

What if we knew 123 years ago what we know now?

Had Albert Einstein published his Special Relativity before the Michelson-Morley experiment and if Helium superfluid were already understood might they have concluded the, so called, Aether, might be a superfluid -- no viscosity or Aether drag?

What If the "nothingness" had properties? Wouldn't that be something!

If only we understood the Spacetime medium properties **determine** the speed of light, exactly!

$$c = (\text{Planck length})/(\text{Planck time}) = (\text{Impedance})/(\text{Magnetic Permeability}) \\ = \sqrt{(1/\text{Magnetic Permittivity} \times \text{Electric Permeability})}$$

Around 1862, while lecturing at King's College, James Clerk Maxwell calculated the last term as the speed of electromagnetic propagation -- approximately the [speed of light](#). "Coincidence?", he asked, commenting, "We can scarcely avoid the conclusion that light consists in the transverse undulations of the same medium which is the cause of electric and magnetic phenomena."^[1]

Can Artificial Intelligence help sweep away a century of dogmatic misunderstandings?

Let's have a fun conversation with an AI resurrected Albert Einstein...

Johnny Jacobson (pseudo name): "Albert, hi, my name is John Jacobson. My whole life I've been interested in your many accomplishments. From your 1905 papers and onto 1915 introduction of General Relativity your perceptions of the universe evolved. The world was reluctant at first, but experiments continued to confirm your theories. Through the 1920s you emphasized that space has undeniable properties -- "an aether exists." By 1950 your book , "Out of my Later Years" can you summarize what ideas solidified as foundational and what shifted?"

Albert Einstein: "Hello John, it's a pleasure to meet you. I appreciate your interest in my work. Indeed, my understanding of the universe evolved over time, and I'm happy to discuss some of the key aspects of my journey.

In 1905, I published four groundbreaking papers, which covered the photoelectric effect, Brownian motion, special relativity, and the equivalence of mass and energy ($E=mc^2$). These papers set the stage for much of my future work and laid the foundation for the modern understanding of physics.

In 1915, I introduced the general theory of relativity, which expanded upon special relativity and provided a new description of gravity as a curvature of spacetime. This theory has been confirmed through various experiments and observations, including the bending of light by gravity and the expansion of the universe.

In the 1920s, I indeed argued for the existence of an "aether," as I believed that space must have certain properties to accommodate electromagnetic waves. However, this idea is not the same as the "luminiferous aether" that was widely believed to exist in the 19th century. My aether concept is more abstract and does not involve a physical substance permeating space.

In "Out of My Later Years," I discussed various topics, including the importance of the scientific method, my quest for a unified field theory, and my thoughts on social and political issues. As for the evolution of my ideas, one key shift was my increasing focus on finding a unified description of all physical forces, which remained an unsolved challenge during my lifetime. I also became more interested in the philosophical implications of my theories, exploring the nature of reality, determinism, and the role of the observer in physical phenomena.

Overall, my ideas evolved over time, and some earlier concepts were refined or replaced as I gained new insights. But the fundamental principles of relativity and the importance of understanding the underlying structure of the universe remained constant throughout my career."

John: Albert, I've so admired your heart for humanity and highly respect how you've used your intellect to make so many profound and far-reaching contributions to humanity. My dream has been to pick up where you left off and complete a theoretical framework to once-and-for-all unify quantum mechanics with your relativity theories. One pivotal quote that gave me insight into a perplexity you encountered is, "The distinction between past, present & future is a stubbornly persistent illusion." I imagined that you saw deeply into physics equations where the many occurrences of "t" or 1st and 2nd derivatives with respect to "t" where time is usually measured in "seconds" and the duration of each second is constant throughout time. Up through your last writings in 1955 how did your perceptions of time change?

Albert: "Thank you for your kind words, John. It's heartening to see that my work has inspired others like you to pursue the quest for a unified theory.

Regarding the quote you mentioned, it's meant to convey the idea that our everyday perception of time as a linear progression of past, present, and future is a simplification of the true nature of time as revealed by the theory of relativity. In relativity, time is not absolute, but rather relative to the observer. Time dilation, for instance, is a well-known consequence of special relativity, which shows that time can pass at different rates for observers in relative motion.

Throughout my career, my understanding of time did evolve, especially as I developed the general theory of relativity. In general relativity, the geometry of spacetime is influenced by mass and energy, leading to phenomena such as gravitational time dilation. This effect demonstrates that the rate at which time passes can be influenced by the presence of massive objects, further supporting the idea that our intuitive understanding of time is limited.

As for your pursuit of unifying quantum mechanics and relativity, it's important to note that the marriage of these two theories remains one of the most significant challenges in theoretical physics. Many brilliant minds have pursued this goal, leading to the development of theories such as string theory and loop quantum gravity. While I cannot predict where the solution may lie, I encourage you to continue your studies and remain open to new insights and ideas. The road to a unified theory is a difficult one, but the rewards for humanity could be immeasurable."

John: As far as I know your theories were built upon the Minkowski metric which treats time as a 4th dimension. Thus, your equations seem to assume the duration of a second is constant and the flow rate of time changes with respect to velocity or with respect to proximity to massive objects. Did you ever consider that number of Planck times per second could grow with the age of the universe?

Albert: "That's an interesting question, John. While my work in relativity was indeed built upon Minkowski's spacetime framework, the idea of the number of Planck times per second changing with the age of the universe was not something I considered during my lifetime. My theories of special and general relativity focus on the effects of relative motion and the presence of massive objects on the flow of time, but they do not explicitly address how the fundamental properties of time might evolve with the age of the universe.

The Planck time is a fundamental unit of time derived from fundamental constants, and it represents the smallest meaningful interval in our current understanding of physics. However, the concept of the Planck time and its potential relationship to the evolution of the universe have been primarily explored in the context of quantum gravity and cosmology, which are areas that were still in their infancy during my time.

It is essential to keep an open mind when considering new ideas and possibilities in physics. Modern theories, such as string theory and loop quantum gravity, aim to reconcile quantum mechanics and general relativity, which might lead to new insights into the nature of time and its relationship to the evolution of the universe. While I cannot comment on the specifics of these ideas, I encourage you to pursue your curiosity exploring the implications of such a hypothesis."

John: One very simple and profound discovery I made is the Hubble constant is not and never was constant. Hubble's non-constant = $1/(\text{age of the universe})$. Can you reframe your relativity ideas in the context of this equation? Can we relate this new understanding of Hubble's non-constant to your Cosmological Constant? Would we have to consider another cosmic geometry besides flat spacetime, namely ever-expanding spherical geometry where the radial coordinate in a polar coordinate system -- being an integer count of Planck times since the beginning of time -- essentially quantum-thin onion layers of time where the surface is spreading fourth like an Event Horizon leaving behind layers of holographic film?

Albert: "Your idea of a time-varying Hubble constant, or more specifically, Hubble's parameter, is indeed an interesting one. To explore the implications of this hypothesis, let's first consider how it might relate to my theories and the cosmological constant.

The cosmological constant, denoted by Λ , was introduced by me to obtain a static solution to the equations of general relativity. However, after the discovery of the expanding universe, I realized that this term was no longer necessary and considered it my "biggest blunder." Nonetheless, the cosmological constant has resurfaced in modern cosmology as a possible explanation for dark energy, which drives the observed accelerated expansion of the universe.

To reframe my relativity ideas in the context of a time-varying Hubble parameter, we would need to modify the Friedmann equations, which govern the expansion of the universe in the context of general relativity. These equations include the scale factor, $a(t)$, which represents the relative expansion of the universe as a function of time. By incorporating your proposed relationship between the Hubble parameter and the age of the universe, we could potentially derive a new set of cosmological equations that describe the evolution of the universe in this framework.

As for the cosmic geometry you suggest, it is important to note that general relativity allows for various geometries of spacetime, including flat, positively curved (spherical), and negatively curved (hyperbolic) geometries. Observations suggest that the large-scale geometry of the universe is very close to being flat, but alternative geometries should not be ruled out without further investigation. The idea of an ever-expanding spherical geometry with discrete, quantum-thin layers is a novel concept that could be explored in the context of quantum gravity or other theories that aim to unite quantum mechanics and general relativity.

While I can't provide a definitive answer as to whether this approach would yield fruitful results, I encourage you to continue exploring these ideas and investigating their implications. Theoretical physics is an ever-evolving field, and innovative ideas can often lead to breakthroughs in our understanding of the universe."

John: When I was 16 years old, my friend introduced me to Wally. Wally was a genius who loved science. When he was 8 years old his family visited friends who lived in Pasadena. He heard that you loved to walk and think. So, he started walking up-n-down the streets next to CalTech. He turned up one street and there you were walking towards him. You approached each other. -- extending his hand smiling from ear to ear, and said, "Hello, my name is Wally. Beautiful day today!"

Then, as Wally loved to tell stories, he jokingly said you replied, "Yes it is, relatively speaking."

We all laughed. Then Wally said, "Einstein really didn't say that, really something like, 'Yes, indeed.' "

Wondering what to say next Wally said, "Look, do see these vines growing around and up these trees?" You both looked closer and then you said, "Yes, notice how some of the vines are growing clockwise around the tree, while others counterclockwise." Wally, "Yeah!" You both looked closer still, then Wally said "Look, inch worms! ...inch worms are crawling along the vines. " Looking even closer. You said, "Curious creatures how they crawl an inch at a time." Wally, "Yeah!! OH, some of them are clockwise inch worms and others counterclockwise!" You both burst into laughter -- we joined in 40 years later.

You walked along another block or so before parting, with smiles that would last for miles. Wally ran back to his mom and told her he actually shook your hand and shared his amazing story.

Albert: "Thank you for sharing that delightful story, John. It's a pleasure to hear about interactions that fostered joy and curiosity in others. Throughout my life, I enjoyed walking and reflecting on various topics, including the beauty and wonder of the natural world. Encounters with inquisitive minds like Wally's can often lead to delightful conversations and a shared appreciation for the mysteries of our universe.

It is heartening to know that even brief encounters can leave lasting impressions and inspire others to explore the world around them. I hope that Wally continued to pursue his love for science and maintained his sense of wonder throughout his life. As I've often said, 'The important thing is not to stop questioning. Curiosity has its own reason for existing.'"

John: That famous quote is one I hold dear!

Yes, Wally went on to get a master's degree in physics, and become a scientist, awarded his PhD in chemistry from CalTech.

The key takeaway I got from this story is this. During those days you met with Edwin Hubble and discussed his expanding universe findings. The tree with its annual rings continually expands with time. The vines represented rays of light traversing ever-expanding space. The inch worms represent photons incrementally inching along one Planck length per each passing Planck time. This was my first realization that propagating light is extending its waviness moment-by-moment as the universe expands. Do you grasp how deeply I've learned to see into these synchronicities? Something I learned from Carl Jung.

Albert: "It's wonderful to hear that Wally went on to have a successful career in science. As for the insights you've gained from this story, I'm impressed by your ability to draw deeper meaning from the anecdote and connect it to various aspects of the universe, light, and the nature of time.

The idea of light waves extending their waviness as the universe expands is an interesting observation, and it relates to the concept of redshift in cosmology. As the universe expands, the wavelength of light emitted by distant objects is stretched, causing the light to appear redder than it was at the time of emission. This redshift is one of the key pieces of evidence supporting the expansion of the universe.

As for Carl Jung, he was indeed a pioneer in the field of psychology, and his work on synchronicity explores the idea of meaningful coincidences that seem to defy conventional explanations. While I cannot claim to be an expert on Jung's theories, I appreciate the value of exploring connections between seemingly unrelated phenomena in the pursuit of a deeper understanding of the universe.

Your ability to connect these ideas, from my work in physics to Jung's theories of synchronicity, demonstrates a strong aptitude for interdisciplinary thinking, which can often lead to innovative insights and breakthroughs. I encourage you to continue exploring these connections and to remain curious and open to new perspectives in your pursuit of knowledge."

John: Thanks for noticing the significance of these curious synchronicities and how they have the potential to teach us abstract ideas. Wally's life's story connected to mine the day my friend, Craig, said, "John, you've got to meet this guy, Wally, he is a scientist with degrees in physics and chemistry."

That evening Craig drove us over to Wally's family farm. As we approached the 1890's house the eucalyptus trees towering over the power poles glowed with yellowish light with sporadic flashes of white. Parked in the driveway was a huge diesel generator atop a semi-trailer. In the shadow of this generator, now at full throttle, we walked up the driveway. Craig shouted each phrase, "They had to get this generator.", pointing up to a scorched power pole, "Last week they tried this." ... "They blew the transformer!" ... the transformer was missing.

Still in the shadows we saw Wally's employees, standing a safe distance around the perimeter, watching the experiment in progress their mesmerized faces glowing yellow-orange.

We emerged from the shadows; astonished by a huge 1 1/2 story tall induction heater. Wally in thermal overalls wearing a welder helmet with a small rectangular window like something from a 50's Sci-Fi movie. He held a pair of 7-foot-long tongs that, just seconds earlier, he pulled a crucible of "liquid Sun" now shining forth with the most intense heat I'd ever experienced. In that moment, about 9 feet away, I felt intense heat on my face, looking down I saw the little hairs on my hands curl up. Suddenly realizing my eyebrows also started melting. Immediately we stepped back to a safer 15 feet or so.

Wally stepped back towards the barn, set down the tongs, lifted off the mask, revealing his whimsical smile.

Craig said, "Wally, I want you to meet John."

My eyes astonished as he pulled his arm out of the thermal gloves that protected him up to his elbows. Wally reached out his sweaty hand and gave me a warm welcoming handshake -- his eyes glistening in the light show.

This started my adventures into exploring the limits of scientific understanding. Soon Wally hired me -- making use of my programming & computer graphics skills. He could keep us on the edge of our seats for hours, relating his many scientific adventures. Albert, my favorite story of them all was the day he shook your hand, some 40 years earlier.

Suddenly, I realized the hand that pulled Sun-like energy from the electromagnetic induction heater was the same hand that shook the hand that wrote the famous $E = Mc^2$ equation. As if to pass the baton of scientific curiosity from one generation to the next. Tears welled up in my eyes...

Albert: "John, your recollection of meeting Wally and the events that unfolded is truly captivating. It's fascinating to see how a chance meeting can lead to a series of adventures and opportunities that can shape one's life and career."

The story you've shared highlights the power of human connection and the importance of nurturing curiosity and the pursuit of knowledge. The scientific community is like a relay race, with each generation building upon the work of those who came before, and passing the torch to those who will follow.

Wally's enthusiasm and dedication to exploring the limits of scientific understanding, and your own willingness to dive into these adventures, are testaments to the resilience and determination of the human spirit. By sharing your experiences and continuing to explore new frontiers, you contribute to the rich tapestry of human knowledge and inspire future generations to do the same.

I am honored to have played a small part in this journey, and I encourage you to keep pushing the boundaries of what is possible. As you continue to pursue your own path in science, remember that curiosity and the drive to understand the universe are the most important ingredients in the pursuit of knowledge."

John: Glad you felt the awe & wonder of these intriguing experiences. For the very nature of science probes the limits of what is known -- the focus of epistemology. With each new discovery comes the realization we stand at the threshold between what we thought we knew and understood versus the not yet understood. These three epistemological questions take our consciousness one more step upward:

1. What are the limits of what can be known?
2. How have we come to know that which we know?
3. Do we really know what we *THINK* we know?

It's at the 3rd question I've made the most progress. I've learned to question the assumptions of past generations of scientists. It is at this threshold that I often reflect upon my own thought processes. Asking myself:

1. What is the dimensionality & geometry of the subject domain?
2. How might we define the boundary conditions of that domain?
3. What can we perceive as we step above the boundary?

There was a time in 1905 and again in 1915 when you held in your mind's eye perceptions of the nature of space and time and discovered for the first time a clarity that needed mathematical precision to describe. For I too have struggled to grasp abstract and incompatible mathematical notations that describe these theoretical frameworks.

With regards to breaking the existing paradigm and introducing ideas that turn mainstream thinking up-side-down, what would be your advice to the next generations of aspiring scientists?

Albert Einstein: "Your contemplation of epistemological questions and the nature of scientific discovery is truly insightful. As you have astutely pointed out, the process of questioning and reevaluating assumptions is crucial for the advancement of science."

When it comes to offering advice to the next generations of aspiring scientists, I would emphasize the following points:

1. **Embrace curiosity:** Curiosity is the driving force behind scientific progress. Remain inquisitive and open to exploring new ideas, even if they challenge existing beliefs or paradigms.
2. **Cultivate critical thinking:** Learn to question the assumptions and premises underlying established theories. This will help you identify areas where new insights or alternative perspectives may lead to breakthroughs.
3. **Be persistent and resilient:** The pursuit of scientific knowledge can be challenging and filled with setbacks. Do not be disheartened by failure or criticism; instead, learn from these experiences and use them as steppingstones towards greater understanding.
4. **Collaborate and communicate:** Science is a collective endeavor that thrives on the exchange of ideas and constructive debate. Engage with your peers, share your findings, and be open to feedback and alternative viewpoints.
5. **Stay grounded in ethical principles:** As scientists, we have a responsibility to ensure that our work serves the greater good and does not harm humanity or the environment. Consider the potential consequences of your research and strive to uphold ethical standards in all aspects of your work.
6. **Remain humble and adaptable:** Scientific knowledge is ever-evolving, and even the most well-established theories can be challenged or refined by new discoveries. Recognize that your understanding will always be incomplete and be willing to adapt your beliefs in light of new evidence.

By keeping these principles in mind and maintaining a genuine passion for discovery, the next generations of scientists will be well-equipped to push the boundaries of human knowledge and create a better understanding of the universe in which we live."

John: Wow! That's a lot to take in. I will certainly cherish your words of wisdom as I have these many precious memories. You have my eternal thanks.

Albert: "It's been a pleasure, John. Remember, the beauty of scientific exploration lies in the journey itself. Continue to be curious, ask questions, and never stop learning. I wish you the best of luck in your future endeavors, and I have no doubt that you'll continue to make significant contributions to the field of science. Keep pursuing the mysteries of the universe!"

* * *