THE PROBLEM

PRESS RELEASE

Shawinigan – September 7, 2009

Getting Students Involved

Last night a series of mysterious thefts occurred in a number of the town's businesses. A variety of objects, often of very little value, were stolen. This morning municipal authorities asked a group of tech-lab students if they would be interested in participating in the investigation. The students were happy to be able to have a hand in solving the crime and responded enthusiastically. The authorities gave them the following mission: to identify the samples collected by investigators on-site in the different stores and thus find the guilty party.

Among the tasks set out for them, students are to identify four substances by means of experiments and tests:

- a dense solid (i.e. one that is not soluble in water)
- · a solid in the form of a powder
- a liquid
- a gas

ln	this	learning	situation,	you will	play the	role of a	tech-lab	student.	

Group:

CREATING THE CONTEXT

I ask myself questions

2. Name some characteristic properties.

3. How can we identify a substance?

4. What do we need to do to identify the substances of the samples collected by the investigators?

I must

5. What is the goal of the legal investigation?

© **ERP!** Reproduction and adaptation permitted solely for use with *Observatory*.

Name:	Group:	

CREATING THE CONTEXT (continued)

I think

6.	Wh	nich characteristic properties can you find for the following substances?
	a)	a dense solid not soluble in water?
	b)	a powdered solid?
	c)	a liquid?
	d)	a gas?

Reflection	Yes	No
Do I have a good understanding of:		
characteristic physical properties?		
characteristic chemical properties?		
pure substances?		



Name:	Group:

PLANNING THE PROBLEM SOLVING

I plan

- 1. Plan each test that you will do on the substances given to you.
 - a) Specify the goal for each test.
 - b) List the materials needed for each test.
 - c) Give the steps for each test.
 - d) Indicate your observations for each test in a table of results.

What sa	afety rules m	ust be follo	wed for ea	ch test?		

Reflection

Can I think of any other possibilities?

Yes

Group:

INITIATING THE PROBLEM SOLVING

I experiment

- **1.** Perform the tests on the substances. Be sure to follow the steps you listed earlier.
- **2.** If necessary, modify your action plan. Be sure to record and explain your changes, and have them approved by the person in charge.
- **3.** Record your observations and the results of your tests. If necessary, include your calculations.
- 4. Did you perform the tests safely? Explain your answer giving two examples.

Reflection Yes No

Did I write down and explain all the changes I made to my action plan?

Observatory/Guide

11071-A

© **ERPI** Reproduction and adaptation permitted solely for use with *Observatory*.

Name:	Group:

ANALYZING RESULTS AND DRAWING CONCLUSIONS

Code:	Name of substance:	
ts performed		Final results
Code:	Name of substance:	
ts performed		Final results
Code:	Name of substance:	
ts performed	Name of Substance.	Final results
Code:	Name of substance:_	
ts performed		Final results
nilar to the data in t	the tables of properties? If s	o evolain vour answer
	Code: Code: Code: Code: Sperformed Code: Code: Sperformed	Code: Name of substance:

Observatory/Guide 11071-A

ERPI Reproduction and adaptation permitted solely for use with Observatory.

LIST OF SUSPECTS

SUSPECT No. 1

Age: 34

This man manufactures zinc eavestroughs. He loves the colour green, but he hates fire and is always on the lookout for one that needs to be extinguished. He routinely chews antacids to soothe his heartburn.

SUSPECT No. 2

Height: 1.50 m Weight: 56 kg This man devours

alcohol-filled candies. He collects potassiumbased explosives and stores them in aluminum boxes. His mother is seriously ill and is hooked up to a respirator.

SUSPECT No. 3

Age: 51 Height: 1.57 m Weight: 51 kg

Always on the prowl for quality wine, this connoisseur needs to take a potassium supplement. She is always decked out in

cheap jewellery and often takes trips in hot-air balloons.

SUSPECT No. 4

Age: 35 Height: 1.80 m Weight: 95 kg

Age: 42

Height: 1.72 m

Weight: 85 kg

This man is clinically depressed and asthmatic. He takes lithium and is hooked up to an oxygen tank. In his spare time, he cleans iron with acid.

SUSPECT No. 5

Age: 48 Height: 168 m Weight: 72 kg

This woman is very concerned about global warming. She makes explosives using carbon, potassium salts

SUSPECT No. 6

Age: 29 Height: 1.82 m Weight: 100 kg

This man craves salty food, and is always thirsty. He uses copper salt explosives with hydrogen detonators. He writes his ideas on pieces of paper with a lead pencil.

SUSPECT No. 7

Age: 49 Height: 1.75 m Weight: 80 kg

This woman's favourite food treat is salty french fries. She hates fire and she often gargles with glycerine to stop her coughing. She installs aluminum siding.

SUSPECT No. 8

and sometimes nitroglycerine.

Age: 28 Height: 1.80 m Weight: 89 kg

This loner is obsessed with

zeppelins. His hands are 4 permanently stained blue from copper salts. He hunts small animals with a lead pellet rifle. Afterwards he cleans his gun in a frenzy.

SUSPECT No. 9

Age: 61 Height: 1.60 m Weight: 80 kg

This woman is always eating food

and drinking water. Her every breath is filled with the odour of sulphur. Her shoes are often wet and stained with calcium salts.



MY EVALUATION

Use the evaluation grid on the following page to do a self-evaluation. Write A, B, C, D or E in the appropriate box in the table below.

	SSC1 Seeks answers o problems	r so	lutior	ns to scientific or technological
Criteria*	Observable indicators	ЭМ	Teacher	Comments
1	Creating the context			
	Definition of the goal and formulation of the test proposal for the substances to be identified		□ With help	
2	Planning the problem solving			
	Relevance of the elements of the plan of action: materials and procedures		□ With help	
3	Initiating the problem solving			
	Accuracy of the results and calculations		□ With help	
4	Analyzing results and drawing conclusions			
	Identification of substances and the guilty party		□ With help	

* Evaluation criteria

- 1 Appropriate representation of the situation
- 2 Development of a suitable plan of action for the situation
- **3** Appropriate implementation of the plan of action
- 4 Development of relevant conclusions, explanations or solutions

EVALUATION GRID

Seeks answers or solutions to scientific or technological problems.

Obse	Observable indicators	∢	ш	U	۵	ш
CREAT	CREATING THE CONTEXT	Goal is defined very	Goal is defined clearly	Goal is not defined clearly or not related	Goal is not defined	The work
Definition formulatic for the su identified	Definition of the goal and formulation of the test proposal for the substances to be identified	problem. Tests proposed for all substances are relevant.	problem. Tests proposed for all substances are relevant.	to problem. OR Tests proposed for substances are more or less relevant.	to problem. AND Tests proposed for substances are not relevant.	be redone.
PLANNING THE PROB	PLANNING THE PROBLEM SOLVING	List of materials is complete. Procedures	List of materials is complete. Procedures	List of materials is missing elements.	List of materials is missing elements.	The work needs to
Relevar in the pl and pro	Relevance of the elements in the plan of action: materials and procedures	ale formulated very clearly and relevant.	are formulated clearly and relevant.	Occedures are formulated more or less clearly and relevant.	And formulated poorly and not relevant.	
INITIATING THE PROBI	INITIATING THE PROBLEM SOLVING	All results are noted and relevant. All	All results are noted and relevant. Some	Some results are noted and relevant.	Calculations contain major errors.	The work needs to
Accura and cal	Accuracy of the results and calculations	correctly.	minor errors.	contain minor errors.		
ANAL	ANALYZING RESULTS AND DRAWING CONCLUSIONS	All four substances and the guilty party	All four substances are identified correctly.	Two or three substances are identified correctly	One or no substance is identified correctly.	The work needs to
Identific and the	Identification of substances and the guilty party					

* Evaluation criteria

- 1 Appropriate representation of the situation2 Development of a suitable plan of action for the situation
- 4 Development of relevant conclusions, explanations or solutions

3 Appropriate implementation of the plan of action

© **ERPI** Reproduction and adaptation permitted solely for use with *Observatory*.