Case 3:17-md-02777-EMC Document 486 Filed 01/10/19 Page 2 of 3

1	The People of the State of Califo	rnia, both by and through Xavier Becerra, Attorney
2	General of the State of California, and by	y and through the California Air Resources Board
3	("CARB"), represented by the Office of	the California Attorney General (together, "California"),
4	respectfully request that the proposed Se	econd California Partial Consent Decree, attached to this
5	Notice, be lodged with the Court. As set	forth in the consent decree, if accepted and entered by
6	the Court, this consent decree will resolv	ve certain claims asserted by the People of the State of
7	California against Fiat Chrysler Automo	biles N.V. ("FCA NV"), FCA US LLC ("FCA US"),
8	V.M. Motori S.p.A ("VM Italy"), and V	.M. North America, Inc. ("VM North America").
9	California intends to submit a mo	otion requesting entry of the Second California Partial
10	Consent Decree at a future date.	
11	D . 1 11 10 2010	D (C.H. 1. %)
12	Dated: July 10, 2019	Respectfully submitted,
13		XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California
14		NICKLAS A. AKERS Senior Assistant Attorneys General
15		JUDITH A. FIORENTINI Supervising Deputy Attorney General
16		/a/ Ion E. Warre
17		<u>/s/ Jon F. Worm</u> Jon F. Worm Laurel M. Carnes
18		Deputy Attorneys General Attorneys for the People of the State of
19		California
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that, on January 10, 2019, I electronically filed the foregoing Notice of Lodging and attachment with the Clerk of the Court and all parties of record using the ECF system. /s/ Jon F. Worm JON F. WORM

	Case 3.17-ma-02777-LINC Document 40	00-1 Tiled 01/10/19 Fage 1 01 20
1	XAVIER BECERRA	
2	Attorney General of California NICKLAS A. AKERS	
3	Senior Assistant Attorney General JUDITH A. FIORENTINI (CA Bar No. 201747)	
4	Supervising Deputy Attorney General Jon F. Worm (CA Bar No. 248260)	
5	Laurel M. Carnes (CA Bar No. 285690) Deputy Attorneys General	
6	600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101	
7	Telephone: (619) 738-9325 Email: judith.fiorentini@doj.ca.gov	
8	Email: jon.worm@doj.ca.gov Email: laurel.carnes@doj.ca.gov	
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff the People of the State of	of California
10		
11		
12	IN THE UNITED ST	ATES DISTRICT COURT
13	FOR THE NORTHERN	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
14	SAN FRAN	CISCO DIVISION
15		
16		
17	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,	CASE NO. 3:19-CV-00151
18	Plaintiff,	SECOND CALIFORNIA PARTIAL CONSENT
19	,	DECREE
20	V.	
21	FIAT CHRYSLER AUTOMOBILES N.V., FCA US LLC, V.M. MOTORI	
22	S.p.A., and V.M. NORTH AMERICA, INC.,	
23	Defendants.	
24	Defendants.	
25		
26	•	e State of California (the "State of California"),
27		ey General of the State of California ("the California
28	Attorney General," as specifically defined below	ow), and the California Air Resources Board

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	("CARB," as specifically defined below) (collectively "California") filed a complaint (the
2	"California Complaint") in this action on January 9, 2019, against Fiat Chrysler Automobiles N.V.,
3	FCA US LLC, V.M. Motori S.p.A, and V.M. North America, Inc. (collectively, "Defendants," as
4	specifically defined below), alleging in relevant part that, in connection with the certification,
5	marketing, distribution, and sale in California of approximately 14,000 model year ("MY") 2014 to
6	2016 Ram 1500 and MY 2014 to 2016 Jeep Grand Cherokee vehicles equipped with 3.0 liter
7	EcoDiesel engines (the "Subject Vehicles," as specifically defined below), Defendants violated 42
8	U.S.C. § 7604(a)(1); California Health and Safety Code §§ 43016, 43151, 43152, 43153, 43154,
9	43205, 43211, and 43212; 13 C.C.R. §§ 1961, 1961.2, 1965, 1968.2, and 2037 and the 40 C.F.R
10	sections incorporated therein by reference; and California Business and Professions Code §§ 17200
11	et seq., 17500 et seq., and 17580.5 (the "California Claims");
12	WHEREAS, the California Complaint alleges, in relevant part, that each Subject Vehicle
13	contains, as part of the electronic control module, certain software functions and calibrations that
14	cause the emission control system of those vehicles to perform differently (i.e., to underperform or
15	shut off) during normal vehicle operation and use, as compared to during emissions testing. The
16	California Complaint alleges that these software functions and calibrations are undisclosed

r Auxiliary Emission Control Devices ("AECDs") in violation of California and federal law, and that they are also prohibited defeat devices under California and federal law. The California Complaint also alleges that during normal vehicle operation and use, the Subject Vehicles emit increased levels of oxides of nitrogen ("NOx"). The California Complaint seeks, among other things, civil penalties, injunctive relief, mitigation, costs and other equitable relief related to the presence of the defeat devices in the Subject Vehicles;

WHEREAS, Defendants and California intend to resolve certain aspects of the California Claims through the entry of: (1) a consent decree among the United States, California, and the Defendants (the "FCA/US/CA Consent Decree") concerning the Subject Vehicles, lodged on January 10, 2019, that, among other things, establishes a recall program offering consumers an approved emissions modification to be applied to the Subject Vehicles, establishes a post-entry testing program to ensure continued compliance and durability of modified Subject Vehicles,

1	requires Defendants to implement certain corporate compliance reforms, and requires Defendants
2	to make a civil penalty payment of \$42,700,000 to CARB and a separate penalty payment of
3	\$3,175,200 to CARB for certain additional on-board diagnostic ("OBD") non-compliances; and (2)
4	a consent decree between California and Defendants ("First California Partial Consent Decree")
5	concerning the Subject Vehicles, lodged on January 10, 2019, that requires Defendants to make a
6	payment of \$19,035,000 to CARB and which is intended to fully mitigate the total lifetime excess
7	NOx emissions from the Subject Vehicles in California, as claimed by California;
8	WHEREAS, Defendants and the People of the State of California, by and through the
9	California Attorney General (together, the "Parties"), have agreed to resolve the remaining aspects
10	of the California Claims related to the Subject Vehicles without the need for litigation through this
11	Second California Partial Consent Decree;
12	WHEREAS, this Second California Partial Consent Decree (referred to herein as the
13	"Consent Decree") resolves Defendants' alleged violations of California Business and Professions
14	Code §§ 17200 et seq., 17500 et seq., and 17580.5 as more fully described in the California
15	Complaint (the "Consumer Protection Claims"), including by providing certain injunctive and
16	monetary relief to the California Attorney General;
17	WHEREAS, nothing in this Consent Decree shall constitute an admission of any fact or
18	law by any Party, including as to any factual or legal assertion set forth in the California Complaint,
19	except for the purpose of enforcing the terms or conditions set forth herein;
20	WHEREAS, the Parties recognize, and the Court by entering this Consent Decree finds,
21	that this Consent Decree has been negotiated by the Parties in good faith and will avoid litigation
22	among the Parties regarding the Consumer Protection Claims, and that this Consent Decree is fair,
23	reasonable, and in the public interest; and
24	NOW, THEREFORE, before the taking of any testimony, without the adjudication of any
25	issue of fact or law, and with the consent of the Parties, IT IS HEREBY ADJUDGED,
26	ORDERED, AND DECREED as follows:

I.

27

28

1.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28

- U.S.C. § 1331, and over the Parties to the extent limited by this paragraph. Venue lies in this

 District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), 28 U.S.C. § 1407, and the MDL Panel's Transfer Order,
 dated April 5, 2017. *In re Chrysler-Dodge-Jeep EcoDiesel Mktg., Sales Practices & Prod. Liab.*Litig., 273 F. Supp. 3d 1377 (U.S. Jud. Pan. Mult. Lit. 2017) (MDL No. 2777). The Court has
 supplemental jurisdiction over California's state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

 Defendants consent to the Court's jurisdiction over entry of this Consent Decree and over any
 action against Defendants to enforce this Consent Decree, and consent to venue in this judicial
 district for such purposes. Defendants reserve the right to challenge and oppose any claims to
 jurisdiction by California that (i) do not arise from the Court's jurisdiction over this Consent Decree
 or (ii) are not made in an action by California to enforce this Consent Decree.
 - 2. Solely for purposes of this Consent Decree, without admission of any legal or factual assertion set forth in the California Complaint, and without prejudice to their ability to contest the legal sufficiency or merits of a complaint in any other proceeding, Defendants do not contest that the California Complaint states claims upon which relief may be granted pursuant to: 42 U.S.C. § 7604(a)(1); California Health and Safety Code §§ 43016, 43151, 43152, 43153, 43154, 43205, 43211, and 43212; 13 C.C.R. §§ 1961, 1961.2, 1965, 1968.2, and 2037 and the 40 C.F.R sections incorporated therein by reference; and California Business and Professions Code §§ 17200 et seq., and 17580.5.

II. <u>APPLICABILITY</u>

- 3. The obligations of this Consent Decree apply to and are binding upon the State of California, including the California Attorney General, and upon Defendants, as applicable, and any of their respective successors, assigns, or other entities or persons otherwise bound by law.
- 4. Defendants' obligations to comply with the requirements of this Consent Decree are joint and several. In the event of the insolvency of any Defendant or the failure by any Defendant to implement any requirement of this Consent Decree, the remaining Defendants shall complete all such requirements.
- 5. Defendants shall do all things within their power and authority to ensure that any legal successor or assign of any Defendant shall remain jointly and severally liable for the payment

14

11

16

23

22

24 25

26 27

28

and other performance obligations hereunder. Defendants shall include an agreement to so remain liable in the terms of any sale, acquisition, merger, or other transaction changing the ownership or control of any of the Defendants, to which any of them is a party, and no change in the ownership or control of any Defendant shall affect the obligations hereunder of any Defendant without modification of this Consent Decree in accordance with Section XII.

- 6. Defendants shall provide a copy of this Consent Decree to the members of their respective Board of Directors and their executives whose duties might reasonably include compliance with, or oversight over compliance with, any provision of this Consent Decree. Defendants shall also ensure that any contractors, agents, and employees whose duties might reasonably include compliance with any provision of the Consent Decree are made aware of those requirements of the Consent Decree relevant to their performance.
- 7. In any action to enforce this Consent Decree, Defendants shall not raise as a defense the failure by any of their respective officers, directors, employees, agents, or contractors to take any actions necessary to comply with the provisions of this Consent Decree.

III. **DEFINITION**S

- 8. Terms that are defined in this Consent Decree are defined for purposes of this Consent Decree only and are not applicable for any other purpose. Whenever the terms set forth below are used in this Consent Decree, the following definitions shall apply:
- "California Attorney General" means the California Attorney General's Office and any of its successor departments or agencies;
- "California Complaint" means the complaint filed by California in this h. action;
- c. "CARB" means the California Air Resources Board and any of its successor departments or agencies;
- d. "Consent Decree" or "Second California Partial Consent Decree" means this partial consent decree;
- "Covered Conduct" means any and all acts or omissions, including all e. communications, occurring up to and including the Effective Date of this Consent Decree, relating

1	to: (a) the design, installation, presence, or failure to disclose any Defeat Device in any Subject	
2	Vehicle; (b) the marketing or advertisement of any Subject Vehicle as green, clean,	
3	environmentally friendly (or similar such terms), and/or compliant with California or federal	
4	emissions standards, including the marketing, advertisement, offering for sale, sale, offering for	
5	lease, lease, or distribution of any Subject Vehicles without disclosing the design, installation or	
6	presence of a Defeat Device.	
7	f. "Day" or "day" means a calendar day unless expressly stated to be a	
8	business day. In computing any period of time under this Consent Decree, where the last day would	
9	fall on a Saturday, Sunday, or federal holiday, the period shall run until the close of business of the	
10	next business day;	
11	g. "Defeat Device" has the meaning set forth in 40 C.F.R. § 86.1803-01.	
12	h. "Defendants" means FCA US LLC, Fiat Chrysler Automobiles N.V., V.M.	
13	Motori S.P.A. and V.M. North America, Inc.;	
14	i. "Effective Date" has the meaning set forth in Section VIII below;	
15	j. "Ethics Helpline" has the meaning set forth in Paragraph 50.c of the	
16	FCA/US/CA Consent Decree;	
17	k. "Subject Vehicles" means each and every MY 2014 to 2016 Jeep Grand	
18	Cherokee and Ram 1500 equipped with a 3.0 liter EcoDiesel engine and sold or offered for sale, or	
19	introduced or delivered for introduction into commerce, or registered in the United States or its	
20	Territories, or imported into the United States or its Territories, and that was identified by	
21	Defendants as covered by one of the following test groups: ECRXT03.05PV, FCRXT03.05PV, or	
22	GCRXT03.05PV.	
23	IV. <u>INJUNCTIVE PROVISIONS</u>	
24	9. Defendants are permanently restrained and enjoined from advertising, marketing,	
25	offering for sale, selling, offering for lease, leasing, or distributing, or assisting others in the	
26	advertising, marketing, offering for sale, selling, offering for lease, leasing, or distributing in	

California any vehicle that contains a Defeat Device.

27

28

1	Defendants' officers, agents, employees, and attorneys, and all other persons in active concert or	
2	participation with any of them, who receive actual notice of this Consent Decree, whether acting	
3	directly or indirectly, are permanently enjoined from, expressly or by implication, including	
4	through the use of a product name, endorsement, depiction, or illustration, materially:	
5	a. Misrepresenting that a vehicle has low emissions, lower emissions than	
6	other vehicles, or a specific level of emissions;	
7	b. Misrepresenting that a vehicle is environmentally friendly, eco-friendly,	
8	ecological, green, or words of similar import;	
9	c. Misrepresenting the fuel efficiency, driving range, or economical benefits of	
10	a vehicle relating to non-compliance with any United States or California emissions standard or	
11	emissions regulation;	
12	d. Misrepresenting that a vehicle meets or exceeds any United States or	
13	California emissions standard or emissions regulation, including any OBD regulation;	
14	e. Failing to affirmatively disclose the existence of a Defeat Device in	
15	advertising or marketing concerning a vehicle, where such vehicle contains a Defeat Device;	
16	f. Providing to others the means and instrumentalities with which to make any	
17	representation prohibited by this Consent Decree;	
18	g. Engaging in acts or practices that violate California Business and	
19	Professions Code section 17200;	
20	h. Engaging in acts or practices that violate California Business and	
21	Professions Code section 17500;	
22	i. Engaging in acts or practices that violate California Business and	
23	Professions Code section 17580.5;	
24	j. Making any false or misleading statement, or falsifying any test or data, in or	
25	in connection with an application or other submission to CARB;	
26	k. Failing to affirmatively disclose the existence of an AECD that reduces the	
27	effectiveness of the emission control system in any application or submission to CARB, or in any	
28	related communication with CARB, where such AECD has not previously been disclosed in	

1

2

- 4 5
- 6 7
- 8 9
- 10

11

12

13

- 14
- 15 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20 21
- 22 23
- 24
- 25 26
- 27
- 28

- 1. Failing to promptly notify CARB and the California Attorney General when it has reason to believe that a Defeat Device has been included in a vehicle sold or offered for sale or distribution in California;
- Failing to implement and maintain the Ethics Helpline as required by m. Paragraph 50.c of the FCA/US/CA Consent Decree; and
- Failing to comply with any injunctive terms obtained by the People of the n. State of California acting by and through CARB, by CARB, or by the United States in this or a related action concerning the Subject Vehicles, but only to the extent that the aforementioned injunctive terms apply to the specific Subject Vehicles or specific Defendants.
- 11. Except as otherwise specified in this Consent Decree, if Defendants reasonably believe they have violated or may violate any requirement of this Consent Decree, Defendants shall notify the California Attorney General of such violation and its likely duration in a written report submitted within 10 business days after the date Defendants first reasonably believe that a violation has occurred or may occur, with an explanation of the likely cause and of the remedial steps taken, or to be taken, to prevent or minimize such violation.
- 12. Nothing in this Consent Decree alters the requirements of federal or state law to the extent they offer greater protection to consumers or to the environment.

V. MONETARY PROVISIONS

- 13. **Monetary Payment**. Defendants shall pay to the California Attorney General \$13,500,000 ("Total Settlement Payment"), to be used by the California Attorney General, at the sole discretion of the California Attorney General, for the enforcement of consumer protection and environmental laws by the California Attorney General, and to defray the costs of the investigation leading to this Consent Decree, the FCA/US/CA Consent Decree, and the First California Partial Consent Decree, and of the California Attorney General's ongoing monitoring of Defendants' compliance with these consent decrees.
- 14. **Allocation of Total Settlement Payment**. The Total Settlement Payment comprises (i) \$8,300,000 to resolve the Consumer Protection Claims concerning the no fewer than

the Covered Conduct concerning the Subject Vehicles, including for civil penalties, fines, other

27

28

monetary payments and injunctive relief.

1	b. All claims against Defendants that were brought or could be brought for
2	relief to consumers (including claims for restitution, refunds, rescission, damages, disgorgement or
3	other monetary payments, and attorneys' fees and costs), arising from or related to the Covered
4	Conduct concerning the Subject Vehicles. In exchange for this release of the California Attorney
5	General's claims for relief to consumers, Defendants shall provide the relief to the consumers that
6	is provided for in the FCA/US/CA Consent Decree, as well as the relief to consumers that is
7	provided for in the consumer class action settlement concerning the Subject Vehicles to be filed by
8	the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee ("FCA Consumer Class Action Settlement"), subject to judicial
9	approval of the FCA/US/CA Consent Decree and the FCA Consumer Class Action Settlement.
10	This paragraph does not release any claims held by individual consumers, nor create any right for
11	any individual consumer to enforce.
12	c. All claims for costs and attorneys' fees, including as alleged in the
13	California Complaint.
14	18. To the extent that any claims released herein are duplicative of claims to be
15	released, settled, or resolved by California under the FCA/US/CA Consent Decree or First
16	California Partial Consent Decree, those claims are not released unless or until the Court approves
17	and enters the FCA/US/CA Consent Decree and the First California Partial Consent Decree.
18	19. California reserves, and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to, all claims,
19	rights, and remedies against Defendants with respect to all matters not expressly resolved in
20	Paragraph 17. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Decree, California reserves all claims,
21	rights, and remedies against Defendants with respect to:
22	a. Enforcement of the terms of this Consent Decree;

- b. All rights reserved under the FCA/US/CA Consent Decree and First California Partial Consent Decree, except as provided under this Consent Decree, including in paragraph 17 of this Consent Decree;
 - c. Any criminal liability;

24

25

26

27

28

d. Any part of any claims for the violations of securities laws or the California False Claims Act, California Government Code §§ 12650 et seq.;

e.

7

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

than CARB; and,

Any other claims of any officer or agency of the State of California other

- f. Any claims held by individual consumers.
- 20. This Consent Decree, including the release set forth in paragraph 17, does not modify, abrogate, or otherwise limit the injunctive and other relief to be provided by Defendants under, nor any obligation of any party or person under the FCA/US/CA Consent Decree or the First California Partial Consent Decree.
- 21. This Consent Decree shall not be construed to limit the rights of California to obtain penalties or injunctive relief, except as specifically provided in paragraph 17. California further reserves all legal and equitable remedies to address any imminent and substantial endangerment to the public health or welfare or the environment arising at any of Defendants' facilities, or posed by the Subject Vehicles, whether related to the violations addressed in this Consent Decree or otherwise.
- 22. In any subsequent judicial proceeding initiated by California for injunctive relief, civil penalties, or other relief, Defendants shall not assert, and may not maintain, any defense or claim based upon the principles of waiver, res judicata, collateral estoppel, issue preclusion, claim preclusion, claim-splitting, or other defenses based upon any contention that the claims raised by California in the subsequent proceeding were or should have been brought in the instant case, except with respect to the claims that have been specifically released pursuant to paragraph 17.
- 23. This Consent Decree is not a permit, or a modification of any permit, under any federal, State, or local laws or regulations. Defendants are each responsible for achieving and maintaining complete compliance with all applicable federal, State, and local laws, regulations, and permits; and Defendants' compliance with this Consent Decree shall be no defense to any action commenced pursuant to any such laws, regulations, or permits, except as set forth herein. California does not, by its consent to the entry of this Consent Decree, warrant or aver in any manner that Defendants' compliance with any aspect of this Consent Decree will result in compliance with provisions of the Clean Air Act, or with any other provisions of United States, State, or local laws, regulations, or permits.

General certifies that he or she is fully authorized to enter into the terms and conditions of this

Consent Decree and to execute and legally bind the Party he or she represents to this document.

27

28

///

XIII. FINAL JUDGMENT 36. Upon approval and entry of this Consent Decree by the Court, this Consent Decree shall constitute a final judgment of the Court as to the California Attorney General and the Defendants. The Court finds that there is no just reason for delay and therefore enters this judgment as a final judgment under Fed. R. Civ. P. 54 and 58. Dated and entered this day of ______, 2019, EDWARD M. CHEN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Case 3:17-md-02777-EMC Document 486-1 Filed 01/10/19 Page 16 of 20

1	FOR THE PEOPLE OF THE ST BECERRA, ATTORNEY GEN	TATE OF CALIFORNIA, BY AND THROUGH XAVIER IERAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA:
2	BBBBBB , TITTOTH BT GBT	· O CHEM ON THE
3	Jan. 9, 2019	Qualit
4	Date	JUDITH A. FIORENTINI Supervising Deputy Attorney General
5		JON F. WORM LAUREL M. CARNES
6		Deputy Attorneys General
7		California Department of Justice Office of the Attorney General
8		600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101
9		
10		Attorneys for Plaintiff the People of the State of California
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17	-	
18		
19		
20		
21	* ·	
22	~	
23	8	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	FOR DEFENDANTS:
2	FOR FCA US LLC:
3	
4	
5	CHRISTOPHER J. PARDI
6	NAFTA General Counsel and Secretary
7	
8	
9	FOR FIAT CHRYSLER AUTOMOBILES N.V.:
10	
11	
12	GIORGIO FOSSATI
13	Corporate General Counsel and Secretary
14	
15	
16	FOR V.M. MOTORI S.P.A.:
17	
18	
19	GIORGIO FOSSATI
20	Attorney-in-Fact
21	
22	FOR V.M. NORTH AMERICA, INC.:
23	FOR V.M. NORTH AMERICA, INC
24	
25	CIODOTO FORGATI
26	GIORGIO FOSSATI Attorney-in-Fact
27 28	
40	A .

case 3:17-md-02777-EMC Document 486-1 Filed 01/10/19 Page 17 of 20

1	FOR DEFENDANTS:
2	FOR FCA US LLC:
3	
4	
5	CHRISTOPHER J. PARDI
6	NAFTA General Counsel and Secretary
7	
8	
9	FOR FIAT CHRYSLER AUTOMOBILES N.V.:
10	
11	
12	GIORGIO FOSSATI
13	Corporate General Counsel and Secretary
14	
15	
16	FOR V.M. MOTORI S.P.A.:
17	P & 1 1
18	Mon 100 Denn
19	GIORGIO FOSSATI
20	Attbrney-in- F act
21	
22 23	FOR V.M. NORTH AMERICA, INC.:
24	1 OAT THE THORITINE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE TH
25	
26	CIOPCIO ECCEATI
27 27	Attorney-in-Fact
28	

Case 3:17-md-02777-EMC Document 486-1 Filed 01/10/19 Page 19 of 20

1 COUNSEL FOR FCA US LLC, FIAT CHRYSLER AUTOMOBILES N.V., V.M. MOTORI S.P.A., and V.M. NORTH AMERICA, INC.: 2 3 4 5 ROBERT J. GIUFFRA, JR. SCOTT D. MILLER 6 DAVID M.J. REIN 7 C. MEGAN BRADLEY Sullivan & Cromwell LLP 8 125 Broad Street New York, New York 10004 9 giuffrar@sullcrom.com millersc@sullcrom.com 10 reind@sullcrom.com 11 bradleyc@sullcrom.com 12 13 14 15 GRANTA Y. NAKAYAMA 16 JOSEPH A. EISERT ILANA S. SALTZBART 17 King & Spalding LLP 1700 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., Suite 200 18 Washington, DC 20006 gnakayama@kslaw.com 19 jeisert@kslaw.com 20 isaltzbart@kslaw.com 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Case 3:17-md-02777-EMC Document 486-1 Filed 01/10/19 Page 20 of 20

COUNSEL FOR FCA US LLC, FIAT CHRYSLER AUTOMOBILES N.V., V.M. MOTORI 1 S.P.A., and V.M. NORTH AMERICA, INC.: 2 3 4 5 ROBERT J. GIUFFRA, JR. SCOTT D. MILLER 6 DAVID M.J. REIN 7 C. MEGAN BRADLEY Sullivan & Cromwell LLP 8 125 Broad Street New York, New York 10004 9 giuffrar@sullcrom.com millersc@sullcrom.com 10 reind@sullcrom.com bradleyc@sullcrom.com 11 12 13 14 15 GRANTA Y. N. 16 JOSEPH A. EISERT ILANA S. SALTZBART 17 King & Spalding LLP 1700 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., Suite 200 18 Washington, DC 20006 gnakayama@kslaw.com 19 jeisert@kslaw.com isaltzbart@kslaw.com 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28