

Houston Courts of Appeals

Frances Bourliot

145 votes

Opinions demonstrate well-reasoned, clearly-written disposition of the case

Excellent	43.4%	63
Very Good	15.2%	22
Satisfactory	13.1%	19
Needs Improvement	20.0%	29
<i>No Opinion</i>	8.3%	12

Interaction with counsel during oral argument is attentive and constructive

Excellent	37.9%	55
Very Good	14.5%	21
Satisfactory	11.0%	16
Needs Improvement	17.9%	26
<i>No Opinion</i>	18.6%	27

Determines legal issues impartially and based on thorough and proper application of the law to the record

Excellent	42.8%	62
Very Good	13.8%	20
Satisfactory	10.3%	15
Needs Improvement	22.1%	32
<i>No Opinion</i>	11.0%	16

Works hard and is prepared

Excellent	44.1%	64
Very Good	13.1%	19
Satisfactory	11.0%	16
Needs Improvement	18.6%	27
<i>No Opinion</i>	13.1%	19

Overall rating

Excellent	43.4%	63
Very Good	15.2%	22
Satisfactory	11.0%	16
Needs Improvement	22.1%	32
<i>No Opinion</i>	8.3%	12

Tracy Christopher

503 votes

Opinions demonstrate well-reasoned, clearly-written disposition of the case

Excellent	68.0%	342
Very Good	17.1%	86
Satisfactory	5.4%	27
Needs Improvement	5.4%	27
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.2%	21

Interaction with counsel during oral argument is attentive and constructive

Excellent	64.6%	325
Very Good	14.9%	75
Satisfactory	5.8%	29
Needs Improvement	3.8%	19
<i>No Opinion</i>	10.9%	55

Determines legal issues impartially and based on thorough and proper application of the law to the record

Excellent	63.4%	319
Very Good	18.7%	94
Satisfactory	4.6%	23
Needs Improvement	7.8%	39
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.6%	28

Works hard and is prepared

Excellent	71.0%	357
Very Good	14.3%	72
Satisfactory	3.8%	19
Needs Improvement	4.4%	22
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.6%	33

Overall rating

Excellent	68.6%	345
Very Good	16.5%	83
Satisfactory	4.8%	24
Needs Improvement	6.4%	32
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.8%	19

Julie Countiss

235 votes

Opinions demonstrate well-reasoned, clearly-written disposition of the case

Excellent	47.2%	111
Very Good	18.7%	44
Satisfactory	8.9%	21
Needs Improvement	13.6%	32
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>11.5%</i>	<i>27</i>

Interaction with counsel during oral argument is attentive and constructive

Excellent	43.0%	101
Very Good	17.4%	41
Satisfactory	12.3%	29
Needs Improvement	8.1%	19
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>19.1%</i>	<i>45</i>

Determines legal issues impartially and based on thorough and proper application of the law to the record

Excellent	46.4%	109
Very Good	20.9%	49
Satisfactory	6.8%	16
Needs Improvement	14.9%	35
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>11.1%</i>	<i>26</i>

Works hard and is prepared

Excellent	49.8%	117
Very Good	19.6%	46
Satisfactory	8.5%	20
Needs Improvement	11.1%	26
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>11.1%</i>	<i>26</i>

Overall rating

Excellent	48.1%	113
Very Good	20.9%	49
Satisfactory	9.4%	22
Needs Improvement	13.6%	32
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>8.1%</i>	<i>19</i>

Kem Thompson Frost

327 votes

Opinions demonstrate well-reasoned, clearly-written disposition of the case

Excellent	47.4%	155
Very Good	22.6%	74
Satisfactory	9.2%	30
Needs Improvement	14.4%	47
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.4%	21

Interaction with counsel during oral argument is attentive and constructive

Excellent	47.4%	155
Very Good	20.8%	68
Satisfactory	11.3%	37
Needs Improvement	8.0%	26
<i>No Opinion</i>	12.5%	41

Determines legal issues impartially and based on thorough and proper application of the law to the record

Excellent	48.9%	160
Very Good	19.0%	62
Satisfactory	9.8%	32
Needs Improvement	15.6%	51
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.7%	22

Works hard and is prepared

Excellent	53.8%	176
Very Good	17.7%	58
Satisfactory	11.3%	37
Needs Improvement	9.2%	30
<i>No Opinion</i>	8.0%	26

Overall rating

Excellent	50.5%	165
Very Good	21.7%	71
Satisfactory	10.1%	33
Needs Improvement	14.1%	46
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.7%	12

Gordon Goodman

111 votes

Opinions demonstrate well-reasoned, clearly-written disposition of the case

Excellent	22.5%	25
Very Good	14.4%	16
Satisfactory	14.4%	16
Needs Improvement	28.8%	32
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>19.8%</i>	<i>22</i>

Interaction with counsel during oral argument is attentive and constructive

Excellent	20.7%	23
Very Good	15.3%	17
Satisfactory	14.4%	16
Needs Improvement	26.1%	29
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>23.4%</i>	<i>26</i>

Determines legal issues impartially and based on thorough and proper application of the law to the record

Excellent	19.8%	22
Very Good	16.2%	18
Satisfactory	15.3%	17
Needs Improvement	29.7%	33
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>18.9%</i>	<i>21</i>

Works hard and is prepared

Excellent	22.5%	25
Very Good	14.4%	16
Satisfactory	16.2%	18
Needs Improvement	25.2%	28
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>21.6%</i>	<i>24</i>

Overall rating

Excellent	22.5%	25
Very Good	15.3%	17
Satisfactory	17.1%	19
Needs Improvement	29.7%	33
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>15.3%</i>	<i>17</i>

Meagan Hassan

174 votes

Opinions demonstrate well-reasoned, clearly-written disposition of the case

Excellent	44.3%	77
Very Good	10.9%	19
Satisfactory	8.0%	14
Needs Improvement	25.3%	44
<i>No Opinion</i>	11.5%	20

Interaction with counsel during oral argument is attentive and constructive

Excellent	39.1%	68
Very Good	10.3%	18
Satisfactory	9.8%	17
Needs Improvement	20.1%	35
<i>No Opinion</i>	20.7%	36

Determines legal issues impartially and based on thorough and proper application of the law to the record

Excellent	42.0%	73
Very Good	9.8%	17
Satisfactory	7.5%	13
Needs Improvement	27.0%	47
<i>No Opinion</i>	13.8%	24

Works hard and is prepared

Excellent	42.5%	74
Very Good	12.6%	22
Satisfactory	10.3%	18
Needs Improvement	20.1%	35
<i>No Opinion</i>	14.4%	25

Overall rating

Excellent	44.8%	78
Very Good	11.5%	20
Satisfactory	8.0%	14
Needs Improvement	26.4%	46
<i>No Opinion</i>	9.2%	16

Richard Hightower

214 votes

Opinions demonstrate well-reasoned, clearly-written disposition of the case

Excellent	50.0%	107
Very Good	19.6%	42
Satisfactory	7.9%	17
Needs Improvement	7.5%	16
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>15.0%</i>	<i>32</i>

Interaction with counsel during oral argument is attentive and constructive

Excellent	46.7%	100
Very Good	18.7%	40
Satisfactory	6.5%	14
Needs Improvement	8.4%	18
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>19.6%</i>	<i>42</i>

Determines legal issues impartially and based on thorough and proper application of the law to the record

Excellent	47.7%	102
Very Good	18.2%	39
Satisfactory	7.5%	16
Needs Improvement	9.8%	21
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>16.8%</i>	<i>36</i>

Works hard and is prepared

Excellent	50.0%	107
Very Good	16.8%	36
Satisfactory	7.9%	17
Needs Improvement	6.5%	14
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>18.7%</i>	<i>40</i>

Overall rating

Excellent	51.4%	110
Very Good	20.1%	43
Satisfactory	8.9%	19
Needs Improvement	7.9%	17
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>11.7%</i>	<i>25</i>

Kevin Jewell

215 votes

Opinions demonstrate well-reasoned, clearly-written disposition of the case

Excellent	51.2%	110
Very Good	22.3%	48
Satisfactory	10.2%	22
Needs Improvement	7.4%	16
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>8.8%</i>	<i>19</i>

Interaction with counsel during oral argument is attentive and constructive

Excellent	50.2%	108
Very Good	17.2%	37
Satisfactory	8.4%	18
Needs Improvement	6.0%	13
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>18.1%</i>	<i>39</i>

Determines legal issues impartially and based on thorough and proper application of the law to the record

Excellent	50.2%	108
Very Good	19.5%	42
Satisfactory	9.3%	20
Needs Improvement	10.7%	23
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>10.2%</i>	<i>22</i>

Works hard and is prepared

Excellent	54.4%	117
Very Good	19.1%	41
Satisfactory	7.9%	17
Needs Improvement	4.7%	10
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>14.0%</i>	<i>30</i>

Overall rating

Excellent	50.2%	108
Very Good	23.7%	51
Satisfactory	10.2%	22
Needs Improvement	7.9%	17
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>7.9%</i>	<i>17</i>

Peter Kelly

198 votes

Opinions demonstrate well-reasoned, clearly-written disposition of the case

Excellent	47.0%	93
Very Good	16.7%	33
Satisfactory	13.6%	27
Needs Improvement	12.6%	25
<i>No Opinion</i>	10.1%	20

Interaction with counsel during oral argument is attentive and constructive

Excellent	41.9%	83
Very Good	17.2%	34
Satisfactory	13.6%	27
Needs Improvement	11.1%	22
<i>No Opinion</i>	16.2%	32

Determines legal issues impartially and based on thorough and proper application of the law to the record

Excellent	42.9%	85
Very Good	18.7%	37
Satisfactory	12.1%	24
Needs Improvement	17.2%	34
<i>No Opinion</i>	9.1%	18

Works hard and is prepared

Excellent	46.0%	91
Very Good	20.7%	41
Satisfactory	13.6%	27
Needs Improvement	9.6%	19
<i>No Opinion</i>	10.1%	20

Overall rating

Excellent	46.5%	92
Very Good	18.7%	37
Satisfactory	14.6%	29
Needs Improvement	13.1%	26
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.1%	14

Evelyn Keyes

263 votes

Opinions demonstrate well-reasoned, clearly-written disposition of the case

Excellent	35.7%	94
Very Good	21.3%	56
Satisfactory	12.9%	34
Needs Improvement	19.4%	51
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>10.6%</i>	<i>28</i>

Interaction with counsel during oral argument is attentive and constructive

Excellent	30.4%	80
Very Good	19.8%	52
Satisfactory	14.8%	39
Needs Improvement	17.5%	46
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>17.5%</i>	<i>46</i>

Determines legal issues impartially and based on thorough and proper application of the law to the record

Excellent	35.4%	93
Very Good	19.0%	50
Satisfactory	12.2%	32
Needs Improvement	22.4%	59
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>11.0%</i>	<i>29</i>

Works hard and is prepared

Excellent	39.9%	105
Very Good	21.3%	56
Satisfactory	11.8%	31
Needs Improvement	12.5%	33
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>14.4%</i>	<i>38</i>

Overall rating

Excellent	36.5%	96
Very Good	21.7%	57
Satisfactory	11.4%	30
Needs Improvement	21.3%	56
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>9.1%</i>	<i>24</i>

Sarah Beth Landau

163 votes

Opinions demonstrate well-reasoned, clearly-written disposition of the case

Excellent	48.5%	79
Very Good	15.3%	25
Satisfactory	8.0%	13
Needs Improvement	12.3%	20
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>16.0%</i>	<i>26</i>

Interaction with counsel during oral argument is attentive and constructive

Excellent	45.4%	74
Very Good	11.7%	19
Satisfactory	7.4%	12
Needs Improvement	11.7%	19
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>23.9%</i>	<i>39</i>

Determines legal issues impartially and based on thorough and proper application of the law to the record

Excellent	49.7%	81
Very Good	11.0%	18
Satisfactory	6.1%	10
Needs Improvement	15.3%	25
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>17.8%</i>	<i>29</i>

Works hard and is prepared

Excellent	53.4%	87
Very Good	10.4%	17
Satisfactory	8.6%	14
Needs Improvement	11.0%	18
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>16.6%</i>	<i>27</i>

Overall rating

Excellent	49.7%	81
Very Good	12.3%	20
Satisfactory	8.6%	14
Needs Improvement	15.3%	25
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>14.1%</i>	<i>23</i>

Russell Lloyd

291 votes

Opinions demonstrate well-reasoned, clearly-written disposition of the case

Excellent	32.3%	94
Very Good	27.5%	80
Satisfactory	18.2%	53
Needs Improvement	13.1%	38
<i>No Opinion</i>	8.9%	26

Interaction with counsel during oral argument is attentive and constructive

Excellent	31.6%	92
Very Good	24.7%	72
Satisfactory	14.8%	43
Needs Improvement	12.0%	35
<i>No Opinion</i>	16.8%	49

Determines legal issues impartially and based on thorough and proper application of the law to the record

Excellent	31.6%	92
Very Good	26.8%	78
Satisfactory	15.8%	46
Needs Improvement	14.4%	42
<i>No Opinion</i>	11.3%	33

Works hard and is prepared

Excellent	34.0%	99
Very Good	24.4%	71
Satisfactory	17.2%	50
Needs Improvement	11.7%	34
<i>No Opinion</i>	12.7%	37

Overall rating

Excellent	35.1%	102
Very Good	25.4%	74
Satisfactory	18.2%	53
Needs Improvement	13.7%	40
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.6%	22

Margaret “Meg” Poissant

164 votes

Opinions demonstrate well-reasoned, clearly-written disposition of the case

Excellent	37.2%	61
Very Good	17.1%	28
Satisfactory	11.0%	18
Needs Improvement	22.6%	37
<i>No Opinion</i>	12.2%	20

Interaction with counsel during oral argument is attentive and constructive

Excellent	34.1%	56
Very Good	14.6%	24
Satisfactory	11.6%	19
Needs Improvement	18.9%	31
<i>No Opinion</i>	20.7%	34

Determines legal issues impartially and based on thorough and proper application of the law to the record

Excellent	37.8%	62
Very Good	15.2%	25
Satisfactory	10.4%	17
Needs Improvement	24.4%	40
<i>No Opinion</i>	12.2%	20

Works hard and is prepared

Excellent	37.8%	62
Very Good	17.1%	28
Satisfactory	9.8%	16
Needs Improvement	21.3%	35
<i>No Opinion</i>	14.0%	23

Overall rating

Excellent	37.2%	61
Very Good	17.1%	28
Satisfactory	10.4%	17
Needs Improvement	25.0%	41
<i>No Opinion</i>	10.4%	17

Sherry Radack

337 votes

Opinions demonstrate well-reasoned, clearly-written disposition of the case

Excellent	43.6%	147
Very Good	19.3%	65
Satisfactory	11.0%	37
Needs Improvement	18.7%	63
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.4%	25

Interaction with counsel during oral argument is attentive and constructive

Excellent	42.1%	142
Very Good	16.9%	57
Satisfactory	8.6%	29
Needs Improvement	16.6%	56
<i>No Opinion</i>	15.7%	53

Determines legal issues impartially and based on thorough and proper application of the law to the record

Excellent	44.2%	149
Very Good	16.9%	57
Satisfactory	9.5%	32
Needs Improvement	21.1%	71
<i>No Opinion</i>	8.3%	28

Works hard and is prepared

Excellent	44.8%	151
Very Good	17.8%	60
Satisfactory	9.5%	32
Needs Improvement	16.6%	56
<i>No Opinion</i>	11.3%	38

Overall rating

Excellent	45.4%	153
Very Good	19.9%	67
Satisfactory	9.5%	32
Needs Improvement	20.2%	68
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.0%	17

Charles A. Spain

179 votes

Opinions demonstrate well-reasoned, clearly-written disposition of the case

Excellent	35.2%	63
Very Good	15.6%	28
Satisfactory	19.0%	34
Needs Improvement	21.8%	39
<i>No Opinion</i>	8.4%	15

Interaction with counsel during oral argument is attentive and constructive

Excellent	33.0%	59
Very Good	15.6%	28
Satisfactory	14.5%	26
Needs Improvement	19.0%	34
<i>No Opinion</i>	17.9%	32

Determines legal issues impartially and based on thorough and proper application of the law to the record

Excellent	36.3%	65
Very Good	15.1%	27
Satisfactory	13.4%	24
Needs Improvement	26.8%	48
<i>No Opinion</i>	8.4%	15

Works hard and is prepared

Excellent	37.4%	67
Very Good	14.0%	25
Satisfactory	14.5%	26
Needs Improvement	21.2%	38
<i>No Opinion</i>	12.8%	23

Overall rating

Excellent	35.2%	63
Very Good	17.9%	32
Satisfactory	14.0%	25
Needs Improvement	26.3%	47
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.7%	12

Ken Wise

409 votes

Opinions demonstrate well-reasoned, clearly-written disposition of the case

Excellent	46.7%	191
Very Good	24.7%	101
Satisfactory	10.8%	44
Needs Improvement	9.3%	38
<i>No Opinion</i>	8.6%	35

Interaction with counsel during oral argument is attentive and constructive

Excellent	44.5%	182
Very Good	21.0%	86
Satisfactory	12.0%	49
Needs Improvement	8.3%	34
<i>No Opinion</i>	14.2%	58

Determines legal issues impartially and based on thorough and proper application of the law to the record

Excellent	48.4%	198
Very Good	20.0%	82
Satisfactory	11.2%	46
Needs Improvement	10.5%	43
<i>No Opinion</i>	9.8%	40

Works hard and is prepared

Excellent	46.5%	190
Very Good	20.0%	82
Satisfactory	12.7%	52
Needs Improvement	8.3%	34
<i>No Opinion</i>	12.5%	51

Overall rating

Excellent	47.4%	194
Very Good	24.0%	98
Satisfactory	12.2%	50
Needs Improvement	10.3%	42
<i>No Opinion</i>	6.1%	25

Jerry Zimmerer

174 votes

Opinions demonstrate well-reasoned, clearly-written disposition of the case

Excellent	31.0%	54
Very Good	12.1%	21
Satisfactory	13.8%	24
Needs Improvement	27.6%	48
<i>No Opinion</i>	15.5%	27

Interaction with counsel during oral argument is attentive and constructive

Excellent	24.1%	42
Very Good	12.1%	21
Satisfactory	14.4%	25
Needs Improvement	23.6%	41
<i>No Opinion</i>	25.9%	45

Determines legal issues impartially and based on thorough and proper application of the law to the record

Excellent	31.0%	54
Very Good	10.9%	19
Satisfactory	13.8%	24
Needs Improvement	27.0%	47
<i>No Opinion</i>	17.2%	30

Works hard and is prepared

Excellent	31.6%	55
Very Good	12.1%	21
Satisfactory	11.5%	20
Needs Improvement	25.3%	44
<i>No Opinion</i>	19.5%	34

Overall rating

Excellent	32.8%	57
Very Good	13.8%	24
Satisfactory	12.6%	22
Needs Improvement	28.7%	50
<i>No Opinion</i>	12.1%	21