1 The Honorable Christopher Lanese 2 3 4 5 6 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR THURSTON COUNTY 8 SUNEET S. BATH, DMD PS d/b/a Impressions 9 Dentistry Family Cosmetics, individually and on No. 20-2-01421-34 behalf of others similarly situated, 10 AMENDED COMPLAINT—CLASS Plaintiff, 11 **ACTION** 12 v. 13 TRAVELERS CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA, an insurance 14 company, 15 Defendant. 16 17 I. INTRODUCTION 18 Plaintiff Suneet S. Bath DMD PS d/b/a Impressions Dentistry Family Cosmetics ("Bath" 19 or "Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of all other similarly situated members of the defined 20 national class and the defined Washington subclasses (the "Class Members"), by and through the 21 22 undersigned attorneys, brings this class action against Defendant TCICA Casualty Insurance 23 Company of America ("TCICA" or "Defendant") and alleges as follows based on personal 24 knowledge and information and belief: 25 26

2.

II. JURISDICTION

- 1. This Court has original jurisdiction pursuant to RCW 2.08.010 because the case originates in Washington and amount in controversy exceeds the jurisdictional threshold.
- 2. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant registered to do business in Washington, has sufficient minimum contacts with Washington, and otherwise intentionally avails itself of the markets within Washington through its business activities, such that the exercise of jurisdiction by this Court is proper pursuant to RCW 4.28.185. Moreover, the claims of Plaintiff and all of the Washington subclass members in this case arise out of and directly relate to Defendant's contacts with Washington.

III. PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff Suneet S. Bath, DMD PS d/b/a Impressions Dentistry Family Cosmetics (Bath) owns and operates a dental business with its principal place of business located at 4538 Martin Way E., Olympia, WA 98516.
- 4. Defendant TCICA Casualty Insurance Company of America is an insurance carrier domiciled in Connecticut and whose headquarters are located in Hartford, Connecticut.
- 5. Defendant is authorized to write, sell, and issue business insurance policies in all fifty states and the District of Columbia. Defendant conducted business within Washington by selling and issuing business insurance policies to policyholders, including Bath.

IV. NATURE OF THE CASE

6. Due to COVID-19 and proclamations and orders by Washington Governor Jay Inslee and/or other civil authorities, Plaintiff was forced to suspend or dramatically limit its dental business.

- 7. Plaintiff intended to rely on its business insurance to maintain income in case of an insured loss. This lawsuit is filed to ensure that Plaintiff and other similarly-situated policyholders receive the insurance benefits to which they are entitled and for which they paid.
- 8. Defendant issued one or more insurance policies to Plaintiff, including a Businessowners Coverage Policy and related endorsements ("the Policy"), insuring Plaintiff's property and business practice at all relevant times, including the periods of January 1 to December 31, 2019, and January 1 to December 31, 2020.
- 9. Plaintiff's business property includes property owned and/or leased by Plaintiff and used for general business purposes for the specific purpose of dental services and other related business activities.
- 10. Defendant promises to pay Plaintiff for risks of "direct physical loss of or damage to" covered property.
- 11. The Policy includes coverage for risks of both damage to and loss of covered property.
- 12. The Policy expressly defines property damage as including "loss of use" of property.
- 13. Defendant's Businessowners Coverage Form provides Plaintiff with Business Income Coverage, Extra Expense Coverage, Extended Business Income Coverage, and Civil Authority Coverage.
 - 14. Plaintiff paid all premiums for the coverage when due.
- 15. On or about January 2020, the United States of America saw its first cases of persons infected by COVID-19, which has been designated a worldwide pandemic.

16. In light of this pandemic, on February 29, 2020, Washington Governor Jay Inslee issued Proclamation 20-5, declaring a State of Emergency for all counties in the state of Washington as the result of COVID-19. Thereafter, Governor Inslee issued a series of certain proclamations and orders affecting many persons and businesses in Washington, whether infected with COVID-19 or not, requiring certain public health precautions.

17. On March 19, 2020, Governor Inslee issued Proclamation 20-24, "Restrictions on Non Urgent Medical Procedures." The proclamation provides, in part:

WHEREAS, the health care person protective equipment supply chain in Washington State has been severely disrupted by the significant increased use of such equipment worldwide, such that there are now critical shortages of this equipment for health care workers. To curtail the spread of the COVID-19 pandemic in Washington State and to protect our health care workers as they provide health care services, it is necessary to immediately prohibit all hospitals, ambulatory surgery centers, and dental orthodontic, and endodontic offices in Washington State from providing health care services, procedures and surgeries that require personal protective equipment, which if delayed, are not anticipated to cause harm to the patient within the next three months[.]

- 18. On March 23, 2020, Governor Inslee issued Proclamation 20-25, "Stay Home—State Healthy." The proclamation requires that "[a]ll people in Washington State [] immediately cease leaving their home or place of residence except: (1) to conduct or participate in essential activities, and/or (2) for employment in essential business activities." The proclamation prohibits "all non-essential businesses in Washington State from conducting business, within the limitations provided herein."
 - 19. Governor Inslee has extended Proclamation until May 31, 2020.
- 20. By order of Governor Inslee, dentists including Plaintiff were prohibited from providing services but for urgent and emergency procedures.
 - 21. No COVID-19 virus has been detected on Plaintiff's business premises.

- 22. Plaintiff's property has sustained direct physical loss and/or damages related to COVID-19 and/or the proclamations and orders.
- 23. Plaintiff's property will continue to sustain direct physical loss or damage covered by the TCICA policy or policies, including but not limited to business interruption, extra expense, extended business interruption, interruption by civil authority, and other expenses.
 - 24. Plaintiff's property cannot be used for its intended purposes.
- 2.5 As a result of the above, Plaintiff has experienced and will experience loss covered by the TCICA policy or policies.
- 26. TCICA denied coverage for Bath's loss on April 1, 2020. Upon information and belief, TCICA has denied and will deny coverage for other similarly situated policyholders.

V. CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 27. This matter is brought by Plaintiff on behalf of itself and those similarly situated, under Washington Civil Rules 23(b)(1), 23(b)(2), and 23(b)(3).
 - 28. The Classes and Subclasses that Plaintiff seeks to represent are defined as:
 - A. Business Income Coverage Breach of Contract Class: All persons and entities in the United States insured under a TCICA policy with Business Income Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities and whose Business Income claim was denied by TCICA.
 - В. Business Income Coverage Breach of Contract Washington Subclass: All persons and entities in the State of Washington insured under a TCICA policy with Business Income Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered

Seattle, WA 98101-3052 TELEPHONE: (206) 623-1900 FACSIMILE: (206) 623-3384

premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities and whose Business Income claim was denied by TCICA.

- C. Business Income Coverage Declaratory Relief Class: All persons and entities in the United States insured under a TCICA policy with Business Income Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities.
- D. Business Income Coverage Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass:

 All persons and entities in the State of Washington insured under a TCICA policy with

 Business Income Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered

 premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other

 civil authorities.
- E. Extra Expense Breach of Contract Class: All persons and entities in the United States insured under a TCICA policy with Extra Expense coverage who incurred expenses while seeking to minimize losses from the suspension of business at the covered premises in connection with COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities and whose Extra Expense claim was denied by TCICA.
- F. Extra Expense Breach of Contract Washington Subclass: All persons and entities in the State of Washington insured under a TCICA policy with Extra Expense coverage who incurred expenses while seeking to minimize losses from the suspension of business at the covered premises in connection with COVID-19 and/or

orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities and whose Extra Expense claim was denied by TCICA.

- G. Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Class: All persons and entities in the United States insured under a TCICA policy with Extra Expense coverage who incurred expenses while seeking to minimize losses from the suspension of their business at the covered premises in connection with COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities.
- H. Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass: All persons and entities in the State of Washington insured under a TCICA policy with Extra Expense coverage who incurred expenses while seeking to minimize losses from the suspension of their business at the covered premises in connection with COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities.
- I. Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Class: All persons and entities in the United States insured under a TCICA policy with Extended Business Income coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities and whose Extended Business Income claim was denied by TCICA.
- J. Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Washington Subclass:

 All persons and entities in the State of Washington insured under a TCICA policy with

 Extended Business Income coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the

 covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or

other civil authorities and whose Extended Business Income claim was denied by TCICA.

- K. Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Class: All persons and entities in the United States insured under a TCICA policy with Extended Business Income coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises due to COVID-19 related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities.
- L. Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass:

 All persons and entities in the State of Washington insured under a TCICA policy with

 Extended Business Income coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the

 covered premises due to COVID-19 related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by

 Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities.
- M. *Civil Authority Breach of Contract Class:* All persons and entities in the United States insured under a TCICA policy with Civil Authority coverage who suffered a loss of business income and/or extra expense related to the impact of COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities and whose Civil Authority claim was denied by TCICA.
- N. *Civil Authority Breach of Contract Washington Subclass:* All persons and entities in the State of Washington insured under a TCICA policy with Civil Authority coverage who suffered a loss of business income and/or extra expense related to the impact of COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities and whose Civil Authority claim was denied by TCICA.

- O. *Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Class:* All persons and entities in the United States insured under a TCICA policy with Civil Authority coverage who suffered a loss of business income and/or extra expense related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities.
- P. Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass: All persons and entities in the State of Washington insured under a TCICA policy with Civil Authority coverage who suffered a loss of business income and/or extra expense related to the impact of COVID-19 19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities.
- 29. Excluded from the Classes and Subclasses are Defendant's officers, directors, and employees; the judicial officers and associated court staff assigned to this case; and the immediate family members of such officers and staff. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend the Class definition based on information obtained in discovery.
- 30. This action may properly be maintained on behalf of each proposed Class under the criteria of CR 23.
- 31. **Numerosity**: The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all members would be impractical. Plaintiff is informed and believes that the proposed Class and Subclass contains hundreds of members. The precise number of class members can be ascertained through discovery, which will include Defendant's records of policyholders.
- 32. **Commonality and Predominance**: Common questions of law and fact predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of the Class. Common questions include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Α. Whether the class members suffered covered losses based on common policies issued to members of the Class and Subclass;
- B. Whether TCICA acted in a manner common to the Class and Subclass and wrongfully denied claims for coverage relating to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities;
- C. Whether Business Income coverage in TCICA's policies of insurance applies to a suspension of business relating to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities;
- D. Whether Extra Expense coverage in TCICA's policies of insurance applies to efforts to minimize a loss relating to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities;
- E. Whether Extended Business Income coverage in TCICA's policies of insurance applies to a suspension of business relating to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or civil authorities;
- F. Whether Civil Authority coverage in TCICA's policies of insurance applies to a suspension of business relating to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or civil authorities;
- G. Whether TCICA has breached its contracts of insurance through a blanket denial of all claims based on business interruption, income loss or closures related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities:
- H. Whether, because of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff and the class members have suffered damages; and if so, the appropriate amount thereof; and

AMENDED COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION - 10

Seattle, WA 98101-3052 TELEPHONE: (206) 623-1900 FACSIMILE: (206) 623-3384

- I. Whether, because of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff and the class members are entitled to equitable and declaratory relief, and if so, the nature of such relief.
- 33. **Typicality**: Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the classes. Plaintiff and all the members of the classes have been injured by the same wrongful practices of TCICA. Plaintiff's claims arise from the same practices and course of conduct that give rise to the claims of the members of the Class and are based on the same legal theories.
- 34. **Adequacy**: Plaintiff will fully and adequately assert and protect the interests of the classes and has retained class counsel who are experienced and qualified in prosecuting class actions. Neither Plaintiff nor its attorneys have any interests contrary to or in conflict with the Class.
- 35. CR 23(b)(1), the Risk of Inconsistent or Varying Adjudications and Impairment to Other Class Members' Interests: Plaintiff seeks adjudication as to the interpretation, and resultant scope, of Defendant's policies, which are common to all members of the class. The prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the classes would risk inconsistent or varying interpretations of those policy terms and create inconsistent standards of conduct for Defendant. The policy interpretations sought by Plaintiff could also impair the ability of absent class members to protect their interests.
- 36. **CR 23(b)(2), Declaratory and Injunctive Relief**: Defendant acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to Plaintiff and other members of the proposed classes making injunctive relief and declaratory relief appropriate on a classwide basis.
- 37. **CR 23(b)(3), Superiority**: A class action is superior to all other available methods of the fair and efficient adjudication of this lawsuit. While the aggregate damages

sustained by the classes are likely to be in the millions of dollars, the individual damages incurred by each class member may be too small to warrant the expense of individual suits. Individual litigation creates a risk of inconsistent and/or contradictory decisions and the court system would be unduly burdened by individual litigation of such cases. A class action would result in a unified adjudication, with the benefits of economies of scale and supervision by a single court.

VI. CAUSES OF ACTION

Count One—Declaratory Judgment

(Brought on behalf of the Business Income Coverage Declaratory Relief Class, Business Income Coverage Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass, Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Class, Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass, Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Class, Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass, Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Class, and Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass)

- 38. Previous paragraphs alleged are incorporated herein.
- 39. This is a cause of action for declaratory judgment pursuant to the Uniform Declaratory Judgments Act, RCW 7.24.010 *et seq*.
- 40. Plaintiff brings this cause of action on behalf of the Business Income Coverage
 Declaratory Relief Class, Business Income Coverage Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass,
 Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Class, Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Washington
 Subclass, Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Class, Extended Business Income
 Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass, Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Class, and Civil
 Authority Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass.
- 41. Plaintiff seeks a declaratory judgment declaring that Plaintiff's and class members losses and expenses resulting from the interruption of their business are covered by the Policy.

26

42. Plaintiff seeks a declaratory judgment declaring that TCICA is responsible for timely and fully paying all such claims.

Count Two—Breach of Contract

(Brought on behalf of the Business Income Coverage Breach of Contract Class, Business Income Coverage Breach of Contract Washington Subclass, Extra Expense Breach of Contract Class, Extra Expense Breach of Contract Washington Subclass, Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Class, Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Washington Subclass, Civil Authority Breach of Contract Class, and Civil Authority Breach of Contract Washington Subclass)

- 43. Previous paragraphs alleged are incorporated herein.
- 44. Plaintiff brings this cause of action on behalf of the Business Income Coverage
 Breach of Contract Class, Business Income Coverage Breach of Contract Washington Subclass,
 Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Class, Extended Business Income Breach of
 Contract Washington Subclass, Civil Authority Breach of Contract Class, Civil Authority
 Breach of Contract Washington Subclass, Extra Expense Breach of Contract Class, and Extra
 Expense Breach of Contract Washington Subclass.
- 45. The Policy is a contract under which Plaintiff and the class paid premiums to TCICA in exchange for TCICA's promise to pay Plaintiff and the class for all claims covered by the Policy.
 - 46. Plaintiff has paid its insurance premiums.
- 47. Upon information and belief, TCICA has denied, and will continue to deny coverage for other similarly situated policyholders.
 - 48. Denying coverage for the claim is a breach of the insurance contract.
 - 49. Plaintiff is harmed by the breach of the insurance contract by TCICA.