profiles) about prospective student-athletes at least four times per calendar year;

(d) Publicly identifies the geographical scope of the service (e.g., local, regional, national) and reflects broad-based coverage of the geographical area in the information it disseminates;

(e) Provides individual analysis beyond demographic information or rankings for each prospective student-athlete in the information it disseminates;

(f) Provides access to samples or previews of the information it disseminates before purchase of a subscription; and

(g) Provides video that is restricted to regularly scheduled (regular-season) high school, preparatory school or two-year college contests and for which the institution made no prior arrangements for recording. (Note: This provision is applicable only if the subscription includes video services.)

13.14.3.1**.1** Video-Only Services. An institution is permitted to use or subscribe to a video service that only provides video of prospective student-athletes and does not provide information about or analysis of prospective student-athletes. Use of a subscription to such a service is subject to the provisions of Bylaw 13.14.3**.1**, except for subsections (c) and (e). [D]

13.14.3.2 Sports Other Than Basketball and Football. In sports other than basketball and football, an institution may subscribe to a recruiting or scouting service involving prospective student-athletes, provided the service is made available to all institutions desiring to subscribe and at the same fee rate for all subscribers. An institution is permitted to subscribe to a service that provides scholastic and/or nonscholastic video. The institution may not contract with a service in advance to have a particular contest recorded or provided. [D]

Source: Pac-12 Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: This proposal codifies a current blanket legislative relief waiver. The waiver was issued because of the difficulty in determining which scouting services are permissible and inconsistencies in enforcing the legislation. The proposal maintains the restrictions that currently apply to scouting or recruiting services for basketball and football, but returns other sports to the rules that were in place before the adoption of the current rule, with the exception that a service may provide nonscholastic video. Several sports exist primarily or exclusively in the nonscholastic environment (e.g., gymnastics, golf). Consequently, there is little or no scholastic video available.

Estimated Budget Impact: Could result in additional subscriptions to recruiting services.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Football Issues Committee: The committee supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet opposes the proposal. However, the cabinet notes that it prefers Proposal No. 2011-50.

History:

Jun 28, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Sep 06, 2011 Football Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Defeat

No. 2011-52 RECRUITING -- USE OF RECRUITING FUNDS -- RECRUITING OR SCOUTING SERVICES -- CRITERIA FOR SUBSCRIPTION -- NCAA APPROVAL -- BASKETBALL AND FOOTBALL

Intent: In basketball and football, to specify that an institution shall not subscribe to a recruiting or scouting service unless the service has been approved by the NCAA pursuant to an annual approval process.

Bylaws: Amend 13.14.3, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS, FCS and Division I, divided vote]

13.14.3 Recruiting or Scouting Services. An institution may subscribe to a recruiting or scouting service involving prospective student-athletes, provided the institution does not purchase more than one annual subscription to a particular service and the service: [D]

[13.14.3-(a) through 13.14.3-(g) unchanged.]

[13.14.3.1 unchanged.]

13.14.3.2 Subscription Limited to Approved Services -- Basketball and Football. In basketball and football, an institution shall not subscribe to a recruiting or scouting service unless the service has been approved by the NCAA pursuant to an annual approval process. [D]

Source: Southeastern Conference

Effective Date: Immediate for implementation of the approval process; June 1, 2012 for application of legislation.

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: Attention related to institutional subscriptions to recruiting services has increased in recent years, most notably in basketball and football. It has become apparent that a need exists for

the membership to adopt a new and comprehensive approach to consistently evaluate recruiting services in basketball and football. It is anticipated that implementing an approval process, administered by the NCAA national office, will result in a more efficient system, which will better ensure compliance with existing legislation governing the elements required to permit an institution's subscription to a recruiting service.

Estimated Budget Impact: Estimated savings associated with an efficient system for evaluation of recruiting services.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Football Issues Committee: The committee supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

Men's Basketball Issues Committee: The committee supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

Women's Basketball Issues Committee: The committee supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

History:

Jul 14, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Aug 19, 2011 Women's Basketball Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

Aug 29, 2011 Men's Basketball Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

Sep 06, 2011 Football Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-53 RECRUITING -- PRECOLLEGE EXPENSES -- DONATION OF ATHLETICS EQUIPMENT -- ELIMINATION OF 30-MILE RADIUS

Intent: To eliminate the restriction that precludes an institution from donating athletics equipment to a bona fide youth organization outside a 30-mile radius of the institution's campus.

Bylaws: Amend 13.15.1.6, as follows:

13.15.1.6 Donation of Equipment.

13.15.1.6.1 Athletics Equipment. A member institution may not provide athletics equipment to a high school. However, a member institution is permitted to provide athletics equipment to bona fide youth organizations (e.g., the YMCA, YWCA, Boy Scout troops, Girl Scout troops, a summer recreation league) that may consist of some prospective student-athletes, provided the issuance of equipment is in accordance with the institution's regular policy regarding the discarding of equipment. ~~*Further, only those organizations within a 30-mile radius of the*~~

~~*campus may be provided such equipment by the institution.*~~ [D]

[Remainder of 13.15.1.6 unchanged.]

Source: West Coast Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: There is a growing trend for youth organizations to consolidate resources and cut costs by requiring online donations/collections through their national headquarters. Current legislation restricts such donations to organizations located beyond a 30-mile radius of the institution's campus. This proposal does not provide a recruiting advantage because the entity receiving the donation must be a bona fide youth organization. Therefore, the donation cannot target specific prospective student-athletes. This proposal would also allow student-athletes to become involved in their communities by seeking donations of equipment that could then be provided by the institution to bona fide youth organizations.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale. The cabinet notes that it is an appropriate deregulation. Further, the cabinet notes that institutions not located within a metropolitan area may not have access to youth organizations within a 30-mile radius that have need for athletics equipment.

History:

Jul 15, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-54 RECRUITING -- RECRUITING CALENDARS -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL -- JULY EVALUATION AND DEAD PERIODS

Intent: In women's basketball, to specify that during the time period of July 6-31, the recruiting calendar shall consist of, consecutively, a seven-day evaluation period, a 10-day dead period, a seven-day evaluation period and a two-day dead period.

Bylaws: Amend 13.17.3, as follows:

13.17.3 Women's Basketball. The following recruiting periods shall apply to women's basketball:

[13.17.3-(a) through 13.17.3-(g) unchanged.]

(h) July 6 through July ~~*15*~~ **12**:	Evaluation Period
(i) July ~~*16*~~ **13** through July ~~*21*~~ **22** [except for (1) below]:	Dead Period

[13.17.3-(i)-(1) unchanged.]
(j) July ~~22~~ **23** through July ~~31~~ **29**: Evaluation Period
(k) July 30 through July 31: **Dead Period**
[13.17.3-(k) through 13.17.3-(l) relettered as 13.17.3-(l) through 13.17.3-(m), unchanged.]

Source: Atlantic Coast Conference

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: Shorter recruiting periods will allow coaches to spend more time during the weekdays with their current student-athletes who are on campus for summer school. Further, this proposal would decrease the time that prospective student-athletes and their families would feel compelled to attend nonscholastic events for evaluation purposes during the summer.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet took no formal position. However, the cabinet notes that the NCAA Division I Women's Basketball Issues Committee intends to discuss the concept in the next several months in relation to its development of the women's basketball recruiting model.

Women's Basketball Issues Committee: The committee took no formal position. The issue will be discussed in the development the women's basketball recruiting model. The committee will determine if this proposed July model or a different (e.g., weekend based) model is appropriate and desirable. Broadly, the committee supports a change to the July evaluation model that will provide for the points noted in the proposal's rationale.

History:

Jul 01, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Aug 19, 2011 Women's Basketball Issues Committee, No Formal Position

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, No Formal Position

No. 2011-55 RECRUITING -- RECRUITING CALENDARS -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL -- EARLY JANUARY DEAD PERIOD

Intent: In bowl subdivision football, to revise the recruiting calendar to specify that January 4 through the Sunday during the week of the annual convention of the American Football Coaches Association shall be a dead period.

Bylaws: Amend 13.17, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS only]

13.17.4 Football. The following recruiting periods shall apply to football:

[13.17.4-(a) unchanged.]

(b) The Sunday following the last Saturday in November through the Saturday prior to the initial date for the regular signing period of the National Letter of Intent except for (1) through (~~7~~**8**) below. Six in-person off-campus contacts per prospective student-athlete shall be permitted during this time period with not more than one permitted in any one calendar week (Sunday through Saturday) or partial calendar week: — Contact Period

[13.17.4-(b)-(1) through 13.17.4-(b)-(4) unchanged.]

(5) In bowl subdivision football, the Monday following the third Saturday in December through the Thursday during the week of the annual convention of the American Football Coaches Association [except for (a) below]: — **Dead Period**

(a) Institutional staff members may have contact with a prospective student-athlete who has been admitted for midyear enrollment, provided the prospective student-athlete has signed a National Letter of Intent or other offer of admission and/or financial aid to attend the institution and is required to be on campus to attend institutional orientation sessions for all students.

(~~5~~**6**) ~~*The*~~ **In championship subdivision football, the** Monday following the third Saturday in December through January 3 [except for (a) below]: — Dead Period

[13.17.4-(b)-(5)-(a) renumbered as 13.17.4-(b)-(6)-(a), unchanged.]

(~~6~~**7**) **In championship subdivision football,** Sunday during the week of the annual convention of the American Football Coaches Association. — Quiet Period

(~~7~~**8**) **In championship subdivision football,** Monday through Thursday during the week of the annual convention of the American Football Coaches Association [except for (a) below]: — Dead Period

[13.17.4-(b)-(7)-(a) renumbered as 13.17.4-(b)-(8)-(a), unchanged.]

[13.17.4-(c) through 13.17.4-(g) unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: Due to the increase in the number of bowl games occurring after January 1, institutions that participate in such bowl games often do not have the opportunity to engage in off-campus recruiting activities during this time period. This proposal would eliminate the recruiting

disadvantage that institutions may experience due to bowl participation after January 1. The days between January 3 and the American Football Coaches Association convention currently designated as contact and quiet periods would be designated as a dead period. This proposal was initiated by the American Football Coaches Association and supported by a majority of the Football Bowl Subdivision head coaches.

Estimated Budget Impact: Potential cost savings due to the reduction of days in the contact period.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Football Issues Committee: The committee supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

History:

Jun 03, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Jun 23, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Sponsored

Sep 06, 2011 Football Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-56 RECRUITING -- RECRUITING-PERSON DAYS AND RECRUITING CALENDAR -- FENCING

Intent: In fencing, to establish recruiting-person days and a recruiting calendar, as specified.

A. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.3.1.1, as follows:

13.1.3.1.1 Exception -- Baseball, Cross Country/Track and Field, **Fencing,** Men's Lacrosse, Women's Lacrosse, Women's Sand Volleyball, Softball and Women's Volleyball. In baseball, cross country/track and field, **fencing,** men's lacrosse, women's lacrosse, women's sand volleyball, softball and women's volleyball, telephone calls to an individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before July 1 following the completion of his or her junior year in high school, or the opening day of classes of his or her senior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier. Thereafter, such telephone calls shall be limited to once per week outside a contact period, but may be made at the institution's discretion during a contact period.

B. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.5, as follows:

13.1.5 Contacts.

13.1.5.1 Sports Other Than Football**, Fencing** and Basketball. In sports other than football**, fencing** and basketball, each institution is limited to seven recruiting opportunities (contacts and evaluations combined) per prospective student-athlete (see Bylaw 13.1.5.5). During the senior year of high school, not more than three of the seven opportunities may be off-campus contacts at any site and shall include contacts with the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians, but shall not include contacts made during an official visit per Bylaw 13.6.

[13.1.5.2 unchanged.]

13.1.5.3 Fencing. In fencing, each institution shall be limited to three in-person, off-campus recruiting contacts per prospective student-athlete at any site, including contacts made with the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians, but not including contacts made during an official visit per Bylaw 13.6. Fencing coaching staff members shall not exceed 168 recruiting-person days during the academic year contact and evaluation periods.

[13.1.5.3 through 13.1.5.10 renumbered as 13.1.5.4 through 13.1.5.11, unchanged.]

C. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.7, as follows:

13.1.7 Limitations on Number of Evaluations.

13.1.7.1 Visit (Without Contact) to Prospective Student-Athlete's Educational Institution **-- Sports Other Than Fencing**. ~~A~~ **In sports other than fencing, a** visit (without contact) by a coaching staff member to a prospective student-athlete's educational institution counts as an evaluation for all prospective student-athletes in that sport at that educational institution.

[13.1.7.1.1 unchanged.]

[13.1.7.2 unchanged.]

13.1.7.3 Limitations on Number of Evaluations -- Sports Other Than Football**, Fencing** and Basketball. In sports other than football**, fencing** and basketball, during the academic year, each institution shall be limited to seven recruiting opportunities (contacts and evaluations combined) per prospective student-athlete and not more than three of the seven opportunities may be contacts (see Bylaws 13.1.5.1 and 13.1.5.5). [D]

[13.1.7.4 unchanged.]

13.1.7.5 Limitations on Number of Evaluations -- Fencing. In fencing, there is no limit on the number of evaluations per prospective student-athlete. Fencing coaching staff members shall not exceed 168 recruiting-person days during the academic year contact and evaluation periods.

[13.1.7.5 through 13.1.7.21 renumbered as 13.1.7.6 through 13.1.7.22, unchanged.]

D. Bylaws: Amend 13.17, as follows:

13.17 Recruiting Calendars.

[13.17.1 through 13.17.3 unchanged.]

13.17.4 Fencing. The following recruiting periods shall apply to fencing:

(a) August 1 through August 31:	**Quiet Period**

(b) September 1 through December 23 [except for (1) below]: **Contact Period**

(1) Monday through Thursday of the week that includes the initial date for the fall signing of the National Letter of Intent: **Dead Period**

(c) December 24 through December 25: **Dead Period**

(d) December 26 through April 30 [except for (1) through (2) below]: **Contact Period**

(1) Noon Wednesday preceding the NCAA National Championship finals to noon the following Monday: **Dead Period**

(2) Monday through Thursday of the week that includes the initial date for the spring signing of the National Letter of Intent: **Dead Period**

(e) May 1 through June 15: **Quiet Period**

(f) June 16 through July 31: **Contact Period**

(g) General Exceptions -- North American Cups and USA Fencing Championship. It is permissible for authorized coaching staff members to observe prospective student-athletes participating any North American Cup or USA Fencing Championship.

[13.17.4 through 13.17.11 renumbered as 13.17.5 through 13.17.12.]

13.17.~~11~~**12** Recruiting Periods -- Other Sports. There are no specified recruiting periods in sports for which no recruiting calendars have been established, except for the following dead and quiet periods.

13.17.~~11~~**12**.1 Dead Periods.

[13.17.11.1.1 renumbered as 13.17.12.1.1, unchanged.]

13.17.11.1.1.1 renumbered as 13.17.12.1.1.1, unchanged.]

~~*13.17.11.1.12 Exception – North American Cup Fencing Championship. During any year in which the National Letter of Intent signing date dead period occurs during the North American Cup Fencing Championship, it shall be permissible for authorized coaching staff members to observe prospective student-athletes participating in that event.*~~

[13.17.11.1.2 through 13.17.11.1.5 renumbered as 13.17.12.1.2 through 13.17.12.1.5, unchanged.]

[13.17.11.2 renumbered as 13.17.12.2, unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: The United States Fencing Coaches Association, at the request of the Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet to evaluate the merits of establishing a recruiting calendar and recruiting-person or evaluation days, determined that the establishment of a recruiting calendar and 168 recruiting-person days is an appropriate measure to ensure competitive equity in the recruiting process and to promote the well being of prospective student-athletes and coaches.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Men's and Women's Fencing Committee: The committee supports the proposal. The proposal takes into account the comments/suggestions the fencing coaches association (through feedback from the fencing community) provided to the Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet.

History:

Jun 03, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Jun 23, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Sponsored

Sep 08, 2011 Men's and Women's Fencing Committee, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-57 RECRUITING -- RECRUITING-PERSON DAYS AND RECRUITING CALENDAR -- FIELD HOCKEY

Intent: In field hockey, to establish recruiting-person days and a recruiting calendar, as specified.

A. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.3.1.1, as follows:

13.1.3.1.1 Exception -- Baseball, Cross Country/Track and Field, **Field Hockey,** Men's Lacrosse, Women's Lacrosse, Women's Sand Volleyball, Softball and Women's Volleyball. In baseball, cross country/track and field, **field hockey,** men's lacrosse, women's lacrosse, women's sand volleyball, softball and women's volleyball, telephone calls to an individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before July 1 following the completion of his or her junior year in high school, or the opening day of classes of his or her senior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier. Thereafter, such telephone calls shall be limited to once per week outside a contact period, but may be made at the institution's discretion during a contact period.

B. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.5, as follows:

13.1.5 Contacts.

13.1.5.1 Sports Other Than Football**, Field Hockey** and Basketball. In sports other than football**, field hockey** and basketball, each institution is limited to seven recruiting opportunities

(contacts and evaluations combined) per prospective student-athlete (see Bylaw 13.1.5.5). During the senior year of high school, not more than three of the seven opportunities may be off-campus contacts at any site and shall include contacts with the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians, but shall not include contacts made during an official visit per Bylaw 13.6.

[13.1.5.2 unchanged.]

13.1.5.3 Field Hockey. In field hockey, each institution shall be limited to three in-person, off-campus recruiting contacts per prospective student-athlete at any site, including contacts made with the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians, but not including contacts made during an official visit per Bylaw 13.6. Field hockey coaching staff members shall not exceed 75 recruiting-person days during the calendar year, measured from August 1 through July 31.

[13.1.5.3 through 13.1.5.10 renumbered as 13.1.5.4 through 13.1.5.11, unchanged.]

C. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.7, as follows:

13.1.7 Limitations on Number of Evaluations.

13.1.7.1 Visit (Without Contact) to Prospective Student-Athlete's Educational Institution **-- Sports Other Than Field Hockey**. ~~*A*~~ **In sports other than field hockey, a** visit (without contact) by a coaching staff member to a prospective student-athlete's educational institution counts as an evaluation for all prospective student-athletes in that sport at that educational institution.

[13.1.7.1.1 unchanged.]

[13.1.7.2 unchanged.]

13.1.7.3 Limitations on Number of Evaluations -- Sports Other Than Football**, Field Hockey** and Basketball. In sports other than football**, field hockey** and basketball, during the academic year, each institution shall be limited to seven recruiting opportunities (contacts and evaluations combined) per prospective student-athlete and not more than three of the seven opportunities may be contacts (see Bylaws 13.1.5.1 and 13.1.5.5). [D]

[13.1.7.4 unchanged.]

13.1.7.5 Limitations on Number of Evaluations -- Field Hockey. In field hockey, there is no limit on the number of evaluations per prospective student-athlete. Field Hockey coaching staff members shall not exceed 75 recruiting-person days during the calendar year, measured from August 1 through July 31.

[13.1.7.5 through 13.1.7.21 renumbered as 13.1.7.6 through 13.1.7.22, unchanged.]

D. Bylaws: Amend 13.17, as follows:

13.17 Recruiting Calendars.

[13.17.1 through 13.17.3 unchanged.]

13.17.4 Field Hockey. The following recruiting periods shall apply to field hockey:

(a) August 1 through December 16 [except for (1) through (3) below]:	**Contact Period**
(1) Monday through Thursday prior to the first permissible contest date:	**Dead Period**
(2) The first permissible contest date through the following Thursday:	**Quiet Period**
(3) Wednesday prior to the NCAA Division I Field Hockey Championship to noon on the day after the championship:	**Dead Period**
(b) December 17 through December 23:	**Quiet Period**
(c) December 24 through January 2:	**Dead Period**
(d) January 3 through July 31 [except for (1) through (3) below]:	**Contact Period**
(1) Monday through Saturday of week in which the National Field Hockey Coaches Association Convention begins:	**Quiet Period**
(2) Monday through Thursday of the week that includes the initial date for the spring signing of the National Letter of Intent:	**Dead Period**
(3) Monday following the second weekend of the United States Field Hockey Association National Indoor Tournament through April 30:	**Quiet Period**

[13.17.4 through 13.17.11 renumbered as 13.17.5 through 13.17.12.]

13.17.~~11~~**12** Recruiting Periods -- Other Sports. There are no specified recruiting periods in sports for which no recruiting calendars have been established, except for the following dead and quiet periods.

13.17.~~11~~**12**.1 Dead Periods.

[13.17.11.1.1 through 13.17.11.1.2 renumbered as 13.17.12.1.1 through 13.17.12.1.2, unchanged.]

~~*13.17.11.1.2 Field Hockey, Women. Wednesday prior to the NCAA Division I Field Hockey Championship to noon on the day after the game.*~~

[13.17.11.1.3 through 13.17.11.1.5 renumbered as 13.17.12.1.2 through 13.17.12.1.5.]

[13.17.11.2 renumbered as 13.17.12.2, unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet

Effective Date: August 12, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: The National Field Hockey Coaches Association, at the request of the Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet to evaluate the merits of establishing a recruiting calendar and recruiting-person or evaluation days, determined that the establishment of a recruiting calendar and 75 recruiting-person days is an appropriate measure to ensure competitive equity in the recruiting process and to promote the well being of prospective student-athletes and coaches. In addition, the potential cost reduction efforts may help level the recruiting playing field.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

History:

Jun 03, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Jun 23, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Sponsored

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Modified the Proposal Modified to specify that the limit of 75 recruiting-person days shall apply to all contact and evaluation periods during the calendar year (as opposed to the academic year), measured from August 1 through July 31.

No. 2011-58 RECRUITING -- RECRUITING CALENDARS -- WOMEN'S GYMNASTICS

Intent: In women's gymnastics, to establish a recruiting calendar, as specified.

A. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.3.1.1, as follows:

13.1.3.1.1 Exception -- Baseball, Cross Country/Track and Field, **Women's Gymnastics,** Men's Lacrosse, Women's Lacrosse, Women's Sand Volleyball, Softball and Women's Volleyball. In baseball, cross country/track and field, **women's gymnastics,** men's lacrosse, women's lacrosse, women's sand volleyball, softball and women's volleyball, telephone calls to an individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before July 1 following the completion of his or her junior year in high school, or the opening day of classes of his or her senior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier. Thereafter, such telephone calls shall be limited to once per week outside a contact period, but may be made at the institution's discretion during a contact period.

B. Bylaws: Amend 13.17, as follows:

13.17 Recruiting Calendars.

[13.17.1 through 13.17.4 unchanged.]

13.17.5 Women's Gymnastics. The following recruiting periods shall apply to women's gymnastics:

(a) August 1 through the Sunday before Thanksgiving Day [except for (1) below]:	**Contact Period**

(1) Monday through Thursday of the week that includes the initial date for the fall signing of the National Letter of Intent:	**Dead Period**
(b) The Monday before Thanksgiving Day through the Sunday after Thanksgiving Day:	**Dead Period**
(c) The Monday after Thanksgiving Day through December 17:	**Contact Period**
(d) December 18 through January 1:	**Dead Period**
(e) January 2 through July 31 [except for (1) through (3) below]:	**Contact Period**
(1) The three days of the National Association of Collegiate Gymnastics Coaches/Women Convention:	**Dead Period**
(2) Monday through Thursday of the week that includes the initial date of the spring signing of the National Letter of Intent:	**Dead Period**
(3) The day before the first day of the National Collegiate Women's Gymnastics Championships to noon on the day after the championships.	**Dead Period**

[13.17.5 through 13.17.10 renumbered as 13.17.6 through 13.17.11, unchanged.]

13.17.~~11~~**12** Recruiting Periods -- Other Sports. There are no specified recruiting periods in sports for which no recruiting calendars have been established, except for the following dead and quiet periods.

13.17.~~11~~**12**.1 Dead Periods.

[13.17.11.1.1 through 13.17.11.1.2 renumbered as 13.17.12.1.1 through 13.17.12.1.2, unchanged.]

~~*13.17.11.1.3 Gymnastics, Women. The day before the first day of the National Collegiate Women's Gymnastics Championships to noon on the day after the championships.*~~

[13.17.11.1.4 through 13.17.11.1.5 renumbered as 13.17.12.1.3 through 13.17.12.1.4, unchanged.]

[13.17.11.2 renumbered as 13.17.12.2, unchanged.

Source: NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: At the request of the Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet to evaluate the merits of establishing a recruiting calendar and recruiting-person or evaluation days, the National Association of Collegiate Gymnastics Coaches/Women determined that the establishment of a recruiting calendar is an appropriate measure to ensure competitive equity in the recruiting

process and to promote the well being of prospective student-athletes and coaches.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Women's Gymnastics Committee: The committee supports the proposal.

History:

Jun 03, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Jun 23, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Sponsored

Sep 06, 2011 Women's Gymnastics Committee, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-60 RECRUITING -- RECRUITING CALENDARS -- WRESTLING

Intent: In wrestling, to establish a recruiting calendar, as specified.

A. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.3.1.1, as follows:

13.1.3.1.1 Exception -- Baseball, Cross Country/Track and Field, Men's Lacrosse, Women's Lacrosse, Women's Sand Volleyball, Softball**,** ~~*and*~~ Women's Volleyball **and Wrestling**. In baseball, cross country/track and field, men's lacrosse, women's lacrosse, women's sand volleyball, softball**,** ~~*and*~~ women's volleyball **and wrestling**, telephone calls to an individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before July 1 following the completion of his or her junior year in high school, or the opening day of classes of his or her senior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier. Thereafter, such telephone calls shall be limited to once per week outside a contact period, but may be made at the institution's discretion during a contact period.

B. Bylaws: Amend 13.17, as follows:

13.17 Recruiting Calendars.

[13.17.1 through 13.17.9 unchanged.]

13.17.10 Wrestling. The following recruiting periods shall apply to wrestling:

(a) August 1 through July 30 [except for (1) through (3) below]:	**Contact Period**
(1) Thursday through Sunday of the National Wrestling Coaches Association Convention:	**Dead Period**
(2) Monday through Thursday of the week that includes the initial date of the fall signing of the National Letter of Intent:	**Dead Period**
(3) Monday through Thursday of the week that includes the initial date of the spring signing of the National Letter of Intent:	**Dead Period**

[13.17.10 through 13.17.11 renumbered as 13.17.11 through 13.17.12, unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: The National Wrestling Coaches Association, at the request of the Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet to evaluate the merits of establishing a recruiting calendar and recruiting-person or evaluation days, determined that the establishment of a recruiting calendar is an appropriate measure to ensure competitive equity in the recruiting process and to promote the well being of prospective student-athletes and coaches.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

History:

Jun 03, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Jun 23, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Sponsored

No. 2011-61 RECRUITING -- BASKETBALL EVENT CERTIFICATION -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL -- NO EMPLOYMENT OF CURRENT STUDENT-ATHLETES

Intent: In women's basketball, to specify that a certified event shall not employ (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) a current women's basketball student-athlete.

Bylaws: Amend 13.19, as follows:

13.19 BASKETBALL EVENT CERTIFICATION -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL
In women's basketball, in order for a basketball event (e.g., camp, league, tournament or festival) to be certified, a certification application form must be submitted each year to the NCAA national office 45 days prior to the start of the event. An event review form for each event also must be submitted to the NCAA national office not later than three months following the event sessions. The following criteria must be met by each event in order to be certified:

[13.19-(a) through 13.19-(c) unchanged.]

(d) The event shall not employ (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) a current women's basketball student-athlete;

[13.19-(d) through 13.19-(q) relettered as 13.19-(e) through 13.19-(r), unchanged.]

Source: Atlantic Coast Conference

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: Currently, there are no restrictions regarding the employment of student-athletes at certified events. The employment of a women's basketball student-athlete at a certified event gives the student-athlete's institution a potential recruiting advantage through exposure to and contact with the prospective student-athletes in attendance.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet opposes the proposal and notes that women's basketball student-athletes should have a variety of permissible employment opportunities, such as those associated with these types of events (e.g., scorekeeping); however, this proposal would eliminate a viable option. Further, the cabinet notes that some student-athletes work these events to gain experience for further professional career aspirations (e.g., coaching, event management).

Women's Basketball Issues Committee: The committee opposes the proposal and notes that women's basketball student-athletes should have a variety of permissible employment opportunities, such as those associated with these types of events (e.g., scorekeeping); however, this proposal would eliminate a viable option. Further, the cabinet notes that some student-athletes work these events to gain experience for further professional career aspirations (e.g., coaching, event management).

History:

Jul 01, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Aug 19, 2011 Women's Basketball Issues Committee, Recommends Defeat

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Defeat

Eligibility

No. 2011-62 ELIGIBILITY -- GENERAL ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS -- ELIGIBILITY FORM -- INTERNATIONAL STUDENT-ATHLETE

Intent: To eliminate the requirement that the eligibility of an international student-athlete shall be certified on an international student-athlete eligibility form.

Bylaws: Amend 14.1, as follows:

14.1 GENERAL ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS

[14.1.1 through 14.1.4 unchanged.]

~~*14.1.5 Eligibility Form – International Student-Athlete. The eligibility of an international student-athlete shall be certified on a form maintained by the Amateurism Cabinet and approved by the Legislative Council. Failure to complete and sign such a form prior to participating in competition shall result in the student-athlete's ineligibility for intercollegiate competition. The completed form shall be kept on file at the institution with other eligibility documents and shall be available for*~~

~~*examination upon request by the NCAA, and, if the institution is a member of a conference, an authorized conference representative. Violations of this bylaw do not affect a student-athlete's eligibility if the violation occurred due to an institutional administrative error or oversight, and the student-athlete subsequently signs the form; however, the violation shall be considered an institutional violation per Constitution 2.8.1.*~~

[14.1.6 through 14.1.11 renumbered as 14.1.5 through 14.1.10 unchanged.]

Source: Big East Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Eligibility

Rationale: With the creation of the amateurism certification process through the NCAA Eligibility Center, the use of the international student-athlete eligibility form is duplicative and an administrative burden. An institution should have the flexibility to determine the appropriate method (e.g., institutional form, interview) for certifying the amateur status of a student-athlete for the time period after he or she requested final certification from the NCAA Eligibility Center (e.g., incoming freshmen) or the student-athlete initially enrolled in a Division I or II institution (e.g., transfer students).

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): International student-athletes would no longer need to complete the form.

Position Statement(s)

Amateurism Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal. The international student-athlete eligibility form may be helpful for institutions to use in order to assist in certifying the eligibility of international student-athletes, but it should not be a requirement. The Amateurism Certification Process at the NCAA Eligibility Center provides the offical certification.

History:

Jun 24, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Sep 29, 2011 Amateurism Cabinet, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-63 ELIGIBILITY -- GENERAL ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS -- GRADUATE STUDENT/ POSTBACCALAUREATE PARTICIPATION -- POSTSEASON EVENT FOLLOWING LAST TERM OF ELIGIBILITY

Intent: To specify that a student-athlete who is eligible during the term in which degree work is completed (or is eligible as a graduate) remains eligible for any postseason event that begins within 60 days after the end of the term in which the student completes the requirements for the degree (or graduate eligibility).

A. Bylaws: Amend 14.1.8.2.1.3, as follows:

14.1.8.2.1.3 Final Semester/Quarter. A student-athlete may compete while enrolled in less than a minimum full-time program of studies, provided the student is enrolled in the final semester or quarter of the baccalaureate program and the institution certifies that the student is carrying (for credit) the courses necessary to complete degree requirements. The student granted eligibility under this provision shall be eligible for any ~~*NCAA championship and for any*~~ postseason ~~*licensed bowl game or National Invitation Tournament*~~ **event** that begins within 60 days following said semester or quarter, provided the student has not exhausted the five years for completion of the individual's four seasons of eligibility (see Bylaw 14.2). Thereafter, the student shall forfeit eligibility in all sports, unless the student completes all degree requirements during that semester or quarter and is eligible to receive the baccalaureate diploma on the institution's next degree-granting date. The Legislative Council Subcommittee for Legislative Relief may waive the 60-day requirement for instances in which ~~*an NCAA championship,*~~ **a** postseason ~~*licensed bowl game or National Invitation Tournament*~~ **event** is conducted at the conclusion of the traditional playing season but begins more than 60 days following the end of said term.

B. Bylaws: Amend 14.1.9.3, as follows:

14.1.9.3 ~~*NCAA Championship*~~ **Postseason Event** Following Last Term of Eligibility. A student-athlete who is eligible during the term in which degree work is completed (or is eligible as a graduate, per Bylaw 14.1.9) remains eligible for any ~~*NCAA championship for any*~~ postseason ~~*licensed bowl game or National Invitation Tournament*~~ **event** that begins within 60 days after the end of the term in which the student completes the requirements for the degree (or graduate eligibility). The Legislative Council Subcommittee for Legislative Relief may waive the 60-day requirement for instances in which ~~*an NCAA championship,*~~ **a** postseason ~~*licensed bowl game or National Invitation Tournament*~~ **event** is conducted at the conclusion of the traditional playing season but begins more than 60 days following the end of said term.

Source: Pac-12 Conference

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Eligibility

Rationale: Current legislation allows a student-athlete who is eligible during the term in which degree work is completed to participate in an NCAA championship, a licensed bowl game or the National Invitation Tournament (NIT). A legislative relief waiver was granted during the 2010-11 basketball playing season to allow participation in a different postseason event. The waiver was granted as a one-time occurrence and the requesting institution was encouraged to initiate the process for legislation to be sponsored to permanently address the issue. This proposal would allow a deserving student-athlete to participate with his or her teammates in any postseason event for which the institution qualifies.

Estimated Budget Impact: Expenses related to postseason event participation.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): Time related to postseason event participation.

Position Statement(s)

Academic Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

History:

Jun 27, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Sep 13, 2011 Academic Cabinet, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-64 ELIGIBILITY -- SEASONS OF COMPETITION: FIVE-YEAR RULE -- FIVE SEASONS OF ELIGIBILITY -- FOOTBALL

Intent: In football, to specify that a student-athlete shall not engage in more than five seasons of intercollegiate competition and may only engage in a fifth season at an institution at which the student-athlete previously used a season of competition.

Bylaws: Amend 14.2, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS and FCS, divided vote]

14.2 SEASONS OF COMPETITION: FIVE-YEAR RULE

~~A~~ **In sports other than football, a** student-athlete shall not engage in more than four seasons of intercollegiate competition in any one sport (see Bylaws 14.02.7 and 14.3.3). **In football, a student-athlete shall not engage in more than five seasons of intercollegiate competition and may only engage in a fifth season at an institution at which the student-athlete previously used a season of competition.** An institution shall not permit a student-athlete to represent it in intercollegiate competition unless the individual completes all of his or her seasons of participation in all sports within the time periods specified below:

14.2.1 Five-Year Rule. A student-athlete shall complete his or her seasons of participation within five calendar years from the beginning of the semester or quarter in which the student-athlete first registered for a minimum full-time program of studies in a collegiate institution, with time spent in the armed services, on official religious missions or with recognized foreign aid services of the U.S. government being excepted. For international students, service in the armed forces or on an official religious mission of the student's home country is considered equivalent to such service in the United States.

[14.2.1.1 through 14.2.1.4 unchanged.]

14.2.1.5 Five-Year Rule Waiver. The Committee on Student-Athlete Reinstatement, or its designated committee, by a two-thirds majority of its members present and voting, may approve waivers of the five-year rule as it deems appropriate.

[14.2.1.5.1 unchanged.]

14.2.1.5.2 Application to Football Student-Athletes. Bylaw 14.2.1.5 shall not apply to a football student-athlete who engaged in five seasons of intercollegiate competition per Bylaw 14.2.

[14.2.2 through 14.2.3 unchanged.]

14.2.4 Hardship Waiver. A student-athlete may be granted an additional year of competition by the conference or the Committee on Student-Athlete Reinstatement for reasons of "hardship." Hardship is defined as an incapacity resulting from an injury or illness that has occurred under all of the following conditions:

[14.2.4-(a) through 14.2.4-(c) unchanged.]

[14.2.4.1 through 14.2.4.3 unchanged.]

14.2.4.4 Application to Football Student-Athletes. Bylaw 14.2.4 shall not apply to a football student-athlete who engaged in five seasons of intercollegiate competition per Bylaw 14.2.

14.2.5 Season-of-Competition Waiver -- Competition While Ineligible. In conjunction with a request for restoration of eligibility and any conditions imposed thereon per Bylaw 14.12, a student-athlete may be granted an additional season of competition by the Committee on Student-Athlete Reinstatement when the student- athlete participated in a limited amount of competition as a result of a good-faith, erroneous formal declaration of eligibility by the institution's appropriate certifying authority; or the student-athlete's good-faith, erroneous reliance on a coaching staff member's decision to put the student-athlete into competition prior to the coaching staff member receiving a formal declaration of the student-athlete's eligibility from the institution's appropriate certifying authority. The competition must have occurred under all of the following conditions:

[14.2.5-(a) through 14.2.5-(e) unchanged.]

[14.2.5.1 unchanged.]

14.2.5.2 Application to Football Student-Athletes. Bylaw 14.2.5 shall not apply to a football student-athlete who engaged in five seasons of intercollegiate competition per Bylaw 14.2.

14.2.6 Season-of-Competition Waiver—Competition While Eligible. A student-athlete may be granted an additional season of competition by the Committee on Student-Athlete Reinstatement in a case in which the student-athlete participated in a limited amount of competition while eligible due to a coach's documented misunderstanding of the legislation or other extenuating circumstances. In cases in which a student-athlete does not meet the criteria of this waiver, the Committee on Student-Athlete Reinstatement shall have authority to review and grant a waiver based on additional documented extenuating circumstances.

[14.2.6.1 through 14.2.6.3 unchanged.]

14.2.6.4 Application to Football Student-Athletes. Bylaw 14.2.6 shall not apply to a football student-athlete who engaged in five seasons of intercollegiate competition per Bylaw 14.2.

Source: Colonial Athletic Association

Effective Date: August 1, 2012; applies to any student-athlete with eligibility remaining.

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Eligibility

Rationale: Progress-toward-degree requirements specify that a student-athlete must complete eighty percent of his or her degree requirements entering the student-athlete's fifth year. This requirement implies that there are academic programs of four-plus years (nine semesters). For a student-athlete who engages in competition four seasons in four years but needs another term to graduate, a fifth season would keep the student-athlete more fully engaged academically, athletically and socially throughout his or her collegiate career. In addition, this change promotes student-athlete well-being since reduced squad sizes, in combination with injuries and "redshirting," often requires some student-athletes to participate in more game situations than would otherwise be necessary, particularly toward the end of the season. Permitting a fifth season of competition would allow more student-athletes to participate throughout the season. The combination of "redshirting," a missed season due to injuries and progress-toward-degree requirements often require the institution to extend the student-athlete's scholarship beyond four years. A fifth season of competition would allow participation during the entire period of the student-athlete's financial aid, potentially resulting in greater cost efficiency for the institution and an extra incentive for the student-athlete.

Estimated Budget Impact: Minimal, but could vary based on adjustments from current "redshirting" practices, injuries, and values of athletics scholarships.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None. Increased participation would provide extra incentive academically and athletically.

Position Statement(s)

Academic Cabinet: The cabinet opposes the proposal. The cabinet notes that additional research and analysis are needed regarding the concept of five seasons of eligibility.

Football Issues Committee: The committee took no position.

History:

Jul 11, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Sep 06, 2011 Football Issues Committee, No Formal Position

Sep 13, 2011 Academic Cabinet, Recommends Defeat

No. 2011-65 ELIGIBILITY -- TWO-YEAR COLLEGE TRANSFERS -- YEAR OF ACADEMIC READINESS AT TWO-YEAR COLLEGE

Intent: To establish a year of academic readiness for two-year college transfers, as specified.

A. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.1, as follows:

13.1.1 Contactable Individuals.

[13.1.1.1 unchanged.]

13.1.1.2 Two-Year College Prospective Student-Athletes. A prospective student-athlete who was not a qualifier as defined in Bylaw 14.02.11.1 and who is enrolled in the first year of a two-year college may not be contacted in person on or off an institution's campus for recruiting

purposes. **A year of academic readiness (see Bylaw 14.2.2.6) shall not be considered a completed academic year for this purpose. A prospective student-athlete enrolled in a year of academic readiness may not be contacted in person on or off an institution's campus.**

[Remainder of 13.1.1 unchanged.]

B. Bylaws: Amend 13.6.2.3, as follows:

13.6.2.3 Post-High School Visits. The one-visit limitation and the limitations on total official visits apply separately to the period in which the prospective student-athlete is in high school and to the period beginning October 15 following the prospective student-athlete's completion of high school. Thus, a prospective student-athlete may be provided a maximum of 10 official visits -- five while in high school and five beginning with the October 15 following the prospective student-athlete's completion of high school. A prospective student-athlete is not required to graduate from high school in order to receive a permissible post-high school visit. [D]

13.6.2.3.1 Nonqualifier in First Year. A person who is not a qualifier and who is enrolled at a two-year college may not be provided an expense-paid visit to a member institution until he or she has completed an academic year at a two-year college. **A year of academic readiness (see Bylaw 14.2.2.6) shall not be considered a completed academic year for this purpose. A prospective student-athlete enrolled in a year of academic readiness may not be provided an expense-paid visit to a member institution.**

[13.6.2.3.2 unchanged.]

C. Bylaws: Amend 14.2.2, as follows:

14.2.2 Additional Applications of the Five-Year Rule.

[14.2.2.1 through 14.2.2.5 unchanged.]

14.2.2.6 Delay of Five Year Rule -- Two-Year College Transfers -- Year of Academic Readiness. A student-athlete's eligibility under the five-year rule shall not begin while the student is enrolled in his or her first academic year of a minimum full-time program of studies at a two-year college, provided all the following conditions are met:

(a) The student-athlete fulfills the requirements of a year of academic readiness at the two-year college;

(b) The student-athlete shall have spent a minimum of five full-time semesters/ seven full-time quarters in residence at the two-year college. Such enrollment shall occur in consecutive terms; and

(c) The student-athlete shall not engage in more than two seasons of competition in any one sport at the certifying institution.

14.2.2.6.1 Year of Academic Readiness. A student-athlete shall fulfill a year of academic readiness if all the following conditions are met:

(a) The student-athlete has registered with the NCAA Eligibility Center and has been certified as a nonqualifier;

(b) The student-athlete shall enroll in the year of academic readiness during the student-athlete's initial year of collegiate enrollment;

(c) The student-athlete and the two-year college have confirmed the student-athlete's enrollment in the year of academic readiness with the NCAA Eligibility Center;

(d) The student-athlete completes two semesters/three quarters of consecutive full-time enrollment at the two-year college; and

(e) The student-athlete does not engage in outside competition.

14.2.2.6.2 Multiple-Two Year Colleges. If a student-athlete has been in residence at two or more two-year colleges, the terms of residence at all two-year colleges may be combined to satisfy the residence requirement.

D. Bylaws: Amend 14.4.3, as follows:

14.4.3 Eligibility for Competition.

14.4.3.1 Fulfillment of Credit-Hour Requirements. Eligibility for competition shall be determined based on satisfactory completion of at least:

[14.4.3.1-(a) through 14.4.3.1-(c) unchanged.]

[14.4.3.1.1 unchanged.]

14.4.3.1.2 Transfer. To be eligible for competition, a transfer student-athlete must meet the following credit-hour requirements based on attendance at the previous institution(s) for the specified time and may use any hours of academic credit earned at any collegiate institution:

[14.4.3.1.2-(a) through 14.4.3.1.2-(d) unchanged.]

[14.4.3.1.2.1 unchanged.]

14.4.3.1.2.2 Exception -- Year of Academic Readiness. A year of academic readiness shall be exempt from the application of Bylaw 14.4.3.1.2 (see Bylaw 14.2.2.6).

[14.4.3.1.3 through 14.4.3.1.6 unchanged.]

14.4.3.1.7 Designation of Degree Program. A student-athlete shall designate a program of studies leading toward a specific baccalaureate degree at the certifying institution prior to participating in competition that occurs during or immediately before the third year of enrollment (fifth semester or seventh quarter) and thereafter shall make progress toward that specific degree. This provision shall be applicable to the eligibility not only of a continuing student, but also of a transfer student from a four-year or two-year collegiate institution who is entering his or her third year of collegiate enrollment, even if the student has not yet completed an academic year in residence or used a season of eligibility in a sport at the certifying institution. Designation of a specific baccalaureate degree program may be accomplished by: [D]

[14.4.3.1.7-(a) through 14.4.3.1.7-(b) unchanged.]

[14.4.3.1.7.1 through 14.4.3.1.7.2 unchanged.]

14.4.3.1.7.3 Exception -- Year of Academic Readiness. A year of academic readiness shall be exempt from the application of Bylaw 14.4.3.1.2 (see Bylaw 14.2.2.6).

[14.4.3.1.8 unchanged.]

14.4.3.2 Fulfillment of Percentage of Degree Requirements. A student-athlete who is entering his or her third year of collegiate enrollment shall have completed successfully at least 40 percent of the course requirements in the student's specific degree program. A student-athlete who is entering his or her fourth year of collegiate enrollment shall have completed successfully at least 60 percent of the course requirements in the student's specific degree program. A student-athlete who is entering his or her fifth year of collegiate enrollment shall have completed successfully at least 80 percent of the course requirements in the student's specific degree program. The course requirements must be in the student's specific degree program (as opposed to the student's major).

[14.4.3.2.1 unchanged.]

14.4.3.2.2 Application of Rule to Transfer Student. A student-athlete who is entering his or her third year of collegiate enrollment shall have completed successfully at least 40 percent of the course requirements in the student's specific degree program. A student-athlete who is entering his or her fourth year of collegiate enrollment shall have completed successfully at least 60 percent of the course requirements in the student's specific degree program. A student-athlete who is entering his or her fifth year of collegiate enrollment shall have completed successfully at least 80 percent of the course requirements in the student's specific degree program. The course requirements must be in the student's specific degree program (as opposed to the student's major).

[14.4.3.2.2.1 unchanged.]

14.4.3.2.2.2 Exception -- Year of Academic Readiness. A year of academic readiness shall be exempt from the application of Bylaw 14.4.3.2 (see Bylaw 14.2.2.6).

[14.4.3.2.3 through 14.4.3.2.4 unchanged.]

14.4.3.3 Fulfillment of Minimum Grade-Point Average Requirements. A student-athlete who is entering his or her second year of collegiate enrollment shall present a cumulative minimum grade-point average (based on a maximum 4.000) that equals at least 90 percent of the institution's overall cumulative grade-point average required for graduation. A student-athlete who is entering his or her third year of collegiate enrollment shall present a cumulative minimum grade-point average (based on a maximum of 4.000) that equals 95 percent of the institution's overall cumulative minimum grade-point average required for graduation. A student-athlete who is entering his or her fourth or later year of collegiate enrollment shall present a cumulative minimum grade-point average (based on a maximum of 4.000) that equals 100 percent of the institution's overall cumulative grade-point average required for graduation. If the institution does not have an overall grade-point average required for graduation, it is permissible to use the lowest grade-point average required for any of the institution's degree programs in determining the cumulative minimum grade-point average. The minimum grade-point average must be computed pursuant to institutional policies applicable to all students.

14.4.3.3.1 Application of Rule to Transfer Student. The provisions of Bylaw 14.4.3.3 shall be applicable to the eligibility of a transfer student from a two-year or four-year collegiate institution who has completed an academic term in residence at the certifying institution. A student-athlete who attends the certifying institution as a full-time student, transfers to another institution and later returns to the original institution is immediately subject to the provisions of Bylaw 14.4.3.3 on re-enrollment.

14.4.3.3.1.1 Exception -- Year of Academic Readiness. A year of academic readiness shall be exempt from the application of Bylaw 14.4.3.2 (see Bylaw 14.2.2.6).

[Remainder of 14.4.3 unchanged.]

E. Bylaws: Amend 15.3.1, as follows:

15.3.1 Eligibility of Student-Athletes for Financial Aid. Institutional financial aid may be awarded for any term during which a student-athlete is in regular attendance as an undergraduate with eligibility remaining under Bylaw 14.2, ~~or~~ within six years after initial enrollment in a collegiate institution (provided the student does not receive such aid for more than five years during that period), **within seven years after initial enrollment in a collegiate institution for a two-year college transfer who completes a year of academic readiness pursuant to Bylaw 14.2.2.6 (provided the student does not receive such aid for more than six years during the period),** or as a graduate eligible under Bylaw 14.1.9.

Source: NCAA Division I Academic Cabinet

Effective Date: August 1, 2012, for student-athletes initially enrolling full time at a collegiate institution on or after August 1, 2012.

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Eligibility

Rationale: This proposal represents an effort to assist students who are graduating from high school without foundational academic skills to succeed academically at four-year collegiate institutions. Evidence of current enrollment patterns at two-year institutions indicate part-time and often, self-funded efforts by student-athletes in order to engage in remedial coursework. This proposal provides additional time for underprepared student-athletes to gain the academic fundamentals necessary to ultimately succeed at a four-year college. Specifically, the year of academic readiness provides the opportunity for student-athletes who need remediation with the means to obtain the academic foundation by allowing for access to aid (federal and athletics); not triggering their NCAA period of eligibility or progress-toward-degree standards; and appropriately emphasizing an academic focus. The proposal notes the current educational shift toward remediation/foundational learning skill development occurring at the two-year level, since many NCAA institutions do not offer remedial courses. Further, the proposal allows for relative ease of administration and the potential for academic development on an individualized basis that could lead to more academic options upon transfer.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): Provides an opportunity for underprepared student-athletes to gain the academic foundation needed to be academically successful at a four-year institution and graduate.

Position Statement(s)

Committee on Academic Performance: The committee took no formal position. Although the committee is generally supportive of the academic year of readiness, the committee believes further membership discussion is useful.

Men's Basketball Issues Committee: The committee took no formal position, but supports the concept of standards that will better prepare men's basketball student-athletes to succeed academically at a Division I institution. The committee is concerned as to whether prospective student-athletes would avail themselves of this option and whether there are potential issues with the application of the proposal that may not be readily discernible at this time.

History:

Jun 10, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Jun 28, 2011 Academic Cabinet, Sponsored

Aug 29, 2011 Men's Basketball Issues Committee, No Formal Position

Sep 13, 2011 Academic Cabinet, Modified the Proposal Modified the effective date from August 1, 2013, for student-athletes initially enrolling full time at a collegiate institution on or after August 1, 2013 to August 1, 2012, for student-athletes initially enrolling full time at a collegiate institution on or after August 1, 2012.

Oct 25, 2011 Committee on Academic Performance, No Formal Position

No. 2011-66 ELIGIBILITY -- SEASONS OF COMPETITION -- MINIMUM AMOUNT OF COMPETITION -- EXCEPTION -- NONCHAMPIONSHIP SEGMENT COMPETITION -- SOFTBALL

Intent: In softball, to permit a student-athlete to compete in an institution's non-championship segment without using a season of competition, as specified.

Bylaws: Amend 14.2.3.1, as follows:

14.2.3.1 Minimum Amount of Competition. Any competition, regardless of time, during a season in an intercollegiate sport shall be counted as a season of competition in that sport, except as provided in Bylaws 14.2.3.1.1, 14.2.3.1.2, 14.2.3.1.3 and 14.2.3.1.4. This provision is applicable to intercollegiate athletics competition conducted by a two-year or four-year collegiate institution at the varsity or subvarsity level.

[14.2.3.1.1 through 14.2.3.1.2 unchanged.]

14.2.3.1.3 Exception -- Nonchampionship Segment Competition -- Softball. In softball, a student-athlete may engage in intercollegiate competition during the segment of the playing season that does not conclude with the NCAA championship without using a

season of competition, under the following conditions:

(a) The student-athlete is in her initial year of full-time enrollment at the certifying institution and has not previously been enrolled full-time at another collegiate institution;

(b) The student-athlete is a transfer student-athlete in her initial year of full-time enrollment at the certifying institution and was academically eligible for competition during the previous academic year at her previous institution during the segment of the playing season that concluded with the applicable governing body's (e.g., NJCAA, NCAA) softball championship; or

(c) The student-athlete is a continuing student and was academically eligible during the segment of the playing season that concluded with the NCAA championship during the previous academic year.

[14.2.3.1.3 through 14.2.3.1.4 renumbered as 14.2.3.1.4 through 14.2.3.1.5, unchanged.]

Source: Big 12 Conference

Effective Date: Immediate; applies retroactively to any student-athlete with eligibility remaining.

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Eligibility

Rationale: This proposal applies the principle of the season of competition exception currently available in women's volleyball, men's and women's soccer, field hockey and men's water polo to softball. Eight contests are permitted during the nonchampionship segment of the softball playing and practice season. The nonchampionship segment is traditionally used as a means of preparing a team for the championship segment. However, because any amount of competition in the fall results in the use of a season of competition, it is difficult to achieve that goal. In many cases, it may be difficult for a coach to adequately assess the status of a student-athlete in the fall without placing her in actual competition. Currently, if a student-athlete competes in the fall, she uses a season of competition regardless of whether it is determined that she is not ready for competition in the championship segment. The limited amount of competition in the nonchampionship segment does not present a significant competitive advantage if student-athletes are allowed to compete without losing a season of competition.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Academic Cabinet: The cabinet opposes the proposal as written. The cabinet supports the application of the concept to all sports for which there is a nonchampionship segment and encourages the sponsor or another entity to sponsor such a proposal in the next legislative cycle.

Women's Softball Committee: The committee supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

History:

Jun 29, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Sep 09, 2011 Women's Softball Committee, Recommends Approval

Sep 13, 2011 Academic Cabinet, Recommends Defeat

No. 2011-67 ELIGIBILITY -- FRESHMAN ACADEMIC REQUIREMENTS -- ADVANCED PLACEMENT -- INTERNATIONAL CERTIFICATION

Intent: To specify that for purposes of fulfilling the advanced placement requirements for initial eligibility, "similar proficiency examination," must be an advanced or higher level, nationally administered proficiency exam with a uniform grading scale that is taken after high school graduation; further, to specify that an institution shall use the NCAA Eligibility Center to certify the eligibility of an international student-athlete pursuant to the advanced placement requirements.

Bylaws: Amend 14.3.5.4, as follows:

14.3.5.4 Advanced Placement. If the student-athlete is admitted with a minimum of 24-semester hours or a minimum of 36-quarter hours of advanced placement from a College Entrance Examination Board (CEEB) examination (or from a similar proficiency examination) and/or concurrent high school/college credit without previous enrollment at a collegiate institution, the student-athlete shall be immediately eligible. Credits earned from extension or summer-session courses may not be counted in satisfaction of this requirement. **A "similar proficiency examination," must be an advanced or higher level, nationally administered proficiency exam with a uniform grading scale that is taken after high school graduation.**

14.3.5.4.1 International Certification. An institution shall use the NCAA Eligibility Center to determine whether a "similar proficiency examination" taken by an international student-athlete is an advanced or higher level, nationally administered proficiency exam with a uniform grading scale that is taken after high school graduation. In addition, the Eligibility Center shall certify the eligibility of an international student-athlete based on the number of advanced placement hours accepted by the certifying institution.

Source: West Coast Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Eligibility

Rationale: This proposal codifies an International Student Records Committee definition of a "similar proficiency examination" to ensure consistent application of the legislation in determining freshman eligibility. The proposed legislation will not impact institutional autonomy for admitting and/or accepting a prospective student-athlete's advanced placement credit; however, the proposal does require the NCAA Eligibility Center to determine if an international prospect may be immediately eligible based on "similar proficiency examination" credit. Given the varied and unique international proficiency examinations, the expertise and experience of the NCAA Eligibility Center will ensure that there is a consistent certification process of international student-athletes who take such examinations.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Academic Cabinet: The cabinet opposes the proposal. The cabinet does not see a compelling reason to legislate the definition of a "similar proficiency examination" at this time. The cabinet notes the benefit of continuing to allow institutions to determine the initial eligibility of an international student-athlete who presents a "similar proficiency examination."

History:

Jul 15, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Sep 13, 2011 Academic Cabinet, Recommends Defeat

No. 2011-68 ELIGIBILITY -- PROGRESS-TOWARD-DEGREE REQUIREMENTS -- ELIGIBILITY FOR COMPETITION -- FULFILLMENT OF CREDIT-HOUR REQUIREMENTS -- ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS -- FOOTBALL -- EXCEPTION -- TEAM ACADEMIC PROGRESS RATE

Intent: In football, to specify that a student-athlete shall not be subject to the eligibility penalty for failure to successfully complete at least nine-semester hours or eight-quarter hours of academic credit during the fall term and earn the Academic Progress Rate eligibility point for the fall term, provided the institution's Academic Progress Rate for football is 965 or higher as of the first day of classes of the fall term in which the penalty would otherwise apply.

Bylaws: Amend 14.4.3.1.6, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS and FCS, divided vote]

14.4.3.1.6 Additional Requirements -- Football. In football, a student-athlete who is a member of the institution's football team and who does not successfully complete at least nine-semester hours or eight-quarter hours of academic credit during the fall term and earn the Academic Progress Rate eligibility point for the fall term shall not be eligible to compete in the first four contests against outside competition in the following playing season.

[14.4.3.1.6.1 through 14.4.3.1.6.2 unchanged.]

14.4.3.1.6.3 Exception -- Team Academic Progress Rate. A student-athlete shall not be subject to the eligibility penalty in Bylaw 14.4.3.1.6, provided the institution's Academic Progress Rate for football is 965 or higher as of the first day of classes of the fall term in which the penalty would otherwise apply.

Source: Big East Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Eligibility

Rationale: The intent of additional academic requirements for football student-athletes is to help ensure that they progress toward a degree and, ultimately, graduate. Several institutions have achieved an exceptional football team Academic Progress Rate, thus demonstrating success in assisting football student-athletes toward graduation. An Academic Progress Rate score of 965 represents, approximately, a Graduation Success Rate in football of 75 percent. If an institution achieves such a score, its student-athletes should not be subject to eligibility penalties beyond those currently in effect.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Academic Cabinet: The cabinet opposes the proposal. The cabinet notes the importance of maintaining a level of ineligibility as a means to facilitate behavior change during the fall term.

Committee on Academic Performance: The committee opposes the proposal. The committee notes the importance of maintaining a reasonable standard for ineligibility as a means to facilitate behavior change for individual football student-athletes during the fall term. The committee also continues to support the work of the Football Academic Working Group and noted the original concept derived from the group's work was recently adopted and has yet to be fully implemented. It would be prudent to evaluate the impact of the adopted legislation prior to changing it.

Football Issues Committee: The committee opposes the proposal. The FBS members of the committee, in their opposition of the proposal, note that an individual student-athlete should not have his penalties mitigated because of the academic performance of the rest of the team.

History:

Jul 14, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Sep 06, 2011 Football Issues Committee, Recommends Defeat

Sep 13, 2011 Academic Cabinet, Recommends Defeat

Oct 25, 2011 Committee on Academic Performance, Recommends Defeat

No. 2011-71 ELIGIBILITY -- TRANSFER REGULATIONS -- FOUR-YEAR COLLEGE TRANSFERS -- ONE-TIME TRANSFER EXCEPTION -- WOMEN'S ICE HOCKEY

Intent: To specify that the one-time transfer exception to the four-year transfer residence requirement shall not be applicable to student-athletes in women's ice hockey.

Bylaws: Amend 14.5.5.2.10, as follows:

14.5.5.2.10 One-Time Transfer Exception. The student transfers to the certifying institution from another four-year collegiate institution, and all of the following conditions are met (for graduate students, see Bylaw 14.1.9.1):

(a) The student is a participant in a sport other than baseball, basketball, bowl subdivision football or ~~*men's*~~ ice hockey at the institution to which the student is transferring. A participant in

championship subdivision football at the institution to which the student is transferring may use this exception only if the participant transferred to the certifying institution from an institution that sponsors bowl subdivision football and has two or more seasons of competition remaining in football or the participant transfers from a Football Championship Subdivision institution that offers athletically related financial aid in football to a Football Championship Subdivision institution that does not offer athletically related financial aid in football;

[Remainder of 14.5.5.2.10 unchanged.]

Source: Big Ten Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2012; applicable to student-athletes who enroll full time at the certifying institution in a regular academic term as transfer students on or after August 1, 2012.

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Eligibility

Rationale: The occurrence of student-athletes transferring in women's ice hockey is increasing each year. Men's hockey student-athletes currently are precluded from using the one-time transfer exception and three of the four women's ice hockey conferences have adopted similar intra-conference transfer rules whereby student-athletes that transfer within the conference must spend a year in residence prior to being eligible to compete. The American Women's Hockey Coaches Association supports the proposal.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Academic Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

Committee on Women's Athletics: The committee opposes the proposal. The proposal is contrary to student-athlete well-being and a student-athlete's opportunity to transfer one-time in her athletic career should not be limited, provided she has received the release from her previous institution and is academically eligible to compete. No data is presented in the sponsor's rationale that indicates that women's ice hockey student-athletes are underperforming academically as a result of transferring or that this is truly detrimental to the ongoing development of the sport. A student-athlete, particularly a female student-athlete, should have the opportunity to transfer and compete without having to serve a transfer residence requirement, one time in his or her career, especially in a sport in which few opportunities to transfer exist.

Women's Ice Hockey Committee: The committee supports the proposal and believes that the value of the one-time transfer exception has been exhausted. While it made sense during the formative years of women's ice hockey, there is now a solid foundation and thriving membership that should adhere to the same rules as other sports. This proposal should force both the coaching body and prospective student-athletes alike to place more importance on the recruiting process, hopefully ensuring a more appropriate "fit" for all parties involved.

History:

Jul 10, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Aug 31, 2011 Women's Ice Hockey Committee, Recommends Approval

Sep 13, 2011 Academic Cabinet, Recommends Approval

Oct 12, 2011 Committee on Women's Athletics, Recommends Defeat

No. 2011-72 ELIGIBILITY -- OUTSIDE COMPETITION -- EXCEPTION -- USA FENCING NATIONAL CHAMPIONSHIPS

Intent: In fencing, to specify that a student-athlete may compete during the academic year as a member of a USA Fencing member club team at the USA Fencing National Championships.

Bylaws: Amend 14.7.1, as follows:

14.7.1 Outside Competition -- Sports Other Than Basketball. A student-athlete in any sport other than basketball who participates during the academic year as a member of any outside team in any noncollegiate, amateur competition becomes ineligible for intercollegiate competition unless eligibility is restored by the Committee on Student-Athlete Reinstatement. A student-athlete is permitted to practice on such a team.

[14.7.1.1 through 14.7.1.4 unchanged.]

14.7.1.5 Exception -- USA Fencing National Championships. In fencing, a student-athlete may compete during the academic year as a member of a USA Fencing member club team in the USA Fencing National Championships.

[14.7.1.5 through 14.7.1.8 renumbered as 14.7.1.6 through 14.7.1.9, unchanged.]

Source: The Ivy League

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Eligibility

Rationale: The annual USA Fencing National Championships typically occur in July. Occasionally, however, the event has been moved from July to April to accommodate the Pan American Zonal Championships, the Olympics or other international competition. USA Fencing has indicated that the shift to April is only likely to occur in Olympic years in the future in order to accommodate Olympic trials and competition. Although there are individual events that take place during the course of this event, many student-athletes may not be able to attend if they are not permitted to compete on behalf of their USA Fencing club teams. The student-athletes are already attending this event for the individual competition, so no additional class time would be missed.

Estimated Budget Impact: Potential cost savings since costs could be covered by club teams.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Academic Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

Men's and Women's Fencing Committee: The committee opposes the proposal. The committee questions whether a cost savings could result through payment by a club team rather than the institution. The financial element is problematic since some clubs and/or club coaches are tied to specific collegiate programs.

History:

Jul 14, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Sep 08, 2011 Men's and Women's Fencing Committee, Recommends Defeat

Sep 13, 2011 Academic Cabinet, Recommends Approval

Financial Aid

No. 2011-73 FINANCIAL AID AND AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- TRAINING-TABLE MEALS -- ONE MEAL PER DAY -- STUDENT-ATHLETES NOT RECEIVING FULL BOARD -- FOOTBALL

Intent: In bowl subdivision football, to permit an institution to provide one training-table meal per day to a student-athlete who does not receive athletically related financial aid; further, in championship subdivision football, to permit an institution to provide one training-table meal per day to a student-athlete who does not receive athletically related financial aid that covers the full cost of board; finally, to specify that the provision of the one training-table meal per day to such a student-athlete shall not be considered financial aid.

A. Bylaws: Amend 15.2.2, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS and FCS, divided vote]

15.2.2 Room and Board. An institution may provide a student-athlete financial aid that includes the cost of room and board, based on the official allowance for a room as listed in the institution's official publication (e.g., catalog) and a board allowance that consists of three meals per day, even if the institution's maximum permissible award allowance for all students represents a lesser cost figure.

[15.2.2.1 unchanged.]

[15.2.2.1.1 through 15.2.2.1.5 unchanged.]

15.2.2.1.6 Training-Table Meals. The cost of meals provided on the institution's training table shall be deducted from a student-athlete's board allowance, even if the student-athlete is not receiving a full grant-in-aid. In determining the cost figure to be deducted, the institution may use the actual meal costs listed in the institution's catalog or the average meal costs of its student-athletes living on campus.

15.2.2.1.6.1 Exception -- Student-Athlete Who Receives Less Than Full Board -- Football. In bowl subdivision football, an institution may provide one training-table meal per day to a student-athlete who does not receive athletically related financial aid. In championship subdivision football, an institution may provide one training-table meal per day to a student-athlete who does not receive athletically related

financial aid that covers the full cost of board. The provision of the one training-table meal per day to such a student-athlete shall not be considered financial aid.

[Remainder of 15.2.2 unchanged.]

B. Bylaws: Amend 16.5.2, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS and FCS, divided vote]

16.5.2 Permissible. Identified housing and meal benefits incidental to a student's participation in intercollegiate athletics that may be financed by the institution are:

[16.5.2-(a) through 16.5.2-(b) unchanged.]

(c) Training Table Meals. An institution may provide only one training table meal per day to a student-athlete during the academic year on those days when regular institutional dining facilities are open (see Bylaw 15.2.2.1.6). A student-athlete who does not receive institutional athletically related financial aid covering the full cost of board, including a walk-on or partial scholarship recipient, may purchase one training table meal per day at the same rate that the institution deducts from the board allowance of student-athletes who receive athletically related financial aid covering board costs pursuant to Bylaw 15.2.2.1.6.

(1) Training Table Meals -- Student-Athlete Who Receives Less Than Full Board -- Football. In bowl subdivision football, a student-athlete who does not receive institutional athletically related financial aid (walk-on) may receive one training-table meal per day. In championship subdivision football, a student-athlete who does not receive institutionally related financial aid covering the full cost of board (walk-on or partial scholarship recipient) may receive one training-table meal per day. The provision of the one training-table meal per day to such a student-athlete shall not be considered financial aid.

[16.5.2-(d) through 16.5.2-(h) unchanged.]

Source: Big East Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Financial Aid

Rationale: Under current legislation, a bowl subdivision football student-athlete who does not receive institutional athletically related financial aid or a championship subdivision football student-athlete who does not receive athletics aid covering the full cost of board may only eat a training-table meal with the team if the student-athlete purchases the meal. Many such student-athletes are not able to afford a training-table meal. As a result, these student-athletes often feel as though they are not part of the team, even though they participate in other team-related activities. In the spirit of student-athlete well-being, an institution should be allowed to provide these student-athletes with one training-table meal per day, without affecting the student-athletes' counter status.

Estimated Budget Impact: Varies by institution, depending on the number of student-athletes eligible to receive the benefit.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet: The cabinet opposes the proposal. Except in specific, legislated circumstances, meals are considered an element of financial aid. The cabinet is also concerned that the proposal is specific to football.

Committee on Women's Athletics: The committee opposes the proposal. Permitting an institution to provide training table meals to a student-athlete, regardless of whether the student-athlete is on financial aid or not is a benefit that should be made available to all student-athletes, not just football student-athletes. Training table meals are seldom provided on a gender-neutral basis. This proposal may exacerbate the disparate treatment of female student-athletes.

Football Issues Committee: The committee supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

History:

Jun 24, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Sep 06, 2011 Football Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

Sep 19, 2011 Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet, Recommends Defeat

Oct 12, 2011 Committee on Women's Athletics, Recommends Defeat

No. 2011-74 FINANCIAL AID -- SUMMER FINANCIAL AID -- ENROLLED STUDENT-ATHLETES -- PROPORTIONALITY RESTRICTION -- EXHAUSTED ELIGIBILITY EXCEPTION

Intent: To specify that the summer aid proportionality restriction shall not apply to a student-athlete who has exhausted his or her eligibility and is enrolled in course work acceptable toward his or her degree requirements.

Bylaws: Amend 15.2.8.1.2, as follows:

15.2.8.1.2 Enrolled Student-Athletes. After initial full-time enrollment during a regular academic year, a student-athlete shall not receive athletically related financial aid to attend the certifying institution's summer term or summer school unless the student-athlete received such athletically related aid from the certifying institution during the student-athlete's previous academic year at that institution. Further, such aid may be awarded only in proportion to the amount of athletically related financial aid received by the student-athlete during the student-athlete's previous academic year at the certifying institution~~*, except that this*~~**. The** proportionality restriction shall not apply to a student-athlete who has exhausted his or her eligibility and is enrolled in ~~*a summer program of studies that will permit the student-athlete to complete*~~ **course work acceptable toward** his or her degree requirements.

Source: Big 12 Conference

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Financial Aid

Rationale: Currently, a student-athlete is subject to the summer aid proportionality restriction unless he or she has exhausted eligibility and will complete his or her degree requirements in the summer. The current legislation does not account for situations in which a student-athlete who has exhausted intercollegiate eligibility is unable to enroll in all courses necessary to earn his or her degree in the summer due to limited course availability and/or degree-sequencing issues. This proposal would remove the requirement that the student-athlete must graduate at the conclusion of the summer term. This legislative change promotes student-athlete well-being by providing an additional opportunity for a student-athlete to receive the maximum allowable athletics aid and expedite degree completion. In addition, the proposal helps institutions' Academic Progress Rates and/or Graduation Success Rates by further enabling student-athletes to graduate within five years of initial enrollment.

Estimated Budget Impact: Minimal increase in summer athletically-related aid awarded. Potential decrease in regular academic year aid awarded.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Academic Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

History:

Jun 30, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Sep 13, 2011 Academic Cabinet, Recommends Approval

Sep 19, 2011 Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-75 FINANCIAL AID -- SUMMER FINANCIAL AID -- PRIOR TO INITIAL FULL-TIME ENROLLMENT -- FOOTBALL -- COUNTER FOR THE ENSUING ACADEMIC YEAR

Intent: In football, to specify that a prospective student-athlete who receives athletically related financial aid during a summer term prior to initial full-time enrollment at the certifying institution shall be an initial and overall counter for the ensuing academic year.

Bylaws: Amend 15.5.1.9, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS and FCS, divided vote]

15.5.1.9 Summer-Term Aid. Institutional financial aid received during a summer term is not countable in these limitations and does not make a student-athlete a counter.

15.5.1.9.1 Exception -- Football. In football, a prospective student-athlete who receives athletically related financial aid during a summer term prior to initial full-time enrollment at the certifying institution shall be an initial and overall counter for the ensuing academic year. (See Bylaws 15.02.3 and 15.5.6.)

Source: Southeastern Conference

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Financial Aid

Rationale: Athletically related financial aid awarded to a prospective student-athlete to attend summer school prior to initial enrollment has become commonplace. Currently, there are no limitations on the number of prospective student-athletes who may receive such aid. It is appropriate to help ensure that resources are properly managed by limiting the awarding of summer-term aid to those prospective student-athletes who will receive athletics aid on their initial full-time enrollment in the regular academic term immediately following the summer school session.

Estimated Budget Impact: Anticipated cost savings for institutions that have awarded summer athletics aid to more prospective student-athletes than the limit on initial counters in previous years.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

Football Issues Committee: The committee supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

History:

Jul 08, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Sep 06, 2011 Football Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

Sep 19, 2011 Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-76 FINANCIAL AID -- MAXIMUM INSTITUTIONAL GRANT-IN-AID LIMITATIONS BY SPORT -- EQUIVALENCY COMPUTATIONS

Intent: To specify that in calculating equivalencies, an institution may use either the actual or average amount received by the student-athlete as the numerator and either the actual full grant-in-aid value for the student-athlete or the average amount of a full grant-in-aid for all students at the institution as the denominator.

Bylaws: Amend 15.5.3.2, as follows:

15.5.3.2 Equivalency Computations. In equivalency sports, each institutional financial aid award (per Bylaw 15.02.4.1) to a counter shall be computed as follows:

[15.5.3.2-(a) unchanged.]

(b) A fraction shall be created, with **either** the **actual or average** amount received by the student-athlete (up to the value of a full grant-in-aid) as the numerator and **either** the **actual** full grant-in-aid value for that student-athlete **or the average amount of a full grant-in-aid for all students at the institution** as the denominator ~~*based on the actual cost or average cost of a full grant for all students at that institution*~~. Financial aid unrelated to athletics ability (see Bylaw 15.1) received by the student-athlete in excess of a full grant-in-aid shall not be included in this computation.

[15.5.3.2-(c) unchanged.]

15.5.3.2.1 Additional Requirements. The following additional requirements shall apply to equivalency computations:

(a) An institution may use either the actual cost or average cost of any or all of the elements (other than books) of the equivalency calculation (room, board, tuition and fees)~~*, provided the same method is used in both the numerator and denominator for each element. Either method (or different combinations of methods among elements) may be used for each student-athlete on the same team or for separate teams generally*~~.

(b) In computing equivalencies for tuition and fees, it is not permissible to average the value of in-state and out-of-state tuition and fees to determine an average cost for tuition and fees.

(c) Books shall count for calculation purposes as $800 in the denominator. If a student-athlete receives any portion of a book allowance for the academic year, the institution must use $800 in the denominator and numerator for books, regardless of the actual cost of the books. If a student-athlete enrolls midyear (e.g., second semester, second or third quarter) and receives any portion of a book allowance, the institution must use the amount in the numerator that is proportionate to the number of terms of enrollment ($400 for semester systems, $534 or $267 for quarter systems).

[Remainder of 15.5.3.2 unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Financial Aid

Rationale: This proposal would allow an institution the flexibility to award equivalencies to the maximum benefit of its student-athletes. Currently, the legislation allows use of the actual amount received by the student-athlete as the numerator and the average amount of a full grant-in-aid for all students as the denominator only if the institution awards a lump sum amount and only if the institution does not have a policy requiring payment in a specific order (e.g., hierarchy of elements). This application can create a competitive advantage based solely on an institution's accounting practices. This proposal allows all institutions the flexibility to use the actual or average amount in the numerator and denominator regardless of institutional policies and regardless of how the institution awards aid (element, percentage, lump sum).

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

History:

Jun 03, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Jun 21, 2011 Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet, Sponsored

No. 2011-77 FINANCIAL AID -- MAXIMUM INSTITUTIONAL GRANT-IN-AID LIMITATIONS BY SPORT -- BASEBALL LIMITATIONS -- MINIMUM EQUIVALENCY VALUE -- EXCEPTION -- FINAL YEAR OF ELIGIBILITY AND NOT PREVIOUSLY AIDED

Intent: In baseball, to specify that an institution may provide less than 25 percent of an equivalency to a student-athlete, provided the student-athlete is in the final year of eligibility and has not previously received athletically related financial aid in baseball.

Bylaws: Amend 15.5.4, as follows:

15.5.4 Baseball Limitations. There shall be an annual limit of 11.7 on the value of financial aid awards (equivalencies) to counters and an annual limit of 27 on the total number of counters in baseball at each institution.

15.5.4.1 Minimum Equivalency Value. An institution shall provide each counter athletically related and other countable financial aid that is equal to or greater than 25 percent of an equivalency.

[15.5.4.1.1 unchanged.]

15.5.4.1.2 Exception -- Final Year of Eligibility and Not Previously Aided. An institution may provide less than 25 percent of an equivalency to a student-athlete, provided the student-athlete is in the final year of eligibility and has not previously received athletically related financial aid in baseball.

Source: Big South Conference and Southern Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Financial Aid

Rationale: This exception would give institutions the opportunity to reward the hard work and commitment of a nonscholarship student-athlete by providing the student with athletically related financial aid during the last season of eligibility, even though it may be below the generally required 25 percent value. By restricting the exception to a student-athlete in the final season of eligibility, the purpose of the minimum equivalency requirement remains intact. It is unlikely that a coach will "run off" a student-athlete who would have no eligibility remaining. Instead, a program that does not have 27 counters may have less than 25 percent of an equivalency remaining. Such a program could use it to provide a reduced amount of financial aid to student-athlete who had not previously received athletics aid. Restricting the exception to a student-athlete who has not

previously received athletically related financial aid eliminates the possibility of reducing the financial aid of a student-athlete, who has received the aid in previous years, below 25 percent during the student's final year of eligibility.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

Baseball Committee: The committee supports the proposal. The committee suggests that the sponsor consider a modification to eliminate the requirement that the student-athlete has not previously received athletically related aid in baseball. The committee agreed that such a modification would impact more student-athletes positively and would not detract from the original intent of the 25% requirement.

History:

Jul 10, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Sep 09, 2011 Baseball Committee, Recommends Approval

Sep 19, 2011 Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet, Recommends Approval

Awards, Benefits and Expenses

No. 2011-78 AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- HOUSING AND MEALS -- FRUIT, NUTS AND BAGELS -- BAGEL SPREADS

Intent: To permit an institution to provide bagel spreads (e.g., butter, peanut butter, jelly, cream cheese) with bagels it may provide to a student-athlete at any time.

Bylaws: Amend 16.5.2, as follows:

16.5.2 Permissible. Identified housing and meal benefits incidental to a student's participation in intercollegiate athletics that may be financed by the institution are:

[16.5.2-(a) through 16.5.2-(g) unchanged.]

(h) Fruit, Nuts and Bagels. An institution may provide fruit, nuts and bagels **[including bagel spreads (e.g., butter, peanut butter, jelly, cream cheese)]** to a student-athlete at any time.

Source: Big East Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Awards, Benefits and Expenses

Rationale: Beginning with the 2009-10 academic year, institutions have been permitted to provide fruit, nuts and bagels to student-athletes at any time. This proposal seeks to make a reasonable

accommodation in allowing an institution to provide traditional bagel spreads to student-athletes in conjunction with the bagels it is already permitted to provide.

Estimated Budget Impact: Will vary by amounts and types of spreads provided.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet: The cabinet took no position. The cabinet is concerned about the enforceability of the proposal and notes that Bylaw 16 is under review by a working group that was formed as a result of the recent Presidential Retreat. Consequently, the cabinet recommends that the Legislative Council table this proposal pending the review.

History:

Jun 24, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Sep 19, 2011 Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet, No Formal Position

No. 2011-79 AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- EXPENSES FOR STUDENT-ATHLETE'S FRIENDS AND RELATIVES -- PERMISSIBLE EXPENSES -- LIFE-THREATENING INJURY OR ILLNESS -- EXPENSES FOR ANY STUDENT-ATHLETE

Intent: To specify that the institution may pay transportation, housing and meal expenses for any student-athlete to be present in situations in which a student-athlete or a family member or legal guardian of a student-athlete suffers a life-threatening injury or illness, or in the event of a student-athlete's or student-athlete's family member or legal guardian's death.

Bylaws: Amend 16.6.1.3, as follows:

16.6.1.3 Life-Threatening Injury or Illness. The institution may pay transportation, housing and meal expenses for **a student-athlete's** parents (or legal guardians) and ~~*the*~~ spouse**,** ~~*of a student-athlete*~~ and ~~*for the student-athlete's teammates*~~ **any other student-athlete** to be present in situations in which a student-athlete suffers a life-threatening injury or illness, or, in the event of a student-athlete's death, to provide these expenses in conjunction with funeral arrangements.

16.6.1.3.1 Family Member of Student-Athlete. An institution may pay transportation, housing and meal expenses for a student-athlete's spouse~~,~~ **and** children**,** and ~~*teammates*~~ **any other student-athlete** to be present in situations in which a family member or legal guardian of the student-athlete suffers a life-threatening injury or illness or, in the event of a family member's or legal guardian's death, to provide the student-athlete's spouse~~,~~ **and** children**,** and ~~*teammates*~~ **any other student-athlete** with such expenses in conjunction with funeral arrangements.

Source: NCAA Division I Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Awards, Benefits and Expenses

Rationale: Current legislation limits an institution to providing actual and necessary expenses to a student-athlete's teammates in conjunction with situations in which the student-athlete or his or her family suffers a life-threatening injury or illness or death. An institution's student-athletes often are a close-knit group and, as a result, other student-athletes may be as close to the affected student-athlete as his or her teammates. Therefore, an institution should have the discretion to decide whether the provision of expenses is appropriate in such situations.

Estimated Budget Impact: Additional costs if expenses are provided to more student-athletes.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): Minimal.

Position Statement(s)

History:

Feb 04, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Feb 23, 2011 Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet, Sponsored

Sep 19, 2011 Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet, Modified the Proposal Effective date modified from August 1, 2012 to immediate.

No. 2011-80-A AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- EXPENSES PROVIDED BY THE INSTITUTION FOR PRACTICE AND COMPETITION -- NATIONAL TEAM TRYOUTS -- NOT MORE THAN TWO EVENTS

Intent: To permit an institution to provide actual and necessary expenses for a student-athlete to participate in not more than two national team tryout competition events, including events from which participants are selected for another tier of tryout competition or events from which final selections are made for the national team that will participate in the Olympic Games, Pan American Games, World Championships, World Cup or World University Games.

Bylaws: Amend 16.8.1.3, as follows:

16.8.1.3 Other Competition. During an academic year in which a student-athlete is eligible to represent an institution in athletics competition (or in the following summer), an institution may provide actual and necessary expenses related to participation in the following activities:

[16.8.1.3-(a) through 16.8.1.3-(b) unchanged.]

(c) ~~*One*~~ **Not more than two** national team tryout competition ~~*event*~~ **events, including events** from which participants are selected **for another tier of tryout competition or events from which final selections are made** for the national team that will participate in the Olympic Games, Pan American Games, World Championships, World Cup or World University Games. ~~*Although national team participants may be selected from multiple tryout events for a particular listed competitive event, an institution may provide expenses for only one such tryout event for each of the listed competitive events.*~~

Source: NCAA Division I Championships/Sports Management Cabinet (Olympic Sports Liaison Committee)

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Awards, Benefits and Expenses

Rationale: Current legislation permits an institution to provide actual and necessary expenses for a student-athlete to participate in only one national team tryout event. However, the national team selection process for many sports includes more than one round (tier) of tryout events, which may take place at different sites and on different dates. As a result, a student-athlete who is successful in the first tier may be required to incur the financial burden to continue through the additional tiers or withdraw from the tryout process prior to reaching the final tryout. This proposal would allow an institution to pay for a student-athlete to participate in a second (or later) round in the selection process. In addition, there are other sports that provide more than one opportunity in which a student-athlete may compete in an effort to advance in the selection process if the student-athlete fails to advance from the first round (tier). This proposal would allow an institution to pay for a student-athlete to participate in a second event on the same tier (if the student-athlete failed to advance from the first event). This proposal would allow student athletes greater access to the current selection processes for international competition.

Estimated Budget Impact: Institutions with eligible student-athletes may bear the expense of additional national team tryouts.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): Varies.

Position Statement(s)

Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet: The cabinet opposes the proposal as written. The cabinet recommends that the sponsor modify the proposal to have an immediate effective date. The cabinet would support the proposal, if modified. If the sponsor does not support the suggested modification, the cabinet will sponsor an alternative proposal. The cabinet agrees with the sponsor's rationale, but believes an immediate effective date is more appropriate because it will allow student-athletes to access these benefits during the 2011-12 academic year.

Men's and Women's Fencing Committee: The committee supports the proposal, but would prefer no limit on the number of events.

History:

May 05, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

May 12, 2011 Olympic Sports Liaison Committee, Recommends Approval

Jun 24, 2011 Championships/Sports Management Cabinet, Sponsored

Sep 08, 2011 Men's and Women's Fencing Committee, Recommends Approval

Sep 19, 2011 Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet, Recommends Defeat

Oct 25, 2011 Proposal renumbered as Proposal No. 2011-80-A. An alternative is Proposal No. 2011-80-B.

No. 2011-80-B AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- EXPENSES PROVIDED BY THE INSTITUTION FOR PRACTICE AND COMPETITION -- NATIONAL TEAM TRYOUTS -- NOT MORE THAN TWO EVENTS -- IMMEDIATE EFFECTIVE DATE

Intent: To permit an institution to provide actual and necessary expenses for a student-athlete to participate in not more than two national team tryout competition events, including events from which participants are selected for another tier of tryout competition or events from which final selections are made for the national team that will participate in the Olympic Games, Pan American Games, World Championships, World Cup or World University Games.

Bylaws: Amend 16.8.1.3, as follows:

16.8.1.3 Other Competition. During an academic year in which a student-athlete is eligible to represent an institution in athletics competition (or in the following summer), an institution may provide actual and necessary expenses related to participation in the following activities: **[R]**

[16.8.1.3-(a) through 16.8.1.3-(b) unchanged.]

(c) ~~*One*~~ **Not more than two** national team tryout competition ~~*event*~~ **events, including events** from which participants are selected **for another tier of tryout competition or events from which final selections are made** for the national team that will participate in the Olympic Games, Pan American Games, World Championships, World Cup or World University Games. ~~*Although national team participants may be selected from multiple tryout events for a particular listed competitive event, an institution may provide expenses for only one such tryout event for each of the listed competitive events.*~~

Source: NCAA Division I Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Awards, Benefits and Expenses

Rationale: Current legislation permits an institution to provide actual and necessary expenses for a student-athlete to participate in only one national team tryout event. However, the national team selection process for many sports includes more than one round (tier) of tryout events, which may take place at different sites and on different dates. As a result, a student-athlete who is successful in the first tier may be required to incur the financial burden to continue through the additional tiers or withdraw from the tryout process prior to reaching the final tryout. This proposal would allow an institution to pay for a student-athlete to participate in a second (or later) round in the selection process. In addition, there are other sports that provide more than one opportunity in which a student-athlete may compete in an effort to advance in the selection process if the student-athlete fails to advance from the first round (tier). This proposal would allow an institution to pay for a student-athlete to participate in a second event on the same tier (if the student-athlete failed to advance from the first event). This proposal would allow student-athletes greater access to the current selection processes for international competition.

Estimated Budget Impact: Institutions with eligible student-athletes may bear the expense of additional national team tryouts.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): Varies.

Position Statement(s)

History:

Sep 19, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Sep 19, 2011 Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet, Sponsored Sponsored as an alternative to Proposal No. 2011-80-A.

No. 2011-81 AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- OTHER TRAVEL EXPENSES PROVIDED BY THE INSTITUTION -- CONFERENCE-SPONSORED LIFE SKILLS PROGRAM

Intent: To permit an institution or conference to pay actual and necessary expenses for a student-athlete to attend a conference-sponsored life skills program (e.g., leadership, personal development, conflict resolution).

Bylaws: Amend 16.9, as follows:

16.9 OTHER TRAVEL EXPENSES PROVIDED BY THE INSTITUTION

16.9.1 Permissible Travel Expenses Not Related to Practice or Competition. It is permissible for an institution to provide the following travel expenses not related to practice or competition: [R]

[16.9.1-(a) through 16.9.1-(d) unchanged.]

(e) Conference-Sponsored Life Skills Program. An institution or conference may pay actual and necessary expenses for a student-athlete to attend a conference-sponsored life skills program (e.g., leadership, personal development, conflict resolution).

[16.9.1-(e) relettered as 16.9.1-(f), unchanged.]

Source: Big 12 Conference

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Awards, Benefits and Expenses

Rationale: The requirement that institutions conduct life skills programs is intended to enhance the educational and cultural opportunities for current student-athletes with the goal of increasing the likelihood of academic success and more fully preparing them to contribute to society as productive citizens. In addition, the programs support important student development initiatives and enhance the quality of the student-athlete experience within the university setting. The NCAA Student-Athlete Leadership Forum is conducted annually on a four-region rotation. Permitting a conference to sponsor a life skills program and provide student-athletes with actual and necessary expenses to attend would be consistent with the NCAA Student-Athlete Leadership Forum and the intent of the life skills program requirement. In addition, because the NCAA Student-Athlete Leadership Forum only provides institutions with the opportunity to select student-athletes to attend every four years, a conference-sponsored program would provide more student-athletes with the opportunity to benefit from such programs.

Estimated Budget Impact: Will vary by conference based on the cost of the life skills program and related travel expenses.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): Minimal.

Position Statement(s)

Academic Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and notes the importance of providing more student-athletes with the opportunity to attend life skills programs that support student development initiatives and enhance the quality of the student-athlete experience.

Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

History:

Jul 07, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Sep 13, 2011 Academic Cabinet, Recommends Approval

Sep 19, 2011 Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-82 AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- PROVISION OF EXPENSES BY INDIVIDUALS OR ORGANIZATIONS OTHER THAN THE INSTITUTION -- BENEFITS, GIFTS, AND SERVICES -- MISCELLANEOUS BENEFITS -- FUNDRAISERS FOR STUDENT-ATHLETES OR IMMEDIATE FAMILY MEMBERS

Intent: To specify that proceeds from fundraisers for student-athletes (or their immediate families) due to extreme circumstances beyond the student-athlete's control (e.g., life-threatening illness, natural disaster) may be given directly to the beneficiaries, with receipt kept on file by the institution, which must include the amount of expenses incurred and the total amount received.

Bylaws: Amend 16.11.1.12, as follows:

16.11.1.12 Miscellaneous Benefits. An institution may provide or arrange for the following benefits for a student-athlete:

[16.11.1.12-(a) through 16.11.1.12-(f) unchanged.]

(g) Fundraisers for student-athletes (or their immediate family members) under the following extreme circumstances:

(1) Extreme circumstances should be extraordinary in the result of events beyond the student-athlete's control (e.g., life-threatening illness, natural disaster);

(2) The proceeds must be designated for a specific purpose (e.g., payment of medical bills, purchase of medical equipment, replacement of items lost in a fire, etc.)

(3) The proceeds may ~~*not*~~ be given directly to the beneficiaries, ~~*but must be disbursed through or paid directly to another entity,*~~ with receipt kept on file by the institution**, which must include the amount of expenses incurred and the total amount received**; and

(4) The excess proceeds must be given to a not-for-profit organization with the receipt kept on file by the institution.

[16.11.1.12-(h) unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Awards, Benefits and Expenses

Rationale: Allowing the proceeds of fundraisers for extreme circumstances to be provided directly to the beneficiaries to cover specific expenses reduces the burden placed on institutions, student-athletes and families of student-athletes. Requiring the institution to maintain receipts for the expenses and proceeds provided, and maintaining the other requirements of the current legislation will address concerns about potential abuse.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

History:

Feb 04, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Feb 23, 2011 Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet, Sponsored

Sep 19, 2011 Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet, Modified the Proposal Effective date modified from August 1, 2012 to immediate.

Playing and Practice Seasons

No. 2011-83 PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- BASEBALL -- MAXIMUM NUMBER OF CONTESTS -- 52

Intent: In baseball, to reduce, from 56 to 52, the limitation on the maximum number of contests with outside competition.

Bylaws: Amend 17.2.5, as follows:

17.2.5 Number of Contests.

17.2.5.1 Maximum Limitations -- Institutional. A member institution shall limit its total playing schedule with outside competition in baseball during the institution's baseball playing season to ~~*56*~~ **52** contests (games and scrimmages), except for those contests excluded under Bylaws 17.4.5.3 and 17.4.5.4.

[17.2.5.1.1 unchanged.]

17.2.5.2 Maximum Limitations -- Student-Athlete. An individual student-athlete may participate in each academic year in not more than ~~*56*~~ **52** baseball contests (this limitation includes those

contests in which the student represents the institution in accordance with Bylaw 17.02.8, including competition as a member of the varsity, junior varsity or freshman team of the institution).

[Remainder of 17.2.5 unchanged.]

Source: West Coast Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Playing and Practice Seasons

Rationale: A four game reduction to the maximum number of contests in baseball would provide more flexibility and would help to limit the number of mid-week games. As a result, missed class time would be curtailed. Although institutions are not required to participate in the maximum permissible number of games, there is a reluctance to schedule fewer than the maximum contests due to the potential effect on consideration for postseason participation. Further, this proposal provides additional date options to reschedule canceled contests due to inclement weather. Finally, a reduction in the maximum number of contests would prioritize the well-being of baseball student-athletes in an effort to create better balance between academics and athletics.

Estimated Budget Impact: Decrease in costs for travel and/or game related expenses; less revenue from ticket sales.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): Reduction in missed class time and in time spent in game related activities and/or travel.

Position Statement(s)

Baseball Committee: The committee opposes the proposal. The committee recognizes that missed class time in baseball is a concern. However, the sport has taken significant steps to enhance the academic progress of student-athletes and those steps have paid off in improved academic progress and retention. Thus, the committee does not believe it is necessary to reduce the maximum number of contests. The committee encourages each institution to decide whether it is in its best interest to play fewer than 56 contests. The committee also notes that there does not appear to be any significant impact on a team's RPI by playing 52 rather than 56 games in a season. The decision to play fewer than 56 games should remain an institutional or conference decision rather than a national mandate. Finally, the committee commits to reviewing data and engaging in additional discussion on the topic of missed class time for baseball student-athletes in the upcoming year.

Championships/Sports Management Cabinet: The cabinet opposes the proposal. The cabinet acknowledges the significance of the issue of missed class time, but notes that baseball has taken significant steps to enhance the academic progress of student-athletes and that individual institutions may choose to play fewer games if they deem it appropriate.

History:

Jul 15, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Sep 09, 2011 Baseball Committee, Recommends Defeat

No. 2011-84 PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- BASKETBALL -- PRESEASON PRACTICE -- ON-COURT PRACTICE -- ELIMINATION OF 5 P.M. START TIME ON FIRST PERMISSIBLE PRACTICE DATE

Intent: In basketball, to eliminate the 5 p.m. start time on the first permissible practice date.

A. Bylaws: Amend 17.3.2.1, as follows:

17.3.2.1 Men's Basketball. An institution shall not commence on-court preseason basketball practice sessions before ~~*5 p.m. on*~~ the Friday nearest October 15 (see Figure 17-2).

B. Bylaws: Amend 17.3.2.2, as follows:

17.3.2.2 Women's Basketball. An institution shall not commence on-court preseason basketball practice sessions before ~~*5 p.m. on*~~ the date that is 40 days before the date of the institution's first regular-season contest. An institution shall not engage in more than 30 days of countable athletically related activities before its first regular-season contest.

Source: NCAA Division I Championships/Sports Management Cabinet (Women's Basketball Issues Committee)

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Playing and Practice Seasons

Rationale: With the recent change to the start date for preseason on-court practice in women's basketball (40 days before the date of the institution's first regular season contest), there is no longer a need to specify that practice may not begin before 5 p.m. on the first day of practice. The 5 p.m. start time was significant when all institutions began practice on the same date and celebratory events were tied to the country-wide start of basketball practice. The preseason on-court practice formula is now based on the institution's first regular season basketball contest, thereby resulting in a different start date for different institutions. Eliminating the specific start time will allow for greater flexibility in scheduling facilities. Student-athletes will continue to be prohibited from missing class for practice. The Women's Basketball Coaches Association initiated and supports this change. Having a consistent start time for preseason practice in men's and women's basketball eases the burden of monitoring compliance with the preseason practice on-court practice legislation. Further, if Proposal No. 2011-85 is adopted, both sports will use the same preseason practice model, further enhancing the benefit of having the sports use the same start time on the first permissible practice date.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

History:

May 05, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

May 12, 2011 Women's Basketball Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

Jun 24, 2011 Championships/Sports Management Cabinet, Sponsored

Sep 14, 2011 Championships/Sports Management Cabinet, Modified the Proposal Modified to apply to men's and women's basketball. Previously applied only to women's basketball.

No. 2011-85 PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- MEN'S BASKETBALL -- PRESEASON PRACTICE -- ON-COURT PRACTICE -- 30 DAYS OF COUNTABLE ACTIVITIES WITHIN 40 DAYS PRIOR TO FIRST CONTEST

Intent: In men's basketball, to specify that an institution shall not commence on-court preseason basketball practice sessions prior to 5 p.m. on the date that is 40 days prior to the date of the institution's first regular-season contest; further, to specify that an institution shall not engage in more than 30 days of countable athletically related activities prior to its first regular-season contest.

Bylaws: Amend 17.3.2, as follows:

17.3.2 Preseason Practice -- On-Court Practice.

17.3.2.1 ~~*Men's*~~ Basketball. ~~*An institution shall not commence on-court preseason basketball practice sessions before 5 p.m. on the Friday nearest October 15 (see Figure 17-2).*~~

~~*17.3.2.2 Women's Basketball.*~~ An institution shall not commence on-court preseason basketball practice sessions before 5 p.m. on the date that is 40 days before the date of the institution's first regular-season contest. An institution shall not engage in more than 30 days of countable athletically related activities before its first regular-season contest.

[17.3.2.3 through 17.3.2.4 renumbered as 17.3.2.2 through 17.3.2.3, unchanged.]

Source: Big South Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Playing and Practice Seasons

Rationale: The most recent modification to the first permissible contest date in men's basketball reduced the number of preseason practice opportunities by up to seven days. This proposal allows the number of practice days in men's basketball to remain at a maximum of 30, which is generally consistent with the number of practice opportunities permitted prior to the adoption of the current legislation. Additionally, this proposed flexible preseason practice schedule permits coaches to best use practice and off days to benefit student-athletes prior to the first contest. While the existing preseason practice schedule essentially dictates that practice must occur during every possible day, the flexible approach offered in this proposal provides each coach with the ability to determine when to use the practice opportunities depending on the team's needs and the academic calendar. For example, a coach may provide the team with days off to study for midterm

exams, to take advantage of fall vacation periods or to recover from injuries.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): On-court practice will begin on an earlier date, but additional days off will be included during the preseason practice period.

Position Statement(s)

Championships/Sports Management Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale. The cabinet prefers that the men's and women's basketball preseason practice model be consistent relative to practice windows (i.e., 30 days within 40-day window) and start times (i.e., elimination of 5 p.m. start time).

Men's Basketball Issues Committee: The committee opposes the proposal. The committee is concerned about the impact of the proposal on the flow/continuity of preparation for the regular season and notes the potential for an increase in inadvertent violations during the 10 days off. Further, the committee notes support for the current rule, which adequately meets the needs of the coaches while providing sufficient breaks from countable athletically related activities for student-athletes.

History:

Jul 10, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Aug 29, 2011 Men's Basketball Issues Committee, Recommends Defeat

Sep 14, 2011 Championships/Sports Management Cabinet, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-86 PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL -- FIRST PERMISSIBLE CONTEST DATE -- TUESDAY BEFORE THE SECOND FRIDAY OF NOVEMBER

Intent: In women's basketball, to specify that an institution shall not play its first contest (game or scrimmage) with outside competition in women's basketball prior to the Tuesday before the second Friday of November.

Bylaws: Amend 17.3.3, as follows:

17.3.3 First Contest.

17.3.3.1 Men's Basketball. An institution shall not play its first contest (game or scrimmage) with outside competition in **men's** basketball prior to the second Friday of November (see Figure 17-2).

17.3.3.2 Women's Basketball. An institution shall not play its first contest (game or scrimmage) with outside competition in women's basketball prior to the Tuesday before the second Friday of November (see Figure 17-2).

17.3.3.~~1~~**3** Exceptions. The following basketball contests (games or scrimmages) are permitted prior to the first contest dates specified under ~~*Bylaw*~~ **Bylaws** 17.3.3**.1 and 17.3.3.2**:

[Remainder of 17.3.3 unchanged.]

Source: Southeastern Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Playing and Practice Seasons

Rationale: As restrictions increase that prohibit contests during institutional final examination periods, institutions are finding it difficult to schedule games in December. This modification would reduce the number of missed contest opportunities by allowing at least one additional contest in November, outside examination periods. Additionally, this proposal would create an opportunity for institutions to take advantage of nationally televised games in January and February (e.g., Rivalry Week, February Frenzy, etc.), which have been restricted due to the expansion of conference schedules. Notably, revising the first contest date will permit institutions to initiate regular season competition in men's basketball and women's basketball on separate dates, which is expected to increase the attention paid to each program.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): The date preseason practice could begin would occur three days earlier than permitted under existing legislation, but the number of practices permitted would not change.

Position Statement(s)

Championships/Sports Management Cabinet: The cabinet opposes the proposal. The proposal would lengthen a student-athlete's time of exposure to competition. Differences in final examination schedules make it impractical to change the first contest date with the goal of avoiding conflicts with final examinations.

Women's Basketball Issues Committee: The committee opposes the proposal and is concerned that this approach would lengthen the playing season.

History:

Jul 08, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Aug 19, 2011 Women's Basketball Issues Committee, Recommends Defeat

Sep 14, 2011 Championships/Sports Management Cabinet, Recommends Defeat

No. 2011-87 PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- FOOTBALL -- NUMBER OF CONTESTS -- ANNUAL EXEMPTIONS -- COLLEGE FOOTBALL INVITATIONAL

Intent: In football, to specify that one contest played in a college football invitational event is exempt from the maximum number of football contests, as specified

Bylaws: Amend 17.9.5.2, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS and FCS, divided vote]

17.9.5.2 Annual Exemptions. The maximum number of football contests shall exclude the following:

[17.9.5.2-(a) through 17.9.5.2-(c) unchanged.]

(d) College Football Invitational. One contest played as part of a college football invitational event. This provision does not preclude an institution from participating in such an event and a postseason football opportunity (e.g., bowl game, NCAA championship) during the same season. A college football invitational event is one in which:

(i) Participation is limited to not more than four institutions. A participating institution shall be a member of a conference that does not conduct a championship game that is exempted from the maximum number of contests per Bylaw 17.9.5.2-(c) or a conference that does not conduct a conference tournament per Bylaw 17.9.5.2-(e);

(ii) Participation is limited to not more than one institution per conference;

(iii) The event occurs during the final two weeks of the regular playing and practice season and includes not more than one contest per institution; and

(iv) The participating institutions are not selected until the end of the week prior to the start of the competition;

[17.9.5.2-(d) through 17.9.5.2-(l) relettered as 17.9.5.2-(e) through 17.9.5.2-(m), unchanged.]

Source: Sun Belt Conference

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Playing and Practice Seasons

Rationale: Current legislation requires that a conference include 12 or more members in order to exempt a conference championship game from the maximum number of contests. This 12-team requirement has been the impetus behind multiple rounds of conference realignment over the past 10 years. The lack of an opportunity to exempt a conference championship game limits the opportunities for many institutions to increase revenue to fund broad-based athletics departments in a time of economic uncertainty. This proposal will promote competitive equity and provide an additional source of revenue, thus helping to further conference stability. Conferences with 12 or more members would be permitted to choose between conducting a conference championship game and participation in an invitational event. Finally, an exemption for a college football invitational contest would provide student-athletes with an opportunity to experience an event similar to a conference championship game.

Estimated Budget Impact: Actual and necessary expenses associated with contests. Potential for increased revenue from additional contests.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): Time associated with additional practice, game preparation, travel and competition.

Position Statement(s)

Championships/Sports Management Cabinet: The cabinet opposes the proposal. Members of the cabinet who represent both football subdivisions oppose the proposal. Cabinet members representing FCS institutions expressed concerns with institutions finding a reasonable date on which to participate in an additional contest.

Football Issues Committee: The committee took no position.

History:

Jul 14, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Sep 06, 2011 Football Issues Committee, No Formal Position

Sep 14, 2011 Championships/Sports Management Cabinet, Recommends Defeat

No. 2011-88 PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- GOLF -- PRESEASON PRACTICE AND FIRST DATE OF COMPETITION -- EXCEPTION -- TOPY CUP

Intent: In golf, to specify that an institution selected to participate in the Topy Cup may commence practice sessions five days before the practice round of the event and that the institution may participate in the competition before the legislated date for the first date of competition.

Bylaws: Amend 17.10, as follows:

17.10 GOLF
Regulations for computing the golf playing season are set forth in Bylaw 17.1. (See Figure 17-1 and Figure 17-2.)

[17.10.1 unchanged.]

17.10.2 Preseason Practice. A member institution shall not commence practice sessions in golf prior to September 7 or the institution's first day of classes for the fall term, whichever is earlier.

[17.10.2.1 unchanged.]

17.10.2.2 Exception -- Topy Cup. An institution selected to participate in the Topy Cup may commence practice sessions five days before the practice round of the event.

17.10.3 First Date of Competition. A member institution shall not engage in its first date of competition (match or practice match) with outside competition in golf prior to September 7 or the institution's first day of classes for the fall term, whichever is earlier.

[17.10.3.1 unchanged.]

17.10.3.2 Exception -- Topy Cup. An institution may participate in the Topy Cup before the date specified for the first date of competition in Bylaw 17.10.3.

[Remainder of 17.10 unchanged.]

Source: Big West Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Playing and Practice Seasons

Rationale: The Topy Cup is a prestigious intercollegiate golf event in Japan that is traditionally held in early September. Since the Topy Cup historically begins prior to September 7, institutions that have not started classes (typically institutions that operate on the quarter system) are precluded from participating in this event unless a legislative relief waiver is granted. Waivers have been granted to allow institutions to participate in 2006, 2007, 2010 and 2011. The Legislative Council Subcommittee for Legislative Relief has recommended that the membership address the issue legislatively. This change would provide a reasonable solution to allow participation in this international event without the need to request a waiver. Participation in the Topy Cup is a rare and unique cultural experience. Student-athletes attending institutions that operate on the quarter system who participate pursuant to this exception would not miss any class time since their classes typically start in late September. Finally, no competitive advantage would be gained since practice for the event and competition typically begins only a few days prior to the permissible start date, and the practice and competition count toward the 144-day season.

Estimated Budget Impact: Minimal. Tournament expenses are paid by the tournament committee. Potential additional expenses related to earlier practice.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Championships/Sports Management Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

Men's Golf Committee: The committee supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

Women's Golf Committee: The committee supports the proposal. Playing in the Topy Cup is an educational experience for student athletes and there should be exceptions made to the playing and practice season if an institution is selected to participate.

History:

Jun 27, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Sep 07, 2011 Women's Golf Committee, Recommends Approval

Sep 08, 2011 Men's Golf Committee, Recommends Approval

Sep 14, 2011 Championships/Sports Management Cabinet, Recommends Approval

Committees

No. 2011-89 COMMITTEES -- ASSOCIATION-WIDE COMMITTEES -- RULES COMMITTEES WITHOUT CHAMPIONSHIPS ADMINISTRATION RESPONSIBILITIES -- SWIMMING AND DIVING, TRACK AND FIELD AND WRESTLING

Intent: To establish a separate Men's and Women's Swimming and Diving Rules Committee, a separate Men's and Women's Track and Field Rules Committee and a separate Wrestling Rules Committee without championships administration responsibilities, as specified; further, to establish

a Division I Men's and Women's Swimming and Diving Committee, a Division I Men's and Women's Track and Field Committee and a Division I Wrestling Committee, as specified.

A. Bylaws: Amend 21.3, as follows:

[Common provision, all divisions, divided vote]

21.3 ASSOCIATION-WIDE COMMITTEES -- RULES COMMITTEES WITHOUT CHAMPIONSHIPS ADMINISTRATION RESPONSIBILITIES

[21.3.1 through 21.3.10 unchanged.]

21.3.11 Swimming and Diving Rules Committee, Men's and Women's. The Men's and Women's Swimming and Diving Rules Committee shall consist of nine members and shall be constituted as follows:

(a) Four members shall be from Division I, two members shall be from Division II, two members shall be from Division III and an additional member shall be a secretary-rules editor; and

(b) One member shall be elected chair.

21.3.12 Track and Field Rules Committee, Men's and Women's. The Men's and Women's Track and Field Rules Committee shall consist of nine members and shall be constituted as follows:

(a) Four members shall be from Division I, two members shall be from Division II, two members shall be from Division III and an additional member shall be a secretary-rules editor; and

(b) One member shall be elected chair.

[21.3.11 renumbered as 21.3.13]

21.3.14 Wresting Rules Committee. The Wrestling Rules Committee shall consist of nine members and shall be constituted as follows:

(a) Four members shall be from Division I, two members shall be from Division II, two members shall be from Division III and an additional member shall be a secretary-rules editor; and

(b) One member shall be elected chair.

B. Bylaws: Amend 21.4, as follows:

[Common provision, all divisions, divided vote]

21.4 COMMON COMMITTEES -- COMMITTEES WITH PLAYING RULES AND CHAMPIONSHIPS ADMINISTRATION RESPONSIBILITIES

[21.4.1 through 21.4.4 unchanged.]

~~*21.4.5 Swimming and Diving Committee, Men's and Women's. The Men's and Women's Swimming and Diving Committee shall consist of 21 members and shall be constituted as follows:*~~

~~(a) There shall be at least two representatives from Districts 1 and 2; two representatives from District 3; two representatives from District 4; one representative from Districts 5, 6 and 7; and one representative from District 8;~~

~~(b) Eight members shall be from Division I and shall compose a Division I subcommittee. Four members of the subcommittee shall represent men's swimming interests and four members shall represent women's swimming interests, including three positions allocated for men, three allocated for women and two unallocated;~~

~~(c) Four members shall be from Division II and shall compose a Division II subcommittee. Two members of the subcommittee shall represent men's swimming interests and two members shall represent women's swimming interests, including one position allocated for a man, one allocated for a woman and two unallocated;~~

~~(d) Four members shall be from Division III and shall compose a Division III subcommittee. Two members of the subcommittee shall represent men's swimming and diving interests and two members shall represent women's swimming and diving interests, including one position allocated for a man, one allocated for a woman and two unallocated;~~

~~(e) An additional four members shall represent diving interests, two representing men's diving and two representing women's diving. Two shall be Division I representatives, one shall be a Division II representative, and one shall be a Division III representative; and~~

~~(f) An additional member shall be secretary-rules editor.~~

~~21.4.6 Track and Field Committee, Men's and Women's. The Men's and Women's Track and Field Committee shall consist of 25 members and shall be constituted as follows:~~

~~(a) There shall be at least four representatives from each of the following four geographical regions: Districts 1 and 2; District 3; Districts 4 and 5; and Districts 6, 7 and 8;~~

~~(b) Twelve members shall be from Division I and shall compose a Division I subcommittee. Six members of the subcommittee shall represent men's track and field interests and six members shall represent women's track and field interests, including four positions allocated for men, four allocated for women and four unallocated;~~

~~(c) Six members shall be from Division II and shall compose a Division II subcommittee. Three members of the subcommittee shall represent men's track and field interests and three members shall represent women's track and field interests, including two positions allocated for men, two allocated for women and two unallocated;~~

~~(d) Six members shall be from Division III and shall compose a Division III subcommittee. Three members of the subcommittee shall represent men's track and field interests and three members shall represent women's track and field interests, including two positions allocated for men, two allocated for women and two unallocated;~~

~~(e) An additional member shall be secretary-rules editor; and~~

~~(f) A rules-editing subcommittee shall have five members, including two members appointed by the Division I subcommittee, one member each appointed by the Division II and Division III subcommittees, and the secretary-rules editor. The rules-editing subcommittee shall formulate playing rules subject to the approval of the full committee.~~

[21.4.7 through 21.4.8 renumbered as 21.4.5 through 21.4.6, unchanged.]

~~*21.4.9 Wrestling Committee. The Wrestling Committee shall consist of 13 members and shall be constituted as follows:*~~

~~*(a) There shall be at least one representative from District 3 and at least two representatives from each of the following three geographical regions: Districts 1 and 2; Districts 4 and 5; and Districts 6, 7 and 8;*~~

~~*(b) Six members shall be from Division I, three members shall be from Division II and three members shall be from Division III; and*~~

~~*(c) An additional member shall be secretary-rules editor.*~~

C. Bylaws: Amend 21.7.5.5.5.3, as follows:

21.7.5.5.5.3 Committees with Championships Administration and Sports Issues Responsibilities.

[21.7.5.5.5.3.1 through 21.7.5.5.5.3.21 unchanged.]

21.7.5.5.5.3.22 Men's and Women's Swimming and Diving Committee. The Men's and Women's Swimming and Diving Committee shall consist of 10 members. Four members of the committee shall represent men's swimming interests and four members shall represent women's swimming interests, including three positions allocated for men, three allocated for women and two unallocated. An additional two members shall represent diving interests, one representing men's diving and one representing women's diving.

[21.7.5.5.5.3.22 renumbered as 21.7.5.5.5.3.23, unchanged.]

21.7.5.5.5.3.24 Men's and Women's Track and Field Committee. The Men's and Women's Track and Field Committee shall consist of 12 members. Six members of the committee shall represent men's track and field interests and six members shall represent women's track and field interests, including four positions allocated for men, four allocated for women and four unallocated.

[21.7.5.5.5.3.23 through 21.7.5.5.5.3.24 renumbered as 21.7.5.5.5.3.25 through 21.7.5.5.5.3.26, unchanged.]

21.7.5.5.5.3.27 Wrestling Committee. The Wrestling Committee shall consist of six members.

Source: NCAA Division I Championships and Sports Management Cabinet (Men's and Women's Swimming and Diving Committee, Men's and Women's Track and Field Committee, and Wrestling Committee)

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Committees

Rationale: The creation of separate rules-making committees in the specified sports will result in several positive outcomes, including a more focused effort on the part of divisional representatives

to the rules-making process and greater reach among the membership with respect to sport-focused committee composition. In addition, a move to separate rules committees underscores the importance of a rules-making process that addresses the entirety of the competition season. The respective sport committees for Division II and Division III are also in support of this recommendation. The need for regular dialogue between the new rules-making committees and the sports committees will be important to allow for meaningful input in the rules-making process, including the development of rules surveys. For the sports of track and field and cross country, and swimming and diving, as individuals are evaluated in the nominating process, attention should be paid to expertise in the various disciplines within the sport (e.g., diving for swimming and diving; distance running, throws, pole vault, etc. for track and field and cross country).

Estimated Budget Impact: $30,000 ($10,000 per committee). The Rules Committee will conduct one in-person meeting on an annual basis. The expense will be covered through a reallocation within the existing budget.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Administration Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

History:

May 13, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Jun 24, 2011 Championships/Sports Management Cabinet, Sponsored

Sep 29, 2011 Administration Cabinet, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-90 COMMITTEES -- DIVISION I CABINETS AND COMMITTEES -- APPOINTMENT OF COMMITTEES -- CONFERENCE APPOINTMENT FOR REMAINDER OF A TERM -- SPORTS COMMITTEES

Intent: To specify that if a member of a sports committee resigns or is removed from his or her position on the committee, the conference of which the committee member's institution was a member at the time of resignation or removal shall appoint an individual to complete the term of the committee member who resigned or was removed.

Bylaws: Amend 21.7.3.3, as follows:

21.7.3.3 Appointment of Committees. The Administration Cabinet shall make committee appointments. When specified, committees that are subordinate to a cabinet (and their chairs) shall be appointed by that cabinet.

21.7.3.3.1 Conference Appointment for Remainder of a Term -- Sports Committees. If a member of a sports committee (see Bylaw 21.7.5.5.5) resigns or is removed from his or her position on the committee, the conference of which the committee member's institution was a member at the time of resignation or removal shall appoint an individual to complete the term of the committee member who resigned or was removed.

Source: Southland Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Committees

Rationale: The Division I conference landscape has changed significantly in recent years, and changes are likely to continue. When sports committee member's institution changes conference membership, the conference it departs may lose prestigious representation on the committee. Once an institution announces its withdrawal from a conference, the conference may invoke a series of policies restricting the privileges of membership, including NCAA governance representation. The Administration Cabinet, which does not include representatives from all 31 multisport conferences, is not currently required to replace a sports committee member with an individual from the same conference during the remainder of the term. This proposal would allow a multisport conference, not an NCAA cabinet or committee, to control and retain appropriate representation on sports committees. As a result, a multisport conference is not penalized for the proper and appropriate oversight of its NCAA committee representation. Finally, this adjustment would align with the requirements related to the guaranteed governance positions on councils and cabinets afforded to multisport conferences in the Division I governance structure.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Administration Cabinet: The cabinet opposes the proposal. The cabinet notes that current cabinet policies appropriately address situations involving the resignation or removal of a sport committee member, while maintaining necessary flexibility in the replacement process.

History:

Jun 29, 2011 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Sep 29, 2011 Administration Cabinet, Recommends Defeat

Executive Regulations

No. 2011-91 EXECUTIVE REGULATIONS -- DAY OF COMPETITION -- NOON START TIME -- EXCEPTION -- MEN'S GOLF CHAMPIONSHIPS

Intent: In men's golf, to specify that in instances in which the final day of the men's golf championships occurs on a Sunday, competition may begin prior to noon.

Administrative: Amend 31.1.4.4, as follows:

31.1.4.4 Noon Start Time. NCAA competition conducted on Sunday may not begin prior to noon, local time.

31.1.4.4.1 Exception. Sports committees may reschedule competition to begin prior to noon on Sunday if extenuating circumstances arise (e.g., weather) that would affect the health and

safety of the participants or otherwise cause postponement of the championship.

31.1.4.4.2 Exception -- **Men's Golf Championships and** Women's Rowing Championships. In instances in which the final day of the **men's golf or** women's rowing championships occurs on a Sunday, competition may begin prior to noon.

Source: NCAA Division I Championship/Sports Management Cabinet (Men's Golf Committee)

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Executive Regulations

Rationale: The Men's Golf Championships team match is played on a Sunday and takes a minimum of approximately five hours of favorable weather to ensure completion of each individual match within the team match. With the chance for inclement weather being greater in the afternoon, a permanent exception would ensure that the championship is administered most effectively. The Sunday round would likely begin at 10 a.m. Even though an exception is available on an annual basis for extenuating circumstances (e.g., inclement weather), it would be more beneficial to have a consistent start time each year.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): Starting the matches earlier on Sunday may allow student-athletes from the two participating teams to return to campus that same day rather than a day later.

Position Statement(s)

History:

Jul 12, 2010 Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Jul 14, 2010 Men's Golf Committee, Recommends Approval as Noncontroversial Legislation

Sep 15, 2010 Championships/Sports Management Cabinet, Sponsored

Sep 15, 2010 Championships/Sports Management Cabinet, Recommends Approval as Noncontroversial Legislation

Oct 19, 2010 Leg Council Init Review;, Not Supported as Noncontroversial Legislation

Sep 08, 2011 Men's Golf Committee, Recommends Approval

Index - Page Number Order

Proposal Number	Page Number
No. 2011-11	4
No. 2011-12	5
No. 2011-13	7
No. 2011-14	8
No. 2011-15	10
No. 2011-16	12
No. 2011-17	14
No. 2011-18	15
No. 2011-19	18
No. 2011-20	19
No. 2011-21	21
No. 2011-22	22
No. 2011-23	23
No. 2011-24	25
No. 2011-25	27
No. 2011-26	28
No. 2011-27	33
No. 2011-28-A	35
No. 2011-28-B	37
No. 2011-29-A	38
No. 2011-29-B	39
No. 2011-30	40
No. 2011-31	48
No. 2011-32	53
No. 2011-33	54
No. 2011-34	57
No. 2011-37	59
No. 2011-38	62
No. 2011-39	63
No. 2011-40	64
No. 2011-41	66
No. 2011-43	68
No. 2011-44	69

Date Printed: December 1, 2011

Index - Page Number Order

Proposal Number	Page Number
No. 2011-45	71
No. 2011-46	73
No. 2011-47	75
No. 2011-48	76
No. 2011-49	78
No. 2011-50	79
No. 2011-51	81
No. 2011-52	83
No. 2011-53	84
No. 2011-54	85
No. 2011-55	86
No. 2011-56	88
No. 2011-57	91
No. 2011-58	94
No. 2011-60	96
No. 2011-61	97
No. 2011-62	98
No. 2011-63	99
No. 2011-64	101
No. 2011-65	103
No. 2011-66	108
No. 2011-67	110
No. 2011-68	111
No. 2011-71	112
No. 2011-72	114
No. 2011-73	115
No. 2011-74	117
No. 2011-75	118
No. 2011-76	119
No. 2011-77	121
No. 2011-78	122
No. 2011-79	123
No. 2011-80-A	124

Index - Page Number Order

Proposal Number	Page Number
No. 2011-80-B	126
No. 2011-81	127
No. 2011-82	128
No. 2011-83	129
No. 2011-84	131
No. 2011-85	132
No. 2011-86	133
No. 2011-87	134
No. 2011-88	136
No. 2011-89	137
No. 2011-90	141
No. 2011-91	142

Index - Proposal Number Order

Proposal Number	Page Number
No. 2011-11	4
No. 2011-12	5
No. 2011-13	7
No. 2011-14	8
No. 2011-15	10
No. 2011-16	12
No. 2011-17	14
No. 2011-18	15
No. 2011-19	18
No. 2011-20	19
No. 2011-21	21
No. 2011-22	22
No. 2011-23	23
No. 2011-24	25
No. 2011-25	27
No. 2011-26	28
No. 2011-27	33
No. 2011-28-A	35
No. 2011-28-B	37
No. 2011-29-A	38
No. 2011-29-B	39
No. 2011-30	40
No. 2011-31	48
No. 2011-32	53
No. 2011-33	54
No. 2011-34	57
No. 2011-37	59
No. 2011-38	62
No. 2011-39	63
No. 2011-40	64
No. 2011-41	66
No. 2011-43	68
No. 2011-44	69

Date Printed: December 1, 2011

Index - Proposal Number Order

Proposal Number	Page Number
No. 2011-45	71
No. 2011-46	73
No. 2011-47	75
No. 2011-48	76
No. 2011-49	78
No. 2011-50	79
No. 2011-51	81
No. 2011-52	83
No. 2011-53	84
No. 2011-54	85
No. 2011-55	86
No. 2011-56	88
No. 2011-57	91
No. 2011-58	94
No. 2011-60	96
No. 2011-61	97
No. 2011-62	98
No. 2011-63	99
No. 2011-64	101
No. 2011-65	103
No. 2011-66	108
No. 2011-67	110
No. 2011-68	111
No. 2011-71	112
No. 2011-72	114
No. 2011-73	115
No. 2011-74	117
No. 2011-75	118
No. 2011-76	119
No. 2011-77	121
No. 2011-78	122
No. 2011-79	123
No. 2011-80-A	124

Index - Proposal Number Order

Proposal Number	Page Number
No. 2011-80-B	126
No. 2011-81	127
No. 2011-82	128
No. 2011-83	129
No. 2011-84	131
No. 2011-85	132
No. 2011-86	133
No. 2011-87	134
No. 2011-88	136
No. 2011-89	137
No. 2011-90	141
No. 2011-91	142

NCAA Division I Legislative Council
Actions, Preliminary Positions, Points to Consider, Comments and Mootnicity Issues Related to 2011-12 Legislative Cycle Proposals

This attachment describes the details of the Legislative Council's actions, preliminary positions, points to consider and comments, as well as mootnicity issues, related to legislation scheduled for initial consideration in January 2012.

Please note that a position of preliminary support for a proposal reflects that the Legislative Council supported the sponsor's rationale statement and any additional rationale for support expressed in the position statements for the particular proposal. Further, a position of preliminary opposition reflects that it is likely the Legislative Council agreed with opposition expressed in position statements for the particular proposal. If no preliminary position is noted for a particular proposal, the Legislative Council took no position, but noted comments and points for membership consideration in preparation for its initial formal review of the legislation in January 2011. The Legislative Council noted that it may be advisable to table some proposals pending the recommendations of the various Division I collegiate model working groups established as a result of the Division I Presidential Retreat.

Page numbers in the box titled “Proposal Number” correspond with the page number of the proposal as it appears in the Official Notice.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
NCAA Proposal No. 2011-11 Page No. 4	ORGANIZATION -- GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE -- REMOVAL OF BYLAW 21	To specify that the Administration Cabinet shall oversee the administrative functions related to the management of the Division I governance structure and Division I representation on Association-wide and common committees; further, to remove Bylaw 21 from the Division I Manual and specify that policies and procedures related to selection, composition, duties, term of office and operation of committees and cabinets shall be published on the NCAA website.	No position. • Noted the proposal would result in a significant reduction in the size of the manual. • Noted that the NCAA Division I Administration Cabinet would maintain oversight responsibilities over the appointment process, but would have greater flexibility to make changes to elements of a committee [e.g., composition]. • Expressed concerns that the Administration Cabinet does not have representation from each Division I conference. • Noted potential complexity with coordinating changes to Association-wide committees among all divisions.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
Proposal No. 2011-12 Page No. 5	PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- GRADUATE ASSISTANT COACH -- BASKETBALL	In basketball, to permit an institution to employ one graduate assistant coach.	Preliminary recommendation to table in January pending the recommendations of the presidential retreat collegiate model working groups.
Proposal No. 2011-14 Page No. 8	PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- GRADUATE ASSISTANT COACH -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL -- NO PREVIOUS COLLEGIATE OR PROFESSIONAL COACHING EXPERIENCE	In bowl subdivision football, to specify that a graduate assistant coach shall have no previous professional or collegiate football coaching experience as a head or assistant coach.	Preliminary recommendation to table in January pending the recommendations of the presidential retreat collegiate model working groups. The adoption of Proposal No. 2011-14 renders Proposal No. 2011-13 moot.
Proposal No. 2011-13 Page No. 7	PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- GRADUATE ASSISTANT COACH -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL -- NO PREVIOUS FOOTBALL BOWL SUBDIVISION OR PROFESSIONAL COACHING EXPERIENCE	In bowl subdivision football, to specify that a graduate assistant coach must have either received his or her first baccalaureate degree or have exhausted athletics eligibility (whichever occurs later) within the previous seven years; or the individual must not have not previously served as a coach (either on a salaried or volunteer basis) at a Football Bowl Subdivision institution or in a professional football league.	Preliminary recommendation to table in January pending the recommendations of the presidential retreat collegiate model working groups. Proposal No. 2011-13 rendered moot by the adoption of Proposal No. 2011-14.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
Proposal No. 2011-15 Page No. 10	PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- STUDENT ASSISTANT COACH -- FULL-TIME GRADUATE STUDENT WITHIN FIVE-YEAR PERIOD OF ELIGIBILITY	To permit a full-time graduate student within his or her five-year period of eligibility to serve as a student assistant coach, provided he or she meets additional criteria, as specified.	Preliminary support.
Proposal No. 2011-16 Page No. 12	PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT ASSISTANT COACH -- EXCEPTION -- NONPARTICIPANT -- FOOTBALL	To specify that in football, an individual who has neither engaged in intercollegiate football competition for the certifying institution nor engaged in other countable athletically related activities in intercollegiate football beyond a 14-consecutive-day period at the certifying institution may serve as an undergraduate student assistant coach, provided the individual meets the remaining criteria applicable to an undergraduate student assistant coach, as specified; further, to specify that an individual who serves as a undergraduate assistant coach pursuant to the exception shall forfeit any remaining eligibility in football at the certifying institution.	FCS- Preliminary support. Recommended that the sponsor consider modifying the proposal to specify that the individual shall forfeit any remaining eligibility in the sport at the institution at which he or she serves as an undergraduate assistant coach. FBS- No position. • Noted increased opportunities for more students to explore the coaching profession as a possible option. • Expressed some concern regarding unintended consequences of involving outside individuals in the program and resultant decreases in opportunities for student-athletes. • Noted that it may be advisable to table in January pending the recommendations of the presidential retreat collegiate model working groups.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
Proposal No. 2011-17 Page No. 14	PERSONNEL -- CONTRACTUAL AGREEMENTS -- ATHLETICALLY RELATED INCOME -- PART-TIME OR VOLUNTEER STAFF WITH SPORT-SPECIFIC RESPONSIBILITIES	To specify that contractual agreements between a part-time or volunteer athletics department staff member with sport-specific responsibilities and an institution shall include the stipulation that the staff member is required to provide a written detailed account annually to the president or chancellor for all athletically related income and benefits from sources outside the institution.	Preliminary recommendation to table in January pending the recommendations of the presidential retreat collegiate model working groups.
Proposal No. 2011-18 Page No. 15	PERSONNEL AND RECRUITING -- RECRUITING COORDINATION FUNCTIONS -- TELEPHONE CALLS -- RECEIPT OF CALLS FROM PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETES	To eliminate the restriction on the receipt of telephone calls from prospective student-athletes (or prospective student-athletes' parents, legal guardians or coaches) that requires such calls to be received by the head coach or one or more of the assistant coaches who count toward the numerical limitations.	• Support the concept of deregulation of recruiting coordination functions. • Preliminary recommendation to table in January pending the recommendations of the presidential retreat collegiate model working groups.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
Proposal No. 2011-19 Page No. 18	PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON NUMBER OF OFF-CAMPUS RECRUITERS AT ANY ONE TIME -- FOOTBALL -- EXCEPTION -- SPRING EVALUATION PERIOD	In bowl subdivision football, to specify that all nine assistant coaches may evaluate prospective student-athletes at any one time during the spring evaluation period; further, in championship subdivision football, to specify that all 11 coaches may evaluate prospective student-athletes at any one time during the spring evaluation period.	FCS- Preliminary support. FBS- • Support the concept of deregulation of the number of off-campus recruiters “at any one time” (the baton rule). • Preliminary recommendation to table in January pending the recommendations of the presidential retreat collegiate model working groups.
Proposal No. 2011-20 Page No. 19	PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON THE NUMBER AND DUTIES OF COACHES -- OFF-CAMPUS RECRUITING -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL -- NONSCHOLASTIC EVENTS DURING SPRING EVALUATION PERIOD	In women's basketball, to specify that four coaches may evaluate prospective student-athletes at any one time at nonscholastic events during the spring evaluation period.	• Support the concept of deregulation of the number of off-campus recruiters “at any one time” (the baton rule). • Preliminary recommendation to table in January pending the recommendations of the presidential retreat collegiate model working groups.
Proposal No. 2011-21 Page No. 21	PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON NUMBER OF OFF-CAMPUS RECRUITERS AT ANY ONE TIME -- EXCEPTION -- FOOTBALL -- CONTACT PERIOD	In football, to specify that during a contact period, a replaced coach is not required to return to the institution's campus before engaging in additional recruiting activities, provided not more than seven coaches engage in off-campus recruiting activities each day.	FCS- Preliminary support, FBS- • Support the concept of deregulation of the number of off-campus recruiters “at any one time” (the baton rule). • Preliminary recommendation to table in January pending the recommendations of the presidential retreat collegiate model working groups.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
Proposal No. 2011-22 Page No. 22	PERSONNEL -- BENCH PERSONNEL RESTRICTION -- MEN'S BASKETBALL	In men's basketball, to specify that during a contest against outside competition, there shall be a limit of 17 individuals who may occupy the team bench, not including student-athletes who are actively engaged in the competition.	Preliminary recommendation to table in January pending the recommendations of the presidential retreat collegiate model working groups.
Proposal No. 2011-23 Page No. 23	AMATEURISM -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- AGENT	To specify that an agent is any individual who, directly or indirectly, represents or attempts to represent an individual for the purpose of marketing his or her athletics ability or reputation for financial gain, or seeks to obtain any type of financial gain or benefit from securing a prospective student-athlete's enrollment at an educational institution or from a student-athlete's potential earnings as a professional athlete.	Defeated a motion to support the proposal as emergency legislation [75% support required]. Preliminary support.
Proposal No. 2011-24 Page No. 25	AMATEURISM -- AMATEUR STATUS -- EXPENSES FROM A SPONSOR FOR PRACTICE OR COMPETITION IN INDIVIDUAL SPORTS PRIOR TO FULL-TIME COLLEGIATE ENROLLMENT	In individual sports, to specify that, prior to full-time collegiate enrollment, a prospective student-athlete may accept up to actual and necessary expenses associated with an athletics event and practice immediately preceding the event, from a sponsor (e.g., neighbor, business) other than an agent, a member institution or a representative of an institution's athletics interests.	Preliminary support.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
Proposal No. 2011-25 Page No. 27	AMATEURISM -- EXCEPTIONS TO AMATEURISM RULE -- PRIZE MONEY PRIOR TO FULL-TIME COLLEGIATE ENROLLMENT -- TENNIS -- $10,000 PER YEAR	In tennis, to specify that, prior to full-time collegiate enrollment, an individual may accept prize money based on his or her place finish or performance in open athletics events, not to exceed $10,000 per calendar year; further, to specify that once the individual has reached the $10,000 limit, he or she may receive additional prize money on a per-event basis, provided such prize money does not exceed his or her actual and necessary expenses for participation in the event.	Preliminary support.
Proposal No. 2011-26 Page No. 28	AMATEURISM, RECRUITING, ELIGIBILITY AND AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- WORLD UNIVERSITY CHAMPIONSHIPS	To include the World University Championships in all bylaws that apply to the World University Games.	Preliminary support.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
Proposal No. 2011-27 Page No. 33	AMATEURISM AND EXECUTIVE REGULATIONS -- FINANCIAL DONATIONS AND ADVERTISING AND SPONSORSHIP OF INTERCOLLEGIATE EVENTS -- PROFESSIONAL SPORTS ORGANIZATIONS	To specify that a professional sports organization may serve as a financial sponsor of an intercollegiate competition event, including regular season and postseason events, provided the organization is not publicly identified as such; and that a professional sports organization may serve as a financial sponsor of an activity or promotion that is ancillary to the competition event and may be publicly identified as such; further, to eliminate the prohibition on professional sports organizations or personnel as acceptable advertisers in conjunction with NCAA championships.	Preliminary support.
Proposal No. 2011-28-B Page No. 37	RECRUITING -- PERMISSIBLE RECRUITERS -- GENERAL EXCEPTIONS -- ON-CAMPUS CONTACT WITH RELATIVES OF ENROLLED STUDENT-ATHLETES	To specify that on-campus contacts between a prospective student-athlete or his or her relatives (traditional or nontraditional) or legal guardians accompanying the prospective student-athlete and the relatives (traditional or nontraditional) or legal guardians of an enrolled student-athlete shall be permissible.	The adoption of Proposal No. 2011-28-B renders Proposal No. 2011-28-A moot as it relates to the time period for making the contact.
Proposal No. 2011-28-A Page No. 35	RECRUITING -- PERMISSIBLE RECRUITERS -- GENERAL EXCEPTIONS -- PARENTS OF ENROLLED STUDENT-ATHLETES	To specify that contacts between a prospective student-athlete or his or her relatives (traditional or nontraditional) or legal guardians and the relatives (traditional or nontraditional) or legal guardians of an enrolled student-athlete that occur on the day of a regularly scheduled home athletics event shall be permissible.	Sponsor agreed to modify the proposal to expand the application to other family members (traditional and nontraditional) who accompany the prospect on a visit. Preliminary support. Proposal No. 2011-28-A rendered moot by the adoption of Proposal No. 2011-28-B as it relates to the time period for making the contact.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
Proposal No. 2011-29-B Page No. 39	RECRUITING -- PERMISSIBLE RECRUITERS -- STUDENT-ATHLETE -- OFF-CAMPUS CONTACTS	To specify that off-campus, in-person contact between an enrolled student-athlete and a prospective student-athlete is permissible, provided such contact does not occur at the direction of an institutional staff member.	The adoption of Proposal No. 2011-29-B renders Proposal No. 2011-28-A moot.
Proposal No. 2011-29-A Page No. 38	RECRUITING -- PERMISSIBLE RECRUITERS -- STUDENT-ATHLETE -- OFF-CAMPUS CONTACTS DURING AN UNOFFICIAL VISIT	To specify that off-campus, in-person contacts between enrolled student-athletes and a prospective student-athlete are permissible if such contacts do not occur at the direction of a coaching staff member and the prospective student-athlete has notified the institution that he or she is making an unofficial visit.	Sponsored an alternative proposal to specify that any off-campus, in-person contact between an enrolled student-athlete and a prospective student-athlete is permissible under any circumstances, provided the contact does not occur at the direction of an institutional staff member. Proposal No. 2011-29-A rendered moot by the adoption of Proposal No. 2011-29-B.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
Proposal No. 2011-30 Page No. 40	RECRUITING -- TELEPHONE CALLS AND ELECTRONIC CORRESPONDENCE -- NO LIMITS ON OR AFTER FIRST PERMISSIBLE DATE	To deregulate the restrictions on telephone calls and electronically transmitted correspondence, as specified.	FCS- Preliminary opposition. Preference for Proposal No. 2011-31. FBS and Division I- • Support June 15 following the prospect's sophomore year in high school as the initial date for making calls, sending correspondence and providing other permissible recruiting materials to prospective student-athletes with no limitations on frequency or mode of communication. • Preliminary recommendation to table in January pending the recommendations of the presidential retreat collegiate model working groups. • The adoption of Proposal No. 2011-30 renders Proposal Nos. 2011-31 and 2011-37 moot.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
Proposal No. 2011-31 Page No. 48	RECRUITING -- TELEPHONE CALLS -- NO LIMITS AFTER FIRST PERMISSIBLE DATE	To eliminate the limitations on the number and frequency of telephone calls to prospective student-athletes, as specified.	FCS- Preliminary support. FBS and Division I - • Support June 15 following the prospect's sophomore year in high school as the initial date for making calls to prospective student-athletes with no limitations on frequency or mode of communication. • Preliminary recommendation to table in January pending the recommendations of the presidential retreat collegiate model working groups. • Proposal No. 2011-31 rendered moot by the adoption of Proposal No. 2011-30.
Proposal No. 2011-32 Page No. 53	RECRUITING -- TELEPHONE CALLS -- PERMISSIBLE CALLERS -- EXCEPTIONS -- PRIOR TO COMMITMENT -- COMPLIANCE ADMINISTRATORS	To permit compliance administrators to make telephone calls to or receive telephone calls from a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians) with no limit on the timing or number of such calls, provided the calls relate only to compliance issues.	• Support the concept of deregulation of recruiting coordination functions. • Preliminary recommendation to table in January pending the recommendations of the presidential retreat collegiate model working groups.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
Proposal No. 2011-33 Page No. 54	RECRUITING -- CONTACTS AND EVALUATIONS -- RECRUITING PERSON DAYS -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL, WOMEN'S SAND VOLLEYBALL AND WOMEN'S VOLLEYBALL	In women's basketball, women's sand volleyball and women's volleyball, to eliminate the limitation on the number of evaluations per prospective student-athlete.	Support concept of more flexible recruiting calendar based on recruiting-person days. Preliminary support.
Proposal No. 2011-34 Page No. 57	RECRUITING -- BASKETBALL EVALUATIONS -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL -- EVALUATIONS DURING ACADEMIC YEAR EVALUATION PERIODS -- LIVE EVALUATIONS	In women's basketball, to specify that evaluations of live athletics activities during the academic year evaluation periods (other than permissible nonscholastic events) shall be limited to regularly scheduled high school, preparatory school and two-year college contests/tournaments and practices; and regular scholastic activities involving prospective student-athletes enrolled only at the institution at which the regular scholastic activities occur.	Preliminary support.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
Proposal No. 2011-37 Page No. 59	RECRUITING -- RECRUITING MATERIALS -- ELECTRONIC CORRESPONDENCE -- ALL FORMS OF DIRECT CORRESPONDENCE PERMITTED	To specify that an institution shall not provide recruiting materials, including general correspondence related to athletics, to an individual (or his or her parents or legal guardians) until July 1 following the completion of his or her sophomore year in high school, or the opening day of classes of his or her junior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier; further, to specify that electronic correspondence (e.g., email, instant messages, facsimiles, text messages) may be sent to a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians), provided the correspondence is sent directly to the prospective student-athlete (or his or her parents or legal guardians) and is private between only the sender and recipient (e.g., no use of chat rooms, message boards, posts to "walls").	• Support June 15 following the prospect's sophomore year in high school as the initial date for sending correspondence and providing other permissible recruiting materials to prospective student-athletes with no limitations on frequency or mode of communication. • Preliminary recommendation to table in January pending the recommendations of the presidential retreat collegiate model working groups. • Proposal No. 2011-37 rendered moot by the adoption of Proposal No. 2011-30.
Proposal No. 2011-38 Page No. 62	RECRUITING -- RECRUITING MATERIALS -- ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSIONS -- EXCEPTION -- SOCIAL MEDIA PLATFORMS -- AUTOMATED NOTIFICATIONS	To specify that automated electronic mail sent to a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians) from a social media platform as the result of an institutional staff member's response to a prospective student-athlete's (or the prospective student-athlete's parents' or legal guardians') request to establish a connection with the staff member (e.g., accepting friend request) shall not be considered electronic mail from the institutional staff member.	Preliminary support.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
Proposal No. 2011-39 Page No. 63	RECRUITING -- RECRUITING MATERIALS -- ADVERTISEMENTS AND PROMOTIONS -- CAMP OR CLINIC ADVERTISEMENTS -- CAMP OR CLINIC BROCHURES AVAILABLE AT EVENT VENUE	To specify that an institution may make institutional camp or clinic brochures available at the venue of an athletics event involving prospective student-athletes.	• Support the concept of deregulation of restrictions governing advertisements and the distribution of camp/clinic brochures. • Preliminary recommendation to table in January pending the recommendations of the presidential retreat collegiate model working groups.
Proposal No. 2011-40 Page No. 64	RECRUITING -- OFFICIAL (PAID) VISIT -- ENTERTAINMENT/TICKETS ON OFFICIAL VISIT -- STUDENT HOST -- ENTERTAINMENT ALLOWANCE	To increase, from $30 to $40, the allowance that an institution may provide a student host for each day of a prospective student-athlete's official visit to cover all actual costs of entertaining the student host(s) and the prospective student-athlete; further, to increase, from $15 to $20, the additional allowance an institution may provide the student host per day for each additional prospective student-athlete the host entertains.	Preliminary support.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
Proposal No. 2011-41 Page No. 66	RECRUITING -- UNOFFICIAL (NONPAID) VISIT -- FIRST OPPORTUNITY TO VISIT	To specify that a prospective student-athlete may not make an athletically-related unofficial visit (e.g., no contact with coaching staff, no athletics-specific tour) before June 15th at the conclusion of the prospective student-athlete's freshman year of high school.	Preliminary opposition. • Noted the proposal does not solve the problems related to early recruitment issues and further noted the value of early unofficial visits. • Expressed concern regarding potential enforceability issues/inadvertent violations.
Proposal No. 2011-43 Page No. 68	RECRUITING AND FINANCIAL AID -- LETTER-OF-INTENT PROGRAMS, FINANCIAL AID AGREEMENTS -- LETTER OF INTENT RESTRICTION -- LIMITATION ON NUMBER OF SIGNINGS -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL	In bowl subdivision football, to specify that there shall be an annual signing limit of 25 on the number of prospective student-athletes who may sign a National Letter of Intent or institutional offer of financial aid from December 1 through May 31; further to specify that a prospective student-athlete who signs a National Letter of Intent or an institutional offer of financial aid and becomes an initial counter for the same academic year in which the signing occurred (e.g., midyear enrollee) shall not count toward the annual limit on signings.	Preliminary support. Noted the Collegiate Model Student-Athlete Well-Being Working Group is reviewing this issue and it is anticipated that group will make a recommendation to the NCAA Division I Board of Directors for consideration at its January meeting.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
Proposal No. 2011-44 Page No. 69	RECRUITING -- LETTER-OF-INTENT PROGRAMS, FINANCIAL AID AGREEMENTS -- SUBMISSION OF TRANSCRIPT TO ELIGIBILITY CENTER BEFORE SIGNING	To specify that an institution shall not permit a high school prospective student-athlete (other than a prospective student-athlete who attends a secondary school in a foreign country) to sign a National Letter of Intent or an institution's written offer of athletically related financial aid until the NCAA Eligibility Center has received an official high school transcript for each high school the prospective student-athlete has attended through his or her sixth semester (or equivalent) of enrollment.	No position. • Noted the proposal will assist in identifying academic issues earlier and also may result in an increase in early academic certifications. • Expressed concern regarding the administrative burden placed on institutions to ensure that prospective student-athletes submit timely transcripts.
Proposal No. 2011-45 Page No. 71	RECRUITING -- TRYOUTS -- NONSCHOLASTIC PRACTICE OR COMPETITION AND NONINSTITUTIONAL CAMPS AND CLINICS -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL	In women's basketball, to specify that an institution [including any institutional department (e.g., athletics, recreational/intramural)] shall not host, sponsor or conduct a nonscholastic basketball practice or competition in which women's basketball prospective student-athletes participate on its campus or at an off-campus facility regularly used by the institution for practice and/or competition by any of the institution's sport programs, and to establish limited exceptions, as specified; further, to specify that the use of an institution's facilities for noninstitutional camps is limited to the months of June, July and August; finally, to prohibit evaluations at noninstitutional events, camps or clinics that occur on a Division I campus during evaluation periods.	No position. Noted the potential impact a result of the override vote on Proposal No. 2009-100-A.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
Proposal No. 2011-46 Page No. 73	RECRUITING -- TRYOUTS -- NONSCHOLASTIC PRACTICE OR COMPETITION AND NONINSTITUTIONAL CAMPS OR CLINICS – FOOTBALL	In football, to specify that an institution [including any institutional department (e.g., athletics, recreational/intramural)] shall not host, sponsor or conduct a nonscholastic football practice or competition (e.g., seven-on-seven events) in which football prospective student-athletes participate on its campus or at an off-campus facility regularly used by the institution for practice and/or competition by any of the institution's sport programs; further, to limit the use of institutional facilities for noninstitutional camps or clinics that include prospect-aged participants to June and July in bowl subdivision football and to June, July and August in championship subdivision football.	FCS- No position Noted the potential impact a result of the override vote on Proposal No. 2009-100-A and that concerns related to nonscholastic influences are more prevalent in the Football Bowl Subdivision. FBS – Preliminary support.
Proposal No. 2011-47 Page No. 75	RECRUITING -- TRYOUTS -- LOCAL SPORTS CLUBS – FOOTBALL	In football, to prohibit a coach or a noncoaching staff member with football-specific responsibilities from being involved in any capacity in a football club that includes prospective student-athletes.	FCS- No position. Noted that this was not an issue of national significance in the subdivision and that concerns related to nonscholastic influences are more prevalent in the Football Bowl Subdivision. FBS- Preliminary support.
Proposal No. 2011-48 Page No. 76	RECRUITING -- SPORTS CAMPS AND CLINICS -- RECRUITING CONVERSATIONS DURING INSTITUTION'S CAMPS AND CLINICS -- EXCEPTION -- SPORTS OTHER THAN MEN'S BASKETBALL	In sports other than men's basketball, to specify that it is permissible for an institution's coaches to engage in recruiting conversations with prospective student-athletes during the institution's camps or clinics.	Preliminary support.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
Proposal No. 2011-49 Page No. 78	RECRUITING -- SPORTS CAMPS AND CLINICS -- EMPLOYMENT AT CAMP OR CLINIC -- ATHLETICS STAFF MEMBERS -- NONINSTITUTIONAL, PRIVATELY OWNED CAMPS OR CLINICS -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL -- HEAD COACH EXCEPTION -- CHARITABLE OR NONPROFIT CAMP OR CLINIC	In bowl subdivision football, to specify that an institution's head coach may participate as a volunteer (e.g. counselor, guest lecturer, consultant) on one day in June or July outside the designated two periods of 15 consecutive days at a charitable or nonprofit camp or clinic, as specified.	Preliminary opposition. Noted that further discussion may be appropriate to determine if institutions/conferences should have the discretion to approve opportunities for coaches to participate in charitable/non-profit camps/clinics outside the 15 day period.
Proposal No. 2011-50 Page No. 79	RECRUITING -- USE OF RECRUITING FUNDS -- RECRUITING OR SCOUTING SERVICES -- CRITERIA FOR SUBSCRIPTION	In sports other than basketball and football, to specify that an institution may subscribe to a recruiting or scouting service involving prospective student-athletes, provided the service is made available to all institutions desiring to subscribe and at the same fee rate for all subscribers; further, to specify that an institution is permitted to subscribe to a service that provides scholastic and/or nonscholastic video. In basketball and football, to eliminate the restriction on subscribing to a service that includes access to nonscholastic video.	FCS –No position. Noted general concerns related to nonscholastic influences; however, it is permissible for FCS coaches to attend nonscholastic events. FBS- Preliminary support. All Other DI Sports- Preliminary support. The adoption of Proposal No. 2011-50 renders Proposal No. 2011-51 moot.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
Proposal No. 2011-51 Page No. 81	RECRUITING -- USE OF RECRUITING FUNDS -- RECRUITING OR SCOUTING SERVICES -- CRITERIA FOR SUBSCRIPTION -- SPORTS OTHER THAN BASKETBALL AND FOOTBALL	In sports other than basketball and football, to specify that an institution may subscribe to a recruiting or scouting service involving prospective student-athletes, provided the service is made available to all institutions desiring to subscribe and at the same fee rate for all subscribers; further, to specify that an institution is permitted to subscribe to a service that provides scholastic and/or nonscholastic video.	Preliminary support. Expressed a preference for Proposal No. 2011-50 instead of Proposal No. 2001-51. Proposal No. 2011-51 rendered moot by the adoption of Proposal No. 2011-50.
Proposal No. 2011-52 Page No. 83	RECRUITING -- USE OF RECRUITING FUNDS -- RECRUITING OR SCOUTING SERVICES -- CRITERIA FOR SUBSCRIPTION -- NCAA APPROVAL -- BASKETBALL AND FOOTBALL	In basketball and football, to specify that an institution shall not subscribe to a recruiting or scouting service unless the service has been approved by the NCAA pursuant to an annual approval process.	FCS-Preliminary support. FBS –Preliminary support. All Other DI Sports- Preliminary Support.
Proposal No. 2011-53 Page No. 84	RECRUITING -- PRECOLLEGE EXPENSES -- DONATION OF ATHLETICS EQUIPMENT -- ELIMINATION OF 30-MILE RADIUS	To eliminate the restriction that precludes an institution from donating athletics equipment to a bona fide youth organization outside a 30-mile radius of the institution's campus.	Preliminary support.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
Proposal No. 2011-54 Page No. 85	RECRUITING -- RECRUITING CALENDARS -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL -- JULY EVALUATION AND DEAD PERIODS	In women's basketball, to specify that during the time period of July 6-31, the recruiting calendar shall consist of, consecutively, a seven-day evaluation period, a 10-day dead period, a seven-day evaluation period and a two-day dead period.	Preliminary support.
Proposal No. 2011-55 Page No. 86	RECRUITING -- RECRUITING CALENDARS -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL -- EARLY JANUARY DEAD PERIOD	In bowl subdivision football, to revise the recruiting calendar to specify that January 4 through the Sunday during the week of the annual convention of the American Football Coaches Association shall be a dead period.	Preliminary support.
Proposal No. 2011-56 Page No. 88	RECRUITING -- RECRUITING-PERSON DAYS AND RECRUITING CALENDAR -- FENCING	In fencing, to establish recruiting-person days and a recruiting calendar, as specified.	Preliminary support.
Proposal No. 2011-57 Page No. 91	RECRUITING -- RECRUITING-PERSON DAYS AND RECRUITING CALENDAR -- FIELD HOCKEY	In field hockey, to establish recruiting-person days and a recruiting calendar, as specified.	Preliminary support.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
Proposal No. 2011-58 Page No. 94	RECRUITING -- RECRUITING CALENDARS -- WOMEN'S GYMNASTICS	In women's gymnastics, to establish a recruiting calendar, as specified.	Preliminary support.
Proposal No. 2011-60 Page No. 96	RECRUITING -- RECRUITING CALENDARS -- WRESTLING	In wrestling, to establish a recruiting calendar, as specified.	Preliminary support.
Proposal No. 2011-61 Page No. 97	RECRUITING -- BASKETBALL EVENT CERTIFICATION -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL -- NO EMPLOYMENT OF CURRENT STUDENT-ATHLETES	In women's basketball, to specify that a certified event shall not employ (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) a current women's basketball student-athlete.	Preliminary opposition. Expressed concern regarding lost employment opportunities for female student-athletes.
Proposal No. 2011-62 Page No. 98	ELIGIBILITY -- GENERAL ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS -- ELIGIBILITY FORM -- INTERNATIONAL STUDENT-ATHLETE	To eliminate the requirement that the eligibility of an international student-athlete shall be certified on an international student-athlete eligibility form.	Preliminary support.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
Proposal No. 2011-63 Page No. 99	ELIGIBILITY -- GENERAL ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS -- GRADUATE STUDENT/POSTBACCALAUREATE PARTICIPATION -- POSTSEASON EVENT FOLLOWING LAST TERM OF ELIGIBILITY	To specify that a student-athlete who is eligible during the term in which degree work is completed (or is eligible as a graduate) remains eligible for any postseason event that begins within 60 days after the end of the term in which the student completes the requirements for the degree (or graduate eligibility).	Preliminary support.
Proposal No. 2011-64 Page No. 101	ELIGIBILITY -- SEASONS OF COMPETITION: FIVE-YEAR RULE -- FIVE SEASONS OF ELIGIBILITY -- FOOTBALL	In football, to specify that a student-athlete shall not engage in more than five seasons of intercollegiate competition and may only engage in a fifth season at an institution at which the student-athlete previously used a season of competition.	FCS- Preliminary opposition. • Expressed concerns regarding the requisite increase in financial aid to cover the five seasons of competition and the potential impact on timely graduation. • Noted the additional year applies only to football and not other sports. FBS- Preliminary opposition.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
Proposal No. 2011-65 Page No. 103	ELIGIBILITY -- TWO-YEAR COLLEGE TRANSFERS -- YEAR OF ACADEMIC READINESS AT TWO-YEAR COLLEGE	To establish a year of academic readiness for two-year college transfers, as specified.	Preliminary opposition. • Expressed concern regarding logistical issues in the tracking/monitoring the application of the legislation. • Noted that the proposed increase in two-year college transfer regulations may be sufficient to address concerns regarding lack of academic preparedness on transfer to a four year institution.
Proposal No. 2011-66 Page No. 108	ELIGIBILITY -- SEASONS OF COMPETITION -- MINIMUM AMOUNT OF COMPETITION -- EXCEPTION -- NONCHAMPIONSHIP SEGMENT COMPETITION -- SOFTBALL	In softball, to permit a student-athlete to compete in an institution's non-championship segment without using a season of competition, as specified.	• Preliminary recommendation to table in January pending the recommendations of the presidential retreat collegiate model working groups. • Expressed support to permit student-athletes to engage in limited competition during the non-championship segment without using a season of competition if the Resource Allocation Working Group agrees to support some competition opportunities for student-athletes during the non-championship segment.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
Proposal No. 2011-67 Page No. 110	ELIGIBILITY -- FRESHMAN ACADEMIC REQUIREMENTS -- ADVANCED PLACEMENT -- INTERNATIONAL CERTIFICATION	To specify that for purposes of fulfilling the advanced placement requirements for initial eligibility, "similar proficiency examination," must be an advanced or higher level, nationally administered proficiency exam with a uniform grading scale that is taken after high school graduation; further, to specify that an institution shall use the NCAA Eligibility Center to certify the eligibility of an international student-athlete pursuant to the advanced placement requirements.	Preliminary support.
Proposal No. 2011-68 Page No. 111	ELIGIBILITY -- PROGRESS-TOWARD-DEGREE REQUIREMENTS -- ELIGIBILITY FOR COMPETITION -- FULFILLMENT OF CREDIT-HOUR REQUIREMENTS -- ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS -- FOOTBALL -- EXCEPTION -- TEAM ACADEMIC PROGRESS RATE	In football, to specify that a student-athlete shall not be subject to the eligibility penalty for failure to successfully complete at least nine-semester hours or eight-quarter hours of academic credit during the fall term and earn the Academic Progress Rate eligibility point for the fall term, provided the institution's Academic Progress Rate for football is 965 or higher as of the first day of classes of the fall term in which the penalty would otherwise apply.	FCS- Preliminary opposition. FBS- Preliminary opposition.
Proposal No. 2011-71 Page No. 112	ELIGIBILITY -- TRANSFER REGULATIONS -- FOUR-YEAR COLLEGE TRANSFERS -- ONE-TIME TRANSFER EXCEPTION -- WOMEN'S ICE HOCKEY	To specify that the one-time transfer exception to the four-year transfer residence requirement shall not be applicable to student-athletes in women's ice hockey.	No position. Noted that intra-conference transfer rules may sufficiently address the issue.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
Proposal No. 2011-72 Page No. 113	ELIGIBILITY -- OUTSIDE COMPETITION -- EXCEPTION -- USA FENCING NATIONAL CHAMPIONSHIPS	In fencing, to specify that a student-athlete may compete during the academic year as a member of a USA Fencing member club team at the USA Fencing National Championships.	Preliminary support.
Proposal No. 2011-73 Page No. 115	FINANCIAL AID AND AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- TRAINING-TABLE MEALS -- ONE MEAL PER DAY -- STUDENT-ATHLETES NOT RECEIVING FULL BOARD -- FOOTBALL	In bowl subdivision football, to permit an institution to provide one training-table meal per day to a student-athlete who does not receive athletically related financial aid; further, in championship subdivision football, to permit an institution to provide one training-table meal per day to a student-athlete who does not receive athletically related financial aid that covers the full cost of board; finally, to specify that the provision of the one training-table meal per day to such a student-athlete shall not be considered financial aid.	FCS- Preliminary opposition. • As the proposal would currently apply to championship subdivision football, it would expand equivalencies. FBS – No position. • Expressed support for institutions at their discretion to have greater flexibility to provide food items to student-athletes. • Noted that it may be advisable to table in January pending the recommendations of the presidential retreat collegiate model working groups.
Proposal No. 2011-74 Page No. 117	FINANCIAL AID -- SUMMER FINANCIAL AID -- ENROLLED STUDENT-ATHLETES -- PROPORTIONALITY RESTRICTION -- EXHAUSTED ELIGIBILITY EXCEPTION	To specify that the summer aid proportionality restriction shall not apply to a student-athlete who has exhausted his or her eligibility and is enrolled in course work acceptable toward his or her degree requirements.	Preliminary support.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
Proposal No. 2011-75 Page No. 118	FINANCIAL AID -- SUMMER FINANCIAL AID -- PRIOR TO INITIAL FULL-TIME ENROLLMENT -- FOOTBALL -- COUNTER FOR THE ENSUING ACADEMIC YEAR	In football, to specify that a prospective student-athlete who receives athletically related financial aid during a summer term prior to initial full-time enrollment at the certifying institution shall be an initial and overall counter for the ensuing academic year.	FCS- No position. • The committee recommends that the sponsor consider a modification that would provide an exception for nonqualifiers who are certified as such after summer aid is received. • FBS- Forwarded the issue to the Resource Allocation Working Group for discussion prior to the Legislative Council's January meeting.
Proposal No. 2011-76 Page No. 119	FINANCIAL AID -- MAXIMUM INSTITUTIONAL GRANT-IN-AID LIMITATIONS BY SPORT -- EQUIVALENCY COMPUTATIONS	To specify that in calculating equivalencies, an institution may use either the actual or average amount received by the student-athlete as the numerator and either the actual full grant-in-aid value for the student-athlete or the average amount of a full grant-in-aid for all students at the institution as the denominator.	Preliminary support.
Proposal No. 2011-77 Page No. 121	FINANCIAL AID -- MAXIMUM INSTITUTIONAL GRANT-IN-AID LIMITATIONS BY SPORT -- BASEBALL LIMITATIONS -- MINIMUM EQUIVALENCY VALUE -- EXCEPTION -- FINAL YEAR OF ELIGIBILITY AND NOT PREVIOUSLY AIDED	In baseball, to specify that an institution may provide less than 25 percent of an equivalency to a student-athlete, provided the student-athlete is in the final year of eligibility and has not previously received athletically related financial aid in baseball.	Preliminary support.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
Proposal No. 2011-78 Page No. 122	AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- HOUSING AND MEALS -- FRUIT, NUTS AND BAGELS -- BAGEL SPREADS	To permit an institution to provide bagel spreads (e.g., butter, peanut butter, jelly, cream cheese) with bagels it may provide to a student-athlete at any time.	• Expressed support for deregulation of the current rule as it is not national in significance. • Expressed support for institutions at their discretion to have greater flexibility to provide food items to student-athletes. • Noted that it may be advisable to table in January pending the recommendations of the presidential retreat collegiate model working groups.
Proposal No. 2011-79 Page No. 123	AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- EXPENSES FOR STUDENT-ATHLETE'S FRIENDS AND RELATIVES -- PERMISSIBLE EXPENSES -- LIFE-THREATENING INJURY OR ILLNESS -- EXPENSES FOR ANY STUDENT-ATHLETE	To specify that the institution may pay transportation, housing and meal expenses for any student-athlete to be present in situations in which a student-athlete or a family member or legal guardian of a student-athlete suffers a life-threatening injury or illness, or in the event of a student-athlete's or student-athlete's family member or legal guardian's death.	Preliminary support.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
Proposal No. 2011-80-B Page No. 125	AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- EXPENSES PROVIDED BY THE INSTITUTION FOR PRACTICE AND COMPETITION -- NATIONAL TEAM TRYOUTS -- NOT MORE THAN TWO EVENTS -- IMMEDIATE EFFECTIVE DATE	To permit an institution to provide actual and necessary expenses for a student-athlete to participate in not more than two national team tryout competition events, including events from which participants are selected for another tier of tryout competition or events from which final selections are made for the national team that will participate in the Olympic Games, Pan American Games, World Championships, World Cup or World University Games.	The adoption of Proposal No. 2011-80-B renders 2011-80-A moot.
Proposal No. 2011-80-A Page No. 124	AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- EXPENSES PROVIDED BY THE INSTITUTION FOR PRACTICE AND COMPETITION -- NATIONAL TEAM TRYOUTS -- NOT MORE THAN TWO EVENTS	To permit an institution to provide actual and necessary expenses for a student-athlete to participate in not more than two national team tryout competition events, including events from which participants are selected for another tier of tryout competition or events from which final selections are made for the national team that will participate in the Olympic Games, Pan American Games, World Championships, World Cup or World University Games.	Preliminary support. Proposal No. 2011-80-A rendered moot by the adoption of Proposal No. 2011-80-B.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
Proposal No. 2011-81 Page No. 127	AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- OTHER TRAVEL EXPENSES PROVIDED BY THE INSTITUTION -- CONFERENCE-SPONSORED LIFE SKILLS PROGRAM	To permit an institution or conference to pay actual and necessary expenses for a student-athlete to attend a conference-sponsored life skills program (e.g., leadership, personal development, conflict resolution).	Preliminary support.
Proposal No. 2011-82 Page No. 128	AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- PROVISION OF EXPENSES BY INDIVIDUALS OR ORGANIZATIONS OTHER THAN THE INSTITUTION -- BENEFITS, GIFTS, AND SERVICES -- MISCELLANEOUS BENEFITS -- FUNDRAISERS FOR STUDENT-ATHLETES OR IMMEDIATE FAMILY MEMBERS	To specify that proceeds from fundraisers for student-athletes (or their immediate families) due to extreme circumstances beyond the student-athlete's control (e.g., life-threatening illness, natural disaster) may be given directly to the beneficiaries, with receipt kept on file by the institution, which must include the amount of expenses incurred and the total amount received.	Preliminary support.
Proposal No. 2011-83 Page No. 129	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- BASEBALL -- MAXIMUM NUMBER OF CONTESTS -- 52	In baseball, to reduce, from 56 to 52, the limitation on the maximum number of contests with outside competition.	No position. Noted that it may be advisable to table in January pending the recommendations of the presidential retreat collegiate model working groups.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
Proposal No. 2011-84 Page No. 130	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- BASKETBALL -- PRESEASON PRACTICE -- ON-COURT PRACTICE -- ELIMINATION OF 5 P.M. START TIME ON FIRST PERMISSIBLE PRACTICE DATE	In basketball, to eliminate the 5 p.m. start time on the first permissible practice date.	Preliminary support in women's basketball. Preliminary opposition in men's basketball. Noted that sections A and B of the proposal will be considered separately in January. The adoption of Proposal No. 2011-84 renders Proposal No. 2011-85 moot as it relates to the 5 p.m. start time.
Proposal No. 2011-85 Page No. 132	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- MEN'S BASKETBALL -- PRESEASON PRACTICE -- ON-COURT PRACTICE -- 30 DAYS OF COUNTABLE ACTIVITIES WITHIN 40 DAYS PRIOR TO FIRST CONTEST	In men's basketball, to specify that an institution shall not commence on-court preseason basketball practice sessions prior to 5 p.m. on the date that is 40 days prior to the date of the institution's first regular-season contest; further, to specify that an institution shall not engage in more than 30 days of countable athletically related activities prior to its first regular-season contest.	Preliminary opposition. Proposal No. 2011-85 rendered moot by the adoption of Proposal No. 2011-84 as it relates to the 5 p.m. start time.
Proposal No. 2011-86 Page No. 133	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL -- FIRST PERMISSIBLE CONTEST DATE -- TUESDAY BEFORE THE SECOND FRIDAY OF NOVEMBER	In women's basketball, to specify that an institution shall not play its first contest (game or scrimmage) with outside competition in women's basketball prior to the Tuesday before the second Friday of November.	Preliminary opposition.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
Proposal No. 2011-87 Page No. 134	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- FOOTBALL -- NUMBER OF CONTESTS -- ANNUAL EXEMPTIONS -- COLLEGE FOOTBALL INVITATIONAL	In football, to specify that one contest played in a college football invitational event is exempt from the maximum number of football contests, as specified.	FCS-Preliminary opposition. The committee notes that the proposal will have little or no practical application for championship subdivision football. FBS- Preliminary opposition.
Proposal No. 2011-88 Page No. 136	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- GOLF -- PRESEASON PRACTICE AND FIRST DATE OF COMPETITION -- EXCEPTION -- TOPY CUP	In golf, to specify that an institution selected to participate in the Topy Cup may commence practice sessions five days before the practice round of the event and that the institution may participate in the competition before the legislated date for the first date of competition.	Preliminary support.
Proposal No. 2011-89 Page No. 137	COMMITTEES -- ASSOCIATION-WIDE COMMITTEES -- RULES COMMITTEES WITHOUT CHAMPIONSHIPS ADMINISTRATION RESPONSIBILITIES -- SWIMMING AND DIVING, TRACK AND FIELD AND CROSS COUNTRY AND WRESTLING	To establish separate Men's and Women's Swimming and Diving Rules Committee, a separate Men's and Women's Track and Field and Cross Country Rules Committee and a separate Wrestling Rules Committee without championships administration responsibilities, as specified.	Preliminary support.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
Proposal No. 2011-90 Page No. 141	COMMITTEES -- DIVISION I CABINETS AND COMMITTEES -- APPOINTMENT OF COMMITTEES -- CONFERENCE APPOINTMENT FOR REMAINDER OF A TERM -- SPORTS COMMITTEES	To specify that if a member of a sports committee resigns or is removed from his or her position on the committee, the conference of which the committee member's institution was a member at the time of resignation or removal shall appoint an individual to complete the term of the committee member who resigned or was removed.	Preliminary opposition. Noted that the current Administration Cabinet policies appropriately address situations involving the resignation or removal of a sport committee member, while maintaining necessary flexibility in the replacement process.
Proposal No. 2011-91 Page No. 142	EXECUTIVE REGULATIONS -- DAY OF COMPETITION -- NOON START TIME -- EXCEPTION -- MEN'S GOLF CHAMPIONSHIPS	In men's golf, to specify that in instances in which the final day of the men's golf championships occurs on a Sunday, competition may begin prior to noon.	Preliminary support.

2011-12 NCAA Division I Legislative Proposals
Points to Consider

(Updated: December 12, 2011)

NCAA Proposal No. 2011-11 Organization -- Governance Structure -- Removal of Bylaw 21.

1. Reduces size of the NCAA Division I Manual.

2. NCAA Division I Administration Cabinet would maintain oversight over committees and appointments.

3. NCAA staff would maintain committee handbook on NCAA website.

4. Committee changes would no longer require legislation. Thus, reducing the number of proposals in the legislative cycle.

5. Ninety percent adoption rate of committee-related proposals since 1997.

6. Administration Cabinet does not have representatives from each conference.

7. Potential complexity with coordinating changes to Association-wide committees among three divisions.

8. Similar concept is being considered in Division II and Division III.

9. Dominant provision requires two-thirds majority vote of all delegates present and voting from all three divisions at the NCAA Convention.

Proposal No. 2011-12 Personnel -- Definitions and Applications -- Graduate-Assistant Coach – Basketball.

1. Allows an individual to explore the coaching profession as a career option.

2. Permits one graduate-assistant coach in women's basketball and one for men's basketball.

3. Potential competitive disadvantage if an institution cannot afford to add this position.

4. Should this concept be expanded to other sports?

5. Does the small squad size of basketball necessitate adding this position?

Proposal No. 2011-13 Personnel -- Definitions and Applications -- Graduate-Assistant Coach -- Bowl Subdivision Football -- No Previous Football Bowl Subdivision or Professional Coaching Experience.

1. Football Bowl Subdivision (FBS) only.

2. Allows those with no previous FBS or professional experience to serve in the position, even if outside the seven-year window.

3. Includes all professional football leagues (e.g., CFL, USFL).

4. Similar amendment was defeated in 2010 (Proposal No. 2009-10-1).

5. Current rule has only been in effect for one year.

6. Consistent with the intent of the original proposal to address concerns that graduate-assistant positions were being filled by individuals with significant coaching experience who have no real desire to further their education.

Proposal No. 2011-14 Personnel -- Definitions and Applications – Graduate-Assistant Coach -- Bowl Subdivision Football -- No Previous Collegiate or Professional Coaching Experience.

1. FBS only.

2. Eliminates seven-year window for employment.

3. Consistent with the intent of the original proposal to address concerns that graduate-assistant positions were being filled by individuals with significant coaching experience who have no real desire to further their education.

4. Applies to previous employment as a head or assistant coach at any collegiate level or any professional league.

Proposal No. 2011-15 Personnel -- Definitions and Applications – Student-Assistant Coach -- Full-Time Graduate Student Within Five-Year Period of Eligibility.

1. Allows a graduate student within his or her five-year period of eligibility to be a student-assistant coach.

2. Under the current rule, student-athletes may be delaying graduation.

3. Current rule has only been in place for one year.

4. Allows more individuals to explore the coaching profession as a possible career option.

5. In developing the current rule, the NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet did not consider the impact on a graduate student within his or her five-year period of eligibility.

Proposal No. 2011-16 Personnel -- Definitions and Applications -- Undergraduate Student-Assistant Coach -- Exception -- Nonparticipant – Football.

1. FBS and Football Championship Subdivision (FCS).

2. Potential application to all sports?

3. Allows more individuals to explore the coaching profession as a possible career option.

4. Does not increase current limit on number of coaches.

5. Eligible to come back in the next season and play for the football team?

Proposal No. 2011-17 Personnel -- Contractual Agreements -- Athletically Related Income -- Part-Time or Volunteer Staff with Sport-Specific Responsibilities.

1. Intended to reduce administrative burden.

2. Eliminates requirement for part-time employees to report outside income.

3. Requirement would apply to full-time athletics staff members and any part-time or volunteer staff member with sport-specific responsibilities.

4. Do volunteer staff members have contracts or letters of appointment?

Proposal No. 2011-18 Personnel and Recruiting -- Recruiting Coordination Functions -- Telephone Calls -- Receipt of Calls from Prospective Student-Athletes.

1. Allows noncoaching staff members to receive calls from prospective student-athletes regardless of the content of the call.

2. Currently, calls must be forwarded to countable coach in order to avoid violation.

3. Such calls may be received even prior to July 1 following the junior year.

4. Reduces compliance monitoring.

5. Not a significant competitive equity issue.

Proposal No. 2011-19 Personnel -- Limitations on Number of Off-Campus Recruiters at Any One Time -- Football -- Exception -- Spring Evaluation Period.

1. FBS and FCS.

2. Eliminates the baton rule for the spring evaluation period.

3. Still limits institutions to 168 evaluation days in the spring.

4. Potential cost savings.

5. Assistant coaches could be away from campus for an entire week.

Proposal No. 2011-20 Personnel -- Limitations on the Number and Duties of Coaches -- Off-Campus Recruiting -- Women's Basketball -- Nonscholastic Events During Spring Evaluation Period.

1. Eliminates baton rule for spring evaluation period only.

2. Not necessary to have a coach on campus as spring evaluation period occurs after season and near end of the academic year.

3. Potential increase in costs.

4. Limited to a weekend.

5. Still limited to 100 recruiting days.

6. Similar proposal was defeated in 2008 (Proposal No. 2007-20).

7. NCAA Division I Women’s Basketball Issues Committee originally requested that four coaches be able to recruit off-campus at all times.

Proposal No. 2011-21 Personnel -- Limitations on Number of Off-Campus Recruiters at Any One Time -- Exception -- Football -- Contact Period.

1. FBS and FCS.

2. Applies to contact period only.

3. A replaced coach is not required to return to campus before recruiting again.

4. Maintains limit on number recruiting “at any one time.”

5. Provides flexibility and possible cost savings in travel expenses.

6. Successful application in other sports.

7. Area may be ripe for deregulation.

Proposal No. 2011-22 Personnel -- Bench Personnel Restriction -- Men's Basketball.

1. Potential application to other sports (e.g., football)?

2. Currently, NCAA championships have bench restrictions.

3. Attempts to address perceived competitive advantage with the number of staff members on the bench.

4. Alternative to limiting who an institution may employ as a noncoaching staff member.

5. Some institutions may not have a director of basketball operations (or similar position).

Proposal No. 2011-23 Amateurism -- Definitions and Applications – Agent.

1. Broadens the definition of an agent.

2. Attempts to curb the influences and activities of outside third parties.

3. Not intended to include parents unless they intend to receive a financial gain for helping their son or daughter.

4. May be difficult for an institution to know if the individual was seeking to obtain financial gain or benefit.

5. Subcommittee of the Leadership Council is also looking at agent issues.

Proposal No. 2011-24 Amateurism -- Amateur Status -- Expenses From a Sponsor for Practice or Competition in Individual Sports Prior to Full-Time Collegiate Enrollment.

1. Attempts to extend benefits available in team sports to individual sports.

2. May allow prospective student-athletes to participate in events that they may not be able to afford financially.

3. May participate in fundraising activities in which the funds are earmarked for the prospective student-athlete.

4. Oftentimes, prospective student-athletes are unaware of prohibitions regarding fundraising.

Proposal No. 2011-25 Amateurism -- Exceptions to Amateurism Rule -- Prize Money Prior to Full-Time Collegiate Enrollment -- Tennis -- $10,000 Per Year.

1. Research indicates most top prospective student-athletes earn less than $10,000 in prize money per year.

2. Intended to reduce the administrative burden by requiring less documentation.

3. Similar proposals were defeated in 2008 (Proposal No. 2007-23-A and Proposal No. 2007-23-B).

4. Tennis is an expensive sport.

5. How was $10,000 selected?

6. Potential application to other sports?

Proposal No. 2011-26 Amateurism, Recruiting, Eligibility and Awards, Benefits and Expenses -- World University Championships.

1. World University Championships are similar to the the World University Games but occur in off years and at multiple sites.

2. Legislative relief waivers have been approved in the past to allow for participation.

3. Sports affected are baseball, softball, cross country and equestrian.

4. Minimal competitive advantage gained by selected teams and individuals.

5. Also being considered in Division II and Division III.

Proposal No. 2011-27 Amateurism and Executive Regulations -- Financial Donations and Advertising and Sponsorship of NCAA Championships -- Professional Sports Organizations.

1. Legislative relief waiver is currently in effect.

2. Currently opportunities are limited to promote NCAA Championships.

3. Proposals were tabled last year (Proposal No. 2010-109-A and Proposal No. 2010-109-B).

4. Similar legislation being considered in Division II and Division III.

Proposal No. 2011-28-A Recruiting -- Permissible Recruiters -- General Exceptions -- Relatives of Enrolled Student-Athletes.

1. Parents of current student-athletes may be boosters.

2. Adds an additional exception to the permissible recruiter's legislation.

3. Parents of current student-athletes provide valuable insights.

4. At times, contact is unavoidable.

5. Reduces compliance monitoring.

Proposal No. 2011-29-A Recruiting -- Permissible Recruiters -- Student-Athlete -- Off-Campus Contacts During an Unofficial Visit.

1. Eliminates potential violations.

2. Prospective student-athletes are often friends of current student-athletes.

3. Reduces compliance monitoring.

4. Currently, student-athletes may only have contact with prospective student-athletes off-campus on official visits.

5. Is this proposal really necessary?

Proposal No. 2011-30 Recruiting -- Telephone Calls and Electronic Correspondence -- No Limits On or After First Permissible Date.

1. Attempts to deregulate recruiting legislation. Does not address recruiting materials.

2. Maintains current date for men's basketball and men's ice hockey have an earlier date – June 15 of the prospective student-athlete's sophomore year.

3. Recruiting materials may be sent August 1 or first day of class in junior year.

4. Any form of electronic correspondence would be allowed. No longer limited to email and faxes.

5. Unlimited number of calls and electronic correspondence after first permissible date.

6. Potential impact on prospective student-athletes.

7. Reduces compliance monitoring.

8. Current legislation is outdated.

Proposal No. 2011-31 Recruiting -- Telephone Calls -- No Limits After First Permissible Date.

1. Attempts to deregulate telephone calls legislation. Does not address recruiting materials.

2. Currently, coaches are emailing prospective student-athletes to ask them to call.

3. Unlimited number of calls after first permissible date.

4. Potential impact on prospective student-athletes.

5. Reduces compliance monitoring.

6. Expectation that the coach and prospective student-athlete would discuss preferred frequency and method of communication.

Proposal No. 2011-32 Recruiting -- Telephone Calls -- Permissible Callers -- Exceptions -- Prior to Commitment -- Compliance Administrators.

1. Additional exception to the permissible caller's legislation.

2. Currently, compliance must wait for the prospective student-athlete to call or the coach must tell the prospective student-athlete to call compliance.

3. Compliance may advise on initial eligibility.

4. Reasonable exception.

5. No restrictions on timing.

Proposal No. 2011-33 Recruiting -- Contacts and Evaluations -- Recruiting Person Days -- Women's Basketball, Women's Sand Volleyball and Women's Volleyball.

1. Safeguards in place with recruiting person days.

2. Concept based on survey feedback.

3. Reduce compliance monitoring.

4. Will coaches feel compelled to use recruiting days to be seen by recruited prospective student-athletes?

Proposal No. 2011-34 Recruiting -- Basketball Evaluations -- Women's Basketball -- Evaluations During Academic Year Evaluation Periods -- Live Evaluations.

1. Potential reduction in recruiting costs.

2. Initiated and supported by the Women’s Basketball Coaches Association (WBCA).

3. Seeing more activities disguised as open gyms or pick-up games.

4. Discourages more outside influences.

5. Extends prohibition of evaluations at noninstitutional, nonorganized events (e.g., open gyms, pick-up games) during the summer evaluation periods to the academic year.

6. Will become more similar to the current men's basketball rules.

7. Coaches still may attend nonscholastic events during the last full weekend (including Friday, Saturday and Sunday) of the fall contact period and the Friday, Saturday and Sunday of the spring evaluation period.

Proposal No. 2011-37 Recruiting -- Recruiting Materials -- Electronic Correspondence -- All Forms of Direct Correspondence Permitted.

1. Deregulates electronic correspondence.

2. Takes into account future changes in technology.

3. Current legislation is outdated.

4. Many teens prefer texting over email.

5. Some prospective student-athletes may not have unlimited text plans.

6. Still must have private exchanges due to publicity rules.

Proposal No. 2011-38 Recruiting -- Recruiting Materials -- Electronic Transmissions -- Exception -- Social Media Platforms -- Automated Notifications.

1. Such emails have little, if any, recruiting value.

2. Concern is that not accepting the friend request will strain the coach-prospective student-athlete relationship.

3. Takes into account new social media platforms.

4. Reduces compliance monitoring.

Proposal No. 2011-39 Recruiting -- Recruiting Materials -- Advertisements and Promotions -- Camp or Clinic Advertisements -- Camp or Clinic Brochures Available at Event Venue.

1. Inexpensive way to advertise institutional camps and clinics.

2. Nonintrusive.

3. Still may not have staff members present at booth or table.

4. Potential increase in costs as more brochures may be produced and their quality upgraded.

5. Area should be considered for deregulation.

Proposal No. 2011-40 Recruiting -- Official (Paid) Visit -- Entertainment/Tickets on Official Visit -- Student Host -- Entertainment Allowance.

1. Increases allowance by $10 overall and $5 for each additional prospective student-athlete for entertainment.

2. Last increase was in 1996.

3. Minimal increase.

4. May be significant for some institutions based on the number of official visits.

5. Accounts for inflation.

Proposal No. 2011-41 Recruiting -- Unofficial (Nonpaid) Visit -- First Opportunity to Visit.

1. Attempts to address early recruitment issues.

2. May result in inadvertent violations.

3. Proposal No. 2009-28-A and Proposal No. 2009-28-B proposed August 1 prior to senior year for women's soccer as first permissible date but were defeated.

4. Awkward for coaches to turn away prospective student-athlete who come to campus.

Proposal No. 2011-43 Recruiting and Financial Aid -- Letter-of-Intent Programs, Financial Aid Agreements -- Letter of Intent Restriction -- Limitation on Number of Signings -- Bowl Subdivision Football.

1. FBS only.

2. Attempts to address over-signing issues.

3. Current legislation was just adopted last year (Proposal No. 2009-48).

4. Midyear enrollees do not count in the limit if they count in the year they sign.

5. December 1 date may cause confusion as it occurs prior to National Letter of Intent (NLI) signing date.

6. Midyear junior college football student-athletes may sign an NLI in December.

Proposal No. 2011-44 Recruiting -- Letter-of-Intent Programs, Financial Aid Agreements -- Submission of Transcript to NCAA Eligibility Center Before Signing.

1. Exempts international prospective student-athletes due to uniqueness of their educational systems.

2. Will help identify academic issues earlier.

3. NCAA Eligibility Center will conduct preliminary evaluations of six semester transcripts even if a test score is not on file.

4. May lead to more early academic qualifiers.

5. Administrative burden to request transcripts.

6. Similar proposals have been defeated and have been tied to offer of athletics aid rather than signing (Proposal No. 2006-46-B Proposal No. 2010-48).

7. De minimis provision.

Proposal No. 2011-45 Recruiting -- Tryouts -- Nonscholastic Practice or Competition and Noninstitutional Camps and Clinics -- Women's Basketball.

1. Similar to men’s basketball rule (Proposal No. 2009-100-A).

2. Addition is the prohibition on evaluations at noninstituional events, camps, or clinics that occur on a Division I campus during evaluation periods.

3. Intended to address concerns related to third parties in the recruiting process.

4. Potential loss of revenue for schools.

5. Potential application to all sports?

6. Current potential recruiting advantage for host institutions?

7. Initiated and supported by the WBCA.

Proposal No. 2011-46 Recruiting -- Tryouts -- Nonscholastic Practice or Competition and Noninstitutional Camps or Clinics – Football.

1. FBS and FCS.

2. Similar to current rule in men's basketball and to proposal for women's basketball.

3. Potential loss of revenue for institutions.

4. Potential application to all sports?

5. Current potential recruiting advantage for host institutions?

Proposal No. 2011-47 Recruiting -- Tryouts -- Local Sports Clubs – Football.

1. FBS and FCS.

2. Intended to eliminate recruiting advantage.

3. Prohibition currently applies in basketball.

4. Football clubs are a recent phenomenon.

5. Previous proposals for women's volleyball (Proposal No. 2001-3) and women's soccer (Proposal No. 2009-28-A) have been defeated.

Proposal No. 2011-48 Recruiting -- Sports Camps and Clinics -- Recruiting Conversations During Institution's Camps and Clinics -- Exception -- Sports Other Than Men's Basketball.

1. Extends men's basketball rule to all other sports.

2. May extend oral offers of athletics aid.

3. Intended to decrease third-party influences in the recruiting process.

4. Reduces compliance monitoring.

Proposal No. 2011-49 Recruiting -- Sports Camps and Clinics -- Employment at Camp or Clinic -- Athletics Staff Members -- Noninstitutional, Privately Owned Camps or Clinics -- Bowl Subdivision Football -- Head Coach Exception -- Charitable or Nonprofit Camp or Clinic.

1. FBS only.

2. There are worthwhile charitable and community camps and clinics that occur outside 15-day periods.

3. Limited to one single calendar day.

4. Minimal recruiting advantage.

5. Would this lead to additional exceptions?

6. Institution is in control of its two 15-day periods.

Proposal No. 2011-50 Recruiting -- Use of Recruiting Funds -- Recruiting or Scouting Services -- Criteria for Subscription.

1. Would allow coaches in men's basketball and football to subscribe to recruiting services that provide nonscholastic video.

2. Potential to make recruiting more cost effective.

3. Intended to address burden of recruiting/scouting service legislation (Proposal No. 2009-56).

4. Codifies a current blanket legislative relief waiver and increases its scope as it relates to access to basketball and football nonscholastic video.

5. Some sports have little scholastic video available (e.g., gymnastics, golf).

Proposal No. 2011-51 Recruiting -- Use of Recruiting Funds -- Recruiting or Scouting Services -- Criteria for Subscription -- Sports Other Than Basketball and Football.

1. Codifies a current blanket legislative relief waiver.

2. Maintains the restrictions that currently apply to scouting or recruiting services for basketball and football, but returns other sports to the rules that were in place before the adoption of the current rule, with the exception that a service may provide nonscholastic video.

3. In men's basketball and football, does not allow subscription to recruiting services that provide nonscholastic video.

Proposal No. 2011-52 Recruiting -- Use of Recruiting Funds -- Recruiting or Scouting Services -- Criteria for Subscription -- NCAA Approval -- Basketball and Football.

1. Culmination of discussions related to Proposal No. 2010-48.

2. If a service is not on the approved list, then it does not meet the legislated requirements.

3. Intended to remove burden from institutions.

4. Process being established by NCAA staff.

5. How will contract issues be addressed or multiyear subscriptions?

Proposal No. 2011-53 Recruiting -- Precollege Expenses -- Donation of Athletics Equipment -- Elimination of 30-Mile Radius.

1. Minimal recruiting advantage.

2. Reduces bureaucracy.

3. Donation still must go to a bona fide youth organization.

4. Effective date: Why not immediate?

Proposal No. 2011-54 Recruiting -- Recruiting Calendars -- Women's Basketball -- July Evaluation and Dead Periods.

1. Shortens the summer recruiting periods so coaches may spend more time on campus with current student-athletes (from 20 to 14 days).

2. Longer dead period between two evaluation periods.

3. Intended to decrease the amount of time prospective student-athletes participate in evaluation events and to change how coaches are recruiting.

Proposal No. 2011-55 Recruiting -- Recruiting Calendars -- Bowl Subdivision Football -- Early January Dead Period.

1. FBS only.

2. Intended to eliminate recruiting disadvantage experienced by institutions participating in bowl games.

3. Support by the American Football Coaches Association and the majority of FBS head coaches.

Proposal No. 2011-56 Recruiting -- Recruiting-Person Days and Recruiting Calendar – Fencing.

1. Establishes a recruiting calendar for fencing.

2. Developed in consultation with the United States Fencing Coaches Association.

3. Promotes well-being of coaches and prospective student-athletes.

4. Would have unlimited telephone calls during contact periods.

5. 168 recruiting person days.

Proposal No. 2011-57 Recruiting -- Recruiting-Person Days and Recruiting Calendar – Field Hockey.

1. Establishes a recruiting calendar for field hockey.

2. Developed in consultation with the National Field Hockey Coaches Association.

3. Promotes well-being of coaches and prospective student-athletes.

4. Would have unlimited telephone calls during contact periods.

5. Seventy-five recruiting person days.

Proposal No. 2011-58 Recruiting -- Recruiting Calendar – Women's Gymnastics.

1. Establishes a recruiting calendar for women's gymnastics.

2. Developed in consultation with the National Association of Collegiate Coaches/Women.

3. Promotes well-being of coaches and prospective student-athletes.

4. Would have unlimited telephone calls during contact periods.

5. No recruiting person days.

Proposal No. 2011-60 Recruiting -- Recruiting Calendar – Wrestling.

1. Establishes a recruiting calendar for wrestling.

2. Developed in consultation with the National Wrestling Coaches Association.

3. Promotes well-being of coaches and prospective student-athletes.

4. Would have unlimited telephone calls during contact periods.

5. No recruiting person days.

Proposal No. 2011-61 Recruiting -- Basketball Event Certification -- Women's Basketball -- No Employment of Current Student-Athletes.

1. Intended to eliminate potential recruiting advantage.

2. Would eliminate an employment opportunity for student-athletes.

Proposal No. 2011-62 Eligibility -- General Eligibility Requirements -- Eligibility Form -- International Student-Athlete.

1. Work is already being done through the amateurism certification process.

2. Reduces duplicative work and administrative burden.

3. Similar legislation being proposed in Division II.

Proposal No. 2011-63 Eligibility -- General Eligibility Requirements -- Graduate Student/Postbaccalaureate Participation -- Postseason Event Following Last Term of Eligibility.

1. Extends current rule to all postseason competition.

2. Treats all postseason events equally.

3. Waivers have been approved for additional events.

Proposal No. 2011-64 Eligibility -- Seasons of Competition: Five-Year Rule -- Five Seasons of Eligibility – Football.

1. FBS and FCS.

2. Student-athletes will be more inclined to be academically engaged.

3. Promotes student-athlete well-being by allowing more participation opportunities.

4. Potential to increase costs.

5. Why not all sports?

6. Are there Title IX implications?

7. All transfer rules still apply.

8. Similar proposals have been defeated (Proposal No. 2004-73 and Proposal No. 2005-108 for football and Proposal No. 2004-113 for men's basketball).

Proposal No. 2011-65 Eligibility -- Two-Year College Transfers -- Year of Academic Readiness At Two-Year College.

1. Part of package to address the academic performance of two-year college transfers.

2. Many schools no longer offer remedial courses.

3. Delayed effective date provides for sufficient notice.

4. Delays the start of the five-year period of eligibility for one year.

5. Should this opportunity be available to all two-year college transfers and not just nonqualifiers?

6. How many student-athletes would give up competing for one year for this opportunity?

7. Will certain two-year colleges become feeder schools for certain Division I schools?

8. May opt out but will not get the benefit of the delay of the five-year period.

Proposal No. 2011-66 Eligibility -- Seasons of Competition -- Minimum Amount of Competition -- Exception -- Nonchampionship Segment Competition – Softball.

1. Similar concept has been adopted in field hockey, men's soccer, women's soccer, women's volleyball and men's water polo.

2. Makes the fall a tryout period and student-athlete could still be cut after the fall.

3. Allows the coach to assess the status of each student-athlete in the fall.

4. Not a significant competitive advantage.

5. Why not include all other sports for which the nontraditional segment occurs in the fall?

Proposal No. 2011-67 Eligibility -- Freshman Academic Requirements -- Advanced Placement -- International Certification.

1. Defines “similar proficiency examination.”

2. Must be certified through the NCAA Eligibility Center.

3. Consistently applies the rule among all schools.

4. Alleviates concerns with the application of the rule on campuses.

5. NCAA Eligibility Center has the expertise.

6. Application to domestic student-athletes?

Proposal No. 2011-68 Eligibility -- Progress-Toward-Degree Requirements -- Eligibility for Competition -- Fulfillment of Credit-Hour Requirements -- Additional Requirements -- Football -- Exception -- Team Academic Progress Rate.

1. Underlying rule was adopted last year.

2. Recognizes that there are some teams with high NCAA Division I Academic Progress Rates (APR).

3. APR of 965 equates to a 75 percent Graduation Success Rate (GSR).

4. Intent of underlying requirements is to ensure that football student-athletes are academically engaged throughout the fall term.

5. Does not hold individuals accountable for their academic performance.

6. Enrolled student-athletes will benefit from the academic performance of former student-athletes as the APR is a four-year rate.

Proposal No. 2011-71 Eligibility -- Transfer Regulations -- Four-Year College Transfers -- One-Time Transfer Exception -- Women's Ice Hockey.

1. Conferences may all ready have intra-conference transfer restrictions.

2. Supported by the American Women’s Ice Hockey Coaches Association.

3. Still may use other exceptions to the transfer residence requirement.

Proposal No. 2011-72 Eligibility -- Outside Competition -- Exception -- USA Fencing National Championship.

1. Typically occurs in July but has been moved from July to April to accommodate Pan American Zonal Championships, the Olympics or other international competition.

2. Student-athletes are already attending this event for individual competition, so no additional class time would be missed.

3. Potential cost savings since costs could be covered by club teams.

Proposal No. 2011-73 Financial Aid and Awards, Benefits and Expenses -- Training-Table Meals -- One Meal per Day -- Student-Athletes Not Receiving Full Board – Football.

1. FBS and FCS.

2. Similar proposals were defeated in 2010 (Proposal No. 2010-66) and 2007 (Proposal No. 2006-71).

3. Institutions may feel pressure to provide training-table meals.

4. Potential to increase costs based on the number of student-athletes.

5. Promotes team unity.

6. Reduces compliance monitoring.

7. Why not other sports?

Proposal No. 2011-74 Financial Aid -- Summer Financial Aid -- Enrolled Student-Athletes -- Proportionality Restriction -- Exhausted Eligibility Exception.

1. Accounts for situations in which all the classes needed to graduate are not offered in the summer.

2. Student-athlete would no longer be required to graduate at the end of the summer.

3. Promotes student-athlete well-being and expedites degree completion.

4. Positive impact on APR and GSR is questionable.

Proposal No. 2011-75 Financial Aid -- Summer Financial Aid -- Prior to Initial Full-Time Enrollment -- Football -- Counter for the Ensuing Academic Year.

1. FBS and FCS.

2. Currently not included in either initial or overall counter limit.

3. Anticipated cost savings; encourages better resource management.

4. Does this proposal create an additional administrative burden?

Proposal No. 2011-76 Financial Aid -- Maximum Institutional Grant-In-Aid Limitations By Sport -- Equivalency Computations.

1. Provides flexibility and to the maximum benefit of the student-athlete.

2. Current rule creates a potential competitive advantage based on institutional policies and if aid is awarded in a lump sum amount.

Proposal No. 2011-77 Financial Aid -- Maximum Institutional Grant-In-Aid Limitations by Sport -- Baseball Limitations -- Minimum Equivalency Value -- Exception -- Final Year of Eligibility and Not Previously Aided.

1. Intent is to reward hard work and commitment of a nonscholarship student-athlete.

2. Would still be one of the 27 counters.

3. Will this lead to additional exceptions?

4. Original intent of the 25 percent minimum was to help ensure the baseball program was truly committed to the student-athlete.

Proposal No. 2011-78 Awards, Benefits and Expenses -- Housing and Meals -- Fruit, Nuts and Bagels -- Bagel Spreads.

1. Reasonable accommodation.

2. Effective date: Why not immediate?

Proposal No. 2011-79 Awards, Benefits and Expenses -- Expenses for Student-Athlete's Friends and Relatives -- Permissible Expenses -- Life-Threatening Injury or Illness -- Expenses for Any Student-Athlete

1. Expands from teammates to any student-athlete.

2. Historically has been addressed in the waiver process.

3. Effective date: Why not immediate?

Proposal No. 2011-80-A Awards, Benefits And Expenses -- Expenses Provided By the Institution for Practice and Competition -- National Team Tryouts -- Not More Than Two Events.

1. National team selection process for many sports includes more than one round (tier) of tryout events.

2. Supported in Division II and Division III.

3. Effective date: Why not immediate?

Proposal No. 2011-81 Awards, Benefits and Expenses -- Other Travel Expenses Provided By the Institution -- Conference-Sponsored Life Skills Program.

- Expands opportunity for student-athletes to attend such events.

Proposal No. 2011-82 Awards, Benefits and Expenses -- Provision of Expenses by Individuals or Organizations Other Than the Institution -- Benefits, Gifts, And Services -- Miscellaneous Benefits -- Fundraisers for Student-Athletes or Immediate Family Members.

1. Does not eliminate all waivers for such instances.

2. Reduces administrative burden.

3. Effective date: Why not immediate?

Proposal No. 2011-83 Playing and Practice Seasons -- Baseball -- Maximum Number of Contests – 52.

1. Helps to limit midweek games.

2. Decrease missed class time and costs.

3. APRs in baseball have increased since the adoption of proposals from the Baseball Academic Enhancement Group.

4. Potential contract issues and budget impact.

5. Similar proposals have been defeated (Proposal No. 2006-2 and 2008-48).

Proposal No. 2011-84 Playing and Practice Seasons -- Women's Basketball -- Preseason Practice -- On-Court Practice -- Elimination of 5 P.M. Start Time on First Permissible Practice Date.

1. Initiated and supported by the WBCA.

2. Will allow for greater flexibility in scheduling facilities.

3. May increase celebratory activities such as Midnight Madness.

Proposal No. 2011-85 Playing and Practice Seasons -- Men's Basketball -- Preseason Practice -- On-Court Practice -- 30 Days of Countable Activities Within 40 Days Prior to First Contest.

1. Same as women's basketball model.

2. Allows coaches to determine the best use practice and off days to benefit student-athletes and based on team needs.

3. On-court practice will begin on an earlier date.

4. Any countable athletically related activities that occur within the 40-day period before an institution's first regular-season contest shall count against the 30 days of countable athletically related activities permitted before its first regular-season contest.

Proposal No. 2011-86 Playing and Practice Seasons -- Women's Basketball -- First Permissible Contest Date -- Tuesday Before the Second Friday of November.

1. Institutions are finding it difficult to schedule games in December.

2. Would create an opportunity for institutions to take advantage of nationally televised games in January and February (e.g., Rivalry Week, February Frenzy, etc.).

3. Give special attention to women's basketball by starting on a date different than men's basketball.

4. Current rule has been in place since 2006.

Proposal No. 2011-87 Playing and Practice Seasons -- Football -- Number of Contests -- Annual Exemptions -- College Football Invitational.

1. FBS and FCS.

2. For conferences that do not have a conference championship game or a conference tournament.

3. May still compete in a bowl game (FBS) or national championship (FCS) in addition to participation in the invitational.

4. Additional revenue will assist athletic departments financially.

5. Potential for additional missed class time.

Proposal No. 2011-88 Playing and Practice Seasons -- Golf -- Preseason Practice and First Date of Competition -- Exception -- Topy Cup.

1. Prestigious event in Japan that typically occurs before September 7.

2. Legislative relief waivers have been granted for institutions on the quarter system (2006, 2007, 2010 and 2011).

3. Will eliminate the need to submit a waiver.

4. Minimal competitive advantage.

Proposal No. 2011-89 Committees -- Association-Wide Committees -- Rules Committees Without Championships Administration Responsibilities -- Swimming and Diving, Track And Field and Cross Country and Wrestling.

1. Common provision; also proposed in Division II and Division III.

2. Allows for more focus on rules.

3. Increases opportunities for committee service.

4. Expenses covered by existing budget.

5. Should this be considered a noncontroversial legislation?

Proposal No. 2011-90 Committees -- Division I Cabinets and Committees -- Appointment of Committees -- Conference Appointment for Remainder of a Term -- Sports Committees.

1. Currently, the Administration Cabinet is not required to replace a sport committee member with an individual from the same conference.

2. Not all conferences are represented on the Administration Cabinet.

Proposal No. 2011-91 Executive Regulations -- Day of Competition -- Noon Start Time -- Exception -- Men's Golf Championships.

1. Was not supported as noncontroversial.

2. Similar exception is available in women's rowing.

3. Current exception allows the start time to be changed if extenuating circumstances arise.

4. Student-athletes could potentially return to campus after the round rather than the next day.

2011-12 NCAA Division I Legislative Proposals
Question and Answer Document

(Updated: December 12, 2011)

This document contains questions and answers to assist the NCAA membership in its understanding of select proposals in the 2011-12 legislative cycle.

NCAA Division I Proposal No. 2011-13 Personnel -- Definitions and Applications – Graduate-Assistant Coach -- Bowl Subdivision Football -- No Previous Football Bowl Subdivision or Professional Coaching Experience

Question: Does the legislation apply to an individual who has previously coached in a professional football league located outside the United States, such as the Canadian Football League?

Answer: Yes.

Question: Does the legislation apply to an individual who previously coached football at an FCS institution that has been recently reclassified as an FBS institution?

Answer: No. The application of the legislation is based on the classification of the institution during any time of the individual's employment at the institution.

Question: If adopted, how would this proposal apply to current football graduate-assistant coaches?

Answer: It would only apply to graduate-assistant coaches who are initially employed as such on or after the date this proposal is adopted.

Proposal No. 2011-14 Personnel -- Definitions and Applications – Graduate-Assistant Coach -- Bowl Subdivision Football -- No Previous Collegiate or Professional Coaching Experience

Question: If adopted, how would this proposal apply to current football graduate-assistant coaches?

Answer: It would only apply to graduate-assistant coaches who are initially employed as such on or after August 1, 2012.

Question: Does this proposal apply to an individual who was previously a head football coach at a junior college or at a Division II or Division III institution?

Answer: Yes. An individual with any coaching experience at any college would be precluded from employment as a graduate-assistant coach.

Question: Does the proposal apply to an individual who was previously a head football coach at a collegiate institution only on an interim basis?

Answer: Yes.

Question: If adopted, would an institution be permitted to employ an individual as a graduate-assistant coach if the individual was previously a volunteer coach at a collegiate institution?

Answer: No.

Proposal No. 2011-15 Personnel -- Definitions and Applications – Student-Assistant Coach -- Full-Time Graduate Student Within Five-Year Period of Eligibility

Question: If adopted, would this proposal permit a student-athlete to transfer from an institution at which he or she exhausted eligibility to another Division I institution to serve as a student-assistant coach?

Answer: No. The legislation requires that the individual is enrolled at the institution at which he or she most recently participated in intercollegiate athletics.

Question: Is the individual required to be enrolled in a specific graduate degree program?

Answer: No.

Proposal No. 2011-17 Personnel -- Contractual Agreements -- Athletically Related Income -- Part-Time or Volunteer Staff with Sport-Specific Responsibilities

Question: Is a part-time staff member required to report his or her outside income if his or her responsibilities are divided among two different sports (e.g., 50 percent in football and 50 percent in track)?

Answer: Yes.

Proposal No. 2011-18 Personnel and Recruiting -- Recruiting Coordination Functions -- Telephone Calls -- Receipt of Calls from Prospective Student-Athletes

Question: Is it permissible for noncoaching staff members to receive telephone calls from a prospective student-athlete at his or her expense before July 1 following the prospective student-athlete's junior year in high school?

Answer: Yes.

Question: If a noncoaching staff member receives a telephone call from a prospective student-athlete, are there restrictions on the content of the discussions?

Answer: No.

Proposal No. 2011-21 Personnel -- Limitations on Number of Off-Campus Recruiters at Any One Time -- Exception -- Football -- Contact Period

Question: Would an institution be permitted to use either the general rule regarding the limit on the number of coaches who may recruit off campus at any one time (i.e., the total number of coaches recruiting on behalf of the institution at any time may not exceed the permissible number) or the exception to the general rule (i.e., no more than the permissible number of coaches may engage in off-campus recruiting activities each day)?

Answer: Yes.

Proposal No. 2011-22 Personnel -- Bench Personnel Restriction -- Men's Basketball

Question: If an institution only employs three coaches, may the institution fill the remaining coach's seat on the bench by another individual who is not a coach, provided the number of personnel on the bench does not exceed seven?

Answer: No.

Question: Would it be permissible for athletics staff members who are not sitting on the bench to participate in other game-day activities (e.g., time-out huddles, pre-game and post-game)?

Answer: Yes.

Proposal No. 2011-23 Amateurism -- Definitions and Applications – Agent

Question: Would a prospective student-athlete's parents be considered agents since they are trying to assist their son or daughter in securing an athletics scholarship with an institution?

Answer: No. The proposal did not intend to include a prospect's parents or legal guardians or high school and nonscholastic coaches unless they are seeking a financial gain for placing the prospect at a particular school.

Proposal No. 2011-24 Amateurism -- Amateur Status -- Expenses From a Sponsor for Practice or Competition in Individual Sports Prior to Full-Time Collegiate Enrollment

Question: Is there a limit on the number of events in which a prospective student-athlete may compete and receive actual and necessary expenses from a sponsor?

Answer: No.

Question: May the actual and necessary expenses received by the prospective student-athlete also include expenses incurred by others (e.g., parents, coach)?

Answer: No. The calculation of actual and necessary expenses shall not include the expenses or fees of anyone other than the prospective student-athlete (e.g., coach's fees or expenses, parent's expenses).

Question: Would it be permissible for the prospective student-athlete to wear the logo of a company that provided funding to the prospective student-athlete on his or her clothing during the athletic event?

Answer: Yes, provided the prospective student-athlete only accepts up to actual and necessary expenses associated with the event.

Question: Does this proposal permit a prospective student-athlete to conduct a fundraising event (e.g., bake sale, car wash) on his or her own to pay for participation in an athletics event?

Answer: Yes, provided the prospective student-athlete only accepts up to actual and necessary expenses associated with an athletics event.

Proposal No. 2011-25 Amateurism -- Exceptions to Amateurism Rule -- Prize Money Prior to Full-Time Collegiate Enrollment -- Tennis -- $10,000 Per Year

Question: Is the $10,000 prize money benchmark calculated in U.S. dollars, the currency where the tennis event occurred or the individual's home country?

Answer: The $10,000 prize money benchmark is calculated in U.S. dollars.

Question: If adopted, will the legislation apply to student-athletes whose initial full-time collegiate enrollment occurs on or after the date the proposal is adopted?

Answer: Yes. The amateur status of student-athletes whose initial full-time collegiate enrollment occurs on or after the date the proposal is adopted will be evaluated based on the adopted legislation.

Proposal No. 2011-27 Amateurism and Executive Regulations -- Financial Donations and Advertising and Sponsorship of NCAA Championships -- Professional Sports Organizations

Question: Does the sport of the sponsoring professional sports organization and the NCAA championship have to be the same (e.g., NFL and the NCAA Division I Football Championship) for the legislation to apply?

Answer: No.

Proposal No. 2011-28-A Recruiting -- Permissible Recruiters -- General Exceptions -- Relatives of Enrolled Student-Athletes

Proposal No. 2011-28-B Recruiting -- Permissible Recruiters -- General Exceptions – On-Campus Contact with relatives of Enrolled Student-Athletes

Question: Is the regularly scheduled on-campus athletics event required to be the sport in which the prospective student-athlete participates?

Answer: No.

Proposal No. 2011-29-A Recruiting -- Permissible Recruiters -- Student-Athlete -- Off-Campus Contacts During an Unofficial Visit

Question: May a student-athlete transport a prospective student-athlete to an off-campus location during the unofficial visit?

Answer: Yes, provided such a contact does not occur at the direction of a coaching staff member and the prospective student-athlete has notified the institution that he or she is making an unofficial visit.

Proposal No. 2011-29-B Recruiting -- Permissible Recruiters -- Student-Athlete -- Off-Campus Contacts

Question: May a student-athlete transport a prospective student-athlete to an off-campus location during the unofficial visit?

Answer: Yes, provided such a contact does not occur at the direction of an institutional staff member.

Proposal No. 2011-38 Recruiting -- Recruiting Materials -- Electronic Transmissions -- Exception -- Social Media Platforms -- Automated Notifications

Question: Would it be permissible for a coach to accept a prospective student-athlete's friend request on Facebook before the date on which it is permissible to send recruiting correspondence to the prospective student-athlete?

Answer: Yes.

Question: Would it be permissible for a coach to initiate a Facebook friend request to a prospective student-athlete before the date on which it is permissible to send recruiting correspondence to the prospective student-athlete?

Answer: No.

Question: Once an initial connection between a coach and a prospective student-athlete has been established (e.g., coach and prospective student-athlete are Facebook friends), may a coach take an action on a prospective student-athlete's social media page (before the date on which it is permissible to send recruiting correspondence to the prospective student-athlete) that will result in an email being sent to the prospective student-athlete, such clicking the "like" button next to a Facebook status?

Answer: No.

Question: Once an initial connection between a coach and a prospective student-athlete has been established (e.g., coach and prospective student-athlete are Facebook friends), may a coach take an action on his or her own social media page that will result in an email being sent to the prospective student-athlete (before the date on which it is permissible to send recruiting correspondence to the prospective student-athlete), such as posting a message, which will generate a message to all of the coach's "followers" or "friends"?

Answer: Yes.

Proposal No. 2011-39 Recruiting -- Recruiting Materials -- Advertisements and Promotions -- Camp or Clinic Advertisements -- Camp or Clinic Brochures Available at Event Venue

Question: Is an institution permitted to have staff members (athletics and nonathletics) present at these events to distribute the camp or clinic brochures?

Answer: No.

Question: Would an institution be permitted to have athletically-related signage in the event in addition to the camp or clinic brochures?

Answer: No.

Question: Would an institution be permitted to advertise its camps or clinics within the event venue on the scoreboard or on a banner?

Answer: No.

Proposal No. 2011-41 Recruiting -- Unofficial (Nonpaid) Visit -- First Opportunity to Visit

Question: May an unofficial visit occur on or after June 15 even if the prospective student-athlete is still completing his or her freshman year in high school (e.g., conclusion of freshman year is June 18)?

Answer: Yes.

Proposal No. 2011-42 Recruiting -- Entertainment, Reimbursement and Employment of High School/College-Preparatory School/Two-Year College Coaches and Other Individuals Associated with Prospective Student-Athletes -- Exception -- Nonathletics Personnel

Question: Would it be permissible for a high school guidance counselor who is also the high school's soccer coach to receive the benefits described in the proposal?

Answer: No.

Question: Would it be permissible for prospect-aged children of attendees to attend the athletics event and receive the benefits?

Answer: No.

Proposal No. 2011-43 Recruiting and Financial Aid -- Letter-of-Intent Programs, Financial Aid Agreements -- Letter of Intent Restriction -- Limitation on Number of Signings -- Bowl Subdivision Football

Question: Is there a limit on the number of prospective student-athletes who may sign an institutional offer of financial aid after May 31?

Answer: No.

Question: If a prospective student-athlete's National Letter of Intent (NLI) is voided after December 1 and before May 31, may the institution sign another prospective student-athlete as a replacement?

Answer: Yes, provided the replacement signing does not occur until after May 31.

Question: Would it be permissible for a midyear two-year college transfer to sign an institution's written offer of athletics aid prior to the midyear junior college transfer NLI signing date but after December 1?

Answer: No.

Question: Would a high school prospective student-athlete who signs an institution's written offer of aid after December 1 and is enrolling midyear count toward the limit of 25?

Answer: If the institution is not including the prospective student-athlete as an initial counter for the year in he or she enrolls, then the prospective student-athlete would count toward the limit of 25.

Proposal No. 2011-44 Recruiting -- Letter-of-Intent Programs, Financial Aid Agreements -- Submission of Transcript to NCAA Eligibility Center Before Signing

Question: Would a prospective student-athlete's NLI be voided if he NCAA Eligibility Center did not receive an official high school transcript for each high school the prospective student-athlete has attended through his or her sixth semester (or equivalent) of enrollment before signed the NLI?

Answer: Yes.

Proposal No. 2011-45 Recruiting -- Tryouts -- Nonscholastic Practice or Competition and Noninstitutional Camps and Clinics -- Women's Basketball

Question: May an institution host a basketball practice, contest or event in which women's basketball prospective-student-athletes participate on its campus that is operated by a nonscholastic entity, but has been approved by the appropriate scholastic entity (e.g., high school association, National High School Federation)?

Answer: No. The practice, contest or event must be a regular scholastic practice, contest or event that is conducted by an applicable scholastic entity.

Question: May a department outside of the athletics department (e.g., intramural department) conduct a basketball camp or clinic for women's basketball prospective student-athletes?

Answer: Yes, provided the camp or clinic is operated in accordance with restrictions applicable to institutional camps or clinics, including the limitation to the months of June, July and August.

Proposal No. 2011-46 Recruiting -- Tryouts -- Nonscholastic Practice or Competition and Noninstitutional Camps or Clinics -- Football

Question: May an institution host a football practice, contest or event in which football prospective-student-athletes participate on its campus that is operated by a nonscholastic entity, but has been approved by the appropriate scholastic entity (e.g., high school association, National High School Federation)?

Answer: No. The practice, contest or event must be a regular scholastic practice, contest or event that is conducted by an applicable scholastic entity.

Question: May a department outside of the athletics department (e.g., intramural department) conduct a football camp or clinic for football prospective student-athletes?

Answer: Yes, provided the camp or clinic is operated in accordance with restrictions applicable to institutional camps or clinics, including the limitation on the time periods in which such camps or clinics may occur.

Proposal No. 2011-62 Eligibility -- General Eligibility Requirements -- Eligibility Form -- International Student-Athlete

Question: Are institutions still required to certify activities that occur after the prospective student-athlete's request that a final amateurism certification be issued by the NCAA Eligibility Center and before his or her initial full-time enrollment at the certifying institution?

Answer: Yes.

Proposal No. 2011-64 Eligibility -- Seasons of Competition: Five-Year Rule -- Five Seasons of Eligibility -- Football

Question: Is a student-athlete permitted to use a fifth season of competition at any Division I institution at which the student-athlete previously used a season of competition (e.g., transfer)?

Answer: Yes.

Proposal No. 2011-65 Eligibility -- Two-Year College Transfers -- Year of Academic Readiness At Two-Year College

Question: Is there a certain number of credits (remedial or otherwise) that must be earned by the student-athlete during the year of academic readiness?

Answer: No. There is no specified curriculum for the year or academic readiness and the two-year institution is provided the discretion to work with the student-athlete to determine the appropriate course work for that year.

Question: May a student-athlete complete the year of academic readiness at one two-year college and then transfer to a second two-year college and complete the remainder of the five semester/seven quarter obligation at the second institution?

Answer: Yes.

Question: May a student-athlete complete a year of academic readiness at one two-year college, transfer, and complete another year of academic readiness at a second two-year college?

Answer: No.

Question: May a student-athlete practice with his or her team during the year of academic readiness?

Answer: Yes.

Question: May a multisport student-athlete declare that he or she is using the year of academic readiness in one sport but not in the other sport?

Answer: No.

Question: Would the year of academic readiness be considered a denied participation opportunity for the purposes of a clock extension or a season of competition waiver?

Answer: No.

Question: May a student-athlete compete in outside competition unattached or as a member of an outside amateur team during the year of academic readiness?

Answer: No.

Question: Is a student-athlete who engages in the year of academic readiness able to use credits earned during the year in readiness and/or use an SAT or ACT test score taken during the year in readiness for purposes of meeting initial-eligibility requirements?

Answer: No.

Question: Is a student-athlete required to enroll in a two-year collegiate institution and begin the year of academic readiness at his or her first opportunity to enroll following high school graduation?

Answer: No.

Question: Is a student-athlete who engages in the year of academic readiness allowed to take advantage of the current exceptions to the five years of eligibility (e.g., active military service, official religious mission) if it interrupts the student-athlete's enrollment at the two-year college?

Answer: Yes.

Question: Are the five semesters/seven quarters of full-time enrollment at the two-year college required to be completed consecutively?

Answer: Yes.

Question: Is the year of academic readiness considered the first academic year at a two-year college for purposes of the in-person contact restriction for nonqualifiers?

Answer: No. In-person recruiting contact shall not be allowed until after completion of the year of academic readiness and the second academic year for student-athletes who engage in the year of academic readiness. Telephone contact would remain permissible during the year of academic readiness and the second academic year at the two-year college.

Question: May a student-athlete who does not meet NCAA initial-eligibility requirements, but who does not register or receive a final certification from the NCAA Eligibility Center take advantage of the year of academic readiness.

Answer: No. A student-athlete must be certified as a nonqualifier by the NCAA Eligibility Center in order to take advantage of the year of academic readiness.

Question: When must the student-athlete and two-year institution designate the use of the year of academic readiness with the NCAA Eligibility Center in order to appropriately opt-in to the year of academic readiness?

Answer: A designation must be completed prior to the end of the initial academic year at the two-year institution.

Question: May a student-athlete use courses earned during the year of academic readiness for transfer and/or progress-toward-degree purposes?

Answer: Yes.

Question: May a student-athlete opt-out of the year of academic readiness and transfer to a four-year institution prior to the completion of five semesters/seven quarters of full-time attendance?

Answer: Yes. A student-athlete may opt-out at any time, however the start of the student-athlete's five year period of eligibility will revert back to beginning of the year of academic readiness for all legislative purposes and he or she will be limited to receiving five years of athletics financial aid.

Question: A student-athlete engages in the year of academic readiness and completes five semesters/seven semesters of full-time attendance at a two-year institution, but does not meet the 2-4 transfer requirements upon transfer to a four-year institution. Does this student-athlete's five year period of eligibility revert back to beginning of the year of academic readiness for all legislative purposes?

Answer: No. The student-athlete is deemed to have completed the year of academic readiness program. Additionally, the student-athlete would be deemed to have participated in the year of academic readiness for purposes of receiving six years of athletically related aid in seven years. Failure to meet the 2-4 transfer requirements, however, results in a nonqualifier having to serve a year of residence at the four-year institution prior to being eligible for practice, athletics aid and competition.

Question: How does the year of academic readiness impact graduation reporting for purposes of the NCAA Division I Academic Progress Rate (APR)?

Answer: A student-athlete who successfully completes a year of academic readiness at a two-year college must graduate after completion of ten semesters/15 quarters of actual full-time enrollment following the year of academic readiness in order to earn the APR eligibility point for the 10th semester/15th quarter.

However, for the purposes of calculating a team's federal graduation rate and graduation success rate, a student-athlete who completes a year of academic readiness at a two-year college must graduate within six years of initial full-time enrollment at the two-year college in order to be reported as a graduate.

Question: May a two-year institution file a waiver on behalf of a student-athlete who mistakenly competes while intending to use the year of academic readiness?

Answer: No. A waiver must be filed by an NCAA member institution. However, an NCAA member institution may file a waiver without restriction as to timing after enrollment at the two-year collegiate institution.

Proposal No. 2011-66 Eligibility -- Seasons of Competition -- Minimum Amount of Competition -- Exception -- Nonchampionship Segment Competition -- Softball

Question: If a student-athlete only competes during the nonchampionship segment and suffers a season-ending injury, is a hardship waiver necessary?

Answer: No. If this proposal is adopted, if a student-athlete only competes during the nonchampionship segment, a hardship waiver would not be necessary because the student-athlete would not have used a season of competition.

Question: If a student-athlete competes during the championship segment, triggering the use of a season of competition and suffers a season-ending injury, would an institution include the student-athlete's dates of competition during the nonchampionship segment in the hardship waiver calculation?

Answer: No. A student-athlete's participation during the nonchampionship segment would not constitute the use of a season of competition; therefore, these dates would not be included in the hardship waiver calculation

Proposal No. 2011-68 Eligibility -- Progress-Toward-Degree Requirements -- Eligibility for Competition -- Fulfillment of Credit-Hour Requirements -- Additional Requirements -- Football -- Exception -- Team Academic Progress Rate

Question: If this proposal is adopted, which APR data would be used to determine if the football team had met the 965 benchmark in fall 2012?

Answer: The APR data that would be used would be data from the 2010-11 APR cohort, which is collected fall 2011 and reported publicly in the spring 2012.

Proposal No. 2011-71 Eligibility – Transfer Regulations -- Four-Year College Transfers -- One-Time Transfer Exception -- Women's Ice Hockey

Question: May a women's ice hockey student-athlete transfer to a Division I institution after the 2012 spring term (semester or quarter), enroll in the summer term and use the one-time transfer exception?

Answer: No. Such a student-athlete would be subject to the new legislation because her first full-time enrollment in a regular academic term would occur with the 2012 fall term.

Question: If this proposal is adopted, may a women's ice hockey student-athlete who has not previously received athletics aid transfer and use the one-time transfer exception?

Answer: No. However, a women's ice hockey student-athlete who was not recruited by the original four-year institution and has never received athletics aid from any four-year institution may use the one-time transfer exception, provided all the conditions are met. Note that other exceptions to the transfer residence requirement would continue to apply (e.g., nonrecruited student exception, two-year nonparticipation or minimal participation exception).

Proposal No. 2011-74 Financial Aid -- Summer Financial Aid -- Enrolled Student-Athletes -- Proportionality Restriction -- Exhausted Eligibility Exception

Question: In order to use this exception, is the student-athlete required to be enrolled in all the courses that are being offered in the summer that are acceptable toward the student-athlete's degree?

Answer: Yes.

Proposal No. 2011-80 Awards, Benefits And Expenses -- Expenses Provided By the Institution for Practice and Competition -- National Team Tryouts -- Not More Than Two Events

Question: Do the tryouts have to be consecutive tryouts?

Answer: No.

Question: Is the student-athlete required to progress to the next level of tryout competition in order for the institution to pay for the second tryout?

Answer: No.

Proposal No. 2011-81 Awards, Benefits and Expenses -- Other Travel Expenses Provided By the Institution -- Conference-Sponsored Life Skills Program

Question: Is there a limit on the number of times an institution or conference may pay for a student-athlete to attend a conference-sponsored life skills program?

Answer: No.

To: NCAA Legislative Committee

From: Bob Groseth – Executive Director CSCAA

Re: 2011 Legislative proposals

The CSCAA Board of Directors and Division I representatives oppose the implementation of rules proposals that take away the restrictions on correspondence with current prospects and expand the recruitment of prospects to sophomores and juniors.

At the CSCAA Convention in May of this year there was an extensive discussion on the 2010 Legislative proposals specifically 2010-30. The clear consensus among the Division I coaches was that they wanted to maintain the current limitations on recruiting calls and visits. When 2010-30 passed - the membership along with other coaches organizations lead a successful effort for 2010-30 to be reconsidered and overturned.

Discussions with High School and Age Group coaches' determine that those coaches as well as their athletes don't want to be burdened with college recruiting until it is actually time to make a decision. USA Swimming, the American Swimming Coaches Association (ASCA) and the National Interscholastic Swimming Coaches Association (NISCA) support limiting the exposure of the recruiting process.

Although in other Olympic sports getting commitments from juniors, sophomores and even freshmen is rather commonplace, in swimming and diving it is rare. Adding younger prospective student athletes to our list of people with whom we must communicate takes away from time we should be spending with our current athletes. We understand that the proliferation of electronic correspondence makes the enforcement of these rules more difficult. But, it doesn't make them

less important, not only for the sanity and lifestyle of our coaches but also for the High School prospects, their coaches and parents

The CSCAA opposes Legislative proposals 2011-30, 2011-3, 2011-32, 2011-35 and 2011-38. Should these proposals be adopted the CSCAA on behalf of our membership will seek an exception for Swimming and Diving.

Carolyn Campbell-McGovern
Chair, NCAA Division I Legislative Council
Deputy Executive Director
The Ivy League
228 Alexander Street, Second Floor
Princeton, NJ 08540

January 9, 2012

Dear Ms. Campbell-McGovern,

At the December 2011 annual business meetings and Convention of the U.S. Track & Field and Cross Country Coaches Association (USTFCCCA), NCAA Division I Cross Country coaches and NCAA Division I Track & Field coaches took official stances on several NCAA legislative proposals. These positions are presented below to the NCAA Division I Legislative Council.

2011-30: Recruiting -- Telephone Calls and Electronic Correspondence -- No Limits on or after First Permissible Date

Both Division I Cross Country coaches and Division I Track & Field coaches are concerned with the potential consequences of NCAA Division I proposed legislation 2011-30, which would deregulate telephone calls and electronic correspondence with prospective student-athletes (PSAs), in terms of the increased time demands on both coaches and PSAs.

In the opinion of Division I Cross Country and Track & Field coaches, the primary result of allowing all forms of electronic correspondence with PSAs during their junior and senior years and extending telephone calls to a PSA's junior year of high school will be increased time demands on PSAs and increased time demands on NCAA Division I coaches.

As a result, Division I Cross Country and Track & Field coaches recommend the defeat of NCAA Division I proposed legislation 2011-31.This recommendation was supported unanimously by Division I Cross Country leadership and Division I Track & Field leadership in separate votes held at the December 2011 USTFCCCA Convention.

2011-44: Recruiting -- Letter-of-Intent Programs, Financial Aid Agreements -- Submission of Transcript to Eligibility Center before Signing

While Division I Cross Country coaches and Division I Track & Field coaches support the intent of NCAA Division I proposed legislation 2011-44 to help identify potential academic issues regarding NCAA initial-eligibility requirements, both groups of coaches expressed concern that requiring a six-semester transcript from every high school the PSA has attended prior to the PSA accepting a written offer of aid or signing an NLI may increase the administrative burden on NCAA institutions and coaches.

As a result, Division I Cross Country coaches and Division I Track & Field coaches recommend the defeat of proposed legislation 2011-44. This recommendation was supported unanimously by Division I Cross Country leadership and Division I Track & Field leadership in separate votes held at the December 2011 USTFCCCA Convention.

2011-74: Financial Aid -- Summer Financial Aid -- Enrolled Student-Athletes -- Proportionality Restriction -- Exhausted Eligibility Exception

Division I Cross Country and Division I Track & Field coaches strongly support NCAA Division I proposed legislation 2011-74 to specify that the summer aid proportionality restriction shall not apply to a student-athlete who has exhausted his or her eligibility and is enrolled in course work acceptable toward his or her degree requirements. Cross Country and Track & Field coaches felt that this proposal would benefit student-athlete well-being and further encourage those student-athletes who have exhausted their eligibility but not yet completed their academic degree to continue their progress toward a diploma.

This proposal was supported unanimously by Division I Cross Country leadership and Division I Track & Field leadership in separate votes held at the December 2011 USTFCCCA Convention.

2011-89: Committees -- Association-Wide Committees -- Rules Committees without Championships Administration Responsibilities -- Swimming and Diving, Track and Field and Cross Country and Wrestling

The USTFCCCA Board of Directors, which is made up of representatives from NCAA Divisions I, II, and III, strongly supports the creation of a separate rules committee for Track and Field and Cross Country, which would not have championships administration responsibilities.

Currently, the NCAA Track & Field Committee is responsible for administering championships in three NCAA Divisions and six different sports (Men's and Women's Cross Country, Men's and Women's Indoor Track & Field, and Men's and Women's Outdoor Track & Field), as well as handling playing rules for three sports. As a result, service on this committee places a tremendous time demand on coaches and administrators, and playing rules do not receive the level of focus that a separate rules committee can provide.

The creation of a separate rules committee without championships administration responsibilities is a step in the right direction in terms of decreasing the burden on the Track & Field Committee, as well as placing a greater focus on playing rules administration for these three sports.

Sincerely,

Sam Seemes, CEO
U. S. Track & Field and Cross Country Coaches Association
1100 Poydras Street, Suite 1750
New Orleans, LA 70163
504-599-8902 (office)
Sam@ustfccca.org

CC: Members of the NCAA Division I Legislative Council
Ted Bulling, President, USTFCCCA
Barry Harwick, President, Division I Cross Country
Ron Mann, President, Division I Track & Field
Todd Patulski, Chair, NCAA Division I Track & Field Subcommittee
Liz Suscha, Liaison, NCAA Division I Cross Country and Track & Field
Leeland Zeller, Liaison, NCAA Division I Legislative Council

REPORT OF THE NCAA DIVISION I COMMITTEE ON STUDENT-ATHLETE REINSTATEMENT NOVEMBER 30 – DECEMBER 1, 2011, MEETING

KEY ITEMS.

1. **Sports Wagering and Gambling Guidelines**. After review of case precedent and discussion of violations specific to gambling activities that do not trigger the legislated minimum penalty of NCAA Division I Bylaw 10.3.2, the NCAA Division I Committee on Student-Athlete Reinstatement adjusted its direction to the NCAA student-athlete reinstatement staff to more fully recognize that gambling violations are considered egregious in nature and a priority area for the membership. The committee approved guidelines that include a minimum withholding condition for student-athletes who wager more than $25.00 during impermissible gambling activities. Effective for violations occurring on or after August 1, 2012.

2. **Policies and Procedures -- Suspension Policy**. The committee reviewed and approved editorial updates. In addition, the committee revised the suspension policy and determined the following: "The student-athlete reinstatement lead administrator in consultation with the division-specific chair, and other committee members at the chair's discretion, has the ability to suspend a reinstatement condition in very limited circumstances if the next contest is the NCAA championship, a bowl game, the NIT or other national championship in a sport the NCAA does not sponsor. The general practice is that student-athletes are withheld from the next contest(s) even if the next contest(s) is/are part of the NCAA championship, a bowl game, the NIT or other national championship in a sport the NCAA does not sponsor and that policy remains in place. Suspension of a withholding condition is to be used in very limited circumstances where the culpability of the involved student-athlete is minimal and withholding from the NCAA championship, a bowl game, the NIT or other national championship in a sport the NCAA does not sponsor does not seem appropriate. Further, the suspension can only be used if the student-athlete has eligibility remaining the following academic year."

ACTION ITEMS.

1. **Legislative Items.**

   - **None.**

2. Nonlegislative Items.

- **None.**

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

1. Legislative Proposals. The committee reviewed the NCAA Division I proposals for the 2011-12 legislative cycle and discussed those that may impact the work of the committee. The committee took a formal position related to Proposal No. 2011-23 (see above). With regard to Proposal 2011-62, the committee strongly recommends the continued use by campus administrators of the "General Amateurism and Eligibility Form for International and Select Student-Athletes" as a resource to document the amateur status of prospective student-athletes during the "gap time" after the student has requested final amateurism certification from the NCAA Eligibility Center and prior to his or her enrollment at an NCAA Division I institution.

- **NCAA Division I Proposal 2011-23 (Amateurism – Definitions and Applications – Agent).** The committee formally supports the proposal.

2. Amateurism Issues.

a. Division I Pre-enrollment Agreement/Contract Prescribed Penalties. The committee reviewed and approved the updated prescribed penalties for violations involving pre-enrollment agreements and contracts.

b. Pre-Enrollment Agent Agreement Violations. After review and discussion of case precedent, the committee confirmed the current withholding conditions for agent violations involving written and verbal agreements, tacit or implicit agreements and oral agreements for future representation.

c. Amateurism Certification Update**.** The committee received an update from the amateurism certification staff.

d. Amateurism Cabinet Update**.** The committee received an update from the staff liaison to the amateurism cabinet.

3. Eligibility Issues.

a. Withholding Conditions for Participation in Practice Over Multiple Seasons Prior to Receipt of Amateurism Certification – Maximum Cap**.** The committee determined in cases where a recruited student-athlete practices for multiple seasons prior to an institution discovering the student-athlete has not received final amateurism certification and the student-athlete is subsequently certified without conditions, the practice withholding condition shall be one-for-four capped at a maximum 15 practices regardless of the number of seasons the student-athlete practiced prior to discovery of the violation. In addition, the committee reaffirmed a no practice withholding condition should be assessed for non-recruited student-athletes. The sports of indoor and outdoor track and field shall be considered combined for practice withholding purposes only under this violation. Effective for violations discovered on or after August 1, 2012.

b. Withholding Conditions for Participation in Practice Over Multiple Seasons Prior to Receipt of Initial Eligibility Academic Certification – Maximum Cap**.** The committee determined the same maximum practice withholding cap should apply for a recruited student-athlete who practices prior to an institution discovering that the student-athlete did not receive an initial eligibility academic certification and the student-athlete is subsequently certified (one-for-four withholding condition, capped at a maximum 15 practice withholding dates). In addition, the committee reaffirmed a no practice withholding condition should be assessed for non-recruited student-athletes. The sports of indoor and outdoor track and field should be considered combined for practice withholding purposes only under this violation. Effective for violations discovered on or after August 1, 2012.

4. Policies and Procedures. The committee reviewed and approved editorial updates. In addition, the committee revised the suspension policy and determined the following: "The student-athlete reinstatement lead administrator in consultation with the division-specific chair, and other committee members at the chair's discretion, has the ability to suspend a reinstatement condition in very limited circumstances if the next contest is the NCAA championship, a bowl game, the NIT or other national championship in a sport the NCAA does not sponsor. The general practice is that student-athletes are withheld from the next contest(s) even if the next contest(s) is/are part of the NCAA championship, a bowl game, the NIT or other national championship in a sport the NCAA does not sponsor and that policy remains in place. Suspension of a withholding condition is to be used in very limited circumstances where the culpability of the involved student-athlete is minimal and withholding from the NCAA championship, a bowl game, the NIT or other national championship in a sport the NCAA does not

sponsor does not seem appropriate. Further, the suspension can only be used if the student-athlete has eligibility remaining the following academic year."

5. **Sports Wagering and Gambling Violations Guidelines.** After review of case precedent and discussion of violations specific to gambling activities that do not trigger the legislated minimum penalty of NCAA Division I Bylaw 10.3.2, the committee adjusted its direction to the student-athlete reinstatement staff to more fully recognize that gambling violations are considered egregious in nature and a priority area for the membership. Effective for violations occurring on or after August 1, 2012.

   The committee determined the following:

   a. The following conditions will be applied for all gambling violations (dollar value is amount wagered):

      (1) $25 or less = no withholding.

      (2) Greater than $25 to $100 = 10 percent withholding condition.

      (3) Greater than $100 to $300 = 30 percent withholding condition.

      (4) Greater than $300 to $500 = 50 percent withholding condition.

      (5) Greater than $500 = sit-a-season/charge-a-season withholding condition.

      And, when a student-athlete receives winnings associated with any gambling activity, the student-athlete must make repayment of full value received.

   b. In addition, in cases where the impermissible gambling activity greatly exceeds $500, the committee directed the student-athlete reinstatement staff to consider whether additional withholding, including permanent ineligibility, may be appropriate.

6. **Impact of Taxes on Repayment Condition**. The committee reviewed the repayment aspect of cases in which a prospective student-athlete or student-athlete accepts impermissible prize money, payment based on performance or other impermissible benefits that are subject to government taxes. The committee determined the repayment value in this circumstance may be reduced by the amount of taxes assessed if contemporaneous documentation demonstrates taxation occurred prior to distribution to

the individual. However, if the prize money or benefits received is subject to taxation at a later date, the individual is required to make repayment of the full value of the prize money or benefits received.

7. **Impact of Ineligible Student-Athlete "In Uniform" on Bench.** Following a review of case precedent, it was noted student-athletes who are otherwise ineligible for competition but are permitted by the institution to sit on the team bench in uniform, trigger a violation of Division I Bylaw 16.8.1.2 (Competition While Representing Institution). Since this bylaw does not impact eligibility, the student-athlete reinstatement staff will archive previous reinstatement cases involving these circumstances.

8. **Committee on Infractions Limited Immunity.** The managing director of major enforcement provided further clarification regarding the application of limited immunity procedure.

9. **Presidential Retreat Update.** The committee received an update from the vice president of academic and membership affairs staff on initiatives coming out of the August 2011 presidential retreat.

10. **Litigation Update.** The committee received an update from the NCAA office of legal affairs.

11. **NCAA Division I Student-Athlete Reinstatement Guidelines.** The committee reviewed and approved the current NCAA Division I Student-Athlete Reinstatement Guidelines.

12. **Review of Case Decisions.** The committee reviewed the student-athlete reinstatement staff decisions in which the student-athlete reinstatement staff provided relief from the established case precedent or where no case precedent existed. The committee archived two cases.

13. **Committee Appeal Packet Materials.** The committee reviewed the general content and structure of appeal materials used for every written and telephonic student-athlete reinstatement appeal. The committee made specific recommendations to reduce the duplication of information within the appeal packet.

14. AMA Online Update. The committee received an update regarding AMA Online and future enhancements available through version 2.0.

15. Conflict of Interest Policy. The committee discussed the Association's conflict of interest policy.

16. Future Meetings. The committee established the following future meeting dates and location:

a. May 23-24, 2012, Indianapolis.

b. TBD.

Committee Chair: *Ken White, Western Athletic Conference*
Staff Liaison(s): *Jennifer Henderson, Academic and Membership Affairs*
Matt Maher, Academic and Membership Affairs

REPORT OF THE NCAA DIVISION I STUDENT-ATHLETE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

The NCAA Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee (SAAC) submits the following report from its November 18-20, 2011, meeting.

KEY ITEMS.

1. **Legislative Proposals.** The committee reviewed and discussed select proposals in the current legislative cycle related to student-athlete well-being, including proposals dealing with recruiting, amateurism, eligibility and financial aid. The position of the Division I SAAC on the selected proposals is included as an attachment to this report (attachment).

2. **SAAC Identity Initiative**. The committee discussed general awareness and recognition of the SAAC throughout the membership, including perception of the committee's role and responsibilities. The committee considered initiatives designed to education the membership, student-athletes and the general public regarding its identity and work.

ACTION ITEMS.

1. **Legislative Items.**

   See attachment.

2. **Nonlegislative Items.**

   None.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

1. **New members.** The committee welcomed three new members: William Brown, men's golf, Prairie View A&M University, Southwestern Athletic Conference; Maddie Salamone, women's lacrosse, Duke University, Atlantic Coast Conference; and Jacob Yowell, men's track and field, University of California, Irvine, Big West Conference.

2. **Guest speakers.** The committee welcomed several guest speakers from the NCAA staff to discuss issues involving student-athlete well-being:

a. Scott Bearby, associate general counsel and managing director of legal affairs, provided an update on litigation involving the association and discussed building awareness about the role of the SAAC.

b. Jackie Campbell, director of Division I, updated the committee on Division I governance issues, including recently adopted legislation regarding cost of attendance, financial aid and men's basketball.

c. Mark Emmert, NCAA President, provided an update on the Presidential Working Groups and emphasized the role of the student-athletes' voice in the process.

d. Tiandra Finch, NCAA intern, provided an overview of the NCAA student-athlete affairs department and discussed postgraduate scholarship and employment opportunities for student-athletes.

e. David Knopp, managing director of corporate and broadcast alliances, discussed the advertisement of impermissible nutritional supplements during NCAA events.

f. Kathleen McNeely, vice president of administration and chief financial officer, discussed the resource allocation working group and indicated that the group will reconsider its initial recommendations related to budget and resources, including reduction in regular season competitions, elimination of the non-championship segment and foreign travel as well as limits on non-coaching staff members.

g. Greg Shaheen, interim executive vice president of championships and alliances, spoke to the committee about the championships structure, efforts to improve aspects of all NCAA championships and ways to enhance the voice of the student-athlete in the process.

h. Jenn Strawley and Stephanie Castera, director and assistant director of academic and membership affairs, gave a presentation about new legislation adopted by the Board of Directors regarding initial-eligibility standards, two-year transfer requirements and the academic-progress rate.

i. Dana Thomas, assistant director of social media strategies, discussed how to manage social media and strategies for student-athletes to protect their online profiles.

j. Mary Wilfert, associate director of health and safety, updated the committee on the transgender student-athlete policy adopted by the association.

3. **Community Service.** The committee visited a local community center and worked with Samaritan's Feet to provide shoes to disadvantaged Indianapolis residents. The committee discussed the possibility of forming a partnership between Samaritan's Feet and the Division I SAAC, and will continue to engage in conversation with representatives from Samaritan's Feet about this opportunity.

4. **Cabinet and committee reports.** SAAC members who serve on Division I councils, cabinets and committees reviewed key items from reports of the most recent meetings they attended.

5. **Faculty Athletics Representative Symposium**. SAAC member Eugene Daniels updated the committee on the FAR Symposium, which included discussions related to recruiting issues, drug education and financial aid.

6. **2011 Student-Athlete Leadership Forum.** SAAC members Cassandra Lloyd, Alex Mendez and Logan Roberts provided the committee an overview of their experience at the 2011 Student-Athlete Leadership Forum, which took place November 3-6, 2011, in Chicago, Illinois.

7. **Joint SAAC.** The committee met jointly with the Division II and Division III SAACs for a professional development session and also received an update from John Williams, director of championships, regarding the communications structure developed to provide the SAACs with a voice in the championships and alliances department.

8. **Project Team updates.** The committee received updates from SAAC project teams, including the media team, the service team and the student-athlete voice team regarding their respective initiatives.

9. **Division I SAAC Award of Excellence.** The committee discussed the Division I SAAC Award of Excellence and determined that the honor will be awarded once a year rather than each semester.

10. **Attendance.** Attendance for the November meeting:

Conference	School	Name	Sport	Present
America East Conference	University of Maryland, Baltimore County	Curtis Schickner	Baseball	Yes
Atlantic 10 Conference	University of Rhode Island	Tristany Leikem	Women's tennis	Yes
Atlantic Coast Conference	Duke University	Maddie Salamone	Women's lacrosse	Yes
Atlantic Sun Conference	Stetson University	Carolyn Boyd	Softball	Yes
Big 12 Conference	Baylor University	Logan Roberts	Men's track and field	Yes
Big East Conference	University of South Florida	Alex Mendez	Baseball	Yes
Big South Conference	Winthrop University	Matthew Horn	Men's soccer	Yes
Big Sky Conference	University of Northern Colorado	Natasha Law	Women's volleyball	Yes
Big Ten Conference	University of Wisconsin, Madison	Lauren Cochlin	Women's soccer	Yes
Big West Conference	University of California, Irvine	Jacob Yowell	Men's track and field	Yes
Colonial Athletic Conference	Virginia Commonwealth University	Jessica Williams	Women's track and field	Yes
Conference USA	Southern Methodist University	Kelvin Beachum	Football	No
Horizon League	Wright State University	Cassandra Lloyd	Indoor track and field	Yes
Ivy Group	Cornell University	Ben Swinford	Baseball	Yes
Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference	Siena College	Kaitlyn Carew	Women's swimming	Yes

Mid-American Conference	Eastern Michigan University	Kaitlyn Vincek	Women's volleyball	Yes
Mid-Eastern Athletic Conference	North Carolina A&T State University	Carvell Copeland	Baseball	Yes
Missouri Valley Conference	Illinois State University	Scott Krapf	Men's track and field	Yes
Mountain West Conference	Colorado State University	Eugene Daniels	Football	Yes
Northeast Conference	Long Island University-Brooklyn	Nicholas Conklin	Men's soccer	Yes
Ohio Valley Conference	Jacksonville State University	Chelsea Pelletier	Women's soccer	Yes
Pacific -12 Conference	Oregon State University	Kevin McShane	Men's basketball	No
Patriot League	Lafayette College	Doug Prusoff	Lacrosse	No
Southeastern Conference	Mississippi State University	DJ Looney	Football	No
Southern Conference	Western Carolina University	Chris Everett	Football	No
Southland Conference	Northwestern State University	Yaser Elqutub	Football	No
Southwestern Athletic Conference	Prairie View A&M University	William Brown	Men's golf	Yes
The Summit League	Western Illinois University	Brooke Stittleburg	Women's volleyball	No
Sun Belt Conference	University of Arkansas, Little Rock	Rachael Maina	Women's soccer	Yes
West Coast Conference	St. Mary's College of California	Missy White	Women's volleyball	No
Western Athletic Conference	University of Hawaii	Jessica Stacy	Women's swimming	Yes

Others:				
Legislative Council	Stanford University	Beth Goode, Senior Woman Administrator/ Senior Associate Athletics Director	Pacific 12 Conference	Yes
Leadership Council	University of Miami (Ohio)	Susan Lipnickey, Faculty Athletic Representative	Mid-American Conference	Yes
Leadership Council	Southern Illinois University at Carbondale	Harold Bardo, Faculty Athletic Representative	Missouri Valley Conference	Yes

11. **Future meeting schedule.**

a. January 10-14, 2012, in conjunction with NCAA Convention, Indianapolis.

b. Spring 2012, teleconference.

c. July 19-22, 2012, Indianapolis.

d. Fall 2012, Legislative webinar.

Committee Chair: Scott Krapf, Illinois State University, Missouri Valley Conference
Committee Vice-Chair: Eugene Daniels, Colorado State University, Mountain West Conference
Staff Liaisons: Katie Willett, Student-Athlete Affairs
Kelly Groddy, Academic and Membership Affairs
Brandy Hataway, Academic and Membership Affairs
Tim Nevius, Enforcement

ATTACHMENT

NCAA Division I (National) Student-Athlete Advisory Committee (SAAC)
2011-12 Conference SAAC Voting Form

PERSONNEL					
NCAA Division I Proposal No. and Title	**Intent**	**Support**	**Oppose**	**Split**	**Comments**
2011-12 PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- GRADUATE ASSISTANT COACH – BASKETBALL	In basketball, to permit an institution to employ one graduate assistant coach.	X			
2011-13 PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- GRADUATE ASSISTANT COACH -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL – NO PREVIOUS FOOTBALL BOWL SUBDIVISION OR PROFESSIONAL COACHING EXPERIENCE	In bowl subdivision football, to specify that a graduate assistant coach must have either received his or her first baccalaureate degree or have exhausted athletics eligibility (whichever occurs later) within the previous seven years; or the individual must not have not previously served as a coach (either on a salaried or volunteer basis) at a Football Bowl Subdivision institution or in a professional football league.	X			
2011-14 PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- GRADUATE ASSISTANT COACH -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL – NO PREVIOUS COLLEGIATE OR PROFESSIONAL COACHING EXPERIENCE	In bowl subdivision football, to specify that a graduate assistant coach shall have no previous professional or collegiate football coaching experience as a head or assistant coach.	X			

2011-16 PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT ASSISTANT COACH – EXCEPTION -- NONPARTICIPANT -- FOOTBALL	To specify that in football, an individual who has neither engaged in intercollegiate football competition for the certifying institution nor engaged in other countable athletically related activities in intercollegiate football beyond a 14-consecutive-day period at the certifying institution may serve as an undergraduate student assistant coach, provided the individual meets the remaining criteria applicable to an undergraduate student assistant coach, as specified.	X			
2011-18 PERSONNEL AND RECRUITING – RECRUITING COORDINATION FUNCTIONS – TELEPHONE CALLS -- RECEIPT OF CALLS FROM PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETES	To eliminate the restriction on the receipt of telephone calls from prospective student-athletes (or prospective student-athletes' parents, legal guardians or coaches) that requires such calls to be received by the head coach or one or more of the assistant coaches who count toward the numerical limitations.	X			

AMATEURISM					
Proposal No. and Title	**Intent**	**Support**	**Oppose**	**Split**	**Comments**
2011-24 AMATEURISM -- AMATEUR STATUS -- EXPENSES FROM A SPONSOR FOR PRACTICE OR COMPETITION IN INDIVIDUAL SPORTS PRIOR TO FULL-TIME COLLEGIATE ENROLLMENT	In individual sports, to specify that, prior to full-time collegiate enrollment, a prospective student-athlete may accept up to actual and necessary expenses associated with an athletic event and practice immediately preceding the event, from a sponsor (e.g., neighbor, business) other than an agent, a member institution or a representative of an institution's athletics interests.	X			

2011-25 AMATEURISM -- EXCEPTIONS TO AMATEURISM RULE -- PRIZE MONEY PRIOR TO FULL-TIME COLLEGIATE ENROLLMENT -- TENNIS -- $10,000 PER YEAR	In tennis, to specify that, prior to full-time collegiate enrollment, an individual may accept prize money based on his or her place finish or performance in open athletics events, not to exceed $10,000 per calendar year; further, to specify that once the individual has reached the $10,000 limit, he or she may receive additional prize money on a per-event basis, provided such prize money does not exceed his or her actual and necessary expenses for participation in the event.	X			

AWARD, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES					
Proposal No. and Title	**Intent**	**Support**	**Oppose**	**Split**	**Comments**
2011-79 AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- EXPENSES FOR STUDENT-ATHLETE'S FRIENDS AND RELATIVES -- PERMISSIBLE EXPENSES – LIFE-THREATENING INJURY OR ILLNESS -- EXPENSES FOR ANY STUDENT-ATHLETE	To specify that the institution may pay transportation, housing and meal expenses for any student-athlete to be present in situations in which a student-athlete or a family member or legal guardian of a student-athlete suffers a life-threatening injury or illness, or in the event of a student-athlete's or student-athlete's family member or legal guardian's death.	X			

2011-80-A AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- EXPENSES PROVIDED BY THE INSTITUTION FOR PRACTICE AND COMPETITION -- NATIONAL TEAM TRYOUTS – NOT MORE THAN TWO EVENTS	To permit an institution to provide actual and necessary expenses for a student-athlete to participate in not more than two national team tryout competition events, including events from which participants are selected for another tier of tryout competition or events from which final selections are made for the national team that will participate in the Olympic Games, Pan American Games, World Championships, World Cup or World University Games.	X			
2011-80-B AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- EXPENSES PROVIDED BY THE INSTITUTION FOR PRACTICE AND COMPETITION -- NATIONAL TEAM TRYOUTS – NOT MORE THAN TWO EVENTS—IMMEDIATE EFFECTIVE DATE	To permit an institution to provide actual and necessary expenses for a student-athlete to participate in not more than two national team tryout competition events, including events from which participants are selected for another tier of tryout competition or events from which final selections are made for the national team that will participate in the Olympic Games, Pan American Games, World Championships, World Cup or World University Games.	X			The committee favors 2011-80-B because of its immediate effective date and would possibly allow additional student-athletes an opportunity to receive expenses from an institution to participate in a national team tryout.
2011-81 AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- OTHER TRAVEL EXPENSES PROVIDED BY THE INSTITUTION -- CONFERENCE-SPONSORED LIFE SKILLS PROGRAM	To permit an institution or conference to pay actual and necessary expenses for a student-athlete to attend a conference-sponsored life skills program (e.g., leadership, personal development, conflict resolution).	X			

2011-82 AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- PROVISION OF EXPENSES BY INDIVIDUALS OR ORGANIZATIONS OTHER THAN THE INSTITUTION -- BENEFITS, GIFTS, AND SERVICES -- MISCELLANEOUS BENEFITS -- FUNDRAISERS FOR STUDENT-ATHLETES OR IMMEDIATE FAMILY MEMBERS	To specify that proceeds from fundraisers for student-athletes (or their immediate families) due to extreme circumstances beyond the student-athlete's control (e.g., life-threatening illness, natural disaster) may be given directly to the beneficiaries, with receipt kept on file by the institution, which must include the amount of expenses incurred and the total amount received.	X			

RECRUITING					
Proposal No. and Title	**Intent**	**Support**	**Oppose**	**Split**	**Comments**
2011-28-A RECRUITING -- PERMISSIBLE RECRUITERS -- GENERAL EXCEPTIONS -- PARENTS OF ENROLLED STUDENT-ATHLETES	To specify that on-campus contacts between a prospective student-athlete of his or her relatives (traditional or nontraditional) or legal guardians of an enrolled student-athlete that occur on the day of a regularly scheduled on-campus athletics event shall be permissible.	X			
2011-28-B RECRUITING -- PERMISSIBLE RECRUITERS -- GENERAL EXCEPTIONS –ON-CAMPUS CONTACT WITH RELATIVES OF ENROLLED STUDENT-ATHLETES	To specify that on-campus contacts between a prospective student-athlete or his or her relatives (traditional or nontraditional) or legal guardians accompanying the prospective student-athlete and the relatives (traditional or nontraditional) or legal guardians of an enrolled student-athlete shall be permissible.	X			The committee favors 2011-28-B because it eliminates requirement that the contact occur in conjunction with a home contest.

2011-29-A RECRUITING -- PERMISSIBLE RECRUITERS -- STUDENT-ATHLETE -- OFF-CAMPUS CONTACTS DURING AN UNOFFICIAL VISIT	To specify that off-campus, in-person contacts between enrolled student-athletes and a prospective student-athlete are permissible if such contacts do not occur at the direction of a coaching staff member and the prospective student-athlete has notified the institution that he or she is making an unofficial visit.	X			
2011-29-B RECRUITING -- PERMISSIBLE RECRUITERS -- STUDENT-ATHLETE -- OFF-CAMPUS CONTACTS	To specify that off-campus, in-person contacts between enrolled student-athletes and a prospective student-athlete are permissible if such contacts do not occur at the direction of a coaching staff member.	X			The committee favors 2011-29-B over 2011-29-A because it provides more flexibility for when a prospective student-athlete is visiting an institution's campus and minimizes the institution's monitoring requirements.
2011-30 RECRUITING – TELEPHONE CALLS AND ELECTRONIC CORRESPONDENCE – NO LIMITS ON OR AFTER FIRST PERMISSIBLE DATE	To deregulate the restrictions on telephone calls and electronically transmitted correspondence, as specified.		X		The committee members opposed to this proposal expressed concerns related to the timing of and intrusiveness unlimited telephone calls would place on a prospective student-athlete. Specifically, the committee noted that early unlimited telephone calls would place undue pressure on prospective student-athletes.

2011-31 RECRUITING – TELEPHONE CALLS – NO LIMITS AFTER FIRST PERMISSIBLE DATE	To eliminate the limitations on the number and frequency of telephone calls to prospective student-athletes, as specified.		X		The committee members opposed to this proposal expressed concerns related to the timing of and intrusiveness unlimited telephone calls would place on a prospective student-athlete. Specifically, the committee noted that early unlimited telephone calls would place undue pressure on prospective student-athletes.
2011-34 RECRUITING -- BASKETBALL EVALUATIONS -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL – EVALUATIONS DURING ACADEMIC YEAR EVALUATION PERIODS – LIVE EVALUATIONS	In women's basketball, to specify that evaluations of live athletics activities during the academic year evaluation periods (other than permissible nonscholastic events) shall be limited to regularly scheduled high school, preparatory school and two-year college contests/tournaments and practices; and regular scholastic activities involving prospective student-athletes enrolled only at the institution at which the regular scholastic activities occur.	X			
2011-37 RECRUITING -- RECRUITING MATERIALS -- ELECTRONIC CORRESPONDENCE – ALL FORMS OF DIRECT CORRESPONDENCE PERMITTED	To specify that electronic correspondence (e.g., email, instant messages, facsimiles, text messages) may be sent to a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians), provided the correspondence is sent directly to the prospective student-athlete (or his or her parents or legal guardians) and is private between only the sender and recipient (e.g., no use of chat rooms, message boards, posts to "walls").	X			The committee noted advances in technology and the common use of text messaging as a form of communication.

2011-38 RECRUITING -- RECRUITING MATERIALS -- ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSIONS -- EXCEPTION – SOCIAL MEDIA PLATFORMS – AUTOMATED NOTIFICATIONS	To specify that automated electronic mail sent to a prospective student-athlete from a social media platform as the result an institutional staff member's action (e.g., accepting friend request or becoming a "follower" of a prospective student-athlete) shall not be considered electronic mail from the institutional staff member.	X			
2011-40 RECRUITING -- OFFICIAL (PAID) VISIT -- ENTERTAINMENT/TICKETS ON OFFICIAL VISIT – STUDENT HOST -- ENTERTAINMENT ALLOWANCE	To increase, from $30 to $40, the allowance that an institution may provide a student host for each day of a prospective student-athlete's official visit to cover all actual costs of entertaining the student host(s) and the prospective student-athlete; further, to increase, from $15 to $20, the additional allowance an institution may provide the student host per day for each additional prospective student-athlete the host entertains.	X			
2011-41 RECRUITING -- UNOFFICIAL (NONPAID) VISIT -- FIRST OPPORTUNITY TO VISIT	To specify that a prospective student-athlete may not make an athletically-related unofficial visit (e.g., no contact with coaching staff, no athletics-specific tour) before June 15th at the conclusion of the prospective student-athlete's freshman year of high school.	X			

2011-45 RECRUITING -- TRYOUTS – NONSCHOLASTIC PRACTICE OR COMPETITION AND NONINSTITUTIONAL CAMPS AND CLINICS – WOMEN'S BASKETBALL	In women's basketball, to specify that an institution [including any institutional department (e.g., athletics, recreational/intramural)] shall not host, sponsor or conduct a nonscholastic basketball practice or competition in which women's basketball prospective student-athletes participate on its campus or at an off-campus facility regularly used by the institution for practice and/or competition by any of the institution's sport programs, and to establish limited exceptions, as specified; further, to specify that the use of an institution's facilities for noninstitutional camps is limited to the months of June, July and August; finally, to prohibit evaluations at noninstitutional events, camps or clinics that occur on a Division I campus during evaluation periods.	X			
2011-46 RECRUITING -- TRYOUTS -- NONSCHOLASTIC PRACTICE OR COMPETITION AND NONINSTITUTIONAL CAMPS OR CLINICS -- FOOTBALL	In football, to specify that an institution [including any institutional department (e.g., athletics, recreational/ intramural)] shall not host, sponsor or conduct a nonscholastic football practice or competition (e.g., seven-on-seven events) in which football prospective student-athletes participate on its campus or at an off-campus facility regularly used by the institution for practice and/or competition by any of the institution's sport programs; further, to limit the use of institutional facilities for noninstitutional camps or clinics that include prospect-aged participants to June and July in bowl subdivision football and to June, July and August in championship subdivision football.	X			
2011-47 RECRUITING -- TRYOUTS – LOCAL SPORTS CLUBS -- FOOTBALL	In football, to prohibit a coach or a noncoaching staff member with football-specific responsibilities from being involved in any capacity in a football club that includes prospective student-athletes.	X			

2011-48 RECRUITING -- SPORTS CAMPS AND CLINICS -- RECRUITING CONVERSATIONS DURING INSTITUTION'S CAMPS AND CLINICS -- EXCEPTION -- SPORTS OTHER THAN MEN'S BASKETBALL	In sports other than men's basketball, to specify that it is permissible for an institution's coaches to engage in recruiting conversations with prospective student-athletes during the institution's camps or clinics.	X			
2011-53 RECRUITING -- PRECOLLEGE EXPENSES -- DONATION OF ATHLETICS EQUIPMENT -- ELIMINATION OF 30-MILE RADIUS	To eliminate the restriction that precludes an institution from donating athletics equipment to a bona fide youth organization outside a 30-mile radius of the institution's campus.	X			
2011-61 RECRUITING -- BASKETBALL EVENT CERTIFICATION -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL – NO EMPLOYMENT OF CURRENT STUDENT-ATHLETES	In women's basketball, to specify that a certified event shall not employ (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) a current women's basketball student-athlete.		X		The committee noted the limitations that this proposals placed limitations on a student-athlete's opportunity for employment.

ELIGIBILITY					
Proposal No. and Title	**Intent**	**Support**	**Oppose**	**Split**	**Comments**
2011-63 ELIGIBILITY -- GENERAL ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS -- GRADUATE STUDENT/ POSTBACCALAUREATE PARTICIPATION -- POSTSEASON EVENT FOLLOWING LAST TERM OF ELIGIBILITY	To specify that a student-athlete who is eligible during the term in which degree work is completed (or is eligible as a graduate) remains eligible for any postseason event that begins within 60 days after the end of the term in which the student completes the requirements for the degree (or graduate eligibility).	X			
2011-64 ELIGIBILITY -- SEASONS OF COMPETITION: FIVE-YEAR RULE – FIVE SEASONS OF ELIGIBILITY -- FOOTBALL	In football, to specify that a student-athlete shall not engage in more than five seasons of intercollegiate competition and may only engage in a fifth season at an institution at which the student-athlete previously used a season of competition.		X		
2011-65 ELIGIBILITY – TWO-YEAR COLLEGE TRANSFERS – YEAR OF ACADEMIC READINESS AT TWO-YEAR COLLEGE	To establish a year of academic readiness for two-year college transfers, as specified.	X			

FINANCIAL AID					
Proposal No. and Title	**Intent**	**Support**	**Oppose**	**Split**	**Comments**
2011-73 FINANCIAL AID AND AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- TRAINING-TABLE MEALS – ONE MEAL PER DAY – STUDENT-ATHLETES NOT RECEIVING FULL BOARD – FOOTBALL	In bowl subdivision football, to permit an institution to provide one training-table meal per day to a student-athlete who does not receive athletically related financial aid; further, in championship subdivision football, to permit an institution to provide one training-table meal per day to a student-athlete who does not receive athletically related financial aid that covers the full cost of board; finally, to specify that the provision of the one training-table meal per day to such a student-athlete shall not be considered financial aid.	X			
2011-74 FINANCIAL AID -- SUMMER FINANCIAL AID – ENROLLED STUDENT-ATHLETES – PROPORTIONALITY RESTRICTION -- EXHAUSTED ELIGIBILITY EXCEPTION	To specify that the summer aid proportionality restriction shall not apply to a student-athlete who has exhausted his or her eligibility and is enrolled in course work acceptable toward his or her degree requirements.	X			
2011-75 FINANCIAL AID -- SUMMER FINANCIAL AID -- PRIOR TO INITIAL FULL-TIME ENROLLMENT -- FOOTBALL – COUNTER FOR THE ENSUING ACADEMIC YEAR	In football, to specify that a prospective student-athlete who receives athletically related financial aid during a summer term prior to initial full-time enrollment at the certifying institution shall be an initial and overall counter for the ensuing academic year.	X			

2011-76 FINANCIAL AID -- MAXIMUM INSTITUTIONAL GRANT-IN-AID LIMITATIONS BY SPORT -- EQUIVALENCY COMPUTATIONS	To specify that in calculating equivalencies, an institution may use either the actual or average amount received by the student-athlete as the numerator and either the actual full grant-in-aid value for the student-athlete or the average amount of a full grant-in-aid for all students at the institution as the denominator.	X			
2011-77 FINANCIAL AID -- MAXIMUM INSTITUTIONAL GRANT-IN-AID LIMITATIONS BY SPORT – BASEBALL LIMITATIONS -- MINIMUM EQUIVALENCY VALUE – EXCEPTION – FINAL YEAR OF ELIGIBILITY AND NOT PREVIOUSLY AIDED	In baseball, to specify that an institution may provide less than 25 percent of an equivalency to a student-athlete, provided the student-athlete is in the final year of eligibility and has not previously received athletically related financial aid in baseball.	X			

REPORT OF THE NCAA COMMITTEE ON SPORTSMANSHIP AND ETHICAL CONDUCT NOVEMBER 8-9, 2011, MEETING

***ACTION ITEMS*.**

- **None.**

***INFORMATIONAL ITEMS*.**

Association-wide.

1. **RESPECT Campaign.** In the continuing effort to promote sportsmanship and ethical conduct among student-athletes, coaches, administrators and fans in intercollegiate athletics, the committee plans to position the RESPECT Campaign as an umbrella sportsmanship brand to increase awareness beyond NCAA championship events and obtain institutional buy-in. A subcommittee was formed to begin the following action steps:

a. Develop a campus activation kit to distribute to the membership;

b. Enlist assistance from conference offices;

c. Provide templates for PSAs, posters, and social media;

d. Identify institutions and individuals willing to share success stories;

e. Identify an individual at each NCAA member institution willing to be the contact for sportsmanship and ethical conduct;

f. Distribute the RESPECT message through social media;

g. Develop a corporate branded group award for campus activation of the RESPECT Campaign with awards at the divisional level and an overall winner;

h. Partner with professional and secondary level athletics organizations to establish consistent messaging with an emphasis that promotes student-athlete and fan sportsmanlike conduct;

i. Obtain more exposure through television commercials, taglines and corporate sponsorship of RESPECT campaign and awards;

j. Highlight the RESPECT logo during reading of the sportsmanship statement before conference, regional and national championship events;

k. Provide quarterly newsletter to the membership with best practice updates; and

l. Provide RESPECT merchandise for purchase similar to giveaways at select championships.

2. **Violence Prevention.** Next steps were developed to address violence prevention and child advocacy as a campus issue to promote a positive student-athlete experience. A subcommittee was established, and actions steps were outlined to help address the twofold issue, the actual act of violence on campus and the educational component that would provide resources:

a. Identify existing research on the nature of violent acts on campus;

b. Identify potential think tank participants and gauge interest in their participation;

c. Identify the specific issue for the think tank to address; and

d. Partner with professional organizations to address violence and create a proposal on which to execute a plan to pursue educational and policy initiatives.

3. **Sportsmanship Award.** The group recognized the need to increase awareness of the Sportsmanship Award nomination process and campus presentations of the award. Presentation guidelines for campuses will be developed and made available to add value and allow the institutions to design prestigious staging for award presentation at the local level.

A specific act of sportsmanship performed during the current academic year will be added to the nomination requirements for the Sportsmanship Award.

Development of a corporate-branded group award for campus activation of the RESPECT Campaign will be explored. The overall winner would be selected from divisional winners.

A subcommittee was formed to examine the nomination and submission processes and suggest more straightforward and uncomplicated procedures.

Committee Chair: *Deborah Wilson, George Mason University, Big South Conference*
Staff Liaisons: *Cari Klecka, educational programs*
Chance Miller, enforcement
Teresa Smith, championships
Teaera Strum, educational programs

Division I Meetings
2012 Annual Convention Meeting Schedule

	Wednesday January 11		Thursday, January 12				Friday, January 13	Saturday, January 14
7	LGC/SAAC Breakfast (7 – 8 a.m.)							
7:30		Conference Meetings (7:30 a.m.–7 p.m.						
8	**Legislative Council (LGC) (8 a.m. - 5 p.m.)**		Legislative Council (LGC) (8 – 10 a.m.)			Leadership Council (8 a.m. – 3:30 p.m.)		Joint SAAC/BOD Breakfast (8 – 9:30 a.m.)
8:30					Conference Meetings (8:30 a.m. – 3:30 p.m.)			
9							Status of Presidential Retreat Initiatives (9 a.m. – noon)	
9:30				Assn-wide Programming (9:30 – 11a.m.)				Board of Directors Meeting (9:30 a.m. – 5 p.m.)
10								
10:30								
11				Assn-wide Programming (11:15 – 12:15)				
11:30			LDC/SAAC Luncheon (11:30 – 1 p.m.)					
Noon								BOD Luncheon (12 - 1 p.m.)
12:30				Assn. Luncheon (12:30 – 2 p.m.)				
1								Board of Directors Meeting Continues
1:30							Executive Committee Meeting *(1:30 – 4:30 p.m.)*	
2				Assn-wide Programming (2:15 – 3:45 p.m.)				
2:30								
3								
3:30			Opening Business Session (4 – 5:30 p.m.) (Doors open at 3:30)					
4								
4:30								
5								
5:30			Delegates Reception (5:30 – 7 p.m.)					
6							Honors Celebration (6-8 p.m.)	
6:30								
7								
7:30								
8								
8:30								
9								
10								

Meetings either DI LGC/LDC Councils should attend. Additional Association-wide events. Meetings DI Board would be interested in attending.

All meetings will be held in the Marriott unless specified differently.

09/15/11:vlm

ATTACHMENT A

NCAA Division I Legislative Council January 2012 Legislative Actions

1. Proposals Recommended as Emergency or Noncontroversial Legislation.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2012-1	RECRUITING -- BASKETBALL EVENT CERTIFICATION -- LEGAL RESIDENCE AND ADJOINING STATES -- EXCEPTIONS	NCAA Division I Legislative Council	Immediate	In basketball, to establish exceptions to the requirement that participants on a nonscholastic team that participates in a certified event must be legal residents of the state in which the team is located or a geographically adjoining state and not more than a total of three prospective student-athletes from adjoining states may participate on any one nonscholastic team.	Supported as noncontroversial legislation. Adopted.
	EXECUTIVE REGULATIONS -- ELIGIBILITY FOR CHAMPIONSHIPS -- INELIGIBILITY FOR USE OF BANNED DRUGS -- MANIPULATION OF DRUG TEST SAMPLE	NCAA Division I Championship/ Sports Management Cabinet (Committee on Competitive Safeguards and Medical Aspects of Sports)	August 1, 2012, for tests occurring on or after August 1, 2012.	To increase the penalty for a student-athlete who is involved in a case of clearly observed manipulation of an NCAA drug test sample to the loss of a minimum of two seasons of competition in all sports, as specified.	Not supported as noncontroversial or emergency legislation.

2. Actions Related to 2011-12 Legislative Cycle Proposals.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-11	ORGANIZATION -- GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE -- REMOVAL OF BYLAW 21	NCAA Division I Administration Cabinet	August 1, 2012	To specify that the Administration Cabinet shall oversee the administrative functions related to the management of the Division I governance structure and Division I representation on Association-wide and common committees; further, to remove Bylaw 21 from the Division I Manual and specify that policies and procedures related to selection, composition, duties, term of office and operation of committees and cabinets shall be published on the NCAA website.	Not moved.
2011-12	PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- GRADUATE ASSISTANT COACH -- BASKETBALL	Big East Conference	August 1, 2012	In basketball, to permit an institution to employ one graduate assistant coach.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-13	PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- GRADUATE ASSISTANT COACH -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL -- NO PREVIOUS FOOTBALL BOWL SUBDIVISION OR PROFESSIONAL COACHING EXPERIENCE	Big East Conference	Immediate	In bowl subdivision football, to specify that a graduate assistant coach must have either received his or her first baccalaureate degree or have exhausted athletics eligibility (whichever occurs later) within the previous seven years; or the individual must not have not previously served as a coach (either on a salaried or volunteer basis) at a football bowl subdivision institution or in a professional football league.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-14	PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- GRADUATE ASSISTANT COACH -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL -- NO PREVIOUS COLLEGIATE OR PROFESSIONAL COACHING EXPERIENCE	Pac-12 Conference, Big Ten Conference and Mid-American Conference	August 1, 2012	In bowl subdivision football, to specify that a graduate assistant coach shall have no previous professional or collegiate football coaching experience as a head or assistant coach.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-15	PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- STUDENT ASSISTANT COACH -- FULL-TIME GRADUATE STUDENT WITHIN FIVE-YEAR PERIOD OF ELIGIBILITY	Southeastern Conference	August 1, 2012	To permit a full-time graduate student within his or her five-year period of eligibility to serve as a student assistant coach, provided he or she meets additional criteria, as specified.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-16	PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT ASSISTANT COACH -- EXCEPTION -- NONPARTICIPANT -- FOOTBALL	Southern Conference	Immediate	To specify that in football, an individual who has neither engaged in intercollegiate football competition for the certifying institution nor engaged in other countable athletically related activities in intercollegiate football beyond a 14-consecutive-day period at the certifying institution may serve as an undergraduate student assistant coach, provided the individual meets the remaining criteria applicable to an undergraduate student assistant coach, as specified; further, to specify that an individual who serves as a undergraduate assistant coach pursuant to the exception shall forfeit any remaining eligibility in football at the certifying institution.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-17	PERSONNEL -- CONTRACTUAL AGREEMENTS -- ATHLETICALLY RELATED INCOME -- PART-TIME OR VOLUNTEER STAFF WITH SPORT-SPECIFIC RESPONSIBILITIES	Big 12 Conference	August 1, 2012	To specify that contractual agreements between a part-time or volunteer athletics department staff member with sport-specific responsibilities and an institution shall include the stipulation that the staff member is required to provide a written detailed account annually to the president or chancellor for all athletically related income and benefits from sources outside the institution.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-18	PERSONNEL AND RECRUITING -- RECRUITING COORDINATION FUNCTIONS -- TELEPHONE CALLS -- RECEIPT OF CALLS FROM PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETES	Southeastern Conference	Immediate	To eliminate the restriction on the receipt of telephone calls from prospective student-athletes (or prospective student-athletes' parents, legal guardians or coaches) that requires such calls to be received by the head coach or one or more of the assistant coaches who count toward the numerical limitations.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-19	PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON NUMBER OF OFF-CAMPUS RECRUITERS AT ANY ONE TIME -- FOOTBALL -- EXCEPTION -- SPRING EVALUATION PERIOD	Southeastern Conference	Immediate	In bowl subdivision football, to specify that all nine assistant coaches may evaluate prospective student-athletes at any one time during the spring evaluation period; further, in championship subdivision football, to specify that all 11 coaches may evaluate prospective student-athletes at any one time during the spring evaluation period.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-20	PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON THE NUMBER AND DUTIES OF COACHES -- OFF-CAMPUS RECRUITING -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL -- NONSCHOLASTIC EVENTS DURING SPRING EVALUATION PERIOD	Big East Conference	August 1, 2012	In women's basketball, to specify that four coaches may evaluate prospective student-athletes at any one time at nonscholastic events during the spring evaluation period.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-21	PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON NUMBER OF OFF-CAMPUS RECRUITERS AT ANY ONE TIME -- EXCEPTION -- FOOTBALL -- CONTACT PERIOD	Big East Conference and Big 12 Conference	August 1, 2012	In football, to specify that during a contact period, a replaced coach is not required to return to the institution's campus before engaging in additional recruiting activities, provided not more than seven coaches engage in off-campus recruiting activities each day.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-22	PERSONNEL -- BENCH PERSONNEL RESTRICTION -- MEN'S BASKETBALL	West Coast Conference	August 1, 2012	In men's basketball, to specify that during a contest against outside competition, there shall be a limit of 17 individuals who may occupy the team bench, not including student-athletes who are actively engaged in the competition.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-23	AMATEURISM -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- AGENT	NCAA Division I Amateurism Cabinet	Immediate	To specify that an agent is any individual who, directly or indirectly, represents or attempts to represent an individual for the purpose of marketing his or her athletics ability or reputation for financial gain, or seeks to obtain any type of financial gain or benefit from securing a prospective student-athlete's enrollment at an educational institution or from a student-athlete's potential earnings as a professional athlete.	Adopted.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-24	AMATEURISM -- AMATEUR STATUS -- EXPENSES FROM A SPONSOR FOR PRACTICE OR COMPETITION IN INDIVIDUAL SPORTS PRIOR TO FULL-TIME COLLEGIATE ENROLLMENT	NCAA Division I Amateurism Cabinet	Immediate	In individual sports, to specify that, prior to full-time collegiate enrollment, an individual may accept up to actual and necessary expenses associated with an athletics event and practice immediately preceding the event, from a sponsor (e.g., neighbor, business) other than an agent, a member institution or a representative of an institution's athletics interests.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-25	AMATEURISM -- EXCEPTIONS TO AMATEURISM RULE -- PRIZE MONEY PRIOR TO FULL-TIME COLLEGIATE ENROLLMENT -- TENNIS -- $10,000 PER YEAR	NCAA Division I Amateurism Cabinet	Immediate	In tennis, to specify that, prior to full-time collegiate enrollment, an individual may accept prize money based on his or her place finish or performance in open athletics events, not to exceed $10,000 per calendar year; further, to specify that once the individual has reached the $10,000 limit, he or she may receive additional prize money on a per-event basis, provided such prize money does not exceed his or her actual and necessary expenses for participation in the event.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-26	AMATEURISM, RECRUITING, ELIGIBILITY AND AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- WORLD UNIVERSITY CHAMPIONSHIPS	NCAA Division I Championships/ Sports Management Cabinet (Olympic Sports Liaison Committee)	Immediate	To include the World University Championships in all bylaws that apply to the World University Games.	Adopted.
2011-27	AMATEURISM AND EXECUTIVE REGULATIONS -- FINANCIAL DONATIONS AND ADVERTISING AND SPONSORSHIP OF INTERCOLLEGIATE EVENTS -- PROFESSIONAL SPORTS ORGANIZATIONS	NCAA Division I Championships/ Sports Management Cabinet	Immediate	To specify that a professional sports organization may serve as a financial sponsor of an intercollegiate competition event, including regular season and postseason events, provided the organization is not publicly identified as such; and that a professional sports organization may serve as a financial sponsor of an activity or promotion that is ancillary to the competition event and may be publicly identified as such; further, to eliminate the prohibition on professional sports organizations or personnel as acceptable advertisers in conjunction with NCAA championships.	Adopted.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-28-A	RECRUITING -- PERMISSIBLE RECRUITERS -- GENERAL EXCEPTIONS -- RELATIVES OF ENROLLED STUDENT-ATHLETES	Southeastern Conference	Immediate	To specify that contacts between a prospective student-athlete or his or her relatives (traditional or nontraditional) or legal guardians and the relatives (traditional or nontraditional) or legal guardians of an enrolled student-athlete that occur on the day of a regularly scheduled home athletics event shall be permissible.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-28-B	RECRUITING -- PERMISSIBLE RECRUITERS -- GENERAL EXCEPTIONS -- ON-CAMPUS CONTACT WITH RELATIVES OF ENROLLED STUDENT-ATHLETES	Big East Conference	Immediate	To specify that on-campus contacts between a prospective student-athlete or his or her relatives (traditional or nontraditional) or legal guardians accompanying the prospective student-athlete and the relatives (traditional or nontraditional) or legal guardians of an enrolled student-athlete shall be permissible.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-29-A	RECRUITING -- PERMISSIBLE RECRUITERS -- STUDENT-ATHLETE -- OFF-CAMPUS CONTACTS DURING AN UNOFFICIAL VISIT	Southeastern Conference	Immediate	To specify that off-campus, in-person contacts between enrolled student-athletes and a prospective student-athlete are permissible if such contacts do not occur at the direction of a coaching staff member and the prospective student-athlete has notified the institution that he or she is making an unofficial visit.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-29-B	RECRUITING -- PERMISSIBLE RECRUITERS -- STUDENT-ATHLETE -- OFF-CAMPUS CONTACTS	NCAA Division I Legislative Council	Immediate	To specify that off-campus, in-person contact between an enrolled student-athlete and a prospective student-athlete is permissible, provided such contact does not occur at the direction of an institutional staff member.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-30	RECRUITING -- TELEPHONE CALLS AND ELECTRONIC CORRESPONDENCE -- NO LIMITS ON OR AFTER FIRST PERMISSIBLE DATE	Big East Conference	August 1, 2012	To deregulate the restrictions on telephone calls and electronically transmitted correspondence, as specified.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-31	RECRUITING -- TELEPHONE CALLS -- NO LIMITS AFTER FIRST PERMISSIBLE DATE	NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet	August 1, 2012	To eliminate the limitations on the number and frequency of telephone calls to prospective student-athletes, as specified.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-32	RECRUITING -- TELEPHONE CALLS -- PERMISSIBLE CALLERS -- EXCEPTIONS -- PRIOR TO COMMITMENT -- COMPLIANCE ADMINISTRATORS	NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet	Immediate	To permit compliance administrators to make telephone calls to or receive telephone calls from a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians) with no limit on the timing or number of such calls, provided the calls relate only to compliance issues.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-33	RECRUITING -- CONTACTS AND EVALUATIONS -- RECRUITING PERSON DAYS -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL, WOMEN'S SAND VOLLEYBALL AND WOMEN'S VOLLEYBALL	NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet	August 1, 2012	In women's basketball, women's sand volleyball and women's volleyball, to eliminate the limitation on the number of evaluations per prospective student-athlete.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-34	RECRUITING -- BASKETBALL EVALUATIONS -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL -- EVALUATIONS DURING ACADEMIC YEAR EVALUATION PERIODS -- LIVE EVALUATIONS	NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet (Women's Basketball Issues Committee)	Immediate	In women's basketball, to specify that evaluations of live athletics activities during the academic year evaluation periods (other than permissible nonscholastic events) shall be limited to regularly scheduled high school, preparatory school and two-year college contests/tournaments and practices; and regular scholastic activities involving prospective student-athletes enrolled only at the institution at which the regular scholastic activities occur.	Adopted.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-37	RECRUITING -- RECRUITING MATERIALS -- ELECTRONIC CORRESPONDENCE -- ALL FORMS OF DIRECT CORRESPONDENCE PERMITTED	NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet	August 1, 2012	To specify that an institution shall not provide recruiting materials, including general correspondence related to athletics, to an individual (or his or her parents or legal guardians) until July 1 following the completion of his or her sophomore year in high school, or the opening day of classes of his or her junior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier; further, to specify that electronic correspondence (e.g., email, instant messages, facsimiles, text messages) may be sent to a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians), provided the correspondence is sent directly to the prospective student-athlete (or his or her parents or legal guardians) and is private between only the sender and recipient (e.g., no use of chat rooms, message boards, posts to "walls").	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-38	RECRUITING -- RECRUITING MATERIALS -- ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSIONS -- EXCEPTION -- SOCIAL MEDIA PLATFORMS -- AUTOMATED NOTIFICATIONS	Southeastern Conference	Immediate	To specify that automated electronic mail sent to a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians) from a social media platform as the result of an institutional staff member's response to a prospective student-athlete's (or the prospective student-athlete's parents' or legal guardians') request to establish a connection with the staff member (e.g., accepting friend request) shall not be considered electronic mail from the institutional staff member.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-39	RECRUITING -- RECRUITING MATERIALS -- ADVERTISEMENTS AND PROMOTIONS -- CAMP OR CLINIC ADVERTISEMENTS -- CAMP OR CLINIC BROCHURES AVAILABLE AT EVENT VENUE	Southern Conference	Immediate	To specify that an institution may make institutional camp or clinic brochures available at the venue of an athletics event involving prospective student-athletes.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-40	RECRUITING -- OFFICIAL (PAID) VISIT -- ENTERTAINMENT/TICKETS ON OFFICIAL VISIT -- STUDENT HOST -- ENTERTAINMENT ALLOWANCE	Big East Conference	August 1, 2012	To increase, from $30 to $40, the allowance that an institution may provide a student host for each day of a prospective student-athlete's official visit to cover all actual costs of entertaining the student host(s) and the prospective student-athlete; further, to increase, from $15 to $20, the additional allowance an institution may provide the student host per day for each additional prospective student-athlete the host entertains.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-41	RECRUITING -- UNOFFICIAL (NONPAID) VISIT -- FIRST OPPORTUNITY TO VISIT	Big South Conference	June 15, 2012	To specify that an individual may not make an athletically related unofficial visit (e.g., no contact with coaching staff, no athletics-specific tour) before June 15 at the conclusion of his or her freshman year in high school.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-43	RECRUITING AND FINANCIAL AID -- LETTER-OF-INTENT PROGRAMS, FINANCIAL AID AGREEMENTS -- LETTER OF INTENT RESTRICTION -- LIMITATION ON NUMBER OF SIGNINGS -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL	Southeastern Conference	August 1, 2012	In bowl subdivision football, to specify that there shall be an annual signing limit of 25 on the number of prospective student-athletes who may sign a National Letter of Intent or institutional offer of financial aid from December 1 through May 31; further to specify that a prospective student-athlete who signs a National Letter of Intent or an institutional offer of financial aid and becomes an initial counter for the same academic year in which the signing occurred (e.g., midyear enrollee) shall not count toward the annual limit on signings.	Adopted.
2011-44	RECRUITING -- LETTER-OF-INTENT PROGRAMS, FINANCIAL AID AGREEMENTS -- SUBMISSION OF TRANSCRIPT TO ELIGIBILITY CENTER BEFORE SIGNING	NCAA Division I Academic Cabinet	August 1, 2012	To specify that an institution shall not permit a high school prospective student-athlete (other than a prospective student-athlete who attends a secondary school in a foreign country) to sign a National Letter of Intent or an institution's written offer of athletically related financial aid until the NCAA Eligibility Center has received an official high school transcript for each high school the prospective student-athlete has attended through his or her sixth semester (or equivalent) of enrollment.	Forwarded to the membership for review and comment.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-45	RECRUITING -- TRYOUTS -- NONSCHOLASTIC PRACTICE OR COMPETITION AND NONINSTITUTIONAL CAMPS AND CLINICS -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL	NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet (Women's Basketball Issues Committee)	Immediate; contracts signed before June 28, 2011, may be honored.	In women's basketball, to specify that an institution [including any institutional department (e.g., athletics, recreational/intramural)] shall not host, sponsor or conduct a nonscholastic basketball practice or competition in which women's basketball prospective student-athletes participate on its campus or at an off-campus facility regularly used by the institution for practice and/or competition by any of the institution's sport programs, and to establish limited exceptions, as specified; further, to specify that the use of an institution's facilities for noninstitutional camps is limited to the months of June, July and August; finally, to prohibit evaluations at noninstitutional events, camps or clinics that occur on a Division I campus during evaluation periods.	Adopted.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-46	RECRUITING -- TRYOUTS -- NONSCHOLASTIC PRACTICE OR COMPETITION AND NONINSTITUTIONAL CAMPS OR CLINICS -- FOOTBALL	Southeastern Conference	Immediate; a contract signed before August 15, 2011, may be honored.	In football, to specify that an institution [including any institutional department (e.g., athletics, recreational/intramural)] shall not host, sponsor or conduct a nonscholastic football practice or competition (e.g., seven-on-seven events) in which football prospective student-athletes participate on its campus or at an off-campus facility regularly used by the institution for practice and/or competition by any of the institution's sport programs; further, to limit the use of institutional facilities for noninstitutional camps or clinics that include prospect-aged participants to June and July in bowl subdivision football and to June, July and August in championship subdivision football.	FCS: Adopted. FBS: Adopted.
2011-47	RECRUITING -- TRYOUTS -- LOCAL SPORTS CLUBS -- FOOTBALL	Southeastern Conference	Immediate	In football, to prohibit a coach or a noncoaching staff member with football-specific responsibilities from being involved in any capacity in a football club that includes prospective student-athletes.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-48	RECRUITING -- SPORTS CAMPS AND CLINICS -- RECRUITING CONVERSATIONS DURING INSTITUTION'S CAMPS AND CLINICS -- EXCEPTION -- SPORTS OTHER THAN MEN'S BASKETBALL	Big South Conference	Immediate	In sports other than men's basketball, to specify that it is permissible for an institution's coach to engage in recruiting conversations with a prospective student-athlete during the institution's camps or clinics.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-49	RECRUITING -- SPORTS CAMPS AND CLINICS -- EMPLOYMENT AT CAMP OR CLINIC -- ATHLETICS STAFF MEMBERS -- NONINSTITUTIONAL, PRIVATELY OWNED CAMPS OR CLINICS -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL -- HEAD COACH EXCEPTION -- CHARITABLE OR NONPROFIT CAMP OR CLINIC	Mid-American Conference	Immediate	In bowl subdivision football, to specify that an institution's head coach may participate as a volunteer (e.g. counselor, guest lecturer, consultant) on one day in June or July outside the designated two periods of 15 consecutive days at a charitable or nonprofit camp or clinic, as specified.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-50	RECRUITING -- USE OF RECRUITING FUNDS -- RECRUITING OR SCOUTING SERVICES -- CRITERIA FOR SUBSCRIPTION	Big East Conference, Conference USA and Mountain West Conference	Immediate	In sports other than basketball and football, to specify that an institution may subscribe to a recruiting or scouting service involving prospective student-athletes, provided the service is made available to all institutions desiring to subscribe and at the same fee rate for all subscribers; further, to specify that an institution is permitted to subscribe to a service that provides scholastic and/or nonscholastic video. In basketball and football, to eliminate the restriction on subscribing to a service that includes access to nonscholastic video.	FCS: Adopted. FBS: Adopted. Division I: Adopted.
2011-51	RECRUITING -- USE OF RECRUITING FUNDS -- RECRUITING OR SCOUTING SERVICES -- CRITERIA FOR SUBSCRIPTION -- SPORTS OTHER THAN BASKETBALL AND FOOTBALL	Pac-12 Conference	August 1, 2012	In sports other than basketball and football, to specify that an institution may subscribe to a recruiting or scouting service involving prospective student-athletes, provided the service is made available to all institutions desiring to subscribe and at the same fee rate for all subscribers; further, to specify that an institution is permitted to subscribe to a service that provides scholastic and/or nonscholastic video.	Proposal rendered moot by the adoption of Proposal No. 2011-50.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-52	RECRUITING -- USE OF RECRUITING FUNDS -- RECRUITING OR SCOUTING SERVICES -- CRITERIA FOR SUBSCRIPTION -- NCAA APPROVAL -- BASKETBALL AND FOOTBALL	Southeastern Conference	Immediate for implementation of the approval process; June 1, 2012, for application of legislation.	In basketball and football, to specify that an institution shall not subscribe to a recruiting or scouting service unless the service has been approved by the NCAA pursuant to an annual approval process.	FCS: Adopted. FBS: Adopted. Division I: Adopted.
2011-53	RECRUITING -- PRECOLLEGE EXPENSES -- DONATION OF ATHLETICS EQUIPMENT -- ELIMINATION OF 30-MILE RADIUS	West Coast Conference	August 1, 2012	To eliminate the restriction that precludes an institution from donating athletics equipment to a bona fide youth organization outside a 30-mile radius of the institution's campus.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-54	RECRUITING -- RECRUITING CALENDARS -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL -- JULY EVALUATION AND DEAD PERIODS	Atlantic Coast Conference	Immediate	In women's basketball, to specify that during the time period of July 6-31, the recruiting calendar shall consist of, consecutively, a seven-day evaluation period, a 10-day dead period, a seven-day evaluation period and a two-day dead period.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-55	RECRUITING -- RECRUITING CALENDARS -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL -- EARLY JANUARY DEAD PERIOD	NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet	August 1, 2012	In bowl subdivision football, to revise the recruiting calendar to specify that January 4 through the Sunday during the week of the annual convention of the American Football Coaches Association shall be a dead period.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-56	RECRUITING -- RECRUITING-PERSON DAYS AND RECRUITING CALENDAR -- FENCING	NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet	August 1, 2012	In fencing, to establish recruiting-person days and a recruiting calendar, as specified.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-57	RECRUITING -- RECRUITING-PERSON DAYS AND RECRUITING CALENDAR -- FIELD HOCKEY	NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet	August 12, 2012	In field hockey, to establish recruiting-person days and a recruiting calendar, as specified.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-58	RECRUITING -- RECRUITING CALENDARS -- WOMEN'S GYMNASTICS	NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet	August 1, 2012	In women's gymnastics, to establish a recruiting calendar, as specified.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-60	RECRUITING -- RECRUITING CALENDARS -- WRESTLING	NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet	August 1, 2012	In wrestling, to establish a recruiting calendar, as specified.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-61	RECRUITING -- BASKETBALL EVENT CERTIFICATION -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL -- NO EMPLOYMENT OF CURRENT STUDENT-ATHLETES	Atlantic Coast Conference	Immediate	In women's basketball, to specify that a certified event shall not employ (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) a current women's basketball student-athlete.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-62	ELIGIBILITY -- GENERAL ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS -- ELIGIBILITY FORM -- INTERNATIONAL STUDENT-ATHLETE	Big East Conference	August 1, 2012	To eliminate the requirement that the eligibility of an international student-athlete shall be certified on an international student-athlete eligibility form.	Adopted.
2011-63	ELIGIBILITY -- GENERAL ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS -- GRADUATE STUDENT/POSTBACCALAUREATE PARTICIPATION -- POSTSEASON EVENT FOLLOWING LAST TERM OF ELIGIBILITY	Pac-12 Conference	Immediate	To specify that a student-athlete who is eligible during the term in which degree work is completed (or is eligible as a graduate) remains eligible for any postseason event that begins within 60 days after the end of the term in which the student completes the requirements for the degree (or graduate eligibility).	Adopted.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-64	ELIGIBILITY -- SEASONS OF COMPETITION: FIVE-YEAR RULE -- FIVE SEASONS OF ELIGIBILITY -- FOOTBALL	Colonial Athletic Association	August 1, 2012; applies to any student-athlete with eligibility remaining.	In football, to specify that a student-athlete shall not engage in more than five seasons of intercollegiate competition and may only engage in a fifth season at an institution at which the student-athlete previously used a season of competition.	FCS: Defeated. FBS: Defeated.
2011-65	ELIGIBILITY -- TWO-YEAR COLLEGE TRANSFERS -- YEAR OF ACADEMIC READINESS AT TWO-YEAR COLLEGE	NCAA Division I Academic Cabinet	August 1, 2012, for student-athletes initially enrolling full time at a collegiate institution on or after August 1, 2012.	To establish a year of academic readiness for two-year college transfers, as specified.	Defeated.
2011-66	ELIGIBILITY -- SEASONS OF COMPETITION -- MINIMUM AMOUNT OF COMPETITION -- EXCEPTION -- NONCHAMPIONSHIP SEGMENT COMPETITION -- SOFTBALL	Big 12 Conference	Immediate; applies retroactively to any student-athlete with eligibility remaining.	In softball, to permit a student-athlete to compete in an institution's non-championship segment without using a season of competition, as specified.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-67	ELIGIBILITY -- FRESHMAN ACADEMIC REQUIREMENTS -- ADVANCED PLACEMENT -- INTERNATIONAL CERTIFICATION	West Coast Conference	August 1, 2012	To specify that for purposes of fulfilling the advanced placement requirements for initial eligibility, "similar proficiency examination," must be an advanced or higher level, nationally administered proficiency exam with a uniform grading scale that is taken after high school graduation; further, to specify that an institution shall use the NCAA Eligibility Center to certify the eligibility of an international student-athlete pursuant to the advanced placement requirements.	Adopted.
2011-68	ELIGIBILITY -- PROGRESS-TOWARD-DEGREE REQUIREMENTS -- ELIGIBILITY FOR COMPETITION -- FULFILLMENT OF CREDIT-HOUR REQUIREMENTS -- ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS -- FOOTBALL -- EXCEPTION -- TEAM ACADEMIC PROGRESS RATE	Big East Conference	August 1, 2012	In football, to specify that a student-athlete shall not be subject to the eligibility penalty for failure to successfully complete at least nine-semester hours or eight-quarter hours of academic credit during the fall term and earn the Academic Progress Rate eligibility point for the fall term, provided the institution's Academic Progress Rate for football is 965 or higher as of the first day of classes of the fall term in which the penalty would otherwise apply.	FCS: Defeated. FBS: Defeated.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-71	ELIGIBILITY -- TRANSFER REGULATIONS -- FOUR-YEAR COLLEGE TRANSFERS -- ONE-TIME TRANSFER EXCEPTION -- WOMEN'S ICE HOCKEY	Big Ten Conference	August 1, 2012; applicable to student-athletes who enroll full time at the certifying institution in a regular academic term as transfer students on or after August 1, 2012.	To specify that the one-time transfer exception to the four-year transfer residence requirement shall not be applicable to student-athletes in women's ice hockey.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-72	ELIGIBILITY -- OUTSIDE COMPETITION -- EXCEPTION -- USA FENCING NATIONAL CHAMPIONSHIPS	The Ivy League	Immediate	In fencing, to specify that a student-athlete may compete during the academic year as a member of a USA Fencing member club team at the USA Fencing National Championships.	Adopted.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-73	FINANCIAL AID AND AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- TRAINING-TABLE MEALS -- ONE MEAL PER DAY -- STUDENT-ATHLETES NOT RECEIVING FULL BOARD -- FOOTBALL	Big East Conference	August 1, 2012	In bowl subdivision football, to permit an institution to provide one training-table meal per day to a student-athlete who does not receive athletically related financial aid; further, in championship subdivision football, to permit an institution to provide one training-table meal per day to a student-athlete who does not receive athletically related financial aid that covers the full cost of board; finally, to specify that the provision of the one training-table meal per day to such a student-athlete shall not be considered financial aid.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-74	FINANCIAL AID -- SUMMER FINANCIAL AID -- ENROLLED STUDENT-ATHLETES -- PROPORTIONALITY RESTRICTION -- EXHAUSTED ELIGIBILITY EXCEPTION	Big 12 Conference	Immediate	To specify that the summer aid proportionality restriction shall not apply to a student-athlete who has exhausted his or her eligibility and is enrolled in course work acceptable toward his or her degree requirements.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-75	FINANCIAL AID -- SUMMER FINANCIAL AID -- PRIOR TO INITIAL FULL-TIME ENROLLMENT -- FOOTBALL -- COUNTER FOR THE ENSUING ACADEMIC YEAR	Southeastern Conference	Immediate	In football, to specify that a prospective student-athlete who receives athletically related financial aid during a summer term prior to initial full-time enrollment at the certifying institution shall be an initial and overall counter for the ensuing academic year.	FCS: Adopted. FBS: Adopted.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-76	FINANCIAL AID -- MAXIMUM INSTITUTIONAL GRANT-IN-AID LIMITATIONS BY SPORT -- EQUIVALENCY COMPUTATIONS	NCAA Division I Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet	August 1, 2012	To specify that in calculating equivalencies, an institution may use either the actual or average amount received by the student-athlete as the numerator and either the actual full grant-in-aid value for the student-athlete or the average amount of a full grant-in-aid for all students at the institution as the denominator.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-77	FINANCIAL AID -- MAXIMUM INSTITUTIONAL GRANT-IN-AID LIMITATIONS BY SPORT -- BASEBALL LIMITATIONS -- MINIMUM EQUIVALENCY VALUE -- EXCEPTION -- FINAL YEAR OF ELIGIBILITY AND NOT PREVIOUSLY AIDED	Big South Conference and Southern Conference	August 1, 2012	In baseball, to specify that an institution may provide less than 25 percent of an equivalency to a student-athlete, provided the student-athlete is in the final year of eligibility and has not previously received athletically related financial aid in baseball.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-78	AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- HOUSING AND MEALS -- FRUIT, NUTS AND BAGELS -- BAGEL SPREADS	Big East Conference	August 1, 2012	To permit an institution to provide bagel spreads (e.g., butter, peanut butter, jelly, cream cheese) with bagels it may provide to a student-athlete at any time.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-79	AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- EXPENSES FOR STUDENT-ATHLETE'S FRIENDS AND RELATIVES -- PERMISSIBLE EXPENSES -- LIFE-THREATENING INJURY OR ILLNESS -- EXPENSES FOR ANY STUDENT-ATHLETE	NCAA Division I Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet	Immediate	To specify that the institution may pay transportation, housing and meal expenses for any student-athlete to be present in situations in which a student-athlete or a family member or legal guardian of a student-athlete suffers a life-threatening injury or illness, or in the event of a student-athlete's or student-athlete's family member or legal guardian's death.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-80-A	AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- EXPENSES PROVIDED BY THE INSTITUTION FOR PRACTICE AND COMPETITION -- NATIONAL TEAM TRYOUTS -- NOT MORE THAN TWO EVENTS	NCAA Division I Championships/ Sports Management Cabinet (Olympic Sports Liaison Committee)	August 1, 2012	To permit an institution to provide actual and necessary expenses for a student-athlete to participate in not more than two national team tryout competition events, including events from which participants are selected for another tier of tryout competition or events from which final selections are made for the national team that will participate in the Olympic Games, Pan American Games, World Championships, World Cup or World University Games.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-80-B	AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- EXPENSES PROVIDED BY THE INSTITUTION FOR PRACTICE AND COMPETITION -- NATIONAL TEAM TRYOUTS -- NOT MORE THAN TWO EVENTS -- IMMEDIATE EFFECTIVE DATE	NCAA Division I Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet	Immediate	To permit an institution to provide actual and necessary expenses for a student-athlete to participate in not more than two national team tryout competition events, including events from which participants are selected for another tier of tryout competition or events from which final selections are made for the national team that will participate in the Olympic Games, Pan American Games, World Championships, World Cup or World University Games.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-81	AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- OTHER TRAVEL EXPENSES PROVIDED BY THE INSTITUTION -- CONFERENCE-SPONSORED LIFE SKILLS PROGRAM	Big 12 Conference	Immediate	To permit an institution or conference to pay actual and necessary expenses for a student-athlete to attend a conference-sponsored life skills program (e.g., leadership, personal development, conflict resolution).	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-82	AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- PROVISION OF EXPENSES BY INDIVIDUALS OR ORGANIZATIONS OTHER THAN THE INSTITUTION -- BENEFITS, GIFTS, AND SERVICES -- MISCELLANEOUS BENEFITS -- FUNDRAISERS FOR STUDENT-ATHLETES OR IMMEDIATE FAMILY MEMBERS	NCAA Division I Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet	Immediate	To specify that proceeds from fundraisers for student-athletes (or their immediate families) due to extreme circumstances beyond the student-athlete's control (e.g., life-threatening illness, natural disaster) may be given directly to the beneficiaries, with receipt kept on file by the institution, which must include the amount of expenses incurred and the total amount received.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-83	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- BASEBALL -- MAXIMUM NUMBER OF CONTESTS -- 52	West Coast Conference	August 1, 2012	In baseball, to reduce, from 56 to 52, the limitation on the maximum number of contests with outside competition.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-84	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- BASKETBALL -- PRESEASON PRACTICE -- ON-COURT PRACTICE -- ELIMINATION OF 5 P.M. START TIME ON FIRST PERMISSIBLE PRACTICE DATE	NCAA Division I Championships/ Sports Management Cabinet (Women's Basketball Issues Committee)	August 1, 2012	In basketball, to eliminate the 5 p.m. start time on the first permissible practice date.	Section A: Forwarded to the membership for review and comment. Section B: Adopted.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-85	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- MEN'S BASKETBALL -- PRESEASON PRACTICE -- ON-COURT PRACTICE -- 30 DAYS OF COUNTABLE ACTIVITIES WITHIN 40 DAYS PRIOR TO FIRST CONTEST	Big South Conference	August 1, 2012	In men's basketball, to specify that an institution shall not commence on-court preseason basketball practice sessions prior to 5 p.m. on the date that is 40 days prior to the date of the institution's first regular-season contest; further, to specify that an institution shall not engage in more than 30 days of countable athletically related activities prior to its first regular-season contest.	Forwarded to the membership for review and comment.
2011-86	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL -- FIRST PERMISSIBLE CONTEST DATE -- TUESDAY BEFORE THE SECOND FRIDAY OF NOVEMBER	Southeastern Conference	August 1, 2012	In women's basketball, to specify that an institution shall not play its first contest (game or scrimmage) with outside competition in women's basketball prior to the Tuesday before the second Friday of November.	Defeated.
2011-87	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- FOOTBALL -- NUMBER OF CONTESTS -- ANNUAL EXEMPTIONS -- COLLEGE FOOTBALL INVITATIONAL	Sun Belt Conference	Immediate	In football, to specify that one contest played in a college football invitational event is exempt from the maximum number of football contests, as specified.	FCS: Not moved. FBS: Not moved.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-88	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- GOLF -- PRESEASON PRACTICE AND FIRST DATE OF COMPETITION -- EXCEPTION -- TOPY CUP	Big West Conference	August 1, 2012	In golf, to specify that an institution selected to participate in the Topy Cup may commence practice sessions five days before the practice round of the event and that the institution may participate in the competition before the legislated date for the first date of competition.	Adopted.
2011-89	COMMITTEES -- ASSOCIATION-WIDE COMMITTEES -- RULES COMMITTEES WITHOUT CHAMPIONSHIPS ADMINISTRATION RESPONSIBILITIES -- SWIMMING AND DIVING, TRACK AND FIELD AND WRESTLING	NCAA Division I Championships and Sports Management Cabinet (Men's and Women's Swimming and Diving Committee, Men's and Women's Track and Field Committee, and Wrestling Committee)	August 1, 2012	To establish a separate Men's and Women's Swimming and Diving Rules Committee, a separate Men's and Women's Track and Field Rules Committee and a separate Wrestling Rules Committee without championships administration responsibilities, as specified; further, to establish a Division I Men's and Women's Swimming and Diving Committee, a Division I Men's and Women's Track and Field Committee and a Division I Wrestling Committee, as specified.	Adopted.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-90	COMMITTEES -- DIVISION I CABINETS AND COMMITTEES -- APPOINTMENT OF COMMITTEES -- CONFERENCE APPOINTMENT FOR REMAINDER OF A TERM -- SPORTS COMMITTEES	Southland Conference	August 1, 2012	To specify that if a member of a sports committee resigns or is removed from his or her position on the committee, the conference of which the committee member's institution was a member at the time of resignation or removal shall appoint an individual to complete the term of the committee member who resigned or was removed.	Not moved.
2011-91	EXECUTIVE REGULATIONS -- DAY OF COMPETITION -- NOON START TIME -- EXCEPTION -- MEN'S GOLF CHAMPIONSHIPS	NCAA Division I Championship/Sports Management Cabinet (Men's Golf Committee)	Immediate	In men's golf, to specify that in instances in which the final day of the men's golf championships occurs on a Sunday, competition may begin prior to noon.	Adopted.

Conference -- Voting Delegate	Conference Type	Voting Weight	2012-1 as noncontroversial	2012-1	Manipulation of Drug Test as noncontroversial	2011-11	Table 2011-12	Table 2011-13	Table 2011-14	Table 2011-15	Table 2011-16 FBS	Table 2011-16 FCS	Table 2011-17	Table 2011-18	Table 2011-19 FBS	Table 2011-19 FCS	Table 2011-20	Table 2011-21 FBS	Table 2011-21 FCS	Table 2011-22	2011-23	Table 2011-24	Table 2011-25	2011-26
America East -- M. Mulvenna	DI	1.2	Y	Y	N		Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	Y			Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Atlantic 10 -- E. Pasque	DI	1.2	Y	Y	N		Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	Y			Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Atlantic Coast -- L. Babcock	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Atlantic Sun -- K. Capriotti	DI	1.2	Y	Y	Y		N	N	N	N			N	N			N			N	Y	N	N	Y
Big 12 -- D. Flores	FBS	3	Y	Y	N	Not Moved	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Big East -- J. F. D'Antonio, Jr	FBS	3	Y	Y	N		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	D	Y	Y	Y
Big Sky -- J. Gee	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Big South -- M. Eaker	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	N		N	N	N	N			N	N			N			N	Y	N	N	Y
Big Ten -- J. Bolin	FBS	3	Y	Y	N		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Big West -- C. Masner	DI	1.2	Y	Y	N		Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	Y			Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Colonial Athletic -- P. Bowden	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Conference USA -- R. Philippi	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Horizon League -- E. Jacobs	DI	1.2	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	Y			Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Ivy Group -- C. Campbell-McGovern	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Metro Atlantic Athletic -- W. Maher	DI	1.2	Y	Y	Y		N	N	N	N			N	N			N			N	Y	N	N	Y
Mid-American -- D. Gragg	FBS	1.5	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Mid-Eastern Athletic -- Q. Wright	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	N		Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Missouri Valley -- G. Walter	DI	1.2	Y	Y	N		Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	Y			Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Mountain West -- J. Ruggiero	FBS	1.5	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Northeast -- A. Alford	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Ohio Valley -- M. Banker	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	N		Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Pac-12 -- Beth Goode	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Patriot League -- K. McKittrick	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	N		Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Southeastern -- G. Sankey	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Southern -- R. Johnson	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	Y		N	N	N	N		Y	N	N		Y	N		Y	N	Y	N	N	Y
Southland -- S. McDonald	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	N		Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Southwestern Athletic -- A. Robinson	FCS	1.2	N	Y	N		Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Summit League -- K. Heylens	DI	1.2	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	Y			Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Sun Belt -- K. Keene	FBS	1.5	Y	Y	N		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
West Coast -- S. Fink	DI	1.2	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	Y			Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Western Athletic -- R. Spear	FBS	1.5	Y	Y	N		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Pioneer League -- S. Fink	FCS	1										Y				Y			Y					
Missouri Valley Football -- K. Heylens	FCS	1										Y				Y			Y					
Adopt/Yes (Y)			49.8	51	27		46.2	46.2	46.2	46.2	27	12	46.2	46.2	27	12	46.2	27	12	46.2	48	46.2	46.2	51
Defeat/No (N)			1.2	0	24		4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	0	0	4.8	4.8	0	0	4.8	0	0	4.8	0	4.8	4.8	0
Distribute to Membership for Review (D)			0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
Abstain (A)			0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
No Vote Cast (Blank)			0	0	0		0	0	0	0	24	1	0	0	24	1	0	24	1	0	0	0	0	0
Total			51	51	51		51	51	51	51	51	13	51	51	51	13	51	51	13	51	51	51	51	51

Conference -- Voting Delegate	Conference Type	Voting Weight	2011-27	Table 2011-28-A	Table 2011-28-B	Table 2011-29-A	Table 2011-29-B	Table 2011-30 FBS	Table 2011-30 FCS	Table 2011-30 DI	Table 2011-31 FBS	Table 2011-31 FCS	Table 2011-31 DI	Table 2011-32	Table 2011-33	2011-34	Table 2011-37	Table 2011-38	Table 2011-39	Table 2011-40	Table 2011-41	2011-43 FBS	2011-44	2011-45	2011-46 FBS	2011-46 FCS	Table 2011-47 FBS	Table 2011-47 FCS
America East -- M. Mulvenna	DI	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		N	D				
Atlantic 10 -- E. Pasque	DI	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	N				
Atlantic Coast -- L. Babcock	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	
Atlantic Sun -- K. Capriotti	DI	1.2	Y	N	N	N	N			N			N	N	N	Y	N	N	N	N	N		Y	Y				
Big 12 -- D. Flores	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	
Big East -- J. F. D'Antonio, Jr	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y		Y	
Big Sky -- J. Gee	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y		Y
Big South -- M. Eaker	FCS	1.2	Y	N	N	N	N			N			N	N	N	Y	N	N	N	N	N		N	Y				
Big Ten -- J. Bolin	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	
Big West -- C. Masner	DI	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		N	D				
Colonial Athletic -- P. Bowden	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		A	Y		Y		Y
Conference USA -- R. Philippi	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	D	D	N	Y		Y	
Horizon League -- E. Jacobs	DI	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		N	N				
Ivy Group -- C. Campbell-McGovern	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		D	Y		Y		Y
Metro Atlantic Athletic -- W. Maher	DI	1.2	Y	N	N	N	N			N			N	N	N	Y	N	N	N	N	N		N	N				
Mid-American -- D. Gragg	FBS	1.5	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	
Mid-Eastern Athletic -- Q. Wright	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		N	Y		Y		Y
Missouri Valley -- G. Walter	DI	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		N	D				
Mountain West -- J. Ruggiero	FBS	1.5	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	
Northeast -- A. Alford	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	N		N		Y
Ohio Valley -- M. Banker	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		N		Y
Pac-12 -- Beth Goode	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y		Y	
Patriot League -- K. McKittrick	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		N	Y		Y		Y
Southeastern -- G. Sankey	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	D	Y	Y		Y	
Southern -- R. Johnson	FCS	1.2	Y	N	N	N	N		Y	N		Y	N	N	N	Y	N	N	N	N	N		Y	Y		Y		Y
Southland -- S. McDonald	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	N		Y		Y
Southwestern Athletic -- A. Robinson	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		N	N		N		Y
Summit League -- K. Heylens	DI	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		N	Y				
Sun Belt -- K. Keene	FBS	1.5	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	
West Coast -- S. Fink	DI	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		N	Y				
Western Athletic -- R. Spear	FBS	1.5	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y		Y	
Pioneer League -- S. Fink	FCS	1							Y			Y														A		Y
Missouri Valley Football -- K. Heylens	FCS	1							Y			Y														Y		Y
Adopt/Yes (Y)			51	46.2	46.2	46.2	46.2	27	12	46.2	27	12	46.2	46.2	46.2	51	46.2	46.2	46.2	46.2	46.2	21	21.9	37.2	27	8	27	12
Defeat/No (N)			0	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	0	0	4.8	0	0	4.8	4.8	4.8	0	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	3	20.7	10.2	0	3	0	0
Distribute to Membership for Review (D)			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	7.2	3.6	0	0	0	0
Abstain (A)			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.2	0	0	1	0	0
No Vote Cast (Blank)			0	0	0	0	0	24	1	0	24	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	24	1	24	1
Total			51	51	51	51	51	51	13	51	51	13	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	13	51	13

Conference -- Voting Delegate	Conference Type	Voting Weight	Table 2011-48	Table 2011-49	2011-50 FBS	2011-50 FCS	2011-50 DI	2011-52 FBS	2011-52 FCS	2011-52 DI	Table 2011-53	Table 2011-54	Table 2011-55	Table 2011-56	Table 2011-57	Table 2011-58	Table 2011-59	Table 2011-60	Table 2011-61	2011-62	2011-63	2011-64 FBS	2011-64 FCS	2011-65	Table 2011-66	2011-67	2011-68 FBS	2011-68 FCS
America East -- M. Mulvenna	DI	1.2	Y	Y			Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			N	Y	Y		
Atlantic 10 -- E. Pasque	DI	1.2	Y	Y			Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	Y	Y		
Atlantic Coast -- L. Babcock	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N		N	Y	Y	N	
Atlantic Sun -- K. Capriotti	DI	1.2	N	N			Y			Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y			Y	N	Y		
Big 12 -- D. Flores	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		N	Y	Y	N	
Big East -- J. F. D'Antonio, Jr	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N		N	Y	Y	Y	
Big Sky -- J. Gee	FCS	1.2	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		N	N	Y	Y		N
Big South -- M. Eaker	FCS	1.2	N	N			Y			Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y			N	N	Y		
Big Ten -- J. Bolin	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N		Y	Y	N	N	
Big West -- C. Masner	DI	1.2	Y	Y			Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	D	Y			N	Y	Y		
Colonial Athletic -- P. Bowden	FCS	1.2	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	N	Y	Y		Y
Conference USA -- R. Philippi	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N		N	Y	Y	N	
Horizon League -- E. Jacobs	DI	1.2	Y	Y			Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			N	Y	Y		
Ivy Group -- C. Campbell-McGovern	FCS	1.2	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		N	D	Y	Y		N
Metro Atlantic Athletic -- W. Maher	DI	1.2	N	N			Y			Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y			N	N	N		
Mid-American -- D. Gragg	FBS	1.5	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N		Y	Y	Y	N	
Mid-Eastern Athletic -- Q. Wright	FCS	1.2	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		N	Y	Y	Y		N
Missouri Valley -- G. Walter	DI	1.2	Y	Y			Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			N	Y	D		
Mountain West -- J. Ruggiero	FBS	1.5	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		N	Y	Y	N	
Northeast -- A. Alford	FCS	1.2	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		N	N	Y	N		N
Ohio Valley -- M. Banker	FCS	1.2	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		N	Y	Y	Y		N
Pac-12 -- Beth Goode	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N		N	Y	Y	N	
Patriot League -- K. McKittrick	FCS	1.2	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		N	N	Y	N		N
Southeastern -- G. Sankey	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	D		D	Y	D	N	
Southern -- R. Johnson	FCS	1.2	N	N		Y	Y		Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y		N	N	N	Y		N
Southland -- S. McDonald	FCS	1.2	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		N	N	Y	Y		N
Southwestern Athletic -- A. Robinson	FCS	1.2	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		N	Y	Y	Y		N
Summit League -- K. Heylens	DI	1.2	Y	Y			Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y			N	Y	Y		
Sun Belt -- K. Keene	FBS	1.5	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	D		N	Y	Y	N	
West Coast -- S. Fink	DI	1.2	Y	Y			Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			D	Y	Y		
Western Athletic -- R. Spear	FBS	1.5	Y	Y	N		N	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N		Y	Y	Y	N	
Pioneer League -- S. Fink	FCS	1				Y			Y														D					N
Missouri Valley Football -- K. Heylens	FCS	1				Y			Y														D					N
Adopt/Yes (Y)			46.2	46.2	25.5	12	49.5	27	12	51	46.2	46.2	46.2	46.2	46.2	46.2	46.2	46.2	46.2	47.4	51	4.5	1	12	46.2	40.2	3	1
Defeat/No (N)			4.8	4.8	1.5	0	1.5	0	0	0	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	2.4	0	18	9	33.6	4.8	6.6	24	11
Distribute to Membership for Review (D)			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.2	0	4.5	2	5.4	0	4.2	0	0
Abstain (A)			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
No Vote Cast (Blank)			0	0	24	1	0	24	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	1	0	0	0	24	1
Total			51	51	51	13	51	51	13	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	13	51	51	51	51	13

Conference -- Voting Delegate	Conference Type	Voting Weight	Table 2011-71	2011-72	Table 2011-73 FBS	Table 2011-73 FCS	Table 2011-74	2011-75 FBS	2011-75 FCS	Table 2011-76	Table 2011-77	Table 2011-78	Table 2011-79	Table 2011-80-A	Table 2011-80-B	Table 2011-81	Table 2011-82	Table 2011-83	2011-84 Section A	2011-84 Section B	2011-85	2011-86	2011-87 FBS	2011-87 FCS	2011-88	2011-89	2011-90
America East -- M. Mulvenna	DI	1.2	Y	A			Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	D	N			Y	Y	
Atlantic 10 -- E. Pasque	DI	1.2	Y	A			Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	Y	
Atlantic Coast -- L. Babcock	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N			Y	Y	
Atlantic Sun -- K. Capriotti	DI	1.2	N	Y			N			N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	Y	
Big 12 -- D. Flores	FBS	3	Y	A	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Not Moved	Not Moved	Y	Y	Not Moved
Big East -- J. F. D'Antonio, Jr	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y		Y	D		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N			Y	Y	
Big Sky -- J. Gee	FCS	1.2	Y	A		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N			Y	Y	
Big South -- M. Eaker	FCS	1.2	N	Y			N			N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N			Y	Y	
Big Ten -- J. Bolin	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N			Y	Y	
Big West -- C. Masner	DI	1.2	Y	A			Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	D	Y	D	N			Y	Y	
Colonial Athletic -- P. Bowden	FCS	1.2	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Y			Y	Y	
Conference USA -- R. Philippi	FBS	3	Y	A	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	D	D	N	N			Y	Y	
Horizon League -- E. Jacobs	DI	1.2	Y	Y			Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Y			Y	Y	
Ivy Group -- C. Campbell-McGovern	FCS	1.2	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N			Y	Y	
Metro Atlantic Athletic -- W. Maher	DI	1.2	N	Y			N			N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N			Y	Y	
Mid-American -- D. Gragg	FBS	1.5	Y	A	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N			Y	Y	
Mid-Eastern Athletic -- Q. Wright	FCS	1.2	Y	A		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			A	Y	
Missouri Valley -- G. Walter	DI	1.2	Y	A			Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	D	N			Y	Y	
Mountain West -- J. Ruggiero	FBS	1.5	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	A	Y	Y	Y			Y	Y	
Northeast -- A. Alford	FCS	1.2	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N			Y	Y	
Ohio Valley -- M. Banker	FCS	1.2	Y	A		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N			Y	Y	
Pac-12 -- Beth Goode	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	D	N			Y	Y	
Patriot League -- K. McKittrick	FCS	1.2	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	A	N			Y	Y	
Southeastern -- G. Sankey	FBS	3	Y	A	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	D	D	Y			N	Y	
Southern -- R. Johnson	FCS	1.2	N	A		Y	N		Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N			A	Y	
Southland -- S. McDonald	FCS	1.2	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	Y	
Southwestern Athletic -- A. Robinson	FCS	1.2	Y	A		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	Y	
Summit League -- K. Heylens	DI	1.2	Y	A			Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N			Y	Y	
Sun Belt -- K. Keene	FBS	1.5	Y	A	Y		Y	D		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	D	Y			Y	Y	
West Coast -- S. Fink	DI	1.2	Y	A			Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	Y	
Western Athletic -- R. Spear	FBS	1.5	Y	A	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N			Y	Y	
Pioneer League -- S. Fink	FCS	1				Y			A																		
Missouri Valley Football -- K. Heylens	FCS	1				Y			D																		
Adopt/Yes (Y)			46.2	24.3	27	12	46.2	22.5	10	46.2	46.2	46.2	46.2	46.2	46.2	46.2	46.2	46.2	31.2	43.8	28.5	15.6			45.6	51	
Defeat/No (N)			4.8	0	0	0	4.8	0	0	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	14.1	1.2	10.2	35.4			3	0	
Distribute to Membership for Review (D)			0	0	0	0	0	4.5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.2	6	11.1	0			0	0	
Abstain (A)			0	26.7	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.5	0	1.2	0			2.4	0	
No Vote Cast (Blank)			0	0	24	1	0	24	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	
Total			51	51	51	13	51	51	13	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51			51	51	

Conference -- Voting Delegate	Conference Type	Voting Weight	2011-91
America East -- M. Mulvenna	DI	1.2	Y
Atlantic 10 -- E. Pasque	DI	1.2	Y
Atlantic Coast -- L. Babcock	FBS	3	Y
Atlantic Sun -- K. Capriotti	DI	1.2	Y
Big 12 -- D. Flores	FBS	3	Y
Big East -- J. F. D'Antonio, Jr	FBS	3	Y
Big Sky -- J. Gee	FCS	1.2	Y
Big South -- M. Eaker	FCS	1.2	Y
Big Ten -- J. Bolin	FBS	3	Y
Big West -- C. Masner	DI	1.2	Y
Colonial Athletic -- P. Bowden	FCS	1.2	Y
Conference USA -- R. Philippi	FBS	3	Y
Horizon League -- E. Jacobs	DI	1.2	Y
Ivy Group -- C. Campbell-McGovern	FCS	1.2	Y
Metro Atlantic Athletic -- W. Maher	DI	1.2	Y
Mid-American -- D. Gragg	FBS	1.5	Y
Mid-Eastern Athletic -- Q. Wright	FCS	1.2	A
Missouri Valley -- G. Walter	DI	1.2	Y
Mountain West -- J. Ruggiero	FBS	1.5	Y
Northeast -- A. Alford	FCS	1.2	Y
Ohio Valley -- M. Banker	FCS	1.2	Y
Pac-12 -- Beth Goode	FBS	3	Y
Patriot League -- K. McKittrick	FCS	1.2	Y
Southeastern -- G. Sankey	FBS	3	Y
Southern -- R. Johnson	FCS	1.2	Y
Southland -- S. McDonald	FCS	1.2	Y
Southwestern Athletic -- A. Robinson	FCS	1.2	Y
Summit League -- K. Heylens	DI	1.2	Y
Sun Belt -- K. Keene	FBS	1.5	Y
West Coast -- S. Fink	DI	1.2	Y
Western Athletic -- R. Spear	FBS	1.5	Y
Pioneer League -- S. Fink	FCS	1	
Missouri Valley Football -- K. Heylens	FCS	1	
		Adopt/Yes (Y)	49.8
		Defeat/No (N)	0
		Distribute to Membership for Review (D)	0
		Abstain (A)	1.2
		No Vote Cast (Blank)	0
		Total	51

REPORT OF THE
NCAA DIVISION I LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL MEETING
JANUARY 11-12, 2012

ACTION ITEMS.

- None.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

1. **Report of the October 27, 2011, NCAA Division I Board of Directors meeting.** The NCAA Division I Legislative Council received a report of the October 27, 2011, Board of Directors meeting and took no action.

2. **Report of the November 30, 2011, NCAA Division I Communications and Coordination Committee teleconference.** The council received a report of the November 30, 2011, Communications and Coordination Committee teleconference and took no action.

3. **Presidential Retreat Working Group updates.** The council received information and engaged in discussions related to the latest meetings and actions taken by the various Presidential Retreat Working Groups. The council offered feedback regarding various concepts and the feedback will be provided to the appropriate working groups. No action was taken.

4. **Litigation Update.** Scott Bearby, NCAA managing director of legal affairs and deputy general counsel, provided the update to the council.

5. **Legislative Actions.** A list of the council's legislative actions may be found in Attachment A and detailed voting results may be found in Attachment B.

   [Note: Per NCAA Constitution 5.3.2.2.4.1, legislation adopted by the Legislative Council shall be subject to possible review by the NCAA Division I Board of Directors at its next meeting. At its discretion, the Board of Directors may ratify, amend or defeat legislation adopted by the Legislative Council. Further, per Constitution 5.3.2.2.4.2, the Board of Directors may restore a proposal defeated on initial review by the Legislative Council. The Board of Directors may forward the proposal to the membership for review and comment in its original form or amend the proposal and forward it for review and comment. The Board of Directors also may adopt the proposal in its original form or amend and adopt it.]

6. **Report of the December 1-3, 2011, NCAA Division I Committee on Student-Athlete Reinstatement meeting.** The council received the report and took no action.

7. **Report of the November 18-20, 2011, NCAA Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee meeting.** The council received the report and took no action.

8. **Report of the January 10, 2012, NCAA Division I Football Championship Subdivision Governance Committee meeting.** The council received the report of the legislative actions taken by the Football Championship Subdivision Governance Committee during its January 10, 2012, meeting. A list of the Football Championship Subdivision Governance Committee's legislative actions may be found in Attachment A and detailed voting results may be found in Attachment B.

   [Note: Legislation adopted by the Football Championship Subdivision Governance Committee shall be subject to possible review by the NCAA Division I Presidential Advisory Group. At its discretion, the Presidential Advisory Group may ratify, amend or defeat legislation adopted by the Football Championship Subdivision Governance Committee. Further, per Constitution 5.3.2.2.4.2, the Presidential Advisory Group may restore a proposal defeated on initial review by the Football Championship Subdivision Governance Committee. The Presidential Advisory Group may forward the proposal to the membership for review and comment in its original form or amend the proposal and forward it for review and comment. The Presidential Advisory Group also may adopt the proposal in its original form or amend and adopt it.]

9. **Report of the November 3-4, 2011, NCAA Committee on Sportsmanship and Ethical Conduct meeting.** The council received the report and took no action.

10. **Election of chair and vice chair at April meeting.** The council received information regarding the expectations and process for electing a new chair and vice chair during its April meeting.

11. **Feedback on online override voting system.** The council received information regarding the recently completed online voting process for the override vote on Proposal No. 2009-100-A. No action was taken.

12. Update on NCAA Division I Manual Publications. The council received information regarding upcoming electronic publications of the NCAA Division I Manual. No action was taken.

Council Chair: *Carolyn Campbell-McGovern, Ivy League*
Council Liaisons: *Lynn Holzman, Academic and Membership Affairs*
Steve Mallonee, Academic and Membership Affairs
Binh Nguyen, Academic and Membership Affairs
Leeland Zeller, Academic and Membership Affairs

January 11-12, 2012	
Attendees	Absentees
Alicia Alford, Sacred Heart University, Northeast Conference	
Lindsey Babcock, Atlantic Coast Conference	
Matt Banker, Ohio Valley Conference	
Jeff Bolin, Purdue University, Big Ten Conference	
Paul Bowden, George Mason University, Colonial Athletic Conference	
Carolyn Campbell-McGovern, Ivy League	
Kim Capriotti, Jacksonville University, Atlantic Sun Conference	
Eugene Daniels, Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee	
Joseph D'Antonio Jr., Big East Conference	
Meredith Eaker, Liberty University, Big South Conference	
Shaney Fink, University of San Diego, West Coast Conference	
David Flores, Big 12 Conference	
Jean Gee, University of Montana, Big South Conference	
Beth Goode, Stanford University, Pac-12 Conference	
Derrick Gragg, Eastern Michigan University, Mid-American Conference	
Kathy Heylens, South Dakota State University, Summit League	
Elaine Jacobs, Youngstown State University, Horizon League	

Richard Johnson, Wofford College, Southern Conference	
Kathy Keene, Sun Belt Conference	
Bill Maher, Canisius College, Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference	
Cindy Masner, Long Beach State University, Big West Conference	
Stephanie McDonald, Southland Conference	
Kaitlyn McKittrick, Lafayette College, Patriot League	
Mary Mulvenna, America East Conference	
Edward Pasque, Atlantic 10 Conference	
Robert Philippi, Conference USA	
Ashley Robinson, Prairie View A&M University, Southwestern Athletic Conference	
Janice Ruggiero, University of New Mexico, Mountain West Conference	
Greg Sankey, Southeastern Conference	
Maddie Salamone, Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee	
Rob Spear, University of Idaho, Western Athletic Conference	
Greg Walter, Missouri Valley Conference	
Quintin Wright, Mid-Eastern Athletic Conference	
Other Participants Scott Bearby, David Berst, Kelly Brooks, Jackie Campbell, Erik Christianson, Mark Emmert, Jennifer Henderson, Michelle Hosick, Marta Lawrence, Kevin Lennon, Jarrett Newby, Wally Renfro, Dave Schnase	