UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

LAURENCE KAPLAN, on behalf of himself, : individually, and on behalf of others similarly : situated.

Plaintiff,

Civil Action No. 13-2941 (MAS)(TJB)

v.

SAINT PETER'S HEALTHCARE SYSTEM,: RONALD C. RAK, an individual, SUSAN: BALLESTERO, an individual, GARRICK: STOLDT, an individual, and JOHN and JANE: DOES, each an individual, 1-20.

Defendants.

ORDER

This matter comes before the Court upon the motions of Defendants Saint Peter's Healthcare System, Ronald C. Rak, Susan Ballestero, and Garrick Stoldt (collectively, "SPHS" or "Defendants") pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure ("Rule") 12(b)(1) and 12(b)(6). (Defs.' Br., ECF No. 42-1.) Plaintiff Laurence Kaplan ("Plaintiff" or "Mr. Kaplan") opposed Defendants' motions (Pl.'s Opp'n, ECF No. 48) and Defendants replied (Defs.' Reply, ECF No. 54). Plaintiff also filed a Motion to Strike certain certifications and exhibits attached to Defendants' Motions to Dismiss (ECF No. 47) and Defendants opposed (ECF No. 53). The Court has carefully considered the Parties' submissions and heard oral argument on March 27, 2014. For the reasons stated in the Memorandum Opinion filed today, and other good cause shown,

IT IS on this 31st day of March, 2014, ORDERED that:

- 1) As a matter of law, the Saint Peter's Healthcare System Retirement Plan is not a church plan, as defined in the Employee Retirement Income Security Act, 29 U.S.C. § 1002(33).
- 2) Defendants' Motions to Dismiss pursuant to Rules 12(b)(1) and 12(b)(6) are DENIED.

3)	Plaintiff's Motion	to Strike certain	certifications and	d exhibits is DENIEI) as moot
ונ	FIAIHUH SIVIOUOH	io silike celiani	cerunications and	TEXIIIDHS IS DEINICL	z as mooi

s/ Michael A. Shipp

MICHAEL A. SHIPP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE