A G E N D A

National Collegiate Athletic Association
Division I Leadership Council

Indianapolis Marriott Downtown
Indianapolis, IN

January 12, 2012
8 a.m. to 3 p.m.

1. Welcome and introductions.

2. Report from the October 13, 2011, NCAA Division I Leadership Council meeting. (Supplement No. 1)

3. NCAA President's Report.

   [Note: President Emmert's report will include a discussion of institutional integrity.]

4. Update on Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Working Groups.

5. Discussion of remaining concepts under consideration for the men's basketball recruiting model. (Supplement No. 2)

6. Update from Leadership Council's Agent Subcommittee.

7. Governance Update.

   a. Division I matters.

      (1) Report of the October 27, 2011, NCAA Division I Board of Directors meeting. (Supplement No. 3)

      (2) Discussion of legislative actions taken by the NCAA Division I Legislative Council in advance of the January 14 Division I Board of Directors meeting.

      (4) Update from the NCAA Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee. (Supplement No. 4)

(5) NCAA Division I Women's Basketball Issues Committee recommendation regarding a women's basketball recruiting model. (Supplement No.5)

b. Association-wide matters.

(1) Report from the October 11-12, 2011, NCAA Committee on Women's Athletics meeting. (Supplement No. 6)

(2) Report from the October 11-12, 2011, NCAA Minority Opportunities and Interests Committee meeting. (Supplement No. 7)

8. Discussion of criteria for conference membership in Division I governance/championships structure.

9. Men's Basketball Competition Committee Sportsmanship Initiative. (Supplement No. 8)

10. News from the NCAA.

a. Litigation update.

b. Governmental relations report. (Supplement No. 9)

11. Review of 2012 NCAA Convention schedule. (Supplement No. 10)

12. Other items of business.

13. Confirm future meetings.

- April 12, 2012, Indianapolis, Indiana.

14. Adjournment.

REPORT OF THE NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION DIVISION I LEADERSHIP COUNCIL OCTOBER 13, 2011, MEETING

ACTION ITEMS.

1. **Men's Basketball Recruiting Model.** The NCAA Division I Leadership Council continued its discussion of previously developed concepts to be included in a men's basketball recruiting model. The Leadership Council agreed to recommend that the NCAA Division I Board of Directors take final action on a men's basketball recruiting model that provides for earlier and increased access to prospective student-athletes by Division I coaches. [Note: See Attachment A for details of the new recruiting model and the explanation of changes.]

2. **Summer Access to Student-Athletes.** During its discussions regarding a men's basketball recruiting model, the Leadership Council raised the issue of men's basketball coaches having access to their current student-athletes during the summer in order to build better relationships and to further develop athletics skills. The Leadership Council agreed to recommend that the Board of Directors take final action on its recommendation to permit entering and continuing student-athletes to engage in summer athletics development (eight hours of conditioning per week, of which no more than two hours can be devoted to skill instruction), regardless of enrollement in summer school. [Note: See Attachment B for the summer skill development recommendation.]

3. **Multidivisional Reclassification – Opposite Gender -- NCAA Bylaw 20.4.1.2**. The Leadership Council agreed to recommend that the NCAA Division I Board of Directors adopt noncontroversial legislation that would permit current Division II and Division III institutions that had one sport (other than football and basketball) classified in Division I for the 2010-11 academic year to petition to have one sport of the opposite gender reclassified to Division I. The Leadership Council agreed that the reclassification process should be identical to the two-year process that existed before the adoption of Proposal No. 2010-100, that the application fee should be increased to $10,000 and that there be no time limitation for the opportunity to reclassify a second sport.

4. **Definition of an Agent**. The Leadership Council reviewed Proposal No. 2011-23, which would modify the definition of an agent to include any individual who, directly or indirectly, represents or attempts to represent an individual for the purpose of marketing his

or her athletics ability or reputation for financial gain, or seeks to obtain any type of financial gain or benefit from securing a prospective student-athlete's enrollment at an educational institution or from a student-athlete's potential earnings as a professional athlete. The Leadership Council expressed its support for the proposal and agreed to recommend that the NCAA Division I Legislative Council use its authority to adopt the proposal as noncontroversial legislation.

INFORMATION ITEMS.

1. **Report of August 2, 2011, Leadership Council Meeting**. The Leadership Council approved the report of its August 2, 2011, meeting, noting that the last sentence of Item No. 1 of the report should indicate that details regarding the concepts discussed by the Leadership Council regarding a new men's basketball recruiting model could be found in the attachment to the report, rather than implying that the recommendations in the attachment were all inclusive or final.

2. **Update on Presidential Retreat Initiatives**. The Leadership Council received an update regarding the work of the NCAA Division I Committee on Academic Performance (CAP) and the four working groups established as a result of discussions during the retreat. The Council expressed the following concerns:

   a. That there may be unintended consequences with current student-athletes who want to negotiate a new multi-year financial aid agreement and, if not successful, choose to transfer to another institution and be immediately eligible for competition. This could negatively impact the team's Academic Performance Rate (APR).

   b. That the Association may receive negative feedback from the media and the public if there is a $2,000 limit on miscellaneous expenses that student-athletes may receive above the value of a full grant-in-aid, rather than allowing aid up to the actual full cost of attendance.

   c. That when considering enhancements to the initial-eligibility and two-year college transfer requirements, CAP should be mindful of the potential impact on minority prospective student-athletes.

   d. That there be consistent and regular communication with all Division I presidents and other constituent groups as the working groups develop their recommendations.

3. Discussion of Agent Activities. Mark Hollis, chair of the NCAA Division I Amateurism Cabinet, reported on the work of the Division I Amateurism Cabinet regarding the following agent-related issues:

a. Agent Registration Program. The Amateurism Cabinet expressed its support for a national agent registration program, noting that such a program would provide institutions with information about agents that would otherwise be unavailable.

b. Potential Legislation Regarding the Use of Agents and Advisors Prior to Enrollment. The Amateurism Cabinet continued its discussions regarding the use of agents and advisors prior to enrollment for nonopt-in drafts that allow players to be drafted out of high school. The Amateurism Cabinet did not support permitting the use of agents in these sports at this time. Discussion regarding sports-specific legislation regarding agents will continue.

4. Report from the Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee. Division I SAAC Chair Scott Krapf presented a report of the committee's priorities for the upcoming year.

5. Future Meetings.

a. January 12, 2012, Indianapolis, IN

b. April 2012, TBD, Indianapolis, IN

Leadership Council chair: Mike Alden, University of Missouri
Staff Liaisons: S. David Berst, Division I governance
Jacqueline Campbell, Division I governance
Kevin Lennon, academic and membership affairs

Preliminary Division I Men's Basketball Recruiting Model

Feature	Current	Leadership Council Recommendation	Additional Comments
Recruiting calendar evaluations			
April	No evaluations permitted at nonscholastic events.	• Permit evaluations at certified nonscholastic events on two weekends. • Neither weekend can fall on ACT/SAT testing dates or Easter. • Events must be subject to NCAA certification program, which should include an additional requirement that the event may not begin before Friday 6 pm and must conclude no later than Sunday 4 pm.	
July	Evaluations permitted at certified nonscholastic events during two 10-day evaluation periods.	• Permit evaluations at nonscholastic certified events during a total of 12 days in July; the 12 days would consist of three four-day periods (with intervening dead periods) that run from Wednesday at 5 pm to Sunday at 5 pm.	• The evaluation period would consist of the first three four-day periods (Wednesday 5 p.m. – Sunday 5 p.m.) beginning with the first Wednesday on or after July 6. • The staff can continue discussion regarding whether event certification criteria should be modified to require events to conclude at an earlier time on the final day.
Communication with PSAs			
Types	Phone, e-mail, fax only.	• Eliminate restrictions on all modes of communication on or after June 15 following the completion of the prospect's sophomore year in high school. • Eliminate communication restrictions applicable to prospects participating in certified basketball events (April/July). .	
Phone call frequency	• June 15 of sophomore year through July 31 of junior year of high school: One per month. • August 1 prior to senior year of high school: Unlimited during contact period; two per week otherwise. • Two-year institutions and four-year PSAs: One call per week.		

Feature	Current	Leadership Council Recommendation	Additional Comments
Off-campus contacts			
Off-campus contact starting point	July 1 after junior year of high school.	• Off campus contacts may be made with a prospect during the junior year in high school. • Recruiting periods other than April – contacts during the junior year only at the prospect's educational institution. • April recruiting period – contacts during the junior year only at the prospect's educational institution or at the prospect's residence.	• Current rule limiting visits to a prospect's educational institution to not more than once a week would remain applicable.
Off-campus contact in conjunction with an evaluation	Prohibited during evaluation period.	• Permit recuiting opportunities with juniors and seniors to be either contacts or evaluations. Contacts may not be made during the time period when classes are in session or during the day of a prospect's competition. • The current academic year recruiting calendar shall maintain recruiting periods, but will eliminate distinctions between contact and evaluation periods.	• Institutions are permitted to still have seven recuiting opportunities during each academic year.
Official visits			
Starting point	Senior year of high school.	• January 1 of the junior year through the senior year of high school.	• Current requirements (standardized test score, high school transcript, register with Eligiblity Center, placed on institution's IRL) necessary to provide an official visit would remain applicable. • Included in the total number of permissible visits for the prospect and the institution.
Travel expenses	Prospect.	• May be provided to the prospect and two parents/legal guardians.	

Feature	Current	Leadership Council Recommendation	Additional Comments	
On-campus skill evaluations (OCE) (formerly known as tryouts)	Prohibited.	• Support the concept of permitting prospects to participate in OCEs.	The Leadership Council will develop the parameters of an OCE model for consideration at its January 2012 meeting. Possible models for consideration are listed below:	
			Division II Model • On-campus or normal practice/ competition site. • One OCE per PSA per institution. • Must be high school senior or older. • Typically after completion of season. • Pre-OCE physical. • Up to 2 hours. • May include testing and competition with team.	**NABC (Based on recommendations made in 2004)** • On-campus. • 6 OCEs per PSA. • 18 OCEs per institution. • Between first permissible date for official visit and beginning of early NLI period, OCE may only occur during an official visit. • Following the senior season, OCE may occur during official or unofficial visit. • No missed class time for OCE. • Up to 2 hours. • OCEs must be closed and unpublicized. • Only PSAs and S-As may participate.

Summer Relationship Building/Athletics Development

Feature	Current	Leadership Council Recommendation	Additional Comments
Summer Relationship Building/Athletics Development	Prohibited	Permit entering and continuing student-athletes to engage in summer athletics development (eight hours of conditioning per week, of which no more than two hours can be devoted to skill instruction), regardless of enrollment of summer school.	• Participation at the discretion of the student-athlete. • Student-athletes not receiving financial aid to attend summer school would be responsible for expenses.

Leadership Council Attendance
October 13, 2011, Meeting

Leadership Council members in attendance:
Michael Alden, University of Missouri, Columbia, Big Twelve Conference, chair
Harold Bardo, Southern Illinois University at Carbondale, Missouri Valley Conference
Mike Bitter, Stetson University, Atlantic Sun Conference
Dave Blank, Elon University, Southern Conference
McKinley Boston, Jr., New Mexico State University, Western Athletic Conference
Morgan Burke, Purdue University, Big Ten Conference
Peg Bradley-Doppes, University of Denver, Sun Belt Conference
Joan Cronan, University of Tennessee, Southeastern Conference
Beth DeBauche, Ohio Valley Conference
Chad Dwyer, America East Conference (alternate)
Peter Fields, Montana State University-Bozeman, Big Sky Conference
Dave Gavitt, Big East Conference (alternate)
Chet Gladchuk, U.S. Naval Academy, Patriot League
Robin Harris, Ivy League
Jack Hayes, Hofstra University, Colonial Athletic Association
Kyle Kallander, Big South Conference (alternate)
Paul Kowalczyk, Colorado State University, Mountain West Conference
Scott Krapf, Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee
Myndee Kay Larsen, Summit League
Jonathan (Jon) LeCrone, Horizon League
Susan Cross Lipnickey, Miami University, Mid-American Conference
Janet Lucas, University of California, Riverside, Big West Conference
Judy MacLeod, Conference USA (alternate)
Clyde McCoy, University of Miami, Atlantic Coast Conference
John McCutcheon, University of Massachusetts, Atlantic 10 Conference
Noreen Morris, Northeast Conference
Derrick Ramsey, Coppin State University, Mid-Eastern Athletic Conference
John Ritschdorff, Marist College, Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference
Duer Sharp, Southwestern Athletic Conference
Ky Snyder, University of San Diego, West Coast Conference
Kevin Weiberg, Pacific-12 Conference (alternate)
Bobby Williams, Sam Houston State University, Southland Conference

NCAA primary staff liaisons in attendance:
S. David Berst, NCAA
Jacqueline Campbell, NCAA, recording secretary
Kevin Lennon, NCAA

Leadership Council members not in attendance: Sandy Barbour, University of California, Berkeley, Pacific-12 Conference; Janet Cone, University of North Carolina, Asheville, Big South Conference; R.C. Johnson, University of Memphis, Conference USA and John Marinatto, Big East Conference.

Guests
Jim Haney, executive director, National Association of Basketball Coaches (NABC), and Mark Hollis, chair of the NCAA Division I Amateurism Cabinet.

NCAA staff members in attendance: Angie Cretors, Michelle Hosick, Brad Hostetter, LuAnn Humphrey, Steve Mallonee, Chance Miller, Jarrett Newby, Stacey Osburn, Jobrina Perez, Donald Remy, Kris Richardson, Dave Schnase, Geoff Silver and Naima Stevenson also were present during portions of the meeting.

REPORT OF THE NCAA DIVISION I LEADERSHIP COUNCIL WORKING GROUP

December 1, 2011

The NCAA Division I Leadership Council Working Group (LCWG) was asked by Mike Alden, the chair of the Division I Leadership Council, to discuss allowing (1) access to enrolled men's basketball student-athletes during the summer months for skill-related instruction and (2) on-campus evaluations (OCE) of men's basketball prospective student-athletes. The following is a summary of the group's specific discussions and recommendations.

Summer Access to Enrolled Student-Athletes. The LCWG engaged in a general discussion about possible options and then narrowed the focus to three viable options relating to summer access:

1. Mandate that all men's basketball student-athletes must be enrolled in summer school in order for access to be available.

2. Allow access to student-athletes who have met established minimum academic standards (e.g., credit hours or grade-point average) regardless of enrollment in summer school. For those student-athletes who have not met the minimum standards, access would be permitted only if the student-athlete was enrolled in summer school.

3. Permit access without any nexus to summer school. Each institution can decide whether to require summer school for men's basketball student-athletes.

The LCWG quickly dismissed Option No. 1 and focused most of the discussion relating to option 2. There was general support for Option No. 2, but the group was divided between whether to impose minimum standards relating to credit hours (e.g., 30 hours) or a specified grade-point average (e.g., 2.6 or 2.3). The NCAA staff was asked to gather additional data to ensure that the LCWG was tracking possible implications of using a specific number of credit hours or specific level of grade-point averages. Also, with regard to either Option Nos. 2 or 3, the LCWG expressed concern about the monitoring of student-athletes who were not enrolled in summer school but present in the local area for purposes of summer workouts. It was agreed that atheltic activities would be limited to eight weeks in the summer under the same eight hour/two hour format permitted during the academic year.

On-Campus Evaluations for Prospective Student-Athletes. The LCWG quickly came to a consensus regarding the merits of on-campus evaluations (OCE) for prospective student-athletes. The LCWG is recommending that an OCE be permitted to occur only as follows:

- Involving only high school senior or junior college prospective student-athletes who have exhausted high school or junior college eligibility or four-year transfer student-athletes.

The OCE may be conducted after the season but no later than the opening day of fall classes for the institution.

- During official or unofficial visits to campus.

- Conducted for a maximum of two hours during the 20-hour countable athletically related activities during the season and during two hours of skill instruction available per week outside of the playing season. Current prohibitions regarding activities one week prior to final exams would apply.

The LCWG again directed the NCAA staff to identify possible complications that could result from such a recommendation.

Percentage of Men's and Women's Basketball Players Meeting Various GPA and Credit Hour Cuts Prior to Summer after First Year in College

(Only SAs Retained into Sophomore Year Included)

	Men's Basketball (N=811)	Women's Basketball (N=1,024)
GPA Only		
2.0	93.1	96.3
2.2	81.1	91.8
2.4	66.0	84.0
2.6	52.0	74.1
Credit Hours Only		
24	73.6	85.4
27	47.7	62.4
30	20.2	32.1
33	3.8	5.7
GPA & Credit Hours		
2.0 & 24	71.0	83.3
2.0 & 27	46.2	60.9
2.0 & 30	19.5	31.5
2.0 & 33	3.5	5.3
2.2 & 24	64.7	80.4
2.2 & 27	44.3	59.0
2.2 & 30	19.1	30.7
2.2 & 33	3.2	4.9
2.4 & 24	56.1	75.8
2.4 & 27	39.3	56.2
2.4 & 30	17.6	29.8
2.4 & 33	3.1	4.8
2.6 & 24	45.9	68.2
2.6 & 27	32.3	51.6
2.6 & 30	14.4	28.4
2.6 & 33	2.6	4.7

Notes: (1) Numbers are the percentages of student-athletes meeting the cut;
(2) Transfer students into current school not included;
(3) Data from SAs from 2009-10 APR cohort

Percentage of Men's and Women's Basketball Players Meeting Various GPA and PTD Cuts Prior to Summer after Second Year in College

(Only SAs Retained into Junior Year Included)

	Men's Basketball (N=644)	Women's Basketball (N=851)
GPA & PTD		
2.0 & 40%	87.7	90.2
2.0 & 44%	73.6	77.7
2.0 & 50%	46.3	53.2
2.2 & 40%	79.3	86.4
2.2 & 44%	67.4	75.6
2.2 & 50%	43.6	52.5
2.4 & 40%	79.3	67.4
2.4 & 44%	43.6	52.5
2.4 & 50%	64.3	79.0
2.6 & 40%	50.5	68.9
2.6 & 44%	45.5	62.4
2.6 & 50%	32.9	45.7

Notes: (1) Numbers are the percentages of student-athletes meeting the cut;
(2) Transfer students into current school not included;
(3) Data from SAs from 2009-10 APR cohort

Percentage of Men's and Women's Basketball Players Meeting Various GPA and PTD Cuts Prior to Summer after Third Year in College

(Only SAs Retained into Senior Year Included)

	Men's Basketball (N=614)	Women's Basketball (N=772)
GPA & PTD		
2.0 & 60%	90.9	95.2
2.0 & 67%	74.3	82.0
2.0 & 75%	42.2	56.0
2.2 & 60%	80.0	91.7
2.2 & 67%	66.8	79.9
2.2 & 75%	38.4	55.6
2.4 & 60%	64.7	85.4
2.4 & 67%	57.0	75.1
2.4 & 75%	34.9	53.6
2.6 & 60%	48.0	75.3
2.6 & 67%	44.3	67.9
2.6 & 75%	29.6	49.6

Notes: (1) Numbers are the percentages of student-athletes meeting the cut;
(2) Transfer students into current school not included;
(3) Data from SAs from 2009-10 APR cohort

REPORT OF THE OCTOBER 27, 2011, MEETING OF THE NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION DIVISION I BOARD OF DIRECTORS

1. **Report of the August 11, 2011, Board of Directors Meeting. The Board approved the report of its August 11, 2011, meeting.** (Unanimous voice vote)

2. **President's Report.** NCAA President Mark Emmert thanked the group for participating in a joint meeting with the Presidential Advisory Group (PAG) the previous day in order to review the reports of the four Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Working Groups and the NCAA Division I Committee on Academic Performance (CAP). He noted that this Board meeting may be one of the most important in the NCAA's history as the Board will take actions that will begin a transformation of intercollegiate athletics. President Emmert emphasized the fact that the decisions made during this meeting should align with the NCAA's enduring values and support the Association's principles.

3. **Presidential Retreat Initiatives.**

   a. **NCAA Division I Committee on Academic Performance**. Walt Harrison, chair of the Committee on Academic Performance, presented the following recommendations:

      (1) Student-Athlete Success – Initial Eligibility.

         (a) That the Board adopt emergency legislation that requires prospective student-athletes, at a minimum, to meet the current qualifier standard in order to be eligible for practice during the first regular academic term and receipt of athletically related financial aid during the first year. Second semester (and second and third quarter) eligibility for practice will be based on successful completion of nine semester or eight quarter hours in the first regular academic term of enrollment.

         (b) That the Board adopt emergency legislation that requires prospective student-athletes to meet the following standard in order to compete in the first academic year:

            i. A grade-point average/test score average that is set at approximately one-half of a standard deviation below the national student body mean. This represents an increase from the current one standard deviation below the national student mean. The new sliding scale requires a high school core grade-point average to be approximately 0.5 grade-point average units higher for a given test score compared to the current qualifier standard (e.g., SAT of 1000 would require 2.5

high school core grade-point average for competition vs. 2.0 currently).

ii. A successful completion of 10 core courses *prior to* seventh semester (or equivalent) of high school. Seven of the 10 core courses must be successfully completed in English, math and natural/physical science.

(c) That the Board adopt legislation to expand the early academic qualifier program, details of which will be worked out over the next year.

(2) Student-Athlete Success – Two-Year College Transfer Standards.

(a) That the Board adopt as emergency legislation NCAA Proposal No. 2011-69, which increases two-year college transfer requirements for qualifiers and nonqualifiers with an effective date of August 1, 2012, for students first entering any collegiate institution (e.g., increases minimum grade-point average from 2.0 to 2.5; limits use of physical education activity credits to two units; requires a transferable physical/natural sciences course for nonqualifiers) on or after August 1, 2012.

(b) That the Board adopt as emergency legislation Proposal No. 2011-70, as written, with an effective date of August 1, 2012.

(c) That the Board allow Proposal No. 2011-65, the year of academic readiness, to progress through the normal legislative cycle.

(3) Team Success - Access to Championships and a New NCAA Division I Academic Performance Program (APP) Penalty Structure.

(a) That the Board approve a 930 NCAA Division I Academic Progress Rate (APR) as a minimum academic standard to participate in postseason competition. This includes a mission filter only in the first year a team fails to meet the benchmark and an improvement filter in the second year and beyond.

(b) That the Board approve the transition to the 930 APR to occur over the next three years.

(c) That the Board approve a three-level APP penalty structure.

(d) That the Board approve the creation of an Advisory Group for Historically Black Colleges and Universities and other low resourced institutions.

(e) That all conferences be required to develop and maintain a written policy regarding teams subject to postseason restriction with respect to automatic qualifications for postseason competition and revenue distribution.

BOARD ACTION: **The Board approved all recommendations and necessary legislative changes regarding student-athlete and team academic success as described above.** (Unanimous Voice Vote)

b. Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Student-Athlete Well-Being Working Group. Sidney McPhee, vice chair of the Student-Athlete Well-Being Group, presented the following recommendations:

(1) Cost of Attendance.

(a) That the Board adopt legislation for aid awards that take effect August 1, 2012, or thereafter (awards may be executed before that date), to permit a Division I student-athlete who has received institutional financial aid equal to the value of a full grant-in-aid (i.e. tuition and fees, room and board and required course related books) to receive additional athletically related financial aid up to the value of the institution's "cost of attendance," or up to $2,000, whichever is less. The additional "miscellaneous expense allowance" amount is to be indexed annually to the consumer price index.

[Note: Student Athlete Opportunity Funds may be used at the institution's discretion toward these miscellaneous expenses as is currently permitted. A Pell Grant will not be included in the NCAA financial aid calculation and is considered an exempted government grant for purposes of applying NCAA regulations.]

(b) That the Board adopt legislation, effective August 1, 2012, to provide that only athletically-related aid will be counted toward team limits in equivalency sports.

[Note: All nonathletically-related financial aid now will be excluded from team equivalency computations, which will permit student-athletes to receive additional sources of financial aid without affecting team financial aid limits. The "miscellaneous expense allowance" would be applicable

once the total of athletics and other permissible aid exceeds the value of a full grant.]

(c) That the Board adopt a best practice that all prospective and enrolled student-athletes should submit the Free Application for Federal Student Aid (FAFSA). Further, because the "miscellaneous expense allowance" legislation is permissive in nature, conferences should be encouraged to consider common application of this legislation among their members and sports.

(2) Multiyear Grants-In-Aid.

(a) That the Board adopt legislation to redefine the period of award for aid awards that take effect August 1, 2012, or thereafter (awards may be executed before that date), to permit athletics aid to be awarded for a period beyond the minimum of one academic year, up to the date the student-athlete exhausts eligibility to compete in all sports.

[Note: Maintain, subject to existing exceptions, legislation to require the period of award for athletics aid to be not less than one academic year. Maintain only nonathletically-related conditions in athletics aid agreements regarding cancelation or reduction of aid during the period of its award. Gradation provisions should include:

i. Maintaining current restrictions and processes on reducing or canceling athletics aid during the period of the award;

ii. Permitting institutions discretion to increase athletics aid during the period of its award, and maintaining current requirements for hearing opportunities related to reducing or canceling aid during the period of the award.]

(b) That the Board adopt legislation to be effective for awards executed on or after August 1, 2012, to require institutions to award at least a prescribed minimum of an equivalency grant to each student-athlete who receives athletics aid in an equivalency sport.

[Note: Institutions currently are required in baseball to award a minimum 0.25 equivalency award but the Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet is developing a "block grant" system. The Student-Athlete Well-Being Working Group agreed that a .10 minimum may be appropriate for all sports, but the group will consider the alternative

minimum cabinet model and report a final recommendation to the Board for action in January or April 2012.]

(c) That the Board adopt legislation, effective immediately, to eliminate financial aid eligibility restrictions (five years of aid within a six-year period) to permit institutions to provide athletics aid to former student-athletes who remain at, or return to, the institution to complete their baccalaureate degree requirements.

BOARD ACTION: The Board approved all the working group's recommendations and necessary legislative changes as emergency legislation as described above. In addition, the Board agreed that the $2,000 "miscellaneous expense allowance" should be maintained for no less than three years. (Unanimous voice vote.)

c. **Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Rules Working Group**. Steadman Upham, vice chair of the Rules Working Group, requested the Board endorse a resolution that summarizes the concepts and direction outlined by the working group as they do their part to transform intercollegiate athletics. [See Attachment A for the resolution.]

BOARD ACTION: The Board endorsed the resolution. (Unanimous voice vote)

d. **Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Enforcement Working Group**. Ed Ray, chair of the Enforcement Working Group, noted that the working group continues to review a tiered violation structure, penalty structure and the process for adjudication. The working group plans to continue discussions and make recommendations to the Board in April or August 2012, depending on when the Rules Working Group concludes its work.

e. **Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Resource Allocation Working Group**. Ann Millner, vice chair of the Resource Allocation Working Group, noted that the working group has received much feedback on various concepts it has discussed, particularly those regarding a reduction in playing seasons (championship and nonchampionship) and a reduction in scholarships (football and men's and women's basketball). The working group plans to continue discussions and make recommendations to the Board in January 2012.

4. **Communications Plan.** Bob Williams, NCAA vice president of communications, and Bob Knapp, chief executive officer of Neubrand, reviewed with the Board a communications plan/strategy that will be used to assist the NCAA in the communication of actions related to Presidential Retreat initiatives. The intent is that communication should be immediate

and consistent, so that the NCAA membership and the general public are aware of the context and importance of the changes, as well as the potential impact on intercollegiate athletics.

5. **Final Report of the Bowl Game Licensing Task Force.** Harvey Perlman, chair of the Task Force, presented the final report of the Task Force, which included the following recommendations in the area of governance, advertising and sponsorship, and student-athlete welfare:

a. NCAA regulation of postseason bowls should be consistent with and limited by the core mission of the NCAA.

b. That each bowl-sponsoring agency be governed by a Board of Directors that has a majority of its members from the community in which the bowl is located.

c. The title or presenting sponsor of a certified bowl shall not advertise or appear to promote products or activities that may be detrimental to the welfare of student-athletes or the image and best interests of higher education and intercollegiate athletcs. Each bowl-sponsoring agency shall adopt policies designed to exclude advertisements associated with bowls that are inconsistent with the welfare of student-athletes, or the image and best interest of higher education and intercollegiate athletics.

d. That conferences should require as part of their agreement with bowl title and presenting sponsors that the bowl-sponsoring agency avoid promotional activities inconsistent with the values of the NCAA or of higher education.

e. That participating conferences should contractually require each bowl to provide a certain number of advertisements promoting the value of intercollegiate athletics and the collegiate model.

f. That the Board for each bowl-sponsoring agency shall ensure that it is in compliance with the designated standards in the Task Force report relating to protecting student-athlete welfare, which includes the conduct of bowl games within a specified time period.

g. That the new academic standards applied to NCAA Division I championship participation shall be equally applied to postseason bowl games.

h. That the NCAA, in consultation with conference commissioners, determine whether or not the collection of financial information would serve the interests of intercollegiate athletics.

i. That the NCAA discontinue its current detailed licensing system and should embrace and develop a certification system that provides assurance that minimum standards of governance and operation are in place.

BOARD ACTION: The Board approved all the Task Force recommendations except the portion of Item No. 4-f above specifying the period of time within which bowl games may occur. [Unanimous Voice Vote of Football Bowl Subdivision (FBS) Board members.]

The Board directed staff to bring back to the Board recommendations regarding how to address the issue of possibly not having enough teams that qualify for the number of bowl games in a given year.

BOARD ACTION: While the Board endorsed the principles expressed in Item No. 4-f above, it directed staff/Task Force to work with the FBS commissioners to address a new time period for bowl games that can be considered by the Board at its April 2012 meeting. (Unanimous Voice Vote.)

6. <u>Division I Governance Structure Update</u>.

a. Report of the October 13, 2011, Meeting of the Leadership Council. Mike Alden, chair of the Division I Leadership Council, presented the following recommendations:

(1) Men's Basketball Recruiting Model. The Leadership Council concluded its discussions of concepts to be included in a men's basketball recruiting model. The Leadership Council recommended that the Board of Directors take final action to adopt a men's basketball recruiting model that provides for earlier and increased access to prospective student-athletes by Division I coaches. [Reference Attachment B for details of the new recruiting.]

BOARD ACTION: The Board adopted the recommended men's basketball recuiting model for full implementation by August 1, 2012. (Unanimous Voice Vote.)

(2) Summer Relationship and Skill Development for Student-Athletes. During its discussions regarding a men's basketball recruiting model, the Leadership

Council agreed to recommend that the Board of Directors take final action to permit entering and continuing student-athletes to engage in summer athletics development (eight hours of conditioning per week, of which no more than two hours can be devoted to skill instruction), regardless of enrollment in summer school.

BOARD ACTION: The Board supported the concept of coaches having access to prospective and current student-athletes during the summer, but asked the Leadership Council to bring back to the Board in January models for further consideration (e.g., requiring enrollment in summer school in order to participate in summer athletics activities; permitting participation in summer athletics activities without enrollment in summer school, provided student-athlete meets specified academic criteria) (Unanimous Voice Vote.)

(3) Multidivisional Reclassification – Opposite Gender -- NCAA Bylaw 20.4.1.2. The Leadership Council agreed to recommend that the Board of Directors adopt noncontroversial legislation that would permit current Division II and Division III institutions that had one sport (other than football and basketball) classified in Division I for the 2010-11 academic year to petition to have one sport of the opposite gender reclassified to Division I. The Leadership Council agreed that the reclassification process should be identical to the two-year process that existed before the adoption of Proposal No. 2010-100, that the application fee should be increased to $10,000 and that there be no time limitation for the opportunity to reclassify a second sport.

BOARD ACTION: The Board adopted noncontroversial legislation as recommended. (Unanimous Voice Vote.)

b. Report of the October 17-18, 2011, Meeting of the Division I Legislative Council. Carolyn Campbell-McGovern, chair of the Division I Legislative Council, noted that in light of the continuing work of the working groups and the potential for significant changes to Division I legislation, the Council discussed broad concepts related to the legislative proposals in the 2011-12 cycle and offered feedback to the working groups in a variety of areas and anticipate guidance on processing the legislative proposals in the current cycle.

7. <u>Division I Committee on Infractions</u>. The committee recommended the Board ratify the committee's revisions to specified provisions within Bylaw 32.

BOARD ACTION: The Board approved the bylaw revisions as recommended. (Unanimous Voice Vote.)

8. **National Association of Basketball Coaches (NABC) Report**. Jim Haney, executive director of the NABC, thanked the Board for the opportunities extended to the NABC and to him personally to participate in discussions regarding important matters facing the NCAA and that affect the sport of men's basketball. He outlined continuing concerns of coaches related to the APR effects when there are coaching changes and expressed support for initiatives to encourage summer school for prospective and enrolled student-athletes, and for the Leadership Council's men's basketball recruiting model. He noted that graduation and retention are key focus areas for the NABC and that new initial eligibility requirements, structure for academic redshirts and increased standards for two-year college transfers increase the need for coaches to build meaningful relationships with prospects and enrolled student-athletes to monitor and help manage academic performance.

9. **Future Meeting Dates**.

a. January 14, 2012, in conjunction with the NCAA Convention, Indianapolis, Indiana.

b. April 26, 2012, Indianapolis, Indiana.

c. August, 2, 2012, Indianapolis, Indiana.

d. Tuesday, October 30, 2012, Indianapolis, Indiana.

Board of Directors chair: Judy Genshaft, University of South Florida
Staff Liaisons: S. David Berst, Division I governance
Jacqueline Campbell, Division I governance

Resolution

"*Whereas*, the working group has concluded that bold actions are necessary to change the regulatory culture in meaningful ways that, in conjunction with an enhanced enforcement structure, will better support the collegiate model by placing emphasis on the most significant regulations;

"*Whereas*, the working group recognizes the challenges inherent to our current regulatory culture, including the creation of a number of rules that are nationally insignificant, difficult to enforce and do not clearly enhance the academic and athletics success of student-athletes;

"*Be it therefore resolved*, that the working group shall establish principle-based outcomes that will apply to each operating bylaw, promote the fundamental constitutional principle of each operating bylaw and serve as the basis for legislation of national significance that merits inclusion in the Manual;

"*Be it further resolved*, that the working group shall examine "competitive equity" in terms of fairness of opportunity to compete among institutions or groups of institutions;

"*Be it further resolved*, that the working group shall identify an appropriate penalty structure that reinforces the need to adhere to established principles;

"*Be it further resolved*, that the working group shall identify opportunities to increase shared responsibility for rules compliance among those who participate in, lead and administer intercollegiate athletics at the campus, conference and national levels;

"*Be it further resolved*, that the working group shall develop a filtering process to evaluate future proposals to ensure that such legislation is consequential, readily enforceable, promotes our enduring values and further identified principle-based outcomes;

"*Be it further resolved*, that the working group shall encourage NCAA staff to reach out to membership constituents to gather feedback on concepts identified by the working group; and

"*Be it further resolved*, that the working group shall review specific principle-based outcomes and operational bylaws at its December 2011 meeting."

Division I Men's Basketball Recruiting Model

Feature	Leadership Council Recommendation	Additional Comments	Effective Date
Recruiting calendar evaluations			
April	• Permit evaluations at certified nonscholastic events on two weekends. • Neither weekend can fall on ACT/SAT testing dates or Easter. • Events must be subject to NCAA certification program, which should include an additional requirement that the event may not begin before Friday 6 pm and must conclude no later than Sunday 4 pm.		**Immediately**
July	• Permit evaluations at nonscholastic certified events during a total of 12 days in July; the 12 days would consist of three four-day periods (with intervening dead periods) that run from Wednesday at 5 pm to Sunday at 5 pm.	• The evaluation period would consist of the first three four-day periods (Wednesday 5 p.m. – Sunday 5 p.m.) beginning with the first Wednesday on or after July 6. • The staff can continue discussion regarding whether event certification criteria should be modified to require events to conclude at an earlier time on the final day.	**Immediately**
Communication with PSAs			
Types of Communication and Phone Call Frequency	• Eliminate restrictions on all modes of communication on or after June 15 following the completion of the prospect's sophomore year in high school. • Eliminate communication restrictions applicable to prospects participating in certified basketball events (April/July).		**June 15, 2012**

Feature	Leadership Council Recommendation	Additional Comments	Effective Date
Off-campus contacts			
Off-campus contact starting point	• Off campus contacts may be made with a prospect during the junior year in high school. • Recruiting periods other than April – contacts during the junior year only at the prospect's educational institution. • April recruiting period – contacts during the junior year only at the prospect's educational institution or at the prospect's residence.	• Current rule limiting visits to a prospect's educational institution to not more than once a week would remain applicable.	**August 1, 2012**
Off-campus contact in conjunction with an evaluation	• Permit recuiting opportunities with juniors and seniors to be either contacts or evaluations. Contacts may not be made during the time period when classes are in session or during the day of a prospect's competition. • The current academic year recruiting calendar shall maintain recruiting periods, but will eliminate distinctions between contact and evaluation periods.	• Institutions are permitted to still have seven recuiting opportunities during each academic year.	**August 1, 2012**
Official visits			
Starting point	• January 1 of the junior year through the senior year of high school.	• Current requirements (standardized test score, high school transcript, register with Eligiblity Center, placed on institution's IRL) necessary to provide an official visit would remain applicable. • Included in the total number of permissible visits for the prospect and the institution.	**August 1, 2012**
Travel expenses	• May be provided to the prospect and two parents/legal guardians.		**August 1, 2012**

NCAA DIVISION I BOARD OF DIRECTORS
October 27, 2011, MEETING ATTENDANCE

Board members in attendance:
Stanley Albrecht, Utah State University, Western Athletic Conference
Guy Bailey, Texas Tech University, Big 12 Conference
William Beauchamp, University of Portland, West Coast Conference
Judy Genshaft, University of South Florida, Big East Conference, chair
Nathan Hatch, Wake Forest University, Atlantic Coast Conference
David Hopkins, Wright State University, Horizon League
Sidney McPhee, Middle Tennessee State University, Sun Belt Conference
William Meehan, Jacksonville State University, Ohio Valley Conference
Ann Millner, Weber State University, Big Sky Conference
Harris Pastides, University of South Carolina, Southeastern Conference
John Peters, Northern Illinois University, Mid-American Conference
Edward Ray, Oregon State University, Pacific-12 Conference
David Schmidly, University of New Mexico, Mountain West Conference
Lou Anna Simon, Michigan State University, Big Ten Conference
David Skorton, Cornell University, Ivy League
Steadman Upham, University of Tulsa, Conference USA

Board members not in attendance:
William R. Harvey, Hampton University, Mid-Eastern Athletic Conference
Timothy White, University of California, Riverside, Big West Conference

NCAA staff Liaisons in attendance:
S. David Berst, NCAA
Jacqueline Campbell, NCAA, recording secretary

Guests from other Division I governance bodies:
Michael Alden, University of Missouri, chair of the Division I Leadership Council
Carolyn Campbell-McGovern, Ivy League, chair of the Division I Legislative Council
Jim Haney, executive director of the National Association of Basketball Coaches (NABC)
Walter Harrison, University of Hartford, chair of the Division I Committee on Academic Performance
Harvey Perlman, University of Nebtraska, chair of the Division I Bowl Licensing Task Force

Guests from the NCAA Pathway Program:
Sherie Cornish Gordon, Norfolk State University
Kimberly Johnson, Texas Christian University
Monica Love, United States Military Academy
Diane O'Brien, University of California, Santa Barbara
Melody Reifel Werner, Eastern Michigan University
Alice Tym, University of Illinois at Chicago

Other Guests:
Bob Knapp, chief executive officer, Neubrand

Other NCAA staff members in attendance: Troy Arthur, Erik Christainson, Joni Comstock, Diane Dickman, Mark Emmert, Bernard Franklin, Lynn Holzman, Michelle Hosick, Tom Hosty, Jim Isch, Kevin Lennon, Steve Mallonee, Kathleen McNeely, Delise O'Meally, Tom Paskus, Todd Petr, Dennie Poppe, Bill Regan, Donald Remy, Wallace Renfro, Greg Shaheen, Jennifer Strawley, Robert Vowels, Wendy Walters and Bob Williams.

REPORT OF THE NCAA DIVISION I STUDENT-ATHLETE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

The NCAA Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee (SAAC) submits the following report from its November 18-20, 2011, meeting.

KEY ITEMS.

1. **Legislative Proposals.** The committee reviewed and discussed select proposals in the current legislative cycle related to student-athlete well-being, including proposals dealing with recruiting, amateurism, eligibility and financial aid. The position of the Division I SAAC on the selected proposals is included as an attachment to this report (attachment).

2. **SAAC Identity Initiative**. The committee discussed general awareness and recognition of the SAAC throughout the membership, including perception of the committee's role and responsibilities. The committee considered initiatives designed to education the membership, student-athletes and the general public regarding its identity and work.

ACTION ITEMS.

1. **Legislative Items.**

   See attachment.

2. **Nonlegislative Items.**

   None.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

1. **New members.** The committee welcomed three new members: William Brown, men's golf, Prairie View A&M University, Southwestern Athletic Conference; Maddie Salamone, women's lacrosse, Duke University, Atlantic Coast Conference; and Jacob Yowell, men's track and field, University of California, Irvine, Big West Conference.

2. **Guest speakers.** The committee welcomed several guest speakers from the NCAA staff to discuss issues involving student-athlete well-being:

a. Scott Bearby, associate general counsel and managing director of legal affairs, provided an update on litigation involving the association and discussed building awareness about the role of the SAAC.

b. Jackie Campbell, director of Division I, updated the committee on Division I governance issues, including recently adopted legislation regarding cost of attendance, financial aid and men's basketball.

c. Mark Emmert, NCAA President, provided an update on the Presidential Working Groups and emphasized the role of the student-athletes' voice in the process.

d. Tiandra Finch, NCAA intern, provided an overview of the NCAA student-athlete affairs department and discussed postgraduate scholarship and employment opportunities for student-athletes.

e. David Knopp, managing director of corporate and broadcast alliances, discussed the advertisement of impermissible nutritional supplements during NCAA events.

f. Kathleen McNeely, vice president of administration and chief financial officer, discussed the resource allocation working group and indicated that the group will reconsider its initial recommendations related to budget and resources, including reduction in regular season competitions, elimination of the non-championship segment and foreign travel as well as limits on non-coaching staff members.

g. Greg Shaheen, interim executive vice president of championships and alliances, spoke to the committee about the championships structure, efforts to improve aspects of all NCAA championships and ways to enhance the voice of the student-athlete in the process.

h. Jenn Strawley and Stephanie Castera, director and assistant director of academic and membership affairs, gave a presentation about new legislation adopted by the Board of Directors regarding initial-eligibility standards, two-year transfer requirements and the academic-progress rate.

i. Dana Thomas, assistant director of social media strategies, discussed how to manage social media and strategies for student-athletes to protect their online profiles.

j. Mary Wilfert, associate director of health and safety, updated the committee on the transgender student-athlete policy adopted by the association.

3. **Community Service.** The committee visited a local community center and worked with Samaritan's Feet to provide shoes to disadvantaged Indianapolis residents. The committee discussed the possibility of forming a partnership between Samaritan's Feet and the Division I SAAC, and will continue to engage in conversation with representatives from Samaritan's Feet about this opportunity.

4. **Cabinet and committee reports.** SAAC members who serve on Division I councils, cabinets and committees reviewed key items from reports of the most recent meetings they attended.

5. **Faculty Athletics Representative Symposium**. SAAC member Eugene Daniels updated the committee on the FAR Symposium, which included discussions related to recruiting issues, drug education and financial aid.

6. **2011 Student-Athlete Leadership Forum.** SAAC members Cassandra Lloyd, Alex Mendez and Logan Roberts provided the committee an overview of their experience at the 2011 Student-Athlete Leadership Forum, which took place November 3-6, 2011, in Chicago, Illinois.

7. **Joint SAAC.** The committee met jointly with the Division II and Division III SAACs for a professional development session and also received an update from John Williams, director of championships, regarding the communications structure developed to provide the SAACs with a voice in the championships and alliances department.

8. **Project Team updates.** The committee received updates from SAAC project teams, including the media team, the service team and the student-athlete voice team regarding their respective initiatives.

9. **Division I SAAC Award of Excellence.** The committee discussed the Division I SAAC Award of Excellence and determined that the honor will be awarded once a year rather than each semester.

10. **Attendance.** Attendance for the November meeting:

Conference	School	Name	Sport	Present
America East Conference	University of Maryland, Baltimore County	Curtis Schickner	Baseball	Yes
Atlantic 10 Conference	University of Rhode Island	Tristany Leikem	Women's tennis	Yes
Atlantic Coast Conference	Duke University	Maddie Salamone	Women's lacrosse	Yes
Atlantic Sun Conference	Stetson University	Carolyn Boyd	Softball	Yes
Big 12 Conference	Baylor University	Logan Roberts	Men's track and field	Yes
Big East Conference	University of South Florida	Alex Mendez	Baseball	Yes
Big South Conference	Winthrop University	Matthew Horn	Men's soccer	Yes
Big Sky Conference	University of Northern Colorado	Natasha Law	Women's volleyball	Yes
Big Ten Conference	University of Wisconsin, Madison	Lauren Cochlin	Women's soccer	Yes
Big West Conference	University of California, Irvine	Jacob Yowell	Men's track and field	Yes
Colonial Athletic Conference	Virginia Commonwealth University	Jessica Williams	Women's track and field	Yes
Conference USA	Southern Methodist University	Kelvin Beachum	Football	No
Horizon League	Wright State University	Cassandra Lloyd	Indoor track and field	Yes
Ivy Group	Cornell University	Ben Swinford	Baseball	Yes
Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference	Siena College	Kaitlyn Carew	Women's swimming	Yes
Mid-American	Eastern	Kaitlyn Vincek	Women's volleyball	Yes

Conference	Michigan University			
Mid-Eastern Athletic Conference	North Carolina A&T State University	Carvell Copeland	Baseball	Yes
Missouri Valley Conference	Illinois State University	Scott Krapf	Men's track and field	Yes
Mountain West Conference	Colorado State University	Eugene Daniels	Football	Yes
Northeast Conference	Long Island University-Brooklyn	Nicholas Conklin	Men's soccer	Yes
Ohio Valley Conference	Jacksonville State University	Chelsea Pelletier	Women's soccer	Yes
Pacific -12 Conference	Oregon State University	Kevin McShane	Men's basketball	No
Patriot League	Lafayette College	Doug Prusoff	Lacrosse	No
Southeastern Conference	Mississippi State University	DJ Looney	Football	No
Southern Conference	Western Carolina University	Chris Everett	Football	No
Southland Conference	Northwestern State University	Yaser Elqutub	Football	No
Southwestern Athletic Conference	Prairie View A&M University	William Brown	Men's golf	Yes
The Summit League	Western Illinois University	Brooke Stittleburg	Women's volleyball	No
Sun Belt Conference	University of Arkansas, Little Rock	Rachael Maina	Women's soccer	Yes
West Coast Conference	St. Mary's College of California	Missy White	Women's volleyball	No
Western Athletic Conference	University of Hawaii	Jessica Stacy	Women's swimming	Yes

Others:				
Legislative Council	Stanford University	Beth Goode, Senior Woman Administrator/ Senior Associate Athletics Director	Pacific 12 Conference	Yes
Leadership Council	University of Miami (Ohio)	Susan Lipnickey, Faculty Athletic Representative	Mid-American Conference	Yes
Leadership Council	Southern Illinois University at Carbondale	Harold Bardo, Faculty Athletic Representative	Missouri Valley Conference	Yes

11. **Future meeting schedule.**

a. January 10-14, 2012, in conjunction with NCAA Convention, Indianapolis.

b. Spring 2012, teleconference.

c. July 19-22, 2012, Indianapolis.

d. Fall 2012, Legislative webinar.

Committee Chair: Scott Krapf, Illinois State University, Missouri Valley Conference
Committee Vice-Chair: Eugene Daniels, Colorado State University, Mountain West Conference
Staff Liaisons: Katie Willett, Student-Athlete Affairs
Kelly Groddy, Academic and Membership Affairs
Brandy Hataway, Academic and Membership Affairs
Tim Nevius, Enforcement

P.O. Box 6222
Indianapolis, Indiana 46206
Telephone: 317/917-6222

Shipping/Overnight Address:
1802 Alonzo Watford Sr. Drive
Indianapolis, Indiana 46202

www.ncaa.org

MEMORANDUM

January 3, 2012

TO: Michael Alden, chair
NCAA Division I Leadership Council.

FROM: Muffet McGraw, chair
NCAA Division I Women's Basketball Issues Committee.

SUBJECT: Women's Basketball Recruiting Model.

The NCAA Division I Women's Basketball Issues Committee has identified significant issues in the women's basketball recruiting model. The committee has expressed concern with coaches' ability to develop relationships with women's basketball prospective student-athletes during the recruiting process, which impacts their ability to make good recruiting decisions. The committee also noted that the recruiting environment in women's basketball has begun to experience similar concerns found in men's basketball recruiting. Over the past year, the committee has worked in consultation with the Women's Basketball Coaches Association to identify specific areas of concern and developed solutions to various aspects of the recruiting model. Therefore, the committee is requesting that the Leadership Council evaluate and create a new comprehensive women's basketball recruiting model, much like the process recently undertaken in men's basketball.

The committee is ready to offer whatever support the Leadership Council would like during the review process. If I, or the committee, can be of any assistance during the review process, please let me know.

MM:jgc

REPORT OF THE NCAA COMMITTEE ON WOMEN'S ATHLETICS October 11-12, 2011, MEETING

ACTION ITEMS.

1. Legislative Action Items.

- None.

2. Nonlegislative Items.

- **Division III Strategic Initiative Grant.**

  (1) Recommendation. The committee recommends the Division III Strategic Initiative Conference Grant be modified to permit coaches to be awarded professional development funds in addition to administrators.

  (2) Effective date. September 1, 2012.

  (3) Rationale. With many educational programs requiring at least minimal tuition, allowing coaches to apply for the strategic initiative grant would provide them opportunities to access this programming and assist with enhancing the women coaches' experience, as well as maintain the number of female NCAA coaches.

  (4) Estimated budget impact. None.

  (5) Student-athlete impact. This recommendation will enhance the number and experience of women role models serving as coaches.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

1. Committee on Women's Athletics (CWA) welcomed the following new committee members:

a. Jill Bodensteiner, associate director of athletics at the University of Notre Dame;

b. Timothy Hall, director of athletics at the University of Missouri – Kansas City;

c. Brett Halvaks, student-athlete at California Lutheran University and Division III SAAC representative;

d. Myndee Kay Larsen, associate commissioner of The Summit League, liaison from the division I leadership council;

e. John McDonald, director of athletics at Quinnipiac University;

f. Jessica Williams, student-athlete at Virginia Commonwealth University and Division I SAAC representative.

2. NCAA Division I athletics certification program.

a. Troy Arthur, director of academic and membership affairs, and Diane Dickman, managing director of academic and membership affairs, provided an update on the current review of the Division I athletics certification program. The update included the framework currently being developed and the key recommendations that will be presented to the Board of Directors at its October and January meetings. It was noted that data currently being supplied by institutions to the NCAA would be compared to benchmarks in the four areas of academics, inclusion, fiscal, and student-athlete experience. This information would be the basis to produce an annual report about the status of the institution.

b. Overall, CWA supported the new certification process' annual review of data as it provides a platform for important issues to be highlighted and evaluated. The committee expressed strong support for the proposed student-athlete survey element. However, the committee noted its continuing concern about maintaining gender equity planning requirements, as well as how benchmarks would be established and used to report on compliance with Title IX. Specifically, the committee noted that data only captures part of the gender equity and Title IX issues (e.g., only one of the three means of participation opportunities is easily measured), and that the new process needs to incorporate a way to allow institutions to provide qualitative narratives in addition to raw data. CWA is appreciative that the committee continues to be engaged and used as a resource on gender equity issues as the new certification process continues to be developed.

3. Women's professional development program updates.

a. National Association of Collegiate Women Athletics Administrators (NACWAA) institutes annual program report. The committee was provided an overview of the annual report on the NACWAA institutes' participant demographic information and evaluation comments of the 2011 programs.

b. NCAA Women Coaches Academy. Judy Sweet, co-director of the Alliance of Women Coaches, provided an overview of the Women's Coaches Academy, as well as recent statistics regarding graduates and their current positions. Over 80% of the academy participants remain in NCAA coaching positions. In addition, the Alliance and academy graduates continue to expand education programming, including Dimension Two, a new convention, and regional "We Coach U" programs. Sweet noted that the Women Coaches Academy consistently turns down hundreds of applicants due to budget limits and requested approval of a new format called "Pay Your Way to WCA" where additional individuals would attend the academy by paying tuition of $2,450. The CWA supports the request for increased funding for its academy, continuing education programs, and a database to track graduate coaches. Specifically, CWA supports an additional $38,300 each year for programming enhancements and a onetime $8,000 fee for updates to the Academy's database.

c. Alliance of Women Coaches. Sweet also provided the committee with an overview of the Alliance of Women Coaches, developed due to requests for further continuing education and advocacy for female coaches. The organization attempts to connect women coaches as well as institutions that are looking for female coaches.

4. NCAA Divisions I, II and III legislative updates. The committee received updates on Divisions I, II and III legislative issues.

a. The committee engaged in a discussion regarding Division I Proposal No. 2011-11 and same concept being contemplated in Divisions II and III. The proposal would shift the oversight of the administrative functions related to the management of the Division I governance structure and Division I representation on Association-wide and common committees; and remove Bylaw 21 from the Division I Manual and specify that policies and procedures related to selection, composition, duties, term of office and operation of committees and cabinets be published on the NCAA website.

The committee opposed moving the representation requirements for committees from the manual and from the current legislative process, noting that the representational requirements are crucial to the professional development of women and minorities and to effective committee discussions.

b. The committee reviewed and took the following positions on the following Division I proposals that are part of the 2011-12 Division I legislative cycle:

- Proposal No. 2011-71 ELIGIBILITY -- TRANSFER REGULATIONS -- FOUR-YEAR COLLEGE TRANSFERS -- ONE-TIME TRANSFER EXCEPTION -- WOMEN'S ICE HOCKEY.

The committee unanimously opposed the proposal noting that the proposal is contrary to student-athlete well being and that a student-athlete's opportunity to transfer one-time in her athletic career should not be limited, provided she has received the release from her previous institution and is academically eligible to compete. No data was presented in the sponsor's rationale that indicates that women's ice hockey student-athletes are underperforming academically as a result of transferring or that this is truly detrimental to the ongoing development of the sport. Instead, the committee noted that student-athletes, particularly female student-athletes should have the opportunity to transfer and compete without having to serve a transfer residence requirement, one time in his or her career, especially in a sport where few opportunities to transfer exist.

- Proposal No. 2011-73 FINANCIAL AID AND AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- TRAINING-TABLE MEALS -- ONE MEAL PER DAY -- STUDENT-ATHLETES NOT RECEIVING FULL BOARD – FOOTBALL.

The committee unanimously opposed the proposal. It was noted that permitting an institution to provide training table meals to student-athletes, regardless of whether the student-athlete is on financial aid or not is a benefit that should be made available to all student-athletes, not just those male student-athletes who participate in the football bowl subdivision. The committee noted that the provision of training table meals is currently often not provided now on a gender neutral basis on campuses and adoption of this proposal may exacerbate the disparate treatment of female student-athletes.

5. NACWAA Convention. The CWA meeting was held in conjunction with the NACWAA National Convention.

a. NACWAA board of directors. The committee met with NACWAA board of directors to provide an overview of the current issues being addressed by CWA and discussed how to develop joint programming that not only cultivate the skills of women administrators but also reaches those who make hiring decisions.

b. Presidential Retreat: Transforming intercollegiate athletics update. Committee members attended the NACWAA Convention general session where President Emmert gave an overview on the recent Presidential Retreat and the transforming intercollegiate athletics initiatives.

6. Olympic Sports Liaison Committee and NCAA - U.S. Olympic partnership.

a. Olympic Sports Liaison Committee. Liz Suscha, associate director, championships and alliances, provided the committee an update on the September Olympic Sports Liaison Committee meeting. Suscha noted that there was much dialogue on the NCAA Fencing Championship and the effect of a combined championship on the awards structure.

b. NCAA-U.S. Olympic partnership. Joni Comstock, senior vice president of championships, provided an update on the new partnership between the NCAA and the United States Olympic Committee to develop Olympic sport programs and explore consistent and collaborative effort in regards to Olympic sports.

7. CWA subcommittees.

a. Emerging sport subcommittee. The emerging sport subcommittee provided the full committee an overview of its meeting. Specifically, the committee reported on their review of the history of the program as well as program criteria. The subcommittee also discussed the changing landscape of emerging sports in light of the Office of Civil Rights (OCR) guidelines. The subcommittee also reviewed the current status of the three emerging sports, as well as proposals submitted or proposed to CWA. The subcommittee discussed plans to expand rugby sponsorship in the next several years and potential legislative proposals to allow for “sevens” rugby opportunities.

b. Strategic planning subcommittee. The strategic planning subcommittee provided the committee an overview of its meeting. Specifically, the subcommittee reviewed the current strategic plan, and provided more detail to objectives and action steps. The committee’s strategic plan for the next two years is complete and subject to amendment for joint CWA-MOIC priorities.

8. Emerging and Olympic sports.

a. Emerging sports. The committee reviewed the status of current emerging sports. Specifically, the committee discussed the equestrian action plan for sponsorship growth and sand volleyball initial sponsorship at fourteen schools. The committee discussed plans to grow rugby and strategies to explore additions to the emerging sport offerings.

b. Possible emerging sports under consideration.

(1) STUNT and Acrobatics and Tumbling. The committee discussed the response provided by STUNT via USA Cheer regarding CWA's request that it join with Acrobatics and Tumbling to create a single proposal, as well as its request for a written confirmation from OCR, noting that STUNT's proposal would meet the definition of a sport. It was noted that there are some plans for the two groups to have a facilitated meeting. After in depth discussion about the current status of both proposals, the committee still encourages STUNT and Acrobatics and Tumbling to come together to submit one proposal.

(2) Triathlon. NCAA staff noted that the triathlon proposal has been approved by the committee, but the group has yet to obtain the ten necessary letters of support from NCAA institutions. CWA supported expanded efforts to share information about the sport and the need for letters with NCAA conference offices.

9. International competition and state department programs. Delise O'Meally, director of association-wide governance and international affairs, provided an overview of NCAA efforts to develop programs that encompass a global perspective to match the global reach of higher education. O'Meally noted that the number of international students is at all time high, reaching over 690,000 students. The NCAA will work to create international and local educational, philanthropic, and competitive opportunities, as well as address international issues in intercollegiate athletics and promote NCAA sports worldwide. During discussions with the committee, members noted that NCAA legislation is antiquated in light of the global market and perspective. It was also noted that the committee had concerns about the concept of limiting foreign travel that resulted from the Presidential Retreat as this conflicts with the unique and educational experiences international travel and competition provides to the student-athletes.

10. **Women of the Year award**. NCAA staff provided an update on the Women of the Year award process, noting the nine finalists have been selected and the award will be presented at the awards ceremony on October 16 in Indianapolis. An overview of the demographics of nominees' sport and ethnicity was also reviewed.

11. **Lesbian, gay, bi-sexual, transgender resources.** NCAA staff provided an overview of the recent approval of NCAA policy on transgender participation, as well as the resources that were provided to institutions.

12. **Title IX update.** NCAA staff provided an overview of the decision in the University of California-Davis case, and the review of the second prong and the issue of qualified immunity for college administrators. The committee was also provided recent Title IX articles for review.

13. **National office programming updates.**

a. 2012 NCAA Convention. A representative from the OCR will provide an overview of recent Title IX activity.

b. Gender Equity and Inclusion Forum. The 2012 Gender Equity and Inclusion Forum will be held April 29 to May 1 in New Orleans, Louisiana. While the forum has expanded to include broader inclusion topics, Title IX training will still be provided. CWA will finance three committee members to attend the forum.

c. NCAA Regional Rules Seminars. There will be a half day devoted to Title IX training at the 2012 Regional Rules Seminars.

14. **Resource center updates**. NCAA staff provided an overview of current projects being developed as a part of the resource center, including creating policies in regard to an institution's Title IX coordinator, developing staff-student relationship best practices, updating the senior woman administrator's brochure and NCAA gender equity manual.

15. Future meeting dates and sites.

a. February 22, 2012, via conference call.

b. Summer 2012, TBD, Indianapolis, Indiana.

Committee Chair: *Marilyn Moniz Kaho'ohanohano, University of Hawaii*
Staff Liaisons: *Brynna Barnhart, Enforcement*
Lynn Holzman, Academic and Membership Affairs
Karen Morrison, Gender Inclusion Initiatives

REPORT OF THE NCAA MINORITY OPPORTUNITIES AND INTERESTS COMMITTEE OCTOBER 11-12, 2011, MEETING

ACTION ITEMS.

1. Legislative Items.

- None.

2. Nonlegislative Items.

- None.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

Association-wide.

1. New committee members. The committee welcomed new committee members Rashann Carlton, director of athletics, Penn State Harrisburg; Wayne Coito, director of compliance and external affairs, Pacific West Conference; Dianthia Ford-Kee, director of athletics, Lincoln University (Pennsylvania); Wildens Fils, Division III National Student-Athlete Advisory Committee representative, Lyndon State College; Chris Howard, associate athletic director-administration, University of Kansas, Ad Hoc Liaison; Clyde McCoy, professor and chair emeritus, department of epidemiology and public health director, Comprehensive Drug Research Center, faculty athletic representative president emeritus, Atlantic Coast Conference, University of Miami; and Alejandro Mendez, Division I National Student-Athlete Advisory Committee, University of South Florida.

2. MOIC chart of service. Committee members were informed of the pending end of the current chair and vice chairs terms. Committee members interested in moving into a leadership role as chair or vice chair were encouraged to inform the primary liaison.

3. Review of the joint Committee on Women's Athletics (CWA)/MOIC agenda. The committee reviewed the agenda and actions that would occur during the joint CWA/MOIC meeting. An overview of the new joint committee structure and priorities discussion was introduced. Committee members were provided with the opportunity to identify a particular subcommittee of interest: lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender; disabled student-athletes and minority women; and strategic planning on which to serve.

4. **National Association of Collegiate Women Athletics Administrators (NACWAA) welcome and organization update.** The committee was addressed by Patti Phillips, chief executive officer, NACWAA, and provided an overview of the mission and vision of the organization. NACWAA was formed to empower, develop and advance the success of women throughout the profession. The committee was provided with an overview of the current programming and opportunities for potential collaboration and future partnership. The committee is interested in determining ways to address the specific needs of women of color and looks forward to having the opportunity to meet with the executive board of NACWAA in the future.

5. **NCAA athletic certification update.** The committee was provided with an overview by NCAA staff of the proposed changes regarding the NCAA athletic certification process since the moratorium. The charge given to the certification staff was to review the process and identify a new one that would be less burdensome yet still yield the same results of accountability regarding institutional expectations around gender and diversity. The committee was made aware that the new process would better engage presidents, provide greater autonomy and increase the importance of institutional self assessment through a determined benchmarking process. MOIC is supportive the proposed changes in concept but expressed concern about institutional and athletics department staff who would take part in the process. The committee was encouraged to provide feedback regarding how the new process may affect their individual institutions.

6. **National Association of Diversity Officers in Higher Education (NADOHE) presentation**. The committee received an overview of the mission, vision and overarching goals of the organization which are: research, professional development, fostering networking opportunities and to influence policy by President Glen Jones, interim provost at Arkansas State University. NADOHE was founded in 2006. The committee was provided with a strategic plan for the organization and reviewed the key areas of focus to include: exponential growth, professional development, professional practice, assessment and outcome initiatives, NADOHE's role in public policy, development of alliances and international initiatives. MOIC is interested in reviewing the strategic plan of NADOHE and identifying opportunities for future collaboration.

7. **Confederate Battle Flag update.** The committee received an update on the NCAA Executive Committee's decision to uphold the policy as adopted in August 2010, which prevents those institutions in the states of Mississippi and South Carolina from hosting predetermined championships inside or outside their respective states.

8. **Women of the Year (WOTY).** The committee was provided with an update regarding the record 473 nominations received during 2011 the 21st year of WOTY. The committee was encouraged to make sure it is actively participating in the process and submitting names to be considered for the award. The committee was made aware that there were minorities represented as finalist for the award.

9. **Supplemental Support Fund update.** The committee received an update regarding the program and how funding has been set aside to assist the identified low resource institutions disproportionately impacted by the academic performance program. An explanation of the three-prong formula was provided regarding how the lower 10 percent are determined. The established funding pool is designed to assist schools in the areas of academic support and staff professional development. The committee expressed support of the program and its continuance with increased funding to better meet the needs of the annually participating institutions. Access to funding is only available to members of Division I, while access to educational resources has been extended to Division II Historically Black Colleges and Universities. MOIC expressed strong concerns that financial resources have not been set aside for adversely impacted institutions in Division II and Division III and recommends that research be conducted to expand the program beyond Division I.

10. **Minority Opportunities Athletic Association (MOAA) presentation**. The committee was provided an organizational overview by Executive Director, Stan Johnson. The principles, purposes and goals of the organization around: education, advocacy, outreach and outcomes were reviewed. MOAA was formed to celebrate and promote diversity within athletics by providing member services that address the needs of minority administrators professionally, emotionally, financially and physically. The committee was provided with an overview of the current programming and opportunities for potential collaboration and future partnership.

11. **Academic issues update – initial eligibility.** NCAA staff provided the committee with an overview of the recent actions of the NCAA Division I Committee on Academic Performance. The committee recognizes that by increasing the eligibility standards there will be some adverse impact on student-athletes from low resource and poorly equipped high schools, many of whom may be student-athletes of color. An overview of the initial-eligibility models being considered was provided to the committee. Information also was provided regarding suggested changes being made to the two-year transfer requirements and considerations being given to propose providing a year of academic readiness. An update was also provided regarding the new Academic Progress Rate score of 930 and proposed penalty structure changes impacting post season participation.

12. **NCAA diversity award.** The committee was provided with an overview of efforts being made to collaborate with MOAA in the creation of a diversity award to recognize institutions for their outstanding best practices being modeled in the areas of diversity and inclusion. The inaugural award is scheduled to be presented in January 2013 at the NCAA Convention. Representatives from MOAA, MOIC and CWA will be indentified to serve as part of the selection committee.

13. **Program updates – committee involvement.** The committee reviewed current participation of MOIC members on MOIC and CWA selection committees supported by the student-athlete affairs and leadership development programs unit. Rashaan Carlton, director of athletics, Penn State Harrisburg, was selected to replace Donna Ledwin, and Irma Garcia, director of athletics, St. Francis College (New York), was selected to replace Alicia Shields Gadson on the Ethnic Minority Enhancement Scholarship selection committee. Program administrators will be invited to the next in person meetings to provide updates on related programming.

14. **2011-12 Cycle Review of Legislation.**

Division I only.

a. **2011-11 organization – governance structure – removal of NCAA Division I Bylaw 21.** The committee expressed strong concern regarding efforts being made to remove Bylaw 21 from the manual. While the committee appreciates the effort for simplification and streamlining of the Manual, the committee strongly objects to the adoption of this proposal. This proposal shifts requirements, such as gender and ethnicity minimums, to a process that may allow modification or elimination by a committee vote rather than a broader membership review as required for legislative changes. These measures ensure the valued diversity of committee members within the Association.

b. **2011-65 eligibility – two-year college transfers – year of academic readiness at two-year colleges.** MOIC expressed support of passing legislation to establish a year of academic readiness in an effort to provide increased access to educational opportunities to student-athletes, especially student-athletes of color that may otherwise be adversely affected.

Division II only.

- **Recruiting and playing and practice seasons – mandatory medical examination – sickle cell solubility test.** MOIC is supportive of the passing of this legislation to require the sickle cell solubility test (SCT) as part of the mandatory medical examination in an effort to make it consistent across all divisions. Sickle cell testing is presently being completed by a majority of institutions within the membership and would assist those student-athletes who are unaware of their status to become knowledgeable. The potential for collapse and complications from SCT is not dependant of division, sport, gender or race; however, a disproportionate number of student-athletes of color is affected. Providing student-athletes and key staff with this knowledge will serve as a strong precautionary method. MOIC is also supportive of providing education to those student-athletes about the risks of the condition should they choose to opt out of being tested.

Division III only.

- **Playing and practice seasons – general playing – season regulations – required sickle cell testing.** MOIC is supportive of the passing of this legislation to require the SCT as part of the mandatory medical examination in an effort to make it consistent across all divisions. Sickle cell testing is presently being completed by a majority of institutions within the membership and would assist those student-athletes who are unaware of their status to become knowledgeable. The potential for collapse and complications from SCT is not dependant of division, sport, gender or race; however, a disproportionally number of student-athletes of color is affected. Providing student-athletes and key staff with this knowledge will serve as a strong precautionary method. MOIC is also supportive of providing education to those student-athletes about the risks of the condition should they choose to opt out of being tested.

15. Other Business.

a. NCAA MOIC Collaboration Zone. An update was provided regarding the use of MOIC Collaboration Zone, which will be used in the future as the primary point of engagement for the committee.

b. Future Meeting Dates. The committee engaged in a discussion regarding how to structure future committee meetings in an effort to work more efficiently with CWA. More information will be shared with the committee as 2012 committee dates are determined.

Committee Chair:	*Dawn Reynolds, University of Miami*
Staff Liaisons	*Kimberly Ford, Minority Inclusion*
	Jay Rossello, General Counsel

MEN'S COLLEGE BASKETBALL OFFICIATING, LLC (MCBO) COMPETITION COMMITTEE PLAN FOR IMPROVING THE GAME'S IMAGE THROUGH SPORTSMANSHIP

Executive Summary.

The MCBO Competition Committee developed this plan to address on-court sportsmanship and behavior of coaches, players and officials in an effort to elevate the image of the sport and positively impact the game environment. The competition committee's intent is to have this plan reviewed and supported by a wide constituency to include NCAA presidents, conference commissioners, athletics directors, coaches and others before implementation.

Establishing Expectations.

The eroding image of the game – accurate or not – is a significant threat to the health of NCAA men's basketball. As part of the collegiate environment, it is expected that NCAA competitions be conducted with behavior typically associated with higher education. In recent years, the threshold of acceptable behavior has been negatively eroded. Athletics is often described as the front porch of many universities. This is an effort to significantly improve and in some cases reconstruct that introduction to the institution.

Many college basketball games create an environment that is intense and hotly contested; by itself, this is not automatically negative. This intensity is sometimes misplaced by coaches, for example, who consistently berate officials throughout the game in an effort to gain a perceived competitive edge.

The intended outcome of this plan is to have clear, enforceable rules and directives that are supported by a wide distribution of higher education and NCAA leadership. Several potential items for consideration are listed below:

1. **Coaches.** Through points of emphasis and slight rules changes (e.g., coaches box warnings), the behavior of the majority of coaches and the relationship between coaches and officials has improved in recent years. There are a small number of coaches, however, that continue to create a negative image for the profession and sport due to their behavior towards officials and their student-athletes. Significant positive change in this area will require buy-in at the presidential level on campus and be administered under the authority of the conference commissioners.

2. **Student-Athletes.** Overall, NCAA student-athletes are respectful to officials and administrators and embody the passion and commitment that makes college basketball

DRAFT

special. One or two ugly incidents, however, can dramatically impact the image of the game. Expectations must be clear that student-athletes are to control their actions towards officials, opposing players and fans.

3. **More specific rules and guidance for game officials.** While current NCAA men's basketball rules allow for officials to enforce penalties when appropriate, additional support is needed if officials will be willing to enforce these rules strictly. Discussion of the following concepts currently in place in the NBA could assist as automatic triggers for these rules:

   a. Statements or comments directed at or referring to any game official that question the integrity or ability of a game official (e.g., "You're cheating us," or "Why are you giving them the game?").

   b. Zero tolerance for profanity, obscenity, threatening or derogatory remarks, or personal comments (e.g., relating to race, ethnicity, religion, gender, or sexual orientation) directed at or referring to any game official or opposing player/personnel.

   c. Inappropriate, disrespectful, or unprofessional action in response to a call or non-call, including, but not limited to: thrashing the arms in disgust; dramatizing contact by slapping one's arm or through other gestures; raising arms in the air in a questioning manner; approaching/charging towards an official in a hostile, aggressive, or otherwise threatening manner; running or jumping in disbelief over a call; emphatically removing sport coat/suit jacket in response to a call or clapping sarcastically after a call has been made.

   d. Comments directed at or referring to any game official concerning calls or non-calls that are made in an unprofessional or disrespectful manner (e.g., asking, in a hostile or sarcastic manner, about the number of fouls called against the opposing team).

   e. Continuous criticism by a player or team during a game (e.g., several comments regarding the same event or incident in a short period of time, or several comments regarding different events or incidents over the course of a single game).

   f. Trash talking or taunting (via actions or language) opposing players, personnel or fans.

g. Coach directing profane or obscene actions or language towards their players.

4. Potential considerations for coach/student-athlete behavior:

- Specifying actions towards officials or opposing players/personnel that constitute a team warning.
- Specifying actions that would constitute a technical foul and the specific penalty to be instituted (e.g. one foul shot, two foul shots, ejection, etc.).
- Specifying penalties that would be applied to repeat offenders.

Potential Timeline.

December 2011	Initial communication outlining the problems/issues and the MCBO's approach to having a positive impact
January 2012	Discussion at NCAA Convention with appropriate NCAA governance committees
February 2012	Collegiate Commissioners Association
April 2012	NABC Board of Directors Meeting MCBO, LLC Meeting
May 2012	NCAA Men's Basketball Rules Committee annual meeting
May – June 2012	Communication of standards to conferences, member institutions and other governance committees
July – October 2012	NCAA officiating camps and clinics
October 2012	Basketball season begins with new guidelines in place
2012-13 season	Tracking/review of appropriate data to determine success

Key Constituents.

MCBO Board of Managers
NCAA Men's Basketball Committee
NCAA Men's Basketball Issues Committee
NCAA Men's Basketball Rules Committee
NCAA Executive Committee or appropriate governance committee (e.g., Division I Board of Directors)
NCAA Presidents Council
National Association of Basketball Coaches Board of Directors

Coaches, Conference Commissioners and Campus Administrators
Student-Athlete Advisory Committees
NCAA Conference Coordinators of Officials

Expected Outcomes.

- Coaches, student-athletes, game officials and personnel treating each other with respect and professionalism consistent with the ideals of higher education.
- Significant improvement in creating and maintaining a respectful game environment that is reflective of and appropriate in higher education.
- Consistent enforcement and application of standards when unsportsmanlike conduct is exhibited by coaches and student-athletes.

DRAFT

NCAA Government Relations Report

(December 2011)

1. Congressional Overview.

As the 112th Congress enters the last month of its first session, a number of legislative priorities still remain. During the final weeks of the year, Congress is expected to consider several proposals that would extend the payroll tax cut, extend unemployment insurance benefits for the long-term jobless, patch the alternative minimum tax, and prevent a cut in payments to doctors who treat Medicare patients. Also, with Congress only passing three appropriations bills this year, it is expected that an omnibus bill will be used to pass the nine remaining spending measures.

The upcoming 2012 Presidential and Congressional elections have continued to garner significant attention in Washington, D.C. After more than a dozen Republican Presidential debates, several Republican candidates head into the primary season to challenge President Barack Obama next year. In the halls of Congress, the 2012 election landscape is also taking shape. To date, seventeen House Democrats have announced they will not seek another term, although eight of those individuals are seeking other offices. Most notably, senior Democrats Representative Barney Frank (D-MA), ranking member of the House Financial Services Committee and Representative Charlie Gonzalez (D-TX), Chair, Congressional Hispanic Caucus will not run for reelection. To date, seven House Republicans have announced that they will not seek another term in 2012 but all are expected to run for other offices.

During the last quarter of 2011, Congress has shown significant interest in collegiate athletic issues. Alleged criminal violations by current and former athletic department personnel at membership schools have led to some scrutiny of college athletics and the NCAA. Members of Congress have also shown an interest in a variety of other matters including, pay-for-play, scholarship limitations, medical coverage, sport related head injuries, conference realignment, the Bowl Championship Series, and the academic success of student-athletes. The NCAA Office of Government Relations has worked with NCAA colleagues to address specific concerns expressed by members of Congress and their staffs.

2. Federal Issues.

a. Concussions and Helmet Safety.

On October 19, 2011, the Senate Committee on Commerce, Science and Transportation convened a hearing to examine concussions in sports and the marketing of sports equipment as "anti-concussion" or "concussion-reducing." Witnesses included Alexis Ball, student and former student-athlete, University of New Mexico; Steven Threet, student and former student-athlete, Arizona State University; Dr. Jeffrey Kutcher, assistant professor, Department of Neurology, University of Michigan and director; Michigan NeuroSport; Dr. Ann McKee, Professor of Neurology and Pathology, Boston University and director, Neuropathology Core, BU Alzheimer's Disease Center; and Mike Oliver, executive director, National Operating Committee on Standards for Athletic Equipment.

The testimony of the witnesses and follow-up questions from the senators in attendance focused on the need for additional science to better diagnose and treat concussions and greater education and awareness to effectively change the culture in sports in respect to head injuries. Also, a great deal of time was spent disputing claims by sports equipment companies that their products prevent or decrease the chances of an athlete suffering a concussion. Committee member Senator Tom Udall (D-NM), who introduced legislation aimed at protecting youth athletes from the dangers of sports-related concussions, identified specific examples of mouth guards, headbands, helmets and dietary supplements being promoted as reducing the chances of concussions.

NCAA Government Relations staff will continue to monitor any next steps stemming from this hearing and track legislative efforts aimed at improving voluntary football helmet standards for youth athletes, increasing the penalties for companies that make false injury prevention claims and requiring elementary and secondary schools to implement standard plans for concussion safety and management.

b. Internet Gambling.

On October 25, 2011, the House Energy and Commerce Subcommittee on Commerce, Manufacturing, and Trade held a hearing entitled "Internet Gambling: Is There a Safe Bet?" The discussion during the hearing centered on what, if any, forms of Internet gaming should Congress allow and how any changes to current law might impact consumers and other stakeholders. A follow-up hearing entitled "Internet Gaming: Regulating in an Online World" was conducted by the same subcommittee on November 18, 2011. The hearing served as an extension of the initial discussion

but also explored the effectiveness of current enforcement efforts in jurisdictions that have legalized online gaming.

While the hearings did not focus on any specific piece of legislation, there were general references to existing legislation, most notably H.R. 2366, the Internet Gambling Prohibition, Poker Consumer Protection, and Strengthening UIGEA Act of 2011. H.R. 2366, which was introduced by Representative Joe Barton (R-TX), would allow for the licensing, regulation, and taxation of Internet poker. Under the proposed Act, wagering on athletic competitions would be prohibited. The hearings did not provide any clarity as to what, if any, actions would be taken to mark-up existing legislation related to online gambling.

The NCAA Office of Government Relations will continue to work with other groups including the professional sports leagues to prevent the passage of any legislation that would allow online wagering on athletic competitions.

c. Sports Wagering.

Representative Frank Pallone, Jr (D-NJ) issued a press release on November 9, 2011, expressing his intent to introduce legislation that would amend the Professional Amateur Sports Protection Act (PASPA) and exclude New Jersey from the prohibition on professional sports gambling. Under the proposed act, New Jersey would be allowed to conduct a lottery, sweepstakes, or other betting scheme on professional sporting events. The legislation would not allow wagering on collegiate competitions.

d. Student-Athlete Welfare Issues.

On November 1, 2011, Representative Bobby Rush (D-IL) convened a roundtable discussion on college athletics and the welfare of student-athletes. Entitled, "Hypocrisy or Hype? The Impacts of Back-Room Deals, Payoffs, and Scandals in American Collegiate Student Athletics," the roundtable was touted as an opportunity for participants to offer their perspectives on the current state of NCAA athletics and recent revelations arising out of significant NCAA infractions and violations. Participants included Congressman Rush; Congressman John Conyers (D-MI); Jeremy Schaap, ESPN; Andy Schwarz, sports economist, OSKR; Derek Samson, assistant managing editor, Rivals.com; Josh Luchs, former NFL agent; Valerie

Hardrick, Mother of Kyle Hardrick (former basketball scholarship athlete at the University of Oklahoma); Joan Jolly (mother of former Hampton University student-athlete); Ramogi Huma, president, National College Players Association, Shane Battier, Memphis Grizzlies Forward (former basketball scholarship athlete at Duke University); Thaddeus Young, Philadelphia 76ers forward (former basketball scholarship athlete at Georgia Tech); Dr. Ellen Staurowsky, professor of sports management, Drexel University; and Warren K. Zola, assistant dean for graduate programs, Boston College.

Among the many issues discussed were the value and duration of athletic scholarships, medical coverage and payment of medical expenses for student-athletes, the right of a student-athlete to retain an agent and legal counsel, rules that prevent student-athletes from negotiating their own marketing deals, and matters related to commercialism and student-athlete likeness.

3. <u>State Issues</u>.

a. New Jersey - Sports Wagering.

On November 8, 2011, the citizens of New Jersey were presented with a nonbinding referendum on the statewide ballot, asking if sports betting should be allowed at the state's racetracks and Atlantic City casinos. The referendum was supported by an overwhelming majority of New Jersey voters and received the support of Governor Chris Christie, who has committed to fighting for sports betting with its passage. Despite the passage of this referendum, a number of substantial hurdles exist before New Jersey can offer wagering opportunities on professional and collegiate athletic competitions. The most significant roadblock is the Professional and Amateur Sports Protection Act (PASPA), which prohibits sports betting in all but four states – Nevada, Oregon, Montana, and Delaware, who all had some form of sports betting before PASPA was passed in 1992. It is expected that legislative and legal efforts will be launched to overturn PASPA and allow New Jersey and ultimately other states to legally conduct a sports wagering scheme.

The NCAA will work with the professional sports leagues and other interested groups to protect against any efforts to repeal PASPA. We will continue to monitor

legislation at the state and federal level and educate policymakers on the dangers associated with sports betting.

b. Uniform Athlete Agents Act.

The Uniform Athlete Agents Act (UAAA) is a state model act designed to protect student-athletes and membership institutions from the unscrupulous conduct of some athlete agents. The act establishes uniform athlete agent registration procedures and places limitations on the type of conduct that an athlete agent may engage in when dealing with a student-athlete. In addition, the act imposes criminal, civil and/or administrative penalties against unscrupulous agents.

On November 17, 2011, the NCAA convened a summit on the Uniform Athlete Agents Act (UAAA) in Washington D.C. The summit was an opportunity to bring key stakeholders together to discuss the UAAA, its effectiveness, and what, if any changes need to be made to the model Act. State agencies responsible for administering the UAAA, athlete agents, professional sports leagues, professional sports leagues players' associations, NCAA membership, and the original drafters of the UAAA were represented at this meeting. The need for cooperation between key stakeholders, enhancing enforcement efforts of the UAAA, and additional education about the Act and available resources for student-athletes and membership institutions were highlighted as necessary elements in curbing the improper and often illegal conduct of some athlete agents.

NCAA staff intends to continue this dialogue and outreach to individuals and groups who play a vital role in enforcing the UAAA and protecting student-athletes and NCAA members from the detrimental impact of unscrupulous athlete agents.

c. Higher Education Associations.

NCAA government relations staff continues to build strong relationships with various higher education associations. The American Council on Education (ACE), the Association of American Universities (AAU), the Association of Public and Land-grant Universities (APLU), and the National Association of Colleges and University Business Officers (NACUBO) among others, continue to provide guidance and support on issues of common interest. The NCAA government relations staff looks

forward to continuing these mutually beneficial relationships to better formulate and further the NCAA's legislative goals.

Division I Meetings
2012 Annual Convention Meeting Schedule

	Wednesday January 11		Thursday, January 12				Friday, January 13	Saturday, January 14
7	LGC/SAAC Breakfast (7 – 8 a.m.)							
7:30		Conference Meetings (7:30 a.m.–7 p.m.						Joint SAAC/BOD Breakfast (7:30 – 9 a.m.) Indiana A-D
8	**Legislative Council (LGC)** (8 a.m. - 5 p.m.) White River Ballroom - JW		Legislative Council (LGC) (8 – 10 a.m.) White River Ballroom JW			Leadership Council (8 a.m. – 3:30 p.m.) Indiana E		
8:30					Conference Meetings (8:30 a.m. – 3:30 p.m.)			
9							Status of Presidential Retreat Initiatives (9 a.m. – noon) Indiana A-E	Board of Directors Meeting (9:30 a.m. – 5 p.m.) Indiana E-G
9:30				Assn-wide Programming (9:30 – 11a.m.) Convention Ctr				
10								
10:30								
11				Assn-wide Programming (11:15 – 12:15) Convention Ctr				
11:30								
Noon								BOD Luncheon (12 - 1 p.m.)
12:30				Assn. Luncheon (12:30 – 2 p.m.) 500 Ballroom Convention Ctr				
1								Board of Directors Meeting Continues Indiana E-G
1:30							Executive Committee Meeting (1:30 – 4:30 p.m.) Indiana F-G	
2				Assn-wide Programming (2:15 – 3:45 p.m.) Convention Ctr				
2:30								
3								
3:30			Opening Business Session (4 – 5:30 p.m.) Grand 1-6, JW (Doors open at 3:30)					
4								
4:30								
5								
5:30			Delegates Reception (5:30 – 7 p.m.) Grand 6-10, JW					
6							Honors Celebration (6-8 p.m.) Marriott Ballroom	
6:30								
7								
7:30								
8								
8:30								
9								
10								

Meetings either DI LGC/LDC Councils should attend. Additional Association-wide events. Meetings DI Board would be interested in attending.

All meetings will be held in the Marriott unless specified differently.

12/19/11:vlm

REPORT OF THE NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION
DIVISION I LEADERSHIP COUNCIL
JANUARY 12, 2012, MEETING

ACTION ITEM.

- **Men's Basketball Recruiting.** At the request of the NCAA Division I Board of Directors, the Leadership Council reviewed remaining issues related to the Division I men's basketball recruiting model regarding: (1) Involvement of coaches with enrolled men's basketball student-athletes during the summer months for weight training, conditioning and skill-related instruction; and (2) On-campus evaluations (OCE) of men's basketball prospective student-athletes.

  a. Summer Access to Enrolled Student-Athletes. The Leadership Council agreed to recommend that the NCAA Division I Board of Directors approve a summer access model that permits institutional staff members to conduct or supervise summer athletics activites in accordance with specified requirements (i.e., enrollment in summer school, opt-out academic benchmarks). [Note: See Attachment A for details of the new summer access model.]

  b. On-Campus Evaluations for Prospective Student-Athletes. The Leadership Council agreed to recommend that the Division I Board of Directors approve on-campus evaluations (OCE) for prospective student-athletes under specified conditions. [Note: See Attachment B for details of on-campus evaluations.]

INFORMATION ITEMS.

1. **Report of October 12, 2011, Leadership Council Meeting**. The Leadership Council approved the report of its October 12, 2011, meeting.

2. **NCAA President's Report.** President Emmert noted the need to address institutional integrity and shared responsibility, particularly in determining the appropriate role for decentralizing rules and for the nature of rules institutions should be held accountable. In this regard, President Emmert noted that at the request of the Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Collegiate Model Rules and Enforcement Working Groups, he plans to create another working group to discuss institutional integrity and shared responsibility. In addition, in order to enhance the Association's communication efforts, new membership and external outreach initiatives are underway to educate and inform the Association's many audiences, establish bi-directional communications with the NCAA and to foster advocacy on the NCAA's behalf.

3. Update on Presidential Retreat Initiatives. The Leadership Council received an update regarding the work of the four Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Working Groups.

a. Student-Athlete Well-Being Working Group. The group was reminded that Proposal Nos. 2011-96 – "Miscellaneous Expense Allowance" and 2011-97 – "Multi-year Grants in Aid" will be reconsidered by the Board of Directors at its next regularly scheduled meeting on January 14, 2012. The Leadership Council discussed the working group's proposed modifications to Proposal No. 2011-96 – "Miscellaneous Expense Allowance," which would modify the provisions for the $2,000 miscellaneous expense allowance and rescind the portion of the proposal that provided that all nonathletics financial aid will no longer count toward team limits. The working group also recommended that the Board of Directors reaffirm its original action to adopt Proposal No. 2011-97 – "Multi-year Grants in Aid," which would result in an online override vote by the Division I active member institutions and conferences. The Leadership Council noted the membership's concern with the effective dates of these proposals and the process by which they were developed.

b. Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Resource Allocation Working Group. The group discussed the Board of Directors Consent Package of Action Items from the Resource Allocation Working Group. The Leadership Council expressed concern regarding several of the recommendations and suggested that a broader agenda be developed for future consideration.

c. Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Collegiate Model -- Rules Working Group. The group was informed that guided by the October 2011 resolution endorsed by the Board of Directors, the Rules Working Group has continued its discussion regarding a new regulatory culture and review of specific principle-based outcomes and operational bylaws. The working group will request that the Board take the following actions at its January 14, 2012, meeting: (1) Support the general approach outlined for a new regulatory approach; (2) Endorse a moratorium on legislation for the 2012-13 legislative cycle (unless part of the presidential reform agenda); and (3) Support the creation of a new group to address issues of institutional integrity.

d. Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Collegiate Model -- Enforcement Working Group. The group was informed that the Enforcement Working Group will update the Board on its work regarding a violation and penalty structure, as well as the enforcement process and concept of shared responsibility.

4. NCAA Division I Women's Basketball Issues Committee Request. The Leadership Council reviewed a request from the Division I Women's Basketball Issues Committee that the Council conduct a comprehensive review of the women's basketball recruiting model,

much like the rececntly completed review of the men's basketball recruiting model. The Council agreed to conduct such a review.

5. **Update from Leadership Council's Agent Subcommittee.** Rachel Newman, NCAA managing director of enforcement, updated the Council regarding:

   a. The status of the work of the Leadership Council Agent Subcommittee and how that aligns with the work of the Bylaw 12 subgroup of the Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Collegiate Model Rules Working Group;

   b. The Uniform Athlete Agent Act (UAAA) Summit conducted in Washingon, D.C. on November 17, 2011, and

   c. The formation of an NCAA Football Focus Group within the NCAA enforcement group.

6. **Criteria for Conference Membership in Division I Governance/Championships Structure.** The Leadership Council received a presentation from several representatives of the Great West Conference regarding a possible waiver of NCAA Bylaws 20.02.5.1 and 20.02.5.4 so that the Great West Conference would immediately be recognized as an active Division I multi-sport conference. The Leadership Council requested that staff provide more detailed information regarding the Great West Conference and the chronology of its efforts to meet the requirements to become an active Division I multi-sport conference for the Council's review at its April 12, 2012, meeting.

7. **Men's Basketball Competition Committee Sportmanship Initiative.** Byron Hatch, NCAA director of championships alliances, informed the Leadership Council of the Men's College Basketball Officiating, LLC (MCBO) Competition Committee's plan to address on-court sportsmanship and behavior of coaches, players and officials in an effort to elevate the image of the sport and positively impact the game environment. The Council applauded the committee's efforts and expressed its support for the plan.

8. **Report from the Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee (SAAC).** Scott Krapf, chair of the Division I SAAC, presented a report of the committee's priorities for the upcoming year. As this was the final meeting Scott Krapf would attend as chair of the Division I SAAC, the Leadership Council thanked him for his service and wished him well with his future endeavors.

9. Future Meetings.

a. April 12, 2012, Indianapolis, Indiana.

b. October 2012, TBD, Indianapolis, Indiana.

Leadership Council chair: Mike Alden, University of Missouri
Staff Liaisons: S. David Berst, Division I governance
Jacqueline Campbell, Division I governance
Kevin Lennon, academic and membership affairs

Division I Leadership Council January 12, 2012, Meeting	
ATTENDEES	**ABSENTEES**
Mike Alden, University of Missouri, Big 12 Conference	Clyde McCoy, University of Miami, Atlantic Coast Conference
Lindsey Babcock, Atlantic Coast Conference (alternate)	
Sandy Barbour, University of California, Pacific-12 Conference	
Harold Bardo, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, Missouri Valley Conference	
Mike Bitter, Stetson University, Atlantic Sun Conference	
Dave Blank, Elon University, Southern Conference	
McKinley Boston, Jr., New Mexico State University, Western Athletic Conference	
Peg Bradley-Doppes, University of Denver, Sun Belt Conference	
Morgan J. Burke, Purdue University, Big Ten Conference	
Janet Cone, University of North Carolina, Asheville, Big South Conference	
Joan Cronan, University of Tennessee, Southeastern Conference	
Beth Debauche, Ohio Valley Conference	

Peter Fields, Montana State University-Bozeman, Big Sky Conference	
Chet Gladchuk, United States Naval Academy, Patriot League	
Robin Harris, Ivy League	
Jack Hayes, Hofstra University, Colonial Athletic Association	
Amy Huchthausen, America East Conference	
R.C. Johnson, University of Memphis, Conference USA	
Lisa Kelleher, University of Nevada, Las Vegas, Mountain West Conference	
Scott Krapf, Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee	
Myndee Kay Larsen, Summit League	
Jonathan LeCrone, Horizon League	
Susan Cross Lipnickey, Miami University, Mid-American Conference	
Janet Lucas, University of California, Riverside, Big West Conference	
John Marinatto, Big East Conference	
John McCutcheon, University of Massachusetts, Atlantic 10 Conference	
Noreen Morris, Northeast Conference	
Derrick Ramsey, Coppin State University, Mid-Eastern Athletic Conference	
John Ritschdorff, Marist College, Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference	
Duer Sharp, Southwesterm Athletic Conference	
Ky Snyder, University of San Diego, West Coast Conference	
Bobby Williams, Sam Houston State University, Southland Conference	
NCAA staff liaisons in attendance: David Berst, Jacqueline Campbell, Kevin Lennon	
Other Guests in attendance: Eugene Daniels, vice-chair of the Division I SAAC; Jim Haney, executive director, National Association of Basketball Coaches (NABC); Matthew Holland, president, Utah Valley University; Jim Hollis, chair of the NCAA Division I Amateurism	

Cabinet; Michael Jacobson, director of athletics, Utah Valley University; Robert Nelsen, president, University of Texas, Pan American, and Alexis Schug, associate athletic director, New Jersey Institute of Technology.
Other NCAA staff members who were in attendance for portions of the meeting: Scott Bearby, Mark Emmert, Byron Hatch, Lynn Holzman, Michelle Hosick, Greg Johnson, Kevin Lennon, Steve Mallonee, Jarrett Newby, Rachel Newman, Chad Radford, Donald Remy, Wallace Renfro, Stacey Osoburn, Robert Vowels, Bob Williams, Monica Woods and Chuck Wynne.