

Instructions and Judge Selection

State District Courts – Civil

Hon. Melody Wilkinson (Judge, 17th District Court)	42.9%	202
Hon. David Evans (Judge, 48th District Court)	38.0%	179
Hon. Don Cosby (Judge, 67th District Court)	36.9%	174
Hon. R. H. Wallace, Jr. (Judge, 96th District Court)	33.3%	157
Hon. John Chupp (Judge, 141st District Court)	30.6%	144
Hon. Susan Heygood McCoy (Judge, 153rd District Court)	29.5%	139
Hon. Tom Lowe (Judge, 236th District Court)	32.9%	155
Hon. Mike Wallach (Judge, 348th District Court)	28.9%	136
Hon. Josh Burgess (Judge 352nd District Court)	15.1%	71

State District Courts - Family

Hon. Jesse Nevarez, Jr. (Judge, 231st District Court)	23.1%	109
Hon. Lindsay DeVos (Associate Judge, 231st District Court)	20.2%	95
Hon. James Munford (Associate Judge, 322nd District Court). Please €	21.4%	101
Hon. Jerome Hennigan (Judge, 324th District Court)	26.1%	123
Hon. Beth Poulos (Associate Judge, 324th District Court)	20.4%	96
Hon. Judith Wells (Judge, 325th District Court)	25.7%	121
Hon. Lori DeAngelis (Associate Judge, 325th District Court)	17.6%	83
Hon. Patricia Baca Bennett (Judge, 360th District Court)	23.6%	111
Hon. Matthew Riek (Associate Judge, 360th District Court)	17.4%	82
Hon. Cherami Jenkins (Associate Judge, IV-D Court)	12.5%	59

State District Courts – Juvenile

Hon. Ellen Smith (Associate Judge, 323rd District Court)	8.7%	41
--	------	----

State District Courts – Criminal

Hon. Elizabeth Beach (Judge, Criminal District #1)	12.1%	57
Hon. Wayne Salvant (Judge, Criminal District #2)	12.7%	60
Hon. Robb D. Catalano (Judge, Criminal District #3)	13.0%	61
Hon. Michael Thomas (Judge, Criminal District #4)	12.5%	59
Hon. David Hagerman (Judge, 297th District Court)	12.5%	59
Hon. Mollee Westfall (Judge, 371st District Court)	12.7%	60
Hon. Scott Wisch (Judge, 372nd District Court)	13.6%	64
Hon. George Gallagher (Judge, 396th District Court)	14.9%	70
Hon. Ruben Gonzalez (Judge, 432nd District Court)	13.8%	65
Hon. Rainey Webb (Judge, Magistrate Court)	13.4%	63
Hon. Timmie White (Judge, Magistrate Court)	12.7%	60
Hon. Sheila Wynn (Judge, Magistrate Court)	13.6%	64
Hon. Matt King (Judge, Magistrate Court)	11.3%	53
Hon. Tamla Ray (Judge, Magistrate Court)	8.5%	40
Hon. Mark Thielman (Judge, Magistrate Court)	8.3%	39

County Courts – Civil

Hon. Don Pierson (Judge, County Court at Law #1)	32.5%	153
Hon. Jennifer Rymell (Judge, County Court at Law #2)	29.1%	137
Hon. Michael Hrabal (Judge, County Court at Law #3)	29.1%	137

County Courts – Criminal

Hon. David Cook (Judge, Criminal Court #1)	9.3%	44
Hon. Carey F. Walker (Judge, Criminal Court #2)	14.0%	66
Hon. Bob McCoy (Judge, Criminal Court #3)	13.4%	63
Hon. Deborah Nekhom (Judge, Criminal Court #4)	11.0%	52
Hon. Jamie Cummings (Judge, Criminal Court #5)	13.4%	63
Hon. Molly Jones (Judge, Criminal Court #6)	10.4%	49
Hon. Cheril Hardy (Judge, Criminal Court #7)	11.3%	53
Hon. Charles Vanover (Judge, Criminal Court #8)	11.0%	52
Hon. Brent Carr (Judge, Criminal Court #9)	13.4%	63
Hon. Phil Sorrells (Judge, Criminal Court #10)	10.4%	49

County Courts – Probate

Hon. G. Quentin McGown (Associate Judge, Probate Court #1)	13.8%	65
Hon. Brooke Allen (Judge, Probate Court #2)	28.0%	132
Hon. Lynn Kelly (Associate Judge, Probate Court #2)	17.6%	83

Federal Courts

Hon. John McBryde (Senior Judge, U.S. District Court, Northern District of Texas)	20.2%	95
Hon. Reed O'Connor (Judge, U.S. District Court, Northern District of Texas)	15.7%	74
Hon. Terry Means (Senior Judge, U.S. District Court, Northern District of Texas)	16.3%	77
Hon. Jeff Cureton (Judge, U.S. Magistrate Court, Northern District of Texas)	10.0%	47
Hon. Mark Mullin (Bankruptcy Judge, U.S. District Court, Northern District of Texas)	4.7%	22

State Appellate Courts

Hon. Bonnie Sudderth (Chief Justice, Second Court of Appeals)	22.1%	104
Hon. Lee Gabriel (Justice, Second Court of Appeals)	18.0%	85
Hon. Elizabeth Kerr (Justice, Second Court of Appeals)	14.9%	70
Hon. Mark Pittman (Justice, Second Court of Appeals)	17.0%	80
Hon. J. Wade Birdwell (Justice, Second Court of Appeals)	17.6%	83

Supreme Court of Texas

Hon. Nathan L. Hecht (Chief Justice, Supreme Court of Texas)	8.5%	40
Hon. Paul W. Green (Justice, Supreme Court of Texas)	7.2%	34
Hon. Eva M. Guzman (Justice, Supreme Court of Texas)	8.1%	38
Hon. Debra H. Lehrmann (Justice, Supreme Court of Texas)	9.6%	45
Hon. Jeffrey S. Boyd (Justice, Supreme Court of Texas)	6.8%	32
Hon. John P. Devine (Justice, Supreme Court of Texas)	5.9%	28
Hon. Jeffrey V. Brown (Justice, Supreme Court of Texas)	6.2%	29
Hon. Jimmy Blacklock (Justice, Supreme Court of Texas)	5.3%	25

Court of Criminal Appeals

Hon. Sharon Keller (Presiding Judge, Court of Criminal Appeals)	3.0%	14
Hon. Mary Lou Keel (Judge, Court of Criminal Appeals)	1.3%	6

Hon. Bert Richardson (Judge, Court of Criminal Appeals)	1.1%	5
Hon. Kevin Yeary (Judge, Court of Criminal Appeals)	1.1%	5
Hon. Scott Walker (Judge, Court of Criminal Appeals)	1.9%	9
Hon. Michael E. Keasler (Judge, Court of Criminal Appeals)	1.9%	9
Hon. Barbara Hervey (Judge, Court of Criminal Appeals)	2.3%	11
Hon. David Newell (Judge, Court of Criminal Appeals)	1.3%	6

Hon. Melody Wilkinson (Judge, 17th District Court)

Follows the law

Excellent	60.4%	122
Satisfactory	25.2%	51
Poor	11.9%	24
<i>No Opinion</i>	2.5%	5

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	58.9%	119
Satisfactory	26.7%	54
Poor	11.4%	23
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.0%	6

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	67.3%	136
Satisfactory	21.8%	44
Poor	8.4%	17
<i>No Opinion</i>	2.5%	5

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	77.2%	156
Satisfactory	14.9%	30
Poor	5.0%	10
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.0%	6

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	65.3%	132
Satisfactory	23.3%	47
Poor	8.4%	17
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.0%	6

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	60.9%	123
Satisfactory	27.7%	56
Poor	8.4%	17
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.0%	6

Overall rating

Excellent	61.9%	125
Satisfactory	26.2%	53
Poor	10.9%	22
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>1.0%</i>	<i>2</i>

Hon. David Evans (Judge, 48th District Court)

Follows the law

Excellent	62.6%	112
Satisfactory	26.3%	47
Poor	10.6%	19
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>0.6%</i>	<i>1</i>

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	59.8%	107
Satisfactory	27.9%	50
Poor	11.7%	21
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>0.6%</i>	<i>1</i>

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	45.8%	82
Satisfactory	36.3%	65
Poor	16.2%	29
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>1.7%</i>	<i>3</i>

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	36.9%	66
Satisfactory	34.6%	62
Poor	27.9%	50
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>0.6%</i>	<i>1</i>

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	55.3%	99
Satisfactory	34.1%	61
Poor	7.8%	14
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>2.8%</i>	<i>5</i>

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	60.3%	108
Satisfactory	29.6%	53
Poor	7.8%	14
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>2.2%</i>	<i>4</i>

Overall rating

Excellent	48.6%	87
Satisfactory	38.0%	68

Poor	12.3%	22
<i>No Opinion</i>	1.1%	2

Hon. Don Cosby (Judge, 67th District Court)

Follows the law

Excellent	64.9%	113
Satisfactory	21.8%	38
Poor	10.9%	19
<i>No Opinion</i>	2.3%	4

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	70.7%	123
Satisfactory	21.8%	38
Poor	5.2%	9
<i>No Opinion</i>	2.3%	4

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	72.4%	126
Satisfactory	14.4%	25
Poor	9.8%	17
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.4%	6

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	77.6%	135
Satisfactory	13.8%	24
Poor	3.4%	6
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.2%	9

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	69.5%	121
Satisfactory	20.1%	35
Poor	5.2%	9
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.2%	9

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	71.3%	124
Satisfactory	21.8%	38
Poor	1.7%	3
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.2%	9

Overall rating

Excellent	73.6%	128
Satisfactory	16.1%	28
Poor	8.0%	14
<i>No Opinion</i>	2.3%	4

Hon. R. H. Wallace, Jr. (Judge, 96th District Court)

Follows the law

Excellent	73.2%	115
Satisfactory	18.5%	29
Poor	5.7%	9
<i>No Opinion</i>	2.5%	4

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	75.2%	118
Satisfactory	19.7%	31
Poor	2.5%	4
<i>No Opinion</i>	2.5%	4

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	75.8%	119
Satisfactory	19.1%	30
Poor	3.2%	5
<i>No Opinion</i>	1.9%	3

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	78.3%	123
Satisfactory	14.0%	22
Poor	1.9%	3
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.7%	9

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	68.8%	108
Satisfactory	22.3%	35
Poor	3.8%	6
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.1%	8

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	70.1%	110
Satisfactory	22.3%	35
Poor	2.5%	4
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.1%	8

Overall rating

Excellent	73.2%	115
Satisfactory	21.7%	34
Poor	3.2%	5
<i>No Opinion</i>	1.9%	3

Hon. John Chupp (Judge, 141st District Court)

Follows the law

Excellent	54.2%	78
Satisfactory	22.9%	33
Poor	16.0%	23
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.9%	10

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	55.6%	80
Satisfactory	31.9%	46
Poor	7.6%	11
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.9%	7

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	60.4%	87
Satisfactory	25.0%	36
Poor	11.1%	16
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.5%	5

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	63.9%	92
Satisfactory	20.8%	30
Poor	10.4%	15
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.9%	7

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	49.3%	71
Satisfactory	31.3%	45
Poor	10.4%	15
<i>No Opinion</i>	9.0%	13

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	53.5%	77
Satisfactory	34.7%	50
Poor	4.9%	7
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.9%	10

Overall rating

Excellent	59.0%	85
Satisfactory	27.1%	39
Poor	10.4%	15
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.5%	5

Hon. Susan Heygood McCoy (Judge, 153rd District Court)

Follows the law

Excellent	43.2%	60
Satisfactory	28.8%	40
Poor	21.6%	30
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.5%	9

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	41.7%	58
Satisfactory	31.7%	44
Poor	20.9%	29
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.8%	8

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	50.4%	70
Satisfactory	25.2%	35
Poor	19.4%	27
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.0%	7

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	57.6%	80
Satisfactory	25.9%	36
Poor	10.1%	14
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.5%	9

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	44.6%	62
Satisfactory	30.9%	43
Poor	15.8%	22
<i>No Opinion</i>	8.6%	12

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	40.3%	56
Satisfactory	32.4%	45
Poor	20.1%	28
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.2%	10

Overall rating

Excellent	47.5%	66
Satisfactory	30.9%	43
Poor	18.0%	25
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.6%	5

Hon. Tom Lowe (Judge, 236th District Court)

Follows the law

Excellent	41.3%	64
-----------	-------	----

Satisfactory	32.9%	51
Poor	21.3%	33
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.5%	7

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	36.8%	57
Satisfactory	32.3%	50
Poor	26.5%	41
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.5%	7

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	42.6%	66
Satisfactory	27.1%	42
Poor	25.2%	39
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.2%	8

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	53.5%	83
Satisfactory	26.5%	41
Poor	14.2%	22
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.8%	9

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	38.1%	59
Satisfactory	32.9%	51
Poor	21.9%	34
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.1%	11

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	37.4%	58
Satisfactory	34.8%	54
Poor	20.6%	32
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.1%	11

Overall rating

Excellent	39.4%	61
Satisfactory	37.4%	58
Poor	18.7%	29
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.5%	7

Hon. Mike Wallach (Judge, 348th District Court)

Follows the law

Excellent	69.9%	95
Satisfactory	13.2%	18
Poor	6.6%	9

<i>No Opinion</i>	10.3%	14
-------------------	-------	----

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	72.1%	98
Satisfactory	16.2%	22
Poor	4.4%	6
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.4%	10

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	69.9%	95
Satisfactory	14.0%	19
Poor	9.6%	13
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.6%	9

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	74.3%	101
Satisfactory	13.2%	18
Poor	5.1%	7
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.4%	10

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	69.1%	94
Satisfactory	14.0%	19
Poor	5.1%	7
<i>No Opinion</i>	11.8%	16

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	69.9%	95
Satisfactory	15.4%	21
Poor	5.1%	7
<i>No Opinion</i>	9.6%	13

Overall rating

Excellent	70.6%	96
Satisfactory	14.7%	20
Poor	7.4%	10
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.4%	10

Hon. Josh Burgess (Judge 352nd District Court)

Follows the law

Excellent	59.2%	42
Satisfactory	16.9%	12
Poor	15.5%	11
<i>No Opinion</i>	8.5%	6

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	62.0%	44
Satisfactory	14.1%	10
Poor	12.7%	9
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>11.3%</i>	<i>8</i>

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	64.8%	46
Satisfactory	14.1%	10
Poor	11.3%	8
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>9.9%</i>	<i>7</i>

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	66.2%	47
Satisfactory	12.7%	9
Poor	11.3%	8
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>9.9%</i>	<i>7</i>

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	57.7%	41
Satisfactory	15.5%	11
Poor	12.7%	9
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>14.1%</i>	<i>10</i>

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	53.5%	38
Satisfactory	19.7%	14
Poor	14.1%	10
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>12.7%</i>	<i>9</i>

Overall rating

Excellent	60.6%	43
Satisfactory	14.1%	10
Poor	15.5%	11
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>9.9%</i>	<i>7</i>

Hon. Jesse Nevarez, Jr. (Judge, 231st District Court)

Follows the law

Excellent	56.0%	61
Satisfactory	33.9%	37
Poor	7.3%	8
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>2.8%</i>	<i>3</i>

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	66.1%	72
Satisfactory	24.8%	27

Poor	6.4%	7
<i>No Opinion</i>	2.8%	3

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	64.2%	70
Satisfactory	26.6%	29
Poor	5.5%	6
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.7%	4

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	66.1%	72
Satisfactory	22.9%	25
Poor	9.2%	10
<i>No Opinion</i>	1.8%	2

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	52.3%	57
Satisfactory	34.9%	38
Poor	5.5%	6
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.3%	8

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	55.0%	60
Satisfactory	31.2%	34
Poor	7.3%	8
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.4%	7

Overall rating

Excellent	59.6%	65
Satisfactory	30.3%	33
Poor	7.3%	8
<i>No Opinion</i>	2.8%	3

Hon. Lindsay DeVos (Associate Judge, 231st District Court)

Follows the law

Excellent	66.3%	63
Satisfactory	27.4%	26
Poor	4.2%	4
<i>No Opinion</i>	2.1%	2

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	68.4%	65
Satisfactory	24.2%	23
Poor	5.3%	5
<i>No Opinion</i>	2.1%	2

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	72.6%	69
Satisfactory	21.1%	20
Poor	3.2%	3
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.2%	3

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	76.8%	73
Satisfactory	17.9%	17
Poor	1.1%	1
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.2%	4

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	58.9%	56
Satisfactory	31.6%	30
Poor	5.3%	5
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.2%	4

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	58.9%	56
Satisfactory	31.6%	30
Poor	5.3%	5
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.2%	4

Overall rating

Excellent	64.2%	61
Satisfactory	28.4%	27
Poor	4.2%	4
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.2%	3

Hon. James Munford (Associate Judge, 322nd District Court)

Follows the law

Excellent	68.3%	69
Satisfactory	20.8%	21
Poor	7.9%	8
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.0%	3

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	64.4%	65
Satisfactory	23.8%	24
Poor	7.9%	8
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.0%	4

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	56.4%	57
-----------	-------	----

Satisfactory	28.7%	29
Poor	11.9%	12
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.0%	3

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	53.5%	54
Satisfactory	24.8%	25
Poor	17.8%	18
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.0%	4

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	64.4%	65
Satisfactory	22.8%	23
Poor	5.9%	6
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.9%	7

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	59.4%	60
Satisfactory	30.7%	31
Poor	5.9%	6
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.0%	4

Overall rating

Excellent	58.4%	59
Satisfactory	30.7%	31
Poor	8.9%	9
<i>No Opinion</i>	2.0%	2

Hon. Jerome Hennigan (Judge, 324th District Court)

Follows the law

Excellent	69.9%	86
Satisfactory	25.2%	31
Poor	4.1%	5
<i>No Opinion</i>	0.8%	1

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	68.3%	84
Satisfactory	24.4%	30
Poor	5.7%	7
<i>No Opinion</i>	1.6%	2

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	74.8%	92
Satisfactory	18.7%	23
Poor	4.9%	6

<i>No Opinion</i>	1.6%	2
-------------------	------	---

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	78.0%	96
Satisfactory	17.9%	22
Poor	1.6%	2
<i>No Opinion</i>	2.4%	3

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	64.2%	79
Satisfactory	30.1%	37
Poor	3.3%	4
<i>No Opinion</i>	2.4%	3

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	65.0%	80
Satisfactory	29.3%	36
Poor	4.1%	5
<i>No Opinion</i>	1.6%	2

Overall rating

Excellent	70.7%	87
Satisfactory	25.2%	31
Poor	2.4%	3
<i>No Opinion</i>	1.6%	2

Hon. Beth Poulos (Associate Judge, 324th District Court)

Follows the law

Excellent	35.4%	34
Satisfactory	46.9%	45
Poor	15.6%	15
<i>No Opinion</i>	2.1%	2

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	54.2%	52
Satisfactory	30.2%	29
Poor	12.5%	12
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.1%	3

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	32.3%	31
Satisfactory	38.5%	37
Poor	26.0%	25
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.1%	3

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	32.3%	31
Satisfactory	29.2%	28
Poor	34.4%	33
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.2%	4

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	40.6%	39
Satisfactory	39.6%	38
Poor	14.6%	14
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.2%	5

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	43.8%	42
Satisfactory	38.5%	37
Poor	13.5%	13
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.2%	4

Overall rating

Excellent	36.5%	35
Satisfactory	43.8%	42
Poor	15.6%	15
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.2%	4

Hon. Judith Wells (Judge, 325th District Court)

Follows the law

Excellent	71.9%	87
Satisfactory	17.4%	21
Poor	9.1%	11
<i>No Opinion</i>	1.7%	2

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	68.6%	83
Satisfactory	24.8%	30
Poor	5.0%	6
<i>No Opinion</i>	1.7%	2

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	66.1%	80
Satisfactory	18.2%	22
Poor	9.9%	12
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.8%	7

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	75.2%	91
Satisfactory	14.0%	17

Poor	7.4%	9
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.3%	4

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	67.8%	82
Satisfactory	22.3%	27
Poor	5.8%	7
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.1%	5

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	66.9%	81
Satisfactory	22.3%	27
Poor	7.4%	9
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.3%	4

Overall rating

Excellent	69.4%	84
Satisfactory	19.0%	23
Poor	7.4%	9
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.1%	5

Hon. Lori DeAngelis (Associate Judge, 325th District Court)

Follows the law

Excellent	66.3%	55
Satisfactory	20.5%	17
Poor	8.4%	7
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.8%	4

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	71.1%	59
Satisfactory	14.5%	12
Poor	8.4%	7
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.0%	5

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	78.3%	65
Satisfactory	7.2%	6
Poor	9.6%	8
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.8%	4

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	79.5%	66
Satisfactory	8.4%	7
Poor	6.0%	5
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.0%	5

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	66.3%	55
Satisfactory	16.9%	14
Poor	7.2%	6
<i>No Opinion</i>	9.6%	8

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	69.9%	58
Satisfactory	18.1%	15
Poor	7.2%	6
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.8%	4

Overall rating

Excellent	68.7%	57
Satisfactory	18.1%	15
Poor	8.4%	7
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.8%	4

Hon. Patricia Baca Bennett (Judge, 360th District Court)

Follows the law

Excellent	21.6%	24
Satisfactory	36.9%	41
Poor	34.2%	38
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.2%	8

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	19.8%	22
Satisfactory	37.8%	42
Poor	36.9%	41
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.4%	6

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	14.4%	16
Satisfactory	27.0%	30
Poor	53.2%	59
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.4%	6

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	12.6%	14
Satisfactory	24.3%	27
Poor	55.9%	62
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.2%	8

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	21.6%	24
-----------	-------	----

Satisfactory	36.0%	40
Poor	30.6%	34
<i>No Opinion</i>	11.7%	13

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	22.5%	25
Satisfactory	35.1%	39
Poor	34.2%	38
<i>No Opinion</i>	8.1%	9

Overall rating

Excellent	11.7%	13
Satisfactory	33.3%	37
Poor	49.5%	55
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.4%	6

Hon. Matthew Riek (Associate Judge, 360th District Court)

Follows the law

Excellent	79.3%	65
Satisfactory	12.2%	10
Poor	3.7%	3
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.9%	4

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	76.8%	63
Satisfactory	15.9%	13
Poor	2.4%	2
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.9%	4

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	80.5%	66
Satisfactory	11.0%	9
Poor	3.7%	3
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.9%	4

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	85.4%	70
Satisfactory	7.3%	6
Poor	2.4%	2
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.9%	4

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	72.0%	59
Satisfactory	20.7%	17
Poor	1.2%	1

<i>No Opinion</i>	6.1%	5
-------------------	------	---

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	73.2%	60
Satisfactory	18.3%	15
Poor	1.2%	1
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.3%	6

Overall rating

Excellent	76.8%	63
Satisfactory	15.9%	13
Poor	2.4%	2
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.9%	4

Hon. Cherami Jenkins (Associate Judge, IV-D Court)

Follows the law

Excellent	52.5%	31
Satisfactory	30.5%	18
Poor	13.6%	8
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.4%	2

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	66.1%	39
Satisfactory	23.7%	14
Poor	6.8%	4
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.4%	2

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	52.5%	31
Satisfactory	25.4%	15
Poor	18.6%	11
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.4%	2

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	42.4%	25
Satisfactory	40.7%	24
Poor	13.6%	8
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.4%	2

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	44.1%	26
Satisfactory	37.3%	22
Poor	11.9%	7
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.8%	4

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	52.5%	31
Satisfactory	30.5%	18
Poor	11.9%	7
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.1%	3

Overall rating

Excellent	50.8%	30
Satisfactory	30.5%	18
Poor	15.3%	9
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.4%	2

Hon. Ellen Smith (Associate Judge, 323rd District Court)

Follows the law

Excellent	92.7%	38
Satisfactory	2.4%	1
Poor	2.4%	1
<i>No Opinion</i>	2.4%	1

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	92.7%	38
Satisfactory	2.4%	1
Poor	2.4%	1
<i>No Opinion</i>	2.4%	1

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	92.7%	38
Satisfactory	2.4%	1
Poor	2.4%	1
<i>No Opinion</i>	2.4%	1

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	92.7%	38
Satisfactory	2.4%	1
Poor	2.4%	1
<i>No Opinion</i>	2.4%	1

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	90.2%	37
Satisfactory	2.4%	1
Poor	2.4%	1
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.9%	2

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	92.7%	38
Satisfactory	2.4%	1

Poor	2.4%	1
<i>No Opinion</i>	2.4%	1

Overall rating

Excellent	92.7%	38
Satisfactory	2.4%	1
Poor	2.4%	1
<i>No Opinion</i>	2.4%	1

Hon. Elizabeth Beach (Judge, Criminal District #1)

Follows the law

Excellent	38.6%	22
Satisfactory	42.1%	24
Poor	17.5%	10
<i>No Opinion</i>	1.8%	1

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	52.6%	30
Satisfactory	35.1%	20
Poor	8.8%	5
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.5%	2

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	28.1%	16
Satisfactory	38.6%	22
Poor	31.6%	18
<i>No Opinion</i>	1.8%	1

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	42.1%	24
Satisfactory	35.1%	20
Poor	19.3%	11
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.5%	2

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	50.9%	29
Satisfactory	28.1%	16
Poor	12.3%	7
<i>No Opinion</i>	8.8%	5

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	42.1%	24
Satisfactory	36.8%	21
Poor	19.3%	11
<i>No Opinion</i>	1.8%	1

Overall rating

Excellent	38.6%	22
Satisfactory	40.4%	23
Poor	19.3%	11
<i>No Opinion</i>	1.8%	1

Hon. Wayne Salvant (Judge, Criminal District #2)

Follows the law

Excellent	28.3%	17
Satisfactory	38.3%	23
Poor	30.0%	18
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.3%	2

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	28.3%	17
Satisfactory	53.3%	32
Poor	16.7%	10
<i>No Opinion</i>	1.7%	1

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	35.0%	21
Satisfactory	31.7%	19
Poor	28.3%	17
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.0%	3

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	31.7%	19
Satisfactory	38.3%	23
Poor	25.0%	15
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.0%	3

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	21.7%	13
Satisfactory	46.7%	28
Poor	28.3%	17
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.3%	2

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	23.3%	14
Satisfactory	51.7%	31
Poor	20.0%	12
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.0%	3

Overall rating

Excellent	26.7%	16
-----------	-------	----

Satisfactory	46.7%	28
Poor	23.3%	14
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.3%	2

Hon. Robb D. Catalano (Judge, Criminal District #3)

Follows the law

Excellent	57.4%	35
Satisfactory	31.1%	19
Poor	6.6%	4
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.9%	3

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	59.0%	36
Satisfactory	31.1%	19
Poor	4.9%	3
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.9%	3

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	50.8%	31
Satisfactory	36.1%	22
Poor	9.8%	6
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.3%	2

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	55.7%	34
Satisfactory	29.5%	18
Poor	9.8%	6
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.9%	3

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	54.1%	33
Satisfactory	29.5%	18
Poor	9.8%	6
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.6%	4

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	57.4%	35
Satisfactory	31.1%	19
Poor	4.9%	3
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.6%	4

Overall rating

Excellent	54.1%	33
Satisfactory	34.4%	21
Poor	8.2%	5

No Opinion

3.3%

2

Hon. Michael Thomas (Judge, Criminal District #4)

Follows the law

Excellent	49.2%	29
Satisfactory	37.3%	22
Poor	10.2%	6
No Opinion	3.4%	2

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	45.8%	27
Satisfactory	35.6%	21
Poor	16.9%	10
No Opinion	1.7%	1

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	49.2%	29
Satisfactory	28.8%	17
Poor	18.6%	11
No Opinion	3.4%	2

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	47.5%	28
Satisfactory	22.0%	13
Poor	27.1%	16
No Opinion	3.4%	2

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	47.5%	28
Satisfactory	35.6%	21
Poor	11.9%	7
No Opinion	5.1%	3

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	45.8%	27
Satisfactory	32.2%	19
Poor	20.3%	12
No Opinion	1.7%	1

Overall rating

Excellent	45.8%	27
Satisfactory	35.6%	21
Poor	16.9%	10
No Opinion	1.7%	1

Hon. David Hagerman (Judge, 297th District Court)

Follows the law

Excellent	40.7%	24
Satisfactory	32.2%	19
Poor	25.4%	15
<i>No Opinion</i>	1.7%	1

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	50.8%	30
Satisfactory	27.1%	16
Poor	18.6%	11
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.4%	2

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	35.6%	21
Satisfactory	33.9%	20
Poor	28.8%	17
<i>No Opinion</i>	1.7%	1

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	39.0%	23
Satisfactory	27.1%	16
Poor	33.9%	20

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	42.4%	25
Satisfactory	33.9%	20
Poor	18.6%	11
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.1%	3

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	44.1%	26
Satisfactory	30.5%	18
Poor	22.0%	13
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.4%	2

Overall rating

Excellent	40.7%	24
Satisfactory	30.5%	18
Poor	28.8%	17

Hon. Mollee Westfall (Judge, 371st District Court)

Follows the law

Excellent	50.0%	30
Satisfactory	31.7%	19
Poor	13.3%	8
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.0%	3

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	58.3%	35
Satisfactory	26.7%	16
Poor	10.0%	6
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.0%	3

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	35.0%	21
Satisfactory	31.7%	19
Poor	30.0%	18
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.3%	2

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	41.7%	25
Satisfactory	21.7%	13
Poor	33.3%	20
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.3%	2

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	56.7%	34
Satisfactory	23.3%	14
Poor	16.7%	10
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.3%	2

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	45.0%	27
Satisfactory	25.0%	15
Poor	26.7%	16
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.3%	2

Overall rating

Excellent	43.3%	26
Satisfactory	28.3%	17
Poor	25.0%	15
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.3%	2

Hon. Scott Wisch (Judge, 372nd District Court)

Follows the law

Excellent	75.0%	48
Satisfactory	14.1%	9

Poor	6.3%	4
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.7%	3

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	34.4%	22
Satisfactory	37.5%	24
Poor	23.4%	15
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.7%	3

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	68.8%	44
Satisfactory	18.8%	12
Poor	6.3%	4
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.3%	4

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	65.6%	42
Satisfactory	15.6%	10
Poor	14.1%	9
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.7%	3

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	65.6%	42
Satisfactory	18.8%	12
Poor	9.4%	6
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.3%	4

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	25.0%	16
Satisfactory	23.4%	15
Poor	43.8%	28
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.8%	5

Overall rating

Excellent	53.1%	34
Satisfactory	35.9%	23
Poor	9.4%	6
<i>No Opinion</i>	1.6%	1

Hon. George Gallagher (Judge, 396th District Court)

Follows the law

Excellent	81.4%	57
Satisfactory	8.6%	6
Poor	5.7%	4
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.3%	3

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	78.6%	55
Satisfactory	7.1%	5
Poor	7.1%	5
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.1%	5

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	77.1%	54
Satisfactory	8.6%	6
Poor	10.0%	7
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.3%	3

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	84.3%	59
Satisfactory	4.3%	3
Poor	7.1%	5
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.3%	3

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	78.6%	55
Satisfactory	8.6%	6
Poor	7.1%	5
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.7%	4

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	68.6%	48
Satisfactory	12.9%	9
Poor	5.7%	4
<i>No Opinion</i>	12.9%	9

Overall rating

Excellent	81.4%	57
Satisfactory	5.7%	4
Poor	8.6%	6
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.3%	3

Hon. Ruben Gonzalez (Judge, 432nd District Court)

Follows the law

Excellent	33.8%	22
Satisfactory	32.3%	21
Poor	29.2%	19
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.6%	3

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	29.2%	19
-----------	-------	----

Satisfactory	47.7%	31
Poor	16.9%	11
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.2%	4

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	32.3%	21
Satisfactory	32.3%	21
Poor	27.7%	18
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.7%	5

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	43.1%	28
Satisfactory	30.8%	20
Poor	23.1%	15
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.1%	2

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	43.1%	28
Satisfactory	40.0%	26
Poor	12.3%	8
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.6%	3

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	36.9%	24
Satisfactory	41.5%	27
Poor	13.8%	9
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.7%	5

Overall rating

Excellent	33.8%	22
Satisfactory	40.0%	26
Poor	20.0%	13
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.2%	4

Hon. Rainey Webb (Judge, Magistrate Court)

Follows the law

Excellent	44.4%	28
Satisfactory	34.9%	22
Poor	14.3%	9
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.3%	4

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	52.4%	33
Satisfactory	31.7%	20
Poor	11.1%	7

<i>No Opinion</i>	4.8%	3
-------------------	------	---

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	46.0%	29
Satisfactory	25.4%	16
Poor	20.6%	13
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.9%	5

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	46.0%	29
Satisfactory	30.2%	19
Poor	15.9%	10
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.9%	5

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	39.7%	25
Satisfactory	28.6%	18
Poor	9.5%	6
<i>No Opinion</i>	22.2%	14

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	38.1%	24
Satisfactory	27.0%	17
Poor	12.7%	8
<i>No Opinion</i>	22.2%	14

Overall rating

Excellent	47.6%	30
Satisfactory	31.7%	20
Poor	14.3%	9
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.3%	4

Hon. Timmie White (Judge, Magistrate Court)

Follows the law

Excellent	45.0%	27
Satisfactory	26.7%	16
Poor	23.3%	14
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.0%	3

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	51.7%	31
Satisfactory	26.7%	16
Poor	13.3%	8
<i>No Opinion</i>	8.3%	5

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	50.0%	30
Satisfactory	23.3%	14
Poor	21.7%	13
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.0%	3

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	56.7%	34
Satisfactory	25.0%	15
Poor	11.7%	7
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.7%	4

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	51.7%	31
Satisfactory	16.7%	10
Poor	18.3%	11
<i>No Opinion</i>	13.3%	8

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	46.7%	28
Satisfactory	18.3%	11
Poor	15.0%	9
<i>No Opinion</i>	20.0%	12

Overall rating

Excellent	48.3%	29
Satisfactory	30.0%	18
Poor	18.3%	11
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.3%	2

Hon. Sheila Wynn (Judge, Magistrate Court)

Follows the law

Excellent	75.0%	48
Satisfactory	15.6%	10
Poor	6.3%	4
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.1%	2

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	70.3%	45
Satisfactory	23.4%	15
Poor	3.1%	2
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.1%	2

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	64.1%	41
Satisfactory	25.0%	16

Poor	7.8%	5
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.1%	2

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	73.4%	47
Satisfactory	17.2%	11
Poor	6.3%	4
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.1%	2

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	62.5%	40
Satisfactory	25.0%	16
Poor	1.6%	1
<i>No Opinion</i>	10.9%	7

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	59.4%	38
Satisfactory	18.8%	12
Poor	4.7%	3
<i>No Opinion</i>	17.2%	11

Overall rating

Excellent	71.9%	46
Satisfactory	18.8%	12
Poor	6.3%	4
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.1%	2

Hon. Matt King (Judge, Magistrate Court)

Follows the law

Excellent	39.6%	21
Satisfactory	49.1%	26
Poor	9.4%	5
<i>No Opinion</i>	1.9%	1

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	49.1%	26
Satisfactory	34.0%	18
Poor	11.3%	6
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.7%	3

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	35.8%	19
Satisfactory	37.7%	20
Poor	24.5%	13
<i>No Opinion</i>	1.9%	1

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	37.7%	20
Satisfactory	32.1%	17
Poor	28.3%	15
<i>No Opinion</i>	1.9%	1

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	35.8%	19
Satisfactory	37.7%	20
Poor	5.7%	3
<i>No Opinion</i>	20.8%	11

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	34.0%	18
Satisfactory	37.7%	20
Poor	7.5%	4
<i>No Opinion</i>	20.8%	11

Overall rating

Excellent	39.6%	21
Satisfactory	43.4%	23
Poor	15.1%	8
<i>No Opinion</i>	1.9%	1

Hon. Tamla Ray (Judge, Magistrate Court)

Follows the law

Excellent	40.0%	16
Satisfactory	35.0%	14
Poor	5.0%	2
<i>No Opinion</i>	20.0%	8

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	42.5%	17
Satisfactory	30.0%	12
Poor	5.0%	2
<i>No Opinion</i>	22.5%	9

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	37.5%	15
Satisfactory	22.5%	9
Poor	17.5%	7
<i>No Opinion</i>	22.5%	9

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	37.5%	15
-----------	-------	----

Satisfactory	30.0%	12
Poor	10.0%	4
<i>No Opinion</i>	22.5%	9

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	37.5%	15
Satisfactory	27.5%	11
Poor	5.0%	2
<i>No Opinion</i>	30.0%	12

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	35.0%	14
Satisfactory	25.0%	10
Poor	5.0%	2
<i>No Opinion</i>	35.0%	14

Overall rating

Excellent	37.5%	15
Satisfactory	37.5%	15
Poor	5.0%	2
<i>No Opinion</i>	20.0%	8

Hon. Mark Thielman (Judge, Magistrate Court)

Follows the law

Excellent	56.4%	22
Satisfactory	28.2%	11
Poor	7.7%	3
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.7%	3

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	53.8%	21
Satisfactory	30.8%	12
Poor	7.7%	3
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.7%	3

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	46.2%	18
Satisfactory	38.5%	15
Poor	7.7%	3
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.7%	3

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	56.4%	22
Satisfactory	28.2%	11
Poor	7.7%	3

<i>No Opinion</i>	7.7%	3
-------------------	------	---

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	51.3%	20
Satisfactory	23.1%	9
Poor	5.1%	2
<i>No Opinion</i>	20.5%	8

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	43.6%	17
Satisfactory	25.6%	10
Poor	7.7%	3
<i>No Opinion</i>	23.1%	9

Overall rating

Excellent	48.7%	19
Satisfactory	33.3%	13
Poor	7.7%	3
<i>No Opinion</i>	10.3%	4

Hon. Don Pierson (Judge, County Court at Law #1)

Follows the law

Excellent	53.6%	82
Satisfactory	32.0%	49
Poor	9.2%	14
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.2%	8

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	47.7%	73
Satisfactory	29.4%	45
Poor	18.3%	28
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.6%	7

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	58.8%	90
Satisfactory	26.1%	40
Poor	9.8%	15
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.2%	8

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	62.1%	95
Satisfactory	20.3%	31
Poor	10.5%	16
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.2%	11

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	47.1%	72
Satisfactory	32.7%	50
Poor	13.1%	20
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.2%	11

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	52.9%	81
Satisfactory	29.4%	45
Poor	13.1%	20
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.6%	7

Overall rating

Excellent	54.2%	83
Satisfactory	31.4%	48
Poor	10.5%	16
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.9%	6

Hon. Jennifer Rymell (Judge, County Court at Law #2)

Follows the law

Excellent	61.3%	84
Satisfactory	31.4%	43
Poor	4.4%	6
<i>No Opinion</i>	2.9%	4

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	65.7%	90
Satisfactory	26.3%	36
Poor	5.1%	7
<i>No Opinion</i>	2.9%	4

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	70.8%	97
Satisfactory	20.4%	28
Poor	5.1%	7
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.6%	5

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	69.3%	95
Satisfactory	24.1%	33
Poor	2.2%	3
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.4%	6

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	59.9%	82
Satisfactory	29.9%	41

Poor	5.1%	7
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.1%	7

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	63.5%	87
Satisfactory	30.7%	42
Poor	2.2%	3
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.6%	5

Overall rating

Excellent	63.5%	87
Satisfactory	31.4%	43
Poor	2.2%	3
<i>No Opinion</i>	2.9%	4

Hon. Michael Hrabal (Judge, County Court at Law #3)

Follows the law

Excellent	77.4%	106
Satisfactory	12.4%	17
Poor	4.4%	6
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.8%	8

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	76.6%	105
Satisfactory	10.2%	14
Poor	6.6%	9
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.6%	9

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	78.1%	107
Satisfactory	14.6%	20
Poor	2.9%	4
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.4%	6

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	80.3%	110
Satisfactory	9.5%	13
Poor	2.9%	4
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.3%	10

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	74.5%	102
Satisfactory	13.9%	19
Poor	4.4%	6
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.3%	10

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	74.5%	102
Satisfactory	13.9%	19
Poor	5.1%	7
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.6%	9

Overall rating

Excellent	77.4%	106
Satisfactory	13.9%	19
Poor	4.4%	6
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.4%	6

Hon. David Cook (Judge, Criminal Court #1)

Follows the law

Excellent	43.2%	19
Satisfactory	29.5%	13
Poor	18.2%	8
<i>No Opinion</i>	9.1%	4

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	54.5%	24
Satisfactory	27.3%	12
Poor	6.8%	3
<i>No Opinion</i>	11.4%	5

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	52.3%	23
Satisfactory	27.3%	12
Poor	9.1%	4
<i>No Opinion</i>	11.4%	5

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	61.4%	27
Satisfactory	25.0%	11
Poor	4.5%	2
<i>No Opinion</i>	9.1%	4

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	47.7%	21
Satisfactory	34.1%	15
Poor	4.5%	2
<i>No Opinion</i>	13.6%	6

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	54.5%	24
-----------	-------	----

Satisfactory	27.3%	12
Poor	9.1%	4
<i>No Opinion</i>	9.1%	4

Overall rating

Excellent	50.0%	22
Satisfactory	31.8%	14
Poor	9.1%	4
<i>No Opinion</i>	9.1%	4

Hon. Carey F. Walker (Judge, Criminal Court #2)

Follows the law

Excellent	60.6%	40
Satisfactory	18.2%	12
Poor	13.6%	9
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.6%	5

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	69.7%	46
Satisfactory	13.6%	9
Poor	9.1%	6
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.6%	5

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	59.1%	39
Satisfactory	21.2%	14
Poor	12.1%	8
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.6%	5

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	65.2%	43
Satisfactory	13.6%	9
Poor	12.1%	8
<i>No Opinion</i>	9.1%	6

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	57.6%	38
Satisfactory	21.2%	14
Poor	7.6%	5
<i>No Opinion</i>	13.6%	9

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	68.2%	45
Satisfactory	15.2%	10
Poor	7.6%	5

<i>No Opinion</i>	9.1%	6
-------------------	------	---

Overall rating

Excellent	62.1%	41
Satisfactory	19.7%	13
Poor	7.6%	5
<i>No Opinion</i>	10.6%	7

Hon. Bob McCoy (Judge, Criminal Court #3)

Follows the law

Excellent	63.5%	40
Satisfactory	20.6%	13
Poor	6.3%	4
<i>No Opinion</i>	9.5%	6

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	61.9%	39
Satisfactory	28.6%	18
Poor	3.2%	2
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.3%	4

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	57.1%	36
Satisfactory	25.4%	16
Poor	9.5%	6
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.9%	5

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	66.7%	42
Satisfactory	20.6%	13
Poor	6.3%	4
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.3%	4

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	69.8%	44
Satisfactory	17.5%	11
Poor	4.8%	3
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.9%	5

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	63.5%	40
Satisfactory	20.6%	13
Poor	7.9%	5
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.9%	5

Overall rating

Excellent	66.7%	42
Satisfactory	19.0%	12
Poor	7.9%	5
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.3%	4

Hon. Deborah Nekhom (Judge, Criminal Court #4)

Follows the law

Excellent	44.2%	23
Satisfactory	32.7%	17
Poor	17.3%	9
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.8%	3

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	44.2%	23
Satisfactory	28.8%	15
Poor	19.2%	10
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.7%	4

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	34.6%	18
Satisfactory	26.9%	14
Poor	32.7%	17
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.8%	3

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	38.5%	20
Satisfactory	23.1%	12
Poor	32.7%	17
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.8%	3

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	38.5%	20
Satisfactory	36.5%	19
Poor	19.2%	10
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.8%	3

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	36.5%	19
Satisfactory	38.5%	20
Poor	17.3%	9
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.7%	4

Overall rating

Excellent	36.5%	19
Satisfactory	32.7%	17

Poor	25.0%	13
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.8%	3

Hon. Jamie Cummings (Judge, Criminal Court #5)

Follows the law

Excellent	63.5%	40
Satisfactory	22.2%	14
Poor	7.9%	5
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.3%	4

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	66.7%	42
Satisfactory	20.6%	13
Poor	6.3%	4
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.3%	4

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	65.1%	41
Satisfactory	22.2%	14
Poor	6.3%	4
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.3%	4

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	68.3%	43
Satisfactory	20.6%	13
Poor	6.3%	4
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.8%	3

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	68.3%	43
Satisfactory	17.5%	11
Poor	3.2%	2
<i>No Opinion</i>	11.1%	7

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	71.4%	45
Satisfactory	14.3%	9
Poor	9.5%	6
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.8%	3

Overall rating

Excellent	71.4%	45
Satisfactory	15.9%	10
Poor	7.9%	5
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.8%	3

Hon. Molly Jones (Judge, Criminal Court #6)

Follows the law

Excellent	57.1%	28
Satisfactory	24.5%	12
Poor	10.2%	5
<i>No Opinion</i>	8.2%	4

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	63.3%	31
Satisfactory	20.4%	10
Poor	8.2%	4
<i>No Opinion</i>	8.2%	4

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	57.1%	28
Satisfactory	24.5%	12
Poor	12.2%	6
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.1%	3

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	61.2%	30
Satisfactory	18.4%	9
Poor	14.3%	7
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.1%	3

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	57.1%	28
Satisfactory	16.3%	8
Poor	12.2%	6
<i>No Opinion</i>	14.3%	7

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	59.2%	29
Satisfactory	20.4%	10
Poor	10.2%	5
<i>No Opinion</i>	10.2%	5

Overall rating

Excellent	53.1%	26
Satisfactory	28.6%	14
Poor	12.2%	6
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.1%	3

Hon. Cheril Hardy (Judge, Criminal Court #7)

Follows the law

Excellent	49.1%	26
Satisfactory	28.3%	15
Poor	17.0%	9
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.7%	3

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	49.1%	26
Satisfactory	26.4%	14
Poor	18.9%	10
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.7%	3

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	60.4%	32
Satisfactory	18.9%	10
Poor	15.1%	8
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.7%	3

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	67.9%	36
Satisfactory	17.0%	9
Poor	7.5%	4
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.5%	4

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	41.5%	22
Satisfactory	30.2%	16
Poor	18.9%	10
<i>No Opinion</i>	9.4%	5

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	43.4%	23
Satisfactory	28.3%	15
Poor	22.6%	12
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.7%	3

Overall rating

Excellent	50.9%	27
Satisfactory	28.3%	15
Poor	15.1%	8
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.7%	3

Hon. Charles Vanover (Judge, Criminal Court #8)

Follows the law

Excellent	73.1%	38
Satisfactory	17.3%	9
Poor	3.8%	2
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.8%	3

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	71.2%	37
Satisfactory	19.2%	10
Poor	3.8%	2
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.8%	3

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	65.4%	34
Satisfactory	21.2%	11
Poor	7.7%	4
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.8%	3

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	75.0%	39
Satisfactory	11.5%	6
Poor	5.8%	3
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.7%	4

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	71.2%	37
Satisfactory	19.2%	10
Poor	3.8%	2
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.8%	3

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	75.0%	39
Satisfactory	15.4%	8
Poor	3.8%	2
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.8%	3

Overall rating

Excellent	71.2%	37
Satisfactory	17.3%	9
Poor	5.8%	3
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.8%	3

Hon. Brent Carr (Judge, Criminal Court #9)**Follows the law**

Excellent	63.5%	40
-----------	-------	----

Satisfactory	23.8%	15
Poor	4.8%	3
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.9%	5

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	71.4%	45
Satisfactory	17.5%	11
Poor	3.2%	2
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.9%	5

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	69.8%	44
Satisfactory	17.5%	11
Poor	4.8%	3
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.9%	5

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	69.8%	44
Satisfactory	15.9%	10
Poor	3.2%	2
<i>No Opinion</i>	11.1%	7

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	73.0%	46
Satisfactory	12.7%	8
Poor	3.2%	2
<i>No Opinion</i>	11.1%	7

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	69.8%	44
Satisfactory	12.7%	8
Poor	7.9%	5
<i>No Opinion</i>	9.5%	6

Overall rating

Excellent	66.7%	42
Satisfactory	23.8%	15
Poor	3.2%	2
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.3%	4

Hon. Phil Sorrells (Judge, Criminal Court #10)

Follows the law

Excellent	40.8%	20
Satisfactory	38.8%	19
Poor	16.3%	8

<i>No Opinion</i>	4.1%	2
Rules decisively and timely		
Excellent	53.1%	26
Satisfactory	28.6%	14
Poor	14.3%	7
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.1%	2
Demonstrates fairness and impartiality		
Excellent	38.8%	19
Satisfactory	28.6%	14
Poor	26.5%	13
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.1%	3
Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants		
Excellent	22.4%	11
Satisfactory	40.8%	20
Poor	26.5%	13
<i>No Opinion</i>	10.2%	5
Prepares for hearings and trials		
Excellent	30.6%	15
Satisfactory	42.9%	21
Poor	16.3%	8
<i>No Opinion</i>	10.2%	5
Runs an appropriately efficient docket		
Excellent	44.9%	22
Satisfactory	34.7%	17
Poor	14.3%	7
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.1%	3
Overall rating		
Excellent	34.7%	17
Satisfactory	40.8%	20
Poor	20.4%	10
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.1%	2

Hon. G. Quentin McGown (Associate Judge, Probate Court)

Follows the law		
Excellent	86.2%	56
Satisfactory	7.7%	5
Poor	4.6%	3
<i>No Opinion</i>	1.5%	1

Rules decisively and timely		
------------------------------------	--	--

Excellent	76.9%	50
Satisfactory	15.4%	10
Poor	4.6%	3
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.1%	2

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	84.6%	55
Satisfactory	9.2%	6
Poor	4.6%	3
<i>No Opinion</i>	1.5%	1

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	80.0%	52
Satisfactory	6.2%	4
Poor	4.6%	3
<i>No Opinion</i>	9.2%	6

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	78.5%	51
Satisfactory	10.8%	7
Poor	4.6%	3
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.2%	4

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	72.3%	47
Satisfactory	13.8%	9
Poor	4.6%	3
<i>No Opinion</i>	9.2%	6

Overall rating

Excellent	80.0%	52
Satisfactory	12.3%	8
Poor	3.1%	2
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.6%	3

Hon. Brooke Allen (Judge, Probate Court #2)

Follows the law

Excellent	37.9%	50
Satisfactory	28.0%	37
Poor	32.6%	43
<i>No Opinion</i>	1.5%	2

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	41.7%	55
Satisfactory	28.8%	38

Poor	27.3%	36
<i>No Opinion</i>	2.3%	3

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	37.9%	50
Satisfactory	27.3%	36
Poor	33.3%	44
<i>No Opinion</i>	1.5%	2

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	40.2%	53
Satisfactory	22.0%	29
Poor	35.6%	47
<i>No Opinion</i>	2.3%	3

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	46.2%	61
Satisfactory	25.8%	34
Poor	22.7%	30
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.3%	7

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	43.9%	58
Satisfactory	28.8%	38
Poor	24.2%	32
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.0%	4

Overall rating

Excellent	33.3%	44
Satisfactory	31.1%	41
Poor	33.3%	44
<i>No Opinion</i>	2.3%	3

Hon. Lynn Kelly (Associate Judge, Probate Court #2)

Follows the law

Excellent	57.8%	48
Satisfactory	32.5%	27
Poor	7.2%	6
<i>No Opinion</i>	2.4%	2

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	61.4%	51
Satisfactory	27.7%	23
Poor	7.2%	6
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.6%	3

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	65.1%	54
Satisfactory	25.3%	21
Poor	6.0%	5
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.6%	3

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	63.9%	53
Satisfactory	24.1%	20
Poor	4.8%	4
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.2%	6

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	57.8%	48
Satisfactory	31.3%	26
Poor	4.8%	4
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.0%	5

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	60.2%	50
Satisfactory	26.5%	22
Poor	6.0%	5
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.2%	6

Overall rating

Excellent	57.8%	48
Satisfactory	33.7%	28
Poor	7.2%	6
<i>No Opinion</i>	1.2%	1

Hon. John McBryde (Senior Judge, U.S. District Court, No

Follows the law

Excellent	53.7%	51
Satisfactory	24.2%	23
Poor	21.1%	20
<i>No Opinion</i>	1.1%	1

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	73.7%	70
Satisfactory	12.6%	12
Poor	12.6%	12
<i>No Opinion</i>	1.1%	1

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	27.4%	26
-----------	-------	----

Satisfactory	23.2%	22
Poor	47.4%	45
<i>No Opinion</i>	2.1%	2

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	14.7%	14
Satisfactory	27.4%	26
Poor	53.7%	51
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.2%	4

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	64.2%	61
Satisfactory	17.9%	17
Poor	12.6%	12
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.3%	5

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	50.5%	48
Satisfactory	25.3%	24
Poor	18.9%	18
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.3%	5

Overall rating

Excellent	30.5%	29
Satisfactory	29.5%	28
Poor	38.9%	37
<i>No Opinion</i>	1.1%	1

Hon. Reed O'Connor (Judge, U.S. District Court, Northern

Follows the law

Excellent	63.5%	47
Satisfactory	21.6%	16
Poor	10.8%	8
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.1%	3

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	64.9%	48
Satisfactory	25.7%	19
Poor	8.1%	6
<i>No Opinion</i>	1.4%	1

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	63.5%	47
Satisfactory	21.6%	16
Poor	12.2%	9

<i>No Opinion</i>	2.7%	2
-------------------	------	---

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	66.2%	49
Satisfactory	17.6%	13
Poor	9.5%	7
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.8%	5

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	67.6%	50
Satisfactory	16.2%	12
Poor	5.4%	4
<i>No Opinion</i>	10.8%	8

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	64.9%	48
Satisfactory	24.3%	18
Poor	6.8%	5
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.1%	3

Overall rating

Excellent	67.6%	50
Satisfactory	18.9%	14
Poor	12.2%	9
<i>No Opinion</i>	1.4%	1

Hon. Terry Means (Senior Judge, U.S. District Court, North Carolina)

Follows the law

Excellent	84.4%	65
Satisfactory	9.1%	7
Poor	1.3%	1
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.2%	4

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	63.6%	49
Satisfactory	24.7%	19
Poor	9.1%	7
<i>No Opinion</i>	2.6%	2

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	89.6%	69
Satisfactory	5.2%	4
Poor	2.6%	2
<i>No Opinion</i>	2.6%	2

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	88.3%	68
Satisfactory	6.5%	5
Poor	1.3%	1
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.9%	3

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	85.7%	66
Satisfactory	7.8%	6
Poor	1.3%	1
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.2%	4

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	70.1%	54
Satisfactory	19.5%	15
Poor	3.9%	3
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.5%	5

Overall rating

Excellent	79.2%	61
Satisfactory	15.6%	12
Poor	1.3%	1
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.9%	3

Hon. Jeff Cureton (Judge, U.S. Magistrate Court, Northern

Follows the law

Excellent	83.0%	39
Satisfactory	4.3%	2
Poor	4.3%	2
<i>No Opinion</i>	8.5%	4

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	78.7%	37
Satisfactory	8.5%	4
Poor	4.3%	2
<i>No Opinion</i>	8.5%	4

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	83.0%	39
Satisfactory	8.5%	4
Poor	4.3%	2
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.3%	2

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	80.9%	38
Satisfactory	8.5%	4

Poor	4.3%	2
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.4%	3

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	80.9%	38
Satisfactory	10.6%	5
Poor	4.3%	2
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.3%	2

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	78.7%	37
Satisfactory	6.4%	3
Poor	4.3%	2
<i>No Opinion</i>	10.6%	5

Overall rating

Excellent	83.0%	39
Satisfactory	8.5%	4
Poor	4.3%	2
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.3%	2

Hon. Mark Mullin (Bankruptcy Judge, U.S. District Court, |

Follows the law

Excellent	90.9%	20
Satisfactory	4.5%	1
Poor	4.5%	1

Rules decisively and timely

Excellent	86.4%	19
Satisfactory	9.1%	2
Poor	4.5%	1

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	86.4%	19
Satisfactory	4.5%	1
Poor	4.5%	1
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.5%	1

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys and litigants

Excellent	90.9%	20
Satisfactory	0.0%	0
Poor	4.5%	1
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.5%	1

Prepares for hearings and trials

Excellent	86.4%	19
-----------	-------	----

Satisfactory	4.5%	1
Poor	4.5%	1
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.5%	1

Runs an appropriately efficient docket

Excellent	81.8%	18
Satisfactory	9.1%	2
Poor	4.5%	1
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.5%	1

Overall rating

Excellent	90.9%	20
Satisfactory	4.5%	1
Poor	4.5%	1

Hon. Bonnie Sudderth (Chief Justice, Second Court of App

Applies the law thoroughly and properly

Excellent	61.5%	64
Satisfactory	24.0%	25
Poor	9.6%	10
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.8%	5

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	70.2%	73
Satisfactory	18.3%	19
Poor	6.7%	7
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.8%	5

Writes clear opinions

Excellent	60.6%	63
Satisfactory	23.1%	24
Poor	10.6%	11
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.8%	6

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys at oral argument

Excellent	72.1%	75
Satisfactory	12.5%	13
Poor	6.7%	7
<i>No Opinion</i>	8.7%	9

Prepares for oral argument

Excellent	67.3%	70
Satisfactory	15.4%	16
Poor	5.8%	6
<i>No Opinion</i>	11.5%	12

Overall Rating

Excellent	61.5%	64
Satisfactory	23.1%	24
Poor	8.7%	9
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.7%	7

Hon. Lee Gabriel (Justice, Second Court of Appeals)

Applies the law thoroughly and properly

Excellent	54.1%	46
Satisfactory	31.8%	27
Poor	10.6%	9
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.5%	3

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	56.5%	48
Satisfactory	31.8%	27
Poor	8.2%	7
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.5%	3

Writes clear opinions

Excellent	52.9%	45
Satisfactory	28.2%	24
Poor	9.4%	8
<i>No Opinion</i>	9.4%	8

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys at oral argument

Excellent	60.0%	51
Satisfactory	22.4%	19
Poor	8.2%	7
<i>No Opinion</i>	9.4%	8

Prepares for oral argument

Excellent	60.0%	51
Satisfactory	22.4%	19
Poor	4.7%	4
<i>No Opinion</i>	12.9%	11

Overall Rating

Excellent	52.9%	45
Satisfactory	34.1%	29
Poor	8.2%	7
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.7%	4

Hon. Elizabeth Kerr (Justice, Second Court of Appeals)

Applies the law thoroughly and properly

Excellent	61.4%	43
Satisfactory	28.6%	20
Poor	5.7%	4
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.3%	3

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	64.3%	45
Satisfactory	25.7%	18
Poor	5.7%	4
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.3%	3

Writes clear opinions

Excellent	58.6%	41
Satisfactory	28.6%	20
Poor	7.1%	5
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.7%	4

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys at oral argument

Excellent	65.7%	46
Satisfactory	18.6%	13
Poor	7.1%	5
<i>No Opinion</i>	8.6%	6

Prepares for oral argument

Excellent	67.1%	47
Satisfactory	17.1%	12
Poor	5.7%	4
<i>No Opinion</i>	10.0%	7

Overall Rating

Excellent	61.4%	43
Satisfactory	25.7%	18
Poor	7.1%	5
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.7%	4

Hon. Mark Pittman (Justice, Second Court of Appeals)

Applies the law thoroughly and properly

Excellent	56.3%	45
Satisfactory	22.5%	18
Poor	13.8%	11
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.5%	6

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	56.3%	45
Satisfactory	18.8%	15
Poor	16.3%	13
<i>No Opinion</i>	8.8%	7

Writes clear opinions

Excellent	56.3%	45
Satisfactory	21.3%	17
Poor	13.8%	11
<i>No Opinion</i>	8.8%	7

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys at oral argument

Excellent	51.3%	41
Satisfactory	25.0%	20
Poor	12.5%	10
<i>No Opinion</i>	11.3%	9

Prepares for oral argument

Excellent	58.8%	47
Satisfactory	17.5%	14
Poor	8.8%	7
<i>No Opinion</i>	15.0%	12

Overall Rating

Excellent	51.3%	41
Satisfactory	28.8%	23
Poor	12.5%	10
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.5%	6

Hon. J. Wade Birdwell (Justice, Second Court of Appeals)

Applies the law thoroughly and properly

Excellent	39.8%	33
Satisfactory	36.1%	30
Poor	19.3%	16
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.8%	4

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	44.6%	37
Satisfactory	36.1%	30
Poor	16.9%	14
<i>No Opinion</i>	2.4%	2

Writes clear opinions

Excellent	32.5%	27
-----------	-------	----

Satisfactory	41.0%	34
Poor	18.1%	15
<i>No Opinion</i>	8.4%	7

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys at oral argument

Excellent	37.3%	31
Satisfactory	33.7%	28
Poor	21.7%	18
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.2%	6

Prepares for oral argument

Excellent	43.4%	36
Satisfactory	34.9%	29
Poor	12.0%	10
<i>No Opinion</i>	9.6%	8

Overall Rating

Excellent	36.1%	30
Satisfactory	41.0%	34
Poor	18.1%	15
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.8%	4

Hon. Nathan L. Hecht (Chief Justice, Supreme Court of Te

Applies the law thoroughly and properly

Excellent	47.5%	19
Satisfactory	10.0%	4
Poor	40.0%	16
<i>No Opinion</i>	2.5%	1

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	42.5%	17
Satisfactory	20.0%	8
Poor	35.0%	14
<i>No Opinion</i>	2.5%	1

Writes clear opinions

Excellent	45.0%	18
Satisfactory	20.0%	8
Poor	30.0%	12
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.0%	2

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys at oral argument

Excellent	52.5%	21
Satisfactory	17.5%	7
Poor	22.5%	9

<i>No Opinion</i>	7.5%	3
-------------------	------	---

Prepares for oral argument

Excellent	57.5%	23
Satisfactory	10.0%	4
Poor	22.5%	9
<i>No Opinion</i>	10.0%	4

Overall Rating

Excellent	40.0%	16
Satisfactory	17.5%	7
Poor	32.5%	13
<i>No Opinion</i>	10.0%	4

Hon. Paul W. Green (Justice, Supreme Court of Texas)

Applies the law thoroughly and properly

Excellent	32.4%	11
Satisfactory	26.5%	9
Poor	29.4%	10
<i>No Opinion</i>	11.8%	4

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	35.3%	12
Satisfactory	20.6%	7
Poor	29.4%	10
<i>No Opinion</i>	14.7%	5

Writes clear opinions

Excellent	35.3%	12
Satisfactory	20.6%	7
Poor	23.5%	8
<i>No Opinion</i>	20.6%	7

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys at oral argument

Excellent	50.0%	17
Satisfactory	11.8%	4
Poor	20.6%	7
<i>No Opinion</i>	17.6%	6

Prepares for oral argument

Excellent	44.1%	15
Satisfactory	11.8%	4
Poor	20.6%	7
<i>No Opinion</i>	23.5%	8

Overall Rating

Excellent	35.3%	12
Satisfactory	11.8%	4
Poor	29.4%	10
<i>No Opinion</i>	23.5%	8

Hon. Eva M. Guzman (Justice, Supreme Court of Texas)

Applies the law thoroughly and properly

Excellent	39.5%	15
Satisfactory	26.3%	10
Poor	26.3%	10
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.9%	3

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	44.7%	17
Satisfactory	26.3%	10
Poor	23.7%	9
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.3%	2

Writes clear opinions

Excellent	39.5%	15
Satisfactory	28.9%	11
Poor	21.1%	8
<i>No Opinion</i>	10.5%	4

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys at oral argument

Excellent	50.0%	19
Satisfactory	21.1%	8
Poor	13.2%	5
<i>No Opinion</i>	15.8%	6

Prepares for oral argument

Excellent	47.4%	18
Satisfactory	18.4%	7
Poor	15.8%	6
<i>No Opinion</i>	18.4%	7

Overall Rating

Excellent	39.5%	15
Satisfactory	28.9%	11
Poor	21.1%	8
<i>No Opinion</i>	10.5%	4

Hon. Debra H. Lehrmann (Justice, Supreme Court of Texa

Applies the law thoroughly and properly

Excellent	44.4%	20
Satisfactory	24.4%	11
Poor	22.2%	10
<i>No Opinion</i>	8.9%	4

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	44.4%	20
Satisfactory	28.9%	13
Poor	17.8%	8
<i>No Opinion</i>	8.9%	4

Writes clear opinions

Excellent	40.0%	18
Satisfactory	33.3%	15
Poor	15.6%	7
<i>No Opinion</i>	11.1%	5

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys at oral argument

Excellent	46.7%	21
Satisfactory	33.3%	15
Poor	11.1%	5
<i>No Opinion</i>	8.9%	4

Prepares for oral argument

Excellent	46.7%	21
Satisfactory	28.9%	13
Poor	13.3%	6
<i>No Opinion</i>	11.1%	5

Overall Rating

Excellent	46.7%	21
Satisfactory	26.7%	12
Poor	17.8%	8
<i>No Opinion</i>	8.9%	4

Hon. Jeffrey S. Boyd (Justice, Supreme Court of Texas)

Applies the law thoroughly and properly

Excellent	46.9%	15
Satisfactory	21.9%	7
Poor	25.0%	8
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.3%	2

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	50.0%	16
-----------	-------	----

Satisfactory	21.9%	7
Poor	21.9%	7
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.3%	2

Writes clear opinions

Excellent	43.8%	14
Satisfactory	31.3%	10
Poor	18.8%	6
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.3%	2

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys at oral argument

Excellent	53.1%	17
Satisfactory	18.8%	6
Poor	15.6%	5
<i>No Opinion</i>	12.5%	4

Prepares for oral argument

Excellent	50.0%	16
Satisfactory	25.0%	8
Poor	15.6%	5
<i>No Opinion</i>	9.4%	3

Overall Rating

Excellent	46.9%	15
Satisfactory	21.9%	7
Poor	21.9%	7
<i>No Opinion</i>	9.4%	3

Hon. John P. Devine (Justice, Supreme Court of Texas)

Applies the law thoroughly and properly

Excellent	25.0%	7
Satisfactory	32.1%	9
Poor	28.6%	8
<i>No Opinion</i>	14.3%	4

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	25.0%	7
Satisfactory	32.1%	9
Poor	25.0%	7
<i>No Opinion</i>	17.9%	5

Writes clear opinions

Excellent	28.6%	8
Satisfactory	35.7%	10
Poor	14.3%	4

<i>No Opinion</i>	21.4%	6
-------------------	-------	---

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys at oral argument

Excellent	28.6%	8
Satisfactory	21.4%	6
Poor	21.4%	6
<i>No Opinion</i>	28.6%	8

Prepares for oral argument

Excellent	32.1%	9
Satisfactory	25.0%	7
Poor	21.4%	6
<i>No Opinion</i>	21.4%	6

Overall Rating

Excellent	28.6%	8
Satisfactory	25.0%	7
Poor	28.6%	8
<i>No Opinion</i>	17.9%	5

Hon. Jeffrey V. Brown (Justice, Supreme Court of Texas)

Applies the law thoroughly and properly

Excellent	44.8%	13
Satisfactory	20.7%	6
Poor	24.1%	7
<i>No Opinion</i>	10.3%	3

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	48.3%	14
Satisfactory	17.2%	5
Poor	17.2%	5
<i>No Opinion</i>	17.2%	5

Writes clear opinions

Excellent	44.8%	13
Satisfactory	24.1%	7
Poor	17.2%	5
<i>No Opinion</i>	13.8%	4

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys at oral argument

Excellent	51.7%	15
Satisfactory	17.2%	5
Poor	17.2%	5
<i>No Opinion</i>	13.8%	4

Prepares for oral argument

Excellent	48.3%	14
Satisfactory	17.2%	5
Poor	13.8%	4
<i>No Opinion</i>	20.7%	6

Overall Rating

Excellent	44.8%	13
Satisfactory	13.8%	4
Poor	24.1%	7
<i>No Opinion</i>	17.2%	5

Hon. Jimmy Blacklock (Justice, Supreme Court of Texas)

Applies the law thoroughly and properly

Excellent	24.0%	6
Satisfactory	20.0%	5
Poor	24.0%	6
<i>No Opinion</i>	32.0%	8

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	24.0%	6
Satisfactory	20.0%	5
Poor	28.0%	7
<i>No Opinion</i>	28.0%	7

Writes clear opinions

Excellent	24.0%	6
Satisfactory	24.0%	6
Poor	16.0%	4
<i>No Opinion</i>	36.0%	9

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys at oral argument

Excellent	32.0%	8
Satisfactory	20.0%	5
Poor	16.0%	4
<i>No Opinion</i>	32.0%	8

Prepares for oral argument

Excellent	32.0%	8
Satisfactory	20.0%	5
Poor	16.0%	4
<i>No Opinion</i>	32.0%	8

Overall Rating

Excellent	24.0%	6
Satisfactory	20.0%	5

Poor	28.0%	7
<i>No Opinion</i>	28.0%	7

Hon. Sharon Keller (Presiding Judge, Court of Criminal Ap

Applies the law thoroughly and properly

Excellent	35.7%	5
Satisfactory	7.1%	1
Poor	50.0%	7
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.1%	1

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	35.7%	5
Satisfactory	0.0%	0
Poor	57.1%	8
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.1%	1

Writes clear opinions

Excellent	35.7%	5
Satisfactory	14.3%	2
Poor	42.9%	6
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.1%	1

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys at oral argument

Excellent	35.7%	5
Satisfactory	21.4%	3
Poor	28.6%	4
<i>No Opinion</i>	14.3%	2

Prepares for oral argument

Excellent	35.7%	5
Satisfactory	21.4%	3
Poor	28.6%	4
<i>No Opinion</i>	14.3%	2

Overall Rating

Excellent	35.7%	5
Satisfactory	7.1%	1
Poor	50.0%	7
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.1%	1

Hon. Mary Lou Keel (Judge, Court of Criminal Appeals)

Applies the law thoroughly and properly

Excellent	33.3%	2
-----------	-------	---

Satisfactory	50.0%	3
Poor	16.7%	1

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	33.3%	2
Satisfactory	50.0%	3
Poor	16.7%	1

Writes clear opinions

Excellent	33.3%	2
Satisfactory	50.0%	3
Poor	16.7%	1

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys at oral argument

Excellent	33.3%	2
Satisfactory	50.0%	3
Poor	16.7%	1

Prepares for oral argument

Excellent	33.3%	2
Satisfactory	50.0%	3
Poor	16.7%	1

Overall Rating

Excellent	33.3%	2
Satisfactory	50.0%	3
Poor	16.7%	1

Hon. Bert Richardson (Judge, Court of Criminal Appeals)

Applies the law thoroughly and properly

Excellent	20.0%	1
Satisfactory	60.0%	3
Poor	20.0%	1

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	40.0%	2
Satisfactory	40.0%	2
Poor	20.0%	1

Writes clear opinions

Excellent	20.0%	1
Satisfactory	60.0%	3
Poor	20.0%	1

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys at oral argument

Excellent	20.0%	1
-----------	-------	---

Satisfactory	60.0%	3
Poor	20.0%	1

Prepares for oral argument

Excellent	20.0%	1
Satisfactory	60.0%	3
Poor	20.0%	1

Overall Rating

Excellent	20.0%	1
Satisfactory	60.0%	3
Poor	20.0%	1

Hon. Kevin Yeary (Judge, Court of Criminal Appeals)

Applies the law thoroughly and properly

Excellent	40.0%	2
Satisfactory	40.0%	2
Poor	20.0%	1

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	60.0%	3
Satisfactory	0.0%	0
Poor	40.0%	2

Writes clear opinions

Excellent	40.0%	2
Satisfactory	20.0%	1
Poor	40.0%	2

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys at oral argument

Excellent	40.0%	2
Satisfactory	40.0%	2
Poor	20.0%	1

Prepares for oral argument

Excellent	40.0%	2
Satisfactory	40.0%	2
Poor	20.0%	1

Overall Rating

Excellent	40.0%	2
Satisfactory	40.0%	2
Poor	20.0%	1

Hon. Scott Walker (Judge, Court of Criminal Appeals)

Applies the law thoroughly and properly

Excellent	33.3%	3
Satisfactory	33.3%	3
Poor	22.2%	2
<i>No Opinion</i>	11.1%	1

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	22.2%	2
Satisfactory	33.3%	3
Poor	22.2%	2
<i>No Opinion</i>	22.2%	2

Writes clear opinions

Excellent	33.3%	3
Satisfactory	33.3%	3
Poor	22.2%	2
<i>No Opinion</i>	11.1%	1

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys at oral argument

Excellent	44.4%	4
Satisfactory	22.2%	2
Poor	22.2%	2
<i>No Opinion</i>	11.1%	1

Prepares for oral argument

Excellent	33.3%	3
Satisfactory	33.3%	3
Poor	22.2%	2
<i>No Opinion</i>	11.1%	1

Overall Rating

Excellent	33.3%	3
Satisfactory	33.3%	3
Poor	22.2%	2
<i>No Opinion</i>	11.1%	1

Hon. Michael E. Keasler (Judge, Court of Criminal Appeals)

Applies the law thoroughly and properly

Excellent	44.4%	4
Satisfactory	11.1%	1
Poor	44.4%	4

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	44.4%	4
Satisfactory	11.1%	1
Poor	44.4%	4

Writes clear opinions

Excellent	44.4%	4
Satisfactory	11.1%	1
Poor	44.4%	4

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys at oral argument

Excellent	33.3%	3
Satisfactory	33.3%	3
Poor	33.3%	3

Prepares for oral argument

Excellent	44.4%	4
Satisfactory	22.2%	2
Poor	33.3%	3

Overall Rating

Excellent	44.4%	4
Satisfactory	11.1%	1
Poor	44.4%	4

Hon. Barbara Hervey (Judge, Court of Criminal Appeals)

Applies the law thoroughly and properly

Excellent	18.2%	2
Satisfactory	45.5%	5
Poor	27.3%	3
<i>No Opinion</i>	9.1%	1

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	27.3%	3
Satisfactory	36.4%	4
Poor	27.3%	3
<i>No Opinion</i>	9.1%	1

Writes clear opinions

Excellent	18.2%	2
Satisfactory	45.5%	5
Poor	27.3%	3
<i>No Opinion</i>	9.1%	1

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys at oral argument

Excellent	18.2%	2
Satisfactory	45.5%	5
Poor	18.2%	2
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>18.2%</i>	<i>2</i>

Prepares for oral argument

Excellent	18.2%	2
Satisfactory	45.5%	5
Poor	27.3%	3
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>9.1%</i>	<i>1</i>

Overall Rating

Excellent	9.1%	1
Satisfactory	54.5%	6
Poor	18.2%	2
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>18.2%</i>	<i>2</i>

Hon. David Newell (Judge, Court of Criminal Appeals)

Applies the law thoroughly and properly

Excellent	50.0%	3
Satisfactory	0.0%	0
Poor	50.0%	3

Demonstrates fairness and impartiality

Excellent	50.0%	3
Satisfactory	0.0%	0
Poor	50.0%	3

Writes clear opinions

Excellent	50.0%	3
Satisfactory	0.0%	0
Poor	50.0%	3

Interacts attentively and respectfully with attorneys at oral argument

Excellent	50.0%	3
Satisfactory	16.7%	1
Poor	33.3%	2

Prepares for oral argument

Excellent	50.0%	3
Satisfactory	16.7%	1
Poor	33.3%	2

Overall Rating

Excellent	50.0%	3
Satisfactory	0.0%	0

Poor

50.0%

3
