A G E N D A

National Collegiate Athletic Association
Division I Leadership Council

Downtown Westin Hotel — October 6, 2009
Indianapolis, Indiana — 8 a.m. to 3 p.m.

1. Welcome and introductions.

2. NCAA update.

3. Examination and discussion of Division I membership standards. [Supplement No. 1]

4. Discussion of possible ways to enhance the level of diversity at the Division I cabinet and council levels. [Supplement No. 2]

5. Review of legislative items in the 2009-10 cycle of potential interest to the Leadership Council. [Supplement No. 3A, 3B, 3C]

6. Governance Update.

   [Note: These materials have been provided for informational purposes. Leadership Council members are asked to be aware of key agenda topics being considered by these governance groups. No formal presentation of this information is anticipated at the meeting but questions/concerns will be welcome.]

   a. Division I matters.

      (1) Minutes and report of the August 6, 2009, Board of Directors meeting. [Supplement No. 4]

      (2) Report from the Communications and Coordination Committee. [Supplement No. 5]

      (3) Division I Cabinet reports. [Supplement No. 6.]

         (a) Academic Cabinet. [Supplement No. 7] [Addendum will be distributed.]

         (b) Administration Cabinet. [Supplement No. 8] [Addendum will be distributed.]

         (c) Amateurism Cabinet. [Supplement No. 9] [Addendum will be distributed.]

(e) Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet. [Supplement No. 10] [Addendum will be distributed.]

(f) Championships/Sports Management Cabinet. [Supplement No. 11] [Addendum will be distributed.]

(g) Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Cabinet. [Supplement No. 12] [Addendum will be distributed.]

(4) Update from the Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee. [Supplement No. 13]

b. Association-wide matters.

(1) Report from the August 6, 2009, NCAA Executive Committee meeting. [Supplement No. 14.]

(2) Minority Opportunities and Interests Committee. [Supplement No. 15 will be distributed when available.]

(3) Committee on Women's Athletics. [Supplement No. 16 will be distributed when available.]

7. NCAA Convention review. [Supplement No. 17A and 17B]

8. News from the NCAA.

a. Litigation update. [Supplement No. 18 will be distributed at the meeting.]

b. Governmental relations report. [Supplement No. 19] (Informatory)

9. Other items of business.

10. Adjournment.

DRAFT
For discussion during the October 6, 2009, Leadership Council Meeting.

Division I Membership Criteria

Background.

On August 9, 2007, the Division I Board of Directors adopted Legislative Proposal 2007-10, which established a four-year moratorium that prohibited consideration of any new institutional, conference or multi-divisional Division I members until August 2011.

The Board concluded that the time was right, following similar moratoriums in Divisions II and III, for the Division I membership to assess and study the impact of membership migration and to develop reasonable philosophical expectations and legislative requirements for membership in the division. The Board requested the Leadership Council to begin this study and to make appropriate recommendations regarding possible NCAA legislation.

A preliminary report was provided to the Board of Directors in April 2009 and the Division I governance structure, member conferences and institutions were asked to provide reactions. The Leadership Council will continue discussions and report again to the Board in order to sponsor appropriate legislative changes for consideration in the 2010-11 legislative cycle.

Excerpt from the Division I Manual--Division I Philosophy (Bylaw 20.9).

Planning and implementation of athletics programs in Division I are guided by a philosophy statement that among other things:

- Emphasizes high standards of academic quality and breadth of academic opportunity;
- Strives for regional and national excellence and prominence and recognizes the dual objective of serving both the institutional community and the general public;
- Provides for extensive opportunities for participation in varsity intercollegiate athletics for both men and women (consistent with the requirements of Title IX) and sponsors at the highest feasible level of intercollegiate competition, men's and women's basketball and football, recognizing subdivisional designations in football;
- Advocates scheduling athletics contests primarily among Division I institutions;
- Emphasizes maintaining institutional control over all funds supporting athletics; and that
- Understands, respects, and supports the programs and philosophies of other divisions.

Additional Standards and Assumptions Relied Upon by the Leadership Council in its discussions include:

- Applicants for membership in Division I should be required to meet, over a period of time, prescribed criteria in order to assure compatibility and compliance with the principles and program objectives of Division I.
- Central to the mission of intercollegiate athletics in Division I is the academic and athletic success of student-athletes, as well as protection of their health and well-being.
- Division I members should be expected to demonstrate a meaningful program-wide financial commitment across its entire athletics program, including for financial aid, number of sports sponsored, recruiting, athletics facilities, rules compliance, coaching, team travel and academic support services.
- Membership standards should promote conference stability, provide access to Division I for qualifying institutions and treat institutions fairly in determining their status as members of Division I.
- The number and nature of multisport conferences in Division I are fundamental considerations in establishing the level, structure and scope of Division I championship competition, the allocation of financial benefits and access to service and governance of the division.
- There is a finite level and number of resources, benefits and good will available to current members of the division, which should be maintained or enhanced through any further expansion of membership.
- Potential new members of Division I should be expected to demonstrate a sustained commitment to Division I standards, assumptions and the Division I philosophy statement before election to membership in the division.
- Current voting ratios/percentages by conference and subdivision within Division I should be maintained through appropriate mathematical adjustments as the membership increases.
- The Division I brand has a value that can be approximated through a calculation of the value of services and benefits.

Recommendations.

The Council offers the following preliminary recommendations for further discussion and debate by Division I membership groups.

1. **All potential new members of Division I should enter the division through a five-year "reclassification" process following membership in Division II as described in Bylaw 20.5.1, and only after presenting a bona fide offer of membership from a Division I multisport, voting conference. The sponsoring conference will be expected to provide appropriate guidance and counsel, assist with scheduling, monitor student-athlete well being and enhance accountability during the transition process.**

Comment: Requiring conference sponsorship and prior membership in Division II is intended to promote the long-term stability of the Association. There should be a check list to assist conferences and transitioning institutions in ensuring that institutions are meeting their appropriate benchmarks. Additional thought should be given to the timing of when various benchmarks should occur.

2. **The "provisional" process provided for in Bylaw 20.3.1, which now permits an institution outside the NCAA to become a Division I member through a seven-year process, shall be eliminated.**

   Comment: Multisport conferences within the Division I championships and governance structure are well suited to determine the needs of conferences and thereby the division. Experience in Division II serves as an appropriate platform to demonstrate the traits necessary to become a productive Division I member.

3. **A substantial Division I application fee should be required before entering the "reclassification" process, which should be commensurate with the estimated value of services and benefits made available through Division I membership. For purposes of discussion, $800,000 is approximately half of the estimated average direct benefits (distribution funds and championships) and indirect benefits (Association-wide) received annually by a Division I member.**

   Comment: This requirement should be data-driven and it must be clear which portion of the fee off-sets administrative and educational expenses and which portion equates to the value of benefits or the Division I brand. Upon election to Division I membership, the application fee should be deposited in the NCAA Student Athlete Opportunity Fund. In the event an institution withdraws from the reclassification process, the application fee would be returned to the institution, less any expenditure for educational costs related to the membership process. It should also be emphasized that conferences may require separate fees for joining the conference.

4. **Upon beginning the "reclassification" process, the institution must meet Division I sports sponsorship requirements (which should be increased from 50 percent to 75 percent of the grants-in-aid maximums in the conference sponsored sports in which the institution will participate). In addition, the alternative means of satisfying this requirement now set forth in Bylaw 20.9.1.2-(b) (c) and (d) should be modified to correlate to the new minimum.** [Note: Research data concerning the present levels of grants-in aid at Division I institutions will be available before the October 6 Leadership Council meeting.]

Comment: This 75 percent minimum is an increase from the 50 percent now required for Division I institutions and the current membership shall be required to meet this minimum within a three-year period following adoption of this legislation.

5. **A prospective member institution may not be elected to active membership in Division I if it is subject to a "historical penalty" under the APR program.**

   Comment: The Leadership Council believes a demonstrated commitment to academics should be required. The Committee on Academic Performance recommends that a numerical standard (e.g., 925) be avoided in deference to a "category" of academic penalties.

6. **A preliminary NCAA certification should be required in year 2 of the five-year "reclassification" period and a compliance review and full certification should be required before final approval as a Division I member.**

   Comment: There should be an expectation that the sponsoring conference will assist the institution in this process and that special attention should be given to rules compliance, academic support services, recruiting, coaches, team travel and facilities. Meeting standards early in the process and then demonstrating the ability to sustain such standards is important. The review process must make it clear that this is an evolutionary process so that there is no confusion that receiving positive marks during the certification process does not necessarily equate to Division I membership.

7. **A multisport conference must establish voting privileges in the Division I governance structure through legislation that places the conference among those identified in applicable sections of Constitution 4 related to representation and voting ratios on Division I Board of Directors, Legislative and Leadership Councils and the five cabinets.**

   Comment: This is the current requirement in Division I. Refer to Constitution 3.3.3.2.

8. **The multi-divisional practice permitting a Division II or III institution to designate one men's and one women's sport in Division I, except in football or men's or women's basketball shall be discontinued upon the completion of the 2010-11 academic year. Division II and III institutions that sponsor sports teams in Division I in 2010-11 may continue to do so in the sports so designated but will forfeit this opportunity permanently if it fails to conduct the sport in Division I in any ensuing**

academic year. No change is recommended for participation in national collegiate championships.

Comment: It appears there is agreement to discontinue multidivisional competition, but teams that currently conduct such division I programs should be "grandfathered."

9. **Multisport, voting conferences shall receive preference in awarding Automatic Qualification (AQ) for Division I championships. Further, no more than 32 conferences may be awarded AQ's for any championship, and at least 50 percent of the field must be reserved for "at large" selections.**

   Comment: This may be unnecessary for the "core" and "continuity" if the concepts of No. 10 are accepted.

10. **The current definitions for "core" and "continuity" for Division I institutions should be eliminated in favor of a new definition of "multisport conference."** [See Attachment]

    Comment: "Core" and "continuity" requirements for institutions are not needed if there is a meaningful definition of a multisport conference.

11. **New "active" members of Division I should qualify immediately for revenue distributions related to academic enhancement and the Special Assistance/Student-Athlete Opportunity Funds. Remaining allocations and Division I distributions should be computed based upon the actual members of the division at the time applicable revenue agreements are completed. Each sponsoring conference would be free to share its revenues from Division I distributions among its members as it deems appropriate.**

    Comment: This is a change in that the sponsoring conference will determine whether, and the manner by which, new members share in the Sports Sponsorship, Grants-in-Aid and Basketball Fund distributions.

12. **No change in criteria is recommended for designation in the Football Championship Subdivision.**

    Comments: The FCS conferences do not appear to support additional membership requirements.

13. The role of the Administration Cabinet and the steps of the five-year reclassification process should be modified to ensure coordination by the cabinet with sponsoring conferences.

Comments: Through coordination with conferences in providing guidance and assistance to potential new member institutions, some procedural functions performed now by the Administrative Cabinet could be transferred to conference offices.

14. Constitution 3.2.3.1 should be amended to provide that the vote to elect an institution to Division I active membership upon recommendation of the Administration cabinet shall be taken by the Board of Directors, rather than the Leadership Council.

Comments: This may be noncontroversial legislation.

CONFERENCE INPUT TO DI MEMBERSHIP CRITERIA
Response to Leadership Council Report, May 6 and 11, 2009

The multisport conference is the foundation of the NCAA DI governance structure, automatic bid structure, and compliance structure and is rightly positioned in the proposed DI membership process as a gatekeeper in the new membership model. As such, a more comprehensive definition of a multisport conference is appropriate.

NCAA Division I - Multisport Conference Standards

1. Institutional Members
   A multisport conference shall consist of no fewer than seven (7) active Division I members.

2. Conference Sports Sponsorship

   a) A multisport conference shall sponsor a minimum of 12 NCAA DI sports.
   b) A multisport conference shall have a minimum of seven (7) active members participate in men's and women's basketball.
   c) A multisport conference shall sponsor a minimum of six men's sports. In each of these six sports, a minimum of six active members shall compete.
   d) A multisport conference shall sponsor a minimum of six women's sports. In each of these six sports, a minimum of six active members shall compete, or a minimum of five active members for an emerging sport.
   e) In addition to men's basketball, a multisport conference shall, at a minimum, sponsor either football, with a minimum of six active members competing in football, or sponsor two additional men's team sports, with a minimum of six active members participating in each of these two sports.
   f) In addition to women's basketball, a multisport conference shall sponsor at least two additional women's team sports, with a minimum of six active members participating in each of these two sports.

3. Regular Season Conference Competition

   a) Basketball: Basketball teams shall participate in a regular season conference schedule of a double round robin, or a minimum of 14 regular season conference contests.
   b) Minimum Required Team Sports (other than basketball) [2.e), 2.f) above]: In the team sports sponsored to meet the minimum team sports sponsorship requirement, teams shall compete in a minimum regular season conference schedule of five contests. At least five of the regular season conference contests must be a home game hosted by one of the two competing teams (non neutral site).

4. Officiating. A multisport conference shall provide oversight of the officiating programs for selecting, training and assigning officials for its men's and women's basketball programs.

5. Compliance. A multisport conference shall have a comprehensive compliance program.

6. Continuity. A multisport conference shall establish continuity by meeting the defined standards of a multisport conference (as defined in items No. 1 through 5 above) for a period of eight consecutive years. If a conference establishes continuity, it may be considered for multisport membership in Division I through the defined legislative process.

7. Core. A multisport conference that is recognized in the NCAA Governance Structure shall be considered a core conference. A core conference shall:
   a) Have representation and voting standing in the NCAA DI Governance Structure
   b) Be eligible to submit nominees for and have its delegates serve on NCAA DI committees
   c) Receive AQ into NCAA championships that offer AQ, provided the conference competes with a minimum of six active participants in the sport
   d) Receive conference grant funds
   e) Receive, on behalf of its members, SAF/SAOF funds

Proportion of Allowed Equivalencies Offered for Division I Men's Sports

SPORT	> 90%	75% to 89%	60% to 74%	50% to 60%	25% to 49%	< 25%	Total N
Baseball	164 (62%)	51 (19%)	24 (9%)	9 (3%)	15 (6%)	2 (1%)	265
Basketball	245 (79%)	53 (17%)	8 (3%)	2 (1%)	0	1 (1%)	309
Cross Country/Track	95 (34%)	46 (16%)	26 (9%)	16 (5%)	48 (17%)	49 (18%)	280
Fencing	2 (20%)	2 (20%)	0	0	3 (30%)	3 (30%)	10
Football	158 (75%)	32 (15%)	6 (3%)	1 (1%)	8 (4%)	6 (3%)	211
Golf	115 (44%)	53 (20%)	37 (14%)	17 (7%)	23 (9%)	18 (7%)	263
Gymnastics	8 (62%)	2 (15%)	2 (15%)	0	0	1 (8%)	13
Ice Hockey	20 (83%)	1 (4%)	0	2 (8%)	0	1 (4%)	24
Lacrosse	18 (49%)	6 (16%)	4 (11%)	0	5 (14%)	4 (11%)	37
Rifle	0	0	1 (33%)	0	1 (33%)	1 (33%)	3
Skiing	4 (36%)	3 (27%)	1 (9%)	0	0	3 (27%)	11
Soccer	91 (52%)	52 (30%)	19 (11%)	11 (6%)	2 (1%)	1 (1%)	176
Swimming	46 (40%)	16 (14%)	8 (7%)	9 (8%)	22 (19%)	15 (13%)	116
Tennis	123 (51%)	41 (17%)	29 (12%)	11 (5%)	19 (8%)	17 (7%)	240
Volleyball	10 (53%)	4 (21%)	4 (21%)	0	0	1 (5%)	19
Water Polo	5 (36%)	4 (29%)	2 (14%)	0	1 (7%)	2 (14%)	14
Wrestling	32 (50%)	13 (20%)	6 (9%)	3 (5%)	9 (14%)	1 (2%)	64
Overall	1,136 (55%)	379 (18%)	177 (9%)	88 (4%)	156 (8%)	126 (6%)	2,055

NOTE: Table does not include institutions that do not offer athletically-related financial aid.

Proportion of Allowed Equivalencies Offered for Division I Women's Sports

SPORT	> 90%	75% to 89%	60% to 74%	50% to 60%	25% to 49%	< 25%	Total N
Basketball	147 (48%)	125 (41%)	30 (10%)	5 (2%)	1 (1%)	0	308
Crew	20 (30%)	10 (15%)	5 (8%)	3 (5%)	8 (12%)	20 (30%)	66
Cross Country/Track	100 (33%)	64 (21%)	31 (10%)	20 (7%)	52 (17%)	37 (12%)	304
Fencing	4 (31%)	0	1 (8%)	1 (8%)	2 (15%)	5 (39%)	13
Field Hockey	30 (48%)	11 (18%)	5 (8%)	2 (3%)	12 (19%)	2 (3%)	62
Golf	96 (43%)	46 (21%)	26 (12%)	19 (9%)	28 (13%)	8 (4%)	223
Gymnastics	43 (74%)	4 (7%)	5 (9%)	4 (7%)	2 (3%)	0	58
Ice Hockey	8 (53%)	3 (20%)	2 (13%)	1 (7%)	1 (7%)	0	15
Lacrosse	22 (33%)	16 (24%)	9 (14%)	1 (2%)	11 (17%)	7 (11%)	66
Skiing	4 (36%)	3 (27%)	2 (18%)	0	0	2 (18%)	11
Soccer	132 (47%)	83 (29%)	42 (15%)	10 (4%)	15 (5%)	1 (1%)	283
Softball	131 (51%)	56 (22%)	34 (13%)	15 (6%)	18 (7%)	1 (1%)	255
Swimming	54 (31%)	33 (19%)	17 (10%)	13 (8%)	33 (19%)	22 (13%)	172
Tennis	104 (36%)	74 (26%)	30 (10%)	22 (8%)	33 (11%)	26 (9%)	289
Volleyball	180 (61%)	67 (23%)	21 (7%)	6 (2%)	17 (6%)	2 (1%)	293
Water Polo	11 (42%)	3 (12%)	2 (8%)	1 (4%)	6 (23%)	3 (12%)	26
Overall	1,086 (44%)	598 (24%)	262 (11%)	123 (5%)	239 (10%)	136 (6%)	2,444

NOTE: Table does not include institutions that do not offer athletically-related financial aid.

2008-09 Member Reported Conference Commissioner Demographics by Di										
	White		Black / African American		Asian		Hispanic / Latino		American Indian / Alaskan Native	
NAME_OFFICIAL	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
America East Conference	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Atlantic 10 Conference	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Atlantic Coast Conference	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Atlantic Sun Conference	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Big 12 Conference	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Big East Conference	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Big Sky Conference	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Big South Conference	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Big Ten Conference	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Big West Conference	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Colonial Athletic Association	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Conference USA	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Horizon League	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ivy Group	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mid-American Conference	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mid-Eastern Athletic Conf.	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missouri Valley Conference	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mountain West Conference	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Northeast Conference	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ohio Valley Conference	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pacific-10 Conference	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Patriot League	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Southeastern Conference	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Southern Conference	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Southland Conference	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Southwestern Athletic Conf.	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sun Belt Conference	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
The Summit League	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
West Coast Conference	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Western Athletic Conference	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	24	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

vision I Conference

Native Hawaiian / Pacific Islander		Two or More Races		Other	
Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0

2008-09 Member Reported Conference Associate Commissioner Demographics										
	White		Black / African American		Asian		Hispanic / Latino		American Indian / Alaskan Native	
NAME_OFFICIAL	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
America East Conference	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Atlantic 10 Conference	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Atlantic Coast Conference	6	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Atlantic Sun Conference	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Big 12 Conference	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Big East Conference	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Big Sky Conference	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Big South Conference	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Big Ten Conference	3	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
Big West Conference	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Colonial Athletic Association	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Conference USA	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Horizon League	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ivy Group	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mid-American Conference	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mid-Eastern Athletic Conf.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missouri Valley Conference	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mountain West Conference	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Northeast Conference	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ohio Valley Conference	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pacific-10 Conference	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Patriot League	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Southeastern Conference	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Southern Conference	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Southland Conference	2	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Southwestern Athletic Conf.	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sun Belt Conference	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
The Summit League	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
West Coast Conference	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Western Athletic Conference	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	60	27	5	5	0	1	1	0	0	1

by Division I Conference					
Native Hawaiian / Pacific Islander		Two or More Races		Other	
Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0

2008-09 Member Reported Conference Assistant Commissioner Demograp									
	White		Black / African American		Asian		Hispanic / Latino		Am Ind Ala Na
NAME_OFFICIAL	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male
America East Conference	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Atlantic 10 Conference	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Atlantic Coast Conference	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Atlantic Sun Conference	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Big 12 Conference	3	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Big East Conference	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Big Sky Conference	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Big South Conference	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Big Ten Conference	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Big West Conference	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Colonial Athletic Association	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Conference USA	1	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Horizon League	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ivy Group	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mid-American Conference	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mid-Eastern Athletic Conf.	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Missouri Valley Conference	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mountain West Conference	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Northeast Conference	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Ohio Valley Conference	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pacific-10 Conference	2	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Patriot League	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Southeastern Conference	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Southern Conference	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Southland Conference	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Southwestern Athletic Conf.	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sun Belt Conference	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
The Summit League	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
West Coast Conference	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Western Athletic Conference	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	30	22	8	5	0	1	0	0	0

phics by Division I Conference

erican dian / askan ative	Native Hawaiian / Pacific Islander		Two or More Races		Other	
Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	0

2008-09 Member Reported Conference Director Demographics by Divisi										
	White		Black / African American		Asian		Hispanic / Latino		American Indian / Alaskan Native	
NAME_OFFICIAL	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
America East Conference	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Atlantic 10 Conference	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Atlantic Coast Conference	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Atlantic Sun Conference	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Big 12 Conference	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Big East Conference	4	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Big Sky Conference	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Big South Conference	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Big Ten Conference	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Big West Conference	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
Colonial Athletic Association	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Conference USA	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Horizon League	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ivy Group	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mid-American Conference	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mid-Eastern Athletic Conf.	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missouri Valley Conference	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mountain West Conference	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Northeast Conference	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ohio Valley Conference	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pacific-10 Conference	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Patriot League	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Southeastern Conference	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Southern Conference	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Southland Conference	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Southwestern Athletic Conf.	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sun Belt Conference	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
The Summit League	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
West Coast Conference	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Western Athletic Conference	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	30	24	4	7	2	1	1	0	0	0

on I Conference

Native Hawaiian / Pacific Islander		Two or More Races		Other	
Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0	0	0

2008-09 Member Reported Conference President Demographics by Divis										
	White		Black / African American		Asian		Hispanic / Latino		American Indian / Alaskan Native	
NAME_OFFICIAL	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
America East Conference	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Atlantic 10 Conference	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Atlantic Coast Conference	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Atlantic Sun Conference	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Big 12 Conference	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Big East Conference	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Big Sky Conference	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Big South Conference	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Big Ten Conference	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Big West Conference	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Colonial Athletic Association	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Conference USA	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Horizon League	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ivy Group	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mid-American Conference	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mid-Eastern Athletic Conf.	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missouri Valley Conference	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mountain West Conference	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Northeast Conference	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ohio Valley Conference	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pacific-10 Conference	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Patriot League	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Southeastern Conference	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Southern Conference	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Southland Conference	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Southwestern Athletic Conf.	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sun Belt Conference	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
The Summit League	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
West Coast Conference	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Western Athletic Conference	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	28	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

sion I Conference

Native Hawaiian / Pacific Islander		Two or More Races		Other	
Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0

2008-09 Member Reported Member Institutions Director of Athletics Demog								
	White		Black / African American		Asian		Hispanic / Latino	
NAME_OFFICIAL	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
America East Conference	7	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Atlantic 10 Conference	13	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Atlantic Coast Conference	10	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Atlantic Sun Conference	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Big 12 Conference	12	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Big East Conference	13	1	2	0	0	0	0	0
Big Sky Conference	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Big South Conference	8	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Big Ten Conference	9	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Big West Conference	8	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Colonial Athletic Association	9	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
Conference USA	10	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Horizon League	8	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Independent	4	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Ivy Group	6	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mid-American Conference	8	1	2	0	0	0	0	0
Mid-Eastern Athletic Conf.	1	0	10	3	0	0	0	0
Missouri Valley Conference	7	1	1	0	0	0	1	0
Mountain West Conference	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Northeast Conference	6	2	1	0	0	0	1	1
Ohio Valley Conference	8	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
Pacific-10 Conference	6	2	1	0	0	0	1	0
Patriot League	6	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Southeastern Conference	10	1	2	0	0	0	0	0
Southern Collegiate Athletic Conference	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Southern Conference	11	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Southland Conference	9	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Southwestern Athletic Conf.	0	0	8	2	0	0	0	0
Sun Belt Conference	10	1	0	0	0	0	2	0
The Summit League	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
West Coast Conference	6	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Western Athletic Conference	7	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	238	20	39	8	0	0	6	1

graphics by Division I Conference

American Indian / Alaskan Native		Native Hawaiian / Pacific Islander		Two or More Races		Other	
Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	1	0	0	1	0	2	0

2008-09 Member Reported Member Institutions Associate Athletics Director De								
	White		Black / African American		Asian		Hispanic / Latino	
NAME_OFFICIAL	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
America East Conference	19	8	1	1	0	0	0	1
Atlantic 10 Conference	31	11	3	1	0	0	1	0
Atlantic Coast Conference	63	17	6	4	0	0	1	0
Atlantic Sun Conference	8	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
Big 12 Conference	64	24	7	2	0	0	0	2
Big East Conference	63	29	8	1	0	0	1	0
Big Sky Conference	10	8	0	0	0	0	0	0
Big South Conference	13	7	0	0	0	0	0	0
Big Ten Conference	49	26	11	1	2	0	0	0
Big West Conference	15	10	0	2	1	0	0	0
Colonial Athletic Association	27	26	6	2	0	0	0	0
Conference USA	47	10	1	6	0	0	0	2
Horizon League	13	6	2	1	0	0	0	0
Independent	5	3	1	0	0	0	0	0
Ivy Group	30	15	0	1	0	0	0	1
Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference	8	8	1	0	0	0	0	0
Mid-American Conference	29	11	2	4	0	0	2	0
Mid-Eastern Athletic Conf.	2	0	9	4	0	0	0	0
Missouri Valley Conference	17	8	0	1	0	0	0	0
Mountain West Conference	39	9	2	1	0	0	1	1
Northeast Conference	9	10	1	0	0	0	0	0
Ohio Valley Conference	13	2	1	2	0	0	0	0
Pacific-10 Conference	55	21	5	0	1	1	2	1
Patriot League	21	8	2	2	0	1	0	0
Southeastern Conference	60	18	11	4	0	0	1	0
Southern Collegiate Athletic Conference	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Southern Conference	18	9	1	1	0	0	0	1
Southland Conference	20	6	0	0	0	0	0	1
Southwestern Athletic Conf.	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0
Sun Belt Conference	29	10	1	1	0	1	1	1
The Summit League	13	11	0	2	0	0	0	0
West Coast Conference	15	5	0	0	1	1	0	1
Western Athletic Conference	26	7	0	1	0	0	0	1
Totals	781	329	80	44	5	4	9	12

mographics by Division I Conference

American Indian / Alaskan Native		Native Hawaiian / Pacific Islander		Two or More Races		Other	
Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
1	2	0	1	1	4	2	1

2008-09 Member Reported Member Institutions Assistant Athletics Direc							
	White		Black / African American		Asian		Hispa Lat
NAME_OFFICIAL	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male
America East Conference	18	17	1	0	0	0	0
Atlantic 10 Conference	21	9	5	3	0	1	0
Atlantic Coast Conference	51	14	4	4	0	1	0
Atlantic Sun Conference	9	6	0	0	0	0	0
Big 12 Conference	55	13	7	0	0	0	0
Big East Conference	50	17	6	2	0	0	0
Big Sky Conference	10	5	0	0	0	0	0
Big South Conference	24	4	0	0	0	0	1
Big Ten Conference	42	11	5	1	0	0	0
Big West Conference	16	7	1	1	1	1	3
Colonial Athletic Association	29	11	1	2	0	0	0
Conference USA	38	14	3	2	1	0	0
Horizon League	17	8	1	0	0	0	0
Independent	4	0	3	4	0	0	1
Ivy Group	9	11	0	2	0	0	0
Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference	14	6	1	0	1	0	0
Mid-American Conference	21	6	2	2	0	0	0
Mid-Eastern Athletic Conf.	3	0	6	7	0	0	0
Missouri Valley Conference	29	6	1	0	0	0	1
Mountain West Conference	18	7	1	0	0	0	4
Northeast Conference	16	7	2	1	0	0	0
Ohio Valley Conference	14	6	2	3	0	0	0
Pacific-10 Conference	32	11	3	0	0	2	0
Patriot League	20	8	0	1	0	0	0
Southeastern Conference	35	11	6	2	0	0	0
Southern Collegiate Athletic Conference	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Southern Conference	22	9	2	1	0	0	1
Southland Conference	21	3	1	1	0	0	1
Southwestern Athletic Conf.	0	1	1	3	0	0	0
Sun Belt Conference	15	9	6	2	0	0	0
The Summit League	11	6	0	0	0	0	0
West Coast Conference	11	8	1	0	0	0	0
Western Athletic Conference	18	14	0	0	0	1	0
Totals	654	240	66	41	3	5	12

ctor Demographics by Division I Conference								
anic / ino	American Indian / Alaskan Native		Native Hawaiian / Pacific Islander		Two or More Races		Other	
Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	1	0	0	0	4	0	2	0

2008-09 Member Reported Member Institutions FAR Demographics by D									
	White		Black / African American		Asian		Hispanic / Latino		Am Ind Ala Na
NAME_OFFICIAL	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male
America East Conference	6	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Atlantic 10 Conference	7	5	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
Atlantic Coast Conference	9	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Atlantic Sun Conference	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Big 12 Conference	7	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Big East Conference	11	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Big Sky Conference	8	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Big South Conference	4	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Big Ten Conference	9	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Big West Conference	5	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
Colonial Athletic Association	5	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Conference USA	9	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Horizon League	5	6	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Independent	3	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0
Ivy Group	6	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference	7	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mid-American Conference	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mid-Eastern Athletic Conf.	0	1	7	3	0	0	0	0	0
Missouri Valley Conference	6	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mountain West Conference	3	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Northeast Conference	9	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ohio Valley Conference	4	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pacific-10 Conference	5	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
Patriot League	5	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Southeastern Conference	9	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Southern Collegiate Athletic Conference	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Southern Conference	11	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Southland Conference	8	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Southwestern Athletic Conf.	0	0	5	4	0	0	0	0	0
Sun Belt Conference	8	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
The Summit League	7	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
West Coast Conference	7	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Western Athletic Conference	8	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	191	73	30	10	2	2	0	1	0

ivision I Conference						
erican dian / askan ative	Native Hawaiian / Pacific Islander		Two or More Races		Other	
Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	1	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	1	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	1	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	1	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	1	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	1	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	1	0	5	0

2008-09 Member Reported Member Institutions SWA Demographics								
	White		Black / African American		Asian		Hispanic / Latino	
NAME_OFFICIAL	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
America East Conference	0	7	0	1	0	0	0	1
Atlantic 10 Conference	0	12	0	2	0	0	0	0
Atlantic Coast Conference	0	10	0	1	0	0	0	0
Atlantic Sun Conference	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0
Big 12 Conference	0	10	0	1	0	0	0	1
Big East Conference	0	15	0	1	0	0	0	0
Big Sky Conference	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0
Big South Conference	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0
Big Ten Conference	0	10	0	1	0	0	0	0
Big West Conference	0	6	0	2	0	0	0	1
Colonial Athletic Association	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0
Conference USA	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	2
Horizon League	0	9	0	1	0	0	0	0
Independent	0	4	0	2	0	0	0	0
Ivy Group	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0
Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mid-American Conference	0	9	0	3	0	0	0	0
Mid-Eastern Athletic Conf.	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0
Missouri Valley Conference	0	9	0	1	0	0	0	0
Mountain West Conference	0	7	0	1	0	0	0	1
Northeast Conference	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ohio Valley Conference	0	7	0	3	0	0	0	0
Pacific-10 Conference	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
Patriot League	0	5	0	1	0	2	0	0
Southeastern Conference	1	6	0	4	0	0	0	0
Southern Collegiate Athletic Conference	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Southern Conference	0	11	0	1	0	0	0	0
Southland Conference	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	1
Southwestern Athletic Conf.	0	1	0	8	0	0	0	0
Sun Belt Conference	0	12	0	1	0	0	0	0
The Summit League	0	8	0	2	0	0	0	0
West Coast Conference	0	6	0	0	0	1	0	0
Western Athletic Conference	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	1	244	0	50	0	3	0	6

s by Division I Conference

American Indian / Alaskan Native		Native Hawaiian / Pacific Islander		Two or More Races		Other	
Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
0	3	0	1	0	2	0	0

DISCUSSION DOCUMENT REGARDING POSSIBLE WAYS TO ENHANCE THE LEVEL OF DIVERSITY SERVING ON DIVISION I CABINETS AND COUNCILS

1. Introduction.

When the Division I Board of Directors approved the new Division I governance structure in November 2007, one change was that the Board would assume responsibility for approving the slate of representatives serving at the Division I cabinet and council levels. The primary purpose for assigning this responsibility to the Board was to ensure the slate of nominees was reflective of the broad diversity of Division I was a whole.

Consistent with this authority, at its April 2008 meeting, the Board of Directors approved the slate of nominees as presented by the 31 multisport conferences, but in the process charged the new Leadership Council with looking to find ways to enhance the level of diversity serving at the cabinet and council levels. At the time the Board developed its charge for the Leadership Council, the Board was informed that the Governance Subcommittee of the former Management Council wanted to ensure there was a level of both diversity and expertise serving within the new structure. The Board was also informed that after much debate, the subcommittee determined that numerical minimums by position should not be recommended due to the already complex formula to select a slate of nominees.

Since the Leadership Council's first meeting in August 2008, the Council has explored its charge, received research presentations and discussed possible ideas for enhancing the level of diversity. The Leadership Council has not, though, been asked to develop formal recommendations given the complexity of the issues being addressed.

With the terms of FBS members set to expire in April 2010 (Note: All FBS members are eligible to be reselected for four more years of service.), it is now timely for the Leadership Council to begin developing more formal recommendations for ultimate review and approval by the Board of Directors.

2. Charge to the Leadership Council.

Before the Leadership Council can set about developing recommendations for the Board of Directors, the Leadership Council should have a clear understanding of its charge. While the Leadership Council in previous meetings has discussed the fact it would like to see the

DRAFT

level of diversity enhanced in Division I athletics in general, the actual charge to the Leadership Council is fairly narrow, focused only on the Division I cabinets and councils. Specifically, the Leadership Council has been asked to develop recommendations to enhance the level of diversity serving in the 198 Division I cabinet and council seats directly nominated by the 31 multisport conferences. It should be noted that in charging the Leadership Council, the Board purposefully did not seek to provide the council with further direction, only stating that it did not want the current level of diversity serving in the structure to be viewed as an end in and of itself.

The current legislated requirements divide the councils and cabinets into two groups (Leadership Council, Legislative Council, and Championships/Sports Management Cabinet = 93 and Academics Cabinet, Administration Cabinet, Amateurism Cabinet, Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, and Student-Athlete, Benefits and Financial Aid Cabinets =105) and further require that each group include a minimum of 35 percent of each gender and 20 percent ethnic minorities. Further, these minimums must be met for each group by the FBS subdivision and also by the FCS and Division I subdivisions as a combined group.

3. <u>Definition of diversity</u>.

In issuing its charge to the Leadership Council, the Board also did not seek to define the term diversity, and to date, neither has the Leadership Council. While it is safe to speculate that all Leadership Council members have a personal opinion as to what it means to enhance the level of diversity serving in the Division I governance structure, it is likely those opinions vary and absent a collective definition of diversity and stated goals as to what success means, chances are good the Leadership Council will have difficulty in developing formal recommendations. Therefore, the staff is recommending that, at its October 6 meeting, the Leadership Council seek to establish its definition of diversity and identify goals that will signify success.

To assist with this conversation, set forth below are the definitions of diversity and inclusion referenced by the NCAA Diversity and Inclusion staff.

<u>Diversity</u>:

The traditional definition of diversity focuses on groups of people who have historically been denied access to opportunity on the basis of race, creed, color, national origin, age, sex, disability, sexual orientation and gender. Diversity also encompasses external dimensions like religion, marital status, education, income, geographic location and work experience.
Within the NCAA, diversity can be found from institution to institution, team to team, and among each student-athlete, coach, administrator and official. One of the Association's goals is to create a culture in which each person is seen as unique and every individual feels like he or she is a viable and valued part of the university, athletics department and intercollegiate athletics.

Inclusion:

Inclusion is the process of creating an environment that not only recognizes and appreciates the talents, skills and perspectives of every individual, but uses those skills to reach educational and organizational objectives.

Additionally, there are other elements identified by the NCAA research staff for possible inclusion in the Leadership Council's definition of diversity relative to the governance structure. These elements could include: creed, national origin, age, disability, sexual orientation, regional affiliation, marital status, education and work experience.

Other elements that are frequently discussed within our Division I governance structure from a diversity standpoint are positional and subdivisional affiliation.

At the October 6 meeting, the Leadership Council should be ready to discuss what elements it thinks should be included in its definition of diversity as it pertains to the governance structure.

4. What do the numbers tell us? – See tables 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 in the Appendices Section.

To identify a stated goal of enhancing the level of diversity, the Leadership Council must not only have information about the current levels of representation but must understand how reflective that representation is relative to the whole of the Division I membership.

Currently, the NCAA research staff has measurable and reported data on the gender and ethnic composition by positions at our member institutions. What the numbers suggest is that our membership does house a diverse pool of representatives within the positions of athletics director, associate athletics director, assistant athletics director, faculty athletics representative, and senior woman administrator based on gender and racial/ethnic representation (See tables 1 and 2). The figures also suggest that each conference has representation of either female or racial/ethnic minorities within those listed positions (See table 3).

Within the context of cabinet and council service, the numbers also suggest that the 31 multisport conferences also house available representatives within the positions of commissioner, associate commissioner, assistant commissioner and director based on gender and racial/ethnic representation (See tables 4 and 5). The figures additionally suggest that each conference has representation of either female or racial/ethnic minorities within those positions (See table 6).

Under the premise that council and cabinet members are generally derived from those listed positions referenced in the tables, one way to assess representativeness is to compare the membership's percentages to those serving on the cabinets and councils. Further, while not directly on point with this discussion, the Leadership Council may find it beneficial to review a comparison between those representatives serving in the committee structure with membership totals in those key positions, as the committee structure arguably serves as a training ground of sorts for our cabinet and council appointees. In that light, it should be noted that all Division I general committees (non sports committees) meet membership percentages based on gender. While the majority meet racial/ethnic minority membership percentages, not all Division I general committees meet this particular standard (See tables 7 and 8).

5. <u>Questions the Leadership Council may want to ask</u>.

The NCAA research staff also suggests that in order to fully understand the issues regarding representation of females and racial/ethnic minorities within the cabinet and council structure, other information may be helpful. Other information the Leadership Council may want to obtain may include:

a. Direction on acceptable levels of representation for both female and racial ethnic minorities within our membership.

b. Direction on acceptable levels of representation for both female and racial ethnic minorities within the governance structure.

c. The perceptions of committee service by the membership at those positions sought for service.

d. The perceptions of committee service by the membership for both female and racial ethnic minorities sought for service.

e. The perceived availability/barriers to serving from the perspective of females and racial/ethnic minorities.

f. The level of understanding of the Division I governance structure by the membership.

g. The understanding of the nomination process or the cabinet/council role by the membership.

h. How or if conferences are preparing/educating potential committee members.

i. Others?

6. <u>Possible reasons for not serving in the govenance structure</u>.

In seeking to enhance the level of diversity serving at the cabinet and council levels, the Leadership Council may want to identify possible reasons why people either choose not to serve within the governance structure or are not provided opportunities to serve in the structure and seek to develop recommendations designed to address those reasons.

Below is a list of possible reasons why people do not serve within the structure along with possible approaches designed to address those reasons. The list of possible reasons for not serving in the structure is far from exhaustive and the Leadership Council may want to spend time identifying additional reasons. Further, the list of suggested responses is provided to prompt conversation at the Leadership Council meeting. It is not assumed that the Leadership Council will support all the recommendations and it is anticipated the Leadership Council will develop a number of recommendations of its own.

[Note: A number of the suggested approaches listed below apply to more than one issue.]

a. Some potential representatives do not have a thorough understanding of the Division I governance structure and/or the nomination process so they do not express interest in serving or agree to serve when asked.

Suggested approaches to help address this issue:

(1) More information regarding the Division I governance structure and the committee nomination process should be included in the NCAA's professional development programming, especially programming aimed at females, ethnic minorities and faculty athletics representatives.

(2) Information regarding the committee nomination process should be more broadly shared with affiliate groups such as NACDA, NACWAA, FARA and the Division I ADs/FARs Associations.

(3) More programming regarding the Division I governance structure and the committee nomination process should be shared at NCAA-sponsored events and programs such as the NCAA Regional Rules Seminars, the Gender Equity and Issues Forum, the NCAA Convention and the Fall FARA Seminar.

(4) Educational information should be developed for the 31 multisport conferences so they in turn could share information with their member institutions about the governance structure and nomination process.

(5) Other ideas?

b. Some potential representatives limit their willingness to serve to only those cabinets or councils for which they perceive themselves to have expertise or a level of comfort.

Suggested approaches to help address this issue:

(1) The national office staff should make more of an effort to publish compositional charts for each cabinet and council so that there is a better appreciation of the need for broader diversity on each cabinet and council. This information should be shared with not only the 31 multisport conferences but with affiliate groups so they can encourage their membership to serve.

(2) Additional educational information regarding the jurisdiction and duties of the various Division I cabinets and councils should be shared with the various

professional development programs so that potential nominees have an understanding of what will be required to enhance their level of comfort in serving.

(3) The Leadership Council or the Administration Cabinet could develop a series of core competencies that are essential to serving on a cabinet or council. This information would be broadly shared with the membership as well as the 31 multisport conferences.

(4) Other ideas?

c. Some conferences have established policies whereby service opportunities are limited to individuals with specific leadership titles such as director of athletics, senior woman administrator and faculty athletics representative. Appreciative of the fact representatives with senior titles often bring necessary expertise and conferences need to be mindful of seniority issues, such policies may pose a problem as the pool of individuals possessing those titles in some conferences are not very diverse. [Note: This issue is made more complex by the fact the new structure expects representatives that serve to be prepared to speak on behalf of their multisport conference.]

Suggested approaches to help address this issue:

(1) The Board of Directors should ask the 31 multisport conferences about their efforts to enhance the level of diversity across the conference structure so that this issue becomes a point of focus and there is a higher level of accountability.

(2) Information regarding the level of diversity both within conferences and also within different constituent groups should be shared with presidents so they are more aware of the need for diversity in reviewing conferences, hiring decisions and appointments. This point is especially important with regard to faculty athletic representative appointments where the current pool does not appear to be diverse.

(3) Given that ethnic minorities and females appear to be clustered in certain professional positions that do not typically have the title of associate or assistant athletics director, consideration should be given to eliminating the titles as part of the committee nominating process as committee experience is usually a

prerequisite to being nominated for a cabinet or council. (Previously suggested by the Leadership Council.)

(4) Appreciative of conference policies and politics, the commissioners should be asked to consider allotting a certain number of positions to representatives that meet the Leadership Council's definition of diversity regardless of professional title.

(5) The Leadership Council or the Administrative Cabinet should seek to develop suggested best practices for governance appointments that could be shared with the multisport conferences as a guide.

(6) Minimum percentages should be established with regard to positional requirements. (Note: This idea was discussed but not ultimately supported by the Governance Subcommittee for fear minimums become maximums.)

(7) Other ideas?

d. Some conferences do not solicit their membership to ascertain individual member's level of interest in serving in the governance structure and the office staff is not in a good position to fully gage that interest. Further, in other conferences, while the staff is aware of the level interest by institutional personnel, the conference is not engaged in preparing less experienced individuals for eventual governance service.

Suggested approaches to help address this issue:

(1) The Leadership Council or advocacy groups within the NCAA governance structure should develop a survey instrument to help ascertain individuals' level of interest in serving in the structure. This information could be shared with the 31 multisport conferences to assist with the appointment process and succession planning.

(2) A survey instrument could be developed as a template for use by the multisport conferences to help the conference identify the level of interest in their membership, especially as it pertains to administrators new to the league that are not as well known to the conference office staff.

(3) Conferences should be encouraged to establish mentoring programs that include a focus of governance issues and committee service. The Leadership Council could charge groups within the governance structure that have appropriate expertise with developing a template and materials for use by the conferences. The purpose of the program would be to help identify future conference leaders, ascertain the level of interest in committee service and to educate individuals about NCAA and conference issues.

(4) A mentoring program should be developed whereby each representative serving at the cabinet and council levels would be assigned to a mentee from their member conference to enhance the mentee's knowledge of the conference and of issues. Such a program should be beneficial to succession planning.

(5) Conferences should be encouraged to be strategic in their appointment process and seek to develop long term appointment plans, mindful that some committees provide good opportunities for young professionals to gain experience and grow. (Previously suggested by the Leadership Council.)

(6) Efforts should be made to encourage institutions and conferences to send young professionals to the NCAA Convention. Specific programming should be developed to assist these professionals in learning more about the structure, and issues. (Previously suggested by the Leadership Council.)

(7) Institutions, conferences and affiliate organizations should be encouraged to identify minority and female professionals for additional exposure to NCAA meetings. Resulting NCAA programming should then be developed. (Previously suggested by the Leadership Council.)

(8) An accreditation program should be developed verifying that an individual has received education regarding Division I issues and governance. This accreditation information would be shared with the multisport conferences. (Previously suggested by the Leadership Council.)

(9) Other ideas?

e. Administrators and faculty members are qualified for and interested in cabinet or council service but their professional or personal obligations preclude an opportunity for community service.

Suggested approaches to help address this issue:

(1) Concerted efforts should be made to share information with constituent groups regarding the importance of having a diverse pool of representatives. Further, information should be shared regarding the relatively limited times demands associated with serving on a cabinet or council.

(2) The Division I membership should be reminded that governance service is an obligation and privilege of membership. Leadership in the profession should be encouraged to seek to develop new leaders and more value should be placed on that mentoring role.

(3) Other ideas?

f. While there may be a number of females and ethnic minorities serving in fairly senior positions at Division I institutions and conferences, the level of seniority or stature within the institutional or conference structure is not of a level considered sufficient for cabinet or council positions. Simply put, while the numbers of females and ethnic minorities in the listed positions seem to illustrate this is not a "pipeline" matter, it may be argued given the limited number of cabinet and council seats, there is not an adequate distribution of female and ethnic minority administrators in the ranks of directors of athletics, commissioners and senior staff.

Suggested approaches to help address this issue:

(1) More mentoring programs should be established at the institutional, conference and national levels. Templates should be developed to help ensure the membership is learning from one another and not reinventing the wheel. (Previously suggested by the Leadership Council.)

(2) Mentoring efforts should include access to reading materials relative to the important issues facing intercollegiate athletics and higher education, a dedicated Web site for governance/issues education and access to governance meetings. (Previously suggested by the Leadership Council.)

(3) Conferences should be encouraged to develop succession plans for appointments that include training programs regarding conference and national issues and process.

(4) Other ideas?

7. <u>Plan of action, next steps and suggested timeline</u>.

a. <u>Plan of action</u>. At its October 6 meeting, the staff would encourage the Leadership Council to:

(1) Seek to develop its collective understanding of what it means by the phrase enhancing the level of diversity serving at the Division I cabinet and council levels.

(2) Establish measureable goals for this project.

(3) Determine what other information it would like either from the NCAA research staff or elsewhere to fully develop its recommendation.

(4) Review current research findings to ensure a thorough understanding of the issues.

(5) Seek to identify and clarify possible reasons why individuals either don't or are not provided an opportunity to serve within the structure.

(6) Begin discussing possible ideas for enhancing the level of diversity serving within the structure.

b. <u>Next Steps and Timeline</u>. After the Leadership Council further defines its direction and identifies its stated goals, other governance groups, including the Administration Cabinet, MOIC, CWA, FARA and Division I-A athletic directors and faculty athletic representatives will be asked to assist the Leadership Council in identifying ideas for enhancing the level of diversity in the structure. The 31 multisport conferences will also be provided an opportunity to participate in this dialogue, for their involvement is critical to the long-term success of this effort.

At its January 14 meeting, the Leadership Council will be asked to continue its work developing formal recommendations to help guide the nomination process. The governance structure and membership will be provided an additional limited window of opportunity to provide comments to the recommendations, with the plan to formalize the Leadership Council's recommendations by March 2010. Given this

timeline, the FBS conferences will have the benefit of the Leadership Council's suggested recommendations as those conferences seek to present a slate of nominees for the Board of Directors review and approval at its April meeting.

# # # #

DRAFT

Note regarding table information.

The tables include 2007-08 data. 2008-09 has just been received by the research staff and the staff plans to update the tables over the course of the fall.

The statistics provided by the NCAA in this report are derived from self-reported data supplied by member institutions. No specific checks were made to verify the information provided by the institutions. While this information provides the staff's best estimate of recent administrator and coach race and ethnicity, the data may not be absolutely precise.

As with any data collection effort, there were minor errors and omissions in the data collection process. Data were evaluated on a school by school basis and every effort was made to ensure that corrections were made. Therefore, because of the high response rate and the low error rate the reported percentages and frequencies can be considered good estimations of the actual administrators and coaches' gender and ethnicity data.

The gender, race and ethnicity for all coaching and administrative positions within the athletics department were reported for each school. Coaching staff includes both full- and part-time positions and volunteers. Individuals with multiple administrative or coaching duties are counted for each position. Therefore, the total percentages reported in the tables reflect the number of positions, not necessarily the total number of individuals.

Table 1: Racial/Ethnic Representation Among Division I Conferences

Conferences	White - Director of Athletics	Racial/Ethnic - Director of Athletics	White - Associate Director of Athletics	Racial/Ethnic - Associate Director of Athletics	White - Assistant Director of Athletics	Racial/Ethnic - Assistant Director of Athletics	White - Faculty Athletics Representative	Racial/Ethnic - Faculty Athletics Representative	White - Senior Woman Administrator	Racial/Ethnic - Senior Woman Administrator
America East Conference	8	1	23	2	33	2	9	0	8	1
Atlantic 10 Conference	14	0	43	5	31	7	12	2	12	2
Atlantic Coast Conference	11	1	92	13	67	9	12	0	10	2
Atlantic Sun Conference	7	0	11	1	13	0	7	0	7	0
Big 12 Conference	13	0	86	9	57	9	12	0	11	1
Big East Conference	14	2	91	9	61	10	15	1	14	2
Big Sky Conference	9	0	20	0	12	0	9	0	9	0
Big South Conference	9	0	17	0	21	1	8	1	9	0
Big Ten Conference	9	2	67	13	51	4	14	1	9	1
Big West Conference	9	0	23	3	21	8	7	2	6	3
Colonial Athletic Association	9	3	44	6	35	5	9	3	12	1
Conference USA	10	2	50	5	47	6	11	1	8	3
Horizon League	8	2	17	4	23	1	12	2	9	2
Ivy Group	8	0	45	2	24	3	7	0	8	0
Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference	10	0	18	0	19	2	9	1	9	0
Mid-American Conference	10	2	40	9	23	1	13	0	9	3
Mid-Eastern Athletic Conf.	1	10	3	12	5	21	1	10	0	11
Missouri Valley Conference	9	1	27	0	29	2	9	1	9	1
Mountain West Conference	9	0	47	6	19	4	7	2	7	2
Northeast Conference	7	4	18	1	27	4	11	0	11	0
Ohio Valley Conference	9	1	10	2	22	6	9	1	8	2
Pacific-10 Conference	8	2	56	7	45	12	7	2	10	0
Patriot League	6	2	33	7	26	0	8	0	6	2
Southeastern Conference	11	2	82	12	43	10	11	1	7	5
Southern Conference	12	0	23	3	27	3	11	1	10	2
Southland Conference	11	0	21	2	23	2	10	1	9	2
Southwestern Athletic Conf.	0	9	0	5	0	5	0	10	0	10
Sun Belt Conference	12	1	38	4	26	5	12	1	11	2
The Summit League	8	0	13	3	13	0	9	1	7	1
West Coast Conference	6	2	21	4	15	2	7	1	6	2
Western Athletic Conference	8	1	31	4	26	2	9	0	8	1
Grand Total	275	50	1110	153	884	146	287	46	259	64

Table 2: Gender Representation Among Division I Conferences

Conferences	Male - Director of Athletics	Female - Director of Athletics	Male - Associate Director of Athletics	Female - Associate Director of Athletics	Male - Assistant Director of Athletics	Female - Assistant Director of Athletics	Male - Faculty Athletics Representative	Female - Faculty Athletics Representative	Male - Senior Woman Administrator	Female - Senior Woman Administrator
America East Conference	8	1	15	10	20	15	8	1	0	9
Atlantic 10 Conference	13	1	37	11	27	11	10	4	0	14
Atlantic Coast Conference	11	1	79	26	60	16	10	2	0	12
Atlantic Sun Conference	7	0	7	5	8	5	5	2	0	7
Big 12 Conference	12	1	73	22	55	11	7	5	0	12
Big East Conference	15	1	70	30	53	18	14	2	0	16
Big Sky Conference	9	0	13	7	9	3	8	1	0	9
Big South Conference	8	1	11	6	18	4	5	4	0	9
Big Ten Conference	11	0	56	24	44	11	10	5	1	9
Big West Conference	8	1	14	12	19	10	5	4	0	9
Colonial Athletic Association	10	2	32	18	29	11	8	4	0	13
Conference USA	12	0	42	13	40	13	10	2	0	11
Horizon League	9	1	13	8	19	5	6	8	1	10
Ivy Group	6	2	29	18	16	11	6	1	0	8
Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference	10	0	9	9	15	6	7	3	0	9
Mid-American Conference	11	1	32	17	19	5	8	5	0	12
Mid-Eastern Athletic Conf.	10	1	10	5	17	9	8	3	0	11
Missouri Valley Conference	9	1	20	7	25	6	7	3	0	10
Mountain West Conference	9	0	42	11	19	4	5	4	0	9
Northeast Conference	8	3	10	9	22	9	10	1	0	11
Ohio Valley Conference	9	1	9	3	21	7	5	5	0	10
Pacific-10 Conference	8	2	50	13	41	16	8	1	1	9
Patriot League	8	0	31	9	17	9	6	2	0	8
Southeastern Conference	12	1	71	23	41	12	10	2	0	12
Southern Conference	12	0	18	8	20	10	10	2	1	11
Southland Conference	10	1	16	7	19	6	10	1	0	11
Southwestern Athletic Conf.	8	1	4	1	1	4	6	4	0	10
Sun Belt Conference	12	1	26	16	22	9	10	3	0	13
The Summit League	8	0	8	8	9	4	8	2	0	8
West Coast Conference	7	1	14	11	10	7	8	0	0	8
Western Athletic Conference	8	1	27	8	17	11	8	1	0	9
Grand Total	298	27	888	375	752	278	246	87	4	319

Table 3: Female and Racial/Ethnic Representation

Conferences	Female - Director of Athletics	Racial/Ethnic - Director of Athletics	Female - Associate Director of Athletics	Racial/Ethnic - Associate Director of Athletics	Female - Assistant Director of Athletics	Racial/Ethnic - Assistant Director of Athletics	Female - Faculty Athletics Representative	Racial/Ethnic - Faculty Athletics Representative	Racial/Ethnic - Senior Woman Administrator	Female - Senior Woman Administrator
America East Conference	1	1	10	2	15	2	1	0	1	9
Atlantic 10 Conference	1	0	11	5	11	7	4	2	2	14
Atlantic Coast Conference	1	1	26	13	16	9	2	0	2	12
Atlantic Sun Conference	0	0	5	1	5	0	2	0	0	7
Big 12 Conference	1	0	22	9	11	9	5	0	1	12
Big East Conference	1	2	30	9	18	10	2	1	2	16
Big Sky Conference	0	0	7	0	3	0	1	0	0	9
Big South Conference	1	0	6	0	4	1	4	1	0	9
Big Ten Conference	0	2	24	13	11	4	5	1	1	9
Big West Conference	1	0	12	3	10	8	4	2	3	9
Colonial Athletic Association	2	3	18	6	11	5	4	3	1	13
Conference USA	0	2	13	5	13	6	2	1	3	11
Horizon League	1	2	8	4	5	1	8	2	2	10
Ivy Group	2	0	18	2	11	3	1	0	0	8
Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference	0	0	9	0	6	2	3	1	0	9
Mid-American Conference	1	2	17	9	5	1	5	0	3	12
Mid-Eastern Athletic Conf.	1	10	5	12	9	21	3	10	11	11
Missouri Valley Conference	1	1	7	0	6	2	3	1	1	10
Mountain West Conference	0	0	11	6	4	4	4	2	2	9
Northeast Conference	3	4	9	1	9	4	1	0	0	11
Ohio Valley Conference	1	1	3	2	7	6	5	1	2	10
Pacific-10 Conference	2	2	13	7	16	12	1	2	0	9
Patriot League	0	2	9	7	9	0	2	0	2	8
Southeastern Conference	1	2	23	12	12	10	2	1	5	12
Southern Conference	0	0	8	3	10	3	2	1	2	11
Southland Conference	1	0	7	2	6	2	1	1	2	11
Southwestern Athletic Conf.	1	9	1	5	4	5	4	10	10	10
Sun Belt Conference	1	1	16	4	9	5	3	1	2	13
The Summit League	0	0	8	3	4	0	2	1	1	8
West Coast Conference	1	2	11	4	7	2	0	1	2	8
Western Athletic Conference	1	1	8	4	11	2	1	0	1	9
Grand Total	27	50	375	153	278	146	87	46	64	319

* These numbers are not meant to be added together. They represent available females or racial/ethnic minorities within conference by position.

Table 4: Racial/Ethnic Representation Among Division I Conference Offices

Conferences	White - Commissioner	Racial/Ethnic - Commissioner	White - Associate Commissioner	Racial/Ethnic - Associate Commissioner	White - Assistant Commissioner	Racial/Ethnic - Assistant Commissioner	White - Director	Racial/Ethnic - Director	White - President	Racial/Ethnic - President
America East Conference	1	0	3	0	1	0	2	0	0	0
Atlantic 10 Conference	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	0
Atlantic Coast Conference	1	0	8	1	1	0	3	1	1	0
Atlantic Sun Conference	1	0	2	0	1	0	2	0	1	0
Big 12 Conference	1	0	4	0	3	2	3	1	0	0
Big East Conference	1	0	8	0	2	0	6	1	1	0
Big Sky Conference	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
Big South Conference	1	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
Big Ten Conference	1	0	2	2	4	1	2	1	1	0
Big West Conference	1	0	2	0	2	0	0	2	1	0
Colonial Athletic Association	1	0	5	0	1	1	4	0	1	0
Conference USA	1	0	2	1	5	0	1	3	1	0
Horizon League	1	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Ivy Group	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference	1	0	4	0	1	0	4	0	1	0
Mid-American Conference	1	0	2	2	1	1	3	0	0	1
Mid-Eastern Athletic Conf.	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	1
Missouri Valley Conference	1	0	4	0	2	0	1	0	1	0
Mountain West Conference	1	0	5	1	0	0	1	0	1	0
Northeast Conference	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0
Ohio Valley Conference	1	0	0	1	4	0	2	0	0	1
Pacific-10 Conference	1	0	7	0	6	0	3	0	0	1
Patriot League	1	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	1	0
Southeastern Conference	1	0	5	0	3	1	3	1	1	0
Southern Conference	1	0	3	0	1	0	2	0	1	0
Southland Conference	1	0	4	1	1	0	0	0	1	0
Southwestern Athletic Conf.	0	1	0	1	0	3	1	2	0	1
Sun Belt Conference	1	0	4	0	0	2	1	1	1	0
The Summit League	1	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	1	0
West Coast Conference	1	0	1	1	0	0	2	1	1	0
Western Athletic Conference	1	0	2	0	1	1	3	1	0	0
Grand Total	28	2	87	12	44	15	54	23	20	7

Table 5: Gender Representation Among Division I Conference Offices

Conferences	Male - Commissioner	Female - Commissioner	Male - Associate Commissioner	Female - Associate Commissioner	Male - Assistant Commissioner	Female - Assistant Commissioner	Male - Director	Female - Director	Male - President	Female - President
America East Conference	1	0	2	1	0	1	2	0	0	0
Atlantic 10 Conference	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0
Atlantic Coast Conference	1	0	7	2	1	0	1	3	1	0
Atlantic Sun Conference	1	0	1	1	1	0	2	0	1	0
Big 12 Conference	1	0	3	1	3	2	3	1	0	0
Big East Conference	1	0	7	1	0	2	4	3	1	0
Big Sky Conference	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0
Big South Conference	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	0
Big Ten Conference	1	0	2	2	4	1	1	2	1	0
Big West Conference	1	0	1	1	1	1	2	0	1	0
Colonial Athletic Association	1	0	4	1	1	1	2	2	1	0
Conference USA	1	0	1	2	3	2	0	4	1	0
Horizon League	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	0
Ivy Group	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference	1	0	3	1	0	1	2	2	1	0
Mid-American Conference	1	0	3	1	1	1	1	2	1	0
Mid-Eastern Athletic Conf.	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	1	0
Missouri Valley Conference	1	0	3	1	1	1	1	0	1	0
Mountain West Conference	1	0	4	2	0	0	0	1	1	0
Northeast Conference	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0
Ohio Valley Conference	1	0	1	0	2	2	1	1	1	0
Pacific-10 Conference	1	0	5	2	2	4	1	2	1	0
Patriot League	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	0
Southeastern Conference	1	0	4	1	2	2	3	1	1	0
Southern Conference	1	0	2	1	1	0	1	1	1	0
Southland Conference	1	0	2	3	1	0	0	0	1	0
Southwestern Athletic Conf.	1	0	1	0	2	1	1	2	1	0
Sun Belt Conference	1	0	3	1	1	1	2	0	1	0
The Summit League	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	1	0
West Coast Conference	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	2	1	0
Western Athletic Conference	1	0	1	1	2	0	0	4	0	0
Grand Total	27	3	67	32	34	25	38	39	27	0

Table 6: Representation Among Division I Conferences Offices

Conferences	Racial/Ethnic - Commissioner	Female - Commissioner	Racial/Ethnic - Associate Commissioner	Female - Associate Commissioner	Racial/Ethnic - Assistant Commissioner	Female - Assistant Commissioner	Racial/Ethnic - Director	Female - Director	Racial/Ethnic - President	Female - President
America East Conference	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
Atlantic 10 Conference	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Atlantic Coast Conference	0	0	1	2	0	0	1	3	0	0
Atlantic Sun Conference	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Big 12 Conference	0	0	0	1	2	2	1	1	0	0
Big East Conference	0	0	0	1	0	2	1	3	0	0
Big Sky Conference	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0
Big South Conference	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Big Ten Conference	0	0	2	2	1	1	1	2	0	0
Big West Conference	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	0
Colonial Athletic Association	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	2	0	0
Conference USA	0	0	1	2	0	2	3	4	0	0
Horizon League	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Ivy Group	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	0
Mid-American Conference	0	0	2	1	1	1	0	2	1	0
Mid-Eastern Athletic Conf.	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	2	1	0
Missouri Valley Conference	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
Mountain West Conference	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	0
Northeast Conference	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0
Ohio Valley Conference	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	1	1	0
Pacific-10 Conference	0	0	0	2	0	4	0	2	1	0
Patriot League	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0
Southeastern Conference	0	0	0	1	1	2	1	1	0	0
Southern Conference	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
Southland Conference	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
Southwestern Athletic Conf.	1	0	1	0	3	1	2	2	1	0
Sun Belt Conference	0	0	0	1	2	1	1	0	0	0
The Summit League	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	0
West Coast Conference	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	2	0	0
Western Athletic Conference	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	4	0	0
Grand Total	2	3	12	32	15	25	23	39	7	0

Table 7: Current Representation on Division I Cabinets and Councils

BOD and PAG	Actual Members	Males	Percent Male	Females	Percent Female	Minorities	Percent Minorities
Division I Board of Directors	18	15	83%	3	17%	1	6%
Division I Presidential Advisory Group	20	18	90%	2	10%	1	5%

Councils and Cabinets	Actual Members	Males	Percent Male	Females	Percent Female	Minorities	Percent Minorities
Division I Leadership Council	31	24	77%	7	23%	5	16%
Division I Legislative Council	30	11	37%	19	63%	7	23%
Division I Academic Cabinet	22	8	36%	14	64%	3	14%
Division I Administration Cabinet	22	8	36%	14	64%	5	23%
Division I Amateurism Cabinet	22	13	59%	9	41%	7	32%
Division I Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet	22	11	50%	11	50%	5	23%
Division I Championships/Sports Management Cabinet	32	18	56%	14	44%	8	25%
Division I Recruiting Cabinet	22	13	59%	9	41%	4	18%

Committees	Actual Members	Males	Percent Male	Females	Percent Female	Minorities	Percent Minorities
Division I Committee on Academic Performance	15	12	80%	3	20%	3	20%
Division I Amateurism Fact-Finding	13	5	38%	8	62%	2	15%
Division I Committee on Athletics Certification	18	7	39%	11	61%	4	22%
Division I Committee on Infractions	7	5	71%	2	29%	1	14%
Division I Infractions Appeals	3	1	33%	2	67%	0	0%
Division I Initial-Eligibility Waivers	20	7	35%	13	65%	4	20%
Division I Legislative Review and Interpretations	8	6	75%	2	25%	1	13%
Division I Progress Toward Degree Waivers	7	4	57%	3	43%	0	0%
Division I Student-Athlete Advisory	30	13	43%	18	60%	3	10%
Division I Student-Athlete Reinstatement	5	3	60%	2	40%	1	20%

Table 8: Committee Representation Based on Membership Percentages

BOD and PAG	Females on the Committee	Percent Female	Meet Range Criteria in Membership: 8.3 - 29.7%	Minorities on the Committee	Percent Minorities	Meet Range Criteria in Membership: 12.1 - 15.3%
Division I Board of Directors	3	17%	Meets	1	6%	No
Division I Presidential Advisory Group	2	10%	Meets	1	5%	No

Councils and Cabinets	Females on the Committee	Percent Female	Meet Range Criteria in Membership: 8.3 - 29.7%	Minorities on the Committee	Percent Minorities	Meet Range Criteria in Membership: 12.1 - 15.3%
Division I Leadership Council	7	23%	Meets	5	16%	Meets
Division I Legislative Council	19	63%	Meets	7	23%	Meets
Division I Academic Cabinet	14	64%	Meets	3	14%	Meets
Division I Administration Cabinet	14	64%	Meets	5	23%	Meets
Division I Amateurism Cabinet	9	41%	Meets	7	32%	Meets
Division I Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet	11	50%	Meets	5	23%	Meets
Division I Championships/Sports Management Cabinet	14	44%	Meets	8	25%	Meets
Division I Recruiting Cabinet	9	41%	Meets	4	18%	Meets

Committees	Females on the Committee	Percent Female	Meet Range Criteria in Membership: 8.3 - 29.7%	Minorities on the Committee	Percent Minorities	Meet Range Criteria in Membership: 12.1 - 15.3%
Division I Committee on Academic Performance	3	20%	Meets	3	20%	Meets
Division I Amateurism Fact-Finding	8	62%	Meets	2	15%	Meets
Division I Committee on Athletics Certification	11	61%	Meets	4	22%	Meets
Division I Committee on Infractions	2	29%	Meets	1	14%	Meets
Division I Infractions Appeals	2	67%	Meets	0	0%	No
Division I Initial-Eligibility Waivers	13	65%	Meets	4	20%	Meets
Division I Legislative Review and Interpretations	2	25%	Meets	1	13%	Meets
Division I Progress Toward Degree Waivers	3	43%	Meets	0	0%	No
Division I Student-Athlete Advisory	18	60%	Meets	3	10%	No
Division I Student-Athlete Reinstatement	2	40%	Meets	1	20%	Meets

*Criteria ranges are based on the percentages of athletics directors, associate athletics directors, assistant athletics directors and faculty athletics representatives within our membership.

WHAT TO EXPECT WITH REGARD TO THE OVERRIDE REQUESTS OF PROPOSAL NOS. 2008-46 AND 2008-59.

1. Override requests on Proposal Nos. 2008-46 and 2008-59.

Division I membership have submitted override requests on two proposals that were adopted by the Legislative Council during the 2008-09 legislative cycle. Specifically, Division I received 56 override requests from the membership with regard to Proposal No. 2008-46 Playing and Practice Seasons -- Baseball -- Preseason Practice and First Contest -- Championship Segment. This proposal specifies that in baseball, an institution shall not begin practicing before the Friday that is three weeks prior to the first permissible contest date and shall not play its first contest prior to the Friday in February that is 14 weeks before the Friday immediately preceding Memorial Day. Simply put, it calls for a change from the current 13 week season to a 14 week season.

Division I has also received 63 override requests regarding Proposal No. 2008-59 Division Membership -- Definitions and Applications -- Emerging Sports for Women -- Sand Volleyball. This proposal adds sand volleyball as an emerging sport for women.

2. What do these override requests mean?

Upon receipt of at least 30 override requests from the membership, the Legislative Council or the Board of Directors shall review its previous legislative action.

3. July 30, 2009, Legislative conference call.

Since the Legislative Council was the governance body within the Division I structure that adopted both proposals, subject to acceptance by the Board of Directors, the Legislative Council was asked to review its previous legislative actions, which it did during a teleconference on July 30.

On that call, the Legislative Council determined to uphold its earlier decision to adopt Proposal Nos. 2008-46 and 2008-59.

4. August 6, 2009, Division I Board of Directors Meeting.

At its August meeting, the Board received a report of the determination of Legislative Council during its teleconference and the Board took no further action, accepting the Legislative Council's actions with regard to Proposal Nos. 2008-46 and 2008-59.

5. <u>2010 NCAA Convention</u>.

As a result of the Legislative Council and Board of Directors decisions to uphold the earlier adoption of Proposal Nos. 2008-46 and 2008-59, the override request(s) is now scheduled to be considered by the membership at the 2010 NCAA Convention.

During the Convention proceding, at least a five-eighths majority vote of active members present, including conference members in the governance structure, shall be required to override the previous legislative action. The vote will be based on the principle of one institution, one vote. The vote will be taken by roll call.

An override of the legislative action by vote of the membership shall be effective upon adjournment of the Division I Business Session where the vote would occur.

Division I Proposals

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent
2009-7	ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE PROGRAM -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- ACADEMIC PROGRESS RATE -- DEFINITION OF COHORT	NCAA Division I Board of Directors (Committee on Academic Performance)	Immediate	To revise the definition of the NCAA Division I Academic Progress Rate (APR) cohort, as specified.
2009-8	ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE PROGRAM -- PENALTIES AND REWARDS -- PENALTIES -- DETERMINATION OF PENALTIES	NCAA Division I Board of Directors (Committee on Academic Performance)	Immediate	To revise the NCAA Division I Academic Performance Program (APP) penalty structure, as specified.
2009-9	ETHICAL CONDUCT -- UNETHICAL CONDUCT -- KNOWINGLY INFLUENCING OTHERS TO FURNISH FALSE OR MISLEADING INFORMATION	NCAA Division I Board of Directors (Committee on Infractions)	Immediate	To specify that knowingly influencing others to furnish the NCAA or an individual?s institution false or misleading information concerning an individual's involvement in or knowledge of matters relevant to a possible violation of an NCAA regulation constitutes unethical conduct.
2009-10	PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- GRADUATE-ASSISTANT COACH -- EMPLOYMENT WITHIN SEVEN YEARS OF GRADUATION OR EXHAUSTING ELIGIBILITY -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL	Southeastern Conference	August 1, 2010; for new appointments of graduate assistant coaches on or after August 1, 2010	In bowl subdivision football, to specify that a graduate-assistant coach is any coach who has received a baccalaureate degree or has exhausted athletics eligibility (whichever occurs later) within the previous seven years and qualifies for appointment as a graduate assistant under the policies of the institution.
2009-11	PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- GRADUATE-	Big 12 Conference		In bowl subdivision football and women's rowing, to specify that a graduate-assistant coach

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent
	ASSISTANT COACH -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL AND WOMEN'S ROWING -- LESS THAN 50 PERCENT OF FULL-TIME ENROLLMENT DURING FINAL TERM			may be enrolled in less than 50 percent of the institution's minimum regular graduate program of studies during his or her final semester or quarter of the degree program, provided he or she is carrying (for credit) the courses necessary to complete the degree requirements.
2009-12	PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT-ASSISTANT COACH	NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet		To permit a student-athlete to serve as an undergraduate student-assistant coach at the institution at which the student-athlete most recently participated in intercollegiate athletics, provided the student-athlete is currently enrolled at the institution as a full-time undergraduate student and he or she renounces any remaining eligibility in the sport; further, to specify that the limit of undergraduate student-assistant coaches in each sport shall be the same as the limit of countable coaches permitted in the sport.
2009-13	PERSONNEL AND RECRUITING -- RECRUITING COORDINATION FUNCTIONS -- PERMISSIBLE CALLERS -- EXCEPTION -- VOLUNTEER COACH -- TRACK AND FIELD	Pacific-10 Conference		In track and field, to permit a volunteer coach to make telephone calls to and receive telephone calls from a prospective student-athlete, provided the coach has successfully completed the coaches' certification exam.
2009-14	PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- MANAGER	NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet		To establish criteria by which an individual may serve as a manager, as specified.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent
2009-15	PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON THE NUMBER AND DUTIES OF COACHES -- NONCOACHING ACTIVITIES -- NONCOACHING ATHLETICS STAFF MEMBER WITH SPORT-SPECIFIC RESPONSIBILITIES	NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet	Immediate	To permit noncoaching staff with sport-specific responsibilities to participate in organized activities involving only the coaching staff or administrative duties (e.g., attend meetings involving coaching activities, analyze video of the institution's or an opponent's team, track statistics during practice or competition).
2009-16	PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON THE NUMBER AND DUTIES OF COACHES -- CONTACT AND EVALUATION OF PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETES -- FALL EVALUATION PERIOD -- EXCEPTION -- FOOTBALL	Southeastern Conference		In football, to permit ten coaches to recruit off campus at any one time during the fall evaluation period during any week (Sunday through Saturday) in which no regular season competition is scheduled.
2009-17	PERSONNEL -- CERTIFICATION TO RECRUIT OFF CAMPUS -- CERTIFICATION ADMINISTRATION -- FACULTY ATHLETICS REPRESENTATIVE	Atlantic Sun Conference		To specify that an institution's faculty athletics representative shall administer the annual coaches' certification test; further, to specify that if the faculty athletics representative is unable to administer the test, he or she shall appoint an institutional staff member outside the athletics department to administer the test.
2009-18	PERSONNEL AND RECRUITING -- RECRUITING COORDINATION FUNCTIONS -- EXCEPTION -- NONCOACHING STAFF MEMBERS AND NONCOUNTABLE COACHES -- TELEPHONE CALLS IN CONJUNCTION WITH OFFICIAL VISIT	Big 12 Conference		To permit a noncoaching staff member or a noncountable coach who is providing ground transportation to a prospective student-athlete on an official visit from the nearest bus or train station or airport to initiate telephone calls to and receive telephone calls from the prospective student-athlete or those individuals

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent
	TRANSPORTATION			accompanying the prospective student-athlete at the time of the prospective student-athlete's arrival at the nearest bus or train station or airport; further; to specify that institutional staff members providing ground transportation from the nearest bus or train station or airport may make unlimited telephone calls to the prospective student-athlete or those individuals accompanying the prospective student-athlete from the time the prospective student-athlete initiates his or her official visit transportation until in-person contact is made with the staff member at the bus or train station or airport.
2009-19	PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON NUMBER OF COACHES AND OFF-CAMPUS RECRUITERS -- WOMEN'S SAND VOLLEYBALL	NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet		To specify that an institution that sponsors only women's sand volleyball shall have a limit of two coaches who may be employed and a limit of two coaches who may contact or evaluate prospective student-athletes off-campus at any one time; further, to specify that an institution that sponsors women's sand volleyball and women's volleyball shall have a limit of four coaches who may be employed and a limit of two coaches who may contact or evaluate prospective student-athletes off-campus at any one time.
2009-20	PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON THE NUMBER OF OFF-CAMPUS	Atlantic Coast Conference		In women's basketball, to specify that a coaching staff member who attends an athletics event on

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent
	RECRUITERS -- ON-CAMPUS EVENTS -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL			the institution's campus that involves women's basketball prospective student-athletes is considered an off-campus recruiter.
2009-21	PERSONNEL AND RECRUITING -- LIMITATIONS ON NUMBER OF COACHES AND OFF-CAMPUS RECRUITERS -- EVALUATION DAYS -- LOCAL SPORTS CLUBS -- WOMEN'S VOLLEYBALL	NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet		In women's volleyball, to specify that (a) a coaching staff member who is involved with a local sports club (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) is considered an off-campus recruiter when he or she is engaged in activities related to the club (e.g., coaching, consulting, administration); (b) during a dead period, a coaching staff member shall not coach a local sports club that includes prospective student-athletes in any activities at any location; (c) during a quiet period, a coaching staff member may coach a local sports club in practice activities only; and (d) coaching a local sports club in competition at any time and in practice activities during a quiet period shall count toward the institution's evaluation days.
2009-22	AMATEURISM AND ELIGIBILITY -- INVOLVEMENT WITH PROFESSIONAL TEAMS -- EXCEPTION -- PRIOR TO INITIAL FULL-TIME COLLEGIATE ENROLLMENT -- DELAYED ENROLLMENT -- SEASONS OF COMPETITION	NCAA Division I Amateurism Cabinet	August 1, 2010; applicable to student-athletes who initially enroll full time in a collegiate institution on or after August 1,	To specify that prior to initial full-time collegiate enrollment, an individual may enter into an agreement to compete on a professional team and compete on a professional team, provided the agreement does not allow for receipt of more than actual and necessary expenses to participate on the team; further, in sports other than tennis, swimming and diving and women's volleyball, to

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent
			2010	specify that a student-athlete who does not initially enroll full-time in a collegiate institution within one year (six months for tennis) or the next opportunity to enroll following the high school graduation date of the prospective student-athlete's class and participates in organized events after the specified time period shall be charged with a season of intercollegiate competition for each year of participation and shall fulfill an academic year in residence (one year for each year of competition in tennis) on matriculation at the certifying institution before being eligible to represent the institution in intercollegiate competition.
2009-23	AMATEURISM AND AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- EXCEPTIONS TO AMATEURISM RULE -- BENEFITS, GIFTS AND SERVICES -- INSURANCE AGAINST DISABLING-INJURY OR ILLNESS	NCAA Division I Amateurism Cabinet	Immediate	To specify that an institution's president or chancellor may designate an institutional staff member (or staff members) to assist a student-athlete with arrangements for securing a loan against future earnings potential for the purpose of purchasing insurance against a disabling injury or illness and to assist with arrangements for securing such insurance.
2009-24	AMATEURISM -- INVOLVEMENT WITH PROFESSIONAL TEAMS -- TRYOUTS -- MEN'S ICE HOCKEY	NCAA Division I Amateurism Cabinet	Immediate	In men's ice hockey, to specify that a prospective or enrolled student-athlete may accept actual and necessary expenses from the National Hockey League (NHL) or a professional sports organization that is a member of the NHL to

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent
				attend the NHL scouting combine, regardless of the duration of the combine.
2009-25	AMATEURISM -- PROMOTIONAL ACTIVITIES -- INSTITUTIONAL, CHARITABLE, EDUCATION OR NONPROFIT PROMOTIONS -- RELEASE STATEMENT -- DE MINIMIS VIOLATION	NCAA Division I Legislative Council (Administrative Committee) (Committee on Student-Athlete Reinstatement)	Immediate	To specify that a violation of the promotional activities legislation in which the only condition of the legislation not satisfied is the requirement that the student-athlete and an authorized representative of the charitable, educational or nonprofit agency sign a release statement shall not affect the student-athlete's eligibility, provided the release statement would have been signed if such a request had been made.
2009-26	RECRUITING -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- DEAD PERIOD -- EXCEPTION -- UNOFFICIAL VISIT AFTER NATIONAL LETTER OF INTENT SIGNING OR OTHER WRITTEN COMMITMENT	Pacific-10 Conference		To specify that a prospective student-athlete who has signed a National Letter of Intent (NLI), is permitted to make an unofficial visit during a dead period to the institution with which he or she has signed the NLI; further, to specify that for an institution not using the NLI in a particular sport, or for a prospective student-athlete who is not eligible to sign the NLI (e.g., four-year college transfer), a prospective student-athlete is permitted to make an unofficial visit during a dead period, provided he or she has signed the institution's financial aid agreement or a written offer of admission or the institution has received a financial deposit from the prospective student-athlete in response to an institution's offer of admission.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent
2009-27	RECRUITING -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- EVALUATION DAYS -- SPRING EVALUATION PERIOD -- 168 DAYS -- FOOTBALL	Southeastern Conference		In football, to specify that the spring evaluation period shall consist of 168 evaluation days (excluding Memorial Day and Sundays) from April 15 through May 31, selected at the discretion of the institution and designated in writing in the office of the director of athletics.
2009-28	RECRUITING -- CONTACTS AND EVALUATIONS -- TELEPHONE CALLS -- OFFICIAL AND UNOFFICIAL VISITS -- OFFERS OF FINANCIAL AID -- TRYOUTS -- WOMEN'S SOCCER	Southeastern Conference		In women's soccer, to revise or establish restrictions related to contacts, telephone calls official and unofficial visits, offers of financial aid and involvement in nonscholastic-based soccer programs, as specified.
2009-29	RECRUITING AND ELIGIBILITY -- FOUR-YEAR PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETES -- PERMISSION TO CONTACT AND TRANSFER RELEASE -- RESPONSE TO REQUEST AND HEARING OPPORTUNITY	Big South Conference		To specify that an institution shall grant or deny a request to permit another institution to contact a student-athlete about transferring or a request for release as a condition of the one-time transfer exception within seven calendar days of receipt of the request; further, to specify that if the request is denied, the institution shall conduct a hearing within fourteen calendar days of receipt of the student-athlete's written request and that the student-athlete shall be provided the opportunity to appear in-person or via telephone and actively participate in the hearing.
2009-30	RECRUITING -- PERMISSIBLE RECRUITERS -- NONCOACHING STAFF MEMBERS WITH SPORT-SPECIFIC RESPONSIBILITIES -- MEN'S	NCAA Division I Championships/Sports Management Cabinet (Men's Basketball	Immediate	In men's basketball, to specify that a noncoaching staff member with sport-specific responsibilities shall not attend an off-campus athletics event involving prospective student-

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent
	BASKETBALL	Issues Committee)		athletes (e.g., high-school contest, sports camp) unless the staff member is an immediate family member or legal guardian of one of the participants in the activity; further, to establish conditions by which a staff member who is an immediate family member or legal guardian of a participant may attend such an event, as specified.
2009-31	RECRUITING -- CONTACTS AND EVALUATIONS -- HEAD COACH RESTRICTIONS -- ASSISTANT COACH PUBLICLY DESIGNATED AS NEXT HEAD COACH -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL	Big East Conference	Immediate	In bowl subdivision football, to specify that an institution's assistant coach who has been publicly designated by the institution to become the next head coach shall be subject to the recruiting restrictions applicable to the institution's head coach.
2009-32	RECRUITING -- TELEPHONE CALLS -- TIME PERIOD FOR TELEPHONE CALLS -- EXCEPTION -- UNLIMITED DURING CONTACT PERIOD	Pacific-10 Conference		To specify that during a contact period, telephone calls may be made at the institution's discretion.
2009-33	RECRUITING -- TELEPHONE CALLS -- EXCEPTION -- UNLIMITED AFTER RECEIPT OF ROOM OR TUITION DEPOSIT	Big West Conference	Immediate	To specify that on or after May 1 of a prospective student-athlete's senior year in high school, there shall be no limit on the number of telephone calls by an institution to the prospective student-athlete, provided the institution has received his or her room or tuition deposit in response to the institution's offer of admission.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent
2009-34	RECRUITING -- TELEPHONE CALLS -- EXCEPTION -- DAY OF UNOFFICIAL VISIT	Big 12 Conference	Immediate	To specify that institutional coaching staff members may return telephone calls from a prospective student-athlete (or his or her parents or legal guardians) on the day or days of an unofficial visit without such telephone calls being subject to the regulations regarding timing or number of telephone calls.
2009-35	RECRUITING -- CONTACTS AND EVALUATIONS -- VISIT TO PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETE'S EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTION -- VISITS DURING EVALUATION PERIOD -- FOOTBALL	Big East Conference	Immediate	In football, to specify that not more than two coaches per institution may visit a prospective student-athlete's educational institution on any one calendar day during an evaluation period.
2009-36	RECRUITING -- EVALUATIONS -- NONSCHOLASTIC EVALUATIONS DURING ACADEMIC YEAR -- NATIONAL STANDARDIZED TESTING WEEKENDS -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL	NCAA Division I Championships/Sports Management Cabinet (Women's Basketball Issues Committee)		In women's basketball, to specify that evaluations at nonscholastic events during the academic year evaluation period shall not occur on any weekend (including Friday, Saturday and Sunday) during which the PSAT, SAT, PLAN or ACT national standardized tests are administered.
2009-37	RECRUITING -- EVALUATIONS -- SUMMER EVALUATION PERIOD -- NONINSTITUTIONAL NONORGANIZED EVENTS -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL	NCAA Division I Championships/Sports Management Cabinet (Women's Basketball Issues Committee)	Immediate	In women's basketball, to prohibit evaluations at noninstitutional nonorganized events (e.g., pick-up games, open gyms) during the summer evaluation period.
2009-38	RECRUITING -- EVALUATIONS --	NCAA Division I		In championship subdivision football, to specify

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent
	SCHOLASTIC AND NONSCHOLASTIC ACTIVITIES -- CHAMPIONSHIP SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL	Championships/Sports Management Cabinet (Football Issues Committee)		that live athletics evaluations may be conducted at scholastic or nonscholastic athletics activities, provided there is no institutional involvement in arranging or directing such activities.
2009-39	RECRUITING -- LIMITATIONS ON NUMBER OF EVALUATIONS -- EVALUATION DAYS -- WOMEN'S SAND VOLLEYBALL	NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet		To specify that an institution that sponsors only women's sand volleyball or sponsors both women's volleyball and women's sand volleyball is limited to 100 evaluation days (measured August 1 through July 31); further, to specify that if an institution sponsors both women's volleyball and women's sand volleyball, a coach's involvement outside a volleyball contact or evaluation period with a local sports club (volleyball or sand volleyball) per Bylaw 13.11.2.3 shall count toward the limit.
2009-40	RECRUITING -- PRINTED RECRUITING MATERIALS -- GENERAL CORRESPONDENCE -- INSTITUTIONAL LETTERHEAD	NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet		To establish additional provisions governing general correspondence that an institution may send to prospective student-athletes, their parents or legal guardians, their coaches or any other individual responsible for teaching or directing an activity in which a prospective student-athlete is involved, as specified.
2009-41	RECRUITING -- RECRUITING MATERIALS -- ATHLETICS PUBLICATIONS -- NO PRINTED MEDIA GUIDES OR RECRUITING BROCHURES	Pacific-10 Conference	Immediate	To specify that an institution shall not produce (or arrange for or authorize a third party to produce) a media guide, recruiting brochure or any other athletics publication printed in a hard copy format other than a game program; further,

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent
				to specify that an institution may post a media guide or recruiting brochure on its Web site, but may not print such items and provide them to a prospective student-athlete (or his or her parents or legal guardians).
2009-42	RECRUITING -- RECRUITING MATERIALS -- ATHLETICS PUBLICATIONS -- NO PRINTED PUBLICATIONS TO PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETES	Southeastern Conference		To specify that an institution shall not provide a printed media guide or any other printed athletics publication not listed in Bylaw 13.4.1.1 to a prospective student-athlete, his or her parents or legal guardians, the prospective student-athlete's educational institution or any individual involved in the coaching of a prospective student-athlete.
2009-43	RECRUITING -- RECRUITING MATERIALS -- ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSIONS -- EXCEPTION -- AFTER RECEIPT OF FINANCIAL DEPOSIT	Pacific-10 Conference		To specify that on or after May 1 of a prospective student-athlete's senior year in high school, there shall be no limit on the forms of electronically transmitted correspondence that may be sent by an institution to the prospective student-athlete, provided the institution has received a financial deposit in response to the institution's offer of admission.
2009-44	RECRUITING -- RECRUITING MATERIALS -- VIDEO/AUDIO MATERIALS AND COMPUTER GENERATED RECRUITING PRESENTATIONS	NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet	Immediate	To specify that an institution may produce video or audio material to show to, play for or provide to a prospective student-athlete, provided such material includes only general information related to an institution or its athletics programs and is not created for recruiting purposes; further, to specify that a computer generated

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent
				recruiting presentation may include general informational video/audio material that relates to an institution or its athletics programs and is not created for recruiting purposes.
2009-45	RECRUITING -- OFFICIAL VISIT -- FIRST OPPORTUNITY TO VISIT -- JUNE IMMEDIATELY PRIOR TO SENIOR YEAR -- FOOTBALL	Big Ten Conference	Immediate	In football, to specify that a prospective student-athlete may be provided an expense-paid visit during the month of June immediately preceding the prospective student-athlete's senior year in high school.
2009-46	RECRUITING -- OFFICIAL VISIT -- NUMBER OF OFFICIAL VISITS -- INSTITUTIONAL LIMITATION -- DE MINIMIS VIOLATION	NCAA Division I Legislative Council (Committee on Student-Athlete Reinstatement)	NCAA Division I Legislative Council (Administrative Committee) (Committee on Student-Athlete Reinstatement)	To specify that a violation of the institutional limitation on the number of official visits shall be considered an institutional violation, but shall not affect the prospective student-athlete's eligibility.
2009-47	RECRUITING -- LETTER OF INTENT PROGRAMS, FINANCIAL AID AGREEMENTS -- WRITTEN OFFER OF AID BEFORE SIGNING DATE -- FOOTBALL	Big East Conference		In football, to specify that prior to August 1 of a prospective student-athlete's senior year in high school, an institution shall not provide a written offer of athletically related financial aid or indicate in writing to the prospective student-athlete that an athletically related grant-in-aid will be offered by the institution.
2009-48	RECRUITING AND FINANCIAL AID -- LETTER-OF-INTENT PROGRAMS,	Southeastern Conference		In bowl subdivision football, to specify that there shall be an annual limit of 28 on the number of

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent
	FINANCIAL AID AGREEMENTS -- LETTER OF INTENT RESTRICTION -- LIMITATION ON NUMBER OF SIGNINGS -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL			prospective student-athletes who may sign a National Letter of Intent or an institutional offer of financial aid from the initial signing date of the National Letter of Intent through May 31.
2009-49	RECRUITING -- TRYOUTS -- COMPETITION AGAINST PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETES -- FOOTBALL	Southeastern Conference		In football, to prohibit an institution's varsity and subvarsity intercollegiate teams from competing against any team that includes prospective student-athletes.
2009-50	RECRUITING AND ADMINISTRATIVE REGULATIONS -- LOCAL SPORTS CLUBS -- ADDITIONAL RESTRICTIONS -- QUIET PERIODS -- WOMEN'S SOCCER	Big 12 Conference	Immediate	In women's soccer, to specify that during a dead or quiet period, institutional coaching staff members may not coach a local sports team at an off-campus competition where prospective student-athletes are present; further, to establish quiet periods in women's soccer, as specified.
2009-51	RECRUITING -- CAMPS AND CLINICS -- INSTITUTION'S SPORTS CAMPS AND CLINICS -- LOCATION -- MEN'S BASKETBALL	NCAA Division I Championships/Sports Management Cabinet (Men's Basketball Issues Committee)	Immediate; a contract signed before September 17, 2008, may be honored	In men's basketball, to specify that an institution's camp or clinic shall be conducted on the institution's campus or within a 100-mile radius of the institution's campus.
2009-52	RECRUITING -- CAMPS AND CLINICS -- CONDUCTED DURING JUNE, JULY AND AUGUST -- EMPLOYMENT IN NONINSTITUTIONAL, PRIVATELY OWNED CAMPS AND CLINICS AT ANY LOCATION -- CHAMPIONSHIP	NCAA Division I Championships/Sports Management Cabinet (Football Issues Committee)	Immediate	In championship subdivision football, to specify that an institution's camp or clinic may be conducted only during the months of June, July and August or any calendar week (Sunday through Saturday) that includes days of those months (e.g., May 28-June 3); further, to specify

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent
	SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL			that an institution's coach or noncoaching staff member with responsibilities specific to football may be employed in any capacity in a noninstitutional, privately owned camp or clinic at any location and only during the months of June, July and August or any calendar week (Sunday through Saturday) that includes days of those months (e.g., May 28-June 3).
2009-53	RECRUITING -- CAMPS AND CLINICS -- CONDUCTED DURING JUNE, JULY AND AUGUST -- FOOTBALL	Southern Conference	Immediate	In football, to specify that an institution's camp or clinic may be conducted only during the months of June, July and August or any calendar week (Sunday through Saturday) that includes days of those months.
2009-54	RECRUITING -- CAMPS AND CLINICS -- EMPLOYMENT IN NONINSTITUTIONAL, PRIVATELY OWNED CAMPS OR CLINICS AT ANY LOCATION -- FOOTBALL	Ivy Group	Immediate	In football, to specify that an institution's coach or noncoaching staff member with responsibilities specific to football may be employed in a noninstitutional, privately owned camp or clinic at any location during the two periods of 15 consecutive days in the months of June and July as declared by the institution.
2009-55	RECRUITING -- CAMPS AND CLINICS -- EMPLOYMENT OF COACH -- QUIET PERIOD -- WOMEN'S VOLLEYBALL	NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet		In women's volleyball, to specify that it is not permissible for a coach to be employed (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) at an institutional or noninstitutional, privately owned camp or clinic conducted off the institution's campus during a quiet period.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent
2009-56	RECRUITING -- USE OF RECRUITING FUNDS -- RECRUITING OR SCOUTING SERVICES -- CRITERIA FOR SUBSCRIPTION	NCAA Division I Championships/Sports Management Cabinet (Men's Basketball Issues Committee)	Immediate	To establish additional criteria that must be satisfied in order for an institution to subscribe to a recruiting or scouting service involving prospective student-athletes, as specified.
2009-57	ADMINISTRATIVE REGULATIONS -- RECRUITING CALENDARS -- FOOTBALL -- QUIET PERIODS IN JANUARY	Patriot League	Immediate	In football, to revise the recruiting calendar to eliminate the quiet periods in January, as specified.
2009-58	ADMINISTRATIVE REGULATIONS -- RECRUITING CALENDARS -- MEN'S SOCCER	Big West Conference		In men's soccer, to establish a recruiting calendar, as specified.
2009-59	ADMINISTRATIVE REGULATIONS -- RECRUITING CALENDARS -- WOMEN'S VOLLEYBALL -- EVALUATION PERIOD IN CONJUNCTION WITH CHAMPIONSHIP	NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet	Immediate	In women's volleyball, to designate Thursday of the Division I Women's Volleyball Championship through the Sunday immediately following the championship as an evaluation period; further, to specify that an institution's authorized coaching staff members may evaluate on only one day and may attend only one event on that day during this period, that the event shall occur within a 30-mile radius of the site of the championship and that coaches from the same institution who attend such an event shall attend the same event on the same day.
2009-60	ELIGIBILITY -- ACADEMIC WAIVERS -- AUTHORITY OF INITIAL-ELIGIBILITY	NCAA Division I Academics Cabinet	Immediate	To specify that the NCAA Division I Initial-Eligibility Waivers Committee shall be the final

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent
	WAIVERS COMMITTEE AND PROGRESS-TOWARD-DEGREE WAIVERS COMMITTEE			appellate body for initial-eligibility waivers and that the NCAA Division I Progress-Toward-Degree Waivers Committee shall be the final appellate body for progress-toward-degree waivers.
2009-61	ELIGIBILITY -- FULL TIME ENROLLMENT -- REQUIREMENT FOR PRACTICE -- WAIVER -- U.S. OLYMPIC COMMITTEE/NATIONAL GOVERNING BODY -- FORMER STUDENT ATHLETE AT ANOTHER INSTITUTION -- INDIVIDUAL SPORTS AND WOMEN'S ROWING	NCAA Division I Championships/Sports Management Cabinet (Olympic Sports Liaison Committee)		In individual sports and women's rowing, in a case in which the U.S. Olympic Committee or national governing body in the sport has recommended the individual's participation, to permit a former student-athlete who has graduated and has no eligibility remaining to participate in organized practice sessions at an institution other than the one he or she previously attended.
2009-62	ELIGIBILITY -- FULL-TIME ENROLLMENT -- REQUIREMENT FOR PRACTICE -- WAIVER -- U.S. OLYMPIC COMMITTEE/NATIONAL GOVERNING BODY -- FORMER STUDENT-ATHLETE -- DURATION OF WAIVER -- INDIVIDUAL SPORTS AND WOMEN'S ROWING	NCAA Division I Championships/Sports Management Cabinet (Olympic Sports Liaison Committee)		In individual sports and women's rowing, in a case in which the U.S. Olympic Committee or national governing body (NGB) in the sport has recommended the individual's participation, to specify that a former student-athlete's participation in organized practice sessions shall be limited to the number of years that allows the individual to practice with the institution's team in preparation for two consecutive Olympic Games following exhaustion of eligibility or completion of degree, whichever is earlier.
2009-63	ELIGIBILITY -- SEASONS OF COMPETITION -- CRITERIA FOR	Big East Conference		To specify that a student-athlete may engage in outside competition in either one alumni game,

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent
	DETERMINING SEASON OF COMPETITION -- ALUMNI GAME, FUNDRAISING ACTIVITY OR CELEBRITY SPORTS ACTIVITY			one fundraising activity or one celebrity sports activity during a season without counting such competition as a season of competition, provided the event is exempted from the institution's maximum number of contests or dates of competition as permitted in the particular sport per NCAA Bylaw 17.
2009-64	ELIGIBILITY -- FRESHMAN ACADEMIC REQUIREMENTS -- CORE-CURRICULUM REQUIREMENTS -- NONTRADITIONAL COURSES	NCAA Division I Academics Cabinet	August 1, 2010; for student-athletes initially enrolling full time in a collegiate institution on or after August 1, 2010	To specify that for purposes of using a nontraditional course to satisfy NCAA core-course requirements, the course must be one in which the instructor and the student have ongoing access to one another and regular interaction with one another for purposes of teaching, evaluating and providing assistance to the student throughout the duration of the course; the student's work (e.g., exams, papers, assignments) is available for review and validation; and a defined time period for completion of the course is included.
2009-65	ELIGIBILITY -- TRANSFER REGULATIONS -- FOUR-YEAR COLLEGE TRANSFERS -- ONE-TIME TRANSFER EXCEPTION -- WOMEN'S VOLLEYBALL	Mountain West Conference		To specify that the one-time transfer exception to the four-year transfer residence requirement is not applicable to student-athletes in women's volleyball.
2009-66	ELIGIBILITY -- TRANSFER REGULATIONS -- FOUR-YEAR COLLEGE TRANSFERS -- COMPETITION	Southeastern Conference		In tennis, to specify that a transfer student from a four-year institution who enrolls at the certifying institution as a full-time student after the

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent
	OR RECEIPT OF ATHLETICALLY RELATED FINANCIAL AID IN YEAR OF TRANSFER -- TENNIS			conclusion of the first term of the academic year and qualifies for an exception to the one-year residence requirement shall not be eligible for competition until the following academic year if he or she has competed during the same academic year or received athletically related financial aid during the same academic year from the previous four-year institution.
2009-67	FINANCIAL AID -- TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF AWARDING INSTITUTIONAL FINANCIAL AID -- ONE-YEAR PERIOD -- DE MINIMIS VIOLATION	NCAA Division I Legislative Council (Committee on Student-Athlete Reinstatement)	Immediate	To specify that a violation of the financial aid regulations in which financial aid is awarded for less than one academic year shall be considered an institutional violation, but shall not affect the student-athlete's eligibility.
2009-68	FINANCIAL AID -- MIDYEAR REPLACEMENT -- GRADUATION DURING PREVIOUS YEAR -- WOMEN'S VOLLEYBALL	Atlantic Coast Conference		In women's volleyball, to specify that the financial aid of a counter who graduates at midyear or who graduates during the previous academic year (including summer) may be provided to another student-athlete without making the second student-athlete a counter for the remainder of that academic year.
2009-69	FINANCIAL AID -- MAXIMUM INSTITUTIONAL GRANT-IN-AID LIMITATIONS BY SPORT -- EQUIVALENCY COMPUTATIONS -- EXCEPTIONS -- ACADEMIC HONOR AWARDS -- TRANSFER STUDENTS	Mountain West Conference		To specify that institutional academic honor awards that are part of an institution's normal arrangements for academic scholarships, based solely on the recipient's cumulative academic record from all collegiate institutions previously attended and awarded independently of athletics interests and in amounts consistent with the

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent
				pattern of all such awards made by the institution, may be exempted from a team's equivalency computation, provided the recipient achieved a cumulative transferable grade-point average of at least 3.300 (based on a maximum of 4.000).
2009-70	FINANCIAL AID -- MAXIMUM INSTITUTIONAL GRANT-IN-AID LIMITATIONS BY SPORT -- WOMEN'S SAND VOLLEYBALL	NCAA Division I Awards, Benefits and Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet		In sand volleyball, to establish the maximum equivalency and counter limitations, as specified.
2009-71	AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES FOR ENROLLED STUDENT-ATHLETES -- HOUSING AND MEALS -- PERMISSIBLE PRESEASON PRACTICE EXPENSES -- DE MINIMIS VIOLATION	NCAA Division I Legislative Council (Committee on Student-Athlete Reinstatement)	Immediate	To specify that an inadvertent violation of the preseason practice expenses legislation shall be considered an institutional violation, but shall not affect the student-athlete's eligibility.
2009-72	AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- EXPENSES FOR STUDENT-ATHLETE'S FRIENDS AND RELATIVES -- REASONABLE REFRESHMENTS	Big 12 Conference		To permit an institution to provide reasonable refreshments to the immediate family of a student-athlete for educational meetings or, on an occasional basis, for celebratory events.
2009-73	AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- EXPENSES PROVIDED BY THE INSTITUTION FOR PRACTICE AND COMPETITION -- NONPERMISSIBLE -- LODGING IN CONJUNCTION WITH A REGULAR-SEASON HOME CONTEST	Pacific-10 Conference	Immediate	To specify that an institution shall not provide lodging (e.g., hotel, motel, resort) to student-athletes in conjunction with a regular-season home contest, unless the competition site is located more than 25 miles from its campus.
2009-74	AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES --	Horizon League		To permit a representative of the institution's

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent
	BENEFITS, GIFTS AND SERVICES -- OCCASIONAL MEALS -- LOCATION OF MEAL PROVIDED BY REPRESENTATIVE OF ATHLETICS INTERESTS			athletics interests to provide an occasional meal to a student-athlete or the entire team in a sport on campus or in a facility that is regularly used for home competition.
2009-75	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS AND RECRUITING -- MANDATORY MEDICAL EXAMINATION -- SICKLE CELL SOLUBILITY TEST	Conference USA and Southwestern Athletic Conference		To specify that the required medical examination or evaluation that student-athletes who are beginning their initial season of eligibility and students who are trying out for a team must undergo prior to participation in voluntary summer conditioning or voluntary individual workouts pursuant to the safety exception, practice, competition or out-of-season conditioning activities shall include a sickle cell solubility test (SST).
2009-76	PLAYING AND PRACTICES SEASONS -- TIME LIMITS FOR ATHLETICALLY RELATED ACTIVITIES -- ADDITIONAL RESTRICTIONS -- COUNTABLE ATHLETICALLY RELATED ACTIVITIES BETWEEN MIDNIGHT AND 5 A.M.	Southeastern Conference	Immediate	To specify that countable athletically related activities shall not occur between midnight and 5 a.m., except for during participation in a conference championship or an NCAA championship, in any competition that begins prior to midnight and concludes after midnight, or a promotional practice activity (e.g., first practice of the season).
2009-77	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL -- PRESEASON PRACTICE -- ON-COURT PRACTICE -- 30 PRACTICES WITHIN 40 DAYS PRIOR TO FIRST CONTEST	Southeastern Conference and Big East Conference		In women's basketball, to specify that an institution shall not commence on-court preseason basketball practice sessions prior to 5 p.m. on the date that is 40 days prior to the date of the institution's first regular-season contest;

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent
				further, to specify that an institution shall not engage in more than 30 days of practice prior to its first regular-season contest.
2009-78	PLAYING AND PRACTICES SEASONS -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL -- NUMBER OF CONTESTS -- MAXIMUM LIMITATIONS	Atlantic Coast Conference		In women's basketball, to specify that an institution shall limit its total regular-season playing schedule with outside competition to 26 contests and one qualifying regular-season multiple team event or 28 contests during a playing season in which the institution does not participate in a qualifying regular-season multiple team event.
2009-79	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- NONCHAMPIONSHIP SEGMENT -- TRAVEL RESTRICTIONS -- CROSS COUNTRY, FIELD HOCKEY, SOCCER, SOFTBALL AND VOLLEYBALL	Southeastern Conference		In cross country (for institutions without indoor or outdoor track and field), field hockey, soccer, softball and volleyball, to specify that team travel to competition in the nonchampionship segment shall be restricted to ground transportation.
2009-80	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- FOOTBALL -- FIRST CONTEST -- 14 WEEKS PRIOR TO DECEMBER 1	Conference USA	Immediate	In football, to specify that an institution shall not play its first contest (game or scrimmage) with outside competition prior to the Thursday preceding the 14th Saturday prior to December 1.
2009-81	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- FOOTBALL -- OUT-OF-SEASON ATHLETICALLY RELATED ACTIVITIES -- SUMMER CONDITIONING PERIOD	Mountain West Conference	Immediate	In football, to permit an institution to designate nine consecutive weeks between the conclusion of the academic year and the first permissible reporting date for preseason practice as its

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent
				summer conditioning period.
2009-82	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- LIMIT ON NUMBER OF STUDENT-ATHLETES ON AN OUTSIDE TEAM -- VACATION PERIOD EXCEPTION AND OUTSIDE TEAM TOURS -- WOMEN'S ROWING	Pacific-10 Conference	Immediate	In women's rowing, to specify that the number of student-athletes from any one institution who may compete outside of the institution's declared playing and practice season as a member of an outside team in any noncollegiate, amateur competition during any official academic year vacation period published in the institution's catalog and who may participate in international competition as a member of an outside team on a foreign tour shall not exceed two.
2009-83	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS AND DIVISION MEMBERSHIP -- REGULATIONS FOR PLAYING SEASON AND MINIMUM CONTEST REQUIREMENTS FOR SPORTS SPONSORSHIP -- WOMEN'S SAND VOLLEYBALL	Sun Belt Conference		In women's sand volleyball, to establish the playing and practice season and the minimum number of contests necessary for sports sponsorship purposes, as specified.
2009-84	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- GENERAL PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASON REGULATIONS -- PRESEASON PRACTICE -- WOMEN'S SOCCER	Southeastern Conference		In women's soccer, to increase the preseason practice units from 21 to 29; further, to establish criteria governing the activities permitted during the preseason practice period, as specified.
2009-85	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- SOFTBALL -- PRESEASON PRACTICE AND FIRST CONTEST DATE -- NONCHAMPIONSHIP SEGMENT	Big Ten Conference		In softball, to specify that an institution that has not begun classes by September 15 may commence preseason practice sessions on or after that date and may play its first contest

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent
				(game or scrimmage) against outside competition on or after that date.
2009-86	ATHLETICS CERTIFICATION -- MANDATORY SELF-STUDY AND EXTERNAL PEER REVIEW -- ACADEMIC INTEGRITY -- ACADEMIC STANDARDS -- RETENTION	NCAA Division I Legislative Council (Committee on Athletics Certification)	Immediate	To specify that if the retention of any student-athlete subgroup is lower than that of all student-athletes, the disparity shall be analyzed, explained and, if necessary, addressed through specific plans for improvement by appropriate institutional authorities.
2009-87	ADMINISTRATIVE REGULATIONS -- FOREIGN TOURS AND COMPETITION -- NO INSTITUTIONAL OR CONFERENCE FOREIGN TOURS	Pacific-10 Conference	Immediate; however, a contract signed before August 14, 2009 may be honored.	To specify that an institution or conference shall not sponsor or participate in a foreign tour.
2009-88	ADMINISTRATIVE REGULATIONS -- FOREIGN TOURS AND COMPETITION -- ELIGIBILITY OF STUDENT-ATHLETES -- INCOMING-STUDENT PARTICIPATION -- BASKETBALL	Atlantic 10 Conference		In basketball, to permit an incoming student-athlete (freshman or transfer) to represent the institution on a foreign tour that occurs during the summer prior to his or her initial full-time enrollment at the certifying institution, provided: (a) he or she has earned at least three hours of acceptable degree credit during the summer term at the certifying institution; and (b) he or she is eligible to represent the institution in intercollegiate competition during the academic year immediately following the tour; further, to specify that a basketball student-athlete shall not

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent
				participate in more than one institutional foreign tour.

MEMORANDUM

May 4, 2009

TO: Members of the Division I Communications and Coordination Committee.

FROM: Beth DeBauche, staff liaison.

SUBJECT: Bylaw and Practices Review.

1. <u>Charge</u>.

Given the current economic conditions that are placing stress on institutional and athletics budgets at many NCAA member institutions, the Division I Board of Directors at its April 30, 2009, meeting, requested that the Division I councils and cabinets begin an immediate review of all operational aspects under their purview to identify bylaws and practices that could possibly be modified to provide financial relief to the membership. Specifically, the Board asked that each governance body at its next meeting start developing an exhaustive list of possible bylaws and policy changes ranked in priority order with the relevant projected financial impact on the membership.

In conducting these reviews, the cabinets and councils should consider the impact of the proposed changes on the principles of fair competition, the well-being of student-athletes, sound academic standards, the integrity of the sport, and costs. The recommendations should be consistent with the NCAA principle governing the economy of athletics program operations, which states that intercollegiate athletics programs shall be administered in keeping with prudent management and fiscal practices to arouse the financial stability necessary for providing student-athletes with adequate opportunities for athletics competition as an integral part of a quality educational experience. The Board of Directors appreciates that some of the bylaws identified in this review may include concepts previously considered by the Division I governance structure but encourages the cabinets and councils to take a fresh look at issues in light of this charge.

2. <u>Illustrations</u>.

The NCAA staff was also asked to seek to think creatively about possible bylaw and policy changes that could provide financial relief on campuses and some of the ideas identified by staff may be illustrative to the cabinets and councils as they seek to begin their examination. Ideas discussed included: suspending athletic certification for a year or predetermined amount of time; eliminating nontraditional seasons for selected sports; modifying championships bracketing and seeding; eliminating the printing of recruiting materials and media guides and modifying recruiting calendars.

3. Timeline.

Cabinets will be asked to begin this review at their June meetings. Cabinets shall provide a progress report in their June cabinet reports including a listing of bylaws appropriate for possible amendment. Depending on the scope of cabinet jurisdiction, some cabinets may be able to finish their reviews in June, while others may require additional time to formalize recommendations. Those cabinets needing extra time would be encouraged work expeditiously where possible with the goal of concluding their reviews during the September series of meetings. [Note: The Championships/Sports Management Cabinet does not have an in-person meeting scheduled in June but does have a conference call scheduled.]

The Leadership Council, with the help of the Legislative Council, will then be asked to prioritize the work and recommendations of the cabinets to ensure a level of consistency between recommendations in keeping with the priorities on the Division I agenda. The prioritized list of recommended changes will be presented to the Board of Directors during its October meeting, as the Board will be asked to consider sponsoring legislation in the 2009-10 legislative cycle as it deems appropriate. Consideration of the proposals by the membership will occur thereafter under the normal legislative process. It is hoped that as a result of this process appropriate legislative changes will be adopted that will result in cost savings from an operational standpoint on campus that will in no way compromise the well-being of student-athletes, sound academic standards, and the integrity of the sports.

MINUTES OF THE NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION DIVISION I BOARD OF DIRECTORS

National Office
Indianapolis, Indiana

August 6, 2009

Participants:

Michael F. Adams, University of Georgia, Southeastern Conference
Charles Bantz, Indiana University-Purdue University of Indianapolis, Summit League
James Barker, Clemson University, Atlantic Coast Conference (chair)
William Beauchamp, University of Portland, West Coast Conference
Jim Cofer, University of Louisiana at Monroe, Sun Belt Conference
Judy Genshaft, University of South Florida, Big East Conference
William R. Harvey, Hampton University, Mid-Eastern Athletic Conference
Robert Kustra, Boise State University, Western Athletic Conference
William Meehan, Jacksonville State University, Ohio Valley Conference
Ann Millner, Weber State University, Big Sky Conference
Harvey Perlman, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, Big Twelve Conference
John Peters, Northern Illinois University, Mid-American Conference
Thomas Powell, Mount St. Mary's University, Northeast Conference
Shirley Raines, University of Memphis, Conference USA
Edward Ray, Oregon State University, Pacific-10 Conference
S. David Berst, NCAA
Myles Brand, NCAA
Beth DeBauche, NCAA, recording secretary
Bernard Franklin, NCAA

[NOTE: Robert Bruininks, University of Minnesota, Big Ten Conference; Kevin Mullen, Siena College, Metro Atlantic Conference; and David Schmidly, University of New Mexico, Mountain West Conference were unable to attend.]

Damon Evans, University of Georgia, Southeastern Conference, chair of the Division I Leadership Council and Joe D'Antonio, Big East Conference, chair of the Division I Legislative Council were in attendance.

NCAA staff members: Rachel Newman-Baker, Elsa Cole, Joni Comstock, Erik Christianson, Julie Cromer, Diane Dickman, Lynn Holzman, Brad Hostetter, Amy Huchthausen, Michelle Hosick, LuAnn Humphrey, Tom Jernstedt Kevin Lennon, Steve Mallonee, Delise O'Meally, Tom Paskus, Todd Petr, David Price, Wallace Renfro, Greg Shaheen, Mark Tschaggeny, Robert Vowels, and Charlotte Westerhaus.

[NOTE: Please refer to the report of the August 6, 2009, Division I Board of Directors meeting for the actions taken (formal votes or "sense of the meeting"), in accordance with the NCAA

policy governing the minutes of all Association entities. While certain items on the Board's agenda were acted upon at various times throughout the meeting, all final actions within a given topic are combined in the report for convenience of reference. The information contained in this document includes only those items that are not contained in the report of the Board of Directors (Attachment No. 1).]

The meeting was called to order at 8:05 a.m. on August 6, 2009, by the chair, President Barker. All were present as noted above.

1. **Opening Remarks.** Board of Directors chair, James Barker, welcomed the board members to the meeting, extending a special welcome to the following new board members: Jim Cofer, president of the University of Louisiana at Monroe, Sun Belt Conference; Judy Genshaft, president of the University of South Florida, Big East Conference; William Harvey, president of Hampton University, Mid-Eastern Athletic Conference; and William Meehan, president of Jacksonville State University, Ohio Valley Conference.

2. **Report of the Division I Presidential Advisory Group (PAG).** The Division I PAG chair, Ann Millner, provided an update from the group's August 3 conference call.

3. **President's Report.** NCAA President Myles Brand provided his quarterly report to the Board of Directors. President Brand focused on the special place of basketball in the NCAA and the need for a healthy basketball environment.

4. **News Regarding a Men's Basketball Enforcement Initiative.** David Price, NCAA vice president for enforcement, and LuAnn Humphrey, NCAA enforcement staff member, told the Board about a potential a shift in the interpretations process regarding the application of rules in the sport of men's basketball given concerns regarding the recruiting environment in that sport.

5. **Update Regarding the New NABC Communications Plan.** Jim Haney, executive director of the National Association of Basketball Coaches (NABC) and Paul Hewitt, head men's basketball coach at the Georgia Institute of Technology informed the Board regarding the NABC's new communications plan designed to foster improved understanding of the academic and athletic issues impacting men's basketball programs.

6. **Final Report and Recommendations of the Men's Basketball Academic Enhancement Group.** Dan Guerrero (chair), Walter Harrison, Paul Hewitt, and Jack Evans of the Men's

7. Basketball Academic Enhancement Group delivered the group's final report and recommendations.

8. **Report from the Committee on Academic Performance.** Walter Harrison, chair of the Committee on Academic Performance, informed the Board of the Committee on Academic Performance's recent activities. He was assisted by staff members Diane Dickman, Kevin Lennon and Todd Petr.

9. **Division I Governance Structure Update.**

a. Report of the Division I Governance Substructure. Beth DeBauche, NCAA director of Division I, reported on the efforts of the Division I governance substructure to identify potential areas of cost savings.

b. Report of the May 6, 2009 and May 11, 2009, Division I Leadership Council teleconferences. Damon Evans, chair of the Division I Leadership Council, reported briefly on the May 6, 2009 and May 11, 2009, Division I Leadership Council teleconferences.

c. Report of the July 30, 2009, Division I Legislative Council. Joe D'Antonio, chair of the Division I Legislative Council, reported briefly on the July 30, 2009, Division I Legislative Council teleconference.

10. **AFCA/NCAA Respect Weekend.** The Board received an update on the AFCA/NCAA Respect Weekend from NCAA Vice President Robert Vowels. This initiative is an outgrowth of the RESPECT sportsmanship campaign.

11. **Report of the Executive Committee.** Elsa Cole, NCAA general counsel, and Rachel Newman-Baker, NCAA director of agent, gambling and amateurism activities, previewed the Executive Committee agenda item regarding the hosting of NCAA championships in locales that allow legalized sports wagering.

12. **Litigation Update.** Elsa Cole, NCAA general counsel, provided this report to the Board.

13. **Adjournment.** James Barker, the Board chair, adjourned the meeting at 12:40 p.m.

ATTACHMENT
SUPPLEMENT NO. 4
DI Leadership Council 10/09

Report of the August 6, 2009, Division I Board of Directors Meeting

Table of Contents of Key Issues

Report of the Division I Presidential Advisory Group August 3, 2009, teleconference 1

NCAA President's Report 1

Men's basketball enforcement initiative 2

Update regarding the NABC communication plan 2

Final report and recommendations of the Men's Basketball Academic Enhancement Group 3

Report of the Committee on Academic Performance 6

Division I Governance Structure Update 8

- **Update from June Cabinet meetings** 8
- **Report of the May 6 and 11, 2009, Division I Leadership Council** 8
- **Report of the July 30, 2009, Division I Legislative Council** 9

Committee on Infractions 10

AFCA/NCAA Respect Weekend 11

Report of the NCAA Division I Board of Directors meeting
August 6, 2009

1. **Opening remarks.**

BOARD ACTION: Heard from the chair regarding his thoughts on issues that should be examined as part of the Division I agenda. Those issues included:

- The need to address issues associated with the nontraditional delivery of courses relative to the eligibility of student-athletes.
- The impact of Division I initial-eligibility standards and the impact of those standards on academic support personnel.
- The proliferation of noncoaching personnel and the impact on competitive equity.
- The need to assess whether the Division's academic reform efforts are having the desired impact.
- The need to promote intercollegiate athletics in a way that promotes a healthy balance between fiscal responsibility and athletic success in an effort to assist member institutions in making decisions that are consistent with their values.

2. **Minutes of the April 30, 2009, Board of Directors meeting**. [Reference BOD Supplement No. 1.]

BOARD ACTION: Approved the minutes as distributed. (Unanimous Voice Vote.)

3. **Report of the Division I Presidential Advisory Group (PAG) August 3, 2009, teleconference.** [Reference BOD Supplement No. 2.]

BOARD ACTION: Received a report from the Division I Presidential Advisory Group's August 3, 2009, conference call and learned from its chair that the PAG discussed whether a more regionalize approach to NCAA championships could result in potential cost savings for the membership.

4. **NCAA President's report.**

BOARD ACTION: Received its quarterly report from the NCAA President in which he focused on two main topics, which are set forth below:

- While the Division has made good progress with its academic reform initiatives, it has yet to complete its work. The good news is the focus is now on improving various aspects of the initiative rather than on developing new initiatives.

- The Division must recognize the special place the sport of basketball holds among NCAA sponsored sports given its contribution to the overall welfare of the Association. It is imperative that the environment surrounding the game is healthy. Accordingly, members of the enforcement staff have been specifically assigned to help address the negative and unsavory influences impacting the game. Additionally, learned that the NCAA has established an NCAA Men's Basketball Ethics Coalition, which is a 14-member body made up of current and former coaches focused on promoting ethnic behavior, demonstrating leadership and providing peer guidance, all in the name of strengthening the sport of men's basketball.

5. News regarding a men's basketball enforcement initiative.

BOARD ACTIONS:

a. Learned from the NCAA vice president of enforcement and a member of his staff, that given the complexity of concerns surrounding men's basketball recruiting practices, they have taken a multi-faceted approach to seek to improve the overall environment surrounding the game.

b. Heard that the staff has spent a great deal of time reviewing recruiting practices in men's basketball and that by talking with coaches, there appears to be a common perception that current bylaws cannot be enforced regarding hiring practices and remuneration schemes designed to limit access to recruits.

c. Were informed that the enforcement and AMA staffs are working together to review interpretations of the current legislation and identify boundaries, with the ultimate goal of establishing a clear and unambiguous line regarding violations, thereby putting the membership on notice of a new direction by the enforcement staff.

[Note: The Board of Directors will receive a final series of recommendations from the enforcement staff at its October 29, 2009, meeting, which may include both interpretative and suggested bylaw changes. The Board will be asked to support an initiative to directly address abuses and the NABC Board and CCA members will be asked to provide support as well.]

6. Update regarding the new NABC communications plan. [Reference BOD Supplement No. 3.]

BOARD ACTIONS:

a. Received an update from the Executive Director of the NABC along with one of its members regarding that Association's efforts to develop a communications plan to help serve as a catalyst for dialogue between presidents and coaches on the campus, conference and national levels.

b. Learned that the goal of this plan is to foster improved understanding of the academic and athletic issues impacting the men's basketball program and the NABC believes this plan should result in increased respect between presidents and coaches regarding academic and athletic goals.

c. Suggested the document include a goals and objectives section and recommended that the NABC make a few edits to the plan prior to seeking the Board of Directors support.

d. Recommended that as a matter of practice, the NABC should be invited to report to the Board of Directors twice per year as a means of enhancing the level of dialogue between presidents and coaches.

7. Final report and recommendations of the Men's Basketball Academic Enhancement Group. [Reference BOD Supplement No. 4.]

BOARD ACTIONS: Received the final report of the Men's Basketball Academic Enhancement Group and heard a presentation on that group's recommendations from its chair and other group members.

a. Background and Foundation.

(1) Heard that in developing its recommendations, the working group focused on the data, which reveals that men's basketball players, as a group come to Division I institutions less prepared academically and leave Division I institutions at a higher rate than any other sport as illustrated by the fact that approximately 40 percent of entering freshmen in the sport leave their initial institutions by the end of the second year.

(2) Were informed that the group's efforts were influenced by coaches who reported that prospective and enrolled student-athletes are inundated by

unregulated third parties and who push the young men to commit early to a professional career, encourage them to a new institution, or push enrolled student-athletes to participate in summer training in locations that have no connection to the institution or to academic development.

(3) Learned that the group, mindful of the data, developed recommendations designed to achieve greater student–athlete retention by providing them with the type of skills enhancement they desire along with an opportunity for better academic preparation, learning skills and an enhanced coach-player relationship.

b. **Recommendations:** Reviewed the working group's recommendations, the terms of which are set forth below, in part, as follows:

(1) Formal Summer Retention – Focused Program to Support Academic, Athletic and Learning Skills Development.

- Each institution shall formally assess the academic preparedness of all incoming men's basketball student-athletes (including transfers) who have signed financial aid agreements using institutionally-defined criteria to identify those students who need an academic head start to put the individual on a track to predict graduation within five years of initial enrollment.

- Such student-athletes must be enrolled in a minimum of 6 credit hours and successfully complete 3 credit hours in addition to receiving institutional learning skills education.

  [NOTE: The learning skills education should address several key learning objectives that would help a student "learn how to learn".]

- In subsequent years, institutions must re-evaluate the academic performance of its student-athletes using institutionally-defined criteria to determine which students should attend summer school to achieve graduation in five years. Student-athletes not on track to graduate in five years shall be strongly encouraged to enroll in summer school and shall be provided financial aid.

- Such student-athletes must enroll in a minimum of 6 credit hours and successfully complete 6 credit hours to be considered eligible for the fall.

[NOTE: Exceptions would be provided to institutions that do not offer summer courses or that do not offer athletics aid.]

- Such student-athletes enrolled in summer schools shall be permitted to participate in organized summer athletics development activities, during an institutionally designated eight-week period. During this time, incoming or continuing men's basketball student-athletes in summer school may participate in a maximum of eight hours per week in summer athletic development, no more than two hours of which may be skill instruction. This summer development model provides an opportunity for student-athletes and coaches to work together to improve aspects of the student-athletes' individual athletics skill in a more personal structure while also building a more intimate coach/player relationship and an emotional bond to the institution.

(2) Playing and Practice Season.

- An acclimation period for on-court preseason basketball practice would begin October 1 and provide for gradual increase in on-court practice between October 1 through October 15 (with two days off each week), at which time the current 20 hours per week of on-court practice (with one day off per week) would be applicable.

- Institutions must implement policies to limit missed class time due to athletics participation.

- The maximum number of contests shall be decreased by one, thus permitting institutions to engage in a maximum of 28 regular season contests or 26 regular season contests and one qualifying regular-season multiple-team event.

- As a best practice, institutions should be strongly encouraged to participate in no more than an average of two games per week (Monday through Sunday) while classes are in session. Further, institutions should not participate in any games during the week of final examinations as defined in the institution's catalog and should not participate in more than one away-from-home regular season conference game Monday through Friday of any given week. Conference offices should monitor these practices and require documentation from institutions not meeting them prior to the beginning of the season.

(3) Impact of transferring.

- While current data indicates that two-year college basketball transfers graduate at a lesser rate than basketball student-athletes who initially enroll at a four year institution and that two-year college basketball transfers graduate at a lesser rate than their counterparts who transfer from a four-year institution, current data available for review was not adequate to allow the working group to make any definitive recommendations at this time. Therefore, the group recommended that additional data should be collected to help determine the characteristics of a successful Division I men's basketball two-year college transfer student-athlete. Data will be available later in the 2009-2010 academic year that will allow for a better assessment of the number and types of courses that two-year transfers have taken.

FURTHER BOARD ACTION:

a. Offered feedback to the group members, which included a request that within 18-months a recommendation be develop designed to improve the academic performance of transfer student-athletes and a suggestion that the report be enhanced to further articulate the incentives for institutions and student-athletes to participate in the summer retention program. Additionally, the Board suggested that this working group should stay intact to address additional basketball issues.

b. Accepted the report, introduced the report in to the Division I governance structure and charged the staff with drafting appropriate legislative proposals necessary to implement the group's recommendations. (Unanimous Voice Vote.)

[NOTE: At its October meeting, the Board will review the draft proposals and consider sponsoring them in the 2009-10 Division I legislative cycle.]

8. Report from the Committee on Academic Performance. [Reference BOD Supplement No. 5.]

BOARD ACTIONS:

a. Received a report from the Committee on Academic Performance's July 2009, meeting and were informed that while the committee discussed the possibility of identifying other institutional representatives (e.g., chancellor/president, director of athletics) to be included within the APR portfolio, given the complexities and administrative burden associated with reporting such information, the committee did not elect to include such information at this time.

[NOTE: The committee agreed to revisit this concept in the fall of 2011, after the current Head Coaches' APR Portfolio initiative has been fully implemented and evaluated.]

b. Legislative Issues.

(1) **Proposal Number: 2009-07 – Academic Performance Program -- Definitions and Applications -- Academic Progress Rate -- Definition of Cohort.** Intent: To revise the definition of the NCAA Division I Academic Progress Rate (APR) cohort, as specified.

BOARD ACTION: Used its legislative authority to adopt this proposal as noncontroversial legislation. (Unanimous Voice Vote.)

(2) **Proposal Number: 2009-08 – Academic Performance Program -- Penalties and Rewards -- Penalties -- Determination of Penalties.** Intent: To revise the NCAA Division I Academic Performance Program (APP) penalty structure, as specified.

BOARD ACTION: Used its legislative authority to adopt this proposal as noncontroversial legislation. (Unanimous Voice Vote.)

c. Heard from the committee chair, that as a result of the APP Historical Appeals Subcommittee of the Board of Directors experience in considering an appeal, the Committee on Academic Performance, with the support of the subcommittee, is recommending:

(1) That the APP Historical Appeals Board Subcommittee shall have the authority to remand a case back to the committee to be considered in accordance with the committee's policies and procedures and in light of the subcommittee's decision regarding the specific issue(s) raised in the appeal;

(2) That the chair of the APP Historical Appeals Board Subcommittee be permitted to vote in all cases; and

(3) That the Board's chair shall have the authority to appoint substitute members to the APP Historical Appeals Subcommittee, as needed.

BOARD ACTION: Approved the revisions to the Occasion-Three and -Four Historical Penalty waivers and appeals policies and procedure as set forth above. (Unanimous Voice Vote.)

d. Were informed that the Academic Cabinet has begun its review of the division's initial–eligibility requirements by drafting goals and principles to guide its future work, and that in the year ahead, the cabinet along with the Committee on Academic Performance, plans to review the impact of the current Division I initial–eligibility standards.

9. Division I Governance Structure Update.

a. Report of the Division I Governance Substructure. [Reference BOD Supplement No. 6.]

BOARD ACTIONS:

(1) Received an update on the Division I governance substructure's efforts to support the broader Division I agenda and learned that there appears to be a high level of coordination between the Board's priorities and the initiatives being explored by the substructure. This coordination appears to be a positive outgrowth of the new governance structure.

(2) Were also informed that pursuant to the Board of Directors charge to review bylaws for potential cost savings, the cabinets have begun their review, with the plan to continue that work in September, so the councils can provide a list of priorities to the Board at its October 2009, meeting.

b. Report from the May 6 and 11, 2009, Division I Leadership Council teleconferences. [Reference BOD Supplement No. 7.]

BOARD ACTIONS:

(1) Received an update from the chair of the Leadership Council who explained that the group is continuing its work on developing proposed new Division I membership standards, with a focus on helping potential members better prepare through the transitional process so that upon becoming full Division I members, the impacted student-athletes have a full and positive experience.

(2) Learned that the Leadership Council will continue its efforts in earnest during its October 6, 2009, meeting, with the hope of completing its work over the next six to eight months so its recommendations can be reviewed by the Board during the spring of 2010. Pursuant to this timeline, supporting legislative proposal would subject to membership consideration during the 2010-11 legislative cycle.

[NOTE: During this update, it was noted the PAG suggested that the Leadership Council consider requiring Football Championship Subdivision (FCS) institutions seeking to transition to the Football Bowl Subdivision (FBS) to receive a bona fide offer of admission from a FBS conference before being permitted to begin the transition process.]

c. Report of the July 30, 2009, Division I Legislative Council. [Reference BOD Supplement No. 8.]

BOARD ACTIONS: Received a report from the chair of the Legislative Council regarding that group's July 30, 2009, conference call.

(1) Membership override request regarding Proposal Nos. 2008-46 and 2008-59.

Proposal No. 2008-46 – Playing and Practice Seasons -- Baseball -- Preseason Practice and First Contest -- Championship Segment. Intent: In baseball, to specify that in the championship segment, an institution shall not commence practice sessions prior to the Friday that is three weeks prior to the first permissible contest date for the championship segment and shall not play its first contest (game or scrimmage) with outside competition prior to the Friday in February that is 14 weeks before the Friday immediately preceding Memorial Day.

BOARD ACTIONS:

(a) Were informed that 56 member institutions submitted requests to override the Legislative Council's adoption at its April 20, 2009, meeting of Proposal No. 2008-46;

(b) Discussed the proposal and the fact that opinions on the legislation appear influenced by geography with many Northern schools opposing the proposal and Southern schools supporting it;

(c) Learned that the Legislative Council, during its July 30, 2009, conference call, voted to sustain its earlier adoption of Proposal No. 2008-46; and

(d) Took no additional legislative action, thereby allowing the override request to be considered by the Division I membership during the Division I Business Session at the 2010 NCAA Convention. (Unanimous Voice Vote.)

[NOTE: At least a 5/8 majority vote of individual active members present including conference members in the governance structure, and voting shall be required to override the legislative action taken by the Board of Directors. The vote shall be based on the principal of one institution, one vote and the vote shall be taken by roll call.]

(2) **Proposal No. 2008-59 – Division Membership -- Definitions and Applications -- Emerging Sports for Women -- Sand Volleyball.** Intent: To add sand volleyball as an emerging sport for women.

BOARD ACTIONS:

(a) Were informed that 63 member institutions submitted requests to override the Legislative Council's adoption at its April 20, 2009, meeting of Proposal No. 2008-59;

(b) Discussed the proposal and the various membership views both in support of and in opposition to the proposal;

(c) Learned that the Legislative Council during its July 30, 2009, conference call voted to sustain its earlier adoption of Proposal No. 2008-59; and

(d) Took no additional legislative action, thereby allowing the override request to be considered by the Division I membership during the Division I Business Session at the 2010 NCAA Convention. (Unanimous Voice Vote.)

10. Division I Committee on Infractions.

a. Request to sponsor legislation. [Reference BOD Supplement No. 9.]

- **Proposal No. 2009-09 – Ethical Conduct -- Unethical Conduct -- Knowingly Influencing Others To Furnish False Or Misleading Information.** Intent: To specify that knowingly influencing others to furnish the NCAA or an individual's institution false or misleading information concerning an individual's involvement in or knowledge of matters relevant to a possible violation of an NCAA regulation constitutes unethical conduct.

BOARD ACTIONS:

(a) Suggested that the proposal language be clarified to specify that the person must knowingly influence others to provide false or misleading information.

(b) Agreed to use its authority to sponsor Proposal No. 2009-09, as modified per the suggestion above, into the 2009-10 legislative cycle. (Unanimous Voice Vote.)

b. **Committee appointment.** [Reference BOD Supplement No. 10.]

BOARD ACTION: Appointed Eleanor Myers, faculty athletics representative, Temple University, Atlantic 10 Conference. (Immediate) (Unanimous Voice Vote.)

11. AFCA/NCAA Respect Weekend. [Reference BOD Supplement No. 11.]

BOARD ACTIONS:

a. Were informed that as part of the NCAA's national sportsmanship campaign, the American Football Coaches Association (AFCA) and the NCAA are partnering to hold a national "AFCA/NCAA RESPECT Weekend" the opening weekend of the 2009 football season.

b. Learned that the highlight of pregame activities will be a handshake at midfield and that all NCAA football sponsoring institutions will have an opportunity to receive the following materials:

- Banners/signage;
- Video public service announcements;
- Public address scripts;
- Video board messages; and
- Logos for use on T-shirts and other give-a-ways.

12. Report of the April 30, 2009, meeting of the NCAA Executive Committee. [Reference BOD Supplement No. 12.]

BOARD ACTIONS:

a. Were informed that during its August 6, 2009, meeting, the Executive Committee would be considering the NCAA's championship hosting policy related to sports wagering and that in Division I the Championships/Sports Management Cabinet examined the issue in June recommending that the relevant geographic area in the current policy be changed from "metropolitan" to "state." The cabinet also agreed to recommend modifying the current policy so that it would be permissible for a state that permits sports wagering to host a championship, provided the hosting sites are not predetermined.

b. Additionally, learned that since the cabinet reviewed the issue, the NCAA staff also examined the issue and is recommending that the Executive Committee adopt the following policy set forth below:

> "No pre-determined or non-predetermined session of an NCAA championship may be conducted in a ~~metropolitan area~~ state with legal wagering that is based upon single-game betting ~~the outcome of any event~~ (i.e., high school, college or professional) in a sport in which the NCAA conducts a championship."

c. Were provided an opportunity to provide feedback in advance of the Executive Committee meeting.

13. Litigation update.

BOARD ACTION: Received a report and took no action.

14. Governmental relations report. [Reference BOD Supplement No. 14.]

BOARD ACTION: Received a report and took no action.

15. Other business.

16. Future meeting dates.

a. October 29, 2009, national office, Indianapolis.

b. January 16, 2010, NCAA Convention, Atlanta, Georgia.

c. April 29, 2010, national office, Indianapolis, Indiana.

d. August 12, 2010, national office, Indianapolis, Indiana.

e. October 28, 2010, national office, Indianapolis, Indiana.

f. January 15, 2011, NCAA Convention, San Antonio, Texas.

g. April 28, 2011, national office, Indianapolis, Indiana.

h. August 11, 2011, national office, Indianapolis, Indiana.

i. October 27, 2011, national office, Indianapolis, Indiana.

17. Adjournment.

REPORT OF
THE NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION
DIVISION I COMMUNICATIONS AND COORDINATION COMMITTEE
CONFERENCE CALL

Participants: August 12, 2009

Matt Baysinger, Big Twelve Conference – (SAAC)
Grace Calhoun, Indiana University – (Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet)
Carolyn Callahan, University of Virginia – (Academic Cabinet)
Joseph D'Antonio, Big East Conference – (Legislative Council)
Rob Halvaks, Big West Conference – (Administration Cabinet)
Petrina Long, UCLA – (Recruiting/Athletics Personnel Cabinet)
Mike Rogers, Baylor University – (Amateurism Cabinet)
Jeff Schemmel, San Diego State University – (Championships/Sports Management Cabinet)
Beth DeBauche, NCAA – recording secretary

Damon Evans, chair of the Leadership Council was unable to participate on the call.

NCAA staff: David Berst, Matt Burgemeister, Jeremiah Carter, Julie Cromer, Diane Dickman, Kelly Groddy, Lynn Holzman, Michelle Hosick, Brad Hostetter, Kevin Lennon, Steve Mallonee, Pat McConney, Delise O'Meally, Dave Schnase, Maricela Shukie, Geoff Silver, Mark Tschaggeny, and Sharon Tufano also participated on the call.

The conference call was called to order at 2:05 p.m. by the staff liaison Beth DeBauche.

1. Welcome and opening remarks. The committee was reminded that this call marks the one year anniversary for both the committee and the new Division I governance structure. It was noted that it has been a productive first year.

2. Recap of items of significance from the June cabinet meetings and conference calls. The cabinet chairs shared meeting highlights as follows:

   a. Academic Cabinet.

   (1) Review of Initial-Eligibility Standards. As part of its legislated duty to manage and monitor eligibility standards, the Academic Cabinet began a review of the division's initial-eligibility requirements by drafting goals and principles to guide its future work on this issue. The cabinet welcomes feedback from other cabinets regarding these draft items and will continue its review during its September meeting.

   (2) Nontraditional Courses for Initial Eligibility. The cabinet sponsored legislation to specify that nontraditional courses may be used to satisfy NCAA core-

course requirements, provided the instructor and student have regular and ongoing interaction for purposes of teaching and evaluation throughout the duration of the course, the course uses a system that permits review and validation of the student's coursework, and the course has a defined timeline for completion. The cabinet will continue to examine the issue of nontraditional courses, though it is not sponsoring additional legislation in this legislative cycle.

(3) Explanation of "Academic Impact". The cabinet asked that the Legislative Council consider a policy revision that would require an explanation of the academic impact to accompany every legislative proposal. A variety of comments were offered by committee members including that such a reference may best be addressed in the rationale statement.

(4) Bylaw and Practices Review. The cabinet reviewed several possible concepts identified to provide cost savings to the membership and determined that most would provide little direct financial relief and possibly could jeopardize the Association's principles of sound academic standards and competitive equity. The cabinet also noted that a significant portion of institutional expenditures related to academics and eligibility are for academic support resources and are difficult to address via legislative or policy changes.

b. Administration Cabinet.

(1) Annual Review/Advancement of Provisional/Reclassifying Members. The cabinet reviewed the progress of 18 institutions currently in the process of reclassifying their sports programs to Division I active status. During its review, the cabinet identified consistent areas of concern regarding reclassifying institutions, including: poor academic performance rates, funding coming primarily from student fees or institutional funds outside the athletics department, financial aid budgets, athletics department staff having both coaching and administrative roles, and compliance and monitoring issues.

(2) Committee Appointments. The cabinet continued to look for ways to enhance the committee appointment process in order to ensure that all 31 multisport conferences are fairly represented, and that the cabinet is well positioned to identify the best nominees for specific committee vacancies.

(3) Governance Assessment Tool. The cabinet is working with the NCAA research staff to develop an assessment tool to determine if the new governance structure is achieving its stated goals and objectives. Members of the Communications and Coordination Committee will be asked to participate in this effort.

c. Amateurism Cabinet.

(1) Commercial Activity in Division I Intercollegiate Athletics. The cabinet reviewed the final report of the Task Force on Commercial Activity in Intercollegiate Athletics and identified several issues for further discussion at its September meeting. It is anticipated that the cabinet will review examples of various new media concepts involving the use of student-athletes' images or names in order to facilitate the discussion.

(2) Bylaw and Practices Review. At the request of the Board of Directors, the cabinet reviewed Bylaw 12 for areas of possible cost savings and agreed to continue its examination of the legislation at its September meeting. The cabinet also solicited the assistance of the National Association of Athletics Compliance (NAAC) for suggestions as to possible legislative change(s).

d. Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet.

(1) Review of Current Financial Aid Model. The cabinet continued its review of the current financial aid model and concluded that the financial aid rules are too complicated, and that the expansive federal and state regulation causes many financial aid professionals to feel overregulated. The cabinet formulated three hypothetical financial aid models representing a moderate change, a significant change, and a radical change to the current model. These models will be discussed further during the September meeting and broader membership feedback will be sought in the fall.

(2) Maximum Equivalency Limits – Sand Volleyball. The cabinet sponsored legislation for the 2009-10 legislative cycle related to team financial aid limits in the sport of sand volleyball.

(3) One-year Award Period. The cabinet engaged in a discussion of the current one-year period for athletics-based financial aid awards, including whether a multi-year award would be an acceptable option. The cabinet will discuss this issue further at its September meeting.

(4) Letter of Intent Limits. The cabinet is looking into which conferences have limits on the number of Letters of Intent that may be signed by prospective student-athletes in a specific sport each year. The cabinet is exploring the question of whether conferences and institutions should regulate this area or whether there should be a national standard. The cabinet will address this issue further at its September meeting.

e. Championships/Sports Management Cabinet.

(1) Championships Budget Issues. The cabinet is analyzing what the championships budget might be in the future and is drafting a letter to be sent to all sports committees requesting that each committee prioritize their budgets and run a budget analysis with five and ten percent budget decreases and increases. The sports committees will be asked to return the results of this budget exercise to the Championships/Sports Management Cabinet by the cabinet's winter meeting.

(2) Bylaw and Practices Review. The cabinet members were encouraged to think about potential changes to Bylaws 17 and 31 that might result in cost savings for the membership. The cabinet has received quality responses and plans further discussion at its September meeting.

(3) Sand Volleyball Playing and Practice Season. The cabinet discussed a proposed sand volleyball playing and practice season model and contest limitations but agreed to delay action on these items until the Legislative Council considered the membership's override request on Proposal No. 2008-59.

f. Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Cabinet.

(1) Sponsored Proposals. The cabinet sponsored 10 legislative proposals in the 2009-10 legislative cycle, including legislation regarding student assistant coaches, non-coaching staff with sport-specific responsibilities and managers.

(2) Coaching Limits and Athletics Personnel Issues. The cabinet affirmed current legislation regarding countable coaches and volunteer coaches, and agreed to further study the positions of graduate assistant coaches and non-coaching staff with sport-specific responsibilities.

(3) Recruiting Materials. The cabinet examined current legislation regarding institutional letterhead, printed media guides and audio/video materials. It sponsored legislation to set forth restrictions on institutional letterhead. The cabinet also sponsored legislation to deregulate the current restrictions on audio/video materials. The committee is considering whether the current technology being utilized for recruitment purposes has surpassed the current legislation regarding recruitment practices.

(4) Definition of Recruitment. Upon request of the Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet, the Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Cabinet will work to further define the word "recruitment" at its September meeting.

(5) Bylaw and Practices Review. At the direction of the Board of Directors, the cabinet engaged in an examination of Bylaws 11 and 13 and created an initial list of possible amendments and policy changes that could provide financial and operational relief to the membership. The cabinet noted that further examination and discussion would be needed prior to any actions being taken.

g. Student-Athlete Advisory Committee.

(1) Commercial Activity in Intercollegiate Athletics. SAAC reviewed the report of the Task Force on Commercial Activity in Intercollegiate Athletics and sought to identify which principles would benefit from student-athlete feedback. The SAAC is preparing information to assist student-athletes in understanding the report.

(2) Bylaw and Practices Review. The SAAC identified areas of potential cost savings that would have a minimal impact on the student-athlete experience.

(3) Findings of the Men's Basketball Academic Enhancement Group. The SAAC received the report of the Men's Basketball Academic Enhancement Group. The SAAC took no formal position on the report and will seek feedback from men's basketball student-athletes before doing so.

3. Lessons Learned – Best practices shared.

a. Update regarding request for bylaw review. The committee members were reminded to make the review of bylaws to identify potential cost savings a priority for their September cabinet agendas. This timeframe is based on the fact the staff is planning to forward a listing of cabinet-developed amendments to the councils in October. In October, the councils will be asked to develop a prioritized list of possible legislative amendments. This list will be presented to the Board at its October meeting for possible legislative sponsorship in the 2009-10 cycle. Given the legislative deadline, cabinet work not completed in September will have to be brought forward for the 2010-11 legislative cycle.

b. Successful communications strategies and meeting structure. The committee members shared practices that worked well during their meetings as follows:

(1) Recruiting Cabinet. The Recruiting Cabinet reported success with engaging its members in small group discussions regarding potential cost savings measures. The chair noted this meeting format appears to result in a higher level of dialogue and camaraderie among the members.

(2) Academic Cabinet. The Academic Cabinet indicated having success in enhancing the level of dialogue by using a small group discussion format as well. The cabinet also reported that it is continuing its practice of conducting a conference call in advance of its meeting to review governance reports and address preliminary matters. Additionally, the chair shared that she was pleased with how well the video conferencing worked, as a number of staff members joined the meeting using that method.

(3) Championships/Sports Management Cabinet. The cabinet chair noted that despite some technological difficulties, he was generally pleased with the cabinet's June conference call and mentioned that he anticipates the cabinet will try to meet via conference call in the future as their agenda allows.

(4) Amateurism Cabinet. The chair shared that he asked the cabinet members to put cell phones and handheld devices away during the meetings with the understanding he will provide the group with a scheduled break that allows for ample time to contact their offices and homes. The chair reported that the members have responded positively to this practice.

4. Brief updates from the other Division I leadership bodies.

a. Board of Directors. The committee heard that during the August Board of Directors meeting, the Board chair listed issues he views as important to the division's agenda. This list, which is set forth below, reflects much of the ongoing work of the cabinets:

(1) The need to address issues associated with the nontraditional delivery of courses relative to the eligibility of student-athletes.

(2) The impact of Division I initial-eligibility standards and the impact of those standards on academic support personnel.

(3) The proliferation of noncoaching personnel and the impact on competitive equity.

(4) The need to assess whether the Division's academic reform efforts are having the desired impact.

(5) The need to promote intercollegiate athletics in a way that promotes a healthy balance between fiscal responsibility and athletic success in an effort to assist member institutions in making decisions that are consistent with their values.

It was reported that the staff tries to keep the Board informed regarding developments from the governance substructure.

b. Leadership Council. The committee was informed that the Leadership Council's next meeting is scheduled for October 6. During that meeting, the council is expected to continue developing suggested new Division I membership standards. Additionally, the Leadership Council will seek to identify ways to enhance the level of diversity serving in the Division I governance structure. Both of these conversations are important to the Division I agenda and have potential to involve the cabinets.

c. Legislative Council. The committee learned that during a July 30 conference call, the Legislative Council sustained its prior actions in adopting Proposal No. 2008-46 Playing and Practice Seasons – Baseball – Preseason Practice and First Contest – Championship Segment and Proposal No. 2008-59 Division I Membership – Definitions and Applications – Emerging Sports for Women – Sand Volleyball, both of which were subject to override requests from the membership. Subsequently, the Board supported the Legislative Council's determination. Therefore, the matter will be considered by the Division I membership during the 2010 NCAA Convention.

Additionally, the Legislative Council chair informed the group that proposals in the 2009-10 legislative cycle will be published on August 15. He encouraged the chairs to make sure their cabinets provide detailed and constructive comments to help in the development of good legislation.

5. Collaboration zone – pilot program. The committee members were informed that the governance staff is currently working with the national office's IT staff to develop a Collaboration Zone for all the Division I councils and cabinets. This zone, which will provide separate tabs for each governance group, will allow for not only the posting of materials but for a chat room feature. The Amateurism Cabinet has agreed to serve as the pilot group for this project. A tab is also currently being created for the Communications and Coordination Committee so the chairs will have the ability to experiment with the functionality. The members were reminded that this zone is not intended to replace the general posting of information for the membership on the NCAA's Website. The chairs did not offer any objections to the 31 multisport conferences being granted access to the Division I governance.

6. Leadership succession planning. The staff noted that under the current chair rotation schedule, all chairs are scheduled to rotate in April 2010. This complete turnover of the members of the Communications and Coordination Committee will present a problem as much of the history of this group could be lost. Possible solutions that have been identified to address this transitional problem included seeking legislative relief to allow a few of the chairs to serve in their leadership role for an additional year to help stagger terms and allow additional cabinet/council members to participate on Communications and Coordination Committee conference calls. One of the challenges associated with allowing others to participate on the calls is the fact the slate of chairs must reflect the gender, ethnic, positional and subdivisional diversity of Division I. This challenge demands the cabinets and councils work together to identify a slate of chairs. Accordingly, the staff suggested the chairs determine whether they would like to serve for one more year in that role and start to identify a few representatives to serve as a possible successor. The chairs should come to the 2010 Convention meeting prepared with the names of some possible replacements so that the committee can start developing a diverse slate for the Board's consideration.

7. Authority of the chair. The committee members were briefly reminded that unless their cabinet or council took specific action to provide authority to the chair to act on behalf of the cabinet or council between meetings, the chair is not empowered to do so.

8. Reminders regarding objectives in reviewing legislative proposals. The chairs were again reminded that the September cabinet meetings play an important role in the legislative review. The chairs and staff liaisons were encouraged to provide enough detail in their reports so the legislative comments are instructive to the Legislative Council. The chairs and liaisons were asked to review Supplement No. 12, which provides instructions for the cabinets' review of proposals.

9. Student-Athlete Advisory Committee members. The committee members were informed that the Division I SAAC has selected new representatives to the cabinets to replace vacating members. These new SAAC representatives have been through a service training session and are eager to serve. The list of contact information for the SAAC members was provided to the chairs. The chairs were encouraged to actively seek to engage their SAAC representative in both meetings and social activities.

10. Meeting materials. The chairs generally reported that their members appear supportive of the national office's "green"/cost savings measure that has resulted in meeting materials being posted online. The chairs noted there appears to be a mix between members

who print off materials and those that bring their laptops to the meetings. It was suggested that the staff review supplements online in advance of meetings to ensure documents are easily readable on laptops. Further, it was noted that some cabinet members have asked that all meeting supplements be posted to the Website as one document for easier review.

11. Meeting schedule. The committee was provided the composite Division I governance meeting schedule as a point of information. Staff liaisons were encouraged to share this information broadly.

12. Plans for the 2010 NCAA Convention. The chairs expressed an interest in again attending the NCAA Convention. The staff noted that the chairs can anticipate participating in the Joint Councils/SAAC and Joint Councils/Board functions. Further, the chairs should plan to participate in programming during the Division I Issues Forum and in a meeting of the Communications and Coordination Committee. The chairs should plan on being in attendance in Atlanta on January 14-15, 2009.

13. Affiliate update. The committee briefly discussed the importance of sharing information regarding the work of their cabinets and councils with outside affiliate groups to ensure such groups are current with Division I issues. Given the governance staff's relationships with affiliate groups and its efforts to coordinate the priorities on the Division I agenda, the chairs were encouraged to let the staff know if their cabinets or councils have initiatives they want to highlight. As part of this conversation, a few of the chairs noted how helpful it was to attend one of the student-athlete leadership conferences to gain a better understanding of the student-athletes' perspective on issues. The staff agreed to provide the committee with information on the next Division I Student-Athlete Leadership Conference so that they could possibly attend.

14. Proposed future call dates. The Communications and Coordination Committee members asked that the staff check with them after the September series of cabinet meetings to determine whether their next conference call should be scheduled before the October Legislative Council meeting or after the October Board of Directors meeting.

15. Adjournment. The call was adjourned at 3:35 p.m. EDT.

# # # # #

KEY ITEMS FROM JUNE CABINET MEETINGS

[For more detailed information regarding the June Cabinet meetings, please view the complete cabinet reports found at:

http://www.ncaa.org/wps/ncaa?key=/ncaa/ncaa/legislation+and+governance/committees/division1.html.]

ACADEMIC CABINET.

1. **Nontraditional Courses for Initial Eligibility (NCAA Division I Academic Cabinet Priority Topic).** The cabinet sponsored legislation to specify that nontraditional courses may be used to satisfy NCAA core-course requirements, provided the instructor and student have regular and ongoing access to and interaction with one another for purposes of teaching, evaluating and providing assistance to the student throughout the duration of the course, and further, that the course uses a system that permits review and validation of the student's coursework (e.g., exams, papers, assignments) and a defined normative timeline for completion of the course. Additional efforts were approved to clarify the use of credit by examination and repeat courses to meet initial-eligibility requirements and to clarify the types of documentation that will be considered in the review of nontraditional courses as part of the prospective student-athlete review process. Each of these actions are incremental steps to promote integrity within the initial-eligibility process and to help the NCAA academic and membership affairs and NCAA Eligibility Center staffs evaluate the academic records of prospective student-athletes and providers of nontraditional coursework.

2. **Review of Initial-Eligibility Standards.** As part of its legislated duty to manage and monitor eligibility standards, the cabinet began a review of the division's initial-eligibility requirements by drafting goals and principles to guide its future work on this issue. The cabinet welcomes membership feedback regarding these draft items and will continue its review during its September meeting.

ADMINISTRATION CABINET.

1. **Annual Review/Advancement of Provisional/Reclassifying Members.** The cabinet reviewed the progress of all 18 institutions currently in the process of reclassifying their sports programs to Division I active status. Specifically, the group reviewed the annual reports and updated strategic plans for all reclassifying and provisional members and focused on whether such institutions were meeting all applicable legislative requirements and were responsive to feedback provided to the institution the previous year by the former Division I Management Council Membership Subcommittee. The cabinet agreed to

recommend to the Leadership Council that Kennesaw State University, New Jersey Institute of Technology, North Florida University and Utah Valley University, all institutions in their final year of the reclassifying or provisional membership process, be elected to active Division I status. Further, the cabinet recommended to the Leadership Council that University of Central Arkansas [women's soccer and baseball], Florida Gulf Coast University [women's volleyball and baseball], Presbyterian University [softball and men's tennis], University of South Carolina, Upstate [softball and men's soccer] and Western Kentucky University [FCS to FBS] be elected to active Division I multidivisional membership status in the identified sports. Each institution is completing its final year of the multidivisional reclassification process in the applicable sport.

2. **Committee appointment process**. The cabinet is continuing to look for ways to enhance the committee appointment process and is focused on ensuring that all 31 multisport conferences are fairly represented in the appointment process and that the cabinet is well positioned to identify the best nominees for specific committee vacancies. Accordingly, the cabinet is recommending outreach to the multisport conferences, including a conference call between the cabinet chair and conference office personnel, to ensure the conferences have a thorough understanding of the appointment processes.

3. **Division I governance assessment.** While the new Division I governance structure is still in its first year of operation, the cabinet, with the help of the NCAA research staff, is in the preliminary stages of seeking to develop an assessment plan to determine if the new structure is achieving its stated goals and objectives. Plans call for the assessment to include: roster tracking, test cases whereby policy issues are tracked through the governance process, exit surveys to those serving in the structure, interviews with those in leadership positions and yearly reports from staff liaisons.

4. **Cost- saving measures**. During its September meeting, the cabinet's first agenda item will be to conduct a review of NCAA bylaws that fall under its purview to seek to identify possible legislative changes that could ultimately result in cost savings for member institutions.

AMATEURISM CABINET.

1. **Proposals Sponsored for the 2009-10 Legislative Cycle.** The cabinet sponsored three legislative proposals for the 2009-10 legislative cycle, including:

a. A proposal to change the definition of a professional athletics team to permit an individual prior to initial, full-time collegiate enrollment to engage in outside competition with a professional athletics team without jeopardizing intercollegiate eligibility, provided the individual does not receive more than actual and necessary expenses to compete on the team. The cabinet noted that as part of the recommendation, the competitive equity issues related to participation in organized competition would be addressed as follows:

(1) In sports other than tennis, swimming and diving and women's volleyball, a prospective student-athlete who does not initially enroll full-time in a collegiate institution within one year (or the next opportunity to enroll after one year has elapsed) following the high school graduation date of the prospective student-athlete's class and participates in organized events after the one-year period shall be charged with a season of intercollegiate competition for each year of participation and shall fulfill an academic year in residence on matriculation at the certifying institution before being eligible to represent the institution in intercollegiate competition.

(2) In tennis, a prospective student-athlete who does not initially enroll full-time in a collegiate institution within six months (or the next opportunity to enroll after the six-month period has expired) following the high school graduation date of the prospective student-athlete's class and participates in organized events after the six-month period shall be charged with a season of intercollegiate competition for each year of participation <u>and</u> shall fulfill an academic year in residence for each year of participation on matriculation at the certifying institution before being eligible to represent the institution in intercollegiate competition.

b. A proposal that would specify that in men's ice hockey, a prospective or enrolled student-athlete may accept actual and necessary travel, and room and board expenses from a professional sports organization that is a member of the National Hockey League (NHL) in order to attend the NHL Scouting Combine, regardless of the duration of the combine.

c. A proposal that would specify that an institution's president or chancellor may designate an institutional staff member(s) to assist student-athletes in making arrangements for securing disabling-injury insurance.

2. Commercial Activity in Division I Intercollegiate Athletics. The cabinet engaged in a detailed review of the final report of the Task Force on Commercial Activity in Intercollegiate Athletics. The cabinet stressed the need for the legislative changes to strike

the appropriate balance between the necessity of commercial involvement in intercollegiate athletics and the principles of amateurism. The cabinet will continue its discussion of the legislative concepts in the report at its September meeting, at which time it may make specific legislative recommendations to the Leadership Council.

CHAMPIONSHIPS/SPORTS MANAGEMENT CABINET.

1. **Bylaw and Practices Review.** The cabinet considered the charge outlined by the Division I Board of Directors related to the identification of bylaws and practices that could be modified to provide financial relief to the membership. Cabinet members were encouraged to think about potential changes to Division I Bylaws 17 and 31 that might result in cost savings. Cabinet members agreed to submit potential changes to the NCAA staff on or prior to July 31, 2009, for discussion at the September 2009 meeting. The Cabinet's Administrative Committee agreed to compile the suggestions and lead the discussion at the September meeting.

2. **Sand Volleyball Playing and Practice Season.** The cabinet engaged in a lengthy discussion regarding a proposed sand volleyball playing and practice season model and contest limits for sports sponsorship. After reviewing information submitted by the American Volleyball Coaches Association, the cabinet agreed to delay action on this item. In the interim, the Administrative Committee will request that cabinet members provide the committee feedback frame additional discussion at the cabinet's September meeting.

3. **Report of the NCAA Committee on Sportsmanship and Ethical Conduct.** At the request of the NCAA Executive Committee, the cabinet reviewed the current NCAA policy precluding the hosting of championship events in metropolitan areas where sports wagering occurs. It was noted that the current policy does not distinguish between predetermined and nonpredetermined championship sites. Consistent with the recommendation from the Sportsmanship and Ethical Conduct Committee, the cabinet agreed to recommend that the relevant geographical area be changed from "metropolitan" to "state." Unlike the Sportsmanship and Ethical Conduct Committee; however, the cabinet agreed to recommend modifying the current policy so that it would be permissible for a state that permits sports wagering to host a championship, provided the hosting sites are not predetermined.

AWARDS, BENEFITS, EXPENSES AND FINANCIAL AID CABINET.

1. **Review of Current Financial Aid Model.** The Division I Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet continued its review of the financial aid items prioritized during its February 2009 meeting. Specifically, the following topics were prioritized for further study: (1) countable aid versus noncountable aid (e.g., government grants, institutional merit aid, state grants); (2) examination of issues related to determining counter status; (3) head count versus equivalency designations; and (4) team financial aid limits. During its June meeting, videoconferences with financial aid officers from nine Division I institutions representing all three subdivisions were conducted to obtain additional feedback related to the financial aid items prioritized by the cabinet. Based on this feedback, the cabinet identified two themes to help guide the cabinet in its review and formulation of recommendations:

    a. Legislative recommendations resulting from the cabinet's review should prioritize the well-being of student-athletes over other factors (e.g., competitive equity); and

    b. The current financial aid legislation is perceived as unduly burdensome and at times prevents financial aid administrators from exercising professional judgment as would be done for non-student-athletes.

In addition, the cabinet directed the staff to develop a spectrum of models demonstrating how potential changes to NCAA rules would impact team limitations if specific types of financial aid (e.g., Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grant, institutional merit and need-based aid, state grants, outside aid) were exempted. The staff will prepare the models for review by the cabinet during its September 2009 meeting.

2. **Maximum Equivalency Limits – Sand Volleyball.** The cabinet sponsored legislation for the 2009-10 legislative cycle related to team financial aid limits in the sport of sand volleyball. Specifically, the legislation sponsored by the cabinet specifies team limits in the sport of sand volleyball as follows:

    a. For institutions that sponsor both sand and indoor volleyball teams, there shall be an annual limit of six equivalencies and an annual limit of 14 on the total number of counters in the sport of sand volleyball.

    The implementation of the equivalencies will occur as follows:

    Effective 2010-11.....three equivalencies

Effective 2011-12.....four equivalencies
Effective 2012-13.....five equivalencies
Effective 2013-14 and thereafter .....six equivalencies; and

b. For institutions that sponsor sand volleyball only, there shall be an annual limit of eight equivalencies and an annual limit of 14 on the total number of counters in the sport of sand volleyball.

RECRUITING AND ATHLETICS PERSONNEL ISSUES CABINET.

1. **Proposals Sponsored for the 2009-10 Cycle**. The Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet sponsored 10 legislative proposals for the membership's consideration for the 2009-10 legislative cycle. One proposal is being forwarded to the NCAA Division I Legislative Council for consideration as emergency or noncontroversial.

2. **Coaching Limits and Athletics Personnel Issues**. The cabinet examined the feedback received from the membership and other constituent groups to the April 2009 coaching limits and athletics personnel discussion document and, as detailed in other sections of this report: affirmed current legislation regarding countable coaches and volunteer coaches; sponsored legislation regarding student assistant coaches, noncoaching staff with sport-specific responsibilities and managers; and agreed to further study the positions of graduate assistant coaches and noncoaching staff with sport-specific responsibilities.

3. **Recruiting Materials**. The cabinet examined current legislation regarding institutional letterhead, printed media guides and audio/video materials. It sponsored legislation to set forth restrictions on institutional letterhead to curb the increasingly elaborate design of materials being called letterhead. It supported a concept to eliminate the provision of printed media guides for recruiting purposes, but declined to sponsor legislation at this time noting some conferences have already indicated the intent to sponsor legislation in this regard. Finally, the cabinet sponsored legislation to deregulate the current restrictions on audio/video materials.

4. **Bylaw and Practices Review**. At the direction of the Division I Board of Directors, the cabinet engaged in an examination of Division I Bylaws 11 and 13 and created an initial list of possible bylaws and policy changes that could potentially be modified to provide financial and operational relief to the membership. The cabinet noted, however, further examination and discussion would be needed prior to moving any towards legislative proposals. Further, the cabinet noted the principle of the Board's charge was also considered in some of the proposals sponsored by the cabinet for the upcoming cycle.

REPORT OF THE NCAA DIVISON I ACADEMIC CABINET SEPTEMBER 14-15, 2009, MEETING

***ACTION ITEMS*.**

1. Legislative Items.

- **Request for Noncontroversial Legislation to Modify Composition of International Student Records Committee.**

  (1) Recommendation. The NCAA Division I Academic Cabinet recommends the NCAA Division I Legislative Council adopt noncontroversial legislation to specify that the NCAA International Student Records Committee shall consist of six members, including two Division I representatives, two Division II representatives and two representatives who may be from either Division I or Division II.

  (2) Effective Date. Immediate.

  (3) Rationale. This proposal does not change the voting positions on the International Student Records Committee; it simply eliminates the nonvoting seats. The proposal allows for greater flexibility in the appointment of ad hoc members by permitting the committee to supplement its expertise as needed. For example, if the permanent committee members do not have sufficient expertise regarding educational systems in a certain country, the committee could add that needed expertise by appointing an ad hoc member with such knowledge. This proposal is being recommended as noncontroversial legislation, since broader consultation and debate are unlikely to improve the proposal in any substantial way; significant disagreement or alternative points of view will not be generated and there does not appear to be a significant impact on existing or proposed legislation. As the International Student Records Committee is a common committee, this recommendation will not be effective unless and until the same legislation is adopted in Division II.

  (4) Estimated Budget Impact. Cost savings through the use of consultants only as needed, rather than as mandated by current legislation.

  (5) Student-Athlete Impact. None.

2. Nonlegislative Items.

- None.

KEY ITEM.

- **Review of Initial-Eligibility Standards.** The cabinet continued its review of initial-eligibility standards, employing the goals and principles drafted earlier this year. Because NCAA research indicates that high school core-course grade-point average is a good predictor of eventual academic success in college, the cabinet noted that emphasizing the grade-point average requirement in any modifications to the initial-eligibility requirements may increase the predictability that a particular prospective student-athlete eventually will graduate from an institution. The cabinet requested additional information regarding the grade-point average requirement. Specifically, the cabinet is interested in the potential effects of a minimum core-course grade-point average requirement and also the impact of increased emphasis on the grade-point average in the sliding scale.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

1. Legislative – Comments on Proposals to be Initially Considered by the Legislative Council in January 2010.

a. NCAA Proposal No. 2009-17 – Personnel – Certification to Recruit Off Campus – Certification Administration – Faculty Athletics Representative. The cabinet opposes Proposal No. 2009-17, which would specify that an institution's faculty athletics representative shall administer the annual coaches' certification test; further, that if the faculty athletics representative is unable to administer the test, he or she shall appoint an institutional staff member outside the athletics department to administer the test. The cabinet recommends that the sponsor modify Proposal No. 2009-17 to specify that an institution's faculty athletics representative or a designee of the faculty athletics representative outside the institution's athletics department shall administer the annual coaches' certification test. The cabinet would support the proposal if modified. Further, in the event the sponsor does not support the suggested modification, the cabinet shall sponsor an alternative proposal. The cabinet noted that there does not appear to be a compelling reason or benefit to eliminate institutional flexibility in the administration of the certification test. Permitting another individual to administer the exam only when the faculty athletics representative is "unable" to do so might create unnecessary delays in the process. Further, the cabinet noted that the faculty athletics representative should not be required to administer the test if the institution has an established, appropriate procedure that designates an individual outside the athletics department to administer the test.

b. **Proposal No. 2009-29 – Recruiting and Eligibility – Four-Year Prospective Student-Athletes – Permission to Contact and Transfer Release – Response to Request and Hearing Opportunity.** The cabinet opposes Proposal No. 2009-29, which would specify that an institution shall grant or deny a request to permit another institution to contact a student-athlete about transferring or a request for release as a condition of the one-time transfer exception within seven calendar days of receipt of the request; further, that if the request is denied, the institution shall conduct a hearing within 14 calendar days of receipt of the student-athlete's written request and that the student-athlete shall be provided the opportunity to actively participate in the hearing. The cabinet supports the intent and several elements of the proposal, but believes modifications should be made to the deadlines outlined for these activities. Specifically, the cabinet recommends that the sponsor modify Proposal No. 2009-29 to specify that an institution shall grant or deny a request to permit another institution to contact a student-athlete about transferring or a request for release as a condition of the one-time transfer exception within seven business days of receipt of the request; further, to specify that if the request is denied, the institution shall conduct a hearing and provide written notification of the decision to the student-athlete within 15 business days of receipt of the student-athlete's written request and that the student-athlete shall be provided the opportunity to actively participate in the hearing. The cabinet would support the proposal if modified. Further, in the event the sponsor does not support the suggested modification, the cabinet shall sponsor an alternative proposal. The cabinet strongly supports the concepts of establishing defined timelines for response to requests for permission to contact or to use the one-time transfer exception and for promptly conducted hearings when such requests are denied. The cabinet believes that such decisions should be made as quickly as possible in the interest of student-athlete well-being. However, the cabinet expressed concern that the number of days specified in Proposal No. 2009-29 may be unrealistic and may result in a number of unintentional violations, particularly when requests are made at the end of an academic term and institutions may be closed for a period of time or institutional staff members may be on vacation.

c. **Proposal No. 2009-45 – Recruiting – Official Visit – First Opportunity to Visit – June Immediately Prior to Senior Year – Football.** The cabinet opposes Proposal No. 2009-45, which would specify that a prospective football student-athlete may be provided an expense-paid visit during the month of June immediately preceding the prospective student-athlete's senior year in high school. The cabinet noted that some prospective student-athletes still will be completing the junior year of high school in June, and they could be preparing for or taking final exams while taking official visits if this legislation is adopted. The cabinet also noted that providing official visits prior to the senior year in high

school would accelerate the early recruitment of prospective student-athletes. Finally, monitoring complications could result from official visits occurring around the time of institutions' football camps or clinics.

d. **Proposal No. 2009-51 – Recruiting – Camps and Clinics – Institution's Sports and Camps and Clinics – Location – Men's Basketball.** The cabinet supports Proposal No. 2009-51, which would specify that an institution's men's basketball camp or clinic shall be conducted on the institution's campus or within a 100-mile radius of the institution's campus. The cabinet also encourages the sponsor to consider modifying Proposal No. 2009-51 to specify that it be applicable to all sports rather than only men's basketball. The cabinet noted that the intent of sports camps and clinics is not for recruiting purposes; therefore, the intent and rationale of Proposal No. 2009-51 may be applicable to all sports.

e. **Proposal No. 2009-61 – Eligibility – Full-Time Enrollment – Requirement for Practice – Waiver – U.S. Olympic Committee/National Governing Body – Former Student-Athlete at Another Institution – Individual Sports and Women's Rowing.** The cabinet supports Proposal No. 2009-61, which, in individual sports and women's rowing, and in a case in which the U.S. Olympic Committee or national governing body in the sport has recommended the individual's participation, would permit a former student-athlete who has graduated and has no eligibility remaining to participate in organized practice sessions at an institution other than the one he or she previously attended. The cabinet noted that a student-athlete must have graduated and exhausted eligibility in order to meet this legislation. Such student-athletes can have a positive influence on an institution's current student-athletes. In addition, any recruiting or competitive advantage as a result of the former student-athlete participating in practice is likely to be minimal.

f. **Proposal No. 2009-62 – Eligibility – Full-Time Enrollment – Requirement for Practice – Waiver – U.S. Olympic Committee/National Governing Body – Former Student-Athlete – Duration of Waiver – Individual Sports and Women's Rowing.** The cabinet opposes Proposal No. 2009-62, which, in individual sports and women's rowing, and in a case in which the U.S. Olympic Committee or national governing body in the sport has recommended the individual's participation, would specify that a former student-athlete's participation in organized practice sessions shall be limited to the number of years that allows the individual to practice with the institution's team in preparation for two consecutive Olympic Games following exhaustion of eligibility or completion of degree, whichever is earlier. The cabinet noted that the current limit of five years is sufficient time to learn from an institution's coach and have a

positive impact on the institution's team. Also the cabinet noted that other means exist for former student-athletes to train with Olympic coaches beyond the five year period (e.g., outside of the team's formal practice sessions).

g. **Proposal No. 2009-63 – Eligibility – Seasons of Competition – Criteria for Determining Season of Competition – Alumni Game, Fundraising Activity or Celebrity Sports Activity.** The cabinet opposes Proposal No. 2009-63, which would specify that a student-athlete may engage in outside competition in either one alumni game, one fundraising activity or one celebrity sports activity during a season without counting such competition as a season of competition, provided the event is exempted from the institution's maximum number of contests or dates of competition as permitted in the particular sport per NCAA Bylaw 17. The cabinet expressed concern about using student-athletes who would not compete for the institution's team for the duration of the playing season as participants in promotional contests. The cabinet also noted the potential complexity of monitoring a student-athlete's participation in such activities.

h. **Proposal No. 2009-65 – Eligibility – Transfer Regulations – Four-Year College Transfers – One-Time Transfer Exception – Women's Volleyball.** The cabinet opposes Proposal No. 2009-65, which would specify that the one-time transfer exception to the four-year transfer residence requirement is not applicable to student-athletes in women's volleyball. As noted in the cabinet's guiding principles, the cabinet generally is opposed to sport-specific legislation without compelling evidence to support the proposal for a particular sport. The cabinet noted a lack of data to support a significant loss of NCAA Division I Academic Progress Rate (APR) retention points in volleyball due to transfer activity. Also, the cabinet noted that the American Volleyball Coaches Association (AVCA) has not yet taken a position on the proposal.

i. **Proposal No. 2009-66 – Eligibility – Transfer Regulations – Four-Year College Transfers – Competition or Receipt of Athletically Related Financial Aid in Year of Transfer – Tennis.** The cabinet opposes Proposal No. 2009-66, which would, in tennis, specify that a transfer student from a four-year institution who enrolls at the certifying institution as a full-time student after the conclusion of the first term of the academic year and qualifies for an exception to the one-year residence requirement shall not be eligible for competition until the following academic year if he or she has competed during the same academic year or received athletically related financial aid during the same academic year from the previous four-year institution. The cabinet noted that the proposal appears to negatively impact student-athlete well-being by restricting student-athletes who either have not received athletically related financial aid or have not competed for the current institution. Further, the cabinet generally is opposed to sport-specific

legislation without compelling evidence to support the proposal, as noted in the cabinet's guiding principles. The cabinet also would like to measure to impact of Proposal No. 2008-38 before supporting another amendment to the legislation. Finally, the cabinet noted that the Intercollegiate Tennis Association has not yet taken a position on the proposal.

2. Legislative – Modification of Cabinet-Sponsored Proposals in the 2009-10 Legislative Cycle.

- **Proposal No. 2009-60 - Eligibility - Academic Waivers - Authority of Initial-Eligibility Waivers Committee and Progress-Toward-Degree Waivers Committee.** The cabinet reviewed the legislative form of Proposal No. 2009-60 and amended the effective date of the proposal to May 1, 2010. This change will allow for clarity in the application of these procedural changes such that those waiver requests received prior to the effective date will follow the current appeal procedures, and those received on or after May 1, 2010, will follow the new appeal process. This change will provide for transition at time of year when relatively few waivers are requested, which should reduce the likelihood for backlogs and/or confusion due to transitional issues. Further, this revised effective date allows for timely, effective communication and instruction to the membership.

3. Nonlegislative.

a. Review of Initial-Eligibility Standards. The cabinet continued its review of the division's initial-eligibility requirements and considered potential revisions to the current initial-eligibility standards. The cabinet reiterated the goals and principles identified during its June meeting and reviewed the impact each principle may have on potential modifications to the initial-eligibility standards.

NCAA research indicates that a prospective student-athlete's high school core-course grade-point average is a fairly strong predictor of academic success in college. The cabinet noted that focusing on the grade-point average requirement in any revisions to the initial-eligibility requirements may increase the predictability that a particular prospective student-athlete eventually will graduate from an institution. The cabinet requested that the research staff present additional information at its next meeting regarding the grade-point average requirement, specifically, the potential effects of an increased minimum core-course grade-point average requirement and also the effects of increased emphasis on the grade-point average in the sliding scale.

Based on the data available, revisions to the core course requirement (i.e., number of core courses required) or test score requirement do not appear to offer a significant improvement to predicting the eventual success of a prospective student-athlete without potential undesirable consequences, including an increased number of false negatives (declaring as ineligible a student who likely would have graduated from college) and disparate impact on a subgroup of prospective student-athletes. Further, an approach that involves cumulative levels of academic risk, or "banding", appears to contradict the principle that the eligibility requirements should be simple, and easy to understand and implement. The "banding" concept also appears to be intrusive to institutional autonomy for managing admissions policies and academic risk.

The cabinet will consider further whether changes to the initial-eligibility requirements should affect an individual's receipt of athletically related financial aid or should be limited to eligibility for competition in the initial year of enrollment (e.g., enhanced grade-point average requirement to be immediately eligible for competition as a freshman student-athlete). The cabinet noted that continuing to offer the opportunity to receive aid, but not compete, in the first collegiate year will help preserve access to four-year collegiate institutions, which was an emphasis of the current initial-eligibility requirements. Additionally, the cabinet will consider whether the initial-eligibility requirements should be more closely tied to the two-year transfer eligibility requirements. The cabinet noted that more significant improvements in academic performance may come from revisions to the transfer requirements; however, the data are not yet available to make those recommendations. Further, the cabinet is interested in reviewing the pattern of core-course completion (i.e., how many core courses per subject and which core courses are completed during each year of high school) and will review that data as it becomes available.

Finally, prior to its next meeting the cabinet will continue to seek feedback from the membership and interested constituent groups and organizations (e.g., faculty, coaches and academic support associations) regarding its goals, principles and potential concepts for modifying the initial-eligibility standards.

b. **Revision of Initial-Eligibility Waiver Policies and Procedures.** The cabinet approved revisions to the NCAA Division I Initial-Eligibility Waivers Committee Policies and Procedures to amend the staff authority and to permit the staff to render initial decisions for all initial-eligibility waiver cases, including cases involving students with education-impacting disabilities. This change will be effective May 1, 2010. Currently, staff is permitted to render initial decisions for all initial-eligibility waiver cases except cases involving students with disabilities. This modification will allow the staff to make initial decisions on all waiver cases.

Greater staff authority will allow the waiver committee to serve as an appellate body for all waiver cases, including cases involving prospective student-athletes with education-impacting disabilities. Such a modification provides the same waiver process to a prospective student-athlete with an education-impacting disability as to all student-athletes.

c. Nontraditional Courses Used by Currently Enrolled Student-Athletes to Meet Full-Time Enrollment and Progress-Toward-Degree Requirements. The cabinet was joined by the chair of the Legislative Council to discuss the use of nontraditional courses by current student-athletes to meet full-time enrollment and progress-toward-degree requirements. The cabinet discussed several potential reasons for defeat of the previous legislative proposals related to this topic and noted that many were related to the timing and understanding of the proposals, rather than the intent and specific criteria proposed. The cabinet noted that the Legislative Council and NCAA Division I Board of Directors recognize the limitations of current legislation in this area and are supportive of updates to the legislation. The cabinet anticipates working with the Legislative Council to develop a proposal for the 2010-11 legislative cycle that will benefit the membership, while addressing past concerns.

d. NCAA Division I Basketball Academic Enhancement Group Update. The cabinet reviewed the final report of the Basketball Academic Enhancement Group and continues to support the goals of improving the academic preparation of men's basketball student-athletes and facilitating transitions from high school to college. To this end, the cabinet agrees with the group's recommendations regarding required assessment activities for incoming students, as well as with the priority placed on learning and study skills education. The cabinet emphasized the importance of balancing and advancing individuals' identities as students, not just athletes, and noted increased faculty engagement beyond standard classroom interaction would serve this purpose. In addition, the cabinet noted the NCAA's academic partner organizations (e.g., N4A, NACADA, faculty athletics representatives) should be engaged to develop appropriate study skills resources for incoming student-athletes.

The cabinet also acknowledges the significance of the nonacademic influences in a student-athlete's life and the importance of the coach/player relationship to enhancing the student-athlete's connection to the institution. However, the cabinet was concerned with the amount of time incoming student-athletes would be permitted to spend with the coaching staff in full-time athletics skill development activities, relative to the time spent on academic work as outlined in the working group's recommendations. The cabinet also discussed whether the proposed staggered start to practice provides any academic benefit.

Despite these concerns, several cabinet members were supportive of allowing some athletics skill development time in the summer to enhance coach/player relationships. Even so, they suggested a review of the summer recruiting calendar to identify revisions that would increase coaches' presence on campus during the summer so they could fully engage in permissible athletics skill development and in relationship building.

Finally, when considering the academic requirement that serves as a companion piece to the summer athletics skills development opportunity, many cabinet members supported an increase in the number of completed hours to be required of incoming student-athletes. Specifically, cabinet members suggested stipulating a requirement of six hours, of which no more than three hours could be earned in study skills courses, with remaining hours to be completed in courses that satisfy general education requirements or serve as prerequisites for such requirements (e.g., required remedial courses).

e. **NCAA Division I Football Academic Working Group Update.** The cabinet received an update on the work of the Football Academic Working Group. Specifically, the cabinet noted that the working group has reviewed feedback on its preliminary concepts and is considering whether to modify any of the recommendations. The cabinet also noted that the working group will continue its review of the time demands on football student-athletes during the fall. Finally, the cabinet anticipates having an opportunity to review the working group's final report at its February meeting, after the report is presented to the Board of Directors.

f. **Academic Performance of Women's Basketball Student-Athletes.** The cabinet noted that the NCAA Division I Women's Basketball Issues Committee began a review of the academic performance of women's basketball student-athletes in light of recent data indicating declining eligibility rates and increasing numbers of student-athletes departing their institutions while academically ineligible (i.e., "0/2" in the APR). The cabinet reviewed the academic-development concepts considered by the committee for entering prospective student-athletes and looks forward to the committee's future development of the ideas. The cabinet also discussed the opportunity to include an institutional assessment of each student-athlete's risk of not graduating as part of the recommendations to improve academic performance and graduation rates of women's basketball student-athletes. Finally, the cabinet noted that the committee's review is still in its preliminary stages and that final recommendations and proposals are anticipated to be ready for the 2010-11 legislative cycle.

g. Preliminary Results of Comprehensive Academic Support Services Survey (Academic Cabinet Priority Topic). The cabinet reviewed the preliminary results of a comprehensive study of academic support resources and practices for student-athletes at Division I institutions. Members of the cabinet worked with the NCAA research staff and representatives from the National Association of Academic Advisors for Athletics (N4A) and National Academic Advising Association (NACADA) to develop and conduct the survey. Division I institutions were surveyed on the support services provided for student-athletes; whether academic support services are required for all student-athletes or a particular subgroup of student-athletes; academic support staff, facilities and budgets; and the perceived effects of NCAA academic reform initiatives. Overall, responses were received from more than 90 percent of Division I member institutions, including at least 80 percent of the institutions in each Division I subdivision and a broad representation of resource levels. The research staff will continue to tabulate results and will provide a complete report to the cabinet at a later date.

h. Facilitating Learning and Achieving Graduation (FLAG) Update. The cabinet received an update regarding the development and implementation of the FLAG program. Specifically, the Graduation Risk Overview (GRO) module, which will help institutions to evaluate and quantify each student-athlete's risk of not graduating, is currently under development by the national office staff. The module will be piloted in the next several weeks, and the project is on track to be available to the membership during the 2009 fall term. Development of the second module of the FLAG program, which will help institutions identify support services based on an individual student-athlete's risk factors, will begin later this year.

i. Report from the NCAA Eligibility Center. The cabinet received its annual update from the Eligibility Center, including an overview of academic and amateurism certification activity to date for the 2009-10 academic year, the implementation of policies and operations related to recently adopted legislation (i.e., Proposal Nos. 2008-21, 2008-34, 2008-75 and 2008-77) and a review of outreach efforts to prospective student-athletes, high schools and member institutions. In addition, the Eligibility Center staff reported observations regarding the current secondary education environment, including unregulated high school activities, and discussed the implications for initial-eligibility certification. Finally, the cabinet learned the Eligibility Center is partnering with the Collegiate Commissioners Association Compliance Administrators (CCACA) to address issues raised by the membership and to improve the service provided to member institutions during the fall certification season.

j. Review of Two-Year College Transfer Student-Athletes. In anticipation of the cabinet's February meeting, the cabinet began preparations for its review of issues pertaining to two-year college transfer student-athletes. Preliminary data will be available to examine the academic performance of two-year college transfers and the cabinet will attempt to identify the academic profile(s) of successful two-year college transfers as it prepares to consider potential revisions to the two-year college transfer requirements. To this end, the cabinet also noted the importance of augmenting the review of data by engaging interested constituents in the cabinet's discussions. Specifically, the cabinet requested that research results be shared with representatives from the two-year community so that the cabinet could consider their feedback during its review. In addition, the cabinet will host representatives from academic support associations at its February meetings and will engage those representatives, particularly to discuss best practices and successful strategies for ensuring graduation among two-year college transfer student-athletes.

Committee Chair: Carolyn Callahan, University of Virginia, Atlantic Coast Conference
Cabinet Liaisons: Matt Burgemeister, Academic and Membership Affairs
Julie Cromer, Academic and Membership Affairs
Diane Dickman, Academic and Membership Affairs

REPORT OF THE NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION DIVISION I ADMINISTRATION CABINET

The NCAA Division I Administration Cabinet submits this report from its September 16, 2009, in-person meeting in Indianapolis, Indiana.

KEY ITEMS.

1. **Cost savings bylaw review**. At the request of the Leadership Council, the cabinet engaged in a discussion of possible cost savings measures in legislative areas for which it has been assigned oversight responsibility. The group noted that any such measures ultimately identified and prioritized by the Leadership Council should be mindful of and consistent with the Association's core mission. The cabinet noted the need to balance priorities with cost expenditures.

2. **Committee Appointment Process.** The cabinet discussed the importance of providing the membership additional education regarding the Division I committee nomination and selection processes. The cabinet supported the staff's suggestion that developing a Web-based session may be the most effective way to thoroughly educate the membership and also identified several topics to be included in the presentation.

3. **Governance assessment tool**. The cabinet reviewed a draft of a preliminary tool designed to assess the 31-multisport conferences' knowledge of the goals and objectives behind the recent changes to the Division I governance structure. The group offered a number of helpful comments and approved sending the survey instrument to the conferences. The cabinet also identified a tentative course of action for conducting a broader assessment of the new governance structure.

ACTION ITEMS.

1. **Legislative Items.**

   - None.

2. **Nonlegislative Items.**

   - None.

INFORMATION ITEMS.

1. Governance updates.

a. Report of the Administration Cabinet. The cabinet received the report of the June 30, 2009, Administration Cabinet meeting and approved the interim actions of the Administrative Committee of the Administration Cabinet.

b. Governance Reports. In keeping with Division I's efforts to enhance the level of governance communication and develop a more coordinated governance agenda, the cabinet received status reports from the Communications and Coordination Committee, the Board of Directors, and the Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee. [Note: During the discussion of governance reports, the cabinet reviewed Board identified priorities for this academic year.]

2. Cost savings bylaw review. At the request of the Leadership Council, the cabinet engaged in a discussion of possible cost savings measures in legislative areas for which it has been assigned oversight responsibility. The group noted that any such measures ultimately identified and prioritized by the Leadership Council should be mindful of and consistent with the Association's core mission. The cabinet noted the need to balance priorities with cost expenditures. The cabinet recommended that the Leadership Council sponsor a proposal to establish a process for casting override votes on legislative proposals that does not require an institution to be present at the NCAA Convention Division I Business Session. It noted that such a proposal not only would result in reduced costs, but would reflect a more accurate representation of the "one institution/one vote" principle. The cabinet also identified the following additional suggestions for consideration:

a. Conferences, cabinets and committees developing a legislative proposal should produce a "real time" [as opposed to varying or potential] cost impact as part of the proposal's budget implications.

b. Reduction in length of time for in-person cabinet/committee meetings [particularly those that involve student-athletes' missing class time].

c. The necessity for a "cultural shift" of the Division I philosophy in order to engage in successful deregulation efforts that result in cost savings.

3. Committee Appointments.

a. Discussion regarding ways to better educate the membership about the committee nomination process. The cabinet discussed the importance of providing the membership additional education regarding the Division I committee nomination and selection processes. The cabinet supported the staff's suggestion that developing a Web-based session may be the most effective way to thoroughly educate the membership. It suggested that in the Web-based session should include an overview or explanations of the following topics:

(1) The functionality of the NCAA committee nominating site.
(2) The process and timeline for committee appointments.
(3) Best practices for conferences in submitting the nominees, including a suggestion to pay special attention to committee qualifications.
(4) Cabinet selection priorities and policies.
(5) Macro issues impacting the committee appointment process.
(6) The need to present clear and complete nomination materials setting forth not only the nominee's qualifications but the factors that make the nominee appropriate for the committee.
(7) Issues that can impact consideration of a nominee's application in addition to the nominee's qualifications and the quality of the nomination materials.
(8) The politics surrounding the committee nomination process and suggestions regarding how best to appropriately address this concern, especially for those conferences that do not have a current seat on the cabinet.

b. Plans for February committee appointments. As the new Division I governance structure completed its first year anniversary, cabinet members offered their personal assessments of their work to-date. Most indicated the cabinet has made great strides in seeking to identify the most qualified individuals for committee positions. The group noted they hope to continue to make improvements to this process and agreed that at its February 2010 meeting, the cabinet would consider the entire slate of nominees rather than only those nominees whose names were forwarded by a cabinet member for consideration. The cabinet additionally talked at length about the best way to ensure that nominees from all 31 multisport conferences receive fair consideration in the process, regardless of whether their conference is currently represented on the cabinet. The group discussed the importance of "checking their conference affiliation at the door" during cabinet meetings and encouraged the staff

from the ten conferences not currently represented on the cabinet to contact cabinet members to share information regarding nominees.

c. Committee nominations. The cabinet approved appointments to Division I and Association-wide committees. A list of the appointments and reappointments is attached.

d. Request for term extension. The Administration Cabinet approved a one-year term extension for Drew Galbraith, associate director of athletics, Dartmouth College, chair of the Men's and Women's Skiing Committee. The Skiing Committee requested the extension due to ongoing discussions regarding restructuring of regional allocations and the difficulty in identifying eligible administrator nominees.

e. Length of student-athletes' terms on Division I committees once they have exhausted eligibility. The cabinet requested the Division I Men's Basketball Issues, Women's Basketball Issues and Football Issues Committees examine whether legislatively proscribed term should be established for student-athletes serving on those committees. Currently, the committees' composition does not include specific student-athlete representative term limits, yet rarely do student-athletes serve on those committees after the exhaustion of eligibility. [Note: A number of committees have specific legislative requirements that state a student-athlete may serve on a committee up to one year after completion of his or her intercollegiate athletics eligibility.]

4. Division I membership update.

a. Advancement of Reclassifying Member. The cabinet advanced North Carolina Central University to Year Three of the Division I membership reclassification process, effective immediately.

b. Waiver of Sports Sponsorship Requirements. The cabinet approved a waiver request pursuant to NCAA Bylaw 20.9.4.3.8.1 from Nicholls State regarding minimum contest requirements in the sport of women's cross country to permit the institution to use the sport to meet minimum sports sponsorship requirements for the 2008-09 academic year.

c. Feedback to the Leadership Council regarding membership issues. Based on its experience with the current process for monitoring the progress of institutions

reclassifying to Division I membership, the cabinet identified and agreed to forward the following concerns to the Leadership Council as it continues its discussion of Division I membership requirements.

(1) Poor academic performance rates.
(2) The financial aid budget. [sources of funding predominantly being student fees or institutional funds outside the athletics department]
(3) The role of conferences as gatekeepers.
(4) Staffing responsibilities. [a lack of full-time staff members, full-time staff members performing multiple tasks]
(5) Compliance/monitoring rules violations.
(6) Low attendance numbers/deficient marketing strategies.
(7) Commitment to diversity.
(8) Cabinet's oversight role in the reclassifying process.

5. Discussion regarding governance activities.

a. Update on efforts to develop a governance assessment tool. The cabinet reviewed a draft of a preliminary tool designed to assess the 31-multisport conferences' knowledge of the goals and objectives behind the recent changes to the Division I governance structure. The group offered a number of helpful comments and approved sending the survey instruments to the conferences. The cabinet also identified a tentative course of action for conducting a broader assessment of the new governance structure. This course of action includes:

(1) Sending a brief survey instrument similar to the one sent to the conferences to Division I institutions;
(2) Conducting interviews with chairs of the cabinets and councils;
(3) Conducting exit interviews with departing cabinet and council members;
(4) Surveying the Board as to its understanding of the goals and objectives for the new structure;
(5) Developing a more detailed survey for both conferences and member institutions about their level of satisfaction with the current governance structure.

b. Enhancing the level of diversity. The cabinet was informed that the Leadership Council at its October 6, 2009, meeting is expected to begin its work in earnest regarding ways to enhance the level of diversity serving at the Division I cabinet and council levels. The cabinet was provided a discussion document prepared to assist the Leadership Council and it discussed the complexity of the issues, especially given the role conferences play in the nomination process at the cabinet and council levels. The group was encouraged to provide any comments or suggestions regarding the discussion document to staff in advance of the Leadership Council meeting.

c. Division I programming at the 2010 NCAA Convention. The cabinet was informed of proposed topics for the NCAA Convention's Division I Issues Forum, scheduled for January 15, 2010. Cabinet members were requested to provide NCAA staff with feedback regarding the proposed topics and asked to provide NCAA staff with ideas for additional discussion topics.

6. Review of proposal referred to the cabinet from the 2009-10 cycle. The cabinet reviewed a Division I legislative proposal assigned to it for review that will be considered by the Division I membership as part of the 2009-10 legislative cycle and offered the following comments:

2009-83 – Playing and Practice Seasons and Division Membership –Regulations For Playing Season and Minimum Contest Requirements For Sports Sponsorship-Women's Sand Volleyball Intent: In women's sand volleyball, to establish the playing and practice season and the minimum number of contests necessary for sports sponsorship purposes, as specified.

Cabinet's Comments : The cabinet supported subparagraph b of the Proposal No. 2009-83, which requires an institution's women's sand volleyball team to participate in a minimum of eight contests, of which no less than three shall be dual, one-day competitions in which five two-person teams compete [institution versus institution on a single day on which no other competition occurs]. The group noted that the minimum eight contest requirement for sports sponsorship purposes may require adjustment if the maximum limitation of 16 contests set forth in the proposal is amended. [For-11, Against -0, Abstain -8]

7. Life and Work Balance Initiative. Over the course of this past year, the cabinet heard about the NCAA Executive Committee's charges to the division to consider possible legislative options to promote life-work balance and learned about on-going efforts to develop supporting best practices and policies. At this meeting, the cabinet was provided an opportunity to offer any recommended policy or legislative changes designed to enhance a sense of life-work balance. The cabinet elected not to recommend any related policy initiatives or legislative changes at this time. It noted that while the issue of work-life balance is exceedingly important, it felt that, given the NCAA's many other priorities and initiatives, it is more appropriate for the issues to be addressed by other entities (e.g. coaches associations, institutions, conferences).

8. Brief update from the NCAA Research Committee. Given the cabinet's jurisdiction over the NCAA Research Committee, the cabinet received an update regarding the NCAA research staff's recent activities in both academic and non-academic areas, including: research on the academic success of transfer student-athletes; research on initial eligibility issues and historical standards; study of academic support units on Division I campuses; development of financial dashboard indicators; and tracking of sports sponsorship, participation rates, and student-athlete, coach and administrator ethnicity and gender trends. Additionally, the cabinet was informed of a policy change implemented by the NCAA to permit sharing its research data with select outside entities.

Cabinet chair: *Rob Halvaks, Big West Conference*
Cabinet liaisons: *Beth DeBauche, governance*
Steve Mallonee, academic and membership affairs
Delise O'Meally, membership and governance
Dave Schnase, academic and membership affairs
Sharon Tufano, governance

COMMITTEE APPOINTMENTS

Committee on Competitive Safeguards and Medical Aspects of Sports (effective immediately):

- Eric Hall, faculty athletics representative, Elon University, Southern Conference.

Honors Committee (effective January 2010):

- Reappoint Roxanne Levenson, associate director of athletics, Pepperdine University. West Coast Conference
- Appoint Barbara Chesler, senior associate director of athletics, Yale University, Ivy Group.

Division I Women's Basketball Issues Committee (effective immediately):

- Anya Covington, women's basketball student-athlete, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Big Ten Conference.

Division I Initial-Eligibility Waivers Committee (effective January 2010):

- Andrew Shoemaker, program associate II, LD specialist, University of Kansas, Big 12 Conference.
- Consuelo Stebbins, faculty athletics representative, University of Central Florida, Conference USA.
- Kim Callicoatte, associate director of athletics, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, Atlantic-10 Conference.
- Charles Fourtner, faculty athletics representative, University of Buffalo, the State University of New York, Mid-American Conference.
- Rodrick Perry, associate director of athletics, Wright State University, Horizon League.

Women's Gymnastics Committee (effective immediately):

- Bev Plocki, head women's gymnastics coach, University of Michigan, Big Ten Conference.

Division I Student-Athlete Reinstatement Committee (effective immediately):

- Megan McHugo, assistant director, Ivy Group.

A G E N D A

National Collegiate Athletic Association

Division I Amateurism Cabinet

NCAA National Office
Indianapolis, Indiana

September 24, 2009

1. Opening remarks and review of schedule. [Supplement No. 1]

2. Review of June 22 and 23, 2009, Division I Amateurism Cabinet meeting report. [Supplement No. 2]

3. Guiding principles. [See Supplement No. 2]

4. Review of legislative proposals. [Supplement No. 3]

    a. Definition of a professional team.

        i. Ice Hockey update. [Supplement No. 4]

        ii. Water Polo update. [Supplement No. 5]

    b. NHL Combine amendment. [See Supplement No. 4]

5. Collaboration Zone.

6. Litigation update.

7. Policies and Practices Task Force.

8. Bundled Rights Agreement.

9. Student-athlete likeness issue. [Supplement No. 6]

    a. Examples of New Media. [Supplement No. 7]

    b. Framework for proposed legislation.

10. Review of August 12, 2009, Division I Communications and Coordination Committee report. [Supplement No. 8]

11. Student-Athlete Advisory Committee report.

12. Eligibility Center report.

    a. General update.

    b. Penalty Cases update.

    c. Appeal Cases update.

    d. Fact-Finding Committee update.

    e. Pilot Program update.

    f. Policies and Procedures.

        i. Bylaw 12 jurisdictional amendment. [Supplement No. 9]

        ii. In-Person interviews. [Supplement No. 10]

        iii. Third-party legal representation. [Supplement No. 11]

    g. New registration Web site presentation.

    h. Legislative impact of new registration Web site. [Supplement No. 12]

    i. Practice blanket waiver. [Supplement No. 13]

13. Bylaws and practices review.

    a. Update from National Association for Athletics Compliance (NAAC).

14. News articles. [Supplement No. 14]

15. Summary of key items and takeaways.

16. Other business.

17. Future meeting dates.

    a. February 25 and 26, 2010, NCAA National Office, Indianapolis, Indiana.

    b. June 24 and 25, 2010, NCAA National Office, Indianapolis, Indiana.

18. Adjournment.

REPORT OF THE NCAA DIVISION I AWARDS, BENEFITS, EXPENSES AND FINANCIAL AID CABINET SEPTEMBER 17-18, 2009, MEETING

ACTION ITEMS.

1. Legislative Items.

- None.

2. Nonlegislative Items.

- None.

KEY ITEMS.

1. Discussion of Financial Aid Models. The NCAA Division I Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet continued its review of the financial aid items prioritized during its February 2009 meeting. Models related to the current financial aid legislation were reviewed to determine the level of impact the suggested changes would have on student-athlete well-being, team financial aid limits, the athletics department and the availability of financial aid for the general student body. The cabinet then prioritized the elements from the models. The cabinet directed the staff to develop a discussion document to obtain feedback from the broader membership. It is anticipated that this document will be disseminated to the membership in time for the 2010 NCAA Convention.

2. One-Year Period of Award Legislation (NCAA Bylaw 15.3.3.1). The cabinet continued its discussion of the merits of current NCAA legislation limiting athletically related financial aid to a maximum of one year. The cabinet agreed to maintain the one-year limit because it allows an institution the autonomy to provide the most deserving student-athletes athletics financial aid and ensures the best product available to the general public. Members of the cabinet believe that athletics financial aid is a "merit" award and an annual review of whether an individual meets the standards of a merit award is the most appropriate way to ensure that the most deserving student-athletes receive that award each year. Finally, it was noted that the financial aid hearing opportunity best practices document includes suggested best practices on notifying and educating student-athletes on the reasons athletics aid may be cancelled or reduced. The cabinet agreed to include additional information related to the expectations of the

institution and student-athlete as they relate to renewing and receiving athletics financial aid.

3. Directive to Review Division I Bylaws. At the direction of the NCAA Division I Board of Directors, the cabinet engaged in an examination of Bylaws 15 and 16, and developed legislative recommendations that could provide financial and operational relief to the membership. In addition to the recommendations located in the legislative informational items section of this report, the cabinet also discussed, but did not forward recommendations for, decreasing the number of complimentary tickets to championships for student-athletes and reducing the value limits for participation awards. The cabinet believes these decisions are more appropriately left to the discretion of an institution.

***INFORMATIONAL ITEMS*.**

1. Legislative Informational Items.

a. The Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet Respectfully Submits the Following Legislative Concepts as a Result of Its Review of Division I Bylaws as Directed by the Board of Directors.

(1) Bylaw 15.3.3.1.1 -- Financial Aid -- Terms and Conditions of Awarding Institutional Financial Aid -- Period of Institutional Financial Aid Award -- One-Year Period -- Exceptions.

(a) Recommendation. The cabinet agreed to request consideration of legislation to create an additional exception to the one-year period legislation to specify that a student-athlete who graduates during the previous academic year (including summer) may receive athletically related financial aid for less than one academic year, provided the student will exhaust his or her remaining athletics eligibility during the fall term.

(b) Rationale. Under current legislation, NCAA rules prohibit an institution from awarding athletics aid for less than one academic year to a student-athlete with eligibility remaining who has already graduated prior to the academic year in question. The cabinet noted that it is appropriate to allow institutional discretion to determine, on a case-by-case basis, whether to provide athletics aid for less than one year to a student-athlete with eligibility remaining who has already graduated prior to the academic year

in question. Currently, an institution must provide a one-year athletics aid agreement to such a student-athlete and he or she may not genuinely be interested in attending the entire spring semester. In many cases, a student-athlete may attend classes at the beginning of the spring or winter term in order to obtain their scholarship and then focus on training for their professional career. Permitting an institution to review the appropriateness of a second term of athletics aid in these situations could save the institution money if it decides a student-athlete is not engaged enough academically to provide them athletics aid for the second semester.

(2) Bylaw 16.8.1.2.1.1 -- Awards, Benefits and Expenses for Enrolled Student-Athletes -- Expenses Provided by the Institution for Practice and Competition -- Permissible -- Departure/Return Expense Restrictions -- Exceptions.

(a) Recommendation. The cabinet agreed to request consideration of legislation to create an additional exception to the departure/return expense restrictions to specify that an eligible student-athlete may receive actual and necessary travel expenses to represent the institution in athletics competition, provided the student-athlete departs for the competition no earlier than 60 hours prior to the start of the actual competition, the institution can demonstrate overall cost savings, the student-athletes do not miss additional scheduled class time due to the earlier departure and the institution's faculty athletics representative certifies in writing, prior to the travel, that student-athletes miss no additional scheduled class and that there is overall cost savings. Further, the recommendation would specify that the institution must include any additional costs incurred due to the earlier departure (e.g., hotel nights, meals) when evaluating whether there is overall cost savings.

(b) Rationale. Under the current legislation, if an institution's team would like to depart campus more than 48 hours prior to the actual competition due to a documented cost savings related to travel, a waiver must be filed with the NCAA Division I Legislative Council Subcommittee for Legislative Relief. Over the past three years, approximately 140 waivers have been filed for relief of the departure/return expense restrictions. Of those requests, approximately 30 percent involved assertions related to cost

savings. Such waivers are typically granted, provided student-athletes do not miss additional class time as a result of the earlier departure and the institution's faculty athletics representative approved the submission of the waiver request. Under this proposal, if the earlier departure requires additional costs (e.g., hotel nights, meals), the institution must document that overall cost savings result from departing outside of the 48-hour period. Finally, while this proposal would result in student-athletes missing additional campus time, the requirement that such travel must receive prior, written approval from the institution's faculty athletics representative ensures an appropriate academic review.

(3) Bylaw 16.8.1.2.1.1-(e) -- Awards, Benefits and Expenses for Enrolled Student-Athletes -- Expenses Provided by the Institution for Practice and Competition -- Permissible -- Departure/Return Expense Restrictions -- Exceptions.

(a) Recommendation. The cabinet agreed to request consideration of legislation to create an exception to the departure/return expense legislation to specify that return transportation following contests in NCAA championship events, National Governing Body (NGB) championships in emerging sports or licensed postseason football games that take place during the institution's official vacation period during the academic year or between regular academic terms are exempt from the requirement that a student-athlete remain no longer than 36 hours following the conclusion of the competition in order to receive actual and necessary expenses to return to campus, provided the return transportation expenses are equal to or less than the value of the return travel purchased by the team (i.e., within 36 hours following the conclusion of the actual competition).

(b) Rationale. Under the current legislation, when an institution is participating in an NCAA championship event, NGB championship in emerging sports or a licensed postseason football game that takes place during the institutional vacation period and classes are not in session, the institution may provide return transportation to any student-athlete who chooses to stay in the locale of the competition for an extended period after the competition, regardless of the cost. This proposal would modify the current rule to specify that return transportation expenses may be provided by the institution to the student-athlete only if the cost

for such expenses is equal to or less than the value of the return travel purchased by the team that occurs within 36 hours following the conclusion of the actual competition. This proposal is intended to provide some savings related to post-competition travel.

(4) Bylaw 16.8.1.5.1 -- Awards, Benefits and Expenses for Enrolled Student-Athletes -- Expenses Provided by the Institution for Practice and Competition -- Permissible -- Travel to NCAA Championships, NGB Championships in Emerging Sports and Postseason Bowl Games during Vacation Period.

(a) Recommendation. The cabinet agreed to request consideration of an amendment to Bylaw 16.8.1.5.1.1-(a) to specify that an institution may only provide (in lieu of team transportation) the actual transportation costs incurred by the student-athlete to travel from: (1) campus to the event site and back to campus; (2) campus to the student-athlete's home and back to campus; or (3) the student-athlete's home to the event site and back home. Further, to specify that the institution may provide actual transportation costs only if the student-athlete either returns to campus on his or her own or travels home from the event site.

(b) Rationale. The current legislation permits an institution to provide (in lieu of team transportation) the greater of the transportation costs for the student-athlete to travel from: (1) campus to the event site and back to campus; (2) campus to the student-athlete's home and back to campus; or (3) the student-athlete's home to the event site and back home. Under this legislation, if an institution chooses to provide the greater amount of the transportation costs, the student-athlete may retain funds intended to be used for transportation expenses even though the student-athlete did not use the most expensive option of travel. This proposal would ensure that institutions are providing a student-athlete with the actual costs associated with his or her travel and would likely result in savings, particularly for bowl travel. For example, a student-athlete who travels with the team to the event site and the student-athlete travels from the event site to their home (in lieu of team transportation), the institution would be limited to providing the actual cost of the student-athlete's trip home from the event site.

b. Comments on Proposals in the 2009-10 Legislative Cycle Referred to the Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet. The cabinet reviewed Division I legislative proposals assigned to it for review that will be considered by the Division I membership as part of the 2009-10 legislative cycle and offered the following comments:

(1) NCAA Proposal No. 2009-12 -- Personnel -- Definitions and Applications -- Undergraduate Student-Assistant Coach. The cabinet agreed to oppose NCAA Proposal No. 2009-12 as written, which would permit a student-athlete to serve as an undergraduate student-assistant coach at the institution at which the student-athlete most recently participated in intercollegiate athletics, provided the student-athlete is currently enrolled at the institution as a full-time undergraduate student and he or she renounces any remaining eligibility in the sport; further, to specify that the limit of undergraduate student-assistant coaches in each sport shall be the same as the limit of countable coaches permitted in the sport. The cabinet recommended the sponsor modify the proposal to eliminate the concept of renouncing eligibility and specify that a student assistant coach is not restricted to serving as a student assistant coach within the five-year eligibility period (no other changes to Bylaw 11.01.4). The cabinet expressed concerns related to the concept of student-athletes renouncing their eligibility, noting that this practice could be used to "run off" underperforming student-athletes. The cabinet's recommendation is intended to maintain the majority of the current rule but allow individuals to return to school after a period of time away. Thus, a student assistant coach may be a graduate student and could serve in such capacity outside of his or her five-year eligibility clock. The cabinet noted such student-coaches remain eligible to receive institutional financial aid beyond the five-year eligibility clock based on Bylaw 15.01.5 which specifies that such students may receive earned athletics aid. Finally, the cabinet noted this modification may still encourage student-athletes who depart their institution prior to graduating to return and finish their baccalaureate degrees, allowing institutions to earn additional NCAA Division I Academic Progress Rate points.

(2) Proposal No. 2009-28 -- Recruiting -- Contacts and Evaluations -- Telephone Calls -- Official and Unofficial Visits -- Offers of Financial Aid -- Tryouts -- Women's Soccer. The cabinet took no position on Proposal No. 2009-28 which would, in women's soccer, revise or establish restrictions related to contacts, telephone calls official and unofficial visits, offers of financial aid and involvement in nonscholastic-based soccer programs, as specified. The cabinet applauded the leadership

displayed by the Southeastern Conference on this issue and supports the concept. However, the cabinet encourages the NCAA Division I Legislative Council to table the proposal until the NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet completes its review of early recruitment for potential solutions applicable to all sports.

(3) Proposal No. 2009-29 -- Recruiting and Eligibility -- Four-Year Prospective Student-Athletes -- Permission to Contact and Transfer Release -- Response to Request and Hearing Opportunity. The cabinet agreed to oppose Proposal No. 2009-29 as written, which would specify that an institution shall grant or deny a request to permit another institution to contact a student-athlete about transferring or a request for release as a condition of the one-time transfer exception within seven calendar days of receipt of the request; further, to specify that if the request is denied, the institution shall conduct a hearing within fourteen calendar days of receipt of the student-athlete's written request and that the student-athlete shall be provided the opportunity to actively participate in the hearing. The cabinet recommends the sponsor modify the proposal to specify that an institution shall grant or deny a request to permit another institution to contact a student-athlete about transferring or a request for release as a condition of the one-time transfer exception within seven business days of receipt of the request; further, to specify that if the request is denied, the institution shall conduct a hearing and provide the student-athlete with a written response within 15 business days of receipt of the student-athlete's written request. The cabinet noted this part of the recommendation is the same as the recommendation made by the NCAA Division I Academic Cabinet. However, the cabinet expressed concern about regulating the method of interaction that must occur during the hearing. In the area of financial aid hearings, the cabinet has decided that the process by which interaction occurs during hearings should be left to institutional discretion and would recommend that same autonomy for transfer hearings.

(4) Proposal No. 2009-47 -- Recruiting -- Letter of Intent Programs, Financial Aid Agreements -- Written Offer of Aid Before Signing Date -- Football. The cabinet took no position on Proposal No. 2009-47 which would, in football, specify that prior to August 1 of a prospective student-athlete's senior year in high school, an institution shall not provide a written offer of athletically related financial aid or indicate in writing to the prospective student-athlete that an athletically related grant-in-aid will be offered by the institution. The cabinet applauded the leadership displayed by the Big East Conference on this issue and supports the concept. However, the cabinet recommended the Legislative Council table the proposal until the

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet completes its review of early recruitment for potential solutions applicable to all sports.

(5) Proposal No. 2009-48 -- Recruiting and Financial Aid -- Letter-of-Intent Programs, Financial Aid Agreements -- Letter of Intent Restriction -- Limitation on Number of Signings -- Bowl Subdivision Football. The cabinet agreed to support Proposal No. 2009-48 which would, in bowl subdivision football, specify that there shall be an annual limit of 28 on the number of prospective student-athletes who may sign a National Letter of Intent or an institutional offer of financial aid from the initial signing date of the National Letter of Intent through May 31. The cabinet agreed with the sponsor's rationale for the proposal.

(6) Proposal No. 2009-66 -- Eligibility -- Transfer Regulations -- Four-Year College Transfers -- Competition or Receipt of Athletically Related Financial Aid in Year of Transfer -- Tennis. The cabinet agreed to oppose Proposal No. 2009-66 which would, in tennis, specify that a transfer student from a four-year institution who enrolls at the certifying institution as a full-time student after the conclusion of the first term of the academic year and qualifies for an exception to the one-year residence requirement shall not be eligible for competition until the following academic year if he or she has competed during the same academic year or received athletically related financial aid during the same academic year from the previous four-year institution. The cabinet expressed concern that the proposal is overly restrictive and noted that the current legislation for tennis student-athletes who transfer at midyear is appropriate.

(7) Proposal No. 2009-67 -- Financial Aid -- Terms and Conditions of Awarding Institutional Financial Aid -- One-Year Period -- De Minimis Violation. The cabinet agreed to support Proposal No. 2009-67 which would specify that a violation of the financial aid regulations in which financial aid is awarded for less than one academic year shall be considered an institutional violation, but shall not affect the student-athlete's eligibility. The cabinet agreed with the sponsor's rationale for the proposal and recommended the proposal be considered noncontroversial legislation.

(8) Proposal No. 2009-68 -- Financial Aid -- Midyear Replacement -- Graduation during Previous Year -- Women's Volleyball. The cabinet agreed to support Proposal No. 2009-68 which would, in women's volleyball, specify that the financial aid of a counter who graduates at midyear or who graduates during the previous academic year (including

summer) may be provided to another student-athlete without making the second student-athlete a counter for the remainder of that academic year. The cabinet agreed with the sponsor's rationale.

(9) Proposal No. 2009-69 -- Financial Aid -- Maximum Institutional Grant-in-Aid Limitations by Sport -- Equivalency Computations -- Exceptions -- Academic Honor Awards -- Transfer Students. The cabinet took no position on Proposal No. 2009-69 which would specify that institutional academic honor awards that are part of an institution's normal arrangements for academic scholarships, based solely on the recipient's cumulative academic record from all collegiate institutions previously attended and awarded independently of athletics interests and in amounts consistent with the pattern of all such awards made by the institution, may be exempted from a team's equivalency computation, provided the recipient achieved a cumulative transferable grade-point average of at least 3.300 (based on a maximum of 4.000). The cabinet recommended the Legislative Council table this proposal to provide time for the cabinet to incorporate this concept into its broader examination of the financial aid legislation, which includes a review of the criteria for these scholarships. It is anticipated that this review will be completed by June 2010.

(10) Proposal No. 2009-71 -- Awards, Benefits and Expenses for Enrolled Student-Athletes -- Housing and Meals -- Permissible Preseason Practice Expenses -- De Minimis Violation. The cabinet agreed to support Proposal No. 2009-71 which would specify that an inadvertent violation of the preseason practice expenses legislation shall be considered an institutional violation, but shall not affect the student-athlete's eligibility. The cabinet agreed with the sponsor's rationale for the proposal and recommended the proposal be considered noncontroversial legislation.

(11) Proposal No. 2009-72 -- Awards, Benefits and Expenses -- Expenses for Student-Athlete's Friends and Relatives -- Reasonable Refreshments. The cabinet agreed to support Proposal No. 2009-72, which would permit an institution to provide the family (e.g., parents or legal guardians, relatives) of a student-athlete with reasonable refreshments (e.g., soft drink, snacks) in conjunction with educational meetings or celebratory events (e.g., senior night) and on an occasional basis for other reasons. The cabinet agreed with the sponsor's rationale for the proposal.

(12) Proposal No. 2009-73 -- Awards, Benefits and Expenses -- Expenses Provided by the Institution for Practice and Competition -- Nonpermissible -- Lodging in Conjunction with a Regular-Season Home

Contest. The cabinet agreed to support Proposal No. 2009-73 which would specify that an institution shall not provide lodging (e.g., hotel, motel, resort) to student-athletes in conjunction with a regular-season home contest, unless the competition site is located more than 25 miles from its campus. Some cabinet members noted concerns with the environment on campus during a Friday night before a home football contest; however, the majority of the cabinet members agreed with the sponsor's rationale for the proposal.

(13) Proposal No. 2009-74 -- Awards, Benefits and Expenses -- Benefits, Gifts and Services -- Occasional Meals -- Location of Meal Provided by Representative of Athletics Interests. The cabinet agreed to oppose Proposal No. 2009-74, which would permit a representative of the institution's athletics interests to provide an occasional meal to a student-athlete or the entire team in a sport on campus or in a facility that is regularly used for home competition. The cabinet expressed concerns regarding monitoring such meals on campus (e.g., tailgate sites) and noted the current legislation provides adequate safeguards against abuse.

c. Other Legislative Comments.

- None.

2. Nonlegislative Informational Items.

a. Outreach to Financial Aid Community. The cabinet received an update from the staff related to outreach efforts (e.g., communication, education) to the financial aid community. Since the June 2009 meeting, the staff has obtained a contact with National Association of Student Financial Aid Administrators (NASFAA) and will begin efforts to communicate NCAA financial aid issues to the financial aid community (e.g., NASFAA quarterly magazine). The cabinet will continue to receive updates on the staff's progress with these initiatives during future meetings.

b. Best Practices for Conducting Financial Aid Hearing Opportunities. The cabinet received an update from the staff on the best practices document related to the application of the financial aid hearing opportunity legislation and the renewal/nonrenewal process. Specifically, this document is now posted on the NCAA Web site and is available for the membership to use as a resource. The cabinet will regularly review and update this resource as necessary at future meetings.

c. Items Submitted by Cabinet Members. The cabinet discussed the following items that were submitted by cabinet members:

(1) Oversigning of Prospective Student-Athletes. The cabinet engaged in a discussion of the issue of oversigning prospective student-athletes and the concern that some prospective student-athletes are being directed by institutions to pursue other options based on the institution signing more prospective student-athletes to grant-in-aid agreements than the team has room to include within its financial aid limits for the upcoming academic year. The cabinet recommended that conference offices collect information on the signing practices of its institutions by identifying the number of prospects signed beyond the available athletics aid and the number of signees who do not enroll and the reason(s) for not enrolling. The cabinet is interested in reviewing such information if, over the course of the next several National Letter of Intent signing periods, there appears to be a student-athlete well-being issue due to oversigning practices. Thus, conference offices are encouraged to bring the issue to the cabinet's attention for future discussion if the information indicates there is an issue.

(2) Eligibility for Financial Aid for Student-Athletes who have Exhausted Eligibility. The cabinet reviewed current NCAA rules on eligibility for institutional financial aid (e.g., athletics financial aid) and how those rules impact a student-athlete who has exhausted eligibility but returned to complete additional credit hours required to receive their degree. Specifically, the cabinet discussed a situation involving an exhausted eligibility student-athlete who needs to complete fewer than 12 credit hours to graduate with his or her degree, but the institution does not offer the necessary credit hours during the fall term; thus, the student-athlete must enroll during both the fall and spring terms in order to graduate. Under the current legislation, it is permissible for an institution to award

institutional financial aid to a student-athlete who has exhausted eligibility and is enrolled in less than a minimum full-time program of studies, provided the student-athlete is carrying for credit the courses necessary to complete degree requirements. In the scenario raised by the cabinet member, since the student-athlete will not be carrying for credit the courses necessary for graduation during the fall term, the student-athlete would be required to enroll initially as a full-time student during the fall term in order to receive institutional financial aid. The cabinet agreed to defer further discussion of this issue until its February 2010 meeting.

(3) Full Grant-in-Aid Limit for Student-Athletes Who Live at Home. The cabinet reviewed the current application of financial aid rules and interpretations as they relate to student-athletes who live at home during the academic year. Specifically, the cabinet reviewed a situation involving a student-athlete who resides with his or her parents or legal guardians and, per institutional policy, is assigned a room and board figure that is less than that used for students who reside off campus, but do not reside with their parents of legal guardians. Some cabinet members expressed concern that coaches of equivalency sports may decide to award such student-athletes less athletics aid, or not award such student-athletes any athletics aid, because the student-athlete's lower room and board figure results in the student-athlete's equivalency being higher than that of another student-athlete who resides off campus, even if the two student-athletes are provided identical awards of athletics aid (e.g., tuition). The cabinet agreed to defer further discussion of this issue until its February 2010 meeting.

(4) Follow-up from June Discussion on Atlantic Coast Conference Items. The cabinet learned that the Atlantic Coast Conference continues to discuss potential legislative changes related to maximum board plans and to the $400 value assigned to books for equivalency computations.

Committee Chair: M. Grace Calhoun, Indiana University, Bloomington, Big Ten Conference
Staff Liaisons: *Brad Hostetter, Academic and Membership Affairs*
Vanessa Fuchs, Academic and Membership Affairs
Kris Richardson, Academic and Membership Affairs

REPORT OF THE
NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION
DIVISION I CHAMPIONSHIPS/SPORTS MANAGEMENT CABINET

Indianapolis Marriott Downtown
Indianapolis, Indiana
September 15-16, 2009

REPORT OF THE
NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION
DIVISION I CHAMPIONSHIPS/SPORTS MANAGEMENT CABINET

Indianapolis Marriott Downtown
Indianapolis, Indiana

September 15-16, 2009

Participants:

Sherri Booker, Atlantic Sun Conference
Greg Burke, Northwestern State University
Joan Cronan, University of Tennessee, Knoxville
Susan Delaney-Scheetz, Pennsylvania State University
Connie Dillon, University of Oklahoma
Jim Fallis, Northern Arizona University
Jim Fiore, Stony Brook University
Tom Hickman, Winthrop University
Christine Hoyles, Pacific-10 Conference
Myndee Kay Larsen, The Summit League
Patrick Lyons, Iona College
Erin McDermott, Princeton University
Jane Miller, University of Virginia
Marilyn Moniz-Kaho'ohanohano, University of Hawaii, Manoa
Daniel Neault, student-athlete from the University of the Pacific
Bruce Rasmussen, Creighton University
Nance Reed, Towson University
Judy Rose, University of North Carolina, Charlotte
Jim Schmidt, University of Illinois, Chicago
Rosemary A. Shea, College of Holy Cross
Paula Smith, University of California, Irvine
Jon A. Steinbrecher, Mid-American Conference
Mike Thomas, University of Cincinnati
Keith Tribble, University of Central Florida
Rick Villarreal, University of North Texas
Bradley K. Walker, Ohio Valley Conference
Larry Williams, University of Portland
Steve Brown, NCAA
Joni Comstock, NCAA
Brad Hostetter, NCAA
Carol Reep, NCAA
Dave Schnase, NCAA

David Blank, Elon University; Jeff Schemmel, San Diego State University; and Jeffrey Stapleton, Monmouth University, were not able to attend. Geoff Cabe, senior associate commissioner at the Southern Conference, attended as an alternate for David Blank; Raynoid Dedeaux, director of championships at the Mid-Eastern Athletic Conference attended representing the conference; Carolayne Henry, associate commissioner at the Mountain West Conference, attended as an alternate for Jeff Schemmel; and Robert S. Krimmel, director of athletics at Saint Francis University (Pennsylvania), attended as an alternate for Jeff Stapleton. No alternate attended on behalf of the Southwestern Athletic Conference.

REPORT OF THE NCAA DIVISION I CHAMPIONSHIPS/SPORTS MANAGEMENT CABINET SEPTEMBER 15-16, 2009, MEETING

***ACTION ITEMS*.**

1. Legislative Items.

a. NCAA Bylaw 31.1.11 (Failure to Adhere to Policies and Procedures).

(1) Recommendation. That the NCAA Division I Legislative Council adopt noncontroversial legislation to increase the maximum fine without prior NCAA Division I Championships/Sports Management Cabinet approval that may be imposed for an institution's failure to adhere to published administrative procedures.

(2) Effective Date. Immediate.

(3) Rationale. The $300 maximum has not been increased since the adoption of NCAA Bylaw 31.1.11. Research suggests that $600 in today's dollars is the equivalent of $300 when the original legislation was adopted. This proposal simply increases the maximum fine that may be imposed without seeking prior cabinet approval. Any fine above $600 would continue to require prior cabinet approval.

(4) Estimated Budget Impact. None.

(5) Student-Athlete Impact. None.

b. Administrative Regulations – Summer Basketball Event Certification – Women's Basketball – Geographic Requirement.

(1) Recommendation. That the Legislative Council adopt emergency legislation to amend Bylaw 30.16 to specify that each prospect participating on a nonscholastic team in a certified women's basketball event must be a legal resident of the same state in which the team is located or a legal resident of a geographically adjoining state; further to specify that not more than three prospects from adjoining states may participate on a nonscholastic team.

(2) Effective Date. Immediate.

(3) Rationale. Currently, there are no restrictions on where participants on a women's nonscholastic basketball team must reside. In recent years, there

has been an increase in the number of elite prospects who participate on nonscholastic teams located several states away from their legal residence. This proposal creates an "adjoining state" rule that discourages the "rental" of elite prospects by teams across the country, while providing prospects who reside in geographically remote areas the opportunity to participate on a nonscholastic team. The same provision has been in place for men's basketball since the summer of 2003. Based on information provided by the NCAA basketball event certification staff, in the summer of 2009, there were 189 teams of 3,050 that would have been in violation of the adjoining state rule if it had been in place for women's basketball. Ninety-nine teams had players from states that did not adjoin at all and 90 teams had more than three players from adjoining states. Based on a recommendation from the NCAA Division I Women's Basketball Issues Committee, the cabinet is recommending that this proposal be adopted as emergency legislation so it can be effective for the summer of 2010 and to provide ample time to notify the event operators and coaches of this change.

(4) Estimated Budget Impact. None.

(5) Student-Athlete Impact. None.

c. Committees.

(1) NCAA Women's Bowling Committee Composition.

(a) Recommendation. That the Legislative Council adopts noncontroversial legislation to amend NCAA Bylaw 21.4.2 to specify that the Women's Bowling Committee consist of seven members, including one non-voting secretary-rules editor (SRE). [NOTE: This item is contingent on approval of the necessary funds, see Informational Items No. 5k].

(b) Effective Date. August 1, 2010.

(c) Rationale. The current legislation governing the composition of the Women's Bowling Committee mandates that the Women's Bowling Committee have six members, including one member who shall serve as the SRE. The SRE was included in the Women's Bowling Committee composition initially because an individual member of the Women's Bowling Committee, at the

time the SRE position was created, was designated to serve in that role. That individual was selected as a Women's Bowling Committee member initially and then as the SRE due to his extensive knowledge of the sport and his familiarity with the rules of other bowling entities. The expertise of the SRE continues to be a valuable and necessary resource to the Women's Bowling Committee, and the cabinet supports the SRE's continued attendance at the annual Women's Bowling Committee meeting and at the championship. However, as the number of institutions sponsoring women's bowling continues to grow, a larger pool of administrators and coaches from which to draw Women's Bowling Committee members is available. With only five Women's Bowling Committee positions available due to one position being allocated to the SRE under current restrictions, it is sometimes challenging to meet the legislation. Finally, an additional Women's Bowling Committee position will provide more opportunity for diverse representation on the Women's Bowling Committee.

(d) Estimated Budget Impact. $3,065 for expenses of an additional Women's Bowling Committee member.

(e) Student-Athlete Impact. None.

(2) NCAA Division I Men's Ice Hockey Committee Composition.

(a) Recommendation. That the Legislative Council adopt noncontroversial legislation to amend Bylaws 21.7.5.5.5.3 and 21.7.5.5.5.3.15 to specify that the Men's Ice Hockey Committee may include staff members from an NCAA Division II or Division III institution that sponsors Division I men's ice hockey.

(b) Effective Date. August 1, 2010.

(c) Rationale. Currently, because the men's ice hockey championship is an NCAA Division I championship, an institutional staff member at an NCAA Division II or Division III institution is not permitted to be a member of the Men's Ice Hockey Committee, even if they sponsor the sport at the Division I level. The cabinet feels that any institution sponsoring men's ice hockey at the Division I level should have the opportunity to serve on the Men's

Ice Hockey Committee. Currently, there are 58 institutions that sponsor men's ice hockey at the Division I level, and of those institutions, 22 are members are Division II or Division III members. Due to the current restriction, certain ice hockey conferences have only three or four institutions from which to nominate individuals for membership on the Men's Ice Hockey Committee. This recommendation will allow the Men's Ice Hockey Committee to expand the pool of individuals who can serve on the Men's Ice Hockey Committee and help to grow the sport.

(d) Estimated Budget Impact. None.

(e) Student-Athlete Impact. None.

(3) NCAA Women's Ice Hockey Committee Composition.

(a) Recommendation. That the Legislative Council adopt noncontroversial legislation to amend Bylaw 21.5.2 to specify that the Women's Ice Hockey Committee be composed of three members from Division I and one at-large member.

(b) Effective Date. August 1, 2010.

(c) Rationale. The current legislation stipulates that the Women's Ice Hockey Committee be composed of four members; three from Division I and one from Division II. The current sponsorship for National Collegiate women's ice hockey is 37 institutions, of which only two compete at the Division II level. Consequently, the pool of interested and qualified candidates is very small. There currently are nine institutions (24.2 percent) whose multisport membership is in Divisions II and III, and the requested change would provide those institutions with equitable access to Women's Ice Hockey Committee service. The Women's Ice Hockey Committee would request that the nominating committee give preference to those institutions when filling the at-large spot.

(d) Estimated Budget Impact. None.

(e) Student-Athlete Impact. None.

2. Nonlegislative Items.

- **Endangered and growth sports.**

(a) Recommendation. That the NCAA Division I Leadership Council appoint a working group to discuss and address issues related to endangered sports and sports that face challenges to their growth. Members of the group could include representatives from the Leadership Council, cabinet and the NCAA Olympic Sports Liaison Committee.

(b) Rationale. The cabinet recognizes the many challenges facing sports that are attempting to grow and in some cases simply remain viable. Such challenges include but are not limited to sports sponsorship, automatic qualification, geographic representation, and public interest. A working group of interested and capable coaches and administrators could be charged with promulgating the issues and developing possible solutions. While leaders from each sport will be encouraged to continue to strategically plan on a sport by sport basis, the cabinet believes that they would benefit from a broader review that hopefully will lead to a set of common best practices and policy or legislative changes that will positively benefit endangered and growing sports.

***KEY ITEMS*.**

1. 2010-11 and 2011-12 Budget. The cabinet reviewed budget recommendations from the entities that report to the cabinet. A majority of recommendations related to officiating fees, game operations, and host stipends. The cabinet commended the entities submitting the requests noting that it appeared that fiscal restraint was used in developing budget recommendations for the upcoming budget cycle. After approving (or not) the recommendations, the cabinet prioritized each recommendation that received cabinet approval. The cabinet noted that the prioritization exercise showed very little separation between those recommendations that were approved.

The cabinet agreed to request a total of $159,500 to fund new Division I initiatives and $89,515 from Association-wide funds to pay for increased softball-bat testing, the appointment of a secretary rules interpreter for women's gymnastics and an increase in the size of the Women's Bowling Committee. In addition, the cabinet approved $101,634 to cover additional costs related to officiating.

2. Track and Field Regionals. [For ease of future reference, this item also appears in the informational section below.]

The cabinet engaged in a lengthy discussion regarding track and field regionals. After reviewing and discussing significant feedback from the membership and the NCAA Division I Men's and Women's Track and Field Committee, the cabinet agreed to maintain the current regional qualifying system and charged the Men's and Women's Track and Field Committee to review the current model and consider alternative models that will continue to provide appropriate access and accommodate as well as provide some deference to obvious qualifiers. In addition, the cabinet charged the Men's and women's Track and Field Committee with developing a system that will mitigate the financial burden of a regional qualifying system. The Men's and Women's Track and Field Committee should forward recommendations to the cabinet for review in September 2010. [Note: a motion to eliminate the regional qualifying system was defeated as was an initial motion to refer to the Men's and Women's Track and Field Committee the issue of whether to maintain or eliminate regionals.]

3. Sand Volleyball. [For ease of future reference, this item also appears in the legislative section below.].

- The cabinet agreed to oppose NCAA Proposal No. 2009-83 as written which would, in women's sand volleyball, establish a playing and practice season and the minimum number of contests necessary for sports sponsorship purposes. The cabinet agreed to support the proposal if the proposal was amended as follows:

  (1) Limit the championship segment playing season to eight weeks beginning with the first Thursday in March. The cabinet intends this season to include the weekend following the Thursday that is eight weeks from the first Thursday in March.

  (2) Limit the number of dates of competitions to 18, regardless of the segment in which the date of competition is played. The recommendation would not establish a separate limit on nonchampionship segment dates of competition.

***INFORMATIONAL ITEMS*.**

1. Modifications to cabinet sponsored proposals in the 2009-10 Legislative Cycle.

a. **Proposal No. 2009-56 Recruiting – Use of Recruiting Funds – Recruiting or Scouting Services – Criteria for Subscription.** Based on a recommendation from the NCAA Division I Men's Basketball Issues Committee, the cabinet agreed to modify Proposal No. 2009-56 to include an additional criterion to prohibit an institution from purchasing more than one subscription to any one recruiting or scouting service. The proposal, if adopted, would establish criteria that must be satisfied in order for an institution to subscribe to a recruiting or scouting service involving prospective student-athletes, as specified in the proposal.

b. **Proposal No. 2009-30 – Recruiting - Permissible Recruiters – Noncoaching Staff Members with Sport-Specific Responsibilities – Men's Basketball**. Based on a recommendation from the Women's Basketball Issues Committee, the cabinet agreed to modify Proposal No. 2009-30 to include women's basketball. This proposal specifies that, in basketball, a noncoaching staff member with sport-specific responsibilities shall not attend an off-campus athletics event involving prospective student-athletes (e.g., high-school contest, sports camp) unless the staff member is an immediate family member or legal guardian of one of the participants in the activity; further, it establishes conditions by which a staff member who is an immediate family member or legal guardian of a participant may attend such an event, as specified in the proposal.

c. **Proposal No. 2009-51 – Camps and Clinics –Institution's Sports Camps and Clinics – Location – Men's Basketball**. Based on a recommendation from the Women's Basketball Issues Committee, the cabinet agreed to modify Proposal No. 2009-51 to include women's basketball. The proposal specifies that an institution's basketball camp or clinic shall be conducted on the institution's campus or within a 100-mile radius of the institution's campus.

2. **Comments on Proposals Currently in the 2009-10 Legislative Cycle.** The cabinet reviewed proposals assigned to it for review that will be considered by the Division I membership as part of the 2009-10 legislative cycle and offered the comments below.

a. **Proposal No. 2009-75 – Playing and Practice Seasons and Recruiting - Mandatory Medical Examination - Sickle Cell Solubility Test.** The cabinet agreed to support Proposal No. 2009-75 which would specify that the required medical examination or evaluation that student-athletes who are beginning their initial season of eligibility and students who are trying out for a team must undergo prior to participation in voluntary summer conditioning or voluntary individual workouts pursuant to the safety exception, practice, competition or out-of-season conditioning activities shall include a sickle cell solubility test (SST).

The cabinet agreed with the sponsor's rationale, though some cabinet members discussed a desire to permit institutions to satisfy the intent of the proposal by obtaining the results of previous SSTs prior to participation and keeping those results on record.

b. **Proposal No. 2009-76 - Playing and Practices Seasons - Time Limits for Athletically Related Activities - Additional Restrictions - Countable Athletically Related Activities Between Midnight and 5 A.M.** The cabinet agreed to support Proposal No. 2009-76 which would specify that countable athletically related activities shall not occur between midnight and 5 a.m., except for during participation in a conference championship or an NCAA championship, in any competition that begins prior to midnight and concludes after midnight, or a promotional practice activity (e.g., first practice of the season). The cabinet agreed with the sponsor's rationale.

c. **Proposal No. 2009-77 - Playing and Practice Seasons - Women's Basketball - Preseason Practice - On-Court Practice - 30 Practices Within 40 Days Prior to First Contest.** The cabinet agreed to support Proposal No. 2009-77 which would, in women's basketball, specify that an institution shall not commence on-court preseason basketball practice sessions prior to 5 p.m. on the date that is 40 days prior to the date of the institution's first regular-season contest; further, to specify that an institution shall not engage in more than 30 days of practice prior to its first regular-season contest. The cabinet agreed with the sponsor's rationale but noted the position of the Women's Basketball Issues Committee and the potential change to preseason practice that may come as a result of their review.

d. **Proposal No. 2009-78 - Playing and Practices Seasons - Women's Basketball - Number of Contests - Maximum Limitations.** The cabinet agreed to support Proposal No. 2009-78 which would, in women's basketball, specify that an institution shall limit its total regular-season playing schedule with outside competition to 26 contests and one qualifying regular-season multiple team event or 28 contests during a playing season in which the institution does not participate in a qualifying regular-season multiple team event. The cabinet agreed with the sponsor's rationale.

e. **Proposal No. 2009-79 - Playing and Practice Seasons - Nonchampionship Segment - Number of Contests and Dates of Competition and Travel Restrictions - Cross Country, Field Hockey, Lacrosse, Soccer, Softball and Volleyball.** The cabinet agreed to oppose Proposal No. 2009-79 as written, which would specify, in cross country (for institutions without indoor or outdoor track and field), field hockey, lacrosse, soccer, softball and volleyball, that team travel to competition in the nonchampionship segment shall be restricted to ground

transportation. The cabinet recommended that the sponsor modify the proposal to include an exception to permit those institutions that do not have any Division I institutions located within 400 miles of campus to fly to nonchampionship segment competition. If the sponsor does not agree to modify the proposal, the cabinet will sponsor an alternative proposal. The cabinet is supportive of the concept outlined in the proposal; however, some members expressed concern that geographically isolated institutions would be detrimentally impacted by the current proposal. The cabinet noted that the 400 mile radius is consistent with the current ground transportation policies related to when an institution must drive to a championship site. The cabinet also discussed a possible second exception for the University of Hawaii; however, it suggested that the Western Athletic Conference discuss the most appropriate way to draft such an exception and submit that amendment through the legislative process.

f. **Proposal No. 2009-80 - Playing and Practice Seasons - Football - First Contest - 14 Weeks Prior to December 1.** The cabinet agreed to oppose Proposal No. 2009-80 as written which would, in football, specify that an institution shall not play its first contest (game or scrimmage) with outside competition prior to the Thursday preceding the 14th Saturday prior to December 1. The cabinet noted concerns related to the increased cost of housing football student-athletes for an additional week as compared to current schedules.

g. **Proposal No. 2009-81 - Playing and Practice Seasons - Football - Out-of-Season Athletically Related Activities - Summer Conditioning Period.** The cabinet agreed to support Proposal No. 2009-81 which would, in football, permit an institution to designate nine consecutive weeks between the conclusion of the academic year and the first permissible reporting date for preseason practice as its summer conditioning period. The cabinet agreed with the sponsor's rationale.

h. **Proposal No. 2009-82 - Playing and Practice Seasons - Limit on Number of Student-Athletes on an Outside Team - Vacation Period Exception and Outside Team Tours - Women's Rowing.** The cabinet agreed to support Proposal No. 2009-82 which would, in women's rowing, specify that the number of student-athletes from any one institution who may compete outside of the institution's declared playing and practice season as a member of an outside team in any noncollegiate, amateur competition during any official vacation period published in the institution's catalog and who may participate in international competition as a member of an outside team on a foreign tour shall not exceed two. The cabinet agreed with the sponsor's rationale.

i. **Proposal No. 2009-83 - Playing and Practice Seasons and Division Membership - Regulations for Playing Season and Minimum Contest Requirements for Sports Sponsorship - Women's Sand Volleyball.** The cabinet agreed to oppose Proposal No. 2009-83 as written which would, in women's sand volleyball, establish the playing and practice season and the minimum number of contests necessary for sports sponsorship purposes. The cabinet would support the proposal if the proposal was amended as follows:

(1) Limit the championship segment playing season to eight weeks beginning with the first Thursday in March. The cabinet intends this season to include the weekend following the Thursday that is eight weeks from the first Thursday in March.

(2) Limit the number of dates of competitions to 18, regardless of the segment in which the date of competition is conducted. The recommendation would not establish a separate limit for championship and nonchampionship segments.

j. **Proposal No. 2009-84 - Playing and Practice Seasons - General Playing and Practice Season Regulations - Preseason Practice - Women's Soccer.** The cabinet took no position on Proposal No. 2009-84 which would, in women's soccer, increase the preseason practice units from 21 to 29; further, it would establish criteria governing the activities permitted during the preseason practice period, as specified.

k. **Proposal No. 2009-85 - Playing and Practice Seasons - Softball - Preseason Practice and First Contest Date - Nonchampionship Segment.** The cabinet agreed to support Proposal No. 2009-85 which would, in softball, specify that an institution that has not begun classes by September 15 may commence preseason practice sessions on or after that date and may play its first contest (game or scrimmage) against outside competition on or after that date. The cabinet agreed with the sponsor's rationale.

l. **Proposal No. 2009-87 - Administrative Regulations - Foreign Tours and Competition - No Institutional or Conference Foreign Tours.** The cabinet agreed to support Proposal No. 2009-87 which would specify that an institution or conference shall not sponsor or participate in a foreign tour. Some cabinet members were opposed to taking away the cultural opportunities gained by student-athletes on foreign tours; however, the majority of the cabinet agreed with the sponsor's rationale.

m. Proposal No. 2009-88 - Administrative Regulations - Foreign Tours and Competition - Eligibility of Student-Athletes - Incoming-Student Participation - Basketball. The cabinet agreed to support Proposal No. 2009-88 which would, in basketball, permit an incoming student-athlete (freshman or transfer) to represent the institution on a foreign tour that occurs during the summer prior to his or her initial full-time enrollment at the certifying institution, provided: (a) he or she has earned at least three hours of acceptable degree credit during the summer term at the certifying institution; and (b) he or she is eligible to represent the institution in intercollegiate competition during the academic year immediately following the tour; further, to specify that a basketball student-athlete shall not participate in more than one institutional foreign tour. The cabinet agreed with the sponsor's rationale.

3. Proposals to be sponsored by the cabinet in the 2010-11 legislative cycle.

a. Personnel – Institutional staff member responsibility for banned drug use deterrence.

(1) Recommendation. The cabinet agreed to sponsor legislation to amend Bylaws 11 and 30 to specify that an institution is responsible for identifying a resource for questions related to NCAA banned substances and supplement use; further, to specify that any athletics staff member who has regular interaction with student-athletes shall be responsible for awareness of NCAA banned drug policies as specified below:

11.1.2.2 Involvement for approving the use of a banned substance. All athletics staff members who have regular interaction with student-athletes should be made aware that: (1) The NCAA has a banned substances list; (2) that any nutritional supplement use may present risks to student-athlete health and eligibility, and (3) that student-athletes with questions about nutritional supplements should be referred to the institution's designated athletics resource person or persons as noted in 30.5.1.

30.5.1 Responsibility of Institution. Institutions must designate, educate and identify a person or persons to serve as the athletics resource for student-athletes' nutritional supplement questions or review. In addition, each member institution is responsible for ensuring compliance with the following elements of the NCAA Drug Testing Program [remainder of 30.5.1 unchanged].

(2) Effective Date. August 1, 2011.

(3) Rationale. In some drug-test appeal cases heard by the NCAA Committee on Competitive Safeguards and Medical Aspects of Sports, student-athletes have provided mitigation for a positive test noting that they were provided approval by an athletics department staff member to use a supplement product or medication that contained NCAA banned substances. Current NCAA rules require that student-athletes be educated about NCAA banned substances and the products that may contain them and holds student-athletes responsible for anything they ingest. Further NCAA policy advises student-athletes to check with appropriate athletics staff prior to ingesting any supplement or medication. However, current legislation makes it difficult to hold the staff member accountable for poor, and at times incorrect, advice. The cabinet agreed with the Committee on Competitive Safeguards and Medical Aspects of Sports that institutions should be required to identify an educated resource person and ensure that staff members who interact regularly with student-athletes are educated on this topic. Failure to follow these steps will result in an institutional violation. Further, this should create a framework for a process that minimizes, if not eliminates, the number of times that student-athletes are not advised properly on this topic. All institutions will continue to receive information about NCAA banned drugs and contact information for the Resource Exchange Center, an authoritative resource for staff and student-athletes to inquire about medications and supplement products and whether they contain banned substances on the ingredients label.

(4) Estimated Budget Impact. None.

(5) Student-Athlete Impact. Student-athlete health, safety and eligibility will be better protected when athletics staff is held accountable for following NCAA drug policies and properly advising student-athletes.

b. Playing and Practice Seasons and Recruiting – Voluntary workouts – Requirements of strength and conditioning staff and sports medicine personnel.

(1) Recommendation. The cabinet agreed to sponsor legislation to specify that all strength and conditioning coaches conducting non-mandatory/voluntary weight-training or conditioning activities shall be required to have cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) and first aid

certification. Further, to specify that if sports medicine personnel are in attendance at the voluntary workouts, they must be empowered to have the unchallengeable authority to cancel or modify the workout for health and safety reasons, as he or she deem appropriate.

(2) Effective Date. May 1, 2011. This effective date allows the proposal to proceed through the full 2010-11 legislative cycle and, if adopted, become effective for summer 2011 voluntary workouts.

(3) Rationale. The cabinet noted that since this legislation was added for football, other sports have added summer workout opportunities for incoming prospective student-athletes but have not adopted similar legislation pertaining to strength and conditioning coaches. The cabinet believes similar standards should be in place for all sports, noting that current legislation does not require sports medicine staff attendance at the voluntary workouts in these other sports. However, if sports medicine staff members do attend, the cabinet believes that they should have the same authority that exists for football workouts. Finally, the cabinet believes this legislation empowers institutions to implement similar standards for conditioning workouts in all sports and believes that requiring the strength and conditioning professionals to be CPR and First Aid certified provides an appropriate baseline for emergency response.

(4) Estimated Budget Impact. None.

(5) Student-Athlete Impact. Student-athlete health and safety would be enhanced.

4. Other Legislative Informational Items.

a. Proposal No. 2009-36 – Recruiting – Evaluations – Nonscholastic Evaluations During Academic Year – National Standardized Testing Weekends – Women's Basketball. Based on a recommendation from the Women's Basketball Issues Committee, the cabinet withdrew Proposal No. 2009-36, which, in women's basketball, would have specified that evaluations at nonscholastic events during the academic year evaluation period shall not occur on any weekend (including Friday, Saturday and Sunday) during which the PSAT, SAT, PLAN or ACT national standardized tests are administered. The cabinet noted that the Women's Basketball Issues Committee and the Women's Basketball Coaches

Association would like more time to discuss the impact of this proposed change on the recruiting calendar.

b. **Exception to Bylaw 16.8.1.3-(c) for sports with multiple tryout events.** Based on a recommendation from the Olympic Sports Liaison Committee, the cabinet referred to the Olympic Sports Liaison Committee a recommendation from the NCAA Men's and Women's Fencing Committee requesting an exception to Bylaw 16.8.1.3-(c) because the national team tryouts in fencing include multiple tryout events. The cabinet noted that other sports include multiple tryout events for national team selection and the Olympic Sports Liaison Committee has been asked by those sports to review the issue.

5. **2010-11 and 2011-12 Budget Items**. The cabinet discussed numerous budget requests and agreed to support the Division I initiatives listed in priority order below. It was noted that there is very little separation between the initiatives. Immediately following the list of supported Division I initiatives is a list of initiatives that will be funded internally from a championships officiating account.

New Division I initiatives.

a. **NCAA Division I Men's and Women's Swimming and Diving Committee.**

- Budget increase for video replay.

(1) Recommendation. The Men's and Women's Swimming and Diving Committee approved the use of video replay to be instituted during the season, as well as in championships competition. Video replay may be used at the meet at the Men's and Women's Swimming and Diving Committee's discretion (in consultation with the meet referee), provided it was previously set up for that purpose, aligned with a direct side view of the exchange end and maintain a speed of at least 25 frames/second (.04s). Video of this speed allows for two frames in each direction of what should have been 0.0, allowing for sufficient evidence to be indisputable and clear.

(2) Rationale. Timing and judging systems are mechanical and thus prone to periodic failure. The relay takeoff judging uses two mechanical devices to compare times, one on the top of the starting block and the finish pad at the end of the course. The current rules

have provision for making timing adjustments when the finish pad fails to record a time, but make no provision when the pad failure occurs as a part of a relay exchange. With the current rules there is no provision to deal with equipment malfunction in the interval +0.09 seconds to -0.09 seconds. This rule, proposed to protect the student-athletes, provides for a means to determine whether an equipment malfunction has occurred and a high standard for overturning the decision of the equipment.

(3) Estimated Budget Impact. The costs to tie this system into the timing system used at the national championships would potentially be $5,000 for the men's championships budget and $5,000 for the women's championships budget.

(4) Student-Athlete Impact. This initiative promoted fair competition. If the referees perceive that an erroneous call was made due to equipment failure, he or she can review the action and make a judgment based on the video evidence.

b. Men's and Women's Track and Field Committee.

- Electronic verification of results.

(1) Recommendation. That electronic verification of results be implemented for the indoor and outdoor track and field championships, effective with the 2011 championships.

(2) Rationale. Verification equipment will give referees the resources to officiate more effectively in the field events thereby protecting the integrity of the competition. Video review is permissible per NCAA track and field rules and has already been incorporated into the championships for running events. The Men's and Women's Track and Field Committee also looks to the precedent set by the national governing body for the sport, USA Track & Field, which has incorporated such equipment into standard meet operations for open events, including the 2008 U.S. Olympic Team Track and Field trials.

(3) Estimated Budget Impact. $14,500. This figure is an estimate based on an annual cost of $5,500 for the indoor championships and $9,000 for the outdoor championships.

(4) Student-Athlete Impact. The equipment will enhance the championship experience for the student-athletes by enabling referees to make informed officiating decisions.

c. NCAA Division I Men's and Women's Tennis Committee.

- Stipend increase for first- and second-round hosts.

(1) Recommendation. That the stipends for the first- and second-round hosts be increased by the amounts indicated below, effective with the 2011 championships.

Single gender sites – from $4,500 to $5,000.

Dual gender sites – from $8,000 to $9,000.

(2) Rationale. The current first- and second-round host stipends have been in place since 2004. During the past six years, the expenses incurred by the hosting institutions have increased as expectations to enhance the championships experience for the participating student-athletes have risen. The hosts stipends are intended to be used to off-set expenses incurred for securing the required officials (six chair officials and one head referee) for the competition, NCAA site representative travel expenses, and the hospitality area for coaches and student-athletes. The modest stipend increase seems appropriate given the length of time since the stipend was first set, coupled with rising costs to the hosting institutions to provide the basic championships requirements.

(3) Estimated Budget Impact. $16,000.

(4) Student-Athlete Impact. The cabinet believes that the requested increase along with the elimination of the requirement of the hosts to provide a student-athlete banquet and/or reception will help maintain the current experience of the participating student-athletes at first- and second-round sites.

d. NCAA Division I Men's Golf Committee.

- Regional stipend.

(1) Recommendation. That the stipend provided to regional hosts be increased from $16,667 to $20,000 effective with the 2011 regionals.

(2) Rationale. The cabinet believes that the additional stipend will allow the host to enhance the championship experience without creating an undue financial burden on the host. This year several hosts had to use their own money to offset some expenses incurred while operating the regionals.

(3) Estimated Budget Impact. $20,000.

(4) Student-Athlete Impact. The additional dollars will be used to enhance the student-athlete experience at the regional sites.

e. Men's and Women's Track and Field Committee.

- Timing group for outdoor track and field preliminary rounds.

(1) Recommendation. That the Men's and Women's Track and Field Committee select the timing groups for the regionals and that the host sites be reimbursed for the groups' expenses.

(2) Rationale. The timing group is the foundation of meet operations and serves as the steward of data critical to the conduct of track and field. Furthermore, it is a critical labor-intensive and equipment-intensive component of the meet. The cabinet believes that a common timing group at the two championship sites will provide the consistency and expertise necessary to conduct an efficient and accurate meet that upholds the integrity of the sport. Currently, the Men's and Women's Track and Field Committee leaves the decision of the timing group to the host institutions as the host is responsible for the expense. The level of expertise and familiarity with NCAA policies and procedures established by the Men's and Women's Track and Field Committee varies widely across the timers hired by the hosts. In addition to establishing consistency across the two championships sites, this proposal offers significant budget relief to the hosts which, in turn, will generate greater hosting interest.

(3) Estimated Budget Impact. $45,000. This estimate is the combined expense for two preliminary round sites held over the course of three days. The going rate for a timing group at an outdoor track and field meet is approximately $7,500 per day of competition.

(4) Student-Athlete Impact. None.

f. Men's and Women's Fencing Committee.

- Regional host stipend increase.

(1) Recommendation. That the regional host stipend increase from $3,500 to $7,000, effective for the 2011 championships.

(2) Rationale. Currently, hosts charge institutions a fee per-student athlete entry to help pay the costs for hosting a large regional event. The current stipend is not enough to pay the officials for the larger regional events. Increasing the stipend will alleviate the financial burden on the host institution so, in turn, they will not have to charge as much (or possibly anything) for entry fees.

(3) Estimated Budget Impact. $14,000 ($3,500 each for four regional sites).

(4) Student-Athlete Impact. None.

g. NCAA Women's Softball Rules Committee.

- Enhanced softball bat compliance testing.

(1) Recommendation. That the funds be allocated to support the enhancement of softball bat-compliance testing and additional bat testing.

(2) Rationale. Securing bats from teams as they are eliminated from any round of 2010 postseason competition creates a wider base for post-competition compliance testing. Addition of barrel-compression testing before the 2010 postseason competition, and the continuation of post-competition lab tests, will provide assurance that barrel compression testing is valid and will lead to compliance efforts in the regular season beginning in 2011. After

applicable notification to the membership in general, and further notification specifically to teams that had bats that exceeded the standard in the first three years of testing, implementation of penalties for noncompliance will help ensure competitive equity and student-athlete safety and welfare.

(3) Estimated Budget Impact. $81,250. Current budget of $30,000 would be reallocated to cover any possible increased expanded testing costs before the next budget cycle in 2010-11.

(a) $45,000 to test 60 additional bats ($500-$750 each), which would include a bat from half of all teams across all three divisions in postseason competition.

(b) $2,250 for shipping expenses.

(c) $9,000 to purchase 12 barrel compression testing machines.

(d) $20,000 additional travel expenses.

(e) $5,000 Equipment Consultant stipend effective September 2011.

[Note: It is anticipated that this initiative would be covered by Association-wide funds.]

(4) Student-Athlete Impact. Student-athletes who own a bat secured for lab testing would receive a replacement bat or reimbursement following test results. If the results showed the bat exceeds the standard, the bat may not be returned, replaced or reimbursed.

h. NCAA Men's Gymnastics Committee.

- Increase Travel Party Size.

(1) Recommendation. Increase the squad size travel party from 12 to 15.

(2) Rationale. Men's gymnastics is a sport decreasing in sponsorship. Providing three additional spots on the travel party would create more opportunities for student-athletes to potentially compete in

NCAA competitions, specifically the national qualifier and the national championships. The average gymnastics team has 16.9 student-athletes. Only 17 institutions in the country remain that sponsor men's gymnastics. There are fewer opportunities for men to participate and compete in NCAA gymnastics. Increasing the squad size will create opportunities for gymnasts to join one of the existing NCAA programs and be provided with chances to compete. If more student-athletes are able to compete at the national championship, it can potentially increase the visibility and promotion of the sport. Allowing an increase in squad size opens competition opportunities to those who would not have that opportunity if there were numerous other institutions that sponsored men's gymnastics. In addition, many student-athletes are specializing in one event. By increasing the squad size, a team can bring more specialists in a specific apparatus, which can contribute to the quality of the event and competition.

(3) Estimated Budget Impact. There would be no direct budgetary impact for the 2009-2010 year. Beginning with the next budget cycle, the budget for this initiative would be $18,000. Institutions would have to cover the financial expenses associated with per diem and hotel expense for the three additional student-athletes during the 2009-10 year.

(4) Student-Athlete Impact. None.

i. NCAA Women's Rowing Committee.

- Mandate of administrator at the NCAA championship administration meeting/increase in travel party size.

(1) Recommendation. That an administrator from each team be required to attend the administration meeting at the NCAA championships. Further, that the travel party increase from 31 to 32.

(2) Rationale. The cabinet previously approved rowing to be treated as a team sport at its September 2007 meeting, and it took effect for the first time this past season with 16 full teams at the 2009 championship. Currently, every team sport mandates that an administrator is on site at the administration meeting that is held at

the national championship. Rowing is the only championship that does not have this requirement. Currently, the travel party size of 31 allows teams to bring 25 student-athletes, four coaches, team boatman/rigger and SID or trainer. It would be very difficult to have an administrator added to the 31-person travel party due to the other people that are essential to the team. The Women's Rowing Committee feels that during these economic times that it would be unfair to ask for an administrator to be mandated at the meeting without the increase in travel party. As in other championships, the Women's Rowing Committee would like for the administrator to be someone that does not have SID or athletic training responsibilities to be free to handle any administrative situations that come up during the championships.

(3) Estimated Budget Impact. $18,000.

(4) Student-Athlete Impact. This would make sure an administrator from each institution is on site to support the student-athletes.

j. NCAA Division I Baseball Committee.

- Umpire improvement program.

(1) Recommendation. Provide resources to support the baseball umpire improvement program to increase the amount of in-season evaluations of umpires.

(2) Rationale. As the baseball championship continues to improve and grow, it is important that the level of officiating similarly improve. Increasing the amount of frequency of in-season evaluations by the national coordinator and regional advisors will provide for a better assessment of Division I umpires and better ensure that the best umpires work the championship each year.

(3) Estimated Budget Impact. $4,000.

(4) Student-Athlete Impact. None.

k. Women's Bowling Committee.

- Women's Bowling Committee Composition.

(1) Recommendation. That the Women's Bowling Committee consists of six members and an additional member who shall be a nonvoting secretary-rules editor (SRE).

(2) Rationale. The current legislation governing the composition of the Women's Bowling Committee mandates that the Women's Bowling Committee have six members, including one member who shall serve as the SRE. The SRE was included in the Women's Bowling Committee composition initially because a member of the Women's Bowling Committee at the time the SRE position was created was designated to serve in that role. That individual was selected as a Women's Bowling Committee member initially and then as the SRE due to his extensive knowledge of the sport and his familiarity with the rules of other bowling entities as the Women's Bowling Committee developed playing rules and policies. The expertise of the SRE continues to be a valuable resource to the Women's Bowling Committee, and the Women's Bowling Committee strongly supports the SRE's continued attendance at the annual Women's Bowling Committee meeting and at the championship. However, as the number of institutions sponsoring women's bowling continues to grow, a larger pool of administrators and coaches from which to draw Women's Bowling Committee members is available. With only five Women's Bowling Committee positions available due to one position being allocated to the SRE, it is sometimes challenging to meet the legislated divisional requirements for the composition of the Women's Bowling Committee, and an additional Women's Bowling Committee position will provide more opportunity for diverse representation on the Women's Bowling Committee.

(3) Estimated Budget Impact. $3,065. [Note: It will be requested that the Association-wide committee budget cover this allocation.]

Meeting	Transportation Costs	Per Diem Days	Per Diem Rate	Hotel Nights	Hotel Rate	Total Cost
Annual	$600	3	$75	2	$170	1,165
Champ	$600	6	$75	5	$170	$1,900
						$3,065

(4) Student-Athlete Impact. None.

l. NCAA Women's Gymnastics Committee.

- Secretary-rules editor (SRE).

  (1) Recommendation. That funding for the position for a women's gymnastics SRE be made available, effective with the 2010-11 season.

  (2) Rationale. NCAA women's gymnastics currently follows Junior Olympic (JO) Women's Code of Points Requirements (Level 10), with approximately nine pages of NCAA rules modifications. Currently, the Women's Gymnastics Committee chair, along with input from a women's gymnastics judge, provides rules interpretations throughout the year. Creating a SRE will eliminate the need of having a current Women's Gymnastics Committee member serve in this role. This person would also attend the coaches' association and annual Women's Gymnastics Committee meetings as needed.

  (3) Estimated Budget Impact. $5,200.

  (4) Student-Athlete Impact. Rules would be interpreted consistently throughout the country, which stresses the importance of safety, equity and quality experience for the participants.

m. Officiating allocations.

- The following initiatives will be funded from an internal championships account.]

  (1) Men's Baseball Committee – Championship Fees for Umpires - $30,969;

  (2) Women's Gymnastics Committee – Judges Fees - $8,150;

  (3) Men's Ice Hockey Committee – Frozen Four Officials - $5,175;

  (4) Women's Ice Hockey Committee – Officials fees - $2,400;

  (5) NCAA Division I Men's Lacrosse Committee – Umpire fees - $4900;

(6) NCAA Division I Women's Lacrosse Committee– Officials fees - $1,100;

(7) Men's Golf Committee– Stipend for Regional Head Rules Official - $3,000;

(8) NCAA Division I Women's Softball – Umpire fees - $26,150;

(9) Men's and Women's Track and Field– Fees for key officials - $13,440; and

(10) Men's and Women's Track and Field – Cross Country Officials - $1,150

3. Approval of Report from June 25, 2009, Championships/Sports Management Cabinet Teleconference. The report from the cabinet's June 25, 2009, teleconference was approved as previously distributed.

4. Report of the NCAA Division I Championships/Sports Management Cabinet Administrative Committee. On behalf of the full cabinet, the Administrative Committee took the following action:

a. Strategic fiscal planning. It was noted that over the next several months each sports committee will be asked to develop financial plans that prepare for either budget growth or budget reduction as a component of responsible fiscal planning. Sports committees will have an opportunity to engage in this planning exercise at their summer in-person meetings and report the results of those planning efforts for the cabinet's review at its summer or fall 2010 meetings.

b. National and regional coordinators for officials. The Administrative Committee approved the request from the NCAA Division I Men's and Women's Soccer Committees to establish the positions of national assignor and regional coordinators for officials for the sport of soccer. It was noted that creating these officiating positions would streamline the officiating process and provide for greater consistency, accountability and quality control. Additionally, it was further noted that the positions would provide an opportunity to develop national educational strategies for officials throughout the country, assist in the recruitment of new officials and build upon the relationship with the National Intercollegiate Soccer Officials Association (NISOA). Funding for the positions will be achieved through budget neutral management.

c. **Bylaw 31.1.11 (Failure to Adhere to Policies and Procedures).** The Administrative Committee agreed to recommend that noncontroversial legislation be adopted to increase the maximum fine that may be imposed (without prior cabinet approval) against an institution for failure to adhere to published administrative procedures (see legislative action Item No. 1a).

d. **Endangered and emerging sports.** It was noted that the cabinet will discuss issues related to endangered and emerging sports at its February 2010 meeting.

5. **Championships/Sports Management Cabinet Policies and Procedures.** The cabinet appendixes have been reorganized, old appendix J, "Excerpted Legislation Governing Division I Cabinets and Committees" has been removed and the following four appendixes have been added: App C, DI Governance Structure; App I, DI Participation and Sponsorship Ratios Sheets; App N, Budget Timeline; App O, DI Academic Performance Program.

6. **NCAA.com Redesign.** The cabinet received a presentation from NCAA staff on the re-designed championships Web site. It was note that the previous Web sites tried to be all things to all people and that the new Web site would re-focus on NCAA championships. Coverage on regular season competition will continue but will be designed to build up to the championships.

7. **Officiating Web Site.** NCAA staff provided an update on the development of Web sites designed to expand and enhance the NCAA's officiating improvement efforts. It was reported that the NCAA acquired majority ownerships in Arbiter and Excel Sports Officiating which will assist the NCAA in providing education, training, and information to all officials. The first set of Web sites will be developed for baseball, basketball, football, soccer and softball.

8. **Bylaws 17 and 31 Review.** The cabinet engaged in a review of Bylaws 17 and 31 and identified areas for possible cost reduction. The cabinet directed NCAA staff to develop a document containing the identified concepts and agreed to take formal positions on the concepts at the cabinet's February 2010 meeting.

9. **Travel Safety Review.** The cabinet heard a report with respect to travel safety and championships. It was noted that the NCAA Travel and Risk Management group in

conjunction with its agent, Short's Travel, conducts an annual review of the air travel providers used for championships travel, and that the process is one of serious consideration of a variety of performance data. The publication *Safety in Student Transportation*, which the NCAA co-sponsored, was referenced as a resource available to all membership institutions and conferences with best practices regarding many aspects of transportation, including ground transportation—a mode where decision-making is exclusively within the domain of the membership institutions engaged in such travel. It was noted that recent history shows relatively few air or ground incidents in connection with championships travel, especially considering the large numbers of student-athletes, travel parties and miles traveled.

10. **Bid Cycle Update.** NCAA staff presented an overview of the improved championships bid/site selection process. In pursuit of the goals of delivering the student-athlete experience and the efficient use of resources, an emphasis is emerging as to the strategic importance of site selection. The two primary objectives of the new bid cycle are: (1) to increase the awareness of prospective hosts for timely bid submissions thereby increasing the number of bid alternatives to consider across all championships; and (2) to evaluate and present recommended bids for award and approval in a comprehensive, integrated timeframe rather than current practice of one or two at a time, continuously. The cabinet was apprised of the promotional plan to "get the word out" to key stakeholders through a series of targeted communications and news articles. Bids for most sports (not including men's and women's basketball, football or baseball) are to be posted in December 2009 for the 2011-12 and 2012-13 academic cycle, along with 2012-13 and 2013-14 for wrestling, softball, women's volleyball, men's lacrosse, and men's ice hockey.

11. **Performance Standards Year-End Results/Observations.** The NCAA staff presented information concerning the performance standards measurement process which was reviewed in February 2009. Championships have been surveyed during the 2008-09 academic year, with results measured and averaged to determine both areas of strength and those that need improvement with respect to core deliverables included in each championship. NCAA staff reviewed the results (which generally accomplished or exceeded goals) and shared areas where the process of gathering data can be improved during the next championship cycle. It was also noted that through this process best practices can be shared among championships thereby strengthening all.

12. **Sports Wagering**. The cabinet reviewed the recently adopted policy (August 6, 2009) by the NCAA Executive Committee pertaining to legalized sports wagering and the location of championship competition which now states: "No predetermined or non-

predetermined session of an NCAA championship may be conducted in a state with legal wagering that is based on single-game betting on the outcome of any event (i.e., high school, college or professional) in a sport in which the NCAA conducts a championship."

13. **Championships Travel**. The cabinet approved a continuation of the 400 mile fly/drive (150 ground) threshold for all sports (350 miles for men's and women's basketball).

In addition, the NCAA championships staff presented an update on continued monitoring and management of championships travel through the 2008-09 championships season. The actual results were encouraging when compared with the previous year, with an overall Division I reduction of $5.7 million or almost 20 percent.

It was noted that several items contributed to the savings, including the increased fly/drive mileage threshold, the baggage reimbursement limitation, and the availability and usage of the travel mileage calculation software as a tool during bracketing and selections. A forecast for the 2009-10 year was presented, with a hope that this past year's performance will stabilize and slow the growing escalation of travel costs for championships.

14. **SLOT Exercise.** At the request of NCAA staff, the cabinet agreed to participate in an analysis of championships strengths, limitations, opportunities and threats. Using a NCAA staff developed instrument to gather feedback, cabinet members agreed to provide feedback from both the institutional and conference levels by January 2010.

15. **Reports of Sports and Sport Issues Committees.**

a. **Men's Baseball Committee.**

- Championship Squad Size Increase. The cabinet agreed to increase the squad size for the championship from 25 to 27. The official travel party size would remain at 35.

b. **NCAA Division I Women's Basketball Committee.**

(1) Women's Final Four Travel Party Size. The cabinet did not approve a recommendation to reduce the size of the travel party for the NCAA Women's Final Four from 100 to 85. The cabinet commended the Women's Basketball Committee for identifying cost reductions but did not

feel comfortable taking such action independent of any action taken related to the travel party for men's basketball.

(2) Preliminary Round Host Travel Party Size. The cabinet did not approve a recommendation that would have reduced the travel party size for the host institution's team (if selected to the tournament field) in the first and second rounds from 52 to 32.

(3) Championship Mileage Threshold. The cabinet did not approve a recommendation to increase the travel party mileage threshold for the women's championship be increased from 350 to 400.

c. Women's Bowling Committee.

(1) Format change for qualifying rounds. The cabinet approved a recommendation specifying that the qualifying rounds at the championship will consist of round robin play in which each team will bowl one game against each of the other seven teams and that seeding for championship competition will be based on the teams' won-lost record during qualifying rounds.

(2) Selection criteria related to regular-season nonconference tournaments. The cabinet approved a change in the committee's selection criteria such that the daily formats for regular-season nonconference tournaments be consistent based on the number of days of competition. Specifically, one-day tournaments using Baker format (either match play or total pinfall); two-day tournaments using five-person team matches the first day and Baker format (match play) the second day; and three-day tournaments using Baker format the first day (total pinfall), five-person team matches the second day and Baker format (match play) the third day will be considered for selection purposes.

d. Men's and Women's Fencing Committee.

(1) Selection criteria – minimum number of bouts. The cabinet agreed to decrease the minimum number of bouts to be eligible for the championship from 21 to 18, effective with the 2010 championships.

(2) Selection criteria – 50 percent requirement. The cabinet agreed to eliminate the requirement that a student-athlete be required to participate in

50 percent of an institution's dual meets to be eligible for the championship, effective with the 2010 championship.

(3) Regional qualification requirement – win-loss requirement. The cabinet approved a recommendation that 20 percent be the required minimum win-loss percentage in scheduled dual meets in the same weapon to qualify to compete in a regional tournament, effective with the 2010 championships.

(4) Championships awards structure – change in format. The cabinet discussed but did not approve a change to the championship's award format. The change would have been to award only first place to the combined team winner and first through fourth place for each gender.

e. NCAA Division I Field Hockey Committee.

- Inclement weather policy. The cabinet approved a modification to the inclement weather policy such that in the event play is suspended during NCAA championship competition and all efforts have been exhausted, the Field Hockey Committee shall determine the advancing team as follows:

  If less than 35 minutes have been played the game must be completed within 24 hours. If the game cannot be completed within 24 hours, the advancing team is determined using current selection criteria. If more than 35 minutes have been played, the leading team shall advance. If at least 35 minutes have been completed when play is suspended, and the score is tied, the team that advances is determined based on the selection process currently in place.

  In addition, the above policy shall be applied to play-in games that cannot be completed before the selection of teams to the championship.

f. NCAA Division I Women's Golf Committee.

- The cabinet granted an exception to the six core member requirement allowing the Big West Conference to be eligible to receive automatic qualification into the 2010 championship. It was noted that the Big West previously had six members and previously used the two-year grace period to retain its eligibility for automatic qualification. The cabinet did not approve a request by the Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference to waive the two-year continuity of membership requirement noting that the waiver was not supported by the Women's Golf Committee and that the relevant legislation specifically prohibits waivers of the two-year period.

g. Men's Gymnastics Committee.

- The cabinet approved an increase in the official travel party from 12 to 15 (see Information Items No. 5h). It was noted that the funding for the increase would not be available until the 2011 championship; however, institutions would be permitted to pay expenses (but not be reimbursed) for the additional three competitors for the 2010 championship.

h. Women's Gymnastics Committee.

(1) Date formula. The cabinet approved a modification to the date formula as follows: Regional's shall be conducted the first Saturday of April, with nationals moving to a Friday-Saturday-Sunday format the third weekend of April, effective with the 2011 championships.

Friday, April 15, 2011
Semifinal 1 – noon
Semifinal 2 – 6 p.m.

Saturday, April 16, 2011
National Championship – between noon and 3 p.m.

Sunday, April 17, 2011
Individual Event Finals – between noon and 2 p.m.

(2) Assignment of teams to regionals. The cabinet approved a recommendation that for regional's, teams 19-36 be assigned to a site based on geographic proximity rather than remain in region or be moved to the next closest region, effective with the 2010 championship.

(3) Championships format. The cabinet approved a modification of the national championship format such that the top two teams (rather than three) advance from each preliminary session. As a result, four teams will compete in the national championship, effective with the 2011 championship. In addition, the cabinet discussed a recommendation that all six scores count toward the team total for NCAA regional and national competition. The cabinet referred this issue back to the Women's Gymnastics Committee to ensure that this recommendation reflects the positions of gymnastics coaches.

(4) Judges. The cabinet agreed to permit the Women's Gymnastics Committee to select six judges, one from each region and assign them to

regional sites. All remaining judges will be selected and assigned based on geographic proximity. It was noted that this modification would save the Association approximately $10,000.

i. Men's Ice Hockey Committee.

- The cabinet approved a waiver of the six institution requirement for College Hockey America, noting that ice hockey falls under the provision that in a sport with less than 30 percent sponsorship a conference with less than the six required schools is eligible for such a waiver. The waiver was endorsed by the Men's Ice Hockey Committee.

j. Women's Ice Hockey Committee.

- Per the request of the Women's Ice Hockey Committee, the cabinet discussed a possible waiver of the automatic qualification standards for College Hockey America. The cabinet confirmed its belief that waivers should not be granted for conferences that never met the standards (versus a conference that once met the standards but subsequently fell below). The cabinet did express sympathy for conferences in sports that are struggling to remain viable and agreed to provide feedback to the Leadership Council during its review of membership standards.

k. Women's Lacrosse Committee.

- The cabinet approved a modification effective with the 2010 championship such that hosts will have the ability to host first rounds on Saturday (in addition to the current format of Sunday) and the quarterfinals on Sunday (in addition to the current format of Saturday).

l. Women's Rowing Committee.

(1) Automatic qualification. The cabinet agreed to permit the Women's Rowing Committee to grant automatic qualification into the championship beginning with the 2011 championship. The cabinet directed the Women's Rowing Committee to provide a plan for providing automatic qualification when eligible conferences exceed 50 percent of the bracket.

(2) Individual awards. The cabinet approved a recommendation from the Women's Rowing Committee that no individual awards be given at the championship. It was noted that this change will result in a savings of approximately $3500.

(3) The cabinet approved a recommendation that the selection criteria of "results versus regionally ranked teams" be changed to two separate criteria which would be "results versus ranked teams in other regions" and "results versus regionally ranked teams in own region."

m. NCAA Men's and Women's Skiing Committee.

- The cabinet approved an adjustment to the regional allocation formula such that the maximum number of slots a region may receive is three times the number of schools that sponsor the sport minus one.

n. Men's Soccer Committee.

(1) Date Formula. The cabinet approved an adjustment to the date formula such that the first rounds will be conducted on the Thursday after selections, the second rounds will be conducted on the Sunday three weeks prior to the finals, the third rounds will be conducted on the Sunday two weeks prior to the finals, the quarterfinals will be conducted on the Friday, Saturday or Sunday one week preceding the finals and the College Cup will be conducted the second weekend in December (Thursday-Saturday or Friday-Sunday). It was noted that this change would be cost neutral and, therefore, would not have a budgetary impact.

(2) Inclement weather – alternate sites. The cabinet agreed to modify the Men's Soccer Committee's inclement weather policy as follows:

For preliminary-round sites, a team in the top 16 will automatically be selected as hosts, unless the institution did not submit a bid to host. If a game involves two seeded teams, the higher seed will host, unless the institution did not submit a bid to host.

If the field is unplayable, the host will have the opportunity to find a suitable alternate site. The criteria for an alternate site would be for the field to have a minimum dimension of 70x110 yards, and the field must be deemed playable by the games committee and approved by the Men's Soccer Committee.

o. Women's Softball Committee.

- The cabinet did not approve a recommendation that the minimum guarantee be increased from $5,000 to $7,500 and from $7,500 to $10,000 for super regionals.

p. Men's and Women's Swimming and Diving Committee.

- The cabinet approved the Men's and Women's Swimming and Diving Committee's recommended zone diving zone realignments.

q. Men's and Women's Tennis Committee.

(1) America East Conference waiver. The cabinet approved a request to grant the America East a waiver of the six "core member" requirement which will enable the conference to be eligible for automatic qualification into the 2010 championship. It was noted that the conference previously met the six core member requirement.

(2) Big West Conference waiver. The cabinet approved a request to grant the Big West a waiver of the six "core member" requirement which will enable the conference to be eligible for automatic qualification into the 2010 championship. It was noted that the conference previously met the six core member requirement.

(3) Change in hosting for top sixteen seeds. The cabinet discussed but did not approve a request that the Men's and Women's Tennis Committee be permitted to have the top sixteen seeds host first- and second-round competition regardless of their geographic location.

(4) Adjustment in bracketing principles. The cabinet did not approve a recommended adjustment that would have allowed the Men's and Women's Tennis Committee, when assigning teams to first- and second-round sites, to match teams seeded 1-8 with teams 25-32 and teams 9-16 with teams 17-24.

r. Men's and Women's Track and Field Committee.

(1) Preliminary rounds. The cabinet engaged in a lengthy discussion regarding track and field regionals. After reviewing and discussing significant feedback from the membership and the Men's and Women's Track and Field Committee, the cabinet agreed to maintain the current regional qualifying system and directed the Men's and Women's Track and Field Committee to review the current model and consider alternative models that will continue to provide appropriate access and accommodate as well as provide some deference to obvious qualifiers. In addition, the cabinet charged the Men's and Women's Track and Field Committee with developing a system that will mitigate the financial burden of a regional

qualifying system. The Men's and Women's Track and Field Committee should forward recommendations to the cabinet for review in September 2010. [Note: A motion to eliminate the regional qualifying system was defeated as was an initial motion to refer to the Men's and Women's Track and Field Committee the issue of whether to maintain or eliminate regionals.]

(2) Officials for Outdoor Track and Field Championships. The cabinet did not approve a recommendation that would have provided lodging and fees for 110 outdoor track and field officials. In addition, the cabinet did not approve a request to provide 90 indoor track and field officials with lodging and fees.

s. NCAA Men's Volleyball Committee.

- The cabinet approved a modification to the selection criteria to include "results vs. non-conference opponents."

t. NCAA Division I Wrestling Committee.

(1) Automatic qualification waiver for the Big 12 Conference. The cabinet granted the Big 12 Conference a waiver of the six core institution requirement to enable the conference to be eligible for automatic qualification into the 2010 championship.

(2) Bracketing Exception. The cabinet approved a modification that effective with the 2010 NCAA Division I Wrestling Championships, if a replacement is needed for the championships and it involves an unseeded wrestler, the brackets would not need to be redrawn to avoid a conference/regional match-up that may inadvertently occur in the first round. If the replacement is for a seeded wrestler, then the brackets would still be redrawn to avoid conference/regional match-ups in the first round.

16. Committee Chair Appointments. The cabinet took the following action related to committees:

a. Women's Bowling Committee.

- The cabinet appointed Frank Parisi, head women's bowling coach at New Jersey City University, as the Women's Bowling Committee chair effective immediately.

b. Men's and Women's Fencing Committee.

- The cabinet appointed Wendell Jack, director of athletics at California Institute of Technology, as the Men's and Women's Fencing Committee chair effective immediately.

c. Women's Rowing Committee.

- The cabinet appointed Marshall Foley as the Women's Rowing Committee chair effective immediately.

d. Softball Committee.

- The cabinet appointed Craig White, associate athletics director at the University of Georgia, as the Softball Committee chair effective immediately.

e. Men's and Women's Track and Field Committee.

- The cabinet appointed Holly Sheilley, assistant athletics director for student development and Olympic Championships at the University of Louisville, as the Men's and Women's Track and Field Committee chair effective immediately.

f. NCAA Women's Water Polo Committee.

- The cabinet appointed Andrew Schwartz, associate athletic director at Wagner College, as the Women's Water Polo Committee chair effective immediately.

17. Automatic Qualification. The cabinet awarded automatic qualification as follows:

a. Men's Baseball.

- The cabinet approved the following conferences for automatic qualification into the 2010 championship: America East Conference, Atlantic 10 Conference, Atlantic Coast Conference, Atlantic Sun Conference, Big 12 Conference, Big East Conference, Big South Conference, Big Ten Conference, Big West Conference, Colonial Athletic Association, Conference USA, Horizon League, Ivy Group, Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference, Mid-American Conference, Mid-Eastern Athletic Conference, Missouri Valley Conference, Mountain West Conference, Northeast

Conference, Ohio Valley Conference, Pacific-10 Conference, Southeastern Conference, Southern Conference, Southland Conference, Southwestern Athletic Conference, The Summit League, Sun Belt Conference, Patriot League, West Coast Conference and the Western Athletic Conference.

b. Women's Basketball.

- The cabinet approved the following conferences for automatic qualification into the 2010 championship: America East Conference, Atlantic Coast Conference, Atlantic Sun Conference, Atlantic 10 Conference, Big East Conference, Big Sky Conference, Big South Conference, Big Ten Conference, Big 12 Conference, Big West Conference, Colonial Athletic Association, Conference USA, Horizon League, Ivy Group, Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference, Mid-American Conference, Mid-Eastern Athletic Conference, Missouri Valley Conference, Mountain West Conference, Northeast Conference, Ohio Valley Conference, Pacific-10 Conference, Patriot League, Southeastern Conference, Southern Conference, Southland Conference, Southwestern Athletic Conference, The Summit League, Sun Belt Conference, West Coast Conference and Western Athletic Conference.

c. Men's Golf.

- The cabinet approved the following conferences for automatic qualification into the 2010 championship: Atlantic Coast Conference, Atlantic Sun Conference, Atlantic 10 Conference, Big East Conference, Big South Conference, Big Ten Conference, Big 12 Conference, Big West Conference, Colonial Athletic Association, Conference USA, Horizon League, Ivy Group, Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference, Mid-American Conference, Missouri Valley Conference, Mountain West Conference, Northeast Conference, Ohio Valley Conference, Pacific-10 Conference, Patriot League, Southeastern Conference, Southern Conference, Southland Conference, Southwestern Athletic Conference, The Summit League, Sun Belt Conference, West Coast Conference and Western Athletic Conference be granted automatic qualification for the 2010 championships.

d. Women's Golf.

- That the following conferences receive automatic qualification for the 2010 NCAA Division I Women's Golf Championships: Atlantic Coast Conference, Atlantic Sun Conference, Big East Conference, Big Sky

Conference, Big South Conference, Big Ten Conference, Big West Conference, Big 12 Conference, Colonial Athletic Association, Conference USA, Horizon Conference, Ivy Group, Mid-American Conference, Missouri Valley Conference, Mountain West Conference, Northeast Conference, Ohio Valley Conference, Pacific-10 Conference, Southeastern Conference, Southern Conference, Southland Conference, Southwestern Athletic Conference, The Summit League, Sun Belt Conference and the Western Athletic Conference."

e. Men's Ice Hockey.

- The cabinet approved the following conferences for automatic qualification into the 2010 championship: Atlantic Hockey Association, Central Collegiate Hockey Association, College Hockey America, ECAC Hockey League, Hockey East Association and the Western Collegiate Hockey Association.

f. Women's Ice Hockey.

- The cabinet approved the following conferences for automatic qualification into the 2010 championship: ECAC Hockey, Hockey East Association, and the Western Collegiate Hockey Association.

g. Men's Lacrosse.

- The cabinet approved the following conferences for automatic qualification into the 2010 championship: America East Conference, Colonial Athletic Association, Eastern College Athletic Conference, Ivy Group, Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference and the Patriot League.

h. Women's Lacrosse.

- The cabinet approved the following conferences for automatic qualification into the 2010 championship: America East Conference, Atlantic Coast Conference, Big East Conference, Colonial Athletic Association, Ivy Group and the Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference

i. Softball.

- The cabinet approved the following conferences for automatic qualification into the 2010 championship: America East Conference, Atlantic Coast Conference, Atlantic 10 Conference, Atlantic Sun

Conference, Big East Conference, Big South Conference, Big Ten Conference, Big 12 Conference, Big West Conference, Colonial Athletic Association, Conference USA, Horizon League, Ivy Group, Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference, Mid-American Conference, Mid-Eastern Athletic Conference, Missouri Valley Conference, Mountain West Conference, Northeast Conference, Ohio Valley Conference, Pacific Coast Softball Conference, Pacific-10 Conference, Patriot League, Southeastern Conference, Southern Conference, Southland Conference, Southwestern Athletic Conference, The Summit League, Sun Belt Conference and the Western Athletic Conference.

j. Men's and Women's Tennis.

(1) Men's Conferences: The cabinet approved the following conferences for automatic qualification into the 2010 championship: America East Conference, Atlantic Coast Conference, Atlantic Sun Conference, Atlantic 10 Conference, Big East Conference, Big Sky Conference, Big South Conference, Big Ten Conference, Big 12 Conference, Big West Conference, Colonial Athletic Association, Conference USA, Horizon League, Ivy Group, Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference, Mid-American Conference, Mid-Eastern Athletic Conference, Missouri Valley Conference, Mountain West Conference, Northeast Conference, Ohio Valley Conference, Pacific-10 Conference, Patriot League, Southeastern Conference, Southern Conference, Southland Conference, Southwestern Athletic Conference, The Summit League, Sun Belt Conference, West Coast Conference and Western Athletic Conference.

(2) Women's Conferences: The cabinet approved the following conferences for automatic qualification into the 2010 championship: America East Conference, Atlantic Coast Conference, Atlantic Sun Conference, Atlantic 10 Conference, Big East Conference, Big Sky Conference, Big South Conference, Big Ten Conference, Big 12 Conference, Big West Conference, Colonial Athletic Association, Conference USA, Horizon League, Ivy Group, Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference, Mid-American Conference, Mid-Eastern Athletic Conference, Missouri Valley Conference, Mountain West Conference, Northeast Conference, Ohio Valley Conference, Pacific-10 Conference, Patriot League, Southeastern Conference, Southern Conference, Southland Conference, Southwestern Athletic Conference, The Summit League, Sun Belt Conference, West Coast Conference and Western Athletic Conference.

k. Men's Volleyball.

- The cabinet approved the following conferences for automatic qualification for the 2010 championship: Eastern Intercollegiate Volleyball Association, Midwestern Intercollegiate Volleyball Association and the Mountain Pacific Sports Federation.

l. Women's Water Polo.

- The cabinet approved the following conferences for automatic qualification for the 2010 championship: Collegiate Water Polo Association (CWPA), Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference (MAAC), Mountain Pacific Sports Federation (MPSF), Southern California Intercollegiate Athletic Association (SCIAC), and the Western Water Polo Association (WWPA).

18. Site Selections.

a. Men's and Women's Swimming and Diving Committee. The cabinet approved the following site as host institution for the 2010 zone diving competitions: Zone D Texas A&M University, College Station.

b. Men's Basketball Committee. The cabinet approved the following sites for the 2011-13 Final Four.

(1) 2011 Championship.

- The 2011 Final Four will be played in Reliant Stadium in Houston, Texas.

Championship Dates, Rounds	**City, State**	**Facility**	**Host(s)**
March 15 Opening Round	Dayton, OH	UD Arena	University of Dayton
March 17/19 1st/2nd Rounds	Denver, CO	Pepsi Center	Mountain West Conference
March 17/19 1st/2nd Rounds	Tampa, FL	St. Pete Times Forum	University of South Florida
March 17/19 1st/2nd Rounds	Tucson, AZ	McKale Center	University of Arizona
March 17/19 1st/2nd Rounds	Washington, DC	Verizon Center	Georgetown University
March 18/20 1st/2nd Rounds	Charlotte, NC	Time Warner Cable Arena	University of North Carolina-Charlotte
March 18/20 1st/2nd Rounds	Chicago, IL	United Center	Big Ten Conference
March 18/20 1st/2nd Rounds	Cleveland, OH	Quicken Loans Arena	Cleveland State University
March 18/20 1st/2nd Rounds	Tulsa, OK	BOK Center	University of Tulsa
March 24/26 West Regional	Anaheim, CA	Honda Center	Big West Conference
March 24/26 Southeast Regional	New Orleans, LA	Louisiana Superdome	Tulane University
March 25/27 East Regional	Newark, NJ	Prudential Center	Seton Hall University
March 25/27 Southwest Regional	San Antonio, TX	Alamodome	University of Texas-San Antonio

(2) 2012 Championship.

- The 2012 Final Four will be played in the Louisiana Superdome in New Orleans, Louisiana.

Championship Dates, Rounds	City, State	Facility	Host(s)
March 13 Opening Round	Dayton, OH	UD Arena	University of Dayton
March 15/17 1st/2nd Rounds	Albuquerque, NM	The Pit	University of New Mexico
March 15/17 1st/2nd Rounds	Louisville, KY	Louisville Arena	University of Louisville
March 15/17 1st/2nd Rounds	Pittsburgh, PA	Consol Energy Center	Duquesne University
March 15/17 1st/2nd Rounds	Portland, OR	Rose Garden Arena	University of Oregon
March 16/18 1st/2nd Rounds	Columbus, OH	Nationwide Arena	Ohio State University
March 16/18 1st/2nd Rounds	Greensboro, NC	Greensboro Coliseum	Atlantic Coast Conference
March 16/18 1st/2nd Rounds	Nashville, TN	Sommet Center	Ohio Valley Conference
March 16/18 1st/2nd Rounds	Omaha, NE	Qwest Center	Creighton University
March 22/24 East Regional	Boston, MA	TD Garden	Boston College
March 22/24 West Regional	Phoenix, AZ	US Airways Center	Arizona State University
March 23/25 South Regional	Atlanta, GA	Georgia Dome	Georgia Institute of Technology
March 23/25 Midwest Regional	St. Louis, MO	Edward Jones Dome	St. Louis University

(3) 2013 Championship.

- The 2013 Final Four will be played in the Georgia Dome in Atlanta, Georgia.

Championship Dates, Rounds	City, State	Facility	Host(s)
March 19 Opening Round	Dayton, OH	UD Arena	University of Dayton
March 21/23 1st/2nd Rounds	Auburn Hills, MI	Palace of Auburn Hills	Oakland University
March 21/23 1st/2nd Rounds	Lexington, KY	Rupp Arena	University of Kentucky
March 21/23 1st/2nd Rounds	Salt Lake City, UT	Energy Solutions Arena	University of Utah
March 21/23 1st/2nd Rounds	San Jose, CA	HP Pavilion	West Coast Conference
March 22/24 1st/2nd Rounds	Austin, TX	Frank Erwin Center	University of Texas
March 22/24 1st/2nd Rounds	Dayton, OH	UD Arena	University of Dayton
March 22/24 1st/2nd Rounds	Kansas City, MO	Sprint Center	TBD
March 22/24 1st/2nd Rounds	Philadelphia, PA	Wachovia Center	Temple University
March 28/30 West Regional	Los Angeles, CA	Staples Center	Pepperdine University
March 28/30 East Regional	TBD	TBD	TBD
March 29/31 South Regional	Arlington/Dallas /Fort Worth, TX	Cowboys Stadium	Big 12 Conference
March 29/31 Midwest Regional	Indianapolis, IN	Lucas Oil Stadium	Butler University/ IUPUI/Horizon League

Committee Chair: Jeff Schemmel, San Diego State University
Staff Liaisons: Joni Comstock, Championships
Carol A. Reep, Championships
David W. Schnase, Academic and Membership Affairs

A G E N D A

National Collegiate Athletic Association

Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet

NCAA National Office
Indianapolis, Indiana

September 21-22, 2009

1. Welcome.

2. Review cabinet roster. [Supplement No. 1]

3. Discuss communication strategies within conferences.

   ***Background*:** The cabinet will discuss the strategies used within their respective conferences to identify best practices to ensure information is flowing effectively in both directions.

4. Report from June 8-9, 2009, meeting. [Supplement No. 2]

5. Report from the NCAA Division I Leadership Council Communications and Coordination Group. [Supplement No. 3]

6. Report from the NCAA Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee. [Supplement No. 4]

7. Review cabinet's guiding principles. [Supplement No. 5]

8. Review 2009-10 legislative proposals. [Supplement No. 6]

   Background: The cabinet will review legislative proposals submitted for the 2009-10 cycle that impact NCAA Bylaw 11 and 13 and may offer recommendations and/or position statements.

9. Request from the NCAA Division I Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet. [Supplement No. 7]

   Background: During its June meeting, the Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet requested feedback on the current definition of a recruited prospective student-athlete in NCAA Division I Bylaw 13.02.12.1.

10. Update on men's basketball recruiting initiatives. [Supplement No. 8]

    ***Background*:** The cabinet will receive an update on efforts intended to address the men's basketball recruiting environment.

11. Cabinet priority – communication methods. [Supplement No. 9]

    ***Background*:** The cabinet will begin an extensive review of current legislation and practices regarding communication timing and methods used in the recruiting process.

12. Cabinet strategic planning. [Supplement No. 10]

    Background: The cabinet will re-examine the priorities it established last year to assess progress and determine if other issues should be addressed.

13. Future agenda items.

14. Future meetings.

    a. February 3-4, 2010 – Indianapolis, Indiana.
       - Tuesday, February 2 – travel (if necessary).
       - Wednesday, February 3 – travel. Meeting 3 to 8 p.m. (working dinner)
       - Thursday, February 4 – Meeting 9 a.m. to 3 p.m. Return travel after 3 p.m.

    b. June 8-9, 2010 – Indianapolis, Indiana.
       - Monday, June 7 – travel (if necessary).
       - Tuesday, June 8 – travel. Meeting 3 to 8 p.m. (working dinner)
       - Wednesday, June 9 – Meeting 9 a.m. to 3 p.m. Return travel after 3 p.m.

15. Other business.

16. Key takeaways.

17. Adjournment.

ATTACHMENT
SUPPLEMENT NO. 12
DI Leadership Council 10/09

NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet
Guiding Principles for the Examination of the Current Recruiting Model

The NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet identified the following guiding principles for their examination of the current recruiting model.

1. **Maintain competitive equity and fairness across all institutions.** The recruiting model should continue to provide each institution a fair opportunity to participate in the recruiting process in an equitable yet competitive manner, consistent with NCAA Constitution 2.11 (principle governing recruiting).

2. **Minimize interference with prospective student-athletes' scholastic and athletics activities (i.e., prospective student-athlete well-being).** The recruiting model should minimize undue pressure that may interfere with a prospective student-athlete's scholastic and athletics activities, consistent with Constitution 2.11, while providing reasonable opportunities for coaches to engage in recruiting activities during times that would not interfere with a prospective student-athlete's scholastic or athletics activities.

3. **Ensure clarity of legislation.** The recruiting model should contain legislation that is clearly written and provides institutional staff, coaches and prospective student-athletes an opportunity to reasonably understand the application.

4. **Simplify and deregulate recruiting communication legislation.** The recruiting model should address communication methods and frequency in a manner that is based on sound principles rather than detailed distinctions between various methods and timing, especially in the dynamic environment of today's culture.

5. **Consideration of monitoring and enforcement issues.** The recruiting model should balance the need for regulations with the ability to reasonably monitor and enforce those regulations. The responsibility to monitor compliance with the legislation should continue to exist, but should shift in emphasis to areas that could provide a significant advantage rather than those that provide only a perceived or minimal advantage. Further, the penalties associated with recruiting violations should outweigh any risk associated with violating the legislation in order to serve as a deterrent for future abuse.

6. **Support needs of institutional coaches.** The recruiting model should continue to be responsive to coaches to ensure the model supports and addresses the needs and concerns of coaches in order to provide them opportunities for success.

7. **Resource effectiveness and efficiencies.** The recruiting model should be flexible to provide institutions the discretion to use their resources in an effective and efficient manner.

8. **Consideration of sport-specific legislation.** The recruiting model should recognize the issues associated with certain sports while ensuring that any sport-specific legislation is clearly supported by unique circumstances that warrant distinctions.

REPORT OF THE

NCAA DIVISION I RECRUITING AND ATHLETICS PERSONNEL ISSUES CABINET SEPTEMBER 21-22, 2009, MEETING

KEY ITEMS.

1. **Proposals Sponsored for the 2009-10 Cycle**. The NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet commented on 46 legislative proposals for the membership's consideration for the 2009-10 legislative cycle. One proposal is being supported for consideration as noncontroversial legislation by the NCAA Division I Legislative Council, one proposal originally sponsored by the cabinet has been withdrawn, and the cabinet has sponsored an alternative proposal for consideration to a proposal it originally sponsored in the spring.

2. **Priority Item – Examination of the Recruiting Model.** The cabinet began a discussion of the current recruiting model, including but not limited to: the impact of technology on communication methods (e.g., telephone, electronic, social networking) and frequency; early recruitment (e.g., verbal and written offers of athletics aid); camps and clinics; and recruiting calendars. The cabinet believes a comprehensive examination of the entire recruiting model is necessary and critical to understand how existing legislation aligns or conflicts with the realities of today's environment. The cabinet identified key guiding principles to serve as a framework for the examination and discussion. The cabinet will continue this examination during its next meeting in anticipation of engaging in broader membership discussion in spring/summer 2010.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

1. **Modifications to Cabinet Sponsored Proposals in the 2009-10 Legislative Cycle.**

   a. **NCAA Division I Proposal No. 2009-12 – Personnel – Definitions and Applications – Undergraduate Student Assistant Coach.** Based on initial feedback and concern, the cabinet agreed to modify this proposal to eliminate the provision that a student-athlete must renounce any remaining eligibility in the applicable sport.

   b. **Proposal No. 2009-14 – Personnel – Definitions and Applications – Manager.** The cabinet clarified that the forfeiture of eligibility in baseball applies only at the institution at which the student serves as a manager. Therefore, the student would have eligibility remaining if he transferred to another institution.

c. Proposal No. 2009-14 – Personnel – Definitions and Applications – Manager – Alternative Proposal.

(1) Recommendation. The cabinet agreed to sponsor an alternative proposal which eliminates the provision that, in baseball, an individual that serves as a manager would forfeit any remaining eligibility in the sport.

(2) Effective Date. August 1, 2010.

(3) Rationale. The cabinet believes an alternative proposal that applies consistently for all sports should be considered by the membership in addition to the original proposal.

(4) Estimated Budget Impact. None.

(5) Student-Athlete Impact. None.

d. Proposal No. 2009-55 – Recruiting – Camps and Clinics – Employment of Coach – Quiet Period – Women's Volleyball. Based on a request from the American Volleyball Coaches Association, the cabinet agreed to modify this proposal to add noncoaching staff with sport-specific responsibilities and volunteer coaches to the prohibition on employment at institutional or noninstitutional camps that occur off campus during a quiet period. The cabinet noted this modification is consistent with the intent to curb the abuse of coaches using such employment as a means of gaining access to prospective student-athletes during a time period when off-campus recruitment is otherwise not permissible and should also extend to noncoaching staff with sport-specific responsibilities and volunteer coaches.

e. Proposal No. 2009-59 – Administrative Regulations – Recruiting Calendars – Women's Volleyball – Evaluation Period in Conjunction with Championship. The cabinet agreed to modify this proposal to include a provision which precludes coaches from attending events that occur at the same time of any intercollegiate competition in conjunction with the NCAA championship. The cabinet noted the modification is consistent with the intent of the original legislation in Proposal No. 2007-5 which addressed the opportunity to increase attendance and support for the NCAA championship. Therefore, the cabinet believes coaches should not be permitted to attend events that conflict with intercollegiate competition of the NCAA championship. This is consistent with a similar provision that already exists in women's basketball.

2. Legislative – Comments on Proposals to be Initially Considered by the Legislative Council in January 2010.

a. Proposal No. 2009-10 – Personnel – Definitions and Applications – Graduate-Assistant Coach – Employment Within Seven Years of Graduating or Exhausting Eligibility – Bowl Subdivision Football. The cabinet opposes this proposal. As noted during its June 2009 meeting, the cabinet will more closely examine issues regarding graduate assistant coaches this year to better assess the implication of current and suggested legislative changes and believes it would be premature to adopt legislation prior to that discussion. The cabinet also noted the proposal may preclude high school football coaches and former professional football players from having access to entry-level coaching positions at institutions.

b. Proposal No. 2009-11 – Personnel – Definitions and Applications – Graduate-Assistant Coach – Bowl Subdivision Football and Women's Rowing – Less than 50 Percent of Full-Time Enrollment during Fall Term. The cabinet supports this proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

c. Proposal No. 2009-13 – Personnel and Recruiting – Recruiting Coordinator Functions – Permissible Callers – Exception – Volunteer Coach – Track and Field. The cabinet opposes this proposal. The cabinet noted the expansion of volunteer coach responsibilities is contrary to the intent of the volunteer coach position which was affirmed during its June 2009 meeting. The cabinet believes a clear distinction should be maintained between the role and responsibilities of volunteer coaches and countable coaches. The cabinet also noted volunteer coaches are currently permitted to meet with prospective student-athletes during official and unofficial visits and may communicate with contactable prospective student-athletes via electronic mail which provides sufficient opportunity to interact with prospective student-athletes in a limited manner.

d. Proposal No. 2009-16 – Personnel – Limitations on the Number and Duties of Coaches – Contact and Evaluation of Prospective Student-Athletes – Fall Evaluation Period – Exception - Football. The cabinet supports this proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

e. Proposal No. 2009-17 – Personnel – Certification to Recruit Off-Campus – Certification Administration – Faculty Athletics Representative. The cabinet opposes this proposal. The cabinet noted the current requirements appropriately vest the responsibility with member conferences to establish procedures for administration of the test and such a broad regulation is not necessary and would reduce the flexibility currently afforded to conferences and institutions.

f. **Proposal No. 2009-18 – Personnel and Recruiting – Recruiting Coordination Functions – Exception – Noncoaching Staff Members and Noncountable Coaches – Telephone Calls in Conjunction with Official Visit Transportation**. The cabinet supports this proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

g. **Proposal No. 2009-20 – Personnel – Limitations on the Number of Off-Campus Recruiters – On-Campus Events – Women's Basketball**. The cabinet supports this proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale. The cabinet also noted the NCAA Division I Women's Basketball Issues Committee supports this proposal. Although the cabinet discussed whether this proposal should be expanded to other sports, the cabinet agreed the membership should first consider the current proposal prior to expanding to other sports.

h. **Proposal No. 2009-26 – Recruiting – Definitions and Applications – Dead Period – Exception – Unofficial Visit After National Letter of Intent Signing or Other Written Commitment.** The cabinet supports this proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

i. **Proposal No. 2009-27 – Recruiting – Definitions and Applications – Evaluation Days – Spring Evaluation Period – 168 Days - Football.** The cabinet supports this proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

j. **Proposal No. 2009-28 – Recruiting – Contacts and Evaluations – Telephone Calls – Official and Unofficial Visits – Offers and Financial Aid – Tryouts – Women's Soccer.** The cabinet opposes this proposal. The cabinet recognized the intent of the sponsor to address early recruitment concerns in the sport of women's soccer. However, the cabinet has begun an examination of the current recruiting model in all sports and believes it would be premature to adopt sport-specific legislation at this time. Further, the cabinet expressed concern with the potential consequences of prohibiting coaches from participating in the Olympic Development Program without input or comment from US Youth Soccer (or other similar organizations) with which the Olympic Development Program is affiliated.

k. **Proposal No. 2009-29 – Recruiting and Eligibility – Four-Year Prospective Student-Athletes – Permission to Contact and Transfer Release – Response to Request and Hearing Opportunity.** The cabinet opposes this proposal as written. However, the cabinet supports the modification recommended by the NCAA Division I Academic Cabinet and NCAA Division I Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet that would specify that an institution must

grant or deny a request to permit another institution to contact a student-athlete about transferring or request a release as a condition of the one-time transfer exception within seven business days of the receipt of the request; further, would specify that if the request is denied, the institution must conduct a hearing and provide the student-athlete with a written response within 15 business days of receipt of the student-athlete's written request. In addition, the cabinet recommends the sponsor also modify the proposal to include a provision to specify that if an institution fails to respond within the appropriate timeframe (i.e., seven or 15 business days), the request for permission to contact or use of the one-time transfer exception would be considered automatically granted. The cabinet noted without a specific and immediate consequence of a failure to respond, an institutional violation alone would not deter an institution from committing a violation or address the student-athlete well-being concerns as intended. If the sponsor chooses not to modify the proposal, the cabinet will sponsor an alternative proposal.

l. **Proposal No. 2009-30 – Recruiting Permissible Recruiters – Noncoaching Staff Members with Sport-Specific Responsibilities – Men's Basketball.** The cabinet supports this proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale. Further, the cabinet noted the addition of women's basketball to this proposal by the NCAA Division I Championships/Sports Management Cabinet and also supports the proposal as modified.

m. **Proposal No. 2009-31 – Recruiting – Contacts and Evaluations – Head Coach Restrictions – Assistant Designated as Next Head Coach – Bowl Subdivision Football.** The cabinet opposes this proposal. The cabinet noted this designation may occur several years prior to departure of the current head coach which may prohibit assistant coaches from participating in off-campus recruiting activities during the spring evaluation period for an extended period of time and may result in other unintended consequences.

n. **Proposal No. 2009-32 – Recruiting – Telephone Calls – Time Period for Telephone Calls – Exception – Unlimited During Contact Period.** The cabinet supports this proposal. The cabinet agrees with the sponsor's rationale and noted the significant institutional compliance resources spent on monitoring current telephone call limitations. In addition, the cabinet noted the many forms of communication available in today's culture with electronic means increasingly becoming the preferred method among high school students. The cabinet also noted the importance of prospective student-athletes (and their parents and/or legal guardians) sharing the responsibility to communicate with coaches if the amount of telephone calls received becomes overwhelming or burdensome. Finally, the cabinet noted this legislation has existed in football for several years

without raising concerns about being too intrusive to prospective student-athletes and, accordingly, believes it is appropriate for all sports.

o. **Proposal No. 2009-33 – Recruiting – Telephone Calls – Exception – Unlimited After Receipt of Room or Tuition Deposit.** The cabinet opposes this proposal as written. However, the cabinet recommends that the sponsor modify the proposal to permit unlimited telephone calls on or after May 1 of a prospective student-athlete's senior year provided the institution has received his or her financial deposit in response to the institution's offer of admission. If the sponsor does not agree to modify the proposal, the cabinet will sponsor an alternative proposal. The cabinet is supportive of the concept outlined in the proposal; however, the use of a tuition or room deposit inadvertently prevents institutions that do not require those specific types of financial deposits from taking advantage of the flexibility afforded by the proposal and is consistent with the use of a financial deposit in Proposal Nos. 2009-26 and 2009-43 which aresupported by the cabinet.

p. **Proposal No. 2009-34 – Recruiting – Telephone Calls – Exception – Day of Unofficial Visit.** The cabinet opposes this proposal as written. However, the cabinet recommends that the sponsor modify the proposal to permit any athletics department staff member to make or receive telephone calls to or from a prospective student-athlete (or his or her parents or legal guardians) on the day or days of an unofficial visit. If the sponsor does not agree to modify the proposal, the cabinet will sponsor an alternative proposal. The cabinet is supportive of the concept outlined in the proposal and believes that by providing additional flexibility to permit any athletics department staff member to make or receive telephone calls during this specified time period will permit institutions to be more responsive to the needs of prospective student-athletes while on unofficial visits or while in transit for the visit.

q. **Proposal No. 2009-35 – Recruiting – Contacts and Evaluations – Visit to Prospective Student-Athlete's Educational Institution – Visits during Evaluation Period - Football.** The cabinet opposes this proposal. The cabinet believes institutions should have the discretion to determine how to spend their recruiting resources and noted institutions are limited to a maximum of seven coaches who may recruit off campus at any one time. Therefore, if an institution has more than two coaches at one educational institution on one calendar day, fewer coaches are permitted to recruit at other locations on that same day. The cabinet also noted in some circumstances, the head coach, position coach and the recruiting coach for a specific geographic region may wish to visit a prospect's educational institution at the same time, thereby presenting concerns relative to current and common recruiting practices.

r. **Proposal No. 2009-37 – Recruiting – Evaluations – Summer Evaluation Period – Noninstitutional Nonorganized Events – Women's Basketball**. The cabinet supports this proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

s. **Proposal No. 2009-38 – Recruiting – Evaluations – Scholastic and Nonscholastic Activities – Championship Subdivision Football**. The cabinet supports this proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale. However, the cabinet noted nonscholastic influences are also present in NCAA Football Championship Subdivision and, if adopted, should continue to be monitored. Further, the cabinet noted some concerns with the potential increase in recruiting expenses because the proposal expands the permissible types of events at which coaches may evaluate.

t. **Proposal No. 2009-41 – Recruiting – Recruiting Materials – Athletics Publications – No Printed Media Guides or Recruiting Brochures.** The cabinet took no position on this proposal. The cabinet believes this proposal extends beyond its purview by prohibiting the use of printed materials for purposes other than recruiting. Further, the cabinet noted institutions should have discretion regarding the production and distribution of athletics publications for purposes other than recruiting. Instead, the cabinet supports Proposal No. 2009-42 which would modify the legislation to impact recruiting activities.

u. **Proposal No. 2009-42 – Recruiting – Recruiting Materials – Athletics Publications – No Printed Publications to Prospective Student-Athletes.** The cabinet supports this proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

v. **Proposal No. 2009-43 – Recruiting – Recruiting Materials – Electronic Transmission – Exception – After Receipt of Financial Deposit.** The cabinet supports this proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

w. **Proposal No. 2009-45 – Recruiting – Official Visit – First Opportunity to Visit – June Immediately Prior to Senior Year - Football.** The cabinet opposes this proposal. The cabinet does not believe it is necessary for official visits to occur earlier than the first day of classes of a prospective student-athlete's senior year in high school because there is adequate time during the prospective student-athlete's senior year and prior to the National Letter of Intent (NLI) signing date in February for these visits to occur. Further, the cabinet noted official visits that occur during the academic year provide the opportunity to visit when the campus culture and environment most closely resembles what it will be when a prospective student-athlete is in attendance at the institution. The cabinet also expressed concern regarding the opportunity for official visits to occur during the

same time period in which it is permissible to conduct institutional football camps and clinics and the impact on prospective student-athletes and coaches.

x. **Proposal No. 2009-46 – Recruiting – Official Visit – Number of Official Visits – Institutional Limitation – De Minimis Violation.** The cabinet supports this proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale. Further, the cabinet agrees the proposal should be considered as noncontroversial legislation.

y. **Proposal No. 2009-47 – Recruiting – Letter of Intent Programs, Financial Aid Agreements – Written Offer of Aid Before Signing Date - Football.** The cabinet opposes this proposal. The cabinet recognized the intent of the sponsor to address early recruitment concerns in the sport of football. However, the cabinet has begun an examination of the current recruiting model, including offers of aid, in all sports, and believes it would be premature to adopt sport-specific legislation at this time. Further, the cabinet expressed concern regarding the difficulty of determining what constitutes a written indication of an athletically related grant-in-aid and how institutions will monitor such communication. The cabinet believes a clear understanding of these practical concerns should be addressed prior to adoption.

z. **Proposal No. 2009-48 – Recruiting and Financial Aid – Letter-of-Intent Programs, Financial Aid Agreements – Letter of Intent Restriction – Limitation on Number of Signings – Bowl Subdivision Football.** The cabinet supports this proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

aa. **Proposal No. 2009-49 – Recruiting – Tryouts – Competition against Prospective Student-Athletes - Football.** The cabinet supports this proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale. However, the cabinet expressed some concern that the proposal may restrict subvarsity teams from competing against outside competition in the area or region of the institution and may result in increased travel expenses for competition.

bb. **Proposal No. 2009-50 – Recruiting and Administrative Regulations – Local Sports Clubs – Additional Restrictions – Quiet Periods – Women's Soccer.** The cabinet opposes this proposal. The cabinet recommends that the sponsor modify the proposal to establish a comprehensive recruiting calendar that includes dead periods surrounding the NCAA championship, the National Soccer Coaches Association of America convention and NLI signing periods similar to the recruiting calendars already established in other sports. Additionally, the cabinet noted the NCAA Division I Women's Soccer Committee does not support the proposal and believes the National Soccer Coaches Association of America should be involved or provide feedback regarding the development of a recruiting

calendar. Should the sponsor not choose to modify the proposal as recommended, the cabinet will not sponsor an alternative for the reasons noted above.

cc. **Proposal No. 2009-51 – Recruiting – Camps and Clinics – Institution's Sports Camps and Clinics – Location – Men's Basketball**. The cabinet supports this proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale. Further, the cabinet noted the addition of women's basketball to this proposal by the Championships/Sports Management Cabinet and also supports the proposal as modified.

dd. **Proposal No. 2009-52 – Recruiting – Camps and Clinics – Conducted During June, July and August – Employment in Noninstitutional, Privately Owned Camps and Clinics at Any Location – Championship Subdivision Football**. The cabinet supports this proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

ee. **Proposal No. 2009-53 – Recruiting – Camps and Clinics – Conducted During June, July and August – Football**. The cabinet opposes this proposal. The cabinet noted it supports Proposal Nos. 2009-52 and 2009-54 and believes they more effectively address the issues regarding employment at noninstitutional camps and clinics in football.

ff. **Proposal No. 2009-54 – Recruiting – Camps and Clinics – Employment in Noninstitutional, Privately Owned Camps or Clinics At Any Location – Football**. The cabinet supports this proposal. However, the cabinet expressed some concern that these employment opportunities have developed primarily into recruiting opportunities rather than professional development experiences and believes this practice should be monitored in the future.

gg. **Proposal No. 2009-56 – Recruiting – Use of Recruiting Funds – Recruiting or Scouting Services – Criteria for Subscription.** The cabinet supports this proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale. In addition, the cabinet supports the modification made by the Championships/Sports Management Cabinet which would limit each institution to one subscription to each service on an annual basis. The cabinet noted that this would eliminate the current practice by some institutions of paying for multiple subscriptions to the same service in the same year.

hh. **Proposal No. 2009-57 – Administrative Regulations – Recruiting Calendars – Football – Quiet Periods in January.** The cabinet opposes this proposal as written. However, the cabinet recommends that the sponsor modify the proposal to maintain the Sunday during the week of the annual American Football Coaches Association convention as a quiet period and specify that Friday and Saturday after the completion of the American Football Coaches Association convention is

a contact period. The cabinet noted that if the sponsor chooses not to modify the proposal as specified, the cabinet will sponsor an alternative proposal. The cabinet is supportive of the concept outlined in the proposal and believes the modification encourages coaches to fully participate in the American Football Coaches Association convention. Further, the cabinet noted this modification is supported by the NCAA Division I Football Issues Committee.

ii. **Proposal No. 2009-58 – Administrative Regulations – Recruiting Calendars – Men's Soccer.** The cabinet opposes the proposal as written. However, the cabinet recommends that the sponsor modify the proposal to include a dead period surrounding the NCAA Championship and the National Soccer Coaches Association of America convention similar to recruiting calendars already established in other sports. The cabinet noted that if the sponsor chooses not to modify the proposal as specified, the cabinet will sponsor an alternative proposal. However, the cabinet noted that while it recommends a modification at this time, it is important that the National Soccer Coaches Association of America is involved or provides feedback regarding the development of a recruiting calendar in this sport.

jj. **Proposal No. 2009-75 – Playing and Practice Seasons and Recruiting – Mandatory Medical Examination – Sickle Cell Solubility Test.** The cabinet supports this proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

3. Other Legislative Informational Items

- **Proposal No. 2009-21 – Personnel and Recruiting – Limitations on Number of Coaches and Off-Campus Recruiters – Evaluation Days – Local Sports Clubs – Women's Volleyball.** The cabinet withdrew this proposal. The cabinet noted the American Volleyball Coaches Association had requested a modification be made to the proposal to treat noncoaching staff members with sport-specific responsibilities and volunteer coaches in the same manner as coaches for all parts of the proposal. On further examination, the cabinet agreed the proposal, even if not modified as requested, would pose significant challenges on volleyball personnel (i.e., coaches, volunteer coaches and noncoaching staff members with sport-specific responsibilities) and compliance staffs in coordinating and monitoring the activities of personnel involved with local sports clubs. The cabinet acknowledges the American Volleyball Coaches Association has identified involvement of personnel in local sports clubs as a concern, but does not believe this proposal sufficiently addresses the central problem and, instead, creates an additional burden for institutions. Therefore, the cabinet requests the American Volleyball Coaches Association re-examine the issue of local sports

clubs and, if it continues to believe that it is a concern, develop a solution that clearly addresses the problem in a manner that is practical to implement.

4. Nonlegislative.

a. Priority Item – Examination of the Recruiting Model. Over the past year, the cabinet has engaged in various discussions related to issues and concerns with several components of the current recruiting model, including but not limited to: the impact of technology on communication methods (e.g., telephone, electronic, social networking) and frequency; early recruitment (e.g., verbal and written offers of athletics aid); camps and clinics; recreational activities; and recruiting calendars. In addition, the cabinet has discussed related concerns such as the increased monitoring burden on compliance personnel, the increased cost associated with monitoring the legislation, the enforceability of current legislation and the significance of penalties associated with recruiting violations. The cabinet agreed that over the past several years, the number of proposals submitted in the area of recruiting has increased, yet many proposals have not offered substantive changes to the recruiting model in a manner that offers meaningful change to impact some of the fundamental problems that exist in today's recruiting environment. To illustrate this point, the cabinet noted that legislative proposals are routinely submitted for the same bylaws and/or issues on an almost annual basis and sometimes without regard to the membership's action the previous year. While the cabinet acknowledged there are significant issues and problems in the area of recruiting that require serious attention, it does not believe this "band-aid" approach is effective or efficient in addressing the real problems that exist and contributes to a regulatory culture that is unhealthy and creates potentially unreasonable standards and expectations.

As a result, the cabinet believes a comprehensive examination of the entire recruiting model is necessary and critical to understand how existing legislation aligns or conflicts with the realities of today's environment including the scholastic and nonscholastic activities in which prospective student-athletes participate; the use of technology to obtain information about prospective student-athletes and the institution; the multiple methods available to communicate with prospective student-athletes and coaches and the advent of a user-defined communication system; the use of institutional camps and clinics for recruiting purposes; the pressure on prospective student-athletes and coaches to initiate and engage in the recruiting process earlier in a high school career; the increased use and prioritization of recruiting or scouting services (and activities supported by these services) by prospective student-athletes to gain exposure to coaches; the

increased publicity and media attention on recruiting activities; and the increased monitoring burden on institutional compliance personnel.

The cabinet acknowledged the challenges posed with such a comprehensive examination and intends to proceed in a manner that is inclusive of feedback while principled in its approach. The intent is to develop a recruiting model that connects each part of the model instead of viewing them as solely independent parts. To begin, the cabinet identified key guiding principles (Attachment) to serve as a framework for the examination and discussion. The cabinet will continue this examination during its next meeting in anticipation of engaging in broader membership discussion in spring/summer 2010.

b. **Definition of a Recruited Student-Athlete.** At the request of the Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet, the cabinet examined current legislation regarding the definition of a recruited student-athlete. The cabinet shared similar concerns as those noted by the Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet; however, it also noted that while the definition of a recruited student-athlete is located in NCAA Bylaw 13, the most significant impact of this definition exists in Bylaw 15 and is meant to address competitive equity and financial aid parity across institutions. Therefore, consideration should be given as to whether the recruited status of an individual is appropriate when it has the potential to have such a significant impact on a student-athlete and whether it should continue to be used as a trigger for counter status. The cabinet will continue its examination of this issue during its next meeting to determine whether any specific recommendations to modify the definition are warranted.

c. **Update on Men's Basketball Recruiting Initiative.** The cabinet received an update regarding the current initiatives by the Basketball Focus Group of the NCAA enforcement staff intended to address concerns in the men's basketball recruiting environment regarding the funneling of money by institutions to nonscholastic entities and individuals. The NCAA Division I Board of Directors will receive a final series of interpretive and legislative recommendations at its October 2009 meeting.

Communication Strategies. The cabinet continued its review of communication strategies among conference members and at the institutional level. An increasing number of cabinet members are working with their conference office staff to help disseminate and receive information prior and subsequent to cabinet meetings. At the campus level, cabinet members shared how they communicate relevant information with their staff, coaches and student-athletes. Each cabinet member was encouraged to emphasize communication with student-athletes in the coming year, particularly at the campus and conference level. The NCAA Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee is also engaged in providing information and soliciting feedback from the student-athlete population through its various forms of communication, including new media such as Facebook and Twitter.

d. **Student-Athlete Advisory Committee Update.** The cabinet received an update from the Student-Athlete Advisory Committee liaison to the cabinet. The update included items that the Student-Athlete Advisory Committee will focus on in the upcoming year including the continuing examination of commercialism issues within intercollegiate athletics. The cabinet discussed ways to involve their campus committees and stay updated with the issues on the national Student-Athlete Advisory Committee's agenda. The cabinet requested that the Student-Athlete Advisory Committee monthly newsletter be distributed via e-mail to all cabinet chairs and the full cabinet.

e. **Cabinet Strategic Planning.** The cabinet examined the priorities it established in September 2009 to assess progress and determine if other issues should be added for the 2009-10 academic year. As noted above, the examination of the recruiting model is a top priority for the cabinet and includes some of the previously identified priorities such as the use of technology in the recruiting process, communication methods and early offers of athletics aid. This examination will be the focus of the cabinet for the upcoming year.

Cabinet Chair: ***Petrina Long, University of California, Los Angeles, Pacific-10 Conference***
Staff Liaison(s): ***Jeremiah Carter, Academic and Membership Affairs***
Amy Huchthausen, Academic and Membership Affairs
Charnele Kemper, Academic and Membership Affairs
Jackie Thurnes, Enforcement Services

REPORT OF THE NCAA DIVISION I STUDENT-AHTLETE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

The NCAA Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee (SAAC) submits the following report from its July 17-19, 2009, meeting.

KEY ITEMS

1. Commercial Activity in Intercollegiate Athletics. The committee discussed commercial activities in intercollegiate athletics, including the report from the NCAA Task Force on Commercial Activity. The committee requested key points on the topic and will seek further input from campus and conference SAACs. The committee expressed a strong interest in contributing to the discussion of these issues.

2. Cost-Saving Initiatives. The committee discussed the impact of budget reductions on student-athlete well-being and will draft a document highlighting student-athlete well-being priorities. The committee recognizes the current financial climate and its impact on Division I institutions. The committee expressed a strong interest in contributing to the discussion of these issues.

3. Division I Membership Criteria. The committee received an update regarding the revised criteria for Division I membership considering expiration of the Division I membership moratorium following the 2010-11 academic year. The committee concluded that new members should have the capacity to provide their student-athletes with a Division I caliber experience in all respects and specifically noted the importance of maintaining competition levels and adequate financial support for athletic programs. The committee expressed a strong interest in contributing to the discussion of these issues.

4. NCAA Division I Men's Basketball Academic Enhancement Group. The committee discussed the Men's Basketball Academic Enhancement Group's efforts to improve academic performance through summer school enrollment. The committee determined that more direct input from men's basketball student-athletes is necessary before taking a formal position on the issue.

ACTION ITEMS.

1. Legislative Items.

   - None

2. Nonlegislative Items.

   a. **Selection of Student-Athletes to NCAA Division I and Association-Wide Committees**. SAAC recommends that the NCAA Division I Administration

Cabinet approve the following SAAC appointments to specified Association-wide and divisional cabinets and committees:

(1) NCAA Committee on Women's Athletics: Southwestern Conference, Monique Carroll, Volleyball/Track, University of Arkansas, Pine Bluff.

(2) NCAA Minority Opportunity and Interests Committee: Mid-Eastern Athletic Conference, Aminah Zanah Charles, Volleyball, Hampton University.

(3) NCAA Football Issues Committee (NCAA Football Bowl Championship Subdivision representative): Southeastern Conference, D.J. Looney, Football, Mississippi State University.

(4) NCAA Sportsmanship and Ethical Conduct Committee: Atlantic Sun Conference, Danielle Gray, Basketball, Jacksonville University.

(5) NCAA Division I Academics Cabinet: Conference USA, Jarrett Newby, Cross Country/Track, East Carolina University.

(6) NCAA Division I Amateurism Cabinet: Colonial Athletic Conference, Matthew Goff, Football, James Madison University.

(7) NCAA Division I Administration Cabinet: Mid-American Conference, Catherine Marosszeky, Soccer, Kent State University.

(8) NCAA Division I Student-Athlete Awards, Benefits and Financial Aid Cabinet: Big Sky Conference, Anne Morrison, Rowing, California State University, Sacramento.

(9) Joint SAAC Representative: Missouri Valley Conference, Scott Krapf, Cross Country/Track, Illinois State University.

b. **Selection of NCAA Division I SAAC members**. The Division I SAAC recommends that the Division I Administration Cabinet ratify the following Division I SAAC member selections:

(1) America East Conference, Bob Nolte, Swimming, Binghamton University.

(2) Atlantic Coast Conference, D'Andre DeVon Bell, Basketball, Georgia Institute of Technology.

(3) Big East Conference, Norah Swanson, Soccer, Georgetown University.

(4) Ivy Group, Natalia Maria Christenson, Tennis, Columbia University-Barnard College.

(5) Mountain West Conference, Eugene Daniels, Football, Colorado State University.

(6) Northeast Conference, Lauren Chapman, Golf, Fairleigh Dickenson University, Metropolitan.

(7) Pacific-10 Conference, Jonathan Hackett, Volleyball, University of Southern California.

[Note: Action will be taken to appoint representatives from the following conferences via teleconference in September 2009: Big 12 Conference, Big South Conference, Summit League and West Coast Conference.]

c. **Reappointment of NCAA Division I SAAC members**. The Division I SAAC recommends that the Division I Administration Cabinet ratify the following Division I SAAC member reappointments:

(1) Big Ten Conference, Nick Fulton, Swimming, University of Wisconsin, Madison.

(2) Western Athletic Conference, Trisha Gibbons, Soccer, University of Nevada, Reno.

(3) Southern Conference, Kendra Eaton, Basketball, Western Carolina University.

(4) Atlantic-10 Conference, Brian Alas, Baseball, Richmond University.

(5) Horizon League, Audrey Piotrowski, Cross Country, Cleveland State University.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

1. Cabinet and Committee Reports. The committee was updated regarding Division I cabinets and committees on which SAAC members serve. The committee will provide feedback to the appropriate cabinet or committee regarding nontraditional courses, nutrition, Division I membership criteria, commercial activity in intercollegiate athletics, initial-eligibility requirements, progress-toward-degree requirements, cost-saving initiatives, hearing opportunities related to the renewal and nonrewal of financial aid awards and the impact of technology on recruiting.

2. Commercial Activity in Intercollegiate Athletics. The committee discussed commercial activities in intercollegiate athletics, including the report from the NCAA Task Force on

Commercial Activity. The committee requested key points on the topic and will seek further input from campus and conference SAACs.

3. Cost-Saving Initiatives. The committee received an update on the Division I Legislative Council's discussion of cost-savings initiatives. The committee discussed the impact of budget reductions on student-athlete well-being and will draft a document highlighting student-athlete well-being priorities. Potential items of interest include competition, academic support and athletic trainers. The committee recognizes the current financial climate and its impact on Division I institutions. The committee expressed a strong interest in contributing to the discussion of these issues.

4. Division I Membership Criteria. The committee received an update regarding the revised criteria for Division I membership considering expiration of the Division I membership moratorium following the 2010-11 academic year. Specifically, the committee discussed maintaining competition levels, reducing competitive and financial disparity, and adequate financial support for programs, particularly athletic training and academic support. The committee concluded that new members must have the capacity to provide their student-athletes with a Division I caliber experience in all respects. The committee will produce a short video related to the topic to communicate the experience of Division I student-athletes.

5. NCAA Division I Men's Basketball Academic Enhancement Group. The committee was updated regarding the Men's Basketball Academic Enhancement Group's discussion related to efforts designed to improve academic performance through summer school enrollment. The committee determined that more direct input from men's basketball student-athletes is necessary before taking a formal position on the issue.

6. Initial Review of 2009-10 Proposed Legislation. The committee reviewed and discussed proposed legislation from the 2009-10 legislative cycle, including items related to recruiting, eligibility, playing and practice seasons, awards, benefits and expenses, and administrative regulations. The committee will convene for a conference call in September to review these proposals in depth.

7. Grayshirting and National Letter of Intent (NLI) Limits in the Sport of Football. The committee discussed the practice of grayshirting and the NCAA legislation regarding NLI signing limits in the sport of football. A proposal in the 2009-10 legislative cycle would limit the number of football prospective student-athletes who sign an NLI and/or institutional offer of financial aid with a member institution to not more than 28. The committee identified the proposal's potential impact on student-athlete well-being and will discuss the information with campus and conference SAACs before taking a formal position on the proposed legislation in November.

8. Joint Student-Athlete Advisory Committee Meeting. The Joint SAAC was updated regarding the production of a promotional video that will be distributed to NCAA member institutions. The video is intended to educate student-athletes about national SAAC. The Joint SAAC also received updates from divisional SAACs and from association-wide committees including: the NCAA Committee on Women's Athletics, the NCAA Minority Opportunities and Interests Committee, the NCAA Committee on Competitive Safeguards and Medical Aspects of Sports, and the NCAA Sportsmanship and Ethical Conduct Committee.

9. Media Update. The committee was updated regarding the Division I SAAC media team, which included a review of SAAC publications, communication and networking tools and the creation of a Division I SAAC Alumni Association.

10. Green Team Update. The committee was updated regarding the NCAA national office's environmental and conservation Green Team initiative, including a review of the Green Team's principles and standards, as well as waste reduction actions implemented at the national office.

11. 2009 National Student-Athlete Development Conference. The committee was updated regarding the SAAC's participation at the National Student-Athlete Development Conference, which took place May 24-27, 2009, in Orlando, Florida.

12. 2009 Gender Equity and Issues Forum. The committee was updated regarding the SAAC's participation at the Gender Equity and Issues Forum, which took place April 27-29, 2009, in San Diego, California.

13. NCAA Internship Program. The committee received information regarding the year-long NCAA internship program, including the application process.

14. Attendance. The attendance list for the July 2009 meeting is as follows:

Conference	School	Name	Sport	Present
America East Conference	University of Hartford	Alexandra Morley	Softball	Yes
Atlantic 10 Conference	University of Richmond	Brian Alas	Baseball	Yes
Atlantic Coast Conference	University of Maryland	Allison Houseal	Women's Water Polo	Yes
Atlantic Sun Conference	Jacksonville University	Danielle Gray	Women's Basketball	Yes
Big 12 Conference	University of Kansas	Matthew Baysinger	Men's Track and Field	Yes
Big East Conference	Rutgers University, The State University of New Jersey	Brittany Loisel	Softball	Yes
Big South Conference	Winthrop University	Benten Kieffner	Men's Soccer	Yes
Big Sky Conference	California State University, Sacramento	Anne Morrison	Rowing	Yes
Big Ten Conference	University of Wisconsin, Madison	Nick Fulton	Men's Swimming	Yes
Big West	University of the	Danielle Neault	Field Hockey	Yes

Conference	Pacific			
Colonial Athletic Conference	James Madison University	Matthew Goff	Football	Yes
Conference USA	East Carolina University	Jarrett Newby	Cross Country/Track	Yes
Horizon League	Cleveland State University	Audrey Piotrowski	Cross Country	Yes
Ivy Group	University of Pennsylvania	Camille Richard	Track	Yes
Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference	Loyola College (Maryland)	Eryn Crane	Women's Swimming	Yes
Mid-American Conference	Kent State University	Catherine Marosszeky	Women's Soccer	Yes
Mid-Eastern Athletic Conference	Hampton University	Aminah Zanah Charles	Volleyball	Yes
Missouri Valley Conference	Illinois State University	Scott Krapf	Cross Country/Track	Yes
Mountain West Conference	San Diego State University	Sherraine Pencil	Track	Yes
Northeast Conference	Fairleigh Dickinson University, Metropolitan	Shanay Freeman	Women's Basketball	Yes
Ohio Valley Conference	Tennessee State University	Melanie Cruz	Softball	Yes
Pacific-10 Conference	University of California, Berkeley	Justin Pollard	Men's Swimming	Yes
Patriot League	American University	Zack Solomon	Men's Soccer	Yes
Southeastern Conference	Mississippi State University	DJ Looney	Football	Yes
Southern Conference	Western Carolina University	Kendra Eaton	Women's Basketball	Yes

Southland Conference	Lamar University	William Taylor McInnis	Baseball	Yes
Southwestern Athletic Conference	University of Arkansas, Pine Bluff	Monique Carroll	Women's Volleyball/Track	Yes
Summit League	Oakland University	Stewart Givens	Men's Soccer	No
Sun Belt Conference	Western Kentucky University	Patrick Jenkins	Cross Country/Track	Yes
West Coast Conference	Pepperdine University	Julie Rubenstein	Women's Volleyball	Yes
Western Athletic Conference	University of Nevada, Reno	Trisha Gibbons	Women's Soccer	Yes

[NOTE: Also in attendance were John Watson, director of athletics, Pepperdine University; Tracy Shoemake, associate athletics director, Texas State University–San Marcos; and Beth DeBauche, NCAA director of Division I.]

14. Future Meeting Schedule.

a. September 20, 2009, Webinar.

b. November 20-22, 2009, Indianapolis, Indiana.

c. January 11-16, 2010, in conjunction with the 2010 NCAA Convention, Atlanta, Georgia.

d. March/April, 2010, teleconference (date to be determined).

e. July 15-18, 2010, Indianapolis, Indiana.

Committee Chair: Matthew Baysinger, University of Kansas, Big 12 Conference
Committee Vice-Chair: Nick Fulton, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Big Ten Conference
Staff Liaisons: Maricela Shukie, NCAA Educational Affairs,
Kelly Groddy, NCAA Academic and Membership Affairs,
Abbie Renaker, NCAA Academic and Membership Affairs,
Tim Nevius, NCAA Enforcement department.

MINUTES OF THE

NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

NCAA National Office
Indianapolis, Indiana

August 6, 2009

Participants:

Michael Adams, University of Georgia, chair
Charles Bantz, Indiana Univ.-Purdue Univ. at Indianapolis
James Barker, Clemson University
Damon Evans, University of Georgia
Judy Genshaft, University of South Florida
James Harris, Widener University
Stephen Jordan, Metropolitan State College of Denver
Robert Kustra, Boise State University
Lynn Oberbilling, Smith College
Ann Millner, Weber State University
Harvey Perlman, University of Nebraska, Lincoln
John Peters, Northern Illinois University
Beverley Pitts, University of Indianapolis
Thomas Powell, Mount St. Mary's University
Shirley Raines, University of Memphis
Edward Ray, Oregon State University
Tim Selgo, Grand Valley State University
Paul Trible, Christopher Newport University
Myles Brand, NCAA
Bernard Franklin, NCAA
Delise O'Meally, NCAA, recording secretary

Kevin Mullen, Siena College, was not able to participate.

Also in attendance were: Troy Arthur, director of diversity and inclusion; Scott Bearby, managing director of legal affairs; Gary Brown, director of NCAA News; Elsa Cole, vice president of legal affairs/general counsel; Joni Comstock, senior vice president of championships/senior woman administrator; Dennis Cryder, senior vice president of branding and communications; Jim Isch, senior vice president of administration/chief financial officer; Tom Jernstedt, executive vice president; Kevin Lennon, vice president of academic and membership affairs; Keith Martin, managing director of finance and operations; Rachel Newman, director of agents, gambling and amateurism; David Price, vice president of enforcement services; Wallace Renfro, vice president and senior advisor to the president; Greg Shaheen, senior vice president of basketball and busi-

ness strategies; Robert Vowels, vice president of educational affairs; Charlotte Westerhaus, vice president of diversity and inclusion; Bob Williams, managing director of public and media relations; David Berst, Daniel Dutcher and Mike Racy, NCAA governance vice presidents; and Beth DeBauche, Leah Kareti, and Terri Steeb, NCAA governance directors.

[Note: These minutes contain only actions taken (formal votes or stated "sense of the meeting") in accordance with NCAA policy regarding minutes of all Association entities. While certain items on the Committee's agenda were acted on at various times throughout the meeting, all final actions within a given topic are combined in these minutes for convenience of reference.]

The meeting was called to order at 1:15 p.m. by the chair, President Adams. The Committee convened an executive session to discuss personnel matters. All members were present as noted above.

1. Welcome and announcements. Adams welcomed all attendees and specifically welcomed Ann Millner, president of Weber State University and the chair of the Division I Presidential Advisory Group, and Judy Genshaft, president of the University of South Florida.

2. Approval of April 30, 2009, meeting minutes.

   It was VOTED

   "To approve the Executive Committee minutes of the April 30, 2009, meeting as distributed."

3. NCAA President's report. President Brand provided updates, discussed a series of initiatives and sought Executive Committee input.

   a. Building renovation and addition. Brand called on Isch to discuss a proposed addition to the NCAA national office building. Isch and Bill Browne of Ratio Architects, Inc., noted that, due to a variety of factors, the national office has experience outages in recent years, resulting in temporary loss of service to the membership. In 2006, a fire in the Hall of Champions caused significant water and smoke damage to the facility requiring an investment of approximately $2.8 million to restore and renovate. During the fire and cleanup of the damage, the telecommunications room, housing all of the Association's servers and switches for the phone and computer systems, was interrupted because of heat and water.

Approximately seven months later, the chilled water supply line feeding the building was cut by a construction project that again interrupted the technology systems in the building. The location of telecommunications equipment in the basement of the building makes it impossible to provide sufficient exhaust and protection for the equipment. The proposed addition to the west end of the national office building would accommodate relocation of technology facilities and also provide the opportunity for an expanded kitchen facility to meet the increased catering needs associated with the new Association policy of holding more committee meetings in Indianapolis.

It was VOTED

"To approve the building addition on the west end of the national office building to accommodate technology and kitchen facilities."

b. Update on new membership initiatives. The Committee received a report from Chancellor Bantz on a recent meeting between National Association of Intercollegiate Athletics (NAIA) presidents and NCAA presidents to discuss opportunities for continued collaboration between both organizations and the feasibility of a greater structural relationship. The NCAA was represented by Chuck Ambrose (Pfeiffer University), Stephen Jordan (Metropolitan State College of Denver), James Bultman (Hope College), David Joyce (Ripon College) and Charles Bantz (Indiana University-Purdue University at Indianapolis). The NAIA presidents were Rick Artman (Viterbo University), Ted Brown (Martin Methodist University) and John Reynders (Morningside College). Bantz noted that the group agreed that the value proposition for further discussion and exploration includes the ability to enhance the overall experience for student-athletes across the nation regardless of affiliation; a broader more proactive strategy for managing membership growth and transition; increased efficiency and effectiveness through greater economies of scale, realized synergies; greater opportunities for in-region competition and the development of local rivalries. Additionally, Bantz noted several conditions that would govern further discussion, including an expectation that any structural relationship should be cost neutral to the NCAA.

The Committee discussed the overarching concerns related to membership growth and noted that each division, in recent years. has engaged in discussions on this point. While not endorsing a specific action plan for further discussions with the NAIA, the Committee recommended exploration from an Association-wide perspective of possible benefits or concerns related to membership growth within the NCAA.

c. Anheuser-Busch marketing strategy. Shaheen informed the Committee of a recent marketing strategy undertaken by Anheuser-Busch that brands beer cans with college

and university colors and logos. The Committee expressed significant concern about this practice and encouraged institutions that may be impacted within these markets to contact local distributors to request a halt to such activities.

4. NCAA Executive Committee Finance Committee report.

- Third quarter FY 2008-09 budget-to-actual. The Finance Committee reviewed third quarter budget-to-actual report and noted that the Association is on track to meet its budget projects.

  (1) Revenue. Actual revenues and expenditures will be within targets, and the planned $5 million in savings will be met by the end of the fiscal year. Television and marketing rights fees will exceed budget projections as a result of licensing and international revenues exceeding planned expenses. Championships revenue is behind the previous year's trend due to timing differences on when dollars are received. Although some championships may not make the planned budget due to the economic conditions, it is expected the overall planned revenues for championships will make its budget in the fourth quarter.

  (2) Expenses. Division I expenses are two percentage points behind the prior year, primarily due to the decrease in the Association's year-to-date travel expenses of approximately 16 percent. This is due to changes in travel policies and competitive charter and airfares during the fiscal year. Divisions II and III expenditures also are within budget parameters and should meet planned budget projections for the year. Association-wide expenditures of approximately $98.5 million are 74 percent of the budgeted expenditures. It was noted that President Brand made budget reductions of approximately $5 million in Association-wide expenditures to provide a fiscal year 2008-09 supplemental distribution to the membership. As a result of these reductions, in comparison to the budget, actual expenses are seven percentage points higher in the current year when compared to the previous year. Actual results are on target to achieve the midyear budget reductions. Based on third quarter financial results, the Association will be within budget parameters and likely will have a year-end surplus for fiscal year 2008-09.

5. Litigation update. The Committee received a report from the NCAA general counsel regarding litigation and settlement actions.

6. NCAA championships policy related to sports wagering. The Committee reviewed a request from the agents, and gambling activities staff to consider revising the championships policy that regulates championships competition within states that conduct sports wagering. The staff noted that the policy precludes NCAA championship events in metropolitan areas with legal wagering that is based on the outcome of any event (i.e., high school, college or professional) in a sport in which the NCAA conducts a championship. The revised policy focuses on legal wagering that is based on single-game betting and clarifies that the provisions of the policy apply to all championship sessions, inclusive of predetermined and nonpredetermined sites. Furthermore, the revision clarifies the boundary within which the policy applies. The Committee noted that single-game betting is defined as wagering that involves either a money line or point-spread wager. The recommended policy does not apply to states that may offer parlay betting, lottery tickets or sports pools/pull tabs. The Committee agreed that the integrity of the game is most clearly at risk when single-game betting occurs, with heightened possibilities for point-shaving schemes and other methods of directly affecting the outcome of a game. It also noted that, given the Association's strong stance against sports wagering, the policy should apply to all championship sites within those states, regardless of whether an institution earned the right to host a championship event.

It was VOTED

"To approve the policy as noted, effective immediately.

"No predetermined or nonpredetermined session of an NCAA championship may be conducted in a state with legal wagering that is based on single-game betting on the outcome of any event (i.e., high school, college or professional) in a sport in which the NCAA conducts a championship."

7. NCAA Division I Board of Directors and Divisions II and III Presidents Councils reports.

a. Division I Board of Directors. The Committee received an update on the actions of the Division I Board of Directors that included the following:

(1) Men's basketball enforcement initiative. Received a report from the NCAA enforcement staff regarding steps being taken to improve the overall environment surrounding men's basketball. The staff noted that there appears to be a common perception that current bylaws cannot be enforced regarding hiring practices and remuneration schemes designed to limit access to recruits. Further, it noted that related interpretations and legislation were being reviewed with the goal of establishing a clear and unambiguous line regarding violations, thereby putting the membership on notice of a new direction by the enforcement staff.

(2) National Association of Basketball Coaches (NABC) communications plan. Received an update from the NABC regarding the Association's efforts to develop a communications plan to serve as a catalyst for dialogue among presidents and coaches on the campus, conference and national levels. The Board noted that the goal of the plan is to foster improved understanding of the academic and athletics issues impacting the men's basketball program.

(3) Men's Basketball Academic Enhancement Working Group. Received the final report of the Men's Basketball Academic Enhancement Working Group. The working group noted that men basketball players, as a group, come to Division I institutions less prepared academically and leave Division I institutions at a higher rate than any other sport. This is illustrated by the fact that approximately 40 percent of entering freshmen in the sport leave their initial institutions by the end of the second year. The working group also noted that prospective and enrolled student-athletes are inundated by unregulated third parties who push the young men to commit early to a professional career or, in some instances, encourage them to transfer. The working group offered a series of recommendations designed to achieve greater student-athlete retention by providing the type of skills enhancement student-athletes desire, along with opportunities for better academic preparation, learning skills and an enhanced coach-player relationships.

(4) Committee on Academic Performance (CAP). Received a report from the CAP July 2009 meeting and was informed that, while the committee discussed the possibility of identifying other institutional representatives (e.g., chancellor/president, director of athletics) to be included within the Academic Progress Rate portfolio, given the complexities and administrative burden associated with reporting such information, the committee elected to include such information at this time.

(5) Division I Leadership Council. Received an update from the chair of the Leadership Council and noted that the group is continuing its work on developing proposed new Division I membership standards, with a focus on helping potential members better prepare through the transition process so that, on becoming full Division I members, the impacted student-athletes have a full and positive experience. It was noted that the Council will continue its work during its October 2009 meeting with the hope of completing its charge over the next six to eight months, with recommendations to be reviewed by the Board during the spring of 2010.

(6) Division I Legislative Council. Received a report from the chair of the Legislative Council and learned that two legislative proposals (Proposal Nos. 2008-46 and 2006-59) have received sufficient requests to override the Legislative Council's adoption during its April meeting. The Board took no further action on either proposal, thereby allowing both proposals to be considered by the membership during the Division I business session at the 2010 NCAA-Convention.

b. Division II Presidents Council. The Committee received an update on the actions of the Division II Presidents Council that included the following:

(1) NCAA Bylaw 17 (playing seasons). In an effort to align the playing seasons with the division's positioning statement of "life in the balance," the Council agreed to sponsor legislation for the 2010 Convention to amend Bylaw 17 as follows:

(a) Fall sports. Amend the length of the preseason practice period by moving the first date of competition for fall sports to one week later and reduce the maximum number of contests in several fall sports.

(b) Winter break. Prohibit student-athletes from practice or competition during a winter dead period from December 20 through December 26.

(c) Spring sports. Reduce the maximum number of contests in baseball, golf and softball.

(d) Winter sports. Reduce the maximum number of contests in men's and women's basketball to 26 games.

(2) New Division II member institutions. The Division II Membership Committee admitted one institution into active Division II member status (Lake Erie College-Ohio) and accepted applications from seven institutions to enter into year one of the candidacy period in Division II, effective September 2009. Among these institutions is the first Canadian institution to make application into the NCAA – Simon Fraser University in Burnaby, British Columbia.

(3) Meeting with Hispanic Serving Institutions. The Presidents Council is planning for an October 28 meeting with presidents and chancellors of Division II institutions that are considered Hispanic-serving institutions.

Twenty-two Division II institutions have been identified to participate in this meeting.

(4) Keynote Speaker at 2010 NCAA Convention. Secured a keynote speaker for its Friday morning general session at the Convention, as well as the chancellors and presidents meeting and luncheon that same day. Brigadier General (Ret) Sheila Baxter, who is a former student-athlete from Virginia State University and highly decorated retired army officer, has agreed to address the Division II membership on her thoughts about "life in the balance."

(5) Division II Make-A-Wish fundraising. The Division II Student-Athlete Advisory Committee (SAAC) completed its sixth consecutive year of fundraising for Make-A-Wish. In 2003-04, the committee adopted the program as its special project, raising less than $20,000 in the first year. Since that time, the committee has consistently increased its efforts each year, and this year raised more than $333,000 for the organization. Total funds raised to date by the Division II SAAC for Make-A-Wish now exceed $1 million dollars.

c. Division III Presidents Council. The Committee received an update on the actions of the Division III Presidents Council. These included:

(1) Presidents and Chancellors Advisory Group meeting. The Division III Chancellor/Presidents Advisory Group met Wednesday evening to discuss the Division III identity initiative and concepts to further presidential leadership at the campus, conference and institutional levels. The group also advised the Presidents Council on the Division III budget, strategic plan, Convention activities and proposed legislation.

(2) Division III Identity Initiative. The Chancellor/Presidents Advisory Group and the Presidents Council received a presentation from strategic communications consultant Jeff Jacobs regarding the division's effort to more clearly articulate its identity, both in philosophy and practice. Mr. Jacobs summarized the process used to examine the Division III student-athlete experience and shared results of both the qualitative and quantitative research conducted to facilitate this effort. The overall objective of the initiative is to articulate the positive values of the Division III experience, capturing the essence of the philosophy in a clear and concise manner.

(3) Presidential leadership. Approved language for a 2010 Convention proposal to specify in the Division III Philosophy Statement the expectation for presidential leadership and authority over intercollegiate athletics at the campus, conference and national governance levels. Further, the Council adopted a policy to establish a more focused "presidential grouping" of proposals at each year's Convention and endorsed the establishment of a standing Wednesday night planning session in conjunction with each quarterly meeting of the Presidents Council. Adding additional planning sessions for the Presidents Council prior to its regular meetings will allow the group to focus on strategic concerns and key divisional issues and direct attention to working reports and other business items during the regular Thursday meetings. The Council also discussed additional legislative and policy changes to further presidential leadership than may be considered at the 2011 Convention.

(4) 2009-10 Strategic Plan. Approved the 2009-10 Division III Strategic Plan, which was revised to include recommendations from the Division III White Papers on Membership Growth, as well as establish specific priorities for 2009-10.

(a) Define a distinct philosophy for Division III that emphasizes the educational value of the holistic student experience.

(b) Establish a greater strategic role for presidents in the Division III governance structure.

(c) Establish meaningful goals and objectives for diversity and gender equity issues.

(d) Further student-athlete well-being by determining the most appropriate drug education and testing program(s) for the division.

(e) Enable institutions to assess adherence to the Division III philosophical principle that student-athletes should have academic performance consistent with the general student body.

(5) Membership requirements penalty structure. Endorsed noncontroversial legislation to amend the membership requirements penalty structure where failure to meet sport sponsorship requirements would result in a five-year penalty and failure to complete the Institutional Self-Study Guide or to meet the attendance requirements for NCAA Convention or NCAA regional rules seminars would result in a three-year penalty. This replaces

the previous 10-year penalty structure for all membership requirements, which was deemed to be overly punitive.

(6) Playing Rules Oversight Panel. Voted to formally request that the Playing Rules Oversight Panel delay the implementation of two playing rules for Division III institutions, based on financial hardship. This appeal would include the scheduled implementation in 2010 of playing rules that would require a lighted backboard in basketball and a wireless microphone for the referee in football. The Council's primary concern relates to the costs associated with these rule changes in light of the current economic environment.

8. NCAA drug-testing update. The Committee received a response from the NCAA Committee on Competitive Safeguards and Medical Aspects of Sport (CSMAS) on the issue of the increased NCAA drug testing. CSMAS noted that drug testing is a part of a comprehensive approach to deter drug use, including strong written policy and effective education conducted at both the national and local level. It further noted that the frequency of testing events provides more of a deterrent effect than does increasing the number of tests at a testing event, and, therefore, deterrence may be increased through more year-round repeat testing and increased summer testing events. CSMAS will review a report from the NCAA staff and National Center for Drug Free Sport, Inc., on the best allocation of resources for deterrence of drug use.

9. NCAA Executive Committee Subcommittee on Gender and Diversity Issues. The Committee received the subcommittee's report, which included updates from the NCAA Committee on Women's Athletics and the NCAA Minority Opportunity and Interests Committee. The subcommittee engaged in discussion on the issue of diversity and gender representation within the governance structure, but specifically as related to Association-wide committees. The subcommittee noted that while NCAA Constitution 2.7 speaks to a commitment to diversity within the divisional governance structure, the language does not specifically address Association-wide committees. The subcommittee will seek additional data on diversity trends and explore solutions to ensure appropriate representational diversity on Association-wide committees. It also will engage in a review of its overall structure and composition to determine whether it is strategically positioned to effectively fulfill its charge.

10. Future meetings. The Committee reviewed its future meetings schedule.

11. Adjournment. Adams adjourned the meeting at 4:05 p.m.

# # # # #

REPORT OF THE MINORITY OPPORTUNITIES AND INTERESTS COMMITTEE (MOIC)
July 13 – 14, 2009

ACTION ITEMS

1. Legislative Items.

None.

2. Nonlegislative Items.

None.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS

Association-wide.

3. Review of MOIC Report. The committee reviewed its February 2009 report. The committee discussed ways to support educational efforts regarding Sudden Cardiac Arrest (SCA) across the Association. The committee discussed the lack of ethnic minorities in the governance structure, and the available pool of ethnic minorities with regards to committee appointments. The committee stressed that continued dialogue with various athletics organizations and other entities would help build diversity among under-represented groups within the governance structure. The committee also discussed the low levels of ethnic minorities in senior level positions in intercollegiate athletics. Further, the committee discussed the possibility of actively recruiting ethnic minority college presidents and chancellors to be more engaged in the governance structure. The committee also inquired about having a president or chancellor on MOIC on a permanent basis. The chair noted that MOIC had presidential participation on the committee in the past; however, there was no legislative requirement.

4. Review of the Committee on Women's Athlete's (CWA) Report. The chair noted that CWA discussed the programmatic and legislative recommendations from the final report of the Diversity Leadership Strategic Planning Committee (DLSPC). The committee also discussed a replacement for the MOIC representative on the NCAA Woman of the Year committee. It was also mentioned that there will be a joint meeting with CWA on September 14. The committee noted the need to discuss CWA's initiative to develop more women within intercollegiate athletics and the development of a gender equity training and a best practices manual. The committee believes that CWA's initiative could be developed jointly.

5. **Review of Diversity Leadership Strategic (DLSPC) Plan.** Staff suggested that the subcommittees review the dash board indicators developed by DLSPC to determine what can be implemented in the MOIC's strategic plan as next steps.

6. **Review of Women of Color (WOC) Symposium**. Staff reviewed the recent NCAA demographic reports that reveal ethnic minority women make up only 2.2 percent of NCAA intercollegiate athletics directors at Division I, II and III. When excluding Historically Black Colleges and Universities (HBCUs), representation of female athletics directors of color decreases to 1.3%. Staff summarized the discussion that occurred during the WOC symposium. The participants in the symposium discussed some of the following suggestions to enhance more women of color in the organizational structure of intercollegiate athletics:

   a. Enhance the number of WOC by suggesting calls for legislative mandate that more WOC need to be in senior women administrator (SWA) positions.

   b. Request more opportunities for WOC at all levels in intercollegiate athletics, particularly senior level positions.

   c. Enhanced discussions with Title IX advocates regarding the positive effects of the legislation on WOC.

   d. Consider innovative ways to provide information about opportunities in intercollegiate athletics when positions become available.

7. **Review of Diversity and Gender.** The staff provided the committee with an update regarding the Diversity and Gender program review being conducted by NCAA staff. Staff explained to the committee that the internal working group was in the process of gathering data about Diversity and Gender programs administrated or funded by the NCAA. The committee requested that it has an opportunity to review findings from the review when available. Staff informed the committee the initial report should be available by January of 2010.

8. **Division II**. The Division II Staff provided the committee with a copy of its new strategic plan for Division II. In the plan, the staff identified the priorities of Division II. Division II also partnered with the Minority and Opportunities Athletic Association (MOAA) to provide the Division II Governance Academy. The academy was developed to assist minority administrators with their professional development and also to introduce them to the Division II governance structure. The academy was attended by over 20 participants with the majority of Division II Conferences sending at least one representative.

The staff also discussed enhancing the conference grant program. This topic will be discussed with the conference commissioners at their Spring meeting.

9. Diversity Related Issues Discussion.

a. Barriers Study for Diversity Issues. The committee approved the development of a barriers study to determine the impediments that prevent ethnic minorities for careers in athletics. Staff asked the committee to provide guidance regarding the methodology related to the study.

b. Sudden Cardiac Death Discussion. The committee agreed to partner with the NCAA Committee on Competitive Safeguards and Medical Aspects of Sports (CSMAS) in an educational campaign about the sickle cell trait. Because sickle cell anemia disproportionately affects African-Americans, MOIC has identified this issue as one of its priorities.

As part of the campaign, an educational video is being developed about sickle cell trait that will focus primarily on the ways it affects football student-athletes. Sickle cell trait is the leading cause of death among football student-athletes. Since 2000, 7 out of 19 deaths in college football have been attributed to the condition. Data also shows that 4 of the 19 deaths were related to cardiac incidents.

In addition to agreeing to serve as part of a working group with CSMAS to develop strategies to reduce instances of sudden cardiac death among NCAA student-athletes, MOIC reaffirmed its pledge to back educational and legislative efforts related to the issue.

The committee was very encouraged that Division III's legislation was passed related to Sudden Cardiac Arrest. Division II drafted a similar proposal that may be voted on at the 2010 NCAA Convention. Further, the committee discussed solutions regarding speaking with conferences regarding this issue.

c. Hispanic Outreach Discussion. Staff explained the new guide for College Bound Student-Athletes is in Spanish and posted on the Eligibility Center Web site. Additionally, a brochure outlining the process through which a prospective student-athlete proceeds through the NCAA initial eligibility process will be translated into Spanish and posted on the Eligibility Center's Web site. The committee was

informed that the Eligibility Center staff members are actively communicating with over 18,000 high schools through monthly newsletters. Staff continues to develop communication strategies to determine maximum placement for information so everyone has access in both English and Spanish.

d. Faculty Athletics Representatives Association (FARA) Response to Diversity Issues. The committee reviewed the response from FARA to MOIC's inquiry regarding the lack of representation of ethnic minorities in the faculty athletics' representative positions. The committee informed the staff that it should communicate with FARA that it is open to collaborating with FARA to address the lack of ethnic minorities. Further, the committee suggested that a telephone conference call should take place with FARA to determine the best way to address the concerns of both groups. The committee is mindful of a number of challenges that FARA is trying to address; however, the committee believes it might be able to assist the organization.

10. Review of Subcommittee Recommendations for Minority Opportunities and Interests Committee Strategic Plan. The committee evaluated the NCAA's strategic plan. The committee then reviewed the various subcommittee reports to determine if the mission and goals were in line with the NCAA's strategic plan.

a. Governance/Legislative Subcommittee. The function of this subcommittee is to support a culture of diversity in all of the divisions of membership. Additionally, this subcommittee wants to increase the number of ethnic minorities in the governance structure; support each division's strategic plan related to diversity and make recommendations, research trends and develop new strategies when applicable.

b. Professional Development Subcommittee. The function of this subcommittee is to facilitate and ensure the Association's commitment to diversity and ethnic minority enhancement by member institutions and other parties associated with intercollegiate athletics. Further, this subcommittee will be proactive in developing and responding to opportunities that encourages diversity and the advancement of ethnic minorities in intercollegiate athletics.

c. Student-Athlete Well-being Subcommittee. The function of the subcommittee is to improve academic progress, retention and graduation rates for minority student-athletes, increase modes of spoken discourse and textual strategies to educate prospective student-athletes and their families about the mission and the core values of each division within the NCAA. This subcommittee will also be proactive in seeking the appropriate means by which to educate student-athletes about administrative opportunities within intercollegiate athletics.

11. Other Business.

a. Discussed the vice chair and chair openings.

b. Strategic Planning and Budget Subcommittee. The committee requested a draft of the strategic plan be sent to the subcommittee for comment and to review financial considerations. The telephone conference should take place in August.

c. Joint telephone conference with MOIC and CWA. The committee agreed to a joint telephone conference with the chairs to set the agenda for the joint meeting in September.

12. Future Meeting Dates and Sites.

a. September 13 – 14, 2009. Indianapolis, Indiana (Joint meeting with the CWA)

b. February 3 – 4, 2010. Indianapolis, Indiana *(tentative dates)*

c. July 12 – 13, 2010. Indianapolis, Indiana *(tentative dates)*

d. September 13 – 15, 2010. Indianapolis, Indiana (Joint meeting with the CWA.) *(tentative dates)*

Committee Chair: *Rudy Keeling, Eastern College Athletic Conference*

Staff Liaison(s); *Troy Arthur, Diversity and Inclusion*
Nicole Bracken, Research
Joyce Thompson, Enforcement

REPORT OF THE
MINORITY OPPORTUNITIES AND INTERESTS COMMITTEE
September 14 – 15, 2009

ACTION ITEMS

1. Legislative Items.

   None.

2. Nonlegislative Items.

   None.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS

1. **Review of the July, 2009 MOIC Report.** The chair reviewed the work that has been accomplished by the committee regarding the strategic plan for MOIC. The chair discussed the various subcommittees that have been developed to assist the committee in the development of a comprehensive plan that would meet the needs of the membership.

Additionally, the committee discussed correspondence with the Faculty Athletics Representative Association (FARA) and stressed its continued efforts to have work with FARA. Further, the chair wanted to continue dialogue with FARA so that MOIC and FARA can work collaboratively to address the concerns that MOIC has regarding the lack of representation of ethnic minorities within faculty athletics representative positions. The committee continues to discuss ways to support educational efforts regarding Sudden Cardiac Arrest (SCA).

2. **Review of the Committee on Women's Athletics (CWA) Report**. The chair noted that CWA discussed the programmatic and legislative recommendations from the Diversity Leadership Strategic Planning Committee (DLSPC) and what initiatives CWA wanted to address. The chair also noted that CWA is continuing to have discussions within Divisions I and II regarding the development of increasing sports sponsorship of equestrian and sand volleyball.

3. **Strategic Planning Review Discussion**. The committee has gone through the process of revising its strategic plan to determine the committee's goals and whether their priorities will better serve the needs of the membership. The committee further noted that it wanted to establish both short and long-term priorities in the plan. Further, the committee discussed the importance of having outcomes that are measurable in the plan. The committee discussed the possibility of the use of a consultant to determine whether their plan communicates its intended results.

4. **Policies and Procedures Manual**. The committee reviewed its policies and procedures manual and noted that it should be located on the MOIC Collaboration Zone. The committee also discussed the orientation telephone conference that was held for new committee members and the mentoring program established for new committee members. It was the sense of the committee that these new initiatives will assist in the efficiency and effectiveness of the committee long term.

5. **Review of the Division I Board of Directors/Leadership Council Report.** The Division I staff provided an update from the March 2009 meeting. The staff noted the Division I Board of Directors charged the Division I Leadership Council to seek and identify strategies to enhance the level of gender, racial, ethnic and positional diversity serving at the Division I cabinet and council levels. The staff noted that the Division I Board of Directors wants more diversity at the council and cabinet levels. As a result, the committee had a discussion about the topic of diversity at the cabinet and council levels.

6. **Perceived Diversity Barriers to Athletics.** The staff provided an update on the research process and objectives for a study that focuses on the barriers for ethnic minorities in obtaining and pursuing careers in athletics.

   The objectives of the study are to determine what factors influence career choices in athletics, determine what motivates individuals to seek careers in intercollegiate athletics and to determine the potential obstacles institutions face in recruiting and retaining racial and ethnic minorities in coaching and administration. The timeline for the process includes the development of the methodology and constructs, survey development, review by multiple constituent groups, data collection and a final report. The study should be completed with results being issued by spring 2011.

7. Faculty Athletics Representatives Association (FARA) Discussion. The committee discussed the current organizational structure of FARA. The chair noted that FARA and MOIC will be involved in facilitating discussions pertaining to improving diversity in faculty athletics representative positions. It was noted that there are challenges to enhancing diversity in faculty athletics representatives based on the lack of ethnic minority faculty, however, the chair indicated some steps can be taken to improve the current demographic.

8. Program Updates.

a. Football Coaches. Staff provided the committee with the data regarding the number of ethnic minorities' head football coaches in all three divisions due to the current low number of ethnic minority head football coaches at NCAA member institutions. Staff informed the committee that three programs geared toward professional development for football coaches occurred this spring and summer. These programs assist coaches with career advancement strategies, networking and exposure opportunities. The three programs had a total of 57 coaches participate.

b. Fellows Leadership Development Program. The committee heard a program update regarding the Fellows Leadership Development Program. The Fellows program is an 18-month program and was developed with the specific goal of enhancing the employment and leadership opportunities for racial/ethnic minorities and women at the senior management level of intercollegiate athletics administration. Fellows will attend the 2010 NCAA Convention, workshops, seminars and other Association-related meetings.

The program's initial meeting was held January 13, 2009 at the NCAA Convention in Washington DC, and was followed by a media training seminar April 14 and 15, 2009, at the NCAA national office. The current 2009-10 Fellows class has 10 participants.

During its discussion of the Fellows Leadership Development program, the committee voted that no sitting athletics directors or commissioners be allowed to participate in the program regardless of the size of the applicant pool. The committee noted that the overall goal is to get participants prepared to be future athletics directors and commissioners.

c. Matching Grants for Minority Women Coaches. The committee received a report from the Grants for Advancement of Minority Women Coaches program. Through the matching grant program, the NCAA will annually provide grants to support the development of minority women coaches and officials in intercollegiate athletics. Coaches associations and other organizations focusing on the development of minority women, as well as ethnic minorities and women coaches and officials at all levels are eligible to apply for grant funds. For the 2008-09 academic year, 14 grants were requested.

9. Other Business.

a. It was voted that Dawn Reynolds, Associate Athletics Director of Business Operations at the University of Miami of Florida will replace Rudy Keeling as chair of MOIC.

b. The committee will obtain nominations and vote on a vice chair in the near future.

c. The committee noted that as it relates to areas of diversity, we should try to work collaboratively with the National Association of Diversity Offices in Higher Education (NADOHE). Further discussion about this topic will be discussed at the next MOIC meeting.

10. Future Meeting Dates and Sites.

a. February 3-4, 2010, Indianapolis, Indiana.

b. July 12-13, 2010, Indianapolis, Indiana.

Committee Chair: *Rudy Keeling, Eastern College Athletic Conference*
Staff Liaison(s): *Troy Arthur, Diversity and Inclusion*
Nicole Bracken, Research
Joyce Thompson, Enforcement

REPORT OF THE NCAA COMMITTEE ON WOMEN'S ATHLETICS September 13-15, 2009

***ACTION ITEMS*.**

1. Legislative Items.

- None.

2. Nonlegislative Items.

Divisions I and II only

a. Division I Dashboard Indicators and Division II Dashboard Indicators: Inclusion of Gender Equity and Financial Aid Indicators

(1) Recommendation. The Committee on Women's Athletics recommends that the appropriate governance bodies add the following components to the Division I Dashboard Indicators and Division II Dashboard Indicators.

i. Division I: gender equity as an indicator (e.g., participants, financial aid, recruiting and operating budgets)

ii. Division II: current gender equity and athletically related financial aid as indicators.

(2) Rationale. These NCAA systems are being used by institutional presidents and chancellors and other leaders on campus on a regular basis with the feedback from users being very positive. Making available gender equity and financial aid information within these same systems and at the fingertips of campus decision-makers will ensure they are informed while assessing other components about athletics departments as decisions are made about resource allocation, funding, etc. It was reported that there is over an 80% usage rate by the Division I membership for the Dashboard Indicators system. It is anticipated that the Division II system will also see a high usage rate.

(3) Estimated Budget Impact. Minimal for programming gender equity component of the systems.

(4) Student-Athlete Impact. Not applicable.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

1. **New Committee Members.** The committee welcomed Monique Carroll, University of Arkansas, Pine Bluff and Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee member and Amy Chen, Newbury College and Division II Student-Athlete Advisory Committee member.

2. **Strategic Planning.** The committee engaged in a strategic planning exercise with Robyn Rickenbach, Springboard International Inc. The exercise included focusing on the committee's vision, trends, a SLOT (strengths, limitations, opportunities and threats) analysis, a review if the 2009-10 proposed objectives and how the committee will measure success. The committee will continue to develop its strategic plan over the next several months.

3. **Emerging Sports for Women Program Updates.**

a. **Sand Volleyball**. Division I adopted NCAA Division I Proposal No. 2008-59 (addition of sand volleyball to the emerging sports for women list), effective August 1, 2010. The proposal received more than the necessary 30 override votes from the Division I membership and, therefore, an override vote of the proposal will occur during the NCAA 2010 Convention. The committee noted its continued support for the addition of sand volleyball to the emerging sports for women list and plans to develop a "Q & A" document to help ensure that accurate information about the sport is available to the Division I membership prior to the override vote. In particular the committee will note:

(1) Per the most recent report from the Sporting Good Manufacturer's Association (SGMA), participation in beach volleyball grew by 7.6% from 2007 to 2008 and has grown 25.8% in the last two years.

(2) In terms of participants, beach volleyball added 293,000 overall. Female participants under the age of 18 totaled 217,000 in 2007 and 240,000 in 2008. 64% of those participants report playing beach but not indoor volleyball.

(3) Beach volleyball and equestrian are the only two sports on the list in which there are considerably more females than males competing in the under-18 age groups.

(4) Collegiately, in 2009 the number of sand volleyball events and participants again doubled from 2008 levels with the largest event being the 200-player

Fiesta on Siesta Key in Florida, and the most high-profile being the third annual CBS College Alt Games Collegiate Beach Championships in California.

(5) CWA received copies of support letters for sand volleyball sent to the Division I Legislative Council from the US Olympic Committee and the Women's Sports Foundation.

(6) At the request of the Big Ten Conference, the committee engaged in a full discussion about the process of identifying new emerging sports and membership discussion of proposals, as well as whether sand volleyball should be structured as a fall or spring championship sport.

i. The committee discussed ways to highlight the details of emerging sport proposals for the membership, but supported the current governance and communication structure for such proposals. CWA noted that it published the full sand volleyball proposal (which included suggestions for financial aid, playing and practice season rules, countable coaches, competitive rules, program facilities and budget projections for conducting a sand programs) online immediately after receipt in July 2008. The committee forwarded the proposal to all three divisions for consideration, and felt that process allows for divisional debate consistent with other legislative proposals.

ii. The CWA agrees that there has been confusing rumor about the proposed structure of sand volleyball. The committee noted however that all regulations and competitive rules are drafted by and approved by the membership. No rules have been set in place…the membership is free to craft the sport's structure as most appropriate for student-athlete well-being, cost containment and competitive equity; and is doing so currently.

iii. The committee continued to support sand volleyball being a spring championship sport, noting that if it were conducted in the fall it would limit student-athlete participation opportunities and present resource issues for institutions that chose to sponsor sand and indoor volleyball (e.g., coaching and personnel, facilities). Further, the committee observed that while sand volleyball will be played both indoors and outdoors, if conducted as a fall championship sport,

weather conditions would be moving toward colder conditions as the championship approached.

(7) Division II will consider NCAA Division II Proposal No. 2010-7, which would establish the maximum institutional grant-in-aid equivalency limit, the playing and practice season regulations and the minimum contests and participant requirements for sports sponsorship, at the 2010 NCAA Convention.

b. **Squash**. The committee reviewed the College Squash Association's Women's Squash Team Development Report. The committee requested additional information be gathered for its next meeting.

c. **Equestrian**. Equestrian has now reached 10 years of being on the list of emerging sport for women. Sponsorship went up from 2006-07 to 23 Division I and II programs in 2008-09. The committee received a presentation from Megan McGee, Head Coach at South Dakota State University, and Varsity Equestrian. She presented the committee with data on the current status of the sport and the group conferred about strategies to increase the number of Divisions I and II institution's that sponsor equestrian. Varsity Equestrian will be sending representatives to the NCAA Convention to provide institutional decision-makers with informational resources regarding the sport, its structure and benefits. The committee suggested that Varsity Equestrian contact institutions that offer club programs but have not transitioned those programs to varsity status to find out why that transition has not occurred. The committee also suggested that Varsity Equestrian gather information as to why some 50 NCAA Division I institutions are competing within the IHSA but not deciding to declare the sport as NCAA varsity programs.

(1) The committee will request an action plan be submitted from Varsity Equestrian to keep the sport on the list.

(2) The committee requested NCAA staff to develop methods for promoting the sport, including increased championship coverage by NCAA news mediums.

d. Other emerging sport interest.

(1) **Competitive Cheer**. The committee reviewed the history of competitive cheer as well as the Office for Civil Rights 1975 assessment of cheerleading as a support activity and recent communication that competitive cheer can be recognized as a sport, in a case-by-case analysis and based upon structuring a program in which participants dedicate 100% of their time to the competitive team (i.e., no sideline cheering activities)

The committee was informed that a conference call occurred with institutions that offer competitive cheer as a varsity sport. The group indicated intent to develop a full proposal for CWA to consider adding competitive cheer to the emerging sport for women list. The committee discussed issues they would like to see addressed in the request. Those included addressing potential risk management issues and specific participant demographical information.

(2) **Triathlon**. The committee reviewed a preliminary proposal relating to the addition of triathlon to the emerging sport for women list. Staff noted that the process and what is required for the committee to evaluate a formal proposal has been articulated to the triathlon representatives. It was noted that there is a strong amateur club infrastructure, including at the youth level. The committee was requested to review the initial proposal and submit questions/issues to be responded to such as cost implications, playing and practice season and financial aid issues and anticipated number of cross-over student-athletes, and including health and safety issues.

4. **Review of NCAA Divisions I, II and III Legislative Proposals.** The slate of proposals in all three divisions related to the committee's mission was reviewed. No formal positions were taken by the committee at this time.

5. **Development of Female Game Officials.** The committee engaged in a discussion about strategies for increasing the pool of female game officials. CWA is interested in development of an officiating informational website by the NCAA and information to distribute to student-athletes about such opportunities, both as avocation and career.

6. **NCAA Woman of the Year.** The committee received an update regarding the 2009 NCAA Woman of the Year, including statistics related to the conference nominations, nominee demographics and plans for this year's award dinner and honoree activities on the weekend of October 17-18, 2009. It was noted that there will be an NCAA Champion magazine

article about Woman of the Year award and process. CWA supported requesting a $10,000 post-graduate scholarship to the winner of the award, starting in the 2011 academic year.

7. **Updates on Women's Professional Development Opportunities.** The committee received an update on the following programs. The committee supports maintaining budget support for these programs in the next cycle.

   a. NCAA Women Coaches Academy**.** The committee reviewed the participant demographical information relating to the NCAA Women Coaches Academies. It was noted that regional continuing education events were offered during the summer 2009 and participant feedback was very positive. The Dimension II graduates program will be offered in the spring of 2010, possibly in the Boston area.

   b. NCAA Leadership Institute for Ethnic Minority Males and Females. The committee reviewed the participant demographical information relating to the NCAA Leadership Institute for Ethnic Minority Males and Females. There are 23 2009-10 participants with 11 males, 12 females, 15 from Division I, 6 from Division II and two NCAA staff. In addition, there are 17 African Americans, four Latino/Hispanics and two Asians in the program.

   c. NCAA Women's Leadership Symposiums. The committee received an update regarding the success of the 2009 Leadership Symposium held in Boston, Massachusetts and plans for the 2010 symposiums to take place in Tampa, Florida and in the west.

   d. Careers in Athletics. The committee discussed national office plans to conduct training events and provide resources targeting student-athletes and female students with information and encouragement to explore careers in athletics.

   e. NACWAA Institutes. The committee reviewed the programming history and financing, and supported recommendations for adjustments to future efforts.

8. **Title IX Update.** The committee received an update on the following Title IX related matters: Recent court cases; changes to the federal government EADA manual; Department of Education activity; and an anticipated U. S. Commission on Civil Rights report.

9. **Research Issues.**

a. The committee received an overview of the data associated with the Division I Academic Performance Program. Staff shared that female student-athletes graduate at a higher rate than male student-athletes and male and female students. Women's squads tend to have higher academic performance rates, eligibility and retention rates than men's squads. Women's sports have a lower percentage of 0/2's than men's sports, but there is an increasing number of 0/2's in women's basketball, soccer and indoor and outdoor track.

b. In its joint meeting with the Minority Opportunities and Interests Committee, CWA reviewed recent conference and institutional personnel demographics reports. CWA recommended that MOIC pull administrative assistant and SWA data out as separate report items to appropriately track professional management positions and not double-counting those designated as SWA but filling a position otherwise tracked.

c. The committee recommends gathering current data about senior woman administrators to evaluate their inclusion on senior staff in athletic departments and the provision of appropriate resources, time and title to fulfill their expected role.

10. Other Business.

a. Women of Color Symposium recommendations. The CWA and joint meeting agenda included a review of recommendations coming from the May 2009 Women of Color Symposium. CWA will continue these discussions after the NCAA completes its programmatic review.

b. 2010 Convention topics. It was noted that staff is working with the Olympic Sports Liaison Committee to develop an association wide session relating to at-risk sports. Division II will conduct a gender equity planning session.

c. Gender Equity Resource Center. Plans include new resources: gender equity planning best practices, a web-based gender equity training resource, Title IX case studies, Title IX Coordinator brochure.

11. Future Meeting Dates and Sites.

a. February 11, 2010, Conference Call.

b. May 23-25, 2010, Cambridge, MA

Committee Chair: Faith Shearer, Elon University
Staff Liaison(s): Karen Morrison, Gender Initiatives
Kimberly Ford, Diversity and Inclusion
Lynn Holzman, Academic and Membership Affairs

Division I Meetings
2010 Annual Convention Meeting Schedule

	Wednesday January 13		Thursday, January 14				Friday, January 15		Saturday, January 16
7:30		Conference Meetings 7:30 a.m.–7 p.m.						CCA Meeting (7-9 a.m.)	
8			Legislative Council (LGC) (8 – 11:30 a.m.)						Joint SAAC/BOD Breakfast *(8 – 9:30 a.m)*
8:30					Conference Meetings (8:30 a.m.–3:30 p.m.)	Leadership Council (8:30 – 3:30 p.m.)			
9									
9:30				Assn-wide Programming *(9:30 – 11a.m.)*			DI Issues Forum (9:30 – 11:30 a.m.)		Board of Directors Meeting *(9:30 a.m. – 5 p.m.)*
10									
10:30									
11				Assn-wide Programming *(11:15 – 12:15)*					
11:30			LGC/LDC/ SAAC Luncheon (11:30 a.m. – 1 p.m.)				BOD/LDC/LGC Luncheon (11:30 a.m. – 1 p.m.)		
Noon									BOD Luncheon *(12 - 1 p.m.)*
12:30									
1	Legislative Council (LGC) (1-8:30 p.m.)						DI /Issues Forum (1 – 3 p.m.)		Board of Directors Meeting continues
1:30				Assn-wide Programming *(1:45 – 3:15 p.m.)*					
2									
2:30									
3							Business Session (3-4 p.m.)		
3:30									
4			Opening Business Session (4 – 5:30 p.m.)				Communication and Coordination Committee Meeting/Reception (4 – 6 p.m.)		
4:30									
5									
5:30			Delegates Reception (5:30 – 7 p.m.)						Executive Committee Meeting *(5:30 – 8 p.m.)*
6							Honors Celebration (6-8 p.m.)		
6:30									
7									
7:30									
8									President's Reception (7:30 – 9 p.m.)
8:30									
9									
10									

Meetings either DI LGC/LDC Councils should attend.
Meetings DI Board of Directors would be interested in attending.
Additional Association-wide events.

08/25/09:vlm

SUPPLEMENT NO. 17A
DI Leadership Council 10/09

NCAA 2010 National Convention
Division I Schedule of Events

Tuesday, January 12, 2010

7:30 to 9 a.m.	NCAA Divisions I, II and III Student-Athlete Advisory Committee Joint Breakfast *Who Can Attend: All national SAAC members*
9 a.m. to noon	NCAA Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee *Who Can Attend: Division I national SAAC members*
Noon to 1 p.m.	NCAA Divisions I, II and III Student-Athlete Advisory Committee Joint Lunch *Who Can Attend: All national SAAC members*
1 to 2:45 p.m.	NCAA Scholarly Colloquium *Who Can Attend: All attendees*
1 to 6 p.m.	NCAA Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee *Who Can Attend: Division I national SAAC members*
2 to 11 p.m.	Big East Conference Legislative Meeting *Who Can Attend: Must be a member of this conference to attend*
3 to 4:30 p.m.	NCAA Scholarly Colloquium *Who Can Attend: All attendees*
6 to 7 p.m.	NCAA Divisions I, II and III Student-Athlete Advisory Committee Joint Dinner *Who Can Attend: All national SAAC members*
7 to 10 p.m.	NCAA Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee *Who Can Attend: Division I national SAAC members*

Wednesday, January 13, 2010

7:30 to 8:30 a.m.	NCAA Divisions I, II and III Student-Athlete Advisory Committee Joint Breakfast *Who Can Attend: All national SAAC members*
7:30 a.m. to 6 p.m.	Sun Belt Conference Athletic Directors *Who Can Attend: Must be a member of this group to attend*
8 to 9 a.m.	NCAA Educational Session and Breakfast - Leadership: Becoming the Best at What You Do (Diversity and Inclusion Track) *Who Can Attend: All attendees*
8:30 a.m. to noon	NCAA Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee

	Who Can Attend: Division I national SAAC members
9 to 10 a.m.	NCAA Educational Session - Presidential Forum: Expectations of a Director of Athletics (Diversity and Inclusion Track) *Who Can Attend: All attendees*
9 to 11 a.m.	NCAA Division I Football Championship Subdivision Governance Committee *Who Can Attend: Must be a member of this committee to attend*
10 to 11:30 a.m.	NCAA Scholarly Colloquium *Who Can Attend: All attendees*
10:15 to 11:45 a.m.	NCAA Educational Session - Achieving an Inclusive Environment and Going Beyond the ABC's of Diversity (Diversity and Inclusion Track) *Who Can Attend: All attendees*
10:15 to 11:45 a.m.	NCAA Educational Session - Around the World in 90 Minutes: Your Guide to International Initial Eligibility *Who Can Attend: All attendees*
10:15 to 11:45 a.m.	NCAA Educational Session - Don't be a Dope: Drugs and Drug Testing in College Sports *Who Can Attend: All attendees*
10:15 to 11:45 a.m.	NCAA Educational Session - Sports Wagering in College Athletics *Who Can Attend: All attendees*
11 a.m. to 5 p.m.	NCAA Convention Trade Show *Who Can Attend: All attendees*
11 a.m. to 9 p.m.	NCAA Division I Men's Basketball Committee *Who Can Attend: Must be a member of this committee to attend*
Noon to 1 p.m.	NCAA Divisions I, II and III Student-Athlete Advisory Committee Joint Lunch *Who Can Attend: All national SAAC members*
Noon to 1:30 p.m.	NCAA Keynote Luncheon *Who Can Attend: All attendees*
1 to 5:30 p.m.	Great West Conference Joint Council *Who Can Attend: By invitation only*
1 to 7 p.m.	NCAA Division I Legislative Council *Who Can Attend: Must be a member of this committee to attend*

1:45 to 2:45 p.m.	NCAA Educational Session - Brand Me 101: Elevating Your Game to Achieve Success on the Next Level (Diversity and Inclusion Track) *Who Can Attend: All attendees*
1:45 to 2:45 p.m.	NCAA Educational Session - Building Community Champions: Student-Athletes and Philanthropy *Who Can Attend: All attendees*
1:45 to 2:45 p.m.	NCAA Educational Session - Emerging and At-Risk Sports: How can the NCAA preserve and grow participation opportunities? *Who Can Attend: All attendees*
1:45 to 2:45 p.m.	NCAA Educational Session - Rome Wasn't Built in a Day: Building an Effective Strategic Marketing Plan *Who Can Attend: All attendees*
1:45 to 3:15 p.m.	NCAA Scholarly Colloquium *Who Can Attend: All attendees*
2 to 5 p.m.	NCAA Divisions I, II and III Student-Athlete Advisory Committee Joint Meeting *Who Can Attend: All national SAAC members*
3:15 to 3:45 p.m.	NCAA Scholarly Colloquium Conclusion and Discussions *Who Can Attend: All attendees*
3:15 to 4:15 p.m.	NCAA Educational Session - Fans: How to Find and Keep Them *Who Can Attend: All attendees*
3:15 to 4:15 p.m.	NCAA Educational Session - Greener Paths to Greener Fields: Progress in More Sustainable Athletics *Who Can Attend: All attendees*
3:15 to 4:15 p.m.	NCAA Educational Session - Understanding and Navigating the NCAA Governance Structure (Diversity and Inclusion Track) *Who Can Attend: All attendees*
3:45 to 4 p.m.	NCAA Scholarly Colloquium Closing Remarks *Who Can Attend: All attendees*
4:15 to 5:30 p.m.	NCAA Diversity and Inclusion Reception *Who Can Attend: All attendees*
5 to 6:30 p.m.	NCAA Convention Trade Show Reception *Who Can Attend: All attendees*

5 to 6:30 p.m.	NCAA Divisions I, II and III Student-Athlete Advisory Committee Joint Dinner *Who Can Attend: All national SAAC members*
5:30 to 7:30 p.m.	Jesuit Athletic Directors *Who Can Attend: By invitation only*
6:30 to 10 p.m.	NCAA Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee *Who Can Attend: Division I national SAAC members*

Thursday, January 14, 2010

7 to 11:30 a.m.	NCAA Division I Legislative Council *Who Can Attend: Must be a member of this committee to attend*
7:30 to 8:30 a.m.	NCAA Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee Breakfast *Who Can Attend: Division I national SAAC members*
7:30 a.m. to 3:30 p.m.	NCAA Convention Trade Show *Who Can Attend: All attendees*
8 a.m. to 12:15 p.m.	NCAA Educational Session - Demystifying and Demythifying: Selecting, Seeding and Bracketing Teams for the Division I Men's Basketball Championship *Who Can Attend: All attendees*
8 a.m. to 1 p.m.	Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference Committee on Athletic Administration *Who Can Attend: Must be a member of this committee to attend*
8 a.m. to 5 p.m.	NCAA Division I Women's Basketball Issues Committee *Who Can Attend: Must be a member of this committee to attend*
8:30 a.m. to noon	NCAA Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee *Who Can Attend: Division I national SAAC members*
8:30 a.m. to 3:30 p.m.	NCAA Division I Leadership Council *Who Can Attend: Must be a member of this committee to attend*
9 a.m. to 3 p.m.	Western Collegiate Hockey Association *Who Can Attend: Must be a member of this association to attend*
9:30 to 11 a.m.	NCAA Educational Session - Cross-Campus Engagement in Ensuring the Fair Treatment of LGBT Student-Athletes: Issues and Resources *Who Can Attend: All attendees*

9:30 to 11 a.m.	NCAA Educational Session - Emerging Online Trends: How new technologies are influencing media and intercollegiate athletics *Who Can Attend: All attendees*
9:30 to 11 a.m.	NCAA Educational Session - Management 101 *Who Can Attend: All attendees*
9:30 to 11 a.m.	NCAA Educational Session - Measuring Academic Success at Division III: What the Future Holds *Who Can Attend: All attendees*
11:15 a.m. to 12:15 p.m.	NCAA Educational Session - NCAA Division I Academic Progress Rate Improvement Plans: Instruments for Campus Change *Who Can Attend: All attendees*
11:15 a.m. to 12:15 p.m.	NCAA Educational Session - Sportsmanship and Fan Behavior *Who Can Attend: All attendees*
11:15 a.m. to 12:15 p.m.	NCAA Educational Session - The Student-Athlete Experience: Communicating Across Campus *Who Can Attend: All attendees*
11:30 a.m. to 1 p.m.	NCAA Division I Leadership Council/Legislative Council/Student-Athlete Advisory Committee Joint Luncheon *Who Can Attend: Must be a member of this committee to attend*
Noon to 1 p.m.	NCAA Divisions I, II and III Student-Athlete Advisory Committee Joint Lunch *Who Can Attend: All national SAAC members*
12:30 to 3 p.m.	Great West Presidents Council *Who Can Attend: By invitation only*
1:45 to 3:15 p.m.	NCAA Educational Session - 'Membership Growth ...' - A Panel Discussion on the Impact of Membership Growth from a Divisional as well as Association-Wide Perspective *Who Can Attend: All attendees*
1:45 to 3:15 p.m.	NCAA Educational Session - Communication Strategies for Effective Academic Support and Advising *Who Can Attend: All attendees*
1:45 to 3:15 p.m.	NCAA Educational Session - The Value of Division II Intercollegiate Athletics: How well are we telling our story? *Who Can Attend: All attendees*
1:45 to 3:15 p.m.	NCAA Educational Session - Weathering Today's Economic Storm

	Who Can Attend: All attendees
4 to 5:30 p.m.	NCAA Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee Meeting *Who Can Attend: Division I national SAAC members*
4 to 5:30 p.m.	NCAA Opening Business Session *Who Can Attend: All attendees*
5:30 to 7 p.m.	NCAA Delegates Reception *Who Can Attend: All attendees*
8 p.m. to 12 a.m.	Eastern College Athletic Conference Membership Reception *Who Can Attend: By invitation only*

Friday, January 15, 2010

7:30 to 9 a.m.	NCAA President's Breakfast *Who Can Attend: Presidents and Chancellors only*
9:30 to 11:30 a.m.	NCAA Division I Issues Forum *Who Can Attend: All attendees, media and additional registered guests*
11:30 a.m. to 1 p.m.	NCAA Division I Board of Directors/Leadership Council/Legislative Joint Council Luncheon *Who Can Attend: Must be a member of this committee to attend*
11:30 a.m. to 1 p.m.	NCAA Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee Meeting Working Lunch *Who Can Attend: Division I national SAAC members*
1 to 3 p.m.	NCAA Division I Issues Forum *Who Can Attend: All attendees, media and additional registered guests*
3 to 3:30 p.m.	NCAA Division I Business Session *Who Can Attend: All attendees, media and additional registered guests*
3:30 to 5:30 p.m.	NCAA Outreach Program for First-Time Chancellors and Presidents *Who Can Attend: By invitation only*
4 to 5:30 p.m.	NCAA Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee Meeting *Who Can Attend: Division I national SAAC members*
4 to 6 p.m.	NCAA Division I Communications and Coordination Committee *Who Can Attend: Must be a member of this committee to attend*

6 to 8 p.m.	NCAA Honors Celebration *Who Can Attend: All attendees*

Saturday, January 16, 2010

7 to 8:30 a.m.	NCAA Delegates Breakfast *Who Can Attend: All attendees*
8 to 9:30 a.m.	NCAA Division I Board of Directors and Student-Athlete Advisory Committee Breakfast *Who Can Attend: Must be a member of this committee to attend*
9:30 a.m. to 1 p.m.	NCAA Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee Meeting *Who Can Attend: Division I national SAAC members*
9:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.	NCAA Division I Board of Directors *Who Can Attend: Must be a member of this committee to attend*
11:30 a.m. to 1:30 p.m.	NCAA Delegates Luncheon *Who Can Attend: All attendees*
5:30 to 8 p.m.	NCAA Executive Committee *Who Can Attend: Must be a member of this committee to attend*

REPORT OF THE NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION DIVISION I OCTOBER 6, 2009, LEADERSHIP COUNCIL

- ***KEY ITEMS*.**

1. **Interim President.** Jim Isch, NCAA senior vice president and chief financial officer, has been appointed Interim President of the NCAA. He intends to continue the Association's focus on academic reform, fiscal stability, student-athlete well-being and diversity and inclusion. It is expected that the Executive Committee will establish a timeline and framework for a search for a new full-time president during the committee's October 29 meeting. In addition, a public tribute to Myles Brand will be conducted at 6 p.m. on October 28 in Conseco Fieldhouse in Indianapolis, Indiana.

2. **Division I Membership Standards.** The group reviewed a set of recommended membership standards for aspiring members of Division I. The individual recommendations and reactions during the meeting are set forth below. It is anticipated that a final report will be submitted to the Board of Directors in time to be available for spring 2010 conference meetings and for appropriate legislation to be considered in the 2010-11 cycle. This schedule would coincide with the expected end of the Division I moratorium period regarding new Division I members and conferences, which expires in August 2011. [Supplement No. 1] [Addendum]

3. **Enhancing Diversity in the Division I Council and Cabinet Structure.** The group reviewed a draft of a paper designed to respond to the Board of Directors' request for recommendations to enhance diversity and give focus to faculty concerns regarding perceived underrepresentation in the governance structure. A summary of the discussion is set forth below. This item will be discussed again at the council's January meeting. [Supplement No. 2]

- ***INFORMATION ITEMS*.**

1. **Review of Division I Membership Recommendations.**

   a. **All potential new members of Division I should enter the division through a five-year "reclassification" process following membership in Division II as**

described in Bylaw 20.5.1, and only after presenting a bona fide offer of membership from a Division I multisport, voting conference. The sponsoring conference will be expected to provide appropriate guidance and counsel, assist with scheduling, monitor student-athlete well being and enhance accountability during the transition process.

Action: Based on counsel from Division II, the group agreed that active membership in Division II for five years should be required before beginning a "reclassification" transition to Division I. It was noted that this time period both provides the institution the opportunity to participate fully in Division II, and offers more insight into effects on the student-athlete experience than an immediate transition into, and out of, Division II.

b. The "provisional" process provided for in Bylaw 20.3.1, which now permits an institution outside the NCAA to become a Division I member through a seven-year process, shall be eliminated.

Action: Confirmed support.

c. A substantial Division I application fee should be required before entering the "reclassification" process, which should be commensurate with the estimated value of services and benefits made available through Division I membership. For purposes of discussion, $800,000 is approximately half of the estimated average direct benefits (distribution funds and championships) and indirect benefits (Association-wide) received annually by a Division I member.

Action: The staff was requested to present alternate models for the calculation of an application fee that would be representative of either a business model, an education association model or a hybrid. It remains unclear whether such a fee should be tied to the Division I brand value, a business valuation of Division I membership, the value of direct net benefits received annually as an active member, the operational costs associated with administering the reclassification process or whether a "vesting" period is appropriate. It was noted that the fee should eventually be deposited in NCAA reserves and not returned to the institution or its conference. It also was emphasized that each conference may

have its own fees associated with conference membership. The matter will be addressed again at the council's January 2010 meeting.

d. Upon beginning the "reclassification" process, the institution must meet Division I sports sponsorship requirements (which should be increased from 50 percent to 75 percent of the grants-in-aid maximums in the conference sponsored sports in which the institution will participate). In addition, the alternative means of satisfying this requirement now set forth in Bylaw 20.9.1.2-(b), (c) and (d) should be modified to correlate to the new minimum.

Action: The staff was requested to gather additional data concerning the actual levels of funding in each sport by current Division I members for Leadership Council consideration in January. While it appears that the vast majority of institutions now fund grants-in-aid above the 50 percent level, it is not clear which schools use the alternate means provided by sections (b), (c) and (d) of this legislation to meet this requirement. It was noted that if the percentage requirement is increased, a phase-in period for current members to satisfy the requirement may be appropriate. It also was noted that an increase should be a catalyst for broader support of an institution's athletics program and should not be seen as a reason to reduce funding in certain sports in order to increase grants in others.

e. A prospective member institution may not be elected to active membership in Division I if it is subject to a "historical penalty" under the APR program.

Action: Confirmed support.

f. A preliminary NCAA certification should be required in year 2 of the five-year "reclassification" period and a compliance review and full certification should be required before final approval as a Division I member.

Action: Confirmed support, although the nature and requirements for preliminary certification should be established.

g. A multisport conference must establish voting privileges in the Division I governance structure through legislation that places the conference among those identified in applicable sections of Constitution 4 related to representation and voting ratios on Division I Board of Directors, Legislative and Leadership Councils and the six cabinets.

Action: Confirmed support.

h. The multi-divisional practice permitting a Division II or III institution to designate one men's and one women's sport in Division I, except in football or men's or women's basketball shall be discontinued upon the completion of the 2010-11 academic year. Division II and III institutions that sponsor sports teams in Division I in 2010-11 may continue to do so in the sports so designated but will forfeit this opportunity permanently if it fails to conduct the sport in Division I in any ensuing academic year. No change is recommended for participation in national collegiate championships.

Action: Confirmed support.

i. Multisport, voting conferences shall receive preference in awarding Automatic Qualification (AQ) for Division I championships. Further, no more than 32 conferences may be awarded AQ's for any championship, and at least 50 percent of the field must be reserved for "at large" selections.

Action: Confirmed support.

j. The current definitions for "core" and "continuity" for Division I institutions should be eliminated in favor of a new definition of "multisport conference."

Action: It was agreed that the attachment to Supplement No. 1 of the agenda, which was prepared by the 20 FCS and Division I subdivisions conferences, would be reviewed by staff to ensure that the status quo is preserved if these definitions are eliminated and that special attention be paid to the number of

schools required to both be considered a conference member and be eligible for automatic qualification into championship competition in men's basketball.

k. New "active" members of Division I should qualify immediately for revenue distributions related to academic enhancement and the Special Assistance/Student-Athlete Opportunity Funds. Remaining allocations and Division I distributions should be computed based upon the actual members of the division at the time applicable revenue agreements are completed. Each sponsoring conference would be free to share its revenues from Division I distributions among its members as it deems appropriate.

Action: This recommendation should be evaluated in conjunction with further discussion of the new member application fee structure.

l. No change in criteria is recommended for designation in the Football Championship Subdivision.

Action: Confirmed support.

m. The role of the Administration Cabinet and the steps of the five-year reclassification process should be modified to ensure coordination by the cabinet with sponsoring conferences.

Action: Referred to the Administration Cabinet and conferences for consideration.

n. Constitution 3.2.3.1 should be amended to provide that the vote to elect an institution to Division I active membership upon recommendation of the Administration cabinet shall be taken by the Board of Directors, rather than the Leadership Council.

Action: Confirmed support.

2. **Discussion of possible ways to enhance the level of diversity at the Division I cabinet and council levels.** The Leadership Council discussed the following approaches:

   a. Apply the current diversity requirements (35 percent of each gender and 20 percent ethnic minority) to each cabinet and council while noting the importance of positional distribution in the nomination process.

   b. Require that faculty athletics representatives comprise a certain percentage of the membership of each cabinet other than the Academics Cabinet; where faculty athletics representatives would comprise a higher percentage of the membership.

   c. Require conferences to nominate two individuals, regardless of position, for each cabinet or council opening; charge the Board of Directors to keep the diversity of the cabinets and councils in mind when selecting between the two nominees.

   d. Place a two-year term limit on all cabinet and council positions and limit conferences to two consecutive nominees of the same position.

   e. Establish a certification or education process to help conferences identify qualified potential nominees.

   f. Send a letter to conferences and institutions emphasizing the importance of gender, ethnic and positional diversity on all cabinets and councils and encourage interest in participation, even in areas where the individual may not have past experience.

   Prior to the Leadership Council's January meeting, NCAA staff will formulate concepts aimed at enhancing the level of diversity serving within the governance structure that reflect the approaches discussed by the Leadership Council. These recommendations should relate to both the nomination system and possible initiatives to help new participants develop interest in the governance structure. Additionally, the NCAA research staff will present data regarding individuals currently serving on cabinets and councils.

3. <u>Legislative items in the 2009-10 cycle of potential interest to the Leadership Council</u>.

The group reviewed the expected override process that will take place at the January 15, 2010, Division I business session and also reviewed selected legislative proposals from the 2009-10 legislative cycle, but took no action.

4. <u>Report from the Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee</u>.

Received a report from the chair of SAAC outlining agenda items under their consideration, including the final Commercial Activities Report, the final report of the Men's Basketball Academic Enhancement Group, the Leadership Council's review of Membership Criteria and expected consideration of cost-savings initiatives within the division. The SAAC Award of Excellence will be presented at an upcoming meeting. It was noted that communication among student-athletes has been enhanced through the use of social networking technology.

5. Future meeting dates.

- January 14, 2010; NCAA Convention, Atlanta, Georgia.

Council chair: *Damon Evans, University of Georgia, Southeastern Conference.*
Council liaisons: *David Berst, governance.*
Bernard Franklin, membership and governance.
Kevin Lennon, academic and membership affairs.
Mark Tschaggeny, governance intern.