

**Table S2.** Sensitivity analysis — consensus persistence ranking in which  $T_0$  = first visit and  $T_1$  = last visit. **Analysis population:** improvers ( $\Delta$  inflammatory > 10 between first and last visit), N = 566.

| Rank | Symptom (QuASiL item)   | Subdomain    | Mean rank<br>(across 5 analyses) | SD               |
|------|-------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|------------------|
| 1    | Q3: Bruising            | Vascular     | 1. <sup>4</sup>                  | 0. <sup>49</sup> |
| 2    | Q10: Swelling           | Inflammatory | 2. <sup>2</sup>                  | 1. <sup>17</sup> |
| 3    | Q2: Touch sensitivity   | Pain/Sensory | 3. <sup>4</sup>                  | 1. <sup>36</sup> |
| 4    | Q9: Fatigue             | Functional   | 4. <sup>2</sup>                  | 0. <sup>98</sup> |
| 5    | Q1: Pain                | Pain/Sensory | 5. <sup>0</sup>                  | 1. <sup>67</sup> |
| 6    | Q8: Leg heaviness       | Inflammatory | 5. <sup>4</sup>                  | 1. <sup>20</sup> |
| 7    | Q4: Pressure/tension    | Inflammatory | 6. <sup>4</sup>                  | 0. <sup>49</sup> |
| 8    | Q6: Cold sensation      | Vascular     | 8. <sup>0</sup>                  | 0. <sup>00</sup> |
| 9    | Q7: Cramps              | Vascular     | 9. <sup>8</sup>                  | 1. <sup>17</sup> |
| 10   | Q11: Skin irritation    | Skin         | 10. <sup>6</sup>                 | 1. <sup>20</sup> |
| 11   | Q13: Walking difficulty | Functional   | 11. <sup>2</sup>                 | 1. <sup>17</sup> |
| 12   | Q12: Itching            | Skin         | 11. <sup>4</sup>                 | 0. <sup>80</sup> |
| 13   | Q5: Heat/burning        | Inflammatory | 12. <sup>0</sup>                 | 1. <sup>55</sup> |

Friedman test for the sensitivity cohort (patients with all 13 symptoms active at first visit, N = 80):  $\chi^2(12) = 63.65$ ,  $p = 4.9 \times 10^{-9}$ . Spearman rank correlation with the primary consensus ranking (Table 6):  $\rho = 0.945$ ,  $p = 1.1 \times 10^{-6}$ . The emergence analysis (Analysis 4), which used the raw multi-visit trajectory, remained unchanged and has thus not been repeated here.