

## Supreme Court of Texas

Jimmy Blacklock

138 votes

### **Opinions demonstrate well-reasoned, clearly-written disposition of the case**

Excellent	32.6%	45
Very Good	17.4%	24
Satisfactory	15.9%	22
Needs Improvement	26.8%	37
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.2%	10

### **Interaction with counsel during oral argument is attentive and constructive**

Excellent	31.2%	43
Very Good	13.8%	19
Satisfactory	15.2%	21
Needs Improvement	13.8%	19
<i>No Opinion</i>	26.1%	36

### **Determines legal issues impartially and based on thorough and proper application of the law to the record**

Excellent	34.1%	47
Very Good	11.6%	16
Satisfactory	13.8%	19
Needs Improvement	33.3%	46
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.2%	10

### **Works hard and is prepared**

Excellent	36.2%	50
Very Good	14.5%	20
Satisfactory	16.7%	23
Needs Improvement	18.1%	25
<i>No Opinion</i>	14.5%	20

### **Overall rating**

Excellent	34.8%	48
Very Good	15.2%	21
Satisfactory	15.2%	21
Needs Improvement	29.0%	40
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.8%	8

Jeffrey S. Boyd

214 votes

**Opinions demonstrate well-reasoned, clearly-written disposition of the case**

Excellent	42.5%	91
Very Good	22.0%	47
Satisfactory	11.7%	25
Needs Improvement	15.4%	33
<i>No Opinion</i>	8.4%	18

**Interaction with counsel during oral argument is attentive and constructive**

Excellent	37.9%	81
Very Good	18.2%	39
Satisfactory	11.2%	24
Needs Improvement	8.9%	19
<i>No Opinion</i>	23.8%	51

**Determines legal issues impartially and based on thorough and proper application of the law to the record**

Excellent	40.2%	86
Very Good	18.7%	40
Satisfactory	12.1%	26
Needs Improvement	19.6%	42
<i>No Opinion</i>	9.3%	20

**Works hard and is prepared**

Excellent	46.3%	99
Very Good	15.9%	34
Satisfactory	13.6%	29
Needs Improvement	10.3%	22
<i>No Opinion</i>	14.0%	30

**Overall rating**

Excellent	45.8%	98
Very Good	18.7%	40
Satisfactory	13.6%	29
Needs Improvement	15.4%	33
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.5%	14

J. Brett Busby

412 votes

**Opinions demonstrate well-reasoned, clearly-written disposition of the case**

Excellent	68.9%	284
Very Good	12.6%	52
Satisfactory	5.1%	21
Needs Improvement	7.3%	30
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.1%	25

**Interaction with counsel during oral argument is attentive and constructive**

Excellent	60.7%	250
Very Good	11.4%	47
Satisfactory	4.9%	20
Needs Improvement	5.3%	22
<i>No Opinion</i>	17.7%	73

**Determines legal issues impartially and based on thorough and proper application of the law to the record**

Excellent	63.3%	261
Very Good	15.3%	63
Satisfactory	5.1%	21
Needs Improvement	9.5%	39
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.8%	28

**Works hard and is prepared**

Excellent	72.1%	297
Very Good	10.2%	42
Satisfactory	4.4%	18
Needs Improvement	5.1%	21
<i>No Opinion</i>	8.3%	34

**Overall rating**

Excellent	69.4%	286
Very Good	12.6%	52
Satisfactory	5.3%	22
Needs Improvement	8.5%	35
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.1%	17

John Phillip Devine

265 votes

**Opinions demonstrate well-reasoned, clearly-written disposition of the case**

Excellent	19.6%	52
Very Good	14.0%	37
Satisfactory	20.0%	53
Needs Improvement	38.1%	101
<i>No Opinion</i>	8.3%	22

**Interaction with counsel during oral argument is attentive and constructive**

Excellent	17.4%	46
Very Good	10.2%	27
Satisfactory	21.5%	57
Needs Improvement	27.9%	74
<i>No Opinion</i>	23.0%	61

**Determines legal issues impartially and based on thorough and proper application of the law to the record**

Excellent	18.9%	50
Very Good	10.2%	27
Satisfactory	19.2%	51
Needs Improvement	40.8%	108
<i>No Opinion</i>	10.9%	29

**Works hard and is prepared**

Excellent	18.9%	50
Very Good	11.7%	31
Satisfactory	20.8%	55
Needs Improvement	30.6%	81
<i>No Opinion</i>	18.1%	48

**Overall rating**

Excellent	18.5%	49
Very Good	13.6%	36
Satisfactory	17.4%	46
Needs Improvement	42.3%	112
<i>No Opinion</i>	8.3%	22

Paul W. Green

179 votes

**Opinions demonstrate well-reasoned, clearly-written disposition of the case**

Excellent	35.2%	63
Very Good	19.0%	34
Satisfactory	16.2%	29
Needs Improvement	21.8%	39
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.8%	14

**Interaction with counsel during oral argument is attentive and constructive**

Excellent	29.1%	52
Very Good	19.6%	35
Satisfactory	17.9%	32
Needs Improvement	16.2%	29
<i>No Opinion</i>	17.3%	31

**Determines legal issues impartially and based on thorough and proper application of the law to the record**

Excellent	35.2%	63
Very Good	15.1%	27
Satisfactory	17.9%	32
Needs Improvement	21.8%	39
<i>No Opinion</i>	10.1%	18

**Works hard and is prepared**

Excellent	34.1%	61
Very Good	18.4%	33
Satisfactory	15.1%	27
Needs Improvement	17.3%	31
<i>No Opinion</i>	15.1%	27

**Overall rating**

Excellent	35.8%	64
Very Good	17.9%	32
Satisfactory	16.8%	30
Needs Improvement	22.9%	41
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.7%	12

Eva M. Guzman

472 votes

**Opinions demonstrate well-reasoned, clearly-written disposition of the case**

Excellent	58.7%	277
Very Good	16.3%	77
Satisfactory	6.4%	30
Needs Improvement	9.3%	44
<i>No Opinion</i>	9.3%	44

**Interaction with counsel during oral argument is attentive and constructive**

Excellent	53.2%	251
Very Good	13.8%	65
Satisfactory	8.3%	39
Needs Improvement	6.1%	29
<i>No Opinion</i>	18.6%	88

**Determines legal issues impartially and based on thorough and proper application of the law to the record**

Excellent	54.9%	259
Very Good	16.9%	80
Satisfactory	7.2%	34
Needs Improvement	10.2%	48
<i>No Opinion</i>	10.8%	51

**Works hard and is prepared**

Excellent	61.2%	289
Very Good	12.7%	60
Satisfactory	7.6%	36
Needs Improvement	6.4%	30
<i>No Opinion</i>	12.1%	57

**Overall rating**

Excellent	59.3%	280
Very Good	17.6%	83
Satisfactory	6.8%	32
Needs Improvement	9.5%	45
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.8%	32

---

Nathan L. Hecht

393 votes

**Opinions demonstrate well-reasoned, clearly-written disposition of the case**

Excellent	53.9%	212
Very Good	15.3%	60
Satisfactory	8.7%	34
Needs Improvement	16.0%	63
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.1%	24

**Interaction with counsel during oral argument is attentive and constructive**

Excellent	45.0%	177
Very Good	16.0%	63
Satisfactory	8.4%	33
Needs Improvement	13.5%	53
<i>No Opinion</i>	17.0%	67

**Determines legal issues impartially and based on thorough and proper application of the law to the record**

Excellent	46.1%	181
Very Good	13.7%	54
Satisfactory	9.7%	38
Needs Improvement	24.2%	95
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.4%	25

**Works hard and is prepared**

Excellent	53.9%	212
Very Good	14.0%	55
Satisfactory	10.7%	42
Needs Improvement	11.2%	44
<i>No Opinion</i>	10.2%	40

**Overall rating**

Excellent	51.7%	203
Very Good	14.5%	57
Satisfactory	9.7%	38
Needs Improvement	18.8%	74
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.3%	21

Debra H. Lehrmann

244 votes

**Opinions demonstrate well-reasoned, clearly-written disposition of the case**

Excellent	41.0%	100
Very Good	23.0%	56
Satisfactory	15.2%	37
Needs Improvement	13.1%	32
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.8%	19

**Interaction with counsel during oral argument is attentive and constructive**

Excellent	34.4%	84
Very Good	21.7%	53
Satisfactory	12.7%	31
Needs Improvement	11.1%	27
<i>No Opinion</i>	20.1%	49

**Determines legal issues impartially and based on thorough and proper application of the law to the record**

Excellent	40.6%	99
Very Good	21.3%	52
Satisfactory	12.7%	31
Needs Improvement	14.8%	36
<i>No Opinion</i>	10.7%	26

**Works hard and is prepared**

Excellent	40.2%	98
Very Good	20.9%	51
Satisfactory	16.4%	40
Needs Improvement	10.2%	25
<i>No Opinion</i>	12.3%	30

**Overall rating**

Excellent	42.2%	103
Very Good	23.4%	57
Satisfactory	15.2%	37
Needs Improvement	13.5%	33
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.7%	14