A G E N D A

National Collegiate Athletic Association
Division I Leadership Council

Hyatt Regency Indianapolis
Indianapolis, Indiana

March 23, 2010
8 a.m. to 3 p.m.

1. Welcome and introductions.

2. NCAA interim president's report.

   - Update on presidential search.

   - Media contract.

3. Litigation update. [Supplement No. 1 will be distributed at the meeting.]

4. Draft of final report to the Board of Directors regarding Division I membership standards. [Supplement No. 2]

5. Discussion of Cost Savings Initiatives. [Supplement No. 3]

6. Legislative update.

   a. Review of legislative items in the 2009-10 cycle of potential interest to the Leadership Council. [Supplement No. 4]

   b. Status of legislation related to commercial activities.

7. Governance update.

   [Note: These materials have been provided for informational purposes. Leadership Council members are expected to be familiar generally with the key agenda topics being considered by these governance groups. No formal presentation of this information is anticipated at the meeting, but questions are encouraged.]

   - Division I matters.

(1) Report from the January14 Leadership Council meeting. [Supplement No. 5]

(2) Report from the January 16, 2010, Board of Directors meetings. [Supplement No. 6]

(3) Update from the Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee.

(4) Report of key issues from February Division I Cabinets meetings. [Supplement No. 7]

b. Association-wide matters.

(1) Report from the January 16, 2010, NCAA Executive Committee meeting. [Supplement No. 8]

(2) Minority Opportunities and Interests Committee update. [Supplement No. 9]

(3) Committee on Women's Athletics update. [Supplement No. 10]

8. Other items of business.

9. Adjournment.

DRAFT
NCAA Leadership Council Final Report Regarding Division I Membership Criteria

Background.

On August 9, 2007, the Division I Board of Directors adopted Legislative Proposal 2007-10, which established a four-year a moratorium that prohibited consideration of any new institutional, conference or multi-divisional Division I members until August 2011.

The Board concluded that the time was right, following similar moratoriums in Divisions II and III, for the Division I membership to assess and study the impact of membership migration and to develop reasonable philosophical expectations and legislative requirements for membership in the division. The Board requested the Leadership Council to conduct this study and to make appropriate recommendations regarding possible NCAA legislation.

A preliminary report of recommendations was provided to the Board of Directors in April 2009 and the Division I governance structure, member conferences and institutions were asked to provide reactions. The Leadership Council has continued discussions and submits the following report of recommendations to the Board of Directors.

Excerpt from the Division I Manual--Division I Philosophy (Bylaw 20.9).

Athletics programs in Division I are guided by a philosophy statement that among other things:

- Emphasizes high standards of academic quality and breadth of academic opportunity;
- Strives for regional and national excellence and prominence and recognizes the dual objective of serving both the institutional community and the general public;
- Provides for extensive opportunities for participation in varsity intercollegiate athletics for both men and women (consistent with the requirements of Title IX) and sponsors at the highest feasible level of intercollegiate competition, men's and women's basketball and football, recognizing subdivisional designations in football;
- Advocates scheduling athletics contests primarily among Division I institutions;
- Emphasizes maintaining institutional control over all funds supporting athletics; and that
- Understands, respects, and supports the programs and philosophies of other membership divisions.

Additional Standards and Assumptions Relied Upon by the Leadership Council in its discussions included that:

- Applicants for membership in Division I should be required to meet, over a period of time, prescribed criteria in order to assure compatibility and compliance with the principles and program objectives of Division I.
- Central to the mission of intercollegiate athletics in Division I is the academic and athletic success of student-athletes, as well as protection of the student-athletes' health and well-being.
- Division I members should be expected to demonstrate a meaningful program-wide financial commitment across its entire athletics program, including for financial aid, number of sports sponsored, recruiting, athletics facilities, rules compliance, coaching, team travel and academic support services.
- Membership standards should promote conference stability, provide access to Division I for qualifying institutions and treat institutions fairly in determining their status as members of Division I.
- The number and nature of multisport conferences in Division I are fundamental considerations in establishing the level, structure and scope of Division I championship competition, the allocation of financial benefits and access to services and governance of the division.
- There is a finite level and number of resources, benefits and good will available to current members of the division, which should be maintained or enhanced through any further expansion of membership.
- Potential new members of Division I should be expected to demonstrate a sustained commitment to Division I standards, assumptions and the Division I philosophy statement before election to membership in the division.
- Current voting ratios/percentages by conference and subdivision within Division I should be maintained through appropriate mathematical adjustments in the event the membership increases.
- The Division I brand has a value that can be approximated through a calculation of the value of direct and indirect services and benefits, as well as of the "image" of Division I and its subdivisions.

Recommendations.

The Council requests that the Board of Directors endorse the following recommendations for further discussion and debate by Division I membership groups and conferences during the spring 2010 and that in August 2010, the Board sponsor appropriate legislative proposals for membership consideration in January and April 2011.

1. **Potential new members of Division I shall enter the division through a five-year "reclassification" process following no less than five-years of membership in Division II. Further, the process described in Bylaw 20.5 shall apply, and an application for**

membership in Division I will be accepted only after presenting a bona fide offer of membership from a Division I multisport, voting conference. The sponsoring conference will be expected to provide appropriate guidance and counsel to the transitioning new member, assist with scheduling, monitor student-athlete well being and enhance accountability during the transition process.

Comment: Requiring conference sponsorship and prior membership in Division II is intended to promote the long-term stability of the Association. There should be a check list to assist conferences and transitioning institutions to ensure that institutions are meeting their appropriate benchmarks. The Administration Cabinet should provide additional thought to the timing of when various benchmarks should apply.

2. **The "provisional" process provided for in Bylaw 20.3.1, which now permits an institution outside the NCAA to become a Division I member through a seven-year process, shall be eliminated.**

   Comment: Multisport conferences within the Division I championships and governance structure are well suited to determine the needs of conferences and thereby the division. Experience in Division II serves as an appropriate platform to demonstrate the traits necessary to become a productive Division I member.

3. **An application fee shall be required before entering the "reclassification" process, which should either be commensurate with; (1) the estimated annual average value of direct benefits through distributions and championships made available to Division I members - - currently approximately $1,300,000, or (2) the "mean" annual value of direct benefits through distributions and championships - - currently approximately $900,000.**

   Comment: This requirement should be data-driven and will change from time to time. This calculation does not include the costs of services or costs to administer the reclassification program. Upon election to Division I membership, the application fee shall be deposited in the NCAA Student Athlete Opportunity Distribution Fund. In the event an institution withdraws during the reclassification process, the application fee would be returned to the institution on a prorated basiss—75% after year one, $50% after year two, 30% after year three and 0% thereafter. It should also be emphasized that conferences may require separate fees for joining the conference. Discussion occurred regarding alternatives where the application fee would be analogous to a business model tied to "brand" value or possibly tied to the educational and administrative costs of the process. It was concluded that as a higher-education association, data based on direct benefits received would be a simple and clear method and also would demonstrate a meaningful commitment from the prospective member.

4. **Upon beginning the "reclassification" process, the institution must meet Division I sports sponsorship requirements (i.e., 50 percent of the grants-in-aid maximums in the conference sponsored sports in which the institution will participate). In addition, the alternative means of satisfying this requirement now set forth in Bylaw 20.9.1.2-(b) (c) and (d) would continue to apply.**

   Comment: This is the current requirement and no change is recommended. Data reviewed regarding a possible increase to these minimums did not appear to support a change.

5. **A prospective member institution may not be elected to active membership in Division I if it is subject to a "historical penalty" under the APR program.**

   Comment: The Leadership Council believes a demonstrated commitment to academics should be required. The Committee on Academic Performance recommends that a numerical standard (e.g., 925) be avoided in deference to a "category" of academic penalties.

6. **A preliminary NCAA certification shall be required in year 2 of the five-year "reclassification" period and a full compliance review shall be required before final election to Division I membership. (See Attachment)**

   Comment: There should be an expectation that the sponsoring conference will assist the institution in this process and that special attention should be given to rules compliance, academic support services, recruiting, coaches, team travel and facilities. Meeting standards early in the process and then demonstrating the ability to sustain such standards is important. The review process must make it clear that reclassification is an evolutionary process so that there is no confusion that receiving positive marks during the certification process does not guarantee eventual Division I membership.

7. **A member institution in Division I will qualify to begin receiving revenue distributions related to sports sponsorship and grants-in-aid upon serving three calendar years as an "active" Division I member. The institution would qualify in year one of active membership to receive Student-athlete Opportunity, Special Assistance and Academic Enhancement Funds, as well as basketball grant funds as determined by its sponsoring conference.**

   Comment: This change ensures that sustainability in the division is not based solely on receipt of NCAA revenues.

8. **The multi-divisional practice that permits a Division II or III institution to designate one men's and one women's sport in Division I shall be discontinued upon the**

completion of the 2010-11 academic year, except in a sport where no championship is conducted in its division. Division II and III institutions that sponsor sports teams in Division I in 2010-11 may continue to do so in the sports so designated but will forfeit this opportunity permanently if it fails to conduct the sport in Division I in any ensuing academic year. No change is recommended to qualify for participation in national collegiate championships.

Comment: It appears there is agreement to discontinue multidivisional competition, but teams that currently conduct such division I programs should be "grandfathered."

9. **A multisport conference in Division I may not receive voting privileges or committee service positions in the Division I governance structure until legislation is enacted that names the conference among those identified in applicable sections of Constitution 4 related to representation and voting ratios on the Division I Board of Directors, Legislative and Leadership Councils and the five cabinets.**

   Comment: This is the current requirement in Division I. Refer to Constitution 3.3.3.2.

10. **No change in criteria is recommended for designation in the Football Championship Subdivision, although it is recommended that the FBS subdivision consider legislation that would require a bona fide invitation for membership in an FBS conference before and an FCS institution may change subdivisions.**

    Comments: The FCS conferences do not appear to support additional membership requirements for its subdivision. However, it was noted that the bowl structure of the FBS should not be expected to support an expanded membership in that subdivision without conference sponsorship and support.

11. **The role of the Administration Cabinet and the benchmarks for the five-year reclassification process shall be reviewed by the Administration Cabinet to ensure coordination by the cabinet with sponsoring conferences.**

    Comments: Through coordination with conferences in providing guidance and assistance to potential new member institutions, some procedural functions performed now by the Administrative Cabinet could be transferred to conference offices.

12. **Constitution 3.2.3.1 shall be amended to provide that the vote to elect an institution to Division I active membership upon recommendation by the Administration cabinet shall be taken by the Board of Directors, rather than the Leadership Council.**

    Comments: This should be noncontroversial legislation and is recommend by the Leadership Council.

13. NCAA Division I – Recommended Multisport Conference Standards.

a. Institutional Members.

(1) A multisport conference shall have a minimum of seven (7) active Division I members.
(2) A multisport conference shall have a minimum of seven (7) active members participate in both men's and women's basketball.

b. Conference Sports Sponsorship.

(1) A multisport conference shall sponsor a minimum of 12 NCAA DI sports.
(2) A multisport conference shall sponsor a minimum of six men's sports. In each of these six sports, a minimum of six active members shall compete.
(3) A multisport conference shall sponsor a minimum of six women's sports. In each of these six sports, a minimum of six active members shall compete, or a minimum of five active members for an emerging sport.
(4) In addition to men's basketball, a multisport conference shall, at a minimum, sponsor either football, with a minimum of six active members competing in football, or sponsor two additional men's team sports, with a minimum of six active members participating in each of these two sports.
(5) In addition to women's basketball, a multisport conference shall sponsor at least two additional women's team sports, with a minimum of six active members participating in each of these two sports.

c. Regular Season Conference Competition.

(1) Basketball: Basketball teams shall participate in a regular season conference schedule of a double round robin, or a minimum of 14 regular season conference contests.
(2) Minimum Required Team Sports, Other Than Basketball [b- (4) and (5) above]: In the team sports sponsored to meet the minimum team sports sponsorship requirement, teams shall compete in a minimum regular season conference schedule of five contests. A minimum of five regular season conference contests must be hosted by one of the two competing teams at its home site venue.

d. Officiating. A multisport conference shall provide oversight of the officiating programs for selecting, training and assigning officials for its men's and women's basketball programs.

e. Compliance. A multisport conference shall have a comprehensive compliance program.

f. Continuity. A multisport conference must meet the institutional membership requirement (a. above) before it may be considered for continuity. To establish continuity, a multisport conference must meet the sports sponsorship and regular season competition requirements (b. and c. above) for a period of eight consecutive years. If a conference establishes continuity, it may be considered for multisport membership in Division I.

g. Core. A multisport conference that is recognized in the NCAA Governance Structure as a result of legislation [see No. 9 above] shall be considered a core conference. A core conference shall:

(1) Have representation and voting standing in the NCAA DI Governance Structure.
(2) Be eligible to submit nominees for and have its delegates serve on NCAA DI committees.
(3) Be eligible to receive AQ into NCAA championships, provided the conference competes with a minimum of six active participants in the sport.
(4) Receive conference grant funds.
(5) Receive, on behalf of its members, SAF/SAOF funds.

Comments: The multisport conference is the foundation of the NCAA DI governance structure, championships structure, and compliance structure and is rightly positioned in the proposed DI membership process as a gatekeeper in the new membership model. As such, a more comprehensive definition of a multisport conference is appropriate. It also should be noted that these recommendations do not adversely affect a single sport conference's ability to qualify for automatic qualification into a Division I championship event.

Proposed New Division I Reclassification Process.

The following information outlines the membership reclassification process for any Division II or non-NCAA institution seeking to reclassify its entire athletics program to Division I membership. It should be noted that Division II and Division III members will no longer be eligible to petition for multidivisional membership [classifying one men's and or one women's sport other than football in basketball in Division I].

General Requirements.

The following general requirements must be satisfied prior to an institution entering the reclassification process.

- The reclassifying institution must have been an active NCAA Division II member for the preceding five years.
- The institution must be meeting applicable Division I minimum financial aid and sports-sponsorship membership requirements.
- The institution must have been extended a bona fide offer of membership by an active DI multisport voting conference.
- The institution and conference must complete an application form accompanied by an application fee [based on a yet to be determined figure based on either the average or mean of the Division I revenue distributions and championship benefits in the year of application] no later than June 1 preceding entry into year one of the reclassification process that is approved by the Division I Administration Cabinet. The institution must provide notice if it is currently involved in an investigation, an infractions case or on probation with its current governing body.
- The institution must submit a skeletal strategic plan to the Administration Cabinet that addresses the Division I philosophy statement [see Bylaw 20.9] and athletics certification operating principles [see Bylaw 22.2] approved by the conference office no later than June 1 preceding entry into year one of the reclassification process.

[Note: The Administration Cabinet shall have oversight responsibilities and shall monitor each institution's progress through the reclassification process. The cabinet has the authority to decline moving an institution to the ensuing year of the process if it deems that deficiencies warrant that the institution repeat a year].

Year One.

- Attendance at an orientation session conducted by the national office staff related to basic Division I operating rules and membership requirements. Institutional representatives required to

attend are the chancellor or president [or an individual appointed by the chancellor or president with executive status at the institution], the director of athletics, the senior woman administrator, the faculty athletics representative and the senior compliance administrator.

- Attendance at the NCAA Convention Division I Issues Forum and business session [if such a session is conducted]. Institutional representatives required to attend are the chancellor or president [or an individual appointed by the chancellor or president with executive status at the institution], the director of athletics, the senior woman administrator, the faculty athletics representative and the senior compliance administrator.
- Attendance at a regional rules seminar conducted by the NCAA. Institutional representatives required to attend are the chancellor or president [or an individual appointed by the chancellor or president with executive status at the institution], the director of athletics, the senior woman administrator, the faculty athletics representative and the senior compliance administrator.
- Apply all Division I legislation except scheduling requirements and continuing eligibility requirements [e.g., progress-toward-degree, five year rule] for student-athletes who are completing their final season of competition and were enrolled at the institution at least one year prior to entering year one of the reclassification process.
- Completion of a preliminary NCAA athletics certification orientation [conference representatives will also be required to be in attendance]
- Process institutional and individual student-athlete violations of Division I legislation through the Division I enforcement and student-athlete reinstatement processes. The institution shall be subject to any and all sanctions for violations of Division I legislation.
- Submit an annual report and an updated strategic plan by June 1 based on feedback from the previous year's plan. The institution shall report all violations to the Administration Cabinet as part of the annual report and provide notice if the institution is currently involved in an investigation, infractions case or on probation with its current governing body.

Year Two.

- Full compliance with all Division I legislation and membership requirements.
- Attendance at the NCAA Convention Division I Issues Forum and business session [if applicable]. Institutional representatives required to attend are the chancellor or president [or an individual appointed by the chancellor or president with executive status at the institution], the director of athletics, the senior woman administrator, the faculty athletics representative and the senior compliance administrator.
- Attendance at a regional rules seminar conducted by the NCAA. Institutional representatives required to attend are the chancellor or president [or an individual appointed by the chancellor or president with executive status at the institution], the director of athletics, the senior woman administrator, the faculty athletics representative and the senior compliance administrator.

- Completion of a compliance review conducted by the national office and submission of a report with an institutional response to the findings and recommendations.
- Process institutional and individual student-athlete violations of Division I legislation through the Division I enforcement and student-athlete reinstatement processes. The institution shall be subject to any and all sanctions for violations of Division I legislation.
- Submit an annual report and an updated strategic plan by June 1 based on feedback from the previous year's plan. The institution shall report all violations to the Administration Cabinet as part of the annual report and provide notice if the institution is currently involved in an investigation, infractions case or on probation with its current governing body.

Year Three.

- Attendance at the NCAA Convention Division I Issues Forum and business session [if applicable]. Institutional representatives required to attend are the chancellor or president [or an individual appointed by the chancellor or president with executive status at the institution], the director of athletics, the senior woman administrator, the faculty athletics representative and the senior compliance administrator.
- Attendance at a regional rules seminar conducted by the NCAA. Institutional representatives required to attend are the chancellor or president [or an individual appointed by the chancellor or president with executive status at the institution], the director of athletics, the senior woman administrator, the faculty athletics representative and the senior compliance administrator.
- Completion of an athletics certification orientation.
- Process institutional and individual student-athlete violations of Division I legislation through the Division I enforcement and student-athlete reinstatement processes. The institution shall be subject to any and all sanctions for violations of Division I legislation.
- Submit an annual report and an updated strategic plan by June 1 based on feedback from the previous year's plan. The institution shall report all violations to the Administration Cabinet as part of the annual report and provide notice if the institution is currently involved in an investigation, infractions case or on probation with its current governing body.

Year Four.

- Successfully complete an NCAA athletics certification and evaluation visit.
- Attendance at the NCAA Convention Division I Issues Forum and business session [if applicable]. Institutional representatives required to attend are the chancellor or president [or an individual appointed by the chancellor or president with executive status at the institution], the

director of athletics, the senior woman administrator, the faculty athletics representative and the senior compliance administrator.

- Attendance at a regional rules seminar conducted by the NCAA. Institutional representatives required to attend are the chancellor or president [or an individual appointed by the chancellor or president with executive status at the institution], the director of athletics, the senior woman administrator, the faculty athletics representative and the senior compliance administrator.
- Process institutional and individual student-athlete violations of Division I legislation through the Division I enforcement and student-athlete reinstatement processes. The institution shall be subject to any and all sanctions for violations of Division I legislation.
- Submit an annual report and an updated strategic plan by June 1 based on feedback from the previous year's plan. The institution shall report all violations to the Administration Cabinet as part of the annual report and provide notice if the institution is currently involved in an investigation, infractions case or on probation with its current governing body.
- A prospective member institution may not be elected to active membership if it is subject to a historical penalty under the NCAA Division I Academic Performance Program.

Post-Reclassification.

- After completion of the four year reclassification process, the Board of Directors, on the recommendation of the Division I Administration Cabinet, shall have the authority to elect the institution to active Division I status.
- The institution must submit a progress report to the Committee on Athletics Certification regarding its progress on any plans for improvement [as previously recommended by the committee] no later than the conclusion of its second year of active Division I membership.
- A new Division I institution will qualify to receive revenue distributions related to sports sponsorship and grants-in-aid after serving three calendar years as an active Division I member.
- A new Division I institution will qualify immediately to receive Student-Athlete Opportunity, Special Assistance and Academic Enhancement Funds, as well as basketball grant funds as determined by the institution's conference.

Cost Savings Recommendations

At the request of the Division I Board of Directors, the Division I cabinets reviewed bylaws for which they have been assigned oversight responsibility in order to identify those that could possibly be modified to provide financial relief to the membership. In their review, the cabinets were mindful of the impact of proposed changes on the principles of fair competition, the well-being of student-athletes, sound academic standards, the integrity of sports and costs. Below is a list of various bylaws and cost savings recommendations applicable to each bylaw. Also included are financial recommendations discussed by the Division IA Athletics Directors Association. The Leadership Council is asked to review the recommendations and determine those that should be prioritized and forwarded to the Board of Directors for legislative consideration. In considering the recommendations, the Leadership Council should be mindful that financial decision-making is best defended when aligned with the core values of the NCAA and intercollegiate athletics rather than through an exercise to merely reduce costs.

Principles to Consider When Evaluating Cost Savings Measures

1. The necessity for a "cultural shift" in Division I philosophy in order to engage in successful deregulation efforts that result in cost savings. [*Administration Cabinet*]

2. That although cost savings initiatives should be a high priority item in Division I, such measures should be scrutinized closely so as not to detrimentally impact equitable championship opportunities for student-athletes or detract from the overall championship experience. [*Administration Cabinet*]

Bylaw 11 – Conduct and Employment of Athletics Personnel

1. Eliminate live, in-person scouting for all sports. [*Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet*]

2. Reduce the number of coaches who can recruit off campus at any one time. [*Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet*]

3. Create guidelines to limit the number of institutional staff members with sport-specific responsibilities. [*Division IA Athletics Directors Association*]

4. Continued examination of graduate assistant coaches and noncoaching staff with sport-specific responsibilities. [*Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet*]

Bylaw 13 – Recruiting

- Deregulate communication with prospective student-athletes (e.g., telephone calls, text messages, electronic mail, "do not call list"). [*Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet*]

- Eliminate the mailing of all printed recruiting materials. [*Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet*]

- Eliminate the use of express mail for delivery of the National Letter of Intent (NLI) (e.g., send via electronic mail or regular mail). [*Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet*]

- Limit the number of official visits for all sports. [*Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet*]

- Reduce the number of official visits per prospective student-athlete. [*Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet*]

- Limit the expenses associated with official visits (e.g., lodging, meals, entertainment). [*Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet*]

- Prohibit official visits for prospective student-athletes who have already signed an NLI. [*Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet*]

- Limit the number of institutional subscriptions to recruiting or scouting services. [*Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet*]

- Create recruiting calendars for all sports. [*Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet*]

- Shorten the July evaluation period for the sport of basketball. [*Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet*]

- Eliminate the "micro" web sites in favor of one official athletics web site. [*Division IA Athletics Directors Association*]

- Eliminate printed media guides. [*Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee*]

Bylaw 14 – Eligibility: Academic and General Requirements

1. Examination of the current "five-year clock." [*Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet*]

2. Examination of current season-of-competition legislation. [*Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet*]

Bylaw 15 – Financial Aid

1. Create an additional exception to the one-year period of award to specify that a student-athlete who graduates during the previous academic year (including summer) may receive athletically related financial aid for less than one academic year, provided the student-athlete will exhaust his or her remaining athletics eligibility during the fall term. [*Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet*]

2. Consider eliminating the traditional grant-in-aid and awarding need-based scholarships. [*Division IA Athletics Directors Association*]

3. Consider eliminating the traditional grant-in-aid and awarding tuition-only scholarships. [*Division IA Athletics Directors Association*]

Bylaw 16 – Awards, Benefits and Expenses for Enrolled Student-Athletes

1. Prohibit hotel accommodations on the night before home contests. [*Championships/Sports Management Cabinet (supports for all sports except FBS football) and Division IA Athletics Directors Association (supports recommendation for all sports)*]

2. Create an additional exception to the departure/return expense restrictions to specify that an eligible student-athlete may receive actual and necessary travel expenses to represent the institution in athletics competition, provided the student-athlete departs for the competition no earlier than 60 hours prior to the start of the actual competition, the institution can demonstrate overall cost savings, the student-athletes do not miss additional scheduled class time due to the earlier departure and the institution's faculty athletics representative certifies in writing, prior to the travel, that student-athletes miss no additional scheduled class and that there is overall cost savings. Further, the recommendation would specify that the institution must include any

additional costs incurred due to the earlier departure (e.g., hotel nights, meals) when evaluating whether there is overall cost savings. [*Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet*]

3. Evaluate the number of complimentary tickets student-athletes are permitted to receive. [*Championships/Sports Management Cabinet*]

4. Eliminate travel for preseason practice activities. [*Championships/Sports Management Cabinet*]

5. Evaluate all exceptions to the 48/36 hour departure/return expense rule. [*Championships/Sports Management Cabinet*]

6. Establish squad limits for team travel in all sports. [*Division IA Athletics Directors Association*]

7. Create an exception to the departure/return expense legislation to specify that return transportation following contests in NCAA championship events, National Governing Body (NGB) championships in emerging sports or licensed postseason football games that take place during the institution's official vacation period during the academic year or between regular academic terms are exempt from the requirement that a student-athlete remain no longer than 36 hours following the conclusion of the competition in order to receive actual and necessary expenses to return to campus, provided the return transportation expenses are equal to or less than the value of the return travel purchased by the team (i.e., within 36 hours following the conclusion of the actual competition). [*Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet*]

8. Request consideration of an amendment to Bylaw 16.8.1.5.1.1-(a) to specify that an institution may only provide (in lieu of team transportation) the actual transportation costs incurred by the student-athlete to travel from: (1) campus to the event site and back to campus; (2) campus to the student-athlete's home and back to campus; or (3) the student-athlete's home to the event site and back home. Further, to specify that the institution may provide actual transportation costs only if the student-athlete either returns to campus on his or her own or travels home from the event site. [*Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet*]

9. Eliminate institutional awards banquets for student-athletes. [*Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee*]

10. Eliminate conference championships gifts for student-athletes. [*Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee*]

11. Consider prohibiting off-campus team housing for away games played within 60 miles of campus. [*Division IA Athletics Directors Association]*

12. Consider placing restrictions on the use of charter and/or commercial aircraft for team travel. [*Division IA Athletics Directors Association*]

Bylaw 17 – Playing and Practice Seasons

1. Reduce total competition in all sports. [*Championships/Sports Management Cabinet*]

2. Establish one number for total competition in championship and nonchampionship segment. [*Championships/Sports Management Cabinet*]

3. Reduce length of season and number of contests in the sport of ice hockey. [*Championships/Sports Management Cabinet*]

4. Reduce contests in all nonchampionship segments. [*Championships/Sports Management Cabinet]*

5. Prohibit missed class time during the nonchampionship segment. [*Championships/Sports Management Cabinet*]

6. Eliminate nonchampionship season competition. [*Division IA Athletics Directors Association*]

7. Eliminate sports team travel to foreign countries. [*Division IA Athletics Directors Association*]

8. Eliminate travel for practice during official vacation periods. [*Championships/Sports Management Cabinet*]

9. Reduce the number of permissible regular season contests. [*Division IA Athletics Directors Association*]

Miscellaneous Recommendations

1. That the Leadership Council sponsor a legislative proposal to establish a process for casting override votes that does not require an institution to be present at the NCAA Convention Division I Business Session. [*Administration Cabinet*]

2. When submitting legislative proposals, conferences, cabinets and committees should include a "real time" (as opposed to varying or potential) cost impact statement as part of the proposal's budget implications. [*Administration Cabinet*]

3. Reduce the length of time for in-person cabinet/council/committee meetings (particularly those that involve student-athletes' missing class). [*Administration Cabinet*]

NCAA Division I 2009-10 Legislative Cycle Voting Chart
April 2010 Legislative Council Meeting

The following chart lists the proposals set forth in the 2009 NCAA Official Notice in the order in which the NCAA Division I Legislative Council will vote on them at its April 12-13, 2010 meeting.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
2009-10 Page No. 5	PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- GRADUATE-ASSISTANT COACH -- EMPLOYMENT WITHIN SEVEN YEARS OF GRADUATION OR EXHAUSTING ELIGIBILITY -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL	In bowl subdivision football, to specify that a graduate-assistant coach is any coach who has received a baccalaureate degree and has either received his or her first baccalaureate degree or has exhausted athletics eligibility (whichever occurs later) within the previous seven years and qualifies for appointment as a graduate assistant under the policies of the institution.	• The sponsors clarified that appointment to a graduate assistant position must be within seven years of receiving baccalaureate degree or exhausting eligibility. • Noted the abuses the proposal is designed to address, but expressed concerns as to whether the seven-year period is a reasonable restriction. • Should more uniform restrictions/requirements [e.g., term-to-term academic requirements, no previous coaching experience at a four-year collegiate institution or professional league] be considered as opposed to a time restriction?

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
2009-12-A Page No. 8	PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT-ASSISTANT COACH	To permit a student-athlete to serve as an undergraduate student-assistant coach at the institution at which the student-athlete most recently participated in intercollegiate athletics, provided the student-athlete is currently enrolled at the institution as a full-time undergraduate student who has exhausted his or her eligibility in the sport or has become injured to the point that he or she is unable to practice or compete ever again; further, to specify that the limit of undergraduate student-assistant coaches in each sport shall be the same as the limit of countable coaches permitted in the sport.	• Noted the proposal eliminates the requirement that the former student-athlete may only serve as a coach during his or her five-year eligibility period. • Noted the proposal applies only to the former student-athlete pursuing his or her first baccalaureate degree [as opposed to a graduate degree] at the institution where the student-athlete most recently participated in athletics. • Places numerical limitations identical to the limit of countable coaches in the sport. • Expressed concerns about former male student-athletes serving as undergraduate assistants in women's sports and being used primarily as practice players.
2009-14-A Page No. 12	PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- MANAGER -- FORFEITURE OF ELIGIBILITY IN BASEBALL	To establish criteria by which an individual may serve as a manager, as specified.	Preliminary support. • The Legislative Council previously adopted Proposal No. 2009-14-B at its January meeting.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
2009-19-A Page No. 26	PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON NUMBER OF COACHES AND OFF-CAMPUS RECRUITERS -- WOMEN'S SAND VOLLEYBALL AND WOMEN'S VOLLEYBALL	To specify that an institution that sponsors only women's sand volleyball shall have a limit of two coaches who may be employed and a limit of two coaches who may contact or evaluate prospective student-athletes off-campus at any one time; further, to specify that an institution that sponsors women's sand volleyball and women's volleyball shall have a limit of four coaches who may be employed and a limit of two coaches who may contact or evaluate prospective student-athletes off-campus at any one time.	• Staff will recommend that the Legislative Council table the proposal to permit further development of the framework governing participation in women's sand volleyball. It is anticipated that initial action on any proposals related to this subject matter will occur at the Legislative Council's January 2011 meeting. • The adoption of Proposal No. 2009-19-A renders Proposal No. 2009-19-B moot.
2009-19-B Page No. 27	PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON NUMBER OF COACHES AND OFF-CAMPUS RECRUITERS -- WOMEN'S SAND VOLLEYBALL	In women's sand volleyball, to specify that the limit on the number of coaches who may be employed is two and the limit on the number of coaches who may contact or evaluate prospective student-athletes off-campus at any one time is two.	• Staff will recommend that the Legislative Council table the proposal to permit further development of the framework governing participation in women's sand volleyball. It is anticipated that initial action on any proposals related to this subject matter will occur at the Legislative Council's January 2011 meeting. • Proposal No. 2009-19-B rendered moot by the adoption of Proposal No. 2009-19-A.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
2009-29 Page No. 51	RECRUITING AND ELIGIBILITY -- FOUR-YEAR PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETES -- PERMISSION TO CONTACT AND TRANSFER RELEASE -- RESPONSE TO REQUEST AND HEARING OPPORTUNITY	To specify that if an institution receives a written request from a student-athlete to permit another institution to contact a student-athlete about transferring or a request for a release in conjunction with the application of the one-time transfer exception, the institution shall grant or deny a request within seven business days of receipt of the request; further, to specify that if the request is denied, the institution shall conduct a hearing and provide written results within 15 business days of receipt of the student-athlete's written request and that the student-athlete shall be provided the opportunity to appear in-person or via telephone and actively participate in the hearing. To also specify that if the institution fails to respond to the student-athlete's written request or fails to conduct the hearing or provide written results within the specified time period, permission to contact or the transfer release shall be granted by default and the institution shall provide the written permission or release to the student-athlete.	Preliminary support.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
2009-30-B Page No. 57	RECRUITING -- PERMISSIBLE RECRUITERS -- NONCOACHING STAFF MEMBERS WITH SPORT-SPECIFIC RESPONSIBILITIES	To specify that a noncoaching staff member with sport-specific responsibilities shall not attend an off-campus athletics event involving prospective student-athletes (e.g., high-school contest, sports camp) unless the staff member is an immediate family member or legal guardian of one of the participants in the activity; further, to establish conditions by which a staff member who is an immediate family member or legal guardian of a participant may attend such an event, as specified.	• Preliminary support, prefer Proposal No. 2009-30-B inasmuch as it is applicable to all sports. • The Legislative Council previously adopted Proposal No. 2009-30-A at its January 2010 meeting.
2009-32-B Page No. 63	RECRUITING -- TELEPHONE CALLS -- TIME PERIOD FOR TELEPHONE CALLS -- EXCEPTION -- UNLIMITED DURING CONTACT PERIOD -- SPORTS WITH DEFINED RECRUITING CALENDARS OTHER THAN FOOTBALL	In sports with defined recruiting calendars other than football, to specify that during a contact period, telephone calls may be made at the institution's discretion.	The Legislative Council previously defeated Proposal No. 2009-32-A at its January meeting.
2009-35 Page No. 69	RECRUITING -- CONTACTS AND EVALUATIONS -- VISIT TO PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETE'S EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTION -- VISITS DURING EVALUATION PERIOD – FOOTBALL BOWL SUBDIVISION	In bowl subdivision football, to specify that not more than two coaches per institution may visit a prospective student-athlete's educational institution on any one calendar day during an evaluation period.	FBS Acknowledged concerns related to numerous coaching staff members visiting prospects' educational institutions during the evaluation period only for the purpose of "being seen", but took no position on whether the limit of two coaching staff members on any one calendar day reflected the appropriate numerical limit.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
2009-39 Page No. 73	RECRUITING -- LIMITATIONS ON NUMBER OF EVALUATIONS -- EVALUATION DAYS -- WOMEN'S SAND VOLLEYBALL	To specify that an institution that sponsors only women's sand volleyball or sponsors both women's volleyball and women's sand volleyball is limited to 100 evaluation days (measured August 1 through July 31); further, to specify that if an institution sponsors both women's volleyball and women's sand volleyball, a coach's involvement outside a volleyball contact or evaluation period with a local sports club (volleyball or sand volleyball) per Bylaw 13.11.2.3 shall count toward the limit.	Staff will recommend that the Legislative Council table the proposal to permit further development of the framework governing participation in women's sand volleyball. It is anticipated that initial action on any proposals related to this subject matter will occur at the Legislative Council's January 2011 meeting.
2009-40 Page No. 74	RECRUITING -- PRINTED RECRUITING MATERIALS -- GENERAL CORRESPONDENCE -- INSTITUTIONAL LETTERHEAD	To establish additional provisions governing general correspondence that an institution may send to prospective student-athletes, their parents or legal guardians, their coaches or any other individual responsible for teaching or directing an activity in which a prospective student-athlete is involved, as specified.	Acknowledged the abuses that are occurring due to lack of uniform standards related to the content and design on institutional letterhead, but also expressed some concern as to whether this type of item was a priority item when compared to other more pressing recruiting issues that need resolution [e.g., early recruitment].

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
2009-41 Page No. 76	RECRUITING -- RECRUITING MATERIALS -- ATHLETICS PUBLICATIONS -- NO PRINTED MEDIA GUIDES OR RECRUITING BROCHURES	To specify that an institution shall not produce (or arrange for or authorize a third party to produce) a media guide, recruiting brochure or any similar athletics publication printed in a hard copy format other than a game program; further, to specify that an institution may post a media guide or recruiting brochure on its Web site, but may not print such items and provide them to a prospective student-athlete (or his or her parents or legal guardians).	• Noted significant interpretive issues as to the scope of the prohibition that an institution shall not produce any other athletics publication in a hard copy format, other than a media guide. • Adoption of Proposal No. 2009-41 renders Proposal No. 2009-42 moot.
2009-42 Page No. 78	RECRUITING -- RECRUITING MATERIALS -- ATHLETICS PUBLICATIONS -- NO PRINTED PUBLICATIONS TO PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETES	To specify that an institution shall not provide a printed media guide or any other printed athletics publication not listed in Bylaw 13.4.1.1 to a prospective student-athlete, his or her parents or legal guardians, the prospective student athlete's educational institution or any individual involved in the coaching of a prospective student-athlete.	• Clarified that a hard copy media guide [or any other athletics publication not listed as a permissible printed recruiting publication to provide to a prospect] should not be provided to a prospect if there is any institutional involvement [making it available to the general public free of charge is not an alternative]. • Proposal No. 2009-42 rendered moot by the adoption of Proposal No. 2009-41.
2009-47-B Page No. 87	RECRUITING -- LETTER OF INTENT PROGRAMS, FINANCIAL AID AGREEMENTS -- WRITTEN OFFER OF AID BEFORE SIGNING DATE	To specify that prior to August 1 of a prospective student-athlete's senior year in high school, an institution shall not provide a written offer of athletically related financial aid or indicate in writing to the prospective student-athlete that an athletically related grant-in-aid will be offered by the institution.	• Expands the provisions of Proposal No. 2009-47-A to all sports. • Proposal No. 2009-47-B renders Proposal No. 2009-47-A moot.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
2009-47-A Page No. 85	RECRUITING -- LETTER OF INTENT PROGRAMS, FINANCIAL AID AGREEMENTS -- WRITTEN OFFER OF AID BEFORE SIGNING DATE – FOOTBALL CHAMPIONSHIP SUBDIVISION	In championship subdivision football, to specify that prior to August 1 of a prospective student-athlete's senior year in high school, an institution shall not provide a written offer of athletically related financial aid or indicate in writing to the prospective student-athlete that an athletically related grant-in-aid will be offered by the institution.	• Noted the Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinets examination of the issues of early recruitment as part of the development of a comprehensive recruiting model, but expressed concern that a more expeditious review of “early recruiting” issues should occur. • Noted the proposal could serve as a “litmus test” for the cabinet’s review and welcomed additional substantive comments from the cabinet, but agreed that it may be appropriate to take action on the proposal during this cycle at the April Legislative Council meeting. • Proposal No. 2009-47-A rendered moot by the adoption of Proposal No. 2009-47-B.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
2009-49 Page No. 90	RECRUITING -- TRYOUTS -- COMPETITION AGAINST PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETES – FOOTBALL CHAMPIONSHIP SUBDIVISION	In championship subdivision football, to prohibit an institution's varsity and subvarsity intercollegiate teams from competing against any team that includes prospective student-athletes.	FCS – Preliminary opposition. • Noted the potential recruiting abuses are more prevalent in FBS. • Noted the significant number of legitimate subvarsity programs in FCS and the potential scheduling difficulties that could result. • Agreed there is merit to further discussion as to whether financial guarantees should be prohibited.
2009-50 Page No. 91	RECRUITING AND ADMINISTRATIVE REGULATIONS -- LOCAL SPORTS CLUBS -- ADDITIONAL RESTRICTIONS -- QUIET PERIODS -- WOMEN'S SOCCER	In women's soccer, to specify that during a dead or quiet period, institutional coaching staff members may not coach a local sports team at an off-campus competition where prospective student-athletes are present; further, to establish quiet periods in women's soccer, as specified.	• Noted that the proposed women's calendar and Proposal No. 2009-58 related to the men's soccer recruiting calendar are significantly different. • Noted that feedback/input from the National Soccer Coaches Association of America indicated lack of support for the proposal.
2009-58 Page No. 108	ADMINISTRATIVE REGULATIONS -- RECRUITING CALENDARS -- MEN'S SOCCER	In men's soccer, to establish a recruiting calendar, as specified.	Noted that feedback/input from the National Soccer Coaches Association of America indicated lack of support for the proposal.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
2009-61 Page No. 119	ELIGIBILITY -- FULL TIME ENROLLMENT -- REQUIREMENT FOR PRACTICE -- WAIVER -- U.S. OLYMPIC COMMITTEE/NATIONAL GOVERNING BODY -- FORMER STUDENT ATHLETE AT ANOTHER INSTITUTION -- INDIVIDUAL SPORTS AND WOMEN'S ROWING	In individual sports and women's rowing, in a case in which the U.S. Olympic Committee or national governing body in the sport has recommended the individual's participation, to permit a former student-athlete who has graduated and has no eligibility remaining to participate in organized practice sessions at an institution other than the one he or she previously attended.	Expressed concerns regarding potential competitive and recruiting advantages if numerous elite level former student-athletes are practicing with one institution's team.
2009-62 Page No. 120	ELIGIBILITY -- FULL-TIME ENROLLMENT -- REQUIREMENT FOR PRACTICE -- WAIVER -- U.S. OLYMPIC COMMITTEE/NATIONAL GOVERNING BODY -- FORMER STUDENT-ATHLETE -- DURATION OF WAIVER -- INDIVIDUAL SPORTS AND WOMEN'S ROWING	In individual sports and women's rowing, in a case in which the U.S. Olympic Committee or national governing body (NGB) in the sport has recommended the individual's participation, to specify that a former student-athlete's participation in organized practice sessions shall be limited to the number of years that allows the individual to practice with the institution's team in preparation for two consecutive Olympic Games following exhaustion of eligibility or completion of degree, whichever is earlier.	Noted the average age of Olympians has increased over the years, but expressed concerns regarding potential competitive and recruiting advantages that may result from the expansion of the current five-year period.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
2009-70 Page No. 132	FINANCIAL AID -- MAXIMUM INSTITUTIONAL GRANT-IN-AID LIMITATIONS BY SPORT -- WOMEN'S SAND VOLLEYBALL	In sand volleyball, to establish the maximum equivalency and counter limitations, as specified.	Staff will recommend that the Legislative Council table the proposal to permit further development of the framework governing participation in women's sand volleyball. It is anticipated that initial action on any proposals related to this subject matter will occur at the Legislative Council's January 2011 meeting.
2009-74 Page No. 135	AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- BENEFITS, GIFTS AND SERVICES -- OCCASIONAL MEALS -- LOCATION OF MEAL PROVIDED BY REPRESENTATIVE OF ATHLETICS INTERESTS	To permit a representative of the institution's athletics interests to provide an occasional meal to a student-athlete or the entire team in a sport on campus or in a facility that is regularly used for home competition.	Preliminary opposition. • Concerns expressed that as these functions becomes more public, more significant compliance issues will arise, such as the potential for boosters to commingle with prospective student-athletes on recruiting weekends.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
2009-75-B Page No. 139	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS AND RECRUITING -- MANDATORY MEDICAL EXAMINATION -- SICKLE CELL SOLUBILITY TEST -- DOCUMENTED RESULTS OF PRIOR TEST	To specify that the required medical examination or evaluation that student-athletes who are beginning their initial season of eligibility and students who are trying out for a team must undergo prior to participation in voluntary summer conditioning or voluntary individual workouts pursuant to the safety exception, practice, competition or out-of-season conditioning activities shall include a sickle cell solubility test (SST), unless documented results of a prior test are provided to the institution.	Expressed support that institutions take necessary action to ensure the health, safety and well-being of all participating student-athletes. Noted the importance of testing for the sickle cell trait, but agreed to continue discussion as to whether the test should be mandated and the implications related to student-athletes' participation (e.g., walk-ons) due to the timing of the test.
2009-77 Page No. 143	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL -- PRESEASON PRACTICE -- ON-COURT PRACTICE -- 30 DAYS OF COUNTABLE ACTIVITIES WITHIN 40 DAYS PRIOR TO FIRST CONTEST	In women's basketball, to specify that an institution shall not commence on-court preseason basketball practice sessions prior to 5 p.m. on the date that is 40 days prior to the date of the institution's first regular-season contest; further, to specify that an institution shall not engage in more than 30 days of countable athletically related activities prior to its first regular-season contest.	Preliminary support.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
2009-78 Page No. 144	PLAYING AND PRACTICES SEASONS -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL -- NUMBER OF CONTESTS -- MAXIMUM LIMITATIONS	In women's basketball, to specify that an institution shall limit its total regular-season playing schedule with outside competition to 26 contests and one qualifying regular-season multiple team event or 28 contests during a playing season in which the institution does not participate in a qualifying regular-season multiple team event.	The Legislative Council approved an amendment to delay the effective date to August 1, 2011.
2009-79-B Page No. 148	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- NONCHAMPIONSHIP SEGMENT -- TRAVEL RESTRICTIONS -- CROSS COUNTRY, FIELD HOCKEY, SOCCER, SOFTBALL AND VOLLEYBALL -- EXCEPTION -- 400-MILE RADIUS	In cross country (for institutions without indoor or outdoor track and field), field hockey, soccer, softball and volleyball, to specify that team travel to competition in the nonchampionship segment shall be restricted to ground transportation, unless there are no other Division I institutions located within 400 miles of the institution.	• Noted potential for additional missed class time. • Noted the importance of receiving feedback/ input from the Student-Athlete Advisory Committee. • Engaged in some discussion as to whether it was prudent to consider eliminating outside competition during the nonchampionship segment and noted a potential conference-sponsored alternative proposal. • Noted that the proposal applies to all fall championship sports with nonchampionship segments, except men's water polo. • The adoption of Proposal No. 2009-79-B renders Proposal No. 2009-79-A moot.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
2009-79-A Page No. 146	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- NONCHAMPIONSHIP SEGMENT -- TRAVEL RESTRICTIONS -- CROSS COUNTRY, FIELD HOCKEY, SOCCER, SOFTBALL AND VOLLEYBALL	In cross country (for institutions without indoor or outdoor track and field), field hockey, soccer, softball and volleyball, to specify that team travel to competition in the nonchampionship segment shall be restricted to ground transportation.	• Noted potential for additional missed class time. • Noted the importance of receiving feedback/ input from the NCAA Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee. • Engaged in some discussion as to whether it was prudent to consider eliminating outside competition during the nonchampionship segment and noted a potential conference-sponsored alternative proposal. • Noted that the proposal applies to all fall championship sports with nonchampionship segments, except men's water polo. • Proposal No. 2009-79-A rendered moot by the adoption of Proposal No. 2009-79-B.
2009-79-C Page No. 150	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- NONCHAMPIONSHIP SEGMENT -- TRAVEL RESTRICTIONS -- CROSS COUNTRY, FIELD HOCKEY, SOCCER, SOFTBALL AND VOLLEYBALL -- HAWAII EXCEPTION	In cross country (for institutions without indoor or outdoor track and field), field hockey, soccer, softball and volleyball, to specify that an institution located in Hawaii may travel by air for nonchampionship segment competition; further, to specify that an institution located outside Hawaii may travel by air for nonchampionship segment competition in Hawaii against a Division I institution located in Hawaii.	Noted that the proposal applies to all fall championship sports with nonchampionship segments, except men's water polo.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
2009-83 Page No. 162	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS AND DIVISION MEMBERSHIP -- REGULATIONS FOR PLAYING SEASON AND MINIMUM CONTEST REQUIREMENTS FOR SPORTS SPONSORSHIP -- WOMEN'S SAND VOLLEYBALL	In women's sand volleyball, to establish the playing and practice season and the minimum number of contests necessary for sports sponsorship purposes, as specified.	• Staff will recommend that the Legislative Council table the proposal to permit further development of the framework governing participation in women's sand volleyball. It is anticipated that initial action on any proposals related to this subject matter will occur at the Legislative Council's January 2011 meeting. • Noted issues related to maximum dates of competition if institutions allow less than five two-person teams to engage in a competition or event beyond the minimum sport sponsorship requirements.
2009-88 Page No. 174	ADMINISTRATIVE REGULATIONS -- FOREIGN TOURS AND COMPETITION -- ELIGIBILITY OF STUDENT-ATHLETES -- INCOMING-STUDENT PARTICIPATION -- BASKETBALL	In basketball, to permit an incoming student-athlete (freshman or transfer) to represent the institution on a foreign tour that occurs during the summer prior to his or her initial full-time enrollment at the certifying institution and participate in practice prior to departure for the foreign tour, provided: (a) he or she has earned at least three hours of acceptable degree credit during the summer term at the certifying institution; and (b) he or she is eligible to represent the institution in intercollegiate competition during the academic year immediately following the tour; further, to specify that a basketball student-athlete shall not participate in more than one foreign tour for a particular institution.	• Noted the different philosophical positions related to the intended and actual purpose of foreign tours. • Noted logistical issues and potential interpretive issues [e.g., practice prior to completing the required three hours] depending on the variances in institutional summer terms. • Noted the potential impact on the recommendations developed by the Basketball Academic Enhancement Group.

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
2009-94 Page No. 176	ADMINISTRATIVE REGULATIONS -- BASKETBALL EVENT CERTIFICATION -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL -- GEOGRAPHIC REQUIREMENT	In women's basketball, to specify that in order for a basketball event to be certified, participants on nonscholastic teams in a certified event must be legal residents of the state in which the team is located or a geographically adjoining state and not more than a total of three prospective student-athletes from adjoining states may participate on any one nonscholastic team.	The Legislative Council approved an amendment to delay the effective date to September 1, 2010.
2009-97 Page No. 133	FINANCIAL AID -- COUNTERS -- AID AFTER DEPARTURE OF HEAD COACH -- NONCOUNTER -- MEN'S BASKETBALL	In men's basketball, to specify that a student-athlete who receives athletically related institutional financial aid in academic years following the departure of a head coach from the institution is not a counter, provided: (a) The student-athlete participated in basketball and received athletically related institutional financial aid during the coach's tenure at the institution; and (b) The student-athlete does not participate in basketball during the later academic years at the institution; further, to specify that if the student-athlete later participates in basketball at the institution, the student-athlete shall become a counter for all years during which athletically related institutional aid was received.	

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
2009-98 Page No. 170	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- PRESEASON PRACTICE MODEL -- MISSED CLASS-TIME POLICIES -- MEN'S BASKETBALL	In men's basketball, to establish a preseason practice model, as specified.	The Legislative Council will take four separate votes on the issues contained in this proposal at its April meeting. • Section A: Forwarded for membership review and comment. • Section B: Forwarded for membership review and comment. • Section C, preseason practice: Forwarded for membership review and comment. • Section C, maximum number of contests: Forwarded for membership review and comment. • Approved an amendment to delay the effective date to August 1, 2011 as it relates to the maximum number of contests.
2009-100 Page No. 110	RECRUITING -- TRYOUTS -- NONSCHOLASTIC EVENTS -- MEN'S BASKETBALL	In men's basketball, to specify that an institution shall not host, sponsor or conduct a boy's or men's basketball nonscholastic contest or event on its campus or at off-campus facilities regularly used by the institution for practice and/or competition by any of the institution's sport programs.	

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Actions/Preliminary Positions/Points to Consider/Comments/Mootnicity Issues
2009-101 Page No. 111	RECRUITING -- SPORTS CAMPS AND CLINICS -- RECRUITING DURING INSTITUTION'S CAMPS AND CLINICS -- EXCEPTION -- MEN'S BASKETBALL	In men's basketball, to specify that it is permissible for an institution's men's basketball coaches to recruit prospective student-athletes during the institution's basketball camps and clinics.	
2009-102 Page No. 112	RECRUITING -- SPORTS CAMPS AND CLINICS -- EMPLOYMENT AT INSTITUTION'S CAMPS AND CLINICS -- ENROLLED STUDENTS AND INSTITUTIONAL STAFF MEMBERS ONLY -- MEN'S BASKETBALL	In men's basketball, to specify that an institution shall only employ (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) enrolled students and/or institutional staff members in any capacity at its camps and clinics.	The Legislative Council approved an amendment to delay the effective date to September 1, 2010.
2009-103 Page No. 178	ADMINISTRATIVE REGULATIONS -- POSTSEASON BOWL LICENSING -- CONTEST STATUS -- DESERVING TEAM	In bowl subdivision football, to specify that for the purpose of postseason bowl eligibility, a "deserving team" shall be defined as one that has won a number of games against Football Bowl Subdivision opponents that is equal to or greater than the number of its overall losses.	

REPORT OF THE NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION DIVISION I LEADERSHIP COUNCIL JANUARY 14, 2010, MEETING

- ***ACTION ITEMS*.**

Enhancing the Level of Diversity in the Division I Governance Structure. The Leadership Council continued its discussions regarding methods to enhance gender and ethnic minority and positional representation among those serving in the Division I governance structure.

Recommendations: That the Board of Directors consider a slate of FBS nominations in April for all eight councils and cabinets that meet the following requirements (Terms on these bodies for FBS representatives expire in April and June 2010):

a. Apply the current gender (35%) and ethnic minority (20%) minimum requirements to **each** council and cabinet independently.

b. Apply a new minimum positional requirement for athletics directors (20%) and faculty athletics representatives (20%) to **each** council and cabinet independently.

c. Determine that the requirements of a and b above apply to the combined FCS and Division I, effective with FCS and Division I term expirations in April and June 2012. [Note: It is recommended that all the FCS and Division I terms on councils and cabinets expire during the same year in order to enable those conference to work together in submitting nominations that would meet the new diversity requirements.

d. That the Board adopt appropriate emergency legislation in April 2010 to implement these policies.

- ***INFORMATION ITEMS***

1. **Update on President's search**. Wally Renfro, NCAA vice president and senior advisor to the president, and Dan Parker, of Parker Executive Search, updated the group on the search process for the next NCAA president. The group was asked to comment regarding desired characteristics of a new president and individuals were invited to contact Dan Parker to provide input.

2. **Discussion with the chairs of the Academic, Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid, and Recruiting and Athletic Personnel Issues Cabinets**. The

Leadership Council received reports from the chairs of the Academic; Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid, and Recruiting and Athletic Personnel Issues Cabinets. The Council applauded the work of the cabinets, encouraged them to continue with their planned agenda items and noted that the Council looks forward to future updates regarding their work.

3. **Championships/Sports Management Cabinet recommendation regarding endangered sports**. The Leadership Council reviewed a recommendation from the Championships/Sports Management Cabinet to appoint a working group to discuss and review issues related to endangered sports and sports that face challenges to their growth. After some discussion, the Leadership Council agreed to refer this issue to the Olympic Sports Liaison Committee for further review.

4. **Consideration of Recommended Division I Membership Principles and Standards**. The Leadership Council completed its work on the development of new membership standards for aspiring members of Division I. The Council will present a formal report of its recommendations to the Board of Directors in April and make the report available for conferences to review during their 2010 spring meetings. Appropriate legislative proposals will be developed for Board sponsorship and consideration in the 2010-11 legislative cycle.

5. **Legislative items in the 2009-10 cycle of potential interest to the Leadership Council.** Joseph D'Antonio, chair of the Legislative Council, updated the group on various actions taken during the Council's January 13-14, 2010, meeting.

6. **Report from the Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee.** Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee chair Matthew Baysinger presented a report of the committee's recent meeting and priorities for the upcoming year. Given this was Baysinger's last meeting as chair, the Leadership Council thanked him for his service and commended him for his work in representing Division I student-athletes.

7. **Future Meetings**.

   a. March 23, 2010, Indianapolis, Indiana.
   b. July 2010, Conference call TBD.
   c. October 5, 2010, Indianapolis, Indiana.

Leadership Council chair: Damon Evans, University of Georgia
Staff Liaisons: S. David Berst, Division I governance
Jacqueline Campbell, Division I governance
Kevin Lennon, academic and membership affairs

REPORT OF THE JANUARY 16, 2010, MEETING OF THE NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION DIVISION I BOARD OF DIRECTORS

1. **Report of the October 29, 2009, Board of Directors Meeting. The Board approved the revised minutes of its October 29, 2009, meeting noting an amended vote [16-0-1 (Raines)] for Item No. 5-c regarding the Infractions Committee appointment.** (Unanimous voice vote) [Reference Supplement No. 1.]

2. **Report of the October 29, 2009, Executive Committee Meeting**. The Board reviewed a report of the October 29, 2009, meeting of the Executive Committee and took no action. [Reference Supplement No. 2.]

3. **Report of the Division I Presidential Advisory Group (PAG).** The Board received a report from Ann Millner, chair of the Division I Presidential Advisory Group, regarding the group's January 11, 2010, conference call. The Board was informed of PAG's review of various Board agenda items as they were reviewed by the Board. [Reference Supplement No. 4.]

4. **Report from the Interim President.** NCAA Interim President Jim Isch reported on the following items:

   a. **Update on Presidential Search**. Dan Parker of Parker Executive Search, updated the Board on the search process for the next NCAA president. The group was asked to comment regarding desired characteristics of a new president and individuals were invited to contact Dan Parker directly to provide input.

   b. **Value-Based Decisions**. The Board received a report from Greg Curtner, outside counsel, regarding values-based decision-making and was informed that a small working group including presidents, athletics directors, commissioners and experts will be working with staff to examine the issue.

   c. **Enforcement Process**. Bernard Franklin, NCAA executive vice president, reminded the Board of a 2006 report related to the evaluation of the Association's enforcement policies and was informed that a number of recommendations from that report have been implemented, and a small group, including Board members, will be formed to review the results of those recommendations, as well as a number of broader related issues. The Board requested a report from the group in April.

5. **Litigation Update**. Elsa Cole, NCAA General Counsel, provided this report to the Board.

6. **Report from the Committee on Academic Performance**. The group received an update on the activities of the Committee on Academic Performance (CAP) from the chair, Walter Harrison. Harrison noted that items on the committee's agenda for the upcoming year include an evaluation of the results of a study of the academic support services provided by Division I institutions, a review of the Division I initial-eligibility and 2-4 transfer requirements and a comprehensive examination of the Academic Performance Program (APP) to determine if the original goals of the program are being achieved. [Reference Supplement No. 6.]

7. **Status Report on the Efforts of the Football Academic Working Group**. The Board received a report of the working group's key findings regarding the academic performance of Division I football student-athletes and recommendations for strategies to improve their academic performance. It was noted that the working group will submit its final report to the Board in April for appropriate legislation to be considered in the 2010-11 legislative cycle. [Reference Supplement No. 7.]

8. **Infractions Appeals Committee Appointment**. The Board appointed Patti Ohlendorf, vice president of institutional relations and legal affairs at the University of Texas at Austin. (Unanimous voice vote) [Reference Supplement No. 8.]

9. **Division I Governance Structure Update**.

   a. **Update from the Communications and Coordination Committee**. The group was informed that the Communications and Coordination Committee is comprised of the chairs of the Division I cabinets and councils, and was created to coordinate consideration of issues and legislative concepts between the various governance bodies and to ensure a high level of communication among the bodies. It was noted that the committee continues to effectively communicate within the structure and move the Division I agenda.

   b. **Report of the January 14, 2010, meeting of the Leadership Council**. Damon Evans, chair of the Division I Leadership Council, reported briefly on the January 14, 2010, Leadership Council meeting. [Refer to Attachment D for the full report.]

      (1) The Board received information that the Council has concluded its work related to the consideration of Division I membership principles and standards, and will present its final recommendations to the Board for review during its April 2010 meeting.

(2) The Board also was informed that the Leadership Council continued its discussions regarding methods to enhance gender, ethnic minority and positional representation among those individuals serving in the Division I governance structure. The Leadership Council recommended that in April, the Board of Directors be presented with a slate of FBS nominations for the eight councils and cabinets that meets the following requirements:

(a) Apply the current gender (35 percent) and ethnic minority (20 percent) minimum requirements to **each** council and cabinet (three 31-person bodies and five 21-person bodies).

(b) Apply a **new** minimum positional requirement for athletics directors (20 percent) and faculty athletics representatives (20 percent) to **each** council and cabinet independently (eight total groups).

(c) Determine that the requirements of "a" and "b" above apply to the combined FCS and Division I representatives for each council and cabinet, effective with FCS and Division I term expirations in April and June 2012. [Note: It is recommended that all the FCS and Division I terms on councils and cabinets expire during the same year in order to enable those conference to work together in submitting nominations that would meet the new diversity requirements.

(d) That the Board adopt appropriate emergency legislation in April 2010 to implement these policies.

BOARD ACTION: **The Board endorsed the endorsed the Leadership Council's recommendations for enhanced diversity requirements for various Division I governance bodies.** (Unanimous voice vote)

c. **Report of the January 13-14, 2010, meeting of the Division I Legislative Council**.

(1) Report on the override votes. The Board was informed that the override votes on Proposal Nos. 2008-46 (For 100, Against 168, Abstain 19) and 2008-59 (For 166, Against 118, Abstain 1) were unsuccessful. (Refer to Attachment B for the voting results.)

(2) Report of the Legislative Council's Actions Related to Selected Proposals. Joseph D'Antonio, chair of the Division I Legislative Council, reported the

Legislative Council's action relative to men's basketball Proposal Nos. 2009-96, 2009-97, 2009-98 and 2009-99, and the definition of a "deserving team" in FBS football (Proposal No. 2009-103) that had been sponsored by the Board in October. (Refer to Attachment C for the full report of the legislative Council's actions.)

(3) Emergency or Noncontroversial Legislation. **The Board agreed to adopt emergency legislation to delay the effective date of Proposal No. 2008-59 to August 1, 2011**. (Unanimous voice vote) This proposal recently was sustained following an attempted override vote and adds women's sand volleyball to the NCAA list of emerging sports.

10. **Update on Possible Cost Savings Initiatives**. The Board was informed that cost savings initiatives will be an item on the February meeting agenda of all the cabinets and the March meeting agenda of the Leadership Council. It is anticipated that the Board will receive a report with recommendations during its April 2010 meeting.

11. **Future meeting Dates**.

a. April 29, 2010, Indianapolis, Indiana.

b. August 12, 2010, Indianapolis, Indiana.

c. October 28, 2010, Indianapolis, Indiana.

d. January 15, 2011, NCAA Convention, San Antonio, Texas.

e. April 28, 2011, National Office, Indianapolis, Indiana.

f. August 11, 2011, National Office, Indianapolis, Indiana.

g. October 27, 2011, National Office, Indianapolis, Indiana.

Board of Directors chair: James Barker, Clemson University
Staff Liaisons: S. David Berst, Division I governance
Jacqueline Campbell, Division I governance

KEY ITEMS FROM FEBRUARY CABINET MEETINGS

[For more detailed information regarding the February cabinet meetings, please view the complete cabinet reports found at:

http://www.ncaa.org/wps/ncaa?key=/ncaa/ncaa/legislation+and+governance/committees/division1.html.]

ACADEMIC CABINET.

Review of Eligibility Standards for Prospective Student-Athletes. The cabinet continued its review of initial-eligibility and transfer-eligibility requirements. Based on NCAA research, along with feedback from the NCAA Division I Board of Directors, two-year college and academic support communities, the cabinet continues to believe that the greatest improvements in student-athlete academic preparation will come when all eligibility requirements (e.g., initial eligibility, progress toward degree, transfer) are aligned to support student-athletes' academic success. The cabinet considered enhancements to the current initial and transfer eligibility requirements designed to identify those prospective student-athletes who are academically prepared for college work, and to foster additional support and accommodation to student-athletes who are academically underprepared. The cabinet will continue to discuss both models in June when it meets jointly with the NCAA Division I Committee on Academic Performance.

ADMINISTRATION CABINET.

1. **Committee Appointments**. The cabinet approved appointments to Division I and Association-wide committees.

2. **Cost Savings Initiatives.** The cabinet reviewed and confirmed the recommendations developed during its September 2009 meeting relative to possible cost savings measures in legislative areas for which it has been assigned oversight responsibility. The cabinet stressed that any such measures ultimately identified and prioritized by the Leadership Council and Board of Directors be consistent with the Association's core mission. The cabinet also noted that although cost savings initiatives should be a high priority item in Division I, such measures should be scrutinized closely so as not to detrimentally impact equitable championship opportunities for student-athletes or detract from the overall championship experience.

3. **Governance Assessment Tool**. The cabinet reviewed the results of a preliminary tool designed to assess the 31 multisport conferences' knowledge of the goals and objectives behind the recent changes to the Division I governance structure. The cabinet discussed possible next steps in conducting a broader assessment of the new governance structure.

AMATEURISM CABINET.

1. **NCAA Proposal No. 2009-22 (Amateurism and Eligibility – Involvement with Professional Teams – Exception – Prior to Initial Full-Time Collegiate Enrollment – Seasons of Competition).** The cabinet recommended that the Division I Legislative Council adopt noncontroversial legislation to modify the effective date of the delayed enrollment portion of NCAA Proposal No. 2009-22 to August 1, 2011, for sports other than tennis and, for tennis, to August 1, 2012.

2. **Commercial Activity in Division I Intercollegiate Athletics.** The cabinet reviewed draft legislative concepts that would allow the use of current student-athletes name, picture and likeness to be used in promotional activities. The cabinet will continue to discuss this issue at its June meeting.

CHAMPIONSHIPS/SPORTS MANAGEMENT CABINET.

1. **Cabinet Review of NCAA Bylaws 17 and 31.** The cabinet continued its discussion of possible cost-reduction recommendations related to the administration of championships and playing and practice seasons. In September 2009, the cabinet identified potential areas of modification that would lead to institutional cost-reductions. During the February 2010 meeting, the cabinet reviewed the concepts identified in September and, discussed the pros, cons, student-athlete impact, estimated financial impact and any necessary legislative or policy changes that would result from the modifications.

   The concepts that were identified for future discussion will be discussed at the cabinet's June 2010 meeting. Cabinet members agreed to solicit feedback from their campuses and conferences for review at its June 2010 meeting. In addition to concepts that would require a legislative or cabinet policy change, cabinet members were asked to identify cost-reduction initiatives that have been implemented on their campus or within their conference. NCAA staff will compile a list of best practices to accompany any recommended legislative or policy changes.

   Finally, it was noted that fiscal management is an ongoing responsibility of the cabinet and cost reductions and revenue generation will be consistent themes during future discussions. The following concepts have been identified for further discussion:

   a. Prohibit hotel accommodations on the night before home contests-NCAA Football Championship Subdivision (FCS).

   b. Prohibit hotel accommodations on the night before home contests [all sports other than Football Bowl Subdivision (FBS) football.]

c. Reduce the number of practice opportunities in all sports (number to be determined after discussion with key stakeholders.)

d. Reduce to 21 the number of practice opportunities in all sports.

e. Reduce preseason practice opportunities in volleyball to 21.

f. Count preseason Sundays when calculating preseason practice opportunities.

g. Eliminate travel for preseason practice.

h. Evaluate all exceptions to the 48/36 hour rule.

i. Reduce total competitions in all sports.

j. Establish one number for total competitions.

k. Reduce length of season and number of contests in ice hockey.

l. Reduce contests in all nonchampionship segments.

m. No overnight travel for nonchampionship segments.

n. No missed class time for nonchampionship segments.

o. Consider eliminating travel for practice during official vacation period.

p. Evaluate the number of complimentary tickets student-athletes are permitted to receive.

The cabinet agreed that the following concepts do not warrant additional discussion at this time:

a. Prohibit hotel accommodations on the night before home contests-FBS.

b. Eliminate all exceptions to the 48/36 hour rule.

c. Reduce to 18 the number of practice opportunities in all sports.

d. Eliminate contests in all nonchampionship segments.

e. Increase travel mileage limitation to 400 miles for championships travel in all sports.

2. Women's Rowing - Automatic Qualification (AQ). The cabinet agreed to delay automatic qualification in women's rowing until 2013. The cabinet also discussed the NCAA Division I Women's Rowing Committee's recommendation that a play-in be held

when the number of automatic qualification-eligible conferences exceeds 50 percent of the bracket. The cabinet did not dispute that a play-in might be the most equitable way to handle automatic qualification when the number of eligible conferences exceeds 50 percent of the bracket; however, the cabinet expressed concern related to the expense that would accompany a play-in, whether the expense is incurred by a small number of institutions or ultimately by the NCAA.

AWARDS, BENEFITS, EXPENSES AND FINANCIAL AID CABINET.

1. **Review of Feedback from Financial Aid Discussion Document.** The NCAA Division I Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet continued its review of the financial aid items prioritized during its February 2010 meeting. In December 2009, the cabinet distributed a discussion document outlining the legislative history of NCAA Bylaw 15 and describing possible legislative concepts for future consideration. In order to stimulate meaningful discussion across the membership and generate feedback for the cabinet, the discussion document was posted on the cabinet's page of ncaa.org and distributed to the NCAA Division I directors of athletics, NCAA Division I conference commissioners, the chair of the NCAA Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee, the chair of the Conference Commissioners Association Compliance Administrators, the executive director of the National Association of Collegiate Directors of Athletics, the executive director of the National Association of Collegiate Women Athletic Administrators, the president of the National Association for Athletics Compliance, the chair of the National Association of Financial Aid Administrators and the executive directors of selected coaches associations. Further, the concepts were reported to the NCAA Division I Leadership Council at the 2010 NCAA Convention and shared with the membership during the Convention's Division I Issues Forum.

   Twenty-nine responses, ranging from individual coaches and institutions to conference offices and coaches associations were received. In addition, cabinet members reported on discussions taking place within their conferences about the concepts from the discussion document. The variety of feedback received provided useful information to the cabinet. Overall, the respondents were supportive of the cabinet's decision to undertake a review of Bylaw 15, and of the cabinet's willingness to seek feedback from a variety of entities as part of its review [Reference: Supplement No. 1]. Concept Nos. 1, 2, 4, 5 and 6 were supported by a majority of the respondents. And, although Concept No. 9 was the only concept with over half of the respondents responding they were opposed to the concept, only six respondents replied that they supported Concept No. 8, and only nine replied that they supported Concept No. 10. Feedback on Concept Nos. 3-a, 3-b and 7 did not demonstrate either clear support or clear opposition to those concepts.

   Based on the feedback received, the cabinet agreed to request that the staff solicit additional feedback from the membership regarding several concepts that garnered support

from the cabinet and the membership (Concept Nos. 1, 2, 3-b, 4, 5, 6 and 7). This feedback will be gathered prior to the cabinet's June 2010 meeting. During its June 2010 meeting, the cabinet plans to develop and sponsor proposals for consideration during the 2010-11 legislative cycle.

2. **Referral from NCAA Division I Basketball Academic Enhancement Group related to Bylaw 15.01.5.** The cabinet discussed an issue referred by the Basketball Academic Enhancement Group related to the legislative barriers that exist for former student-athletes to return to his or her institution and earn their degree after a professional career (Bylaw 15.01.5). Specifically, the cabinet was asked to explore whether there is a willingness to remove these barriers through legislation noting that flexibility in this area may encourage more former student-athletes to return to complete their degrees. For example, a men's basketball student-athlete has not yet graduated and departs an institution after four years to pursue a professional career in his sport. The student-athlete returns to the institution four years later to finish his undergraduate degree. The current legislation specifies that in order to receive athletically related financial aid, the student-athlete must earn the aid (e.g., work in the athletics department). The cabinet agreed to take no action at this time, noting the current legislation is sufficient because there is a permissible way to provide athletics aid to these student-athletes (i.e., earned aid). Further, when truly extenuating circumstances are presented, institutions may consider submitting an NCAA Division I Legislative Council Subcommittee for Legislative Relief waiver on the student's behalf to permit the institution to provide the student-athlete with unearned athletics aid. Finally, the cabinet directed the staff to continue to monitor this issue and provide an update at a future meeting.

3. **Chapter 33 (Post-9/11 G.I. Bill) and Yellow Ribbon Program.** The cabinet was provided an overview of the Post-9/11 G.I. Bill and the Yellow Ribbon Program, which became effective in August 2009. The cabinet discussed how eligibility for both programs is determined and how these sources of aid impact individual and team financial aid limits. The Post-9/11 G.I. Bill is for service members on active duty after September 11, 2001. Eligible service members may transfer entitlement to a spouse, children, or any combination of spouse and child. The Yellow Ribbon Program allows institutions to voluntarily enter into an agreement with the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) to fund tuition expenses that exceed the amount covered by the Post-9/11 G.I. Bill. Participating institutions may contribute up to 50 percent of those expenses, and the VA will match that amount.

   The cabinet agreed to sponsor legislation for the 2010-11 legislative cycle that would add VA funds awarded through the Post-9/11 G.I. Bill to the list of exempted government grants in Bylaw 15.2.5.1. In the interim, the cabinet agreed to request a blanket waiver of Bylaw 15.2.5 (government grants) from the Subcommittee for Legislative Relief, effective through the 2010-11 academic year, to exempt VA funds awarded through the Post-9/11 G.I. Bill from counting against a student-athlete's individual limit. The blanket waiver request does not include Yellow Ribbon Program funds from either the VA or a

participating institution. Finally, the cabinet members agreed to solicit feedback from their conferences related to whether the Yellow Ribbon Program funds should be exempt and re-examine the Yellow Ribbon Program during the cabinet's June 2010 meeting.

RECRUITING AND ATHLETICS PERSONNEL ISSUES CABINET.

1. **Legislative Proposals.** The NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet commented on four legislative proposals related to the area of recruiting that were introduced in the 2009-10 legislative cycle after the cabinet's September meeting. The proposals were forwarded by the NCAA Division I Legislative Council to the membership at its January meeting and will be voted on by the Legislative Council at its April meeting. Two of the proposals opposed by the cabinet relate to men's basketball camps and clinics.

2. **Priority Item – Examination of the Recruiting Model.** The cabinet engaged in a comprehensive discussion regarding the current recruiting model, including but not limited to: communication methods and frequency, evaluations, campus visits and verbal and written offers of athletics aid. The cabinet directed the staff to develop alternative recruiting models as a result of the discussion. The cabinet agreed to obtain feedback from the NCAA membership on such models prior to sponsoring appropriate legislation at its June meeting for consideration as part of the 2010-11 legislative cycle.

3. **Priority Item – Discussion on Limits of Noncoaching Staff with Sport-Specific Responsibilities.** The cabinet reviewed survey results obtained from the membership pertaining to noncoaching staff members with sport-specific responsibilities. The cabinet directed the staff to develop alternative proposals that would place numerical limitations on such personnel in the sports of football and men's and women's basketball. The cabinet agreed to seek additional feedback from institutions and the coaches' associations regarding such proposals prior to sponsoring appropriate legislation

.

MINUTES OF THE NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Hyatt Regency Atlanta
Atlanta, Georgia

January 16, 2010

Participants:

Charles Bantz, Indiana Univ.-Purdue Univ. at Indianapolis
James Barker, Clemson University
Kathleen Brasfield, Angelo State University
Damon Evans, University of Georgia
Judy Genshaft, University of South Florida
James Harris, Widener University
William Harvey, Hampton University
Stephen Jordan, Metropolitan State College of Denver
Lynn Oberbilling, Smith College
Ann Millner, Weber State University
Kevin Mullen, Siena College
Harvey Perlman, University of Nebraska, Lincoln
John Peters, Northern Illinois University
Beverley Pitts, University of Indianapolis
Edward Ray, Oregon State University, chair
Paul Trible, Christopher Newport University
Jim Isch, NCAA
Bernard Franklin, NCAA
Delise O'Meally, NCAA, recording secretary

Robert Kustra, Boise State University, and Shirley Raines, University of Memphis, were not able to participate.

Also in attendance were: Gary Brown, director of NCAA News; Elsa Cole, vice president of legal affairs/general counsel; Joni Comstock, senior vice president of championships/senior woman administrator; Dennis Cryder, senior vice president of branding and communications; Michelle Hosick, associate director of NCAA News; Tom Jernstedt, executive vice president, Kevin Lennon, vice president of academic and membership affairs; Keith Martin, interim vice president for administration/chief financial officer; Wallace Renfro, vice president and senior advisor to the president; Greg Shaheen, senior vice president of basketball and business strategies; Robert Vowels, vice president of educational affairs; Charlotte Westerhaus, vice president of diversity and inclusion; Bob Williams, managing director of public and media relations; David Berst, Daniel Dutcher and Mike Racy, NCAA governance vice presidents; and Jackie Campbell, Leah Kareti, and Terri Steeb, NCAA governance directors.

[Note: These minutes contain only actions taken (formal votes or stated "sense of the meeting") in accordance with NCAA policy regarding minutes of all Association entities. While certain items on the Committee's agenda were acted on at various times throughout the meeting, all final actions within a given topic are combined in these minutes for convenience of reference.]

The meeting was called to order at 4 p.m. by the chair, President Ray. All members were present as noted above.

1. Welcome and announcements. Ray welcomed Kathleen Brasfield, director of athletics at Angelo State University and incoming chair of the Division II Management Council. Also, Ray presented Stephen Jordan, chair of the Division II Presidents Council, and Paul Trible, chair of the Division III Presidents Council, with awards for their service to the Executive Committee.

2. Approval of October 29, 2009, meeting minutes.

   It was VOTED

   "To approve the Executive Committee minutes of the October 29, 2009, meeting as distributed."

3. Executive session. Ray convened an executive session during which the Executive Committee received an update from NCAA Interim President Jim Isch, approved the position description for the position of president of the NCAA and accepted the recommendation of Mercer in compliance with the Taxpayer Bill of Rights.

4. St. Andrews Presbyterian College request. The Committee reviewed a request from St. Andrews Presbyterian College related to NCAA membership standards. Pursuant to NCAA Constitution 3.02.3.1, one of the requirements necessary for active membership is accreditation by one of the six regional accrediting agencies. St. Andrews requested that the Executive Committee consider accreditation by a national accrediting body as sufficient to meet this requirement. The Executive Committee agreed to form a working group to study the issue.

5. Association-wide membership and the approval of a working group. The Executive Committee appointed a working group to explore from an Association-wide perspective the issue of membership growth within the NCAA.

6. NCAA Executive Committee Finance Committee report.

   a. Fiscal year 2008-09 audited statements. The Finance Committee met with the audit firm of KPMG to review fiscal year 2008-09 financial statements and conduct the required audit communications and review.

      (1) KPMG issued an unqualified opinion for the fiscal year statements ending August 31, 2009.

      (2) Highlights of the Consolidated Statement of Financial Position are:

         (a) The Association's total assets increased by approximately $34 million in comparison to the prior year. The increase was primarily in the investments holdings as of the year end and the acquisition of Arbiter and eOfficials. Assets purchased consisted of primarily goodwill and intangible assets.

         (b) The Association's net assets increased by more than $47 million this past fiscal year due to the surplus of revenues over expenses. It was noted that the Association does not budget investment earnings since these funds, by Executive Committee policy, are allocated to the quasi-endowment.

         (c) All allocations to the respective reserves, per Executive Committee policy, have been made.

         (d) The Association had an increase in revenue this past year that was primarily due to the increases in TV rights fees, ticket revenues from championships and the revenues from the Eligibility Center. Investments earnings for the year were $9 million, as compared to a loss of $4 million in the prior year.

         (e) From an expense standpoint, the distribution to Division I increased approximately nine percent per the scheduled distribution plan. Division I championships expenses decreased approximately nine percent, which is primarily the result of lower costs of travel

this past year. The increase in Association-wide expense is due to the addition of Arbiter and eOfficials LLC expenses and youth basketball expenses.

(f) Excess revenues over expenses for the year were approximately $47 million.

It was VOTED

"To approve the fiscal year 2008-09 financial statements."

b. Recommended uses of unallocated net assets. Fiscal year 2008-09 year ended with the Association having $15.8 million in unrestricted net assets available for allocation. The Finance Committee recommended the surplus be used in the following manner:

(1) $15 million distributed back to the Division I members. The Association committed $5 million last January from budget reductions, and the result of additional revenues over expenses at year end allows for an additional $10 million.

(2) $400,000 allocated to the Myles Brand Chair for Pancreatic Cancer.

(3) $400,000 allocated for the presidential search and executive move.

It was VOTED

"To approve the proposed unallocated net assets allocations."

c. First quarter fiscal year 2009-10 budget to actual.

(1) The Association has limited financial activity in the first quarter, with the majority of revenues and expenditures occurring in the second half of the year related to championship activity and revenue distributions.

(2) Revenue received is 16 percent of the budget and is in line with the prior year. Although championships revenue is three percentage points behind the prior year, this is the result of timing differences, and, to date, men's basketball tickets sales revenues are in line with last year.

(3) The Association's expenses are seven percent of the total budget for the first quarter and are in line with the prior year.

d. Investment subcommittee report. The investment subcommittee of the Finance Committee met during the Convention and made the decision to add an opportunistic strategies allocation to the quasi endowment. The primary reasons for adding an allocation are to increase diversification, the potential for a partial hedge against future inflation and the possibility of adding alpha by allowing managers more discretion in their investment approach. The subcommittee approved Wellington Diversified Inflation hedges and Leuhold Weeden's Core Fund for 10 percent of the overall quasi-endowment allocation. Funding will come from the annual contributions to the quasi-endowment and the current stock and bond funds. The investment subcommittee voted to rebalance the two portfolios in accordance with its asset allocation policies.

e. New building project financing. The Finance Committee reviewed various funding options for the new building project. It was determined that, as a result of the favorable rates in the market, the staff should explore financing $20 million of financing for the building project over a maximum 10-year period. It also was determined that the annual debt service could be paid from the Eligibility Center revenues and cost savings from holding our meetings in Indianapolis.

It was VOTED

"To approve a resolution for the authorization and issuance of bonds or other comparable financing options, and the reimbursement of building project expenses with the proceeds of the selective financing option."

7. Litigation update. The Committee received a report from the NCAA general counsel regarding litigation and settlement actions.

8. NCAA Division I Board of Directors and Divisions II and III Presidents Councils reports.

a. Division I Board of Directors. The Committee received an update on the actions of the Division I Board of Directors that included the following:

(1) Values-based decision-making. Received a presentation regarding values-based decision-making and was informed that a small working group including presidents, athletics directors, commissioners and experts will be working with staff to examine the issue.

(2) Supplemental distribution. Agreed to recommend that the Executive Committee approve the Finance Committee's recommendation for a supplemental distribution of $15 million to the Division I membership.

(3) Sand volleyball – emerging sport. Adopted emergency legislation to delay the effective date of NCAA Division I Proposal No. 2008-59 to August 1, 2011. This legislation survived an override vote and adds women's sand volleyball as an emerging sport.

(4) Diversity requirements. Endorsed the Division I Leadership Council's recommendations for enhanced diversity requirements for the various Division I governance bodies. The new standards would add positional requirements for athletics directors (20 percent) and faculty athletics representatives (20 percent) to the current gender (35 percent) and ethnic minority (20 percent) requirements. In addition, the various subdivisions [Football Bowl Subdivision (FBS) on its, own and Football Championship Subdivision (FCS) and Division I combined] will be required to meet the new standards on each of the eight councils and cabinets. The expectation is that FBS conferences meet these requirements when appointing their representatives to the councils and cabinets this spring. The FCS and Division I conferences would be required to meet the new minimums in the spring 2012 when their terms expire.

b. Division II Presidents Council. The Committee received an update on the actions of the Division II Presidents Council that included the following:

(1) 2010 NCAA Convention. Division II completed another successful Convention. The membership voted on 14 proposals during its business session – all 14 passed. Significant proposals included the four proposals presented as the Presidents Council "Life in the Balance" package:

(a) 2010 NCAA Convention Division II Proposal No. 2010-5—playing and practice seasons—fall sports, which moves the start date for the first contest or date of competition by one week later; starts the preseason practice a week later in the summer; and shortens the season with a reduction in contests or dates of competition.

(b) 2010 NCAA Convention Division II Proposal No. 2010-6—playing and practice seasons—spring sports, which reduces the maximum number of contests from 56 to 50 in baseball; reduces the maximum number of dates of competition from 24 to 21 in golf; and eliminates the tournament dates exception in softball.

(c) 2010 NCAA Convention Division II Proposal No. 2010-7—playing and practice seasons—winter break, which establishes a "dead period" from December 20 through December 26 for winter sports (basketball, swimming and diving, indoor track and field and wrestling), during which it would not be permissible for an institution to have practice, competition or other countable athletically related activities (e.g., weight training, conditioning); and establishes a "dead period" during the same dates for all sports, during which it would not be permissible for a student-athlete to participate in voluntary athletically related activities on campus, unless the facility is open to the general student-body.

(d) 2010 NCAA Convention Division II Proposal No. 2010-8—playing and practice seasons—winter sports—basketball, which reduces the maximum permissible number of contests in men's and women's basketball from 27 to 26.

(2) Division II financial dashboard tool. At its annual chancellors and presidents session Friday, Division II chancellors and presidents previewed information on a new financial dashboard tool that will be unveiled at the June 2010 Chancellors and Presidents Summit. This tool will provide the membership with access to accurate, timely and relevant information to encourage moderation in the growth rate of athletics budgets and provide a catalyst for communication and education among presidents, chief financial officers and athletics departments, thus re-centering athletics within the educational mission of the university.

(3) Division II public service announcement. Jordan shared the most recent Division II public service announcement, which features Division II student-athletes telling why they "Chose Division II." All five student-athletes are members of the national Division II Student-Athlete Advisory Committee.

c. Division III Presidents Council. The Committee received an update on the actions of the Division III Presidents Council. These included:

(1) Division III Identity Initiative. The 2010 Convention marked the formal launch of the division's strategic positioning platform. Division III delegates received a presentation on the content and intended purpose of the platform, and saw early creative expressions of the platform, including a video describing the essence of the Division III experience.

(2) Convention highlights. Other Convention highlights included a presentation on the concepts under consideration to enhance the leadership and engagement of presidents in the division. The membership adopted nine proposals in the Division III business session, including a unanimous vote on 2010 NCAA Convention Division III Proposal No.1, which established in the Division III philosophy statement an expectation for presidential leadership to be exercised at the campus, conference and national levels.

9. NCAA Executive Committee Subcommittee on Gender and Diversity Issues. The Committee received a report on the work of the Executive Committee Subcommittee on Gender and Diversity Initiatives. The Committee noted that the subcommittee had the opportunity to meet with Parker Executive Search and offer comments and feedback relative to the presidential search.

10. Future meetings. The Committee reviewed its future meetings schedule.

11. Adjournment. Ray adjourned the meeting at 6:20 p.m.

# # # # #

REPORT OF THE NCAA MINORITY OPPORTUNITIES AND INTERESTS COMMITTEE FEBRUARY 3-4, 2010, MEETING

ACTION ITEMS.

1. Legislative Items.

- None.

2. Nonlegislative Items.

- None.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

Association-wide.

1. New Committee Chair. The committee welcomed Dawn Reynolds, Associate Athletics Director for Business Operations, University of Miami and William A. Smith, Faculty Athletics Representative, University of Utah to their new roles as chair and vice-chair respectively of MOIC.

2. Review of the Committee on Women's Athletics (CWA)/MOIC joint meeting report. MOIC expressed an interest in considering opportunities of sponsoring joint programming with CWA to address shared committee areas of interest regarding women, similar to that of the Women of Color Symposium sponsored by Diversity and Inclusion.

3. Review of the Committee on Women's Athletics (CWA) report. The committee was provided with an informational update on Gender Initiative related conferences and seminars for 2010 and encouraged to attend. Updates were provided regarding the Division III exploration of sand volleyball as a potential emerging sport and it was demonstrated how to access the resources provided on the gender equity webpage. The committee received an update regarding the 2009 Woman of the Year program held October 17-18, 2009 in Indianapolis, Indiana and provided related articles on the event.

4. Division I Board of Directors/Leadership Council. The committee received a summary of the Division I goals for diversity within the NCAA governance structure and the application of the current gender and diversity minimums that will be applied to <u>each</u> council and cabinet independently as well as the determination to apply a new minimum positional requirement for athletics directors and faculty athletics representatives to <u>each</u> council and cabinet independently. The committee also received an update on the NCAA Presidential Search being conducted by Parker Executive Search.

5. **Diversity and Inclusion, Gender Equity Framework.** The committee received an update on the internal diversity and gender program review. A primary focus of the review was the development of the framework of a definition to be used as the vision statement for diversity as it pertains to intercollegiate athletics. The current framework was shared with the committee and they were provided with the opportunity to comment.

6. **Diversity and NCAA Football Coaches Hiring Update.** The committee received an update on recent hiring among head football coaches in Division I FBS and Division III institutions. It was noted that many of these coaches previously participated in professional development programming provided by the NCAA.

7. **Faculty Athletics Representatives Association (FARA) Discussion.** The committee remains committed to providing assistance to FARA in their efforts to enhance diversity amongst their representatives. Representatives of MOIC will work in collaboration with the chair and vice-chair of FARA to develop recommendations, identify best practices and provide education on how to address the concern.

8. **New Peer-Reviewers for the Athletics Certification Process**. The committee received an update on the opportunity to serve as a peer-reviewer for athletics certification. A review of the selection process, training and review process and benefits of serving as a reviewer were provided. Committee members not currently participating in the process were encouraged to apply.

9. **Programmatic updates.**

   a. **Leadership Institute for Ethnic Minority Males and Females.** The committee received an update of the 2009-10 participant demographical information. There are 23 participants with 11 males, 12 females, 15 from Division 1, 6 from Division II and two NCAA staff. In, addition there are 17 African Americans, four Latino/Hispanics and two Asians in the program. The class is scheduled to graduate in June.

   b. **NCAA Internship Program.** The committee received an update regarding the 2009-10 internship class and the selection process of the 2010-11 class. Presently, three interns have departed from the program securing full-time employment within the membership and the national office. The 2010-11 class will participate in interviews in March and begin their experience in June.

10. **Other Business.**

   a. **Diversity Study Update.** The committee was provided with an update on the different layers of studies that need to be performed based on the objectives of the

committee and the association. The committee will be looking for opportunities to perform focus groups with various constituent groups to gather information on the breadth of issues surrounding diversity within the membership.

b. Discussion of Medical Issues Surrounding Cardiomyopathy in Black Males. The committee noted the need to educate the membership on this important topic. The committee will seek out opportunities to partner and collaborate with the American Heart Institute (AHA), National Association for the Advancement of Colored People (NAACP), and the Student-Athlete Advisory Committee (SAAC) to increase resources regarding the matter specifically as it relates to testing and insurance education.

11. Future Meeting Dates and Sites.

a. July 19-20, 2010, Indianapolis, Indiana.

b. September 21-22, 2010, Joint meeting with CWA, Indianapolis, Indiana.

<u>Division 1 only</u>.

12. Academic Progress Rate.

- The committee received an update on the Division I Academic Performance Rate (APR) and noted trends among some historically black colleges and universities (HBCU) that suggest a disparate impact. The committee discussed the Supplemental Support Fund (SSF), a grant program established by the Division I Board of Directors to enhance academic support services on low resource campuses. Many HBCUs fit within this category and are eligible to receive this fund. The committee noted that the fund has been in place for two years and is entering its final year. The Board of Directors will consider the effectiveness and potential future of the program during its upcoming meeting.

  The committee recommends the continuation of the SSF to provide ample time for institutions to realize the benefits of the grant dollars. The committee believes that the relative youth of the SSF program precludes full and complete evaluation of its success merely by analyzing APR scores. The committee noted that many programs need more time to demonstrate success, and recommends that the Committee on Academic Performance and the Board of Directors consider another matrix to measure improvement in the short term.

Division III only.

13. Senior Minority Athletics Administration Designation.

- The Minority Opportunities and Interests Committee (MOIC) received a referral from the Division III Management Council to consider the request of introducing a Senior Ethnic Minority Administrator designation into the governance structure. The committee discussed the referral and suggested that the use of participation in NCAA professional development programming be considered as an evaluation criterion for committee service. It was determined that establishing a project team of Division III committee members to obtain more information and to further clarify the intended objectives before recommendations were made would be the committee next steps.

Committee Chair: *Dawn Reynolds, University of Miami*
Staff Liaison(s): *Troy Arthur, Diversity and Inclusion*
Nicole Bracken, Research
Kimberly Ford, Diversity and Inclusion

REPORT OF THE NCAA COMMITTEE ON WOMEN'S ATHLETICS
Conference Call February 11, 2010

***ACTION ITEMS*.**

1. Legislative Items.

- None.

2. Nonlegislative Items.

- None.

***INFORMATIONAL ITEMS*.**

Association-wide.

1. Emerging Sports for Women Program Updates.

a. Sand Volleyball. In Division I, the override vote of Proposal No. 2008-59 failed at the 2010 NCAA Convention. Therefore, sand volleyball is on the Emerging Sports for Women list for Divisions I and II. The Division I Board of Directors adopted Proposal No. 2010-1 to delay the effective date of sand volleyball being included on the list for Division I to August 1, 2011. The Division I governance structure is working to develop the framework for the sport with proposals relating to recruiting, coaching limitations, financial aid and playing and practice seasons to be part of the 2010-11 legislative cycle. Division II adopted NCAA Division II Proposal No. 2010-7,which established the maximum institutional grant-in-aid equivalency limit, the playing and practice season regulations and the minimum contests and participant requirements for sports sponsorship, at the 2010 NCAA Convention.

b. Squash. The committee previously had reviewed the College Squash Association's Women's Squash Team Development Report. It was noted that squash has not responded to the committee's September 2009 request for additional information relating to growth of the sport and sponsorship-increase

strategies. The committee requested that additional outreach to the squash community and conferences, which offer the sport be made in preparations for discussions at its May meeting about the sport remaining on the emerging sports for women list.

c. **Equestrian.** Staff reported communication with the varsity equestrian community continues. The committee will review the equestrian action plan at its May 2010 meeting.

d. **Triathlon.** The committee reviewed a second-draft of a proposal relating to the addition of triathlon to the emerging sport for women list. The committee's questions from September were effectively answered by the sponsors. The proposal's sponsors will notify the committee when they have obtained the required commitment letters and an in-person review with the committee will be scheduled.

2. **Strategic Planning.** The committee approved its updated strategic plan.

3. **Programming Updates.** The committee received an update on the following programs.

a. **Work-Life Balance Initiative.** The committee received an update about the NCAA Be Well initiative launched at the NCAA Convention targeting membership staff, coaches and administrators. Next steps include development of a membership resource web page and survey of interest in the topic with self-identified convention participants.

b. **Winning Careers in Athletics.** The committee received an update on the pilot Winning Careers program at the division I volleyball championship and plans for full events at the 2010 Division II National Championships Festival and Division I Women's Soccer Championship, both in December 2010.

c. **National Association of Collegiate Women Athletics Administrators (NACWAA) Institutes, NCAA Women Coaches Academy and Women's Leadership Symposiums.** The committee received a report about the acceptance by NACWAA of new grant parameters and the Women Coaches Academy December program and regional continuing education efforts. The NCAA has provided sponsorship funds to the Women's Leadership Symposium in Tampa, scheduled for May 2010, and is conducting a symposium in Sacramento in conjunction with the Division I Women's Basketball regional in March 2010.

d. **Gender Equity and Issues Forum**. The event is scheduled for Chicago, April 25-27, 2010. A new event format will be undertaken to provide more seamless Title IX training in a classroom setting. Conference center pricing was secured to reduce attendee's costs.

4. **NCAA Woman of the Year.** The committee received an update regarding the 2010 NCAA Woman of the Year selection committee and discussed and approved the selection process.

5. **Title IX Update.** The committee received an update on the following Title IX related matters: Recent court cases effecting James Madison University and the University of California-Davis; continuing communication with the federal government regarding equity in athletics data quality and collection deadline; U.S. Department of Education discussions; and recent U. S. Commission on Civil Rights activity.

6. **Gender Equity Resource Center.** Plans for new resources include gender equity planning best practices, a web-based gender equity training resource, Title IX case studies, Title IX Coordinator brochure and an updated gender equity manual.

7. **Other Business.**

a. **Transgender student-athlete participation.** The committee received an update from the staff and discussion group. The national student-athlete advisory committees will discuss this topic at their July in-person meetings. Division III conferences also may be furthering the discussion.

b. **NCAA Volleyball Rules Committee.** The committee received information showing substitution data do not indicate a need for increasing substitution rules for any division at this time.

8. Future Meeting Dates and Sites.

a. May 23-25, 2010, Cambridge, Massachusetts. Timed for an opportunity to observe and meet with participants at the NCAA Women Coaches Academy, Dimension II Conference.

b. September 20-21, 2010 Indianapolis, Indiana.

Division I only.

9. NCAA Division I Proposal Nos. 2009-79-A, 2009-79-B and 2009-79-C. The committee opposes the proposals that would restrict travel to competition in the non-championship segment to ground transportation for cross country, field hockey, soccer, softball and women's volleyball (Proposal No. 2009-79-A); limit team travel to competition in the nonchampionship segment to ground transportation, unless there are no other Division I institutions located within 400 miles of the institution (Proposal No. 2009-79-B); and specify that an institution located in Hawaii may travel by air for nonchampionship segment competition and that an institution located outside Hawaii may travel by air for nonchampionship segment competition in Hawaii against a Division I institution located in Hawaii (Proposal No. 2009-79-C). The committee noted that more women's sports are affected by these proposals, therefore, inappropriately impacting more female student-athletes and their competitive experience. The non-championship segment provides important skill development, often for student-athletes who see limited opportunity for competition in the championship segment.

Committee Chair: Faith Shearer, Elon University
Staff Liaison(s): Karen Morrison, Gender Initiatives
Kimberly Ford, Diversity and Inclusion
Lynn Holzman, Academic and Membership Affairs

REPORT OF THE NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION
DIVISION I LEADERSHIP COUNCIL
MARCH 23, 2010, MEETING

1. ACTION ITEMS.

- **Division I Membership Standards**. The Leadership Council reviewed a final draft of recommendations for Division I membership standards.

  Recommendation: That the Board of Directors endorse the attached report of recommendations for Division I membership standards for review by Division I membership groups and conferences during the spring 2010 and that in August 2010, the Board sponsor necessary legislative proposals for implementation of the recommendations beginning in August 2011. (See Attachment)

2. INFORMATION ITEMS.

a. **Update on President's search**. Jim Isch, interim NCAA president, updated the group on the search for the next NCAA president and noted that the process appears to be on track to have the new president in place by the start of the 2010-11 academic year.

b. **Update on Media Contract**. Greg Shaheen, NCAA senior vice president of basketball and business strategies, discussed the status of the Association's media contract. Shaheen reviewed information related to past contracts and options as the Association moves forward. Shaheen informed the Council of discussions related to expansion of the NCAA Division I Men's Basketball Championship and the factors being considered as expansion is evaluated.

c. **Litigation Report.** Elsa Cole, NCAA general counsel, reported on the legal activity in which the Association is involved.

d. **Cost Savings Initiatives**. The Leadership Council reviewed a list of possible cost savings measures recommended by various Division I cabinets, as well as a number of financial recommendations discussed by the IA Athletics Directors Association. The Council noted that many of the recommendations appear to address "low-hanging fruit" and it may be wiser to take a more global approach when attempting to address spending in intercollegiate athletics. The Council recommended that staff collect additional data regarding actual spending areas and, with the possible help of consultants, develop a set of principles that could guide a review of spending. The Council suggested a two-pronged approach involving the evaluation of costs on campus as well as a review of the Association's spending related to the activities of the national office.

e. **Legislative items in the 2009-10 cycle of potential interest to the Leadership Council.** The Council received a report on the two legislative proposals (Proposal No. 2009-22 and Proposal No. 2009-51-B) that received the requisite number of requests for an override vote. The Council was informed of the status of the two proposals and the options for action by the Legislative Council during its April meeting.

f. **Report of the January 14, 2010, Leadership Council meeting**. The Council approved the report of its January 14, 2010, meeting. (Unanimous voice vote)

g. **Report from the Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee.** Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee chair Nick Fulton presented a report of the committee's recent meeting and priorities for the upcoming year.

h. **Future Meetings**.

a. October 5, 2010, Indianapolis, Indiana.
b. January 15, 2011, San Antonio, Texas.

Leadership Council chair: Damon Evans, University of Georgia
Staff Liaisons: S. David Berst, Division I governance
Jacqueline Campbell, Division I governance
Kevin Lennon, academic and membership affairs