

Court of Criminal Appeals

Barbara Hervey

57 votes

Opinions demonstrate well-reasoned, clearly-written disposition of the case

Excellent	40.4%	23
Very Good	22.8%	13
Satisfactory	7.0%	4
Needs Improvement	24.6%	14
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.3%	3

Interaction with counsel during oral argument is attentive and constructive

Excellent	36.8%	21
Very Good	15.8%	9
Satisfactory	5.3%	3
Needs Improvement	24.6%	14
<i>No Opinion</i>	17.5%	10

Determines legal issues impartially and based on thorough and proper application of the law to the record

Excellent	38.6%	22
Very Good	22.8%	13
Satisfactory	5.3%	3
Needs Improvement	28.1%	16
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.3%	3

Works hard and is prepared

Excellent	45.6%	26
Very Good	19.3%	11
Satisfactory	3.5%	2
Needs Improvement	26.3%	15
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.3%	3

Overall rating

Excellent	43.9%	25
Very Good	21.1%	12
Satisfactory	3.5%	2
Needs Improvement	26.3%	15
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.3%	3

Michael E. Keasler

58 votes

Opinions demonstrate well-reasoned, clearly-written disposition of the case

Excellent	43.1%	25
Very Good	17.2%	10
Satisfactory	5.2%	3
Needs Improvement	24.1%	14
<i>No Opinion</i>	10.3%	6

Interaction with counsel during oral argument is attentive and constructive

Excellent	36.2%	21
Very Good	17.2%	10
Satisfactory	8.6%	5
Needs Improvement	22.4%	13
<i>No Opinion</i>	15.5%	9

Determines legal issues impartially and based on thorough and proper application of the law to the record

Excellent	36.2%	21
Very Good	20.7%	12
Satisfactory	6.9%	4
Needs Improvement	24.1%	14
<i>No Opinion</i>	12.1%	7

Works hard and is prepared

Excellent	39.7%	23
Very Good	17.2%	10
Satisfactory	8.6%	5
Needs Improvement	20.7%	12
<i>No Opinion</i>	13.8%	8

Overall rating

Excellent	39.7%	23
Very Good	19.0%	11
Satisfactory	6.9%	4
Needs Improvement	24.1%	14
<i>No Opinion</i>	10.3%	6

Mary Lou Keel

121 votes

Opinions demonstrate well-reasoned, clearly-written disposition of the case

Excellent	44.6%	54
Very Good	17.4%	21
Satisfactory	8.3%	10
Needs Improvement	17.4%	21
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>12.4%</i>	<i>15</i>

Interaction with counsel during oral argument is attentive and constructive

Excellent	34.7%	42
Very Good	15.7%	19
Satisfactory	11.6%	14
Needs Improvement	14.0%	17
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>24.0%</i>	<i>29</i>

Determines legal issues impartially and based on thorough and proper application of the law to the record

Excellent	39.7%	48
Very Good	18.2%	22
Satisfactory	8.3%	10
Needs Improvement	18.2%	22
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>15.7%</i>	<i>19</i>

Works hard and is prepared

Excellent	47.1%	57
Very Good	17.4%	21
Satisfactory	8.3%	10
Needs Improvement	14.9%	18
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>12.4%</i>	<i>15</i>

Overall rating

Excellent	43.0%	52
Very Good	20.7%	25
Satisfactory	7.4%	9
Needs Improvement	17.4%	21
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>11.6%</i>	<i>14</i>

Sharon Keller

137 votes

Opinions demonstrate well-reasoned, clearly-written disposition of the case

Excellent	25.5%	35
Very Good	10.9%	15
Satisfactory	5.1%	7
Needs Improvement	49.6%	68
<i>No Opinion</i>	8.8%	12

Interaction with counsel during oral argument is attentive and constructive

Excellent	21.9%	30
Very Good	11.7%	16
Satisfactory	5.8%	8
Needs Improvement	42.3%	58
<i>No Opinion</i>	18.2%	25

Determines legal issues impartially and based on thorough and proper application of the law to the record

Excellent	24.1%	33
Very Good	10.9%	15
Satisfactory	2.9%	4
Needs Improvement	53.3%	73
<i>No Opinion</i>	8.8%	12

Works hard and is prepared

Excellent	23.4%	32
Very Good	13.1%	18
Satisfactory	8.0%	11
Needs Improvement	40.1%	55
<i>No Opinion</i>	15.3%	21

Overall rating

Excellent	24.8%	34
Very Good	10.2%	14
Satisfactory	2.9%	4
Needs Improvement	53.3%	73
<i>No Opinion</i>	8.8%	12

David Newell

81 votes

Opinions demonstrate well-reasoned, clearly-written disposition of the case

Excellent	60.5%	49
Very Good	14.8%	12
Satisfactory	9.9%	8
Needs Improvement	11.1%	9
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.7%	3

Interaction with counsel during oral argument is attentive and constructive

Excellent	54.3%	44
Very Good	17.3%	14
Satisfactory	3.7%	3
Needs Improvement	11.1%	9
<i>No Opinion</i>	13.6%	11

Determines legal issues impartially and based on thorough and proper application of the law to the record

Excellent	60.5%	49
Very Good	12.3%	10
Satisfactory	9.9%	8
Needs Improvement	13.6%	11
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.7%	3

Works hard and is prepared

Excellent	67.9%	55
Very Good	11.1%	9
Satisfactory	6.2%	5
Needs Improvement	9.9%	8
<i>No Opinion</i>	4.9%	4

Overall rating

Excellent	60.5%	49
Very Good	14.8%	12
Satisfactory	8.6%	7
Needs Improvement	12.3%	10
<i>No Opinion</i>	3.7%	3

Bert Richardson

38 votes

Opinions demonstrate well-reasoned, clearly-written disposition of the case

Excellent	42.1%	16
Very Good	7.9%	3
Satisfactory	13.2%	5
Needs Improvement	18.4%	7
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>18.4%</i>	<i>7</i>

Interaction with counsel during oral argument is attentive and constructive

Excellent	39.5%	15
Very Good	7.9%	3
Satisfactory	15.8%	6
Needs Improvement	15.8%	6
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>21.1%</i>	<i>8</i>

Determines legal issues impartially and based on thorough and proper application of the law to the record

Excellent	42.1%	16
Very Good	10.5%	4
Satisfactory	13.2%	5
Needs Improvement	15.8%	6
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>18.4%</i>	<i>7</i>

Works hard and is prepared

Excellent	42.1%	16
Very Good	7.9%	3
Satisfactory	15.8%	6
Needs Improvement	15.8%	6
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>18.4%</i>	<i>7</i>

Overall rating

Excellent	42.1%	16
Very Good	10.5%	4
Satisfactory	10.5%	4
Needs Improvement	18.4%	7
<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>18.4%</i>	<i>7</i>

Michelle Slaughter

82 votes

Opinions demonstrate well-reasoned, clearly-written disposition of the case

Excellent	36.6%	30
Very Good	18.3%	15
Satisfactory	9.8%	8
Needs Improvement	22.0%	18
<i>No Opinion</i>	13.4%	11

Interaction with counsel during oral argument is attentive and constructive

Excellent	39.0%	32
Very Good	12.2%	10
Satisfactory	9.8%	8
Needs Improvement	18.3%	15
<i>No Opinion</i>	20.7%	17

Determines legal issues impartially and based on thorough and proper application of the law to the record

Excellent	40.2%	33
Very Good	15.9%	13
Satisfactory	8.5%	7
Needs Improvement	22.0%	18
<i>No Opinion</i>	13.4%	11

Works hard and is prepared

Excellent	42.7%	35
Very Good	14.6%	12
Satisfactory	9.8%	8
Needs Improvement	19.5%	16
<i>No Opinion</i>	13.4%	11

Overall rating

Excellent	42.7%	35
Very Good	14.6%	12
Satisfactory	12.2%	10
Needs Improvement	19.5%	16
<i>No Opinion</i>	11.0%	9

Scott Walker

36 votes

Opinions demonstrate well-reasoned, clearly-written disposition of the case

Excellent	25.0%	9
Very Good	19.4%	7
Satisfactory	16.7%	6
Needs Improvement	22.2%	8
<i>No Opinion</i>	16.7%	6

Interaction with counsel during oral argument is attentive and constructive

Excellent	22.2%	8
Very Good	25.0%	9
Satisfactory	11.1%	4
Needs Improvement	22.2%	8
<i>No Opinion</i>	19.4%	7

Determines legal issues impartially and based on thorough and proper application of the law to the record

Excellent	25.0%	9
Very Good	22.2%	8
Satisfactory	8.3%	3
Needs Improvement	27.8%	10
<i>No Opinion</i>	16.7%	6

Works hard and is prepared

Excellent	22.2%	8
Very Good	22.2%	8
Satisfactory	13.9%	5
Needs Improvement	27.8%	10
<i>No Opinion</i>	13.9%	5

Overall rating

Excellent	25.0%	9
Very Good	19.4%	7
Satisfactory	13.9%	5
Needs Improvement	27.8%	10
<i>No Opinion</i>	13.9%	5

Kevin Yeary

40 votes

Opinions demonstrate well-reasoned, clearly-written disposition of the case

Excellent	40.0%	16
Very Good	17.5%	7
Satisfactory	10.0%	4
Needs Improvement	25.0%	10
<i>No Opinion</i>	7.5%	3

Interaction with counsel during oral argument is attentive and constructive

Excellent	45.0%	18
Very Good	10.0%	4
Satisfactory	12.5%	5
Needs Improvement	22.5%	9
<i>No Opinion</i>	10.0%	4

Determines legal issues impartially and based on thorough and proper application of the law to the record

Excellent	42.5%	17
Very Good	12.5%	5
Satisfactory	12.5%	5
Needs Improvement	27.5%	11
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.0%	2

Works hard and is prepared

Excellent	45.0%	18
Very Good	15.0%	6
Satisfactory	10.0%	4
Needs Improvement	17.5%	7
<i>No Opinion</i>	12.5%	5

Overall rating

Excellent	42.5%	17
Very Good	17.5%	7
Satisfactory	10.0%	4
Needs Improvement	25.0%	10
<i>No Opinion</i>	5.0%	2