# The Aga Khan Award for Architecture

# 1995 ARCHITECT'S RECORD

1753.BUL

I.	IDE	IDENTIFICATION							
	Proj	Project Title Restoration of the Ibrahim Pasha Mosque							
	Stree	Street Address'Central'square							
	City	Razgrad	Postal Code 7200	CountryBulgaria					
II.	PEI	PERSONS RESPONSIBLE							
	A.	A. Architect/Planner							
		Name_ arch.Nikola Moushanov-chief designer							
		Mailing Address 58 'Evlogi	Georgiev'blrd.						
		CitySofia	Postal Code 1124	Country <u>Bulgaria</u>					
		Telephone 440-724	Facsimile	Telex					
	В.	Client							
	Name Municipal People's Council-Razgrad								
,			ople's Council-Razgr						
	City Razgrad Postal Code 7200 Country Bulgaria								
			Facsimile	Telex					
	C. Project Affiliates Please list those involved in the project and indicate their rôles and areas of responsibility (e.g. engineers, contractors)								
, ,		master craftsmen, other architects, clients, et		ini) (e.g. engineers, community, community,					
		Name		Rôle					
	arch.Zlatka Kirova-Sofia		ofia	co-designer					
	eng. Lazar Mishev-Sofia			constructor					
		Spas Nedeltchev	technical manager						
		artist-restorer Grig	fresco restorations						
		sculptor Rusi Dundak	vitrage restorations						
	Construction team at the Institute of								
	monuments of culture-Razgrad								

#### III. ARCHITECT'S BRIEF

Please describe the initial project programme.

Ibrahim Pasha Mosque is the most impressive Muslim prayer home in Bulgaria, built in 1616. It is famous and highly evaluated by specialists of Ottoman architecture. In the years before its restoration, its construction and technical state was bad. There were leaks in the roof, as a result of which the frescoes in the dome were crumbling away Cracks over the outer walls indicated construction faults. Urgent examination of the building was necessary. In 1968 the National Institute of monuments of culture started the restoration by making graphic fixations and an evaluation of the technical state. The sheet iron casing, worn out by corrosion was replaced by a lead one, which stopped the growth of the destruction process. A drilling analysis revealed former reconstructions. The narthex was found out to be late-after 1880 In it outer walls, wooden columns built in profile, were found out from an older wooden portico. Its construction took place after the destruction of the mwdieval monumental portico during the Russian-Turkish War/;828-29/, when the town was set on fire.

# IV. EVOLUTION OF DESIGN CONCEPTS

Please describe the history of the project, from its conception to its final construction and actual use.

All the traces of the construction of the original medieval stone portico were preserved in the northern wall. In 1981 our reconstruction project was made after these authentic data, but the restoration of the wooden portico was declined by the city management. The reconstruction envisaged a restoration of the nauthentic prototype in a ferro-concrete construction, 'hidden' in an outer stone facing, with the form and detail of the original. A number of new monumental buildings, together with the mosque with its centralizing architectural volume and emphasized vertical accent through its minaret, were among the newly built with regard to the latest urbanplanning ideas about the design of the city square. The ambitions of the city management about the mosque resulted in considerable funds granted for its restoration. As a result the windows were restored after the prototype, with stone frames and iron bars in the lower row together with the stone tracery bars over the upper row of windows, typical of the middle ages. The rescue operations about the frescoest in the mosque interior finished in 1988, together with the overall preservation and restoration of the frescoes in the dome. The additional reconstruction work on the portico of the narthex also began

## V. CONSTRUCTION DETAILS

A. Description of Materials

(please also indicate if locally produced or imported and whether fabricated on-site or elsewhere)

1. Foundations

Concrete in foundations

2. Principal Structural Members

Concrete

3. Infill

Concrete

4. Rendering of Façades or Exterior Finishes

Natural outlook of the material

5. Floors

Ceramic tiles

6. Ceilings

Restored frescoes

7. Roofing

Roof casing from lead tiles

8. Other elements (please specify)

Limestone for the lower row of windows and stone bars of shell limestone.

B. Construction Technology

Indicate the basic construction technology, methods, details or systems.

A hidden ferro-concrete construction of the dome and the ring roof belt Reconstructed portico in a ferro-concrete construction faced with limestone slabs in a white concrete cast

C. Type	of Labour Force (please indicate	percentage) yes	_ Skilled Workers	Unskilled Workers
Speci D. Origin	alist restorers	-frescoes & vi	trages, Sof	ia Foreign

VI.	TIMETABLE						
	(please specify year and month)						
	A. Commission the beginning of 1969						
	B. Design: C	Commencement Dec 1968 Completion	1984				
	C. Construction: C	Commencement 1971 at intervals Completion 1989	-suspension				
	D. Date of Project Oc	cupancy 1989-suspension of the project du	e to				
	cancelled state financing						
VII.	AREAS AND SURFACES						
	Site and Building Area (ple	ease indicate in square metres)					
	1. Total Site Area						
	2. Total Ground Floo						
	3. Total Combined Fl	oor Area520 m <sup>2</sup>					
	(including basement(s), ground floor(s) and all upper floors)						
VIII.	ECONOMICS						
		in local currency. Provide the equivalent in US dollars. Specify the date and the ra	ate of exchange for US dollars				
	at that time.						
		Amount in Amount in Exchange Rate Local Currency US dollars	e Date				
	A. Total Initial Budge	financing at annual stages, at in	tervals				
	B. Cost of Land	a change of ownership after 1989					
	C. Analysis of Actual Costs						
	1. Infrastructure vitrages 80 0001v						
	2. Labour	stone bars 75 0001v					
	3. Materials	frescoes 180 0001v					
	4. Landscaping	construction work 300 000lv					
	<ol><li>Professional Fee</li></ol>	s	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
	6. Other						
	D. Total Actual Costs	(without land) no basis for comparison due t	o the				
	E. Actual Cost per sq	.m. specifics of the restoration	work				
	F. Cost Comparison						
	Please indicate how the costs of this project relate to typical building costs in the country:						
		Average Above Average	Below Average				
	G. Sources of Funds						
	Sources of Funds     Please indicate the percentage of funds that came from:						
	Private Sources Yes Public Sources						
	2. If funding was public, what percentage was from:						
	Local Sources National Sources International Sources						

## IX. PROJECT SIGNIFICANCE AND IMPACT

In what way is this project important?

In the middle of the 1980 ies the new architectural layout of the square was just about to finish. 'The Big Mosque' as the local population called Ibrahim Pasha Mosque, was overlooking the square in its newly restored outer appearance. The citizens, as well as the city management were proud with the renewal of the square, and last but not least, with their awareness, that their city contained a historical and architectural monument of a national standing. Contrary to these public spheres there existed some nationalistic tendencies, which opposed the emphatic position of the monument. This definitely negative social attitude was demonstrated in the brutal act of some vandals, who penetrated inside the building, destroyed and smashed to pieces almost entirely the valuable original plaster vitrages on the windows. They had been preserved intact from the 17th till then, with a mimbar' of an excellent craving and the wooden elements of the 'mahafili'. When we entered the place after that vandal act, the floor was sprinkled with the small fragments of the exuisite and stylistically unique decoration of the mosque interior. The investorthe city municipality provided new funds for the restoration of the shattered vitrages and the cravings of the 'mimbar'. Projects were made after the collected fragments of the originals, of a scale 1:1 for each individual window vitrage and for the separate craved tables of the 'mimbar'. The vitrages of the dome, as well as the upper two rows of windows were worked out and assembled upcto 1988. A specialist wood-carver was intended to be called. At the end of 1989, a period of advancing economic crisis in the country and alterations in the financial policy towards the restoration of monuments of culture, the reconstruction process over the Ibrahim Pasha Mosque was cancelled.

Please note: The submission of this Record is a prerequisite to candidacy for the Award. All information contained in and submitted with the Record will be kept strictly confidential until announcement of the Award is made. Subsequently, such material may be made available by the Aga Khan Award for Architecture and you hereby grant the Aga Khan Award for Architecture a non-exclusive licence for the duration of the legal term of copyright (and all rights in the nature of copyright) in the Material submitted to reproduce the Material or licence the reproduction of the same throughout the world.

Name (please print)	Nikola Moushanov		
Signature	er-p	Date	Dec. 27 <sup>th</sup> 1994