A G E N D A

National Collegiate Athletic Association

Division I Legislative Council

Teleconference July 14, 2011
1 p.m. Eastern time

1. Welcome and opening remarks. (Carolyn Campbell-McGovern)

2. NCAA Division I Legislative Council chair, vice chair, NCAA Division I Legislative Council Administrative Committee and NCAA Division I Subcommittee for Legislative Relief appointments. (Lynn Holzman)

3. Approval of NCAA Division I Legislative Council Administrative Committee minutes and review of updated modification of wording. [Supplement No. 1] (Campbell-McGovern)

4. Override process memorandum. [Supplement No. 2] (Steve Mallonee)

5. Review of legislative proposals in April that received a sufficient number of override votes from the Division I membership.

   a. NCAA Proposal No. 2009-100-A (recruiting – tryouts – nonscholastic practice or competition and noninstitutional camps or clinics – men's basketball). [Supplement No. 3-a]

   b. NCAA Proposal No. 2010-30 (recruiting – telephone calls – time period for telephone calls – sports other than football). [Supplement No. 3-b]

6. Update on Division I membership override voting process. (Jackie Campbell or Holzman)

7. Other business.

8. Adjournment.

REPORT OF THE
NCAA DIVISION I LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL
ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE
JUNE 17, 2011, TELECONFERENCE

***ACTION ITEMS*.**

- None.

***INFORMATIONAL ITEMS*.**

1. **Review and Approval of NCAA Compliance Forms.** The NCAA Division I Legislative Council Administrative Committee reviewed and approved the 2011-12 compliance forms. The forms will be posted to the NCAA website by July 1.

2. **NCAA Proposal No. M-2011-3 Personnel And Recruiting -- Employment of High School, Preparatory School or Two-Year College Coaches or Other Individuals Associated with Prospective Student-Athletes -- Men's Basketball -- Strength and Conditioning Staff.** The Administrative Committee reviewed and approved NCAA Proposal No. M-2011-3, that in men's basketball, clarifies that during a two-year period before a prospective student-athlete's anticipated enrollment and a two-year period after the prospective student-athlete's actual enrollment, an institution shall not employ (or enter into a contract for future employment with) an individual associated with the prospective student-athlete in any athletics department noncoaching staff position or as a member of the strength and conditioning staff, effective immediately.

3. **NCAA Proposal No. M-2011-4 Recruiting -- Time Period for Telephone Calls -- Sports Other Than Football -- Nontraditional Academic Calendars.** The Administrative Committee reviewed and approved NCAA Proposal No. M-2011-4, that in sports other than football, clarifies the application of the time period for telephone calls legislation to an individual who attends an educational institution that uses a nontraditional academic calendar, as specified, effective immediately.

4. **NCAA Proposal No. M-2011-5 Recruiting -- Video/Audio Materials -- Pre-Enrollment Information -- Method of Delivery.** The Administrative Committee reviewed and approved NCAA Proposal No. M-2011-5, that clarifies that pre-enrollment information in a video format may be provided to a prospective student-athlete via a digital media storage device, provided he or she has signed a National Letter of Intent or institutional financial aid agreement, or has been officially accepted for enrollment, effective immediately.

5. NCAA Division I Legislative Council Subcommittee on Legislative Relief. The Administrative Committee ratified Shane Lyons as chair of the Division I Legislative Council Subcommittee on Legislative Relief, effective July 1, 2011.

6. NCAA Division I Committee on Athletics Certification Proposal Related to NCAA Bylaws 22 and 33. The Administrative Committee reviewed a concept to consolidate athletics certification regulations into one bylaw in the Division I Manual and agreed not to sponsor this proposal for the 2011-12 legislative cycle due to the current suspension of the athletics certification program.

Committee Chair: *Carolyn Campbell-McGovern, The Ivy Group*
Staff Liaisons: *Lynn Holzman, Academic and Membership Affairs*
Steve Mallonee, Academic and Membership Affairs
Binh Nguyen, Academic and Membership Affairs
Leeland Zeller, Academic and Membership Affairs

ATTACHMENT
SUPPLEMENT NO. 1

M-2011-6 RECRUITING -- TIME PERIOD FOR TELEPHONE CALLS -- SPORTS OTHER THAN FOOTBALL -- NONTRADITIONAL ACADEMIC CALENDARS

Intent: In sports other than football, to clarify the application of the time period for telephone calls legislation to an individual who attends an educational institution that uses a nontraditional academic calendar, as specified.

A. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.3.1, as follows:

13.1.3.1 Time Period for Telephone Calls—General Rule. Telephone calls to an individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before July 1 following the completion of his or her junior year in high school (subject to the exceptions below), or the opening day of classes of his or her senior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier; thereafter, staff members shall not make such telephone calls more than once per week.

13.1.3.1.1 Exception—Baseball, Cross Country/Track and Field, Men's Lacrosse, Women's Lacrosse, **Women's Sand Volleyball,** Softball and Women's Volleyball. In baseball, cross country/track and field, men's lacrosse, women's lacrosse, **women's sand volleyball,** softball and women's volleyball, telephone calls to an individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before July 1 following the completion of his or her junior year in high school**, or the opening day of classes of his or her senior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier**. Thereafter, such telephone calls shall be limited to once per week outside a contact period, but may be made at the institution's discretion during a contact period.

[13.1.3.1.2 unchanged.]

13.1.3.1.3 Exception—Men's Basketball. In men's basketball, an institution is permitted to make one telephone call per month to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) on or after June 15 of the individual's sophomore year in high school through July 31 of the individual's junior year in high school. Thereafter, outside a contact period, an institution is permitted to make two telephone calls per week to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) beginning August 1 prior to the individual's senior year in high school. Outside a contact period, an institution is permitted to make one telephone call per week to a two-year or four-year college prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians). During a contact period that occurs after August 1 before an individual's senior year in high school, telephone calls may be made at the institution's discretion.

13.1.3.1.3.1 Nontraditional Academic Calendars. If an individual attends an educational institution that uses a nontraditional academic calendar (e.g., Southern Hemisphere) telephone calls to the individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before the day after the conclusion of the individual's sophomore year in high school. Thereafter, an institution may make telephone calls to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) as follows:

(a) One telephone call per month between the day after the conclusion of the individual's sophomore year in high school and the opening day of classes of individual's senior year in high school.

(b) Two telephone calls per week beginning on the opening day of classes of the individual's senior year in high school.

(c) During a contact period that occurs on or after the opening day of classes of an individual's senior year in high school, telephone calls may be made at the institution's discretion.

13.1.3.1.4 Exception—Women's Basketball. In women's basketball, telephone calls may be made to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) as follows:

[13.1.3.1.4-(a) through 13.1.3.1.4-(d) unchanged.]

(e) Three telephone calls during the month of July following the individual's junior year in high school, with not more than one telephone call per week [see Bylaw 13.1.6.2.2-(c)]. **If an individual attends an educational institution that uses a nontraditional academic calendar (e.g., Southern Hemisphere) the institution may begin to place one telephone call per week to the individual beginning on the opening day of classes of the individual's senior year in high school.**

[13.1.3.1.4-(f) unchanged.]

[13.1.3.1.4.1 through 13.1.3.1.4.2 unchanged.]

13.1.3.1.5 Exception—Men's Ice Hockey. In men's ice hockey, an institution is permitted to make one telephone call per month to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) on or after June 15 at the conclusion of the individual's sophomore year in high school through July 31 after the individual's junior year in high school. An institution is permitted to make one telephone call per week to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) beginning August 1 following completion of the individual's junior year in high school.

13.1.3.1.5.1 Nontraditional Academic Calendars. If an individual attends an educational institution that uses a nontraditional academic calendar (e.g., Southern Hemisphere) telephone calls to the individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before the day after the conclusion of the individual's sophomore year in high school. Thereafter, an institution may make telephone calls to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) as follows:

(a) One telephone call per month between the day after the conclusion of the individual's sophomore year in high school and the opening day of classes of individual's senior year in high school.

(b) One telephone call per week beginning on the opening day of classes of the individual's senior year in high school.

13.1.3.1.6 Exception—Women's Ice Hockey. In women's ice hockey, an institution is permitted to make one telephone call to an individual (or the individual's relatives or guardians) who is a resident of a foreign country on or after July 7 through July 31 following the completion of the individual's sophomore year in high school. An institution is permitted to make one telephone call per week to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) beginning July 7 following completion of the individual's junior year in high school**, or the opening day of classes of his or her senior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier**.

[13.1.3.1.7 through 13.1.3.1.8 unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Legislative Council

Effective Date: Immediate

Proposal Category: Modification of Wording

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: na

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

History
Jun 13, 2011: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Override Options

1. NCAA Proposal No. 2009-100-A: Recruiting -- tryouts -- nonscholastic practice or competition and noninstitutional camps or clinics -- men's basketball.

   Option No. 1 - The NCAA Division I Legislative Council can elect to take no further action (e.g., does not amend or defeat the proposal) by voting to continue to support the proposal. If this occurs, the proposal will be slated for a membership override vote, pending a potential review by the NCAA Division I Board of Directors during its August 11 meeting.

   Option No. 2 - The Legislative Council can amend the proposal provided any amendment does not increase the scope of the proposal. Such action also will be subject to a potential review by the Board of Directors during its August 11 meeting. In addition, the adoption of an amended proposal would be subject to another override period. The amended proposal would remain effective, pending any action to override the proposal.

   Option No. 3 - The Legislative Council can elect to defeat the proposal. Such action will also be subject to a potential review by the Board of Directors during its August 11 meeting. Action to defeat the proposal would not be subject to any additional override period.

2. Proposal No. 2010-30: Recruiting -- telephone calls -- time period for telephone calls -- sports other than football.

   Based on the receipt of more than 100 override requests, Proposal No. 2010-30 is suspended and will not go into effect August 1, 2011. The current legislation related to the initial start date for making calls as addressed in the proposal will remain in effect on and after August 1, 2011, pending a potential membership vote. The current legislation will be maintained in the 2011-12 printed NCAA Division I Manual and on LSDB*i*.

   Option No. 1 - The Legislative Council can elect to take no further action (e.g., does not amend or defeat the proposal) by voting to continue to support the proposal. If this occurs, the proposal will be slated for a membership vote, pending review by the Board of Directors during its August 11 meeting.

   Option No. 2 - The Legislative Council can amend the proposal provided any amendment does not increase the scope of the proposal. Such action also will be subject to a potential review by the Board of Directors during its August 11 meeting. In addition, the adoption of an amended proposal would be subject to another override period. The amended proposal would remain effective, pending any action to override the proposal.

   [Note: The staff does not advise the Legislative Council to attempt to amend the proposal to remove specific sports at this time. Although certain sports expressed concern about the

proposal, it is not known if those sports are alone in their opposition and any attempt to amend the proposal in this manner potentially could result in another successful override.]

Option No. 3 - The Legislative Council can elect to defeat the proposal. Such action will also be subject to a potential review by the Board of Directors during its August 11 meeting. Action to defeat the proposal would not be subject to any additional override period.

Proposal No. 2009-100-A

RECRUITING -- TRYOUTS -- NONSCHOLASTIC PRACTICE OR COMPETITION AND NONINSTITUTIONAL CAMPS OR CLINICS -- MEN'S BASKETBALL

Status: Board Reviews Legislation

Intent: In men's basketball, to specify that an institution [including any institutional department (e.g., athletics, recreational/intramural)] shall not host, sponsor or conduct a nonscholastic basketball practice or competition in which men's basketball prospective student-athletes participate on its campus or at an off-campus facility regularly used by the institution for practice and/or competition by any of the institution's sport programs; further, to establish limited exceptions, as specified.

Bylaws: Amend 13.11, as follows:

13.11 TRYOUTS

13.11.1 Prohibited Activities. A member institution, on its campus or elsewhere, shall not conduct (or have conducted on its behalf) any physical activity (e.g., practice session or test/tryout) at which one or more prospective student-athletes (as defined in Bylaws 13.11.1.1 and 13.11.1.2) reveal, demonstrate or display their athletics abilities in any sport except as provided in Bylaws 13.11.2 and 13.11.3.

[13.11.1.1 through 13.11.1.7 unchanged.]

13.11.1.8 Nonscholastic Practice or Competition -- Men's Basketball. An institution [including any institutional department (e.g., athletics, recreational/intramural)] shall not host, sponsor or conduct a nonscholastic basketball practice or competition in which men's basketball prospective student-athletes (see Bylaw 13.11.1.2) participate on its campus or at an off-campus facility regularly used by the institution for practice and/or competition by any of the institution's sport programs.

13.11.1.8.1 Exception -- State Multisport Events. An institution may host basketball-related events that are part of officially recognized state multisport events.

13.11.1.8.2 Exception -- Other Events. An institution may host, sponsor or conduct a nonscholastic event that involves men's basketball prospective student-athletes, provided it meets one of the following conditions:

(a) The event is open (see Bylaw 13.11.3.1) and all participating men's basketball prospective student-athletes reside within a 50-mile radius of the institution's campus;

(b) The event is part of a program that is consistent with the mission of the institution (e.g., state wellness and educational programs) and is conducted in accordance with Bylaw 13.11.3.2; or

(c) The event is an ancillary event that is part of a nonathletics program (e.g., Boy Scouts) and is conducted in accordance with Bylaw 13.11.3.2.

13.11.1.9 Use of Institutional Facilities for Noninstitutional Camps or Clinics -- Men's Basketball. In men's basketball, the use of institutional facilities for noninstitutional camps or clinics that include prospect-aged (see Bylaw 13.11.1.2) participants shall be limited to the months of June, July and August.

[13.11.1.8 through 13.11.1.9 renumbered as 13.11.1.10 through 13.11.1.11, unchanged.]

[Remainder of 13.11 unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Board of Directors

Effective Date: Immediate; a contract signed before October 29, 2009 may be honored.

Proposal Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: There has been a proliferation of nonscholastic events held on Division I campuses during quiet periods, specifically during the months of May and June. Generally, these events are being planned and operated in an attempt to assist institutions with recruiting opportunities. Travel and lodging expenses are routinely provided free of charge for those prospective student-athletes or teams identified as important to the coaching staff's recruiting efforts, and funds and/or services provided by institutions and boosters are sometimes used to pay these expenses. Reluctant college coaches are being leveraged to help the event operator arrange for discounted operational costs (e.g., facility fees) under the threat that the event operator will take the event (and all of the prospective student-athletes) to another institution's campus. Regardless of the level of complicity or involvement of the coaching staff, these events provide a significant recruiting advantage for the institution that hosts the events. Institutions will continue to have the opportunity to host noninstitutional camps or clinics during the months of July, July and August and the opportunity to host basketball competition that is part of state multisport events.

Estimated Budget Impact: Potential for lost revenue for use of facilities by outside entities.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s):
Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet The cabinet acknowledged the potential recruiting advantages gained by institutions that host nonscholastic practices or events, but expressed concern that the proposal would eliminate the opportunity for many institutions' auxiliary departments (e.g., recreation and athletics departments) to generate revenue by conducting such events on campus.

History

Oct 28, 2009: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Oct 29, 2009: Board of Directors, Sponsored

Jan 14, 2010: Leg Council Init Review, Forwarded for Membership Comment

Jan 17, 2010: Comment Period; Start of Comment Period

Feb 04, 2010: Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, No Formal Position

Mar 17, 2010: Comment Period; End of Comment Period; (Official Comment Totals: Support = 1, Oppose = 13, Abstain = 0)

Apr 13, 2010: Leg Council Final Review, Referred (referred proposal to Men's Basketball Issues Committee); The proposal remains in the legislative process and will be considered again in the 2010-11 legislative cycle. If the proposal is adopted, as noted in the effective date, a contract signed before October 29, 2009 may be honored. If the legislation is adopted, actions contrary to the legislation that are taken pursuant to contracts signed on or after October 29, 2009 will result in violations.

Oct 26, 2010: Proposal renumbered as Proposal No. 2009-100-A. An alternative is Proposal No. 2009-100-B.

Oct 28, 2010: Board of Directors; Modified to specify that an institution [including any institutional department (e.g., athletics, recreational/intramural)] shall not host, sponsor or conduct a nonscholastic basketball practice or competition in which men's basketball prospective student-athletes participate on its campus or at an off-campus facility regularly used by the institution for practice and/or competition by any of the institution's sport programs; further, to specify that an institution may host basketball-related events that are part of state-sponsored multisport events and that the use of institutional facilities for noninstitutional camps or clinics that include prospect-aged participants shall be limited to the months of June, July and August. Previously, the proposal specified that an institution shall not host, sponsor or conduct a nonscholastic basketball practice, contest or event in which men's basketball prospective student-athletes participate on its campus or at an off-campus facility regularly used by the institution for practice and/or competition by any of the institution's sport programs.

Jan 13, 2011: Leg Council Init Review, Forwarded for Membership Comment

Jan 16, 2011: Comment Period; Start of Comment Period

Mar 16, 2011: Comment Period; End of Comment Period; (Official Comment Totals: Support = 1, Oppose = 5, Abstain = 0)

Apr 12, 2011: Leg Council Final Review, Adopted; Pending Possible Board of Directors Review

Apr 28, 2011: Board Review, Amended; Amended the proposal to permit an institution to host, sponsor or conduct: (a) an open event in which the participants reside within a 50-mile radius of the institution's campus; (b) an event that is part of a program that is consistent with the mission of the institution (e.g., state wellness and educational programs) and is conducted in accordance with Bylaw 13.11.3.2; or (c) an ancillary event that is part of a nonathletics program (e.g., Boy Scouts) and is conducted in accordance with Bylaw 13.11.3.2.

Apr 28, 2011: Board Review, Adopted

Apr 29, 2011: Adopted, Override Period; Start of Override Period

Jun 27, 2011: Adopted, Override Period; End of Override Period; (Number of Override Requests = 35)

Jun 28, 2011: Based on the number of override requests received, the Legislative Council will review its action on the proposal on July 14.

References

Legislative References		
Div.	**Number**	**Title**
I	13.11.1	Prohibited Activities.
I	13.11	TRYOUTS
I	13.11.1.8	Nonscholastic Practice or Competition -- Men's Basketball.
I	13.11.1.8.1	Exception -- State Multisport Events.
I	13.11.1.8.2	Exception -- Other Events.
I	13.11.1.9	Use of Institutional Facilities for Noninstitutional Camps or Clinics -- Men's Basketball.

Other
Proposals: 0
Interpretations: 0
Educational Column: 2

Override Period (April 2011 meetings)

Override Report for Proposal No. 2009-100-A

RECRUITING -- TRYOUTS -- NONSCHOLASTIC PRACTICE OR COMPETITION AND NONINSTITUTIONAL CAMPS OR CLINICS -- MEN'S BASKETBALL

Override Requests Received: 35

Detailed Listing of Overrides:

Canisius College

Central Michigan University
The impact of this NCAA legislation will adversely affect the operations of University Recreation, Events and Conferences at Central Michigan University. As an auxiliary enterprise of CMU, the requirement to generate revenue through the use of our (recreation) facilities, programs and services we offer, this legislation will have a negative impact. The spirit and intent of this legislation is honorable, but the overarching impact devastates our operation in supporting its financial bottom line. Enrollment management, revenue generation and the autonomy to positively impact the free enterprise of CMU non-athletics entities to meet mandated goals and purpose will be negatively affected.

Coastal Carolina University

Colonial Athletic Association - 4 institutions
Hofstra University, Towson University, The College of William & Mary, Virginia Commonwealth University VCU
Comment: Virginia Commonwealth University is casting an override vote for this proposal because the institution will
lose an average of $45,000 annually if this legislation goes into effect. Over the years, Virginia Commonwealth has hosted non-scholastic events over a 7-week period. These events were approved by our facilities personnel and had nothing to do with our Men's Basketball Program. Therefore, we propose and would support the following amendment to proposal 2009-100-A.
1) All non-scholastic events held on an institution's campus must adhere to the same reservation policy for any other noncampus related events that are conducted at an on-campus facility.
2) All non-scholastic events conducted on-campus will count as off-campus evaluations and a maximum of three countable couches can evaluate these events simultaneously.

Eastern Michigan University

Fordham University

Manhattan College

Miami University (Ohio)
Miami University respectfully submits a request to override Proposal 2009-100-A. Unintended consequences of this proposal will severely hamper other areas of our campus that depend on these events. Our Recreation and Wellness Center is a seperate entity from athletics and relies on revenues from these type of events to operate and serve the community. Our community hotels, restaurants, shops depend on these events for revenue in a small college town that loses half of its population when students go home for the summer. Our admissions office depends on the exposure of these families to our campus to educate future students - not the 1% of potential future athletes, but the other 99% of the population that attends these type of events.

Murray State University
Impacts the state funded regional events center which hosts several outside events throughout the year. The building is neither owned or operated by the department of athletics. The exceptions added allow many additional events to occur; however, could simply prohibit coaches attendance at these events on campus to achieve desired intent. Negative impact on a revenue source for our institution.

Northwestern State University
We oppose this legislation as written. This legislation is too restrictive and warrants further discussion to determine a less restrictive proposal.

Rutgers, State Univ of New Jersey, New Brunswick

Saint Francis University (Pennsylvania)
This legislation will limit or eliminate existing multi-team events causing strain on recruiting efficiency & budgets --especially at the small school/ mid-major level.

Saint Joseph's University

Seton Hall University

St. John's University (New York)

University of Akron
A campus' nonathletics entities (e.g., Rec Sports) stand to be adversely affected by the reduction in potential revenue thisproposal will induce.

University of Louisville

University of Maine, Orono
The University of Maine Campus Recreation Center's ability to host nonscholastic boy's basketball tournaments is a significant source of revenue for their department funding and revenue for local businesses. The intention of these events are to fuel the local and state economy rather than providing a recruiting advantage to the University of Maine. The contracts for these rental agreements have no involvement from the Athletics Department. Additionally, Campus Recreation does not utilize any resources from the Athletics Department to operate their events.

These tournaments are a great source of revenue for many institutions who have no involvement from athletics in operating their events. It would be better to prohibit athletics departments involvement with hosting and contributing resources for these events instead of ruling them out all together. For these reasons, the University of Maine requests an override of 2009-100-A.

University of Memphis

University of New Hampshire
At Universities such as New Hampshire where most of the facilities are owned and run by campus recreation this becomes an incredible hardship. Recreation arranges and enters into contracts with organizations without any input from athletics. Limiting who they may rent to severly inhibits their ability to generate revenue. There is no allowance made for institutions set up in such a way that athletic departments do not own or control the facilities. There should be an allowance that allows other campus departments to rent their facilities to outside organizations with no input or say from athletics.

University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill

University of Northern Iowa

University of Pittsburgh

University of Richmond
The University of Richmond respectfully submits a request to override Proposal 2009-100-A. While we understand the intent to prevent nonscholastic programs and coaches from 'Strong-arming' colleges and universities into hosting events and practices in order to gain access to and commitments from prospective studentathletes, the unintended consequences of the rule far outweigh any positives that might come from this rule change. Since 2009-100-A applies to all facilities on campus, it will negatively impact our Department of Recreation & Wellness. They expect around $20,000 in lost revenues, most of which supports wellness programs for current Richmond students and adults. On our campus, the Recreation & Wellness facilities are completely separate from the Athletics Department's arena and workout facilities; the budgets are completely separate; the staff who work the events are completely separate. Clearly, this new NCAA rule is unfairly impacting another department on-campus . . . to the tune of $20,000. The University of Richmond also feels strongly about the impact this rule will have on our town-gown partnerships. We have worked diligently as an institution to develop better relations with the surrounding community, an area that may not match the typical demographic of our undergraduate population. Bringing inner-city youth from Richmond onto campus as part of a weekend basketball program is great for the community, the University of Richmond, and the participants, and has no bearing whatsoever on our Men's Basketball recruiting. It was heartbreaking to sit in on telephone calls to all the local nonscholastic programs with practices and events scheduled in our Recreation facility throughout the summer. When we told them that we could no longer host anything for nonscholastic teams with 7th through 12th graders, one coach actually said it might be the end of their program. In his eyes, there are very few local facilities that can accommodate a group of their size for practice or tournaments, and the tournaments are how they made money to supplement practices throughout the year. This rule

has made a mess in other areas outside of DI Men's Basketball recruiting. The possibility of being 'held hostage' by less than ethical AAU coaches is far outweighed by the negative impact this rule has had on our University, especially the Department of Recreation & Wellness.

University of Texas at Arlington
Application of this rule will result in a financial loss to institutions that count on this form of income to meet their annual budgets. It is important to note that some of these events serve as a source of revenue for several entities and departments across campus which include but are not limited to campus recreation, housing and dining services. Further, there are some institutions who view these events as a part of the university recruiting process, not for potential student-athletes but for potential students. Many of the institutions which will be impacted by this legislation will suffer lost opportunities to bring prospect aged students to campus to experience first hand the services and environment at that university. Many of the attendees are not necessarily Division I basketball prospects but may choose to enroll at the institution in the future based in part on their campus experience through one of these events.

University of Texas at San Antonio

University of Texas, Pan American

University of Vermont

Utah State University

West Virginia University
Nonscholastic men's basketball tournaments are a signficant source of revenue for the local area of Morgantown, WV as well as the state of WV. The intention of these events are to fuel the local and state economy rather than providing a recruiting advantage to WVU. Although we understand the concerns being addressed by 2009-100-A, we believe those issues could have been better addressed by establishing a series of parameters for the events to operate wtihin rather than precluding institutions from allowing usage of their facilities, including recreational venues. Due to the significant loss of revenue to the local region of Morgantown and state of WV, WVU requests an override of 2009-100-A.

Western Kentucky University
The costs of Proposal 2009-100-A to Western Kentucky University (WKU) greatly outweighs any potential benefit. While WKU understands that the proposal may prevent non-scholastic coaches from forcing colleges to host events and practices to gain access to prospective student-athletes, the legislation will result in an estimated $20,000 in annual lost revenue to the WKU Raymond B. Preston Health & Activities Center (the Preston Center), a facility separate from the athletic department and operated by the WKU Division of Student Affairs. The Preston Center supports recreation and wellness programs for current WKU students and adults as well as the Bowling Green, Kentucky community. This loss of revenue will likely impact the Preston Center's ability to maintain some of its current wellness programs. As a result, WKU respectfully submits a request to override Proposal 2009-100-A.

Winthrop University

NCAA Proposal No. 2010-30

RECRUITING -- TELEPHONE CALLS -- TIME PERIOD FOR TELEPHONE CALLS -- SPORTS OTHER THAN FOOTBALL

Status: Cabinet / Committee Legislation Recommendation

Intent: In sports other than football, to specify that an institution may make one telephone call per month to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) on or after June 15 at the conclusion of the individual's sophomore year in high school through July 31 after the individual's junior year in high school, two telephone calls per week beginning August 1 prior to the individual's senior year in high school, and one telephone call per week to a two-year or four-year college prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians); further, in sports other than football for which a defined recruiting calendar applies, to specify that during a contact period that occurs on or after August 1 before an individual's senior year in high school, telephone calls may be made at the institution's discretion.

A. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.3, as follows:

13.1.3 Telephone Calls.

13.1.3.1 Time Period for Telephone Calls -- ~~*General Rule*~~ **Sports Other Than Football**. ~~*Telephone*~~ **In sports other than football, telephone** calls to an individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before ~~*July 1 following the completion of his or her junior*~~ **June 15 at the conclusion of the individual's sophomore** year in high school ~~*(subject to the exceptions below); thereafter, staff members shall not make such telephone calls more than once per week*~~. **Thereafter, an institution may make telephone calls to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) as follows:**

(a) One telephone call per month on or after June 15 at the conclusion of the individual's sophomore year in high school through July 31 following the individual's junior year in high school.

(b) Two telephone calls per week beginning August 1 prior to the individual's senior year in high school.

(c) One telephone call per week to a two-year or four-year college prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians).

(d) In sports for which a defined recruiting calendar applies (see Bylaw 13.17), during a contact period that occurs on or after August 1 before an individual's senior year in high school, telephone calls may be made at the institution's discretion.

~~*13.1.3.1.1 Exception -- Baseball, Cross Country/Track and Field, Men's Lacrosse, Women's Lacrosse, Softball and Women's Volleyball. In baseball, cross country/track and field, men's lacrosse, women's lacrosse, softball and women's volleyball, telephone calls to an individual (or*~~

~~*his or her relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before July 1 following the completion of his or her junior year in high school. Thereafter, such telephone calls shall be limited to once per week outside a contact period, but may be made at the institution's discretion during a contact period.*~~

~~*13.1.3.1.2 Exception -- Football. In football, one telephone call to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) may be made from April 15 through May 31 of the individual's junior year in high school. Additional telephone calls to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before September 1 of the beginning of the individual's senior year in high school. Thereafter, such telephone contact is limited to once per week outside a contact period, but may be made at the institution's discretion during a contact period.*~~

~~*13.1.3.1.3 Exception -- Men's Basketball. In men's basketball, an institution is permitted to make one telephone call per month to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) on or after June 15 of the individual's sophomore year in high school through July 31 of the individual's junior year in high school. Thereafter, outside a contact period, an institution is permitted to make two telephone calls per week to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) beginning August 1 before the individual's senior year in high school. Outside a contact period, an institution is permitted to make one telephone call per week to a two-year or four-year college prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians). During a contact period that occurs after August 1 prior to an individual's senior year in high school, telephone calls may be made at the institution's discretion.*~~

~~*13.1.3.1.4 Exception -- Women's Basketball. In women's basketball, telephone calls may be made to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) as follows:*~~

~~*(a) One telephone call during the month of April of the individual's junior year in high school on or after the Thursday after the conclusion of the NCAA Division I Women's Final Four.*~~

~~*(b) One telephone call during the month of May of the individual's junior year in high school.*~~

~~*(c) One telephone call on or after June 1 through June 20 of the individual's junior year in high school.*~~

~~*(d) One telephone call on or after June 21 through June 30 of the individual's junior year in high school.*~~

~~*(e) Three telephone calls during the month of July following the individual's junior year in high school, with not more than one telephone call per week [see Bylaw 13.1.6.2.2-(c)].*~~

~~*(f) Thereafter, one telephone call per week outside a contact period and unlimited telephone calls during a contact period.*~~

13.1.3.1.~~*4*~~.1 Additional Restrictions -- Additional Communication With a Parent or Legal Guardian Who Serves on Staff of a Nonscholastic Team **--** **Women's Basketball**. ~~*An*~~ **In**

women's basketball, an institution may not have any additional communication with a parent or legal guardian of a prospective student-athlete who serves on the staff of his or her daughter's nonscholastic team unless the parent or legal guardian also serves as a head coach of his or her daughter's scholastic team and the additional communication is unrelated to recruitment of his or her daughter.

13.1.3.1~~.4~~.2 Additional Restrictions -- July Evaluation Periods **-- Women's Basketball**. In women's basketball, during the July evaluation periods, all communication with a prospective student-athlete, the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians, the prospective student-athlete's coach or any individual associated with the prospective student-athlete as a result of the prospective student-athlete's participation in basketball, directly or indirectly, is prohibited.

13.1.3.1~~.4~~.2.1 Exception -- Communication After National Letter of Intent Signing or Other Written Commitment **-- Women's Basketball**. In women's basketball, an institution may communicate with a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's relatives, legal guardians or coach) during the July evaluation periods, provided the prospective student-athlete has graduated from high school and signed a National Letter of Intent with the institution. For an institution not using the National Letter of Intent in women's basketball or for those prospective student-athlete's not eligible to sign the National Letter of Intent, communication may occur during the July evaluation periods, provided the prospective student-athlete has graduated from high school and signed the institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid.

~~*13.1.3.1.5 Exception -- Men's Ice Hockey. In men's ice hockey, an institution is permitted to make one telephone call per month to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) on or after June 15 at the conclusion of the individual's sophomore year in high school through July 31 after the individual's junior year in high school. An institution is permitted to make one telephone call per week to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) beginning August 1 following completion of the individual's junior year in high school.*~~

~~*13.1.3.1.6 Exception -- Women's Ice Hockey. In women's ice hockey, an institution is permitted to make one telephone call to an individual (or the individual's relatives or guardians) who is a resident of a foreign country on or after July 7 through July 31 following the completion of the individual's sophomore year in high school. An institution is permitted to make one telephone call per week to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) beginning July 7 following completion of the individual junior year in high school.*~~

13.1.3.2 Time Period for Telephone Calls -- Football. In football, telephone calls to an individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before April 15 of the individual's junior year in high school. One telephone call to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) may be made from April 15 through May 31 of the individual's junior year in high school. Additional telephone calls to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before September 1 of the beginning of the individual's senior year in high school. Thereafter, such telephone contact

is limited to once per week outside a contact period, but may be at the institution's discretion during a contact period.

[13.1.3.1.7 through 13.1.3.1.8 renumbered as 13.1.3.3 through 13.1.3.4 unchanged.]

13.1.3.~~*2*~~**5** Additional Regulations.

[13.1.3.2.1 renumbered as 13.1.3.5.1, unchanged.]

13.1.3.~~*2*~~**5**.2 Telephone Calls Initiated by Prospective Student-Athlete at His or Her Expense. Institutional coaching staff members (see Bylaw 13.1.3.~~*4*~~**7**.1) may receive telephone calls placed by a prospective student-athlete at the prospective student-athlete's own expense at any time, including before ~~*July 1 following*~~ **June 15 at the conclusion of** the prospective student-athlete's ~~*junior*~~ **sophomore** year in high school.

[13.1.3.3 through 13.1.3.4 renumbered as 13.1.3.6 through 13.1.3.7, unchanged.]

13.1.3.~~*5*~~**8** Nonpermissible Callers.

[13.1.3.5.1 renumbered as 13.1.3.8.1, unchanged.]

[13.1.3.5.1.1 renumbered as 13.1.3.8.1.1, unchanged.]

13.1.3.~~*5*~~**8**.2 Enrolled Student-Athletes. Enrolled student-athletes or other enrolled students shall not make or participate in telephone calls to prospective student-athletes at the direction of a coaching staff member or financed by the institution or a representative of its athletics interests; however, they may receive telephone calls at the expense of the prospective student-athlete on or after ~~*July 1 following the completion*~~ **June 15 at the conclusion** of the prospective student-athlete's ~~*junior*~~ **sophomore** year in high school. An enrolled student-athlete may receive telephone calls made at the expense of a prospective student-athlete prior to ~~*July 1 following the completion*~~ **June 15 at the conclusion** of the prospective student-athlete's ~~*junior*~~ **sophomore** year in high school, provided there is no direct or indirect involvement by athletics department staff.

[13.1.3.5.2.1 renumbered as 13.1.3.8.2.1, unchanged.]

13.1.3.~~*6*~~**9** Collect and Toll-Free Telephone Calls. Institutional coaching staff members (see Bylaw 13.1.3.~~*4*~~**7**.1) may accept collect and toll-free (e.g., 1-800, 1-888) telephone calls placed by a prospective student-athlete and the prospective student-athlete's parents and legal guardians, provided the calls are placed not earlier than ~~*July 1 following completion*~~ **June 15 at the conclusion** of the prospective student-athlete's ~~*junior*~~ **sophomore** year in high school.

~~*13.1.3.6.1 Exception – Men's Basketball. In men's basketball, institutional coaching staff members may accept collect telephone calls placed by a prospective student-athlete and the prospective student-athlete's parents and legal guardians, provided the calls are placed not earlier than the conclusion of the prospective student-athlete's sophomore year in high school.*~~

~~*The institution may use a toll-free number to receive such calls from a prospective student-athlete and the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians.*~~

~~*13.1.3.6.2 Exception – Women's Basketball. In women's basketball, institutional coaching staff members may accept collect and toll-free telephone calls placed by a prospective student-athlete and the prospective student-athlete's parents and legal guardians, provided the calls are not placed earlier than the date on which an institution may begin placing telephone calls to the prospective student-athlete (see Bylaw 13.1.3.1.3).*~~
[13.1.3.7 renumbered as 13.1.3.10, unchanged.]
B. Bylaws: Amend 13.15.2.2, as follows:

13.15.2.2 Collect and Toll-Free Telephone Calls. Institutional coaching staff members (see Bylaw 13.1.3.~~*4*~~**7**.1) may accept collect and toll-free (e.g., 1-800, 1-888) telephone calls placed by a prospective student-athlete and prospective student-athlete's parents and legal guardians, provided the calls are placed no earlier than ~~*July 1 following completion*~~ **June 15 at the conclusion** of the prospective student-athlete's ~~*junior*~~ **sophomore** year in high school.

Source: NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet

Effective Date: August 1, 2011

Proposal Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: This proposal applies the current telephone call rule in men's basketball to all sports other than football. Based on the examination of the current recruiting model and feedback received from the membership, this proposal will help to bring uniformity to the telephone calls legislation. The proposal excludes football due to the fact that the current legislation is specific to the spring evaluation period. This legislative change will provide the opportunity, in most sports, for communication on a limited basis with prospective student-athletes at an earlier time. Such communication may be used to better assess a prospective student-athlete's academic preparedness and provide guidance regarding his or her high school academic curriculum. Such access would assist both institutions and prospective student-athletes in making sound recruiting evaluations and decisions. With the introduction of legislative proposals restricting verbal offers of athletics aid until July 1 following the completion of an individual's junior year in high school, additional opportunities are necessary to assist in developing a relationship between a coach and a prospective student-athlete and for a coach to obtain key information about a prospective student-athlete prior to making any verbal or written offers of financial aid.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s):
Women's Basketball Issues Committee The committee supports the proposal and agrees with the

sponsor's rationale, but notes that the sponsor should consider modifying the provision that permits two telephone calls per week beginning August 1 prior to the individual's senior year in high school to one telephone call per week.

History

Jun 08, 2010:	Submit; Submitted for consideration.
Jun 09, 2010:	Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Sponsored
Aug 20, 2010:	Women's Basketball Issues Committee, Recommends Approval
Aug 20, 2010:	Women's Basketball Issues Committee, Recommends Modification; (See position statement.)
Jan 13, 2011:	Leg Council Init Review, Forwarded for Membership Comment
Jan 16, 2011:	Comment Period; Start of Comment Period
Mar 16, 2011:	Comment Period; End of Comment Period; (Official Comment Totals: Support = 1, Oppose = 2, Abstain = 0)
Apr 12, 2011:	Leg Council Final Review, Adopted; Pending Possible Board of Directors Review
Apr 28, 2011:	Adopted, Override Period; No Action Taken by the Board of Directors
Apr 29, 2011:	Adopted, Override Period; Start of Override Period
Jun 27, 2011:	Adopted, Override Period; End of Override Period; (Number of Override Requests = 106)
Jun 28, 2011:	Based on the receipt of more than 100 override requests, Proposal No. 2010-30 is suspended and will not go into effect on August 1, 2011. Current telephone call legislation (and changes made by other applicable proposals) will remain in effect on and after August 1, 2011, pending a potential membership vote. The Legislative Council will review its action on the proposal on July 14.

References

Legislative References		
Div.	**Number**	**Title**
I	13.1.3.1.3	Exception -- Football.
I	13.1.3.1.7	Exception -- Women's Ice Hockey.
I	13.1.3	Telephone Calls.
I	13.1.3.1.5	Exception -- Women's Basketball.
I	13.1.3.2	Additional Regulations.
I	13.15.2.2	Collect and Toll-Free Telephone Calls.
I	13.1.3.1.5.2	Additional Restrictions -- July Evaluation Periods.
I	13.1.3.1	Time Period for Telephone Calls -- General Rule.
I	13.1.3.1.5.1	Additional Restrictions -- Additional Communication with a Parent or Legal Guardian

Other
Proposals: 0
Interpretations: 0
Educational Column: 0

		Who Serves on Staff of a Nonscholastic Team.
I	13.1.3.1.6	Exception -- Men's Ice Hockey.
I	13.1.3.1.2	Exception -- Baseball, Cross Country/Track and Field, Men's Lacrosse, Women's Lacrosse, Softball and Women's Volleyball.
I	13.1.3.1.4	Exception -- Men's Basketball.
I	13.1.3.2	Time Period for Telephone Calls -- Football.

Override Period (April 2011 meetings)

Override Report for 2010-30

RECRUITING -- TELEPHONE CALLS -- TIME PERIOD FOR TELEPHONE CALLS -- SPORTS OTHER THAN FOOTBALL

Override Requests Received: 106

Detailed Listing of Overrides:

Appalachian State University
This further moves the recruitment of prospects up even earlier.

Arizona State University

Ball State University

Bethune-Cookman University

Big 12 Conference - 3 institutions

University of Nebraska – Lincoln

University of Texas -The University of Texas requests an override to proposal 2010-30 for the following reasons:
o The legislation permits phone calls to be made prior to coaches being permitted to email or send general correspondence. The rules should be aligned with the same timeframe as it does not make sense that we be allowed to call before being able to send recruiting materials/correspondence;
o The legislation presents an added burden on prospective student athletes by allowing phone contact over two years prior to them enrolling in college and places more pressure on early verbal commitments. A majority of our coaches are more comfortable with starting calls and texts in the middle of the junior year or following the junior year;
o It has a greater impact on the work / life balance of our coaching staffs; and
o The amount of time spent calling recruits would not only be intrusive upon the time of prospective student-athletes but would also take away from time coaches need to spend with their current student-athletes.

Texas Tech University

Binghamton University

Boise State University
Boise State believes the proposal should be ammended to be sport specific. While this proposal is a benefit to some sports, such as volleyball and soccer, it is detrimental to others.

Boston College

Boston University

Brigham Young University

Brown University

Bucknell University
Our coaches feel the increase access to prospective student-athletes under this proposal infringes on their time and increases the amount of confusion which comes from early contact at a time when students are still formulating college choices. From a coaching perspective, some of our coaches feel there will be an expectation that everyone call early to indicate interest in a prospect or face the possibility that our institution will seem "uninterested" in a prospect coming to our school. The pressure to "play" like everyone else will necessitate additional expense in call volumes and additional time concentrating on younger prospects without fully evaluating their potential skill and fit with each institution.

Central Connecticut State University
On behalf of the coaching staffs at Central Connecticut State University we would like to oppose proposal 2010-30 inasmuch as we would like to slow the recruiting process and not speed it up by allowing coaches to contact individuals after their sophomore year. CCSU did not support the original proposal through the conference position.

Central Michigan University
Increased pressure on coaches to contact prospects earlier, to intrusive to prospects and their families.

Charleston Southern University
Coaches concerned about time demands of adding additional group of prospects to permissible call list. Prefer current call legislation.

Clemson University
Institution believes that adopting this legislation would be a step backwards in efforts to contain the intrusive effects of recruiting.

Cleveland State University

Colgate University

Colonial Athletic Association - 4 institutions
James Madison University, Drexel University, Towson University, The College of William & Mary Colorado State University

Columbia University-Barnard College

Cornell University

Davidson College

Duke University
Telephone contact with prospects during their senior year is sufficient time to enhance relationships with prospects. Our coaches feel adding telephone contact one year earlier is both intrusive and unnecessary.

East Carolina University

East Tennessee State University

Eastern Michigan University

Florida State University
Our institution believes this proposal, if adopted, would have a significant impact on the work / life balance of our coaching staffs, an issue that many of our coaches struggle with under the current legislation. The amount of time spent calling recruits would not only be intrusive upon the time of prospective student-athletes but would also take away from time coaches need to spend with their current student-athletes. The following FSU sports are in support of this override request:
Baseball
Men's Golf
Men's Swimming and Diving
Men's Cross Country/Track and Field
Women's Basketball
Women's Soccer
Women's Swimming and Diving
Women's Tennis
Women's Cross Country/Track and Field

Fordham University

Furman University

Georgia Institute of Technology

Harvard University

Jacksonville State University

La Salle University

Lafayette College
Override Period (April 2011 Meetings)
Override Summary
We do not believe that moving recruitment earlier is in the best interest of the prospective student-athletes or our programs.

Lipscomb University

Louisiana State University
The time period for phone calls with the new legislation does not make logical sense when it comes to the time table for sending permissible recruiting materials. The new bylaw allows phone calls to be made prior to emailing, personal letters and general correspondence. The legislation will also make an added burden on prospective student athletes by allowing phone contact over two years prior to them enrolling in college. Furthermore it creates an additional and unnecessary level of monitoring on the part of the athletic department's compliance staff.

Loyola Marymount University
Our coaching staff does not think coaches should be able to call prospects before they may email or write them. The staff feels it may be more practical to have moved up the permissible date for recruiting materials rather than the phone calls. The main concern is prospects will be contacted by coaches who they have not even had any written correspondence with nor have been able to establish any type of relationship with. Prospects may be inundated with phone calls from schools all over the country (most who they are not interested in) during the summer after their sophomore year.

Loyola University Maryland

McNeese State University

Mercer University
Women's basketball requested an override. Athletics administration, including our President, support the override.

Miami University (Ohio)

Michigan State University
Oppose this legislation for Women's Basketball given several obstacles including early role in recruiting process, conflict with in-season NCAA season, and pressure on both student-athlete and coach to make an earlier than desired commitment. The Big Ten Conference women's basketball coaches are unanimously opposed to this legislation and there appears to be similar support for reconsideration and opposition by a significant number of WBCA members.

Montana State University-Bozeman

North Carolina A&T State University

North Carolina State University

Northwestern University

Ohio University

Pennsylvania State University

Pepperdine University

Portland State University

Princeton University

Quinnipiac University
Quinnipiac University wishes to submit an override request for this proposal. The rationale for our action includes:
1) Our concerns that this legislation would accelerate, rather than slow down, the early recruiting process. Quinnipiac coaches have discussed their concerns over the past few years, that more and more verbal commitments are being made between coaches and prospects who are still juniors in high school. These conversations have included issues with prospects being pressured to commitment to a school prior to the start of their summer before their senior year;
2) Institutions that do not have a full compliment of coaches for each of their sponsored sports will be at a recruiting disadvantage if this legislation is permitted to take effect. Expanding the pool of prospects eligible to receive phone calls from coaches will increase the recruiting workload.

Rice University

Saint Francis University (Pennsylvania)
This legislation puts small schools with limited coaching staff at a major recruiting disadvantage and would likely have an intrusive effect on young PSA's in these respective sports.

Saint Joseph's University

Sam Houston State University
Creates more workload for recruiting staffs and "speeds up" rather than "slows down" the recruiting process. Programs without the full NCAA permitted staff are at a disadvantage as the number of recruits to stay in telephone contact with theoretically doubles for an entire work year. In addition, this institution believes that the current permissible telephone access to prospective student athletes is optimal. It is not in the best interests of the prospects or the staffs of the sports affected.

Santa Clara University

St. Bonaventure University
One of our head coaches strongly opposes this legislation, stating it's intrusive to the prospective student-athlete. Other head coaches feel it's not necessary to call prospects that much earlier or that much more often.

St. John's University (New York)

St. Mary's College of California

Stanford University
Some sports have expressed concern that this proposal will only serve to further the early recruiting pressure placed on students, rather than lessen the early verbal offers.

Stony Brook University
The adoption of proposal 2010-30 will allow for the recruitment process to begin much earlier in a prospect's high school career. Many coaches fear that this will ultimately place an unnecessary pressure for prospect's to verbally commit. History has shown that prospects who enroll in the school in which they committed to verbally tend to have a higher transfer-out rate, than those prospects.

The Ohio State University

U.S. Air Force Academy

U.S. Military Academy

U.S. Naval Academy
The Naval Academy respectfully submits an override for proposal 2010-30. We primarily object to the early intrusion into the lives of young people by coaches. High school is already difficult and having coaches contact prospects earlier compounds the situation, placing an unfair burden on them. Additionally, monitoring phone calls is already a huge burden on compliance staffs, especially the smaller office. While one rule across all sports simplifies monitoring, it still creates tracking issues and monitoring woes for staff.

University at Albany
Our women's coaches are in support of a request to override this specific piece of legislation regarding time peridod for telephone calls for sports other than football.

University of Akron

University of California, Berkeley

University of California, Los Angeles

University of Florida
Women's basketball coaches at Florida and elsewhere have expressed concerns about the legislation.

University of Hartford

University of Illinois at Chicago

University of Iowa
The University of Iowa does not support this legislation. Institutional Coaches believe that this legislation only increases the amount of calls to prospective students that would yield vary little positive results. It also increases the work load for coaches during the summer months.

University of Maine, Orono

University of Maryland, Baltimore County
Feel that this is just too intrusive; are concentrating on juniors at that time

University of Maryland, College Park

University of Massachusetts, Amherst

University of Memphis

University of New Hampshire

University of New Mexico

University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill

University of Notre Dame
Several of our coaches have very serious concerns about the "quality of life" implication of this proposal. They feel that -to stay competitive - they will need to be on the phone with prospects much more than they are now.

University of Pennsylvania

University of Rhode Island
The University of Rhode Island supports an override of proposal 2010-30.

University of Richmond

University of San Diego

University of San Francisco

University of Tennessee at Chattanooga

University of Texas at San Antonio

University of Toledo
The adoption of this proposal would compel coaches to begin calling a larger number of prospects at an earlier point in time, posing concerns for the welfare of prospects and also for the increased time demands on coaches in making telephone calls in order to keep pace with competitors.

University of Vermont
We feel this legislation should not be applied to all sports.

University of Virginia
The University of Virginia submits its override vote for proposal 2010-30 as we believe it is not in the best interest of prospective student-athletes and does not contribute to the work - life balance that we should consider during this process as well.

University of Wisconsin, Madison
Coaches are not supportive of the earlier phone calls. In addition, with earlier phone calls, other recruiting legislation should be adjusted (ie recruiting materials)

Vanderbilt University
Concerns expressed by many coaches (in sports other than men's basketball) about rules that encourage earlier recruiting. Additionally, this rule fits well with the MBB recruiting calendar but fits awkwardly with the recruiting calendars/contact regulations for some of the other sports. Finally, some coaches also expressed concern about this rule having an adverse impact on life/work balance due to the expectation to call PSA's even earlier (and more often) in the recruiting process.

Washington State University

West Virginia University
A number of our programs have voiced concerns surrounding the timing of telephone calls being too early as a result of 2010-30. Since we originally opposed the proposal and some of our sports remain in opposition to the legislation, WVU requests an override of 2010-30.

Western Michigan University

Winthrop University

Yale University

REPORT OF THE
NCAA DIVISION I LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL
JULY 14, 2011, TELECONFERENCE
AND THE NCAA DIVISION I FOOTBALL
CHAMPIONSHIP GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE
JULY 14, 2011, TELECONFERENCE

***ACTION ITEMS*.**

• None.

***INFORMATIONAL ITEMS*.**

1. **Approval of NCAA Division I Legislative Council Administrative Committee Report.** The NCAA Division I Legislative Council approved the report of the NCAA Division I Legislative Council Administrative Committee's June 17, 2011, teleconference.

2. **NCAA Proposal No. M-2011-6 Recruiting -- Time Period for Telephone Calls -- Sports Other Than Football -- Nontraditional Academic Calendars.** The Legislative Council reviewed and approved NCAA Proposal No. M-2011-6, which, in sports other than football, clarifies the application of the time period for telephone calls legislation to an individual who attends an educational institution that uses a nontraditional academic calendar, as specified, effective immediately. The modification was necessary due to the suspension of Proposal No. 2010-30 (recruiting -- telephone calls -- time period for telephone calls -- sports other than football).

3. **Review of Proposals Subject to Override Vote.** Pursuant to NCAA Constitution 5.3.2.3.3, the Legislative Council reviewed its legislative decisions regarding Proposal No. 2009-100-A (recruiting – tryouts -- nonscholastic practice or competition and noninstitutional camps or clinics -- men's basketball) and Proposal No. 2010-30 (recruiting -- telephone calls -- time period for telephone calls -- sports other than football). The Legislative Council sustained its previous action of adopting Proposal No. 2009-100-A (which was amended and also adopted by the NCAA Division I Board of Directors) and reversed its previous action of adopting Proposal No. 2010-30. (Voting results may be found in Attachment.)

   [Note: Per NCAA Constitution 5.3.2.2.4, legislative actions taken by the Legislative Council shall be subject to possible review by the Board of Directors at its next meeting. As a result of the Legislative Council's actions, Proposal No. 2009-100-A will be subject to a membership override vote and Proposal No. 2010-30 is defeated, pending potential review by the Board of Directors during its August 11 meeting.]

[Note: For ease of reference, information related to the NCAA Division I Football Championship Subdivision Governance Committee's review of Proposal No. 2010-83 is included in this report below.]

Pursuant to Constitution 5.3.2.3.3, the Football Championship Subdivision Governance Committee reviewed its legislative decision regarding Proposal No. 2010-83 (awards, benefits and expenses -- expenses provided by the institution for practice and competition -- nonpermissible -- lodging in conjunction with a regular-season home contest -- championship subdivision football). The committee reversed its previous action of adopting Proposal No. 2010-83. (Voting results may be found in Attachment.)

[Note: Per Constitution 5.3.2.2.4, legislative actions taken by the Football Championship Subdivision Governance Committee shall be subject to possible review by the NCAA Division I Presidential Advisory Group at its next meeting. As a result of the committee's action, Proposal No. 2010-83 is defeated, pending potential review by the Presidential Advisory Group.]

4. Future Meeting Dates.

a. October 17-18, 2011, Indianapolis.

b. January 10-12, 2012, Indianapolis, in conjunction with the NCAA Convention.

Council Chair: *Carolyn Campbell-McGovern, The Ivy League*
Council Liaisons: *Lynn Holzman, Academic and Membership Affairs*
Steve Mallonee, Academic and Membership Affairs
Binh Nguyen, Academic and Membership Affairs
Leeland Zeller, Academic and Membership Affairs

NCAA Division I Legislative Council
July 14, 2011

ATTACHMENT

Conference -- Voting Delegate	Conference Type	Voting Weight	Administrative Committee Report	M-2011-6	2009-100-A	2010-30	2010-83 FCS
America East -- B. Barrio	DI	1.2		Y	N	Y	
Atlantic 10 -- E. Pasque	DI	1.2		Y	Y	Y	
Atlantic Coast -- S. Lyons	FBS	3		Y	Y	N	
Atlantic Sun -- K. Capriotti	DI	1.2		Y	Y	Y	
Big 12 -- L. Ebihara	FBS	3		Y	Y	Y	
Big East -- J. D'Antonio, Jr.	FBS	3		Y	N	Y	
Big Sky -- J. Gee	FCS	1.2		Y	N	Y	Y
Big South -- M. Eaker	FCS	1.2		Y	N	Y	N
Big Ten -- J. Heppel	FBS	3		Y	N	N	
Big West -- C. Masner	DI	1.2		Y	Y	Y	
Colonial Athletic -- P. Bowden	FCS	1.2	APPROVED	Y	N	N	N
Conference USA -- R. Philippi	FBS	3		Y	Y	Y	
Horizon League -- E. Jacobs	DI	1.2		Y	N	Y	
Ivy League -- C. Campbell-McGovern	FCS	1.2		Y	Y	N	N
Metro Atlantic Athletic -- B. Church	DI	1.2		Y	N	N	
Mid-American -- D. Gragg	FBS	1.5		Y	N	N	
Mid-Eastern Athletic -- S. Stills	FCS	1.2		Y	N	N	N
Missouri Valley -- M. Cross	FCS	1.2		Y	N	Y	
Mountain West -- J. Ruggiero*	FBS	1.5					
Northeast -- A. Alford	FCS	1.2		Y	N	N	N
Ohio Valley -- M. Banker	FCS	1.2		Y	N	Y	Y
Pacific-12 -- B. Goode	FBS	3		Y	Y	Y	
Patriot League -- P. Muffley	FCS	1.2		Y	Y	N	Y
Southeastern -- G. Sankey	FBS	3		Y	Y	N	
Southern -- R. Johnson	FCS	1.2		Y	Y	N	N
Southland -- S. McDonald*	FCS	1.2					N
Southwestern Athletic -- A. Robinson	FCS	1.2		Y	N	N	N
Sun Belt -- K. Keene	FBS	1.5		Y	Y	N	
The Summit League -- K. Heylens	DI	1.2		Y	Y	N	
West Coast -- S. Fink	DI	1.2		Y	Y	Y	
Western Athletic -- R. Spear	FBS	1.5		Y	Y	N	
Missouri Valley Football -- M. Mulvenna (FCS voting only)	FCS	1					N
Pioneer League -- B. Lynch (FCS voting only)	FCS	1					Y
		Adopt/Yes (Y)		48.3	27.6	24	4
		Defeat/No (N)		0	20.7	24.3	9
		Abstain (A)		0	0	0	0
		No Vote ()		2.7	2.7	2.7	0
		Total		51	51	51	13

***Did not participate in the Legislative Council teleconference.**