# National Marine Fisheries Service 101(a)(5)(E) Assessment

#### **PURPOSE**

Section 101(a)(5)(E) of the Marine Mammal Protection Act (MMPA) states that the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), shall for a period of up to three years allow the incidental taking of marine mammal species listed under the Endangered Species Act (ESA) while engaging in commercial fishing operations, if NMFS makes certain determinations. NMFS must determine, after notice and opportunity for public comment, that: (1) incidental mortality and serious injury (M/SI) will have a negligible impact on the affected species or stock; (2) a recovery plan has been developed or is being developed for such species or stock under the ESA; and (3) where required under section 118 of the MMPA, a monitoring program has been established, vessels engaged in such fisheries are registered in accordance with section 118 of the MMPA, and a take reduction plan has been developed or is being developed for such species or stock.

This document includes NMFS assessment of whether a fishery can be authorized under section 101(a)(5)(E) and presents a finding detailing how the fishery evaluated meets the requirements of this section. To determine if a M/SI incidental to a commercial fishery will have a negligible impact on the affected species or stock, this analysis employs the process and standards laid out in NMFS Procedure 02-204-02 "Criteria for Determining Negligible Impact under MMPA Section 101(a)(5)(E)."

### **INSTRUCTIONS**

Complete a Negligible Impact Determination (NID) analysis and 101(a)(5)(E) assessment for each commercial fishery evaluated. Follow the steps in the NID Procedural Directive (NMFS Procedure 02-204-02 "Criteria for Determining Negligible Impact under MMPA Section 101(a)(5)(E)") to fill out a NID analysis species/stock worksheet for each ESA-listed stock/species, included on the most recent final MMPA List of Fisheries (LOF) interacting with that fishery. Use the most recent marine mammal Stock Assessment Reports (SAR), the LOF, and any other relevant information to complete the NID assessment. For each stock/species, include a short summary of the NID analysis (in the "species/stock NID justification"), and indicate whether a NID can be made for that stock. If additional space is needed, use the NID justification narrative section to complete your summary.

For the NID analysis, numeric precision with a scale of two decimal places is recommended. If a Tier 1 analysis is not conducted because a species/stock is transboundary or other reasons, select the N/A box and provide a brief explanation. If a Tier 2 analysis is not conducted, please select the N/A box and provide a brief explanation. If a Tier 2 is conducted under a special circumstance, please select that box and provide an explanation.

In the NID justification narrative, provide a brief summary (if needed) and justification for any deviations from the Procedural Directive, as well as a description of any special circumstances including:

- 1. An explanation if a species/stock does not conform to the PBR framework and an alternate NID approach is taken.
- 2. If sources other than the most recent SAR are used for annual average M/SI values.
- 3. A rationale, if the most recent 5-year average is not used for annual average M/SI values.
- 4. A detailed explanation if unattributed fishery M/SI is accounted for in the NID assessment.
- 5. If a minimum abundance estimate  $(N_{min})$  is unavailable for conducting a standard negligible impact analysis, provide an explanation.
- 6. Details of any special circumstances if the individual fishery M/SI is unavailable or underestimated.

Complete a 101(a)(5)(E) assessment for each commercial fishery evaluated and indicate whether the fishery meets the requirements for an authorization.

Incorporate documents by reference as appropriate, including web links, if possible. If applicable, reference and attach any supporting documents that were developed for the assessment, and include a list of any such documents. In the "Final NID Determination" and "MMPA Section 101(a)(5)(E) Authorization" sections, choose the appropriate language option given the outcome of the NID or 101(a)(5)(E) assessment. For additional information please refer to the NID Procedure or the latest Guidelines for Preparing Stock Assessment Reports (GAMMS).

# **Negligible Impact Determination Analysis: Fishery Information**

Commercial Fishery Evaluated: AK Gulf of Alaska sablefish longline				
Fishery Region: Alaska				
This fishery is included in the final 2020 List of Fisheries (LOF) as a Category I Category II fishery.				
Fishery Management Type: Federal State Fed/State Other				
ESA-listed marine mammal species/stocks with M/SI associated with this fishery:				
1) Sperm whale, North Pacific 4)				
2) 5)				
3)				
Is an ESA-listed marine mammal species/stock driving the LOF categorization? Yes  No If yes, which species/stocks: Sperm whale, North Pacific				
Is this a new NID ✓ or an update to an existing (active) NID ☐ ?				
If this is an update to an existing (active) NID, please detail a rationale for the modification:				
If relevant, please provide additional background information for this fishery.				
The AK Gulf of Alaska sablefish longline fishery was first elevated from category III to category II in 2018 for M/SI to sperm whales.				

# Negligible Impact Determination Analysis: ESA-Listed Marine Mammal Species/Stock Information

Species/stock 1 of 1 : Sperm whale, North Pacific				
Does species/stock conform to the Potential Biological Removal (PBR) framework? Yes No				
If no, is an alternate approach used? Yes 🗸 No 🔲 (If yes, include explanation in the Justification)				
Based on the draft final 2019 Stock Assessment	Report, and/or other sources:			
The average annual M/SI, including SI of animals disentangled or released from fishing gear, (over 5 years,				
between 2013-2017 ) is:				
Total Human Caused M/SI 4.9 All Comm. Fishery N	1/SI 4.7 Individual Comm. Fishery M/SI 2.7			
Is there unattributed fishery M/SI for the species/stock? Yes No (Provide details in the Justification)				
	If yes, check N/A for Tier 1; only conduct Tier 2 analysis.			
Is a species/stock N <sub>min</sub> available for conducting a standard negligible impact analysis? Yes No				
If yes, species/stock metrics are:	<u>If no</u> , calculate threshold N <sub>min</sub> for the species/stock based on the minimum population size needed to be			
N D	below the NIT.			
N <sub>min</sub> R <sub>max</sub>	Threshold N <sub>min</sub> (for NIT <sub>t</sub> ) 2,450			
NIT <sub>t</sub> NIT <sub>s</sub>	Threshold N <sub>min</sub> (for NIT <sub>s</sub> ) 10,385			
Tiered Analyses 🔱				
<b>Tier 1 Analysis</b> : Does annual average total human caused M/SI exceed NIT <sub>t</sub> ?	Tier 1 Analysis: Does the minimum population size			
Yes No N/A	likely exceed the threshold N <sub>min</sub> for NIT <sub>t</sub> ?  Yes No N/A			
If no, then all commercial fisheries are considered to	If yes, then all commercial fisheries are considered			
have a negligible impact on this species/stock and a	to have a negligible impact on this species/stock and			
Tier 2 analysis is not necessary. If yes or N/A, proceed to Tier 2 analysis.	a Tier 2 analysis is not necessary. If no or N/A, proceed to Tier 2 analysis.			
Tier 2 Analysis: Does annual average individual	<b>Tier 2 Analysis</b> : Does the minimum population size			
fishery M/SI exceed NIT <sub>s</sub> ?	likely exceed the threshold N <sub>min</sub> for NIT <sub>s</sub> ?			
Yes No N/A Special Circumstances	Yes No N/A Special Circumstances			
If no, then the individual commercial fishery is	If yes, then the individual commercial fishery is			
considered to have a negligible impact on this species/stock unless the individual fishery M/SI is	considered to have a negligible impact on this species/stock unless the individual fishery M/SI is			
unavailable or underestimated and likely to be non-zero.	unavailable or underestimated and likely to be non- zero.			
Species/Stock NID Justification:				

The Sperm whale, North Pacific stock is considered transboundary, so a Tier 2 analysis was used. The annual average total human caused M/SI (2.7) not likely to be in excess of an NITs. However, there is not a reliable Nmin for this stock. The threshold Nmin for NITs is 10,385, and it is likely that the minimum population for this stock exceeds that threshold Nmin. Therefore, the Tier 2 analysis is satisfied and the AK Gulf of Alaska sablefish longline fishery is considered to have a negligible impact on this stock/species.

### **Negligible Impact Determination Analysis: Summary and Justification**

#### NID SUMMARY TABLE

Species/stocks interacting with the commercial fishery evaluated	Does this species/stock meet the NID criteria?
1) Sperm whale, North Pacific	Yes 🗸 No
2)	Yes No
3)	Yes No
4)	Yes No
5)	Yes No No
6)	Yes No

#### NEGLIGIBLE IMPACT JUSTIFICATION SUMMARY

The sperm whale, Alaska stock does not have an Nmin, and therefore the NITt and NITs cannot be calculated directly. Therefore, per the guidance, we estimated the threshold Nmin necessary to meet the NITs (using M/SI of 4.4, Rmax= 0.04, and NIF = 0.1) and found the threshold Nmin for this stock is 10,385 in order for the individual commercial fishery M/SI  $\leq$  NITs and meet the Tier II criteria.

Estimating Nmin for North Pacific sperm whales is especially challenging due to the broad range of the stock, remote offshore inhabitation, and sex segregation exhibited by sperm whales in the North Pacific. Estimates for a large area of the eastern temperate North Pacific were produced from line-transect and acoustic survey data by Barlow and Taylor (2005); the acoustic data produced an estimate of 32,100 sperm whales (CV=0.36). However, no more recent estimate exists for other areas, including for the central or western North Pacific. Kato and Miyashita (1998) reported 102,112 sperm whales (CV=0.155) in the western North Pacific, with the caveat that their estimate is likely positively biased. From surveys in the Gulf of Alaska in 2009 and 2015, Rone et al. (2017) estimated 129 (CV=0.44) and 345 sperm whales (CV=0.43) in each year, respectively. However, these estimates are only for a small area within the stock's range and is unlikely to include females and juveniles, and thus is not a reliable minimum estimate. Given that Rone et al. (2009) estimated several hundred sperm whales were present in a fraction of the stock's range, and that estimate probably only included mature males, we conclude that it is reasonable to assume that there are far more than 10,385 individuals in the North Pacific stock.

### **Negligible Impact Determination Analysis: Determination**

#### FINAL NID DETERMINATION

Based on criteria outlined in NMFS Procedure 02-204-02 "Criteria for Determining Negligible Impact under MMPA Section 101(a)(5)(E)" and the best scientific information available as detailed herein and cited below, the mortality and serious injury of ESA-listed marine mammals incidental to the AK Gulf of Alaska sablefish longline fishery will have a negligible impact on ESA-listed marine mammal stocks or species the purposes of issuing a permit under MMPA section 101(a)(5)(E), for a period of up to three years.

### LIST OF ATTACHMENTS (if applicable)

### LITERATURE CITED

Barlow, J., and B. L. Taylor. 2005. Estimates of sperm whale abundance in the northeastern temperate Pacific from a combined acoustic and visual survey. Marine Mammal Science 21:429-445.

Kato, H., and T. Miyashita. 1998. Current status of North Pacific sperm whales and its preliminary abundance estimates. Unpublished document submitted to the International Whaling Commission Scientific Committee (SC/50/CAWS/52). 6 p.

Muto, M. M. V. T. Helker, B. J. Delean, R. P. Angliss, P. L. Boveng, J. M. Breiwick, B. M. Brost, M. F. Cameron, P. J. Clapham, S. P. Dahle, M. E. Dahlheim, B. S. Fadely, M. C. Ferguson, L. W. Fritz, R. C. Hobbs, Y. V. Ivashchenko, A. S. Kennedy, J. M. London, S. A. Mizroch, R. R. Ream, E. L. Richmond, K. E. W. Shelden, K. L. Sweeney, R. G. Towell, P. R. Wade, J. M. Waite, and A. N. Zerbini. 2020. (DRAFT) Alaska Marine Mammal Stock Assessments, 2019. U. S. Department of Commerce, NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-AFSC-XXX. X p. Available at:

https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/national/marine-mammal-protection/draft-marine-mammal-stock-assessment-reports (accessed June 23, 2020)

Rone, B. K., A. N. Zerbini, A. B. Douglas, D. W. Weller, and P. J. Clapham. 2017. Abundance and distribution of cetaceans in the Gulf of Alaska. Marine Biology 164:23. DOI 10.1007/s00227-016-3052-2 Available at: https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/s00227-016-3052-2.pdf

# 101(a)(5)(E) Assessment

# MMPA SECTION 101(a)(5)(E)

MMPA section 101(a)(5)(E) requires NMFS to authorize the incidental take of ESA-listed marine mammals in commercial fisheries if NMFS determines, after notice and opportunity for public comment, that:

- 1. Incidental mortality and serious injury (M/SI) from commercial fisheries will have a negligible impact on the affected species/stock;
- 2. A recovery plan has been developed or is being developed for such species/stock; and
- 3. Where required under MMPA section 118, a monitoring program has been established, vessels are registered, and a take reduction plan has been developed or is being developed for such species/stock.

registered, and a take reduction plan has been developed or is being developed for such species/stock.			
FISHERY EVALUATION UNDER MMPA SECTION 101(a)(5)(E) CRITERIA			
Commercial Fishery: AK Gulf of Alaska sablefish longline			
Was a NID made for this fishery? Yes ✓ No ☐			
Species/Stocks interacting with the commercial fishery evaluated	Is a recovery plan developed or underway for this species/stock?		
1) Sperm whale, North Pacific	Yes 🗸 No 🗌		
2)	Yes No No		
3)	Yes No No		
4)	Yes No No		
5)	Yes No No		
6)	Yes No		
Is a monitoring program established for this fishery as required under MMPA section 118? Yes No Has this fishery met the take reduction plan requirements as required under MMPA section 118? Yes No If yes, is a take reduction plan complete underway or included on the priority list for development?			
Have all requirements been met for NMFS to authorize the incidental take of ESA-listed marine mammals in this commercial fishery? Yes   No			
If relevant, please provide additional information.			

# 101(a)(5)(E) Authorization

#### MMPA SECTION 101(a)(5)(E) AUTHORIZATION

Based on above criteria outlined under MMPA Section 101(a)(5)(E), and the best scientific information available as detailed herein and cited hereafter, the AK Gulf of Alaska sablefish longline fishery meets the requirements for issuance of a permit under MMPA section 101(a)(5)(E), for a period of up to three years.

DATE: June 23, 2020

## LIST OF ATTACHMENTS (if applicable)

#### LITERATURE CITED

Barlow, J., and B. L. Taylor. 2005. Estimates of sperm whale abundance in the northeastern temperate Pacific from a combined acoustic and visual survey. Marine Mammal Science 21:429-445.

Kato, H., and T. Miyashita. 1998. Current status of North Pacific sperm whales and its preliminary abundance estimates. Unpublished document submitted to the International Whaling Commission Scientific Committee (SC/50/CAWS/52). 6 p.

Muto, M. M., V. T. Helker, B. J. Delean, R. P. Angliss, P. L. Boveng, J. M. Breiwick, B. M. Brost, M. F. Cameron, P. J. Clapham, S. P. Dahle, M. E. Dahlheim, B. S. Fadely, M. C. Ferguson, L. W. Fritz, R. C. Hobbs, Y. V. Ivashchenko, A. S. Kennedy, J. M. London, S. A. Mizroch, R. R. Ream, E. L. Richmond, K. E. W. Shelden, K. L. Sweeney, R. G. Towell, P. R. Wade, J. M. Waite, and A. N. Zerbini. 2020. Alaska Marine Mammal Stock Assessments, 2019. U.S. Dep. Commer., NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-AFSC-404, 395 p. Available online: https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/national/marine-mammal-protection/marine-mammal-stock-assessment-reports-region.

Rone, B. K., A. N. Zerbini, A. B. Douglas, D. W. Weller, and P. J. Clapham. 2017. Abundance and distribution of cetaceans in the Gulf of Alaska. Marine Biology 164:23. DOI 10.1007/s00227-016-3052-2 Available at: https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/s00227-016-3052-2.pdf