1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA 8 ARNELL PRATO, DDS, PLLC., individually 9 and on behalf of all others similarly situated, No. 10 Plaintiff, COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION 11 v. 12 JURY DEMAND SENTINEL INSURANCE COMPANY, 13 LIMITED 14 Defendant. 15 16 I. **INTRODUCTION** 17 Plaintiff, ARNELL PRATO, DDS, PLLC ("Prato"), individually and on behalf of all 18 other similarly situated members of the defined national class (the "Class Members"), by and 19 through the undersigned attorneys, brings this class action against Defendant Sentinel Insurance 20 21 Company, Limited ("Sentinel or "Defendant") and alleges as follows based on personal 22 knowledge and information and belief: 23 II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE 24 1. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to the Class Action Fairness 25 Act of 2005, 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d), because at least one Class member is of diverse citizenship 26 COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION - 1 KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.

1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200

2.

13

14

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

from Defendant, there are 100 or more Class members nationwide, and the aggregate amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000. The Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

- Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(3) because the Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant, a substantial portion the alleged wrongdoing occurred in this District and the state of Washington, and Defendant has sufficient contacts with this District and the state of Washington.
- 3. Venue is proper in the Western District of Washington pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims at issue in this Complaint arose in this District. Plaintiff's businesses are located in Tacoma, Pierce County. This action is therefore appropriately filed in the Tacoma Division because a substantial portion of the events giving rise to this lawsuit arose in Pierce County.

### III. **PARTIES**

- 4. Plaintiff, Arnell Prato, DDS, PLLC., is a dental business with locations at 7808 Pacific Avenue, Suite 5, Tacoma, WA 98408 and 4125 9th Ave NE, Suite D, Tacoma, WA 98422.
- 5. Defendant Sentinel Insurance Company, Limited is an insurance carrier incorporated and domiciled in Connecticut, with its principal place of business in Hartford Connecticut.

### IV. NATURE OF THE CASE

6. Due to COVID-19 and a state-ordered mandated closure, Plaintiff cannot provide dental services. Plaintiff intended to rely on its business insurance to keep its business as a going

concern. This lawsuit is filed to ensure that Plaintiff and other similarly-situated policyholders receive the insurance benefits to which they are entitled and for which they paid.

- 7. Defendant Sentinel issued one or more insurance policies to Plaintiff, including Spectrum Business Owners Policy and related endorsements, insuring Plaintiff's property and business practice and other coverages, with effective dates of July 30, 2019 to July 30, 2020.
- 8. Plaintiff's business property includes property owned and/or leased by Plaintiff and used for general business purposes for the specific purpose of dental and other business-related activities.
- 9. Defendant Sentinel's insurance policy issued to Plaintiff promises to pay Plaintiff for "direct physical loss of or physical damage to" covered property.
- 10. Defendant Sentinel's insurance policy issued to Plaintiff includes Business Income Coverage, Extra Expense Coverage, Extended Business Income Coverage and Civil Authority Coverage.
  - 11. Plaintiff paid all premiums for the coverage when due.
- 12. On or about January 2020, the United States of America saw its first cases of persons infected by COVID-19, which has been designated a worldwide pandemic.
- 13. In light of this pandemic, Washington Governor Jay Inslee issued certain proclamations and orders affecting many persons and businesses in Washington, whether infected with COVID-19 or not, requiring certain public health precautions. Among other things, Governor Inslee's "Stay Home, Stay Healthy" order required the closure of all non-essential businesses, including Plaintiff's business.
- 14. By order of Governor Inslee, dentists including Plaintiff were prohibited from practicing dental services but for urgent and emergency procedures.

1112

13

1415

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

15. Governor Inslee's "PROCLAMATION BY THE GOVERNOR AMENDING PROCLAMATION 20-05: 20-24 Restrictions on Non Urgent Medical Procedures," dated March 19, 2020, also provides, in part:

WHEREAS, the health care person protective equipment supply chain in Washington State has been severely disrupted by the significant increased use of such equipment worldwide, such that there are now critical shortages of this equipment for health care workers. To curtail the spread of the COVID-19 pandemic in Washington State and to protect our health care workers as they provide health care services, it is necessary to immediately prohibit all hospitals, ambulatory surgery centers, and dental orthodontic, and endodontic offices in Washington State from providing health care services, procedures and surgeries that require personal protective equipment, which if delayed, are not anticipated to cause harm to the patient within the next three months.

- 16. No COVID-19 virus has been detected on Plaintiff's business premises.
- 17. Plaintiff's property has sustained direct physical loss and/or damage related to COVID-19 and/or the proclamations and orders.
- 18. Plaintiff's property will continue to sustain direct physical loss or damage covered by the Sentinel policy or policies, including but not limited to business interruption, extra expense, interruption by civil authority, and other expenses.
  - 19. Plaintiff's property cannot be used for its intended purposes.
- 20. As a result of the above, Plaintiff has experienced and will experience loss covered by the Sentinel policy or policies.
- 21. Upon information and belief, Sentinel has denied or will deny all similar claims for coverage.

## V. CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 22. This matter is brought by Plaintiff on behalf of itself and those similarly situated, under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(b)(1), 23(b)(2), and 23(b)(3).
  - 23. The Classes that Plaintiff seeks to represent are defined as:

A. Business Income Breach of Contract Class: All persons and entities in the United States insured under a Sentinel policy with Business Income Coverage who suffered a suspension of their practice at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities and whose Business Income claim has been denied by Sentinel.

- B. Business Income Coverage Breach of Contract Washington Subclass:

  All persons and entities in the State of Washington insured under a Sentinel policy with

  Business Income Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered

  premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other

  civil authorities and whose Business Income claim has been denied by Sentinel.
- C. Business Income Declaratory Relief Class: All persons and entities in the United States insured under a Sentinel policy with Business Income Coverage who suffered a suspension of their practice at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities.
- D. Business Income Coverage Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass:

  All persons and entities in the State of Washington insured under a Sentinel policy with

  Business Income Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered

  premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other

  civil authorities.
- E. *Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Class:* All persons and entities in the United States insured under a Sentinel policy with Extended Business Income Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or

other civil authorities and whose Extended Business Income claim has been denied by Sentinel.

- F. Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Washington Subclass:

  All persons and entities in the State of Washington insured under a Sentinel policy with

  Extended Business Income coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the

  covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or

  other civil authorities and whose Extended Business Income claim has been denied by

  Sentinel.
- G. Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Class: All persons and entities in the United States insured under a Sentinel policy with Extended Business Income Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities.
- H. Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass:

  All persons and entities in the State of Washington insured under a Sentinel policy with

  Extended Business Income coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the

  covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or

  other civil authorities.
- I. Extra Expense Breach of Contract Class: All persons and entities in the United States insured under a Sentinel policy with Extra Expense Coverage who sought to minimize losses from the suspension of their business at the covered premises in connection with COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors,

and/or other civil authorities and whose Extra Expense claim has been denied by Sentinel.

- J. Extra Expense Breach of Contract Washington Subclass: All persons and entities in the State of Washington insured under a Sentinel policy with Extra Expense coverage who sought to minimize losses from the suspension of their business at the covered premises in connection with COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities and whose Extra Expense claim has been denied by Sentinel.
- K. Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Class: All persons and entities in the United States insured under a Sentinel policy with Extra Expense Coverage who sought to minimize losses from the suspension of their business at the covered premises in connection with COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities.
- L. Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass: All persons and entities in the State of Washington insured under a Sentinel policy with Extra Expense coverage who sought to minimize losses from the suspension of their business at the covered premises in connection with COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities.
- M. *Civil Authority Breach of Contract Class:* All persons and entities in the United States insured under a Sentinel policy with Civil Authority Coverage who suffered a suspension of their practice and/or extra expense at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities and whose Civil Authority claim has been denied by Sentinel.

- N. *Civil Authority Breach of Contract Washington Subclass:* All persons and entities in the State of Washington insured under a Sentinel policy with Civil Authority coverage who suffered a suspension of their practice and/or extra expense at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities and whose Civil Authority claim has been denied by Sentinel.
- O. *Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Class:* All persons and entities in the United States insured under a Sentinel policy with Civil Authority Coverage who suffered a suspension of their practice at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities.
- P. *Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass:* All persons and entities in the State of Washington insured under a Sentinel policy with Civil Authority coverage who suffered a suspension of their practice at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities.
- 24. Excluded from the Classes are Defendant's officers, directors, and employees; the judicial officers and associated court staff assigned to this case; and the immediate family members of such officers and staff. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend the Class definitions based on information obtained in discovery.
- 25. This action may properly be maintained on behalf of each proposed Class under the criteria of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 26. **Numerosity**: The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all members would be impractical. Plaintiff is informed and believes that the proposed Class

contains thousands of members. The precise number of class members can be ascertained through discovery, which will include Defendant's records of policyholders.

- 27. **Commonality and Predominance**: Common questions of law and fact predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of the Class. Common questions include, but are not limited to, the following:
  - A. Whether the class members suffered covered losses based on common policies issued to members of the Class;
  - B. Whether Sentinel acted in a manner common to the class and wrongfully denied claims for coverage relating to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities;
  - C. Whether Business Income Coverage in Sentinel's policies of insurance applies to a suspension of practice relating to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities;
  - D. Whether Extended Business Income Coverage in Sentinel's policies of insurance applies to a suspension of practice relating to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities;
  - E. Whether Extra Expense Coverage in Sentinel's policies of insurance applies to efforts to minimize a loss at the covered premises relating to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities;
  - F. Whether Civil Authority Coverage in Sentinel's policies of insurance applies to a suspension of practice relating to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or civil authorities;

- G. Whether Sentinel has breached its contracts of insurance through a blanket denial of all claims based on business interruption, income loss or closures related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities;
- H. Whether, because of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff and the class members have suffered damages; and if so, the appropriate amount thereof; and
- I. Whether, because of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff and the class members are entitled to equitable and declaratory relief, and if so, the nature of such relief.
- 28. **Typicality**: Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the classes. Plaintiff and all the members of the classes have been injured by the same wrongful practices of Defendant. Plaintiff's claims arise from the same practices and course of conduct that give rise to the claims of the members of the Class and are based on the same legal theories.
- 29. **Adequacy**: Plaintiff will fully and adequately assert and protect the interests of the classes and has retained class counsel who are experienced and qualified in prosecuting class actions. Neither Plaintiff nor its attorneys have any interests contrary to or in conflict with the Class.
- 30. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(1), the Risk of Inconsistent or

  Varying Adjudications and Impairment to Other Class Members' Interests: Plaintiff seeks adjudication as to the interpretation, and resultant scope, of Defendant's policies, which are common to all members of the class. The prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the classes would risk inconsistent or varying interpretations of those policy terms and create

18

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

inconsistent standards of conduct for Defendant. The policy interpretations sought by Plaintiff could also impair the ability of absent class members to protect their interests.

- 31. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(2), Declaratory and Injunctive Relief: Defendant acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to Plaintiff and other members of the proposed classes making injunctive relief and declaratory relief appropriate on a classwide basis.
- 32. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(3), Superiority: A class action is superior to all other available methods of the fair and efficient adjudication of this lawsuit. While the aggregate damages sustained by the classes are likely to be in the millions of dollars, the individual damages incurred by each class member may be too small to warrant the expense of individual suits. Individual litigation creates a risk of inconsistent and/or contradictory decisions and the court system would be unduly burdened by individual litigation of such cases. A class action would result in a unified adjudication, with the benefits of economies of scale and supervision by a single court.

### VI. CAUSES OF ACTION

## **Count One—Declaratory Judgment**

(Brought on behalf of the Business Income Coverage Declaratory Relief Class, Business Income Coverage Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass, Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Class, Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass, Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Class, Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass, Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Class, and Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass)

- 33. Previous paragraphs alleged are incorporated herein.
- 34. This is a cause of action for declaratory judgment pursuant to the Declaratory Judgment Act, codified at 28 U.S.C. § 2201.

	4
	5
	6
,	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1.	5
1	6
1	7
1	8

35. Plaintiff Prato bring	s this cause of action on behalf of the Business Income		
Coverage Declaratory Relief Class	, Business Income Coverage Declaratory Relief Washington		
Subclass, Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Class, Extended Business Income			
Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass, Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Class, Extra			
Expense Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass, Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Class, and			
Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass			

- 36. Plaintiff Prato seeks a declaratory judgment declaring that Plaintiff Prato and class members' losses and expenses resulting from the interruption of their business are covered by the Policy.
- 37. Plaintiff Prato seeks a declaratory judgment declaring that Sentinel is responsible for timely and fully paying all such claims.

# **Count Two—Breach of Contract**

(Brought on behalf of the Business Income Coverage Breach of Contract Class, Business Income Coverage Breach of Contract Washington Subclass, Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Class, Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Washington Subclass, Extra Expense Breach of Contract Class, Extra Expense Breach of Contract Washington Subclass, Civil Authority Breach of Contract Class, and Civil Authority Breach of Contract Washington Subclass)

- 38. Previous paragraphs alleged are incorporated herein.
- 39. Plaintiff Prato brings this cause of action on behalf of the Business Income Coverage Breach of Contract Class, Business Income Coverage Breach of Contract Washington Subclass, Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Class, Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Washington Subclass, Extra Expense Breach of Contract Class, Extra Expense Breach of Contract Washington Subclass, Civil Authority Breach of Contract Class and Civil Authority Breach of Contract Washington Subclass.

26

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- 40. The Policy is a contract under which Plaintiff Prato and the class paid premiums to Sentinel in exchange for Sentinel's promise to pay plaintiff and the class for all claims covered by the Policy.
  - 41. Plaintiff Prato has paid its insurance premiums.
- 42. Plaintiff submitted a claim to Sentinel for the direct physical loss or damage to Plaintiff's property covered under the Sentinel policy related to COVID-19 and/or the proclamations and orders; Sentinel denied Plaintiff's claim for coverage. On information and belief, Sentinel has denied, and will continue to deny coverage for other similarly situated policyholders.
  - 43. Denying coverage for the claim is a breach of the insurance contract.
  - 44. Plaintiff Prato is harmed by the breach of the insurance contract by Sentinel.

### VII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

- 1. A declaratory judgment that the policy or policies cover Plaintiff's losses and expenses resulting from the interruption of the Plaintiff's business related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other authorities.
- 2. A declaratory judgment that the defendant is responsible for timely and fully paying all such losses.
  - 3. Damages.
  - 4. Pre- and post-judgment interest at the highest allowable rate.
  - 5. Reasonable attorney fees and costs.
  - 6. Such further and other relief as the Court shall deem appropriate.

## VIII. JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all claims so triable.

1	DATED this 29th day of April, 2020.	
2		WELLED DOUBDACK LAD
3		KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.
		By: s/ Amy Williams-Derry
4		By: s/ Lynn L. Sarko
5		By: s/ Ian S. Birk
		By: s/ Gretchen Freeman Cappio
6		By: s/ Irene M. Hecht
7		By: s/Maureen Falecki
′		By: s/Nathan L. Nanfelt
8		Amy Williams-Derry, WSBA #28711
		Lynn L. Sarko, WSBA #16569
9		Ian S. Birk, WSBA #31431
10		Gretchen Freeman Cappio, WSBA #29576
10		Irene M. Hecht, WSBA #11063
11		Maureen Falecki, WSBA #18569
		Nathan Nanfelt, WSBA #45273
12		1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200
12		Seattle, WA 98101
13		Telephone: (206) 623-1900
14		Fax: (206) 623-3384
		Email: awilliams-derry@kellerrohrback.com
15		Email: lsarko@kellerrohrback.com
1.0		Email: ibirk@kellerrohrback.com
16		Email: gcappio@kellerrohrback.com
17		Email: ihecht@kellerrohrback.com
- /		Email: mfalecki@kellerrohrback.com
18		Email: nnanfelt@kellerrohrback.com
19		
20		By: s/ Alison Chase
20		Alison Chase, <i>pro hac vice forthcoming</i> 801 Garden Street, Suite 301
21		Santa Barbara, CA 93101
		Telephone: (805) 456-1496
22		Fax: (805) 456-1497
23		Email: achase@kellerrohrback.com
		Zinan. dendse e kenerrom odek.com
24		Attorneys for Plaintiff
25		
26	4827-5799-3915, v. 1	
26		

COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION - 14

KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.

1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200 Seattle, WA 98101-3052 TELEPHONE: (206) 623-1900 FACSIMILE: (206) 623-3384