AGENDA

NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION

DIVISION I COMMUNICATIONS AND COORDINATION COMMITTEE

Conference call
Dial-in Number: 1-888-450-5996
Reservation Number: 825003

November 30, 2010
2 p.m. Eastern time

1. Welcome and opening remarks.

2. Report of the Communications and Coordination Committee August 26, 2010, conference call. [Supplement No. 1]

3. Key items from the September/October cabinet/council and Board of Directors meetings and conference calls. [Supplement No. 2]

4. Key items on the Division I agenda. [Supplement No. 3]

5. Review and discussion of ways to enhance the committee's function.

6. Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee update.

7. Other business.

8. Proposed future call dates.

9. Adjournment.

The National Collegiate Athletic Association
November 22, 2010 JGC:vlm

REPORT OF THE NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION DIVISION I COMMUNICATIONS AND COORDINATION COMMITTEE AUGUST 26, 2010, CONFERENCE CALL

Participants: August 26, 2010

Sarah Bobert, Marquette University – Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet
Carolyn Callahan, University of Virginia – Academic Cabinet
Nick Fulton, Big Ten Conference – Division I Student-Advisory Committee
Rob Halvaks, Big West Conference – Administration Cabinet
Petrina Long, University of California, Los Angeles – Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet
Shane Lyons, Atlantic Coast Conference –Legislative Council
Jane Miller, University of Virginia – Championships/Sports Management Cabinet - vice chair
Jacqueline Campbell, NCAA – recording secretary

Mike Rogers, Baylor University – Amateurism Cabinet and Judy Rose, University of North Carolina, Charlotte – Championships/Sports Management Cabinet were unable to participate on the call.

NCAA staff: David Berst, Angela Cretors, Jennifer Daniels, Diane Dickman, Lynn Holzman, Steve Mallonee, Binh Nguyen, Kris Richardson, Maricela Shukie, Alex Smith, Jennifer Strawley, Sharon Tufano and Brandon Wright also participated on the call.

The conference call was called to order at 3:04 p.m. by Jacqueline Campbell. All were present as noted above.

1. **Comments Regarding Cabinets' Key Items.** The cabinet chairs briefly shared the following June meeting highlights:

   a. Academic Cabinet. The cabinet met jointly with the Division I Committee on Academic Performance and continued its discussion of initial-eligibility and two-year college transfer requirements. The cabinet also discussed nontraditional coursework and agreed to sponsor two proposals that would simplify the legislation regarding the use of nontraditional coursework to meet full-time enrollment and progress-toward-degree requirements. In September, the cabinet plans to continue its discussion and evaluation of enhanced eligibility models.

   b. Administration Cabinet. During its June meeting, the cabinet agreed to sponsor Proposal No. 2010-11, which would remove the requirement that override votes take place at the annual NCAA Convention. The cabinet also conducted its annual review of institutions in the Division I provisional/reclassification process, advancing two

institutions to active membership and several others to the next year of the process. The cabinet reviewed regional alignments of 10-member/eight-region sport committees and recommended that the Championships/Sports Management Cabinet consider sponsoring legislation that would reduce the number of regions for these committees, which would offer broader representation opportunities. During the September meeting, the cabinet will consider several committee appointments and discuss its role in the new reclassification process included should Proposal No. 2010-100 be adopted to implement new Division I membership standards.

c. Amateurism Cabinet. The cabinet agreed to sponsor legislation in the 2010-11 legislative cycle that would modify the current regulations related to the use of a student-athlete's name, picture or likeness in promotional activities. The cabinet also agreed to sponsor non-controversial legislation to allow student-athletes prior to collegiate enrollment to receive performance-based awards while participating on outside amateur teams, provided the value of the awards do not exceed actual and necessary expenses. The cabinet began a discussion regarding agents and advisors, and their relationships with prospective and current student-athletes, as well as the pre and postdraft structures in various sports. During its September meeting, the cabinet plans to continue its discussion of agents/advisors, as well as embarking on the topic of earmarked funds received by prospective student-athletes through fundraising activities.

d. Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet. The cabinet agreed to sponsor ten proposals in the 2010-11 legislative cycle that would provide student-athletes with an opportunity to receive additional sources of financial aid without impacting team limits. The cabinet also reaffirmed its support for the current regulation that requires financial aid awarded based on athletics ability not to exceed a one-year period. Looking forward to the September meeting, the cabinet will review departure/return expense legislation, participation awards limitations and Bylaw 16 waivers.

e. Championships/Sports Management Cabinet. During its June meeting, the cabinet continued its Bylaws 17 and 31 review and the impact of possible cost-containment measures. The cabinet agreed to sponsor two proposals that would prohibit missed class time for competition during the nonchampionship segment for certain sports and lodging in conjunction with home competition for the Football Championship Subdivision (FCS). In September, the cabinet will review legislative proposals for which its feedback has been requested, as well as conduct its regular review of recommendations from the various sports committees.

f. Recruiting/Athletics Personnel Cabinet. The cabinet reviewed feedback from the membership regarding the various proposed recruiting models. The cabinet agreed to sponsor proposals in the 2010-11 legislative cycle that would: 1) prohibit official visits for prospective student-athletes that have signed a National Letter of Intent; 2)

limit the number of noncoaching staff members in the sports of men's and women's basketball and football, and 3) prohibit a verbal offer of athletics financial aid prior to July 1 following the prospect's junior year in high school, with an additional requirement that the institution must receive a five-semester transcript prior to making the verbal offer. In September, the cabinet will continue its discussion of the various recruiting models, review legislative proposals for which its feedback has been requested and explore the development of recruiting calendars for all sports.

2. Brief Updates from Board of Directors and Legislative/Leadership Councils.

a. Board of Directors. The Board of Directors met twice since this committee's last call. The April meeting immediately followed the signing of the new media contract as well as the hiring of the new NCAA president. The Board approved expansion of the NCAA Division I Men's Basketball Championship field from 65 to 68 teams. In August, the Board agreed to sponsor legislation that would: 1) establish a fall academic term requirement for competition for football student-athletes; 2) establish a summer academic preparation and college acclimatization model for designated men's basketball student-athletes; and 3) implement new Division I membership requirements. The Board also adopted emergency legislation requiring Division I institutions to maintain a concussion management plan. Lastly, the Board selected Judy Genshaft, president of the University of South Florida, as its new chair.

b. Leadership Council. During its April meeting, the Leadership Council finalized its recommendation for new Division I membership standards and recommended that the Board of Directors sponsor legislation to implement those standards. In October, the Council will spend considerable time discussing agents and their relationships with student-athletes, as well as a possible collaboration between the NCAA and Major League Baseball. The Council also will discuss a referral from the Administration Cabinet regarding the application of a Football Bowl Subdivision requirement that requires institutions to award at least 90 percent of the maximum permissible overall financial aid grants over a rolling two-year period.

c. Legislative Council. During its April meeting, the Council reviewed Proposal Nos. 2009-22 and 2009-51-B, which both received the requisite number of override vote requests. The Council modified its previous action and delayed the effective date of Proposal No. 2009-22 and revised Proposal No. 2009-51-B to apply only to the sport of basketball. The Council modifications were accepted by the membership and, therefore, there will be no Division I business session at the 2011 NCAA Convention for the purpose of voting on Division I legislation. During its October meeting, the Council will review approximately 100 legislative proposals and will consider the Board of Directors' April Resolution that the Council develop a policy statement that

would provide better guidance regarding consideration of proposed NCAA legislation.

3. **Student-Athlete Advisory Committee Update.** The chair of the Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee (SAAC) updated the committee regarding the Division I SAAC's work over the past several months. The Division I SAAC has spent considerable time discussing commercialism and the use of a student-athlete's name, picture or likeness in promotional activities. In November, the committee will conduct its annual review of proposed legislation and determine those proposals for which it would like to establish and communicate a position.

4. **Update on the Division I Governance Collaboration Zone.** The committee received a brief demonstration of the Division I Governance Collaboration Zone. The committee was asked for feedback regarding use of the collaboration zone and what features would assist cabinet/council members in their governance work.

5. **2011 NCAA Convention.** The committee was informed that there will be no Division I business session at the 2011 Convention. The staff requested feedback on ways to increase the division's attendance at the Convention.

6. **Proposed Future Call Dates.** The staff noted that the next expected call date would be in early to mid November following the fall governance meetings. The committee will be sent a calendar in September to indicate availability for the November call.

7. **Adjournment.** The call was adjourned at 4:03 p.m.

Key Items from September/October Division I Governance Meetings

1. Academic Cabinet.

a. Review of NCAA Division I Academic Eligibility Requirements for Prospective Student-Athletes. The NCAA Division I Academic Cabinet continued its discussion of possible changes to the initial-eligibility and 2-4 transfer requirements. After considering several potential modifications, the cabinet does not recommend changes to the initial-eligibility requirements at this time. The cabinet noted that additional time will allow for full consideration of data not yet available.

With regard to 2-4 transfer requirements, the cabinet considered key research findings regarding the academic performance of two-year college transfers entering Division I institutions. Based on the data reviewed, the cabinet developed concepts for membership and two-year college input. The cabinet presents the draft concepts as a package for feedback. The package strives to balance principles of increased academic success, simplicity of administration, access to higher education and opportunity for those prospective student-athletes who are academically underprepared. The package includes enhanced academic standards for both qualifiers and nonqualifiers and the concept of a year of academic readiness.

b. Recommendation for an Alternative Proposal for NCAA Division I Proposal No. 2010-58 – Eligibility, Financial Aid and Playing and Practice Seasons – Summer Academic Preparation and Acclimatization – Men's Basketball. The cabinet recommends the NCAA Division I Board of Directors consider an alternative proposal for Proposal No. 2010-58. Proposal No. 2010-58, establishes a summer academic preparation and college acclimatization model for incoming and continuing men's basketball student-athletes. The cabinet's alternative proposal increases the requirement of completing three hours of acceptable degree credit during the summer prior to enrollment to six hours.

2. Administration Cabinet.

a. Committee Appointments. The cabinet approved appointments to several Division I and Association-wide committees.

b. Review of Legislation. The cabinet took positions on legislative proposals referred from the 2010-11 Division I legislative cycle.

3. Amateurism Cabinet.

a. Agent/Advisor Discussion. The cabinet continued their discussion of the agent/advisor issue and specifically focused on the creation of a national professional sports counseling panel that could assist with providing reliable information to those student-athletes interested in pursuing a professional sports career.

b. **Promotional Activities – Use of a Student-Athlete's Name or Likeness.** The cabinet agreed to modify this proposal to clarify that if institutions individually or collectively agree to authorize or license the use of a student-athlete's name or likeness to a third party as part of a commercial product (e.g., video game), the activity shall be considered an institutional promotion and thus subject to the provisions of 12.5.1.1.

4. Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet.

a. Review of sand volleyball financial aid legislation. The NCAA Division I Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet reviewed feedback on NCAA Division I Proposal No. 2009-70 from the NCAA Division I Sand Volleyball Working Group and agreed to modify Proposal No. 2009-70 to include a provision that if a student-athlete who only participates (practices or competes) and is a counter in sand volleyball during her first year of full-time collegiate enrollment at the certifying institution participates in women's volleyball during her second year of full-time enrollment at the certifying institution, that the certifying institution must consider the student-athlete as a counter in women's volleyball for her first year of enrollment. [See Legislative Informational Item No. 1-b-(1)]

b. Equivalency computations – calculation of books. The cabinet, prompted by its review of Proposal No. 2010-75, agreed that it needed more information about practices currently being used by member institutions to provide books to their student-athletes. The cabinet took no position on Proposal No. 2010-75, and directed the staff to collect information from the membership on those practices and to report back to the cabinet at its February 2011 meeting.

c. Examination of maximum grant-in-aid limitations/equivalency versus head count designations. The cabinet prioritized this examination during its June 2010 meeting. The cabinet reviewed historical information provided by the staff and agreed that additional information was necessary to allow the cabinet to conduct a thorough examination of the topics. The cabinet discussed models that could be used going forward (e.g., hybrid model currently used in sports like baseball and ice hockey) and the need for feedback from the membership and directed the staff to collect additional information, including information related to unduplicated counts,

the ratio of available grants-in-aid to participants and information on participation trends in youth sports. The cabinet will review the information during its February 2011 meeting.

5. Championships/Sports Management Cabinet.

a. Track and Field – Championship Format. The NCAA Division I Championships/ Sports Management Cabinet reviewed and discussed information submitted by the NCAA Division I Men's and Women's Track and Field Committee related to the outdoor championship format. The information was submitted in response to several items the cabinet requested the Men's and Women's Track and Field Committee consider after its previous discussion in June. The cabinet expressed appreciation for the thorough information presented and was interested in learning more about the identified opportunities for improvement to the current two regional formats. To that end, the cabinet requested that the Men's and Women's Track and Field Committee develop and forward specific recommendations related to these opportunities for improvement for the cabinet to consider at its February 2011 meeting. Further, the cabinet requested that the Men's and Women's Track and Field Committee continue working on the development of a schedule for a single-site championship and present that to the cabinet in February 2011. Finally, the cabinet noted that the information related to the participants and the success of those participants was very beneficial and requested that similar information from the 2011 NCAA Division I Outdoor Track and Field Championships be provided to the cabinet at its June meeting, if possible.

b. Men's and Women's Water Polo and Men's Volleyball – Automatic Qualification. The cabinet requested that the NCAA Men's Water Polo, NCAA Women's Water Polo and NCAA Men's Volleyball Committees develop recommendations as to how it will administer the respective championships within the parameters of NCAA Bylaw 31.3.4.7 (i.e., 50 percent of the field shall be reserved for at-large teams) beginning with the 2011-12 academic year. The cabinet will review the recommendations during its February 2011 meeting.

6. Board of Directors.

a. Division I Committee on Academic Performance Report. Walter Harrison, chair of the Committee on Academic Performance (CAP), reported:

(1) That the release of Graduation Success Rate (GSR) and Federal Graduation Rate data for the 2000-03 cohort for all NCAA Division I institutions took place the previous day. This year's results indicate Division I student-athletes continue an upward trend in classroom performance.

(2) That the committee continued its comprehensive review of the Academic Performance Program (APP), including a review of the Academic Performance Rate (APR) metric, benchmarks and penalty structure. The Board noted its support for the committee's continued discussion regarding the components of the APR metric and setting a penalty benchmark that equates to a 50 percent GSR.

(3) That the committee supports Proposal No. 2010-58, as written, and encourages the Board not to modify the proposal, which would establish a summer academic preparation and acclimatization model in men's basketball.

(4) That the committee supports Proposal No. 2010-59-C, which is an alternative to the proposal sponsored by the Board on the recommendation of the Football Academic Working Group (FAWG). The alternative proposal would provide a one-time exception to the four-game penalty specified in Proposal No. 2010-59-A.

The Board encouraged CAP to continue its work, but expressed some concern about the potential confusion of considering changes to the APR formula at this time.

b. Division I Academic Cabinet Report. Carolyn Callahan, chair of the Academic Cabinet, informed the Board of the cabinet's discussions of initial-eligibility and two-four transfer requirements. [Reference Supplement Nos. 4A, 4B and 4C.]

(1) Initial Eligibility Requirements. The cabinet considered several potential modifications to the current initial-eligibility requirements and agreed not to recommend changes at this time. The cabinet noted that additional time will allow for full consideration of data not yet available. The Board supported the cabinet's continued review of initial-eligibility requirements and its consideration of potential changes to those standards.

(2) Two-Four Transfer Requirements. The cabinet reviewed extensive data and developed an initial package of concepts that strive to balance principles of increased academic success, simplicity of administration, access to higher education and opportunity for prospective student-athletes who are

academically underprepared. The package of draft concepts includes an increased transferable grade-point average for qualifiers and nonqualifiers, expanding the current men's basketball limitation of two physical education activity credits to all sports for qualifiers and nonqualifiers, expanding the current required core transferrable courses to include three science credits, eliminating the requirement of three semesters or four quarters of attendance for nonqualifiers, and the consideration of a year of academic readiness at the two-year college. The Board expressed its support for the cabinet's continued work on the package of recommended changes to the two-four college transfer requirements.

The Board expressed support to continue to develop these concepts, but took no formal action.

c. **Men's Basketball Summer Recruiting**. The Board reviewed a request from the Collegiate Commissioner's Association (CCA) to eliminate the summer evaluation period in men's basketball beginning in the summer of 2011. The Board did not support the immediate elimination of summer recruiting, rather it noted its intent to sponsor such legislation in the 2011-12 legislative cycle and, in the meantime, assigned the Leadership Council, along with appropriate stakeholders, the responsibility to evaluate and create a new comprehensive recruiting model for men's basketball. The Board also suggested that the Legislative Council not take action on proposals in the 2010-11 legislative cycle that impact the recruiting calendar in men's basketball.

7. Leadership Council.

a. **Division I Membership Standards**. The Leadership Council reviewed Proposal No. 2010-100 and recommended that the Division I Board of Directors modify the proposal to clarify that before a Football Championship Subdivision (FCS) institution may be reclassified to the Football Bowl Subdivision (FBS), the institution must receive a bona fide invitation for membership in a FBS conference or a conference that previously has been an FBS conference and invites the institution to continue classification as FBS.

b. **GoDaddy.com**. The Council received a report from the NCAA Committee on Women's Athletics (CWA) in which the committee noted its objection to the decision to permit the previously licensed GMAC Bowl to change its title sponsor to GoDaddy.com. The CWA noted that the NCAA Application for License to Conduct Postseason Football Contest includes in its conditions of receiving a license the

requirement that the licensee promote and administer a postseason football game consistent with the image, integrity and values of the NCAA. The CWA noted that the majority of GoDaddy.com advertisements contain sexually suggestive material, many of which are more suggestive than allowed on television. The CWA requests that focused efforts be made to ensure that GoDaddy.com advertisements and activities surrounding the postseason bowl game maintain the integrity and image of the NCAA and its student-athletes. The Leadership Council unanimously voted to support the CWA's position regarding GoDaddy.com as a bowl title sponsor and to request that the NCAA Executive Committee review the concerns expressed by the CWA in order to determine how best to ensure that future bowl sponsors are aligned with the image, values and integrity of the Association.

c. Discussion on Agents. The Council received information regarding the differences in the draft policies of several professional sports. Rachel Newman-Baker, NCAA director of agent, gambling and amateurism activities (AGA), shared with the Council information about the trends related to agent interference with NCAA eligibility rules that the AGA staff has collected over the past several years. The Council engaged in discussion regarding the manner by which agents enter the lives of prospective and enrolled student-athletes, and how they influence the decisions student-athletes ultimately make regarding professional career opportunities. The Council agreed that this is a complex issue related to education, regulation and enforcement, and asked that staff provide more information (e.g., numbers of student-athletes being touched by third-parties) related to agent activity for review and use in further discussion at its January 2011 meeting. It also was suggested that agents and coaches be invited to present information to the Council. It is anticipated that the Council will be expected to submit recommendations to the Board of Directors that will lead to a better process to ensure that individuals make an informed decision when considering a career in professional athletics.

8. Legislative Council.

a. Proposals in the 2010-11 Legislative Cycle. The Legislative Council reviewed and took preliminary positions on the proposals in the 2010-11 legislative cycle.

b. Legislation Recommended as Noncontroversial. The Legislative Council supported 12 proposals recommended as noncontroversial legislation.

c. Board of Directors Resolution Related to the Legislative Process. The Legislative Council reviewed and discussed a number of concepts related to the legislative

process and agreed to seek feedback from conferences with the view toward further discussion and development of a policy statement and/or legislative proposals to effectuate the desired results. The Council will continue its discussions related to this topic during its January 2011 meeting and/or its April 2011 meeting.

9. Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet.

a. Review of Proposals Sponsored by and Forwarded to the Cabinet for the 2010-11 Cycle. The NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet reviewed 26 legislative proposals related to recruiting and athletics personnel that will be considered by the Division I membership in the 2010-11 legislative cycle. The cabinet also modified four proposals originally sponsored by the cabinet. The proposals relate to limits on the number of noncoaching staff with sport specific responsibilities in basketball and football and evaluation days in women's sand volleyball. Finally, the cabinet sponsored three alternative proposals. The alternative proposals relate to limits on the number of noncoaching staff with sport specific responsibilities in basketball and football.

b. Priority Item – Examination of Recruiting Models. The cabinet discussed its ongoing comprehensive examination of the recruiting models. The cabinet reviewed a memorandum that will be distributed to sport specific groups and coaches associations regarding the development of recruiting calendars and establishing recruiting person or evaluation days in all sports to which such provisions currently do not apply. The cabinet will review the feedback during the February 2011 meeting with the goal of sponsoring legislation regarding communication, contacts and evaluations for the 2011-12 legislative cycle.

Key Items on the Division I Agenda

- **Men's Basketball Recruiting.** In response to a request from the Collegiate Commissioner's Association (CCA) to immediately eliminate the summer evaluation period in men's basketball, the Board of Directors assigned the Leadership Council, along with appropriate stakeholders, the responsibility to evaluate and create a new comprehensive recruiting model for men's basketball. The Board noted its intent is to sponsor legislation in the 2011-12 legislative cycle.

- **Agents.** The Leadership Council as well as the Amateurism Cabinet have engaged in discussions regarding the manner by which agents enter the lives of prospective and enrolled student-athletes, and how they influence the decisions student-athletes ultimately make regarding professional career opportunities. It is anticipated that the Leadership Council will be expected to submit recommendations to the Board of Directors that will lead to a better process to ensure that individuals make an informed decision when considering a career in professional athletics.

- **Academics -- Review of NCAA Division I Academic Eligibility Requirements for Prospective Student-Athletes.** The Academic Cabinet continues its discussion of possible changes to the initial-eligibility and 2-4 transfer requirements. The cabinet does not recommend changes to the initial-eligibility requirements at this time and notes that additional time will allow for full consideration of data not yet available. With regard to 2-4 transfer requirements, the cabinet developed concepts for membership and two-year college input. The cabinet has presented the draft concepts as a package for feedback. The package strives to balance principles of increased academic success, simplicity of administration, access to higher education and opportunity for those prospective student-athletes who are academically underprepared. The package includes enhanced academic standards for both qualifiers and nonqualifiers and the concept of a year of academic readiness. It is anticipated that the cabinet will sponsor legislation regarding 2-4 transfer regulations in the 2011-12 legislative cycle.

- **Cost of Attendance as Individual Financial Aid Limit.** There has been a suggestion from some in the membership that the Division I membership consider moving to the cost of attendance as the individual limit rather than a full grant-in-aid. The Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet will likely begin discussion on this topic in the upcoming year.

- **Division I Distribution and Academic Component.** The Revenue Distribution Task Force, comprised of Division I presidents and commissioners, was formed to review the Division I distribution formulas, as specified in the Constitution, and recommend to the Board of Directors modifications appropriate for the next decade. The task force will consider whether an academic component should be added to the distribution formula.

REPORT OF
THE NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION
DIVISION I COMMUNICATIONS AND COORDINATION COMMITTEE (CCC)
CONFERENCE CALL

Participants: November 30, 2010

Sarah Bobert, Marquette University – Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet
Carolyn Callahan, University of Virginia – Academic Cabinet
Nick Fulton, Big Ten Conference – Division I Student-Advisory Committee
Petrina Long, University of California, Los Angeles – Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet
Shane Lyons, Atlantic Coast Conference –Legislative Council
Mike Rogers, Baylor University – Amateurism Cabinet
Judy Rose, University of North Carolina, Charlotte – Championships/Sports Management Cabinet
Jacqueline Campbell, NCAA – recording secretary

Rob Halvaks, Big West Conference – Administration Cabinet, was unable to participate on the call.

NCAA staff: David Berst, Amanda Conklin, Angie Cretors, Jennifer Daniels, Diane Dickman, Lynn Holzman, Michelle Hosick, Charnelle Kemper, Binh Nguyen, Carol Reep, Alex Smith and Leeland Zeller also participated on the call.

The conference call was called to order at 2:04 p.m. by Jacqueline Campbell. All were present as noted above.

1. **Report of the Committee's August 26, 2010, Conference Call.** The committee approved the report of the August 26, 2010, conference call.

2. **Key Items from the September/October Division I Governance Meetings.** The cabinet received a report of the key items from the September/October cabinet, council and Board of Directors meetings.

3. **Key Items on the Division I Agenda.** The committee received the following information regarding key items on the Division I agenda:

    a. Men's Basketball Recruiting. During its October 28 meeting, the Board of Directors received a request from the Collegiate Commissioners Association (CCA) to sponsor legislation that would eliminate the summer evaluation period in men's basketball beginning with the summer of 2011. The Board did not support the immediate

elimination of summer recruiting, rather it noted its intent to sponsor such legislation in the 2011-12 legislative cycle and, in the meantime, assigned the Leadership Council, along with appropriate stakeholders, the responsibility to evaluate and create a new comprehensive recruiting model for men's basketball. It was noted that while the Leadership Council is charged with creating a new recruiting model, input would be sought from various stakeholders, including the Recruiting Cabinet.

b. Agents. The Leadership Council and the Amateurism Cabinet are engaged in discussions regarding agents and the manner by which they enter the lives of prospective and enrolled student-athletes, and how they influence the decisions student-athletes ultimately make regarding professional career opportunities. It is anticipated that the Leadership Council will be expected to submit recommendations to the Board of Directors that will lead to a better process to ensure that individuals make an informed decision when considering a career in professional athletics.

c. Academics. The Academic Cabinet continues its discussion of possible changes to the initial-eligibility and 2-4 transfer requirements. The cabinet recommends no changes to the initial-eligibility standards at this time, but it has developed concepts for changes to the 2-4 transfer requirements that it is circulating for membership and two-year college input. The committee was informed that although the cabinet recommends no changes to the initial-eligibility standards at this time, there are a number of presidents in the membership that support enhancing initial-eligibility requirements.

d. Individual Financial Aid Limit. The staff noted that there has been some discussion amongst several Division I conferences regarding the possibility of changing the individual financial aid limit from a full grant-in-aid to the cost of attendance. Some have suggested that individual financial aid limits need not be the same for all student-athletes. The Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet will discuss the individual financial aid limit in the upcoming year.

e. Division I Distribution and Academic Component. The committee was informed that there is a task force comprised of presidents and commissioners discussing the revenue distribution plan and whether there should be changes based on the new Turner/CBS media contract, specifically whether an academic component should be added.

4. Discussion of Ways to Enhance the Committee's Function. The committee discussed ways to enhance communication between Division I governance bodies and how this committee could assist in the coordination and management of the Division I agenda.

5. **Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee Update**. Nick Fulton, chair of the Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee (SAAC), reported on the committee's November meeting and noted that the Division I SAAC spent considerable time taking positions on a number of proposals in the 2010-11 legislative cycle.

6. **Proposed Future Call Dates.** The staff noted that the next call of the committee would follow the February cabinet meetings and precede the April Leadership Council meeting. Calendars will be sent to committee members to determine availability.

7. **Adjournment.** The call was adjourned at 2:48 p.m.