No. 420

Introduced by Senator Padilla

February 18, 2025

An act to add Chapter 24.6 (commencing with Section 22756) to Division 8 of the Business and Professions Code, and to add Article 11 (commencing with Section 10285.8) to Chapter 1 of Part 2 of Division 2 of the Public Contract Code, relating to artificial intelligence.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 420, as amended, Padilla. Individual rights. Automated decision systems.

The California AI Transparency Act requires a covered provider, as defined, of a generative artificial intelligence system to make available an AI detection tool at no cost to the user that meets certain criteria, including that the tool outputs any system provenance data, as defined, that is detected in the content. The California Consumer Privacy Act of 2018 grants a consumer various rights with respect to personal information that is collected or sold by a business, as defined, including the right to direct a business that sells or shares personal information about the consumer to third parties not to sell or share the consumer's personal information, as specified.

This bill would express the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation that would relate to strengthening, establishing, and promoting certain rights and values related to artificial intelligence.

This bill would generally regulate a developer or a deployer of a high-risk automated decision system, as defined, including by requiring a developer or a deployer to perform an impact assessment on the high-risk automated decision system before making it publicly available

or deploying it, as prescribed. The bill would require a state agency to require a developer of a high-risk automated decision system deployed by the state agency to provide to the state agency a copy of the impact assessment and would require the state agency to keep that impact assessment confidential. The bill would also require a developer to provide to the Attorney General or Civil Rights Department, within 30 days of a request from the Attorney General or the Civil Rights Department, a copy of an impact assessment and would require the impact assessment to be kept confidential.

This bill would authorize the Attorney General or the Civil Rights Department to bring a specified civil action to enforce compliance with the bill, as prescribed, and would authorize a developer or deployer to cure, within 45 days of receiving a certain notice of a violation, the noticed violation and provide an express written statement, made under penalty of perjury, that the violation has been cured. By expanding the scope of the crime of perjury, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

This bill would prohibit a state agency from awarding a contract for a high-risk automated decision system to a person who has violated, among other civil rights laws, the bill.

Existing constitutional provisions require that a statute that limits the right of access to the meetings of public bodies or the writings of public officials and agencies be adopted with findings demonstrating the interest protected by the limitation and the need for protecting that interest.

This bill would make legislative findings to that effect.

The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: no-yes. State-mandated local program: no-yes.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

1 SECTION 1. The Legislature finds and declares all of the 2 following:

(a) (1) Artificial intelligence technologies are becoming an
 integral part of daily life in California and have profound
 implications for privacy, equity, fairness, and public safety.

4 (2) It is critical to protect individuals' rights to safeguard against 5 potential harms, including discrimination, privacy violations, and 6 unchecked automation in critical decisionmaking processes.

7 (3) A comprehensive set of rights must be established to ensure
8 artificial intelligence technologies align with the public interest
9 and reflect the values of California residents.

10 (b) (1) Individuals should have the right to receive a clear and 11 accessible explanation about how artificial intelligence systems 12 operate, including the data they use and the decisions they make.

(2) An entity that uses artificial intelligence systems to make
decisions impacting California residents should provide a
mechanism to inform individuals of the system's logic, processing
methods, and intended outcomes in a manner that is
understandable.

(c) (1) All individuals have the right to control their personal
data in relation to artificial intelligence systems. Artificial
intelligence systems should operate with the highest standards of
data privacy and security, in line with the California Consumer
Privacy Act of 2018 and other relevant privacy laws.

(2) Before personal data is used in artificial intelligence systems,
entities should obtain informed, explicit consent from individuals,
and individuals should have the right to withdraw consent at any
time without penalty.

(3) Entities should ensure that personal data used by artificial
intelligence systems is anonymized or pseudonymized if feasible,
and data retention should be limited to the purposes for which the
data was initially collected.

31 (d) (1) Artificial intelligence systems should not discriminate
32 against individuals based on race, gender, sexual orientation,
33 disability, religion, socioeconomic status, or other protected
34 characteristics under California law.

(2) Entities deploying artificial intelligence technologies should
perform regular audits to identify and address any biases or
inequities in their artificial intelligence systems and should ensure
that artificial intelligence systems are designed and trained to
promote fairness and equal treatment.

1 (e) (1) Individuals should have the right to hold entities 2 accountable for any harm caused by artificial intelligence systems, 3 and entities should be liable for the actions and decisions made by 4 artificial intelligence technologies they deploy. (2) An individual or group adversely affected by artificial 5 intelligence-driven decisions should have access to 6 а 7 straightforward and transparent process for seeking redress, 8 including the ability to challenge those decisions through human 9 review and appeal mechanisms. (f) (1) Individuals should have the right to request human 10 oversight for significant decisions made by artificial intelligence 11 systems that impact them, particularly in areas such as employment, 12 13 health care, housing, education, and criminal justice. 14 (2) Artificial intelligence systems in high-stakes decisionmaking 15 contexts should involve human review or intervention before final decisions, ensuring that automated decisions align with human 16 17 values and public policy goals. 18 SEC. 2. It is the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation 19 that would relate to strengthening, establishing, and promoting the 20 rights and values described in Section 1 of this act. 21 SEC. 2. Chapter 24.6 (commencing with Section 22756) is 22 added to Division 8 of the Business and Professions Code, to read: 23 Chapter 24.6. Automated Decision Systems 24 25 26 22756. As used in this chapter: (a) "Algorithmic discrimination" means the condition in which 27 28 an automated decision system contributes to unlawful 29 discrimination on the basis of a protected classification. 30 (b) "Artificial intelligence" means an engineered or 31 machine-based system that varies in its level of autonomy and that 32 can, for explicit or implicit objectives, infer from the input it 33 receives how to generate outputs that can influence physical or 34 virtual environments. 35 (c) (1) "Automated decision system" means a computational 36 process derived from machine learning, statistical modeling, data 37 analytics, or artificial intelligence that issues simplified output, 38 including a score, classification, or recommendation, that is used 39 to assist or replace human discretionary decisionmaking and 40 materially impacts natural persons.

1 (2) "Automated decision system" does not mean a spam email 2 filter, firewall, antivirus software, identity and access management

3 tool, calculator, database, dataset, or other compilation of data.

4 (*d*) "Deployer" means a natural person or entity that uses a 5 high-risk automated decision system in the state.

6 (e) "Detecting decisionmaking patterns without influencing 7 outcomes" means the act of artificial intelligence analyzing 8 patterns for informational purposes without direct influence on 9 decisions.

(f) "Developer" means a natural person or entity that designs,
codes, produces, or substantially modifies a high-risk automated
decision system for use in the state.

(g) "Education enrollment or opportunity" means the chance
to obtain admission, accreditation, evaluation, certification,
vocational training, financial aid, or scholarships with respect to
an educational opportunity.

(h) "Employment or employment opportunity" means hiring,
salary, wage, or other material term, condition, or privilege of an
employee's employment.

20 *(i) "Health care" means health care services or insurance for* 21 *health, mental health, dental, or vision.*

(j) (1) "High-risk automated decision system" means an
automated decision system that is used to assist or replace human
discretionary decisions that have a legal or similarly significant
effect, including decisions that materially impact access to, or

26 approval for, any of the following:

27 (A) Education enrollment or opportunity.

28 (B) Employment or employment opportunity.

29 (C) Essential utilities.

30 (D) Temporary, short-term, or long-term housing.

31 *(E) Health care services.*

32 (F) Lending services.

33 (G) A legal right or service.

34 (H) An essential government service.

35 (2) "High-risk automated decision system" does not include an

36 automated decision system that only performs narrow procedural

37 tasks, enhances human activities, detects patterns without

38 influencing decisions, or assists in preparatory tasks for

39 assessment.

1	(k) "Improving	results	of previou	sly complete	d human
2	activities" means	the act	of artificial	intelligence	enhancing
3	existing human-per	rformed to	asks without	altering decis	ions.

4 *(l) "Narrow procedural task" means a limited, procedural task* 5 *that has a minimal impact on outcomes.*

6 (m) "Preparatory task for assessment" means a task in which 7 an artificial intelligence aids in a preparatory task for assessment 8 or evaluation without direct decisionmaking authority.

9 (n) "Protected classification" means a classification protected

10 under existing law prohibiting discrimination, including, but not

limited to, the Fair Employment and Housing Act (Chapter 7
 (commencing with Section 12960) of Part 2.8 of Division 3 of Title

12 (commencing with Section 12900) of 1412.00 of Division 5 of 111e 13 2 of the Government Code) or the Unruh Civil Rights Act (Section

14 51 of the Civil Code).

15 (o) (1) "State agency" means any of the following:

16 (A) A state office, department, division, or bureau.

17 (B) The California State University.

18 (C) The Board of Parole Hearings.

19 (D) A board or other professional licensing and regulatory body

20 under the administration or oversight of the Department of 21 Consumer Affairs.

(2) "State agency" does not include the University of California,
the Legislature, the judicial branch, or a board that is not
described in paragraph (1).

(p) "Substantial modification" means a new version, release,
or other significant update that materially changes the functionality
or performance of a high-risk automated decision system, including

28 the results of retraining.

29 22756.1. (a) (1) For a high-risk automated decision system

30 made publicly available for use on or after January 1, 2026, a

developer shall perform an impact assessment on the high-risk
 automated decision system before making the high-risk automated

duromated decision system before making the mg.decision system publicly available for use.

(2) For a high-risk automated decision system first made
publicly available for use before January 1, 2026, a developer
shall perform an impact assessment if the developer makes a
substantial modification to the high-risk automated decision system.
(b) (1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), for a high-risk

39 automated decision system first deployed after January 1, 2026,

a deployer shall perform an impact assessment within two years
 of deploying the high-risk automated decision system.

3 (2) A state agency that is a deployer may opt out of performing

4 an impact assessment if the state agency uses the automated

5 decision system only for its intended use as determined by the6 developer and all of the following requirements are met:

7 (A) The state agency does not make a substantial modification
8 to the high-risk automated decision system.

9 (B) The developer of the high-risk automated decision system

is in compliance with Section 10285.8 of the Public Contract Codeand subdivision (d).

12 (C) The state agency does not have a reasonable basis to believe 13 that deployment of the high-risk automated decision system as 14 intended by the developer is likely to result in algorithmic 15 discrimination.

16 (D) The state agency is in compliance with Section 22756.3.

(c) (1) A developer shall make available to deployers and
potential deployers the statements included in the developer's
impact assessment pursuant to paragraph (2).

20 (2) An impact assessment prepared pursuant to this section 21 shall include all of the following:

(A) A statement of the purpose of the high-risk automated
decision system and its intended benefits, intended uses, and
intended deployment contexts.

(B) A description of the high-risk automated decision system's
intended outputs.

(C) A summary of the types of data intended to be used as inputs
to the high-risk automated decision system and any processing of
those data inputs recommended to ensure the intended functioning
of the high-risk automated decision system.

31 (D) A summary of reasonably foreseeable potential 32 disproportionate or unjustified impacts on a protected 33 classification from the intended use by deployers of the high-risk

34 *automated decision system.*

35 (E) A developer's impact assessment shall also include both of 36 the following:

37 *(i)* A description of safeguards implemented or other measures

38 taken by the developer to mitigate and guard against risks known

39 to the developer of algorithmic discrimination arising from the

40 use of the high-risk automated decision system.

1 (ii) A description of how the high-risk automated decision system 2 can be monitored by a deployer for risks of algorithmic 3 discrimination known to the developer. 4 (F) A statement of the extent to which the deployer's use of the 5 high-risk automated decision system is consistent with, or varies from, the developer's statement of the high-risk automated decision 6 system's purpose and intended benefits, intended uses, and intended 7 8 deployment contexts. 9 (G) A description of safeguards implemented or other measures taken to mitigate and guard against any known risks to the deployer 10 of discrimination arising from the high-risk automated decision 11 12 system. 13 (H) A description of how the high-risk automated decision 14 system has been, and will be, monitored and evaluated. 15 (d) (1) A state agency shall require a developer of a high-risk automated decision system deployed by the state agency to provide 16 17 to the state agency a copy of the impact assessment conducted 18 pursuant to this section. 19 (2) Notwithstanding any other law, an impact assessment 20 provided to a state agency pursuant to this subdivision shall be 21 *kept confidential.* 22 22756.2. (a) If a deployer uses a high-risk automated decision 23 system to make a decision regarding a natural person, the deployer shall notify the natural person of that fact and disclose to that 24 25 natural person all of the following: 26 (1) The purpose of the high-risk automated decision system and 27 the specific decision it was used to make. 28 (2) How the high-risk automated decision system was used to 29 make the decision. 30 (3) The type of data used by the high-risk automated decision 31 system. 32 (4) Contact information for the deployer. 33 (5) A link to the statement required by subdivision (b). 34 (b) A deployer shall make available on its internet website a 35 statement summarizing all of the following: (1) The types of high-risk automated decision systems it 36 37 currently deploys. 38 (2) How the deployer manages known or reasonably foreseeable 39 risks of algorithmic discrimination arising from the deployment 40 of those high-risk automated decision systems.

1 (3) The nature and source of the information collected and used 2 by the high-risk automated decision systems deployed by the 3 deployer.

4 (c) A deployer shall provide, as technically feasible, a natural
5 person that is the subject of a decision made by a high-risk
6 automated decision system an opportunity to appeal that decision
7 for review by a natural person.

8 22756.3. (a) A developer or a deployer shall establish, 9 document, implement, and maintain a governance program that 10 contains reasonable administrative and technical safeguards to 11 govern the reasonably foreseeable risks of algorithmic 12 discrimination associated with the use, or intended use, of a 13 high-risk automated decision system.

(b) The governance program required by this subdivision shall
be appropriately designed with respect to all of the following:

16 (1) The use, or intended use, of the high-risk automated decision17 system.

18 (2) The size, complexity, and resources of the deployer or 19 developer.

20 (3) The nature, context, and scope of the activities of the 21 deployer or developer in connection with the high-risk automated 22 decision system.

(4) The technical feasibility and cost of available tools,
assessments, and other means used by a deployer or developer to
map, measure, manage, and govern the risks associated with a

26 high-risk automated decision system.

27 22756.4. A developer or deployer is not required to disclose
28 information under this chapter if the disclosure of that information
29 would result in the waiver of a legal privilege or the disclosure of
30 a trade secret, as defined in Section 3426.1 of the Civil Code.

31 22756.5. (a) Except as provided in subdivision (b), a deployer

or developer shall not deploy or make available for deployment a
 high-risk automated decision system if the impact assessment
 performed pursuant to this chapter determines that the high-risk

automated decision system is likely to result in algorithmicdiscrimination.

37 (b) (1) A deployer or developer may deploy or make available

38 for deployment a high-risk automated decision system if the impact

39 assessment performed pursuant to this chapter determines that the

40 high-risk automated decision system will result in algorithmic

discrimination if the deployer or developer implements safeguards
 to mitigate the known risks of algorithmic discrimination.

3 (2) A deployer or developer acting under the exception provided

4 by paragraph (1) shall perform an updated impact assessment to

5 verify that the algorithmic discrimination has been mitigated and6 is not reasonably likely to occur.

7 22756.6. (a) (1) A developer shall provide to the Attorney 8 General or Civil Rights Department, within 30 days of a request

9 from the Attorney General or the Civil Rights Department, a copy

10 of an impact assessment performed pursuant to this chapter.

11 (2) Notwithstanding any other law, an impact assessment 12 provided to the Attorney General or Civil Rights Department

13 pursuant to this subdivision shall be kept confidential.

14 (b) The Attorney General or the Civil Rights Department may

bring a civil action against a deployer or developer for a violationof this chapter and obtain any of the following relief:

(1) (A) If a developer or deployer fails to conduct an impact
assessment as required under this chapter, a civil penalty of two

19 thousand five hundred dollars (\$2,500) for a defendant with fewer

20 than 100 employees, five thousand dollars (\$5,000) if the defendant

21 has fewer than 500 employees, and ten thousand dollars (\$10,000)

22 *if the defendant has at least 500 employees.*

23 (B) If a violation is intentional, the civil penalty pursuant to this

paragraph shall increase by five hundred dollars (\$500) for each
day that the defendant is noncompliant.

26 (2) Injunctive relief.

27 (3) Reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

(4) If the violation concerns algorithmic discrimination, a civil
penalty of twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000) per violation.

30 (c) (1) Before commencing an action pursuant to this section,

31 the Attorney General or the Civil Rights Department shall provide

32 45 days' written notice to a deployer or developer of any alleged33 violation of this chapter.

34 (2) (A) The developer or deployer may cure, within 45 days of

35 receiving the written notice described in paragraph (1), the noticed

36 violation and provide an express written statement, made under

37 penalty of perjury, that the violation has been cured.

38 (B) If the developer or deployer cures the noticed violation and

39 provides the express written statement pursuant to subparagraph

40 (*A*), an action shall not be maintained for the noticed violation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

22756.7. This chapter does not apply to either of the following: (a) An entity with 50 or fewer employees. (b) A high-risk automated decision system that has been approved, certified, or cleared by a federal agency that complies with another law that is substantially the same or more stringent than this chapter. SEC. 3. Article 11 (commencing with Section 10285.8) is added to Chapter 1 of Part 2 of Division 2 of the Public Contract Code, to read: Article 11. High-Risk Automated Decision Systems 10285.8. (a) A state agency shall not award a contract for a high-risk automated decision system to a person who has violated any of the following: (1) The Unruh Civil Rights Act (Section 51 of the Civil Code). (2) The California Fair Employment and Housing Act (Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 12960) of Part 2.8 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code). (3) Chapter 24.6 (commencing with Section 22756) of Division 8 of the Business and Professions Code. (b) As used in this section, "high-risk automated decision system" has the same meaning as defined in Section 22756 of the Business and Professions Code. SEC. 4. The Legislature finds and declares that Section 2 of this act, which adds Chapter 24.6 (commencing with Section 22756) of the Business and Professions Code, imposes a limitation on the public's right of access to the meetings of public bodies or the writings of public officials and agencies within the meaning of Section 3 of Article I of the California Constitution. Pursuant to that constitutional provision, the Legislature makes the following findings to demonstrate the interest protected by this limitation and the need for protecting that interest: To avoid unduly disrupting commerce, it is necessary that trade secrets be protected. SEC. 5. No reimbursement is required by this act pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution because the only costs that may be incurred by a local agency or school district will be incurred because this act creates a new crime or infraction, eliminates a crime or infraction, or changes the penalty 98

SB 420

- 1 for a crime or infraction, within the meaning of Section 17556 of
- 2 the Government Code, or changes the definition of a crime within
- 3 the meaning of Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California
- 4 Constitution.

0