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For An Act To Be Entitled

AN ACT TO AMEND THE PRIOR AUTHORIZATION TRANSPARENCY ACT; TO EXEMPT CERTAIN HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS THAT PROVIDE CERTAIN HEALTHCARE SERVICES FROM PRIOR AUTHORIZATION REQUIREMENTS; AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES.

Subtitle

TO AMEND THE PRIOR AUTHORIZATION TRANSPARENCY ACT; AND TO EXEMPT CERTAIN HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS THAT PROVIDE CERTAIN HEALTHCARE SERVICES FROM PRIOR AUTHORIZATION REQUIREMENTS.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ARKANSAS:

SECTION 1. Arkansas Code § 23-99-1103(8), concerning the definition of "healthcare insurer" under the Prior Authorization Transparency Act, is amended to read as follows:

(8)(A)(i) “Healthcare insurer” means an entity that is subject to state insurance regulation, including an insurance company, a health maintenance organization, a hospital and medical service corporation, a risk-based provider organization, and a sponsor of a nonfederal self-funded governmental plan.

(B) “Healthcare insurer” does not include:

(i) A workers’ compensation plan;

(ii) Medicaid, except as provided under §§ 23-99-1119 – 23-99-1126 or when Medicaid services are managed or reimbursed by a healthcare insurer; or

(iii) An entity that provides only dental benefits or eye and vision care benefits;

SECTION 2. Arkansas Code § 23-99-1103, concerning definitions used under the Prior Authorization Transparency Act, is amended to add additional subdivisions to read as follows:

(22) "Random sample" means at least five (5) claims but no more than twenty (20) claims for a particular healthcare service that are selected without method or conscious decision; and

(23) "Value-based reimbursement" means reimbursement that:

(A) Ties a payment for the provision of healthcare services to the quality of health care provided;

(B) Rewards a healthcare provider for efficiency and effectiveness; and

(C) May impose a risk-sharing requirement on a healthcare provider for healthcare services that do not meet the healthcare insurer's requirements for quality, effectiveness, and efficiency.

SECTION 3. Arkansas Code § 23-99-1104(a)(1), concerning disclosure required under the Prior Authorization Transparency Act, is amended to read as follows:

(a)(1)(A) A utilization review entity shall disclose all of its prior authorization requirements and restrictions, including any written clinical criteria, in a publicly accessible manner on its website.

(B) The disclosure under subdivision (a)(1)(A) of this section shall include:

(i) A list of any healthcare services that require prior authorization; and

(ii) Any written clinical criteria.
SECTION 4. Arkansas Code § 23-99-1111 is amended to read as follows:

23-99-1111. Requests for prior authorization – Qualified persons authorized to review and approve – Adverse determinations to be made only by Arkansas-licensed physicians – Opportunity to discuss treatment before adverse determination.

(a) The initial review of information submitted in support of a request for prior authorization may be conducted by a qualified person employed or contracted by a utilization review entity.

(b) A request for prior authorization may be approved by a qualified person employed or contracted by a utilization review entity.

(c)(1) An adverse determination regarding a request for prior authorization shall be made by a physician who possesses a current and unrestricted license to practice medicine in the State of Arkansas issued by the Arkansas State Medical Board.

(2)(A) A utilization review entity shall provide a method by which a physician may request that a prior authorization request be reviewed by a physician in the same specialty as the physician making the request, by a physician in another appropriate specialty, or by a pharmacologist.

(B) If a request is made under subdivision (c)(2)(A) of this section, the reviewing physician or pharmacist is not required to meet the requirements of subdivision (c)(1) of this section.

(3)(A) Subject to this subdivision (c)(3), when an adverse determination is issued by a utilization review entity that questions the medical necessity, the appropriateness, or the experimental or investigational nature of a healthcare service, the utilization review entity shall provide in the notice of adverse determination the name and telephone number of a physician who possesses a current and unrestricted license in this state with whom the requesting healthcare provider may have a reasonable opportunity to discuss the patient's treatment plan and the clinical basis for the intervention.

(B) The requesting healthcare provider may contact the reviewing physician at the telephone number provided with the adverse determination under subdivision (c)(3)(A) of this section within one (1) business day of receipt of the adverse determination for an urgent service, or within two (2) business days of receipt of the adverse determination for a
nonurgent service, to engage in the discussion of the patient's treatment plan and the clinical basis for the intervention under subdivision (c)(3)(A) of this section.

(C)(i) Following any discussion under subdivision (c)(3)(B) of this section, the utilization review entity shall notify the healthcare provider whether or not the adverse determination decision remains the same or the service is approved.

(ii) The notice under subdivision (c)(3)(C)(i) of this section shall be provided:

(a) Within one (1) business day of the discussion under subdivision (c)(3)(B) of this section between the provider and physician for an urgent service; or

(b) Within two (2) business days of the discussion under subdivision (c)(3)(B) of this section between the provider and physician for a nonurgent service.

(D) A discussion under subdivision (c)(3)(A) of this section shall not replace or eliminate the opportunity for any internal grievance or appeal process provided by the utilization review entity.

(E) If a requesting healthcare provider is a physician, then the reviewing physician with whom the requesting physician is given an opportunity to discuss the treatment plan and clinical basis for the intervention under subdivision (c)(3)(B) of this section shall be a physician who:

(i) Possesses a current and unrestricted license to practice medicine in this state; and

(ii) Has the same or similar specialty as the healthcare provider.

SECTION 5. Arkansas Code Title 23, Chapter 99, Subchapter 11, is amended to add additional sections to read as follows:

23-99-1120. Initial exemption from prior authorization requirements for healthcare providers providing certain healthcare services.

(a)(1) Except as provided under subdivision (a)(2) of this section, beginning on and after January 1, 2024, a healthcare provider that received approval for ninety percent (90%) or more of the healthcare provider's prior authorization requests based on a review of the healthcare provider's
utilization of the particular healthcare services from January 1, 2022, through June 30, 2022, shall not be required to obtain prior authorization for a particular healthcare service and shall be considered exempt from prior authorization requirements through September 30, 2024.

(2) If a healthcare provider's use for a particular healthcare service increases by twenty-five percent (25%) or more during the period between January 1, 2024, and June 30, 2024, based on a review of the healthcare provider's utilization of the particular healthcare service from January 1, 2022, through June 30, 2022, then the healthcare insurer may disallow the exemption from prior authorization requirements for the healthcare provider for the particular healthcare service.

(b)(1) A healthcare insurer shall conduct an evaluation of the initial six-month exemption period based on claims submitted between January 1, 2024, through June 30, 2024, to determine whether to grant or deny an exemption for each particular healthcare service that requires a prior authorization by the healthcare insurer.

(2) The evaluation by the healthcare insurer shall be conducted by using the retrospective review process under § 23-99-1122(c) and applying the criteria under subsection (d) of this section.

(3) A healthcare insurer shall submit to a healthcare provider a written statement of:

(A) The total number of payable claims submitted by or in connection with the healthcare provider; and

(B) The total number of denied and approved prior authorizations between January 1, 2022, through June 30, 2022.

(c)(1) No later than October 1, 2024, a healthcare insurer shall issue a notice to each healthcare provider that either grants or denies a prior authorization exemption to the healthcare provider for each particular healthcare service.

(2) An exemption granted under this subdivision (c)(1) shall be valid for at least twelve (12) months.

(d) Except as provided under subsection (f) of this section or § 23-99-1125, a healthcare insurer that uses a prior authorization process for healthcare services shall not require a healthcare provider to obtain prior authorization for a particular healthcare service that a healthcare provider has previously been subject to a prior authorization requirement if, in the
most recent six-month evaluation period as described under subsection (e) of this section, the healthcare insurer has approved or would have approved no less than ninety percent (90%) of the prior authorization requests submitted by the healthcare provider for that particular healthcare service.

(e)(1) Except as provided under subsection (f) of this section, a healthcare insurer shall evaluate whether or not a healthcare provider qualifies for an exemption from prior authorization requirements under subsection (d) of this section one (1) time every twelve (12) months.

(2) The six-month period for the evaluation period described under subsection (d) of this section shall be any consecutive six (6) month period during the twelve (12) months following the effective date of the exemption.

(3) The healthcare insurer shall choose a six-month evaluation period that allows time for:

(A) The evaluation under subsection (d) of this section;
(B) Notice to the healthcare provider of the decision; and
(C) Appeal of the decision for an independent review to be completed by the end of the twelve-month period of the exemption.

(f) A healthcare insurer may continue an exemption under subsection (d) of this section without evaluating whether or not the healthcare provider qualifies for the exemption under subsection (d) of this section for a particular evaluation period.

(g) A healthcare provider is not required to request an exemption under subsection (d) of this section to qualify for the exemption.

(h) A healthcare insurer may extend an exemption under subsection (d) of this section to a group of healthcare providers under the same tax identification number if:

(1) A healthcare provider with an ownership interest in the entity to which the tax identification number is assigned does not object; or
(2) The tax identification number is associated with a hospital licensed in this state and the chief executive officer of the hospital agrees to the exemption.

23-99-1121. Duration of prior authorization exemption.

(a) Unless a prior authorization exemption is continued for a longer period of time by a healthcare insurer under § 23-99-1120(f), a healthcare
provider’s exemption from prior authorization requirements under § 23-99-1120 remains in effect until the later of:

   (1) The thirtieth day after the date the healthcare insurer notifies the healthcare provider of the healthcare insurer’s determination to rescind the exemption as described under § 23-99-1122, if the healthcare provider does not appeal the healthcare insurer’s determination within thirty (30) days of notification of the determination;

   (2) If the healthcare provider appeals the determination within thirty (30) days of notification of the determination, the fifth day after the date an independent review organization affirms the healthcare insurer's determination to rescind the exemption; or

   (3) Twelve (12) months after the effective date of the exemption.

(b) If a healthcare provider appeals the determination to rescind the exemption more than thirty (30) days after notification of the determination and the independent review organization overturns the rescission, the healthcare provider's exemption is restored the fifth day after the date of the independent review organization’s decision, and the exemption remains in effect for twelve (12) months after restoration unless rescinded under § 23-99-1122.

(c) If a healthcare insurer does not finalize a rescission determination as specified in subsection (a) of this section, then the healthcare provider is considered to have met the criteria under § 23-99-1120 to continue to qualify for the exemption.

(d) A healthcare provider shall not rely on another healthcare provider’s exemption except when the healthcare provider with an exemption is the healthcare provider that orders healthcare services that are rendered by a healthcare provider without an exemption.

23-99-1122. Denial or rescission of prior authorization exemption.

(a) A healthcare insurer may rescind an exemption from prior authorization requirements of a healthcare provider under § 23-99-1120 only if:

   (1) The healthcare insurer makes a determination that, on the basis of a retrospective review of a random sample of claims selected by the healthcare insurer during the most recent evaluation period described by §
23-99-1120(e), less than ninety percent (90%) of the claims for the
particular healthcare service met the medical necessity criteria that would
have been used by the healthcare insurer when conducting prior authorization
review for the particular healthcare service during the relevant evaluation
period;

(2) The healthcare insurer complies with other applicable
requirements specified in this section, including without limitation:
(A) Notifying the healthcare provider no less than twenty-five (25) days before the proposed rescission is to take effect; and
(B) Providing:
(i) An identification of the healthcare service that
an exemption is being rescinded, the date the notice is issued, and the
effective date of the rescission;
(ii) A plain-language explanation of how the
healthcare provider may appeal and seek an independent review of the
determination, the date the notice is issued, and the company's address and
contact information for returning the form by mail or email to request an
appeal;
(iii) A statement of the total number of payable
claims submitted by or in connection with the healthcare provider during the
most recent evaluation period that were eligible to be evaluated with respect
to the healthcare service subject to rescission, the number of claims
included in the random sample, and the sample information used to make the
determination, including without limitation:
(a) Identification of each claim included in
the random sample;
(b) The healthcare insurer's determination of
whether each claim met the healthcare insurer's screening criteria; and
(c) For any claim determined to not have met
the healthcare insurer's screening criteria:
(1) The principal reasons for the
determination that the claim did not meet the healthcare insurer’s screening
criteria, including, if applicable, a statement that the determination was
based on a failure to submit specified medical records;
(2) The clinical basis for the
determination that the claim did not meet the healthcare insurer’s screening
criteria;

(3) A description of the sources of the screening criteria that were used as guidelines in making the determination; and

(4) The professional specialty of the healthcare provider who made the determination;

(iv) A space to be filled out by the healthcare provider that includes:

(a) The name, address, contact information, and identification number of the healthcare provider requesting an independent review;

(b) An indication of whether or not the healthcare provider is requesting that the entity performing the independent review examine the same random sample or a different random sample of claims, if available; and

(c) The date the appeal is being requested; and

(v) An instruction to the healthcare provider to return the form to the healthcare insurer before the date the rescission becomes effective; and

(3) The healthcare provider performs five (5) or fewer of a particular healthcare service in the most recent six-month evaluation period under § 23-99-1120(e).

(b) A determination made under subdivision (a)(1) of this section shall be made by a physician who:

(1) Possesses a current and unrestricted license to practice medicine in this state; and

(2) Has the same or similar specialty as the healthcare provider.

(c)(1) A healthcare insurer that is conducting an evaluation under subsection (a) of this section to determine whether or not a healthcare provider still qualifies for a prior authorization exemption may request medical records and documents required for the retrospective review, limited to no more than twenty (20) claims for a particular healthcare service.

(2) A healthcare insurer shall provide a healthcare provider at least thirty (30) days to provide the medical records requested under
subdivision (c)(1) of this section.

(d) A healthcare insurer may deny an exemption from prior authorization requirements under § 23-99-1120 only if:

(1) The healthcare provider does not have an exemption at the time of the relevant evaluation period; and

(2) The healthcare insurer provides the healthcare provider with:

   (A) Actual data for the relevant prior authorization request evaluation period; and

   (B) Detailed information sufficient to demonstrate that the healthcare provider does not meet the criteria for an exemption from prior authorization requirements for the particular healthcare service under § 23-99-1120.

(e) A healthcare insurer shall:

(1) Allow a healthcare provider to designate an email address or a mailing address for communications regarding exemptions, denials, and rescissions;

(2) Provide an option for a healthcare provider to submit a request for an appeal by mail, by email, or by other electronic method; and

(3) Include an explanation of how a healthcare provider may update his or her preferred contact information and delivery method on the healthcare insurer’s website and for all communications issued under this section.


(a)(1) A healthcare provider has a right to a review of an adverse determination regarding a prior authorization exemption within twelve (12) months of receiving proper notice of recission from a healthcare insurer to be conducted by an independent review organization.

(2) A healthcare insurer shall not require a healthcare provider to engage in an internal appeal process before requesting a review by an independent review organization under this section.

(3) A healthcare provider who has an exemption rescinded due to a failure to provide medical records within sixty (60) days of a record request for a retrospective review shall not be eligible for review of that rescission by an independent review entity.
(b) A healthcare insurer shall pay:
   (1) For any appeal or independent review of an adverse
determination regarding a prior authorization exemption requested under this
section; and
   (2) A reasonable fee determined by the Arkansas State Medical
Board for any copies of medical records or other documents requested from a
healthcare provider during an exemption rescission review requested under
this section.

(c) An independent review organization shall complete an expedited
review of an adverse determination regarding a prior authorization exemption
no later than the thirtieth day after the date a healthcare provider files
the request for a review under this section.

(d)(1) A healthcare provider may request that the independent review
organization consider another random sample of no fewer than five (5) and no
more than twenty (20) claims submitted to the healthcare insurer by the
healthcare provider during the relevant evaluation period for the relevant
healthcare service as part of the review under this section.

   (2) If a healthcare provider makes a request under subdivision
(d)(1) of this section, the independent review organization shall base its
determination on the medical necessity of claims reviewed:
   (A) By the healthcare insurer under § 23-99-1122; and
   (B) By the independent review organization under
subdivision (d)(1) of this section.

(e) The Insurance Commissioner may refuse, suspend, revoke, or not
renew a license or certificate of authority of a healthcare insurer that has
fifty percent (50%) of healthcare provider appeals overturned in a twelve-
month period by an independent review organization under this section.

23-99-1124. Effect of appeal of independent review organization
determination.

   (a) A healthcare insurer is bound by an appeal or independent review
organization determination that does not affirm the determination made by the
healthcare insurer to rescind a prior authorization exemption.

   (b) A healthcare insurer shall not retroactively deny a healthcare
service on the basis of a rescission of an exemption, even if the healthcare
insurer's determination to rescind the prior authorization exemption is
affirmed by an independent review organization.

(c) If a determination of a prior authorization exemption made by the healthcare insurer is overturned on review by an independent review organization, the healthcare insurer:

(1) Shall not attempt to rescind the exemption before the end of the next evaluation period; and

(2) May only rescind the exemption if the healthcare insurer complies with §§ 23-99-1122 and 23-99-1123.

23-99-1125. Eligibility for prior authorization exemption following finalized exemption rescission or denial.

(a) After a final determination or review affirming the rescission or denial of an exemption for a specific healthcare service under § 23-99-1120, a healthcare insurer shall conduct another evaluation to determine whether or not the exemption should be granted or reinstated based on the six-month evaluation period that follows the evaluation period that formed the basis of the rescission or denial of an exemption.

(b) A time period that is included in a previous evaluation or determination period shall not be included in a subsequent evaluation period.


(a) A healthcare insurer shall not deny or reduce payment to a healthcare provider for a healthcare service for which the healthcare provider has qualified for an exemption from prior authorization requirements under § 23-99-1120, including a healthcare service performed or supervised by another healthcare provider, if the healthcare provider who ordered the healthcare service received a prior authorization exemption based on medical necessity or appropriateness of care unless the healthcare provider:

(1) Knowingly and materially misrepresented the healthcare service in a request for payment submitted to the healthcare insurer with the specific intent to deceive the healthcare insurer and obtain an unlawful payment from the healthcare insurer; or

(2) Substantially failed to perform the healthcare service.

(b) A healthcare insurer shall not conduct a retrospective review of a healthcare service subject to an exemption except:

(1) To determine if the healthcare provider still qualifies for
an exemption under § 23-99-1120; or

(2) If the healthcare insurer has a reasonable cause to suspect a basis for denial exists under subsection (a) of this section.

(c) For a retrospective review described by subdivision (b)(2) of this section, §§ 23-99-1120 – 23-99-1125 shall not modify or otherwise affect:

(1) The requirements under or application of § 23-99-1115, including without limitation any time frames; or

(2) Any other applicable law, except to prescribe the only circumstances under which:

(A) A retrospective review may occur as specified by subdivision (b)(2) of this section; or

(B) Payment may be denied or reduced as specified by subsection (a) of this section.

(d) Beginning on January 1, 2024, a healthcare insurer shall provide to a healthcare provider a notice that includes a:

(1) Statement that the healthcare provider has an exemption from prior authorization requirements under § 23-99-1120;

(2) List of the healthcare services and health benefit plans to which the exemption applies; and

(3) Statement of the duration of the exemption.

(e) If a healthcare provider submits a prior authorization request for a healthcare service for which the healthcare provider has an exemption from prior authorization requirements under § 23-99-1120, the healthcare insurer shall promptly provide a notice to the healthcare provider that includes:

(1) The information described in subsection (d) of this section; and

(2) A notification of the healthcare insurer’s payment requirements.

(f) This section and §§ 23-99-1120 – 23-99-1125 shall not be construed to:

(1) Authorize a healthcare provider to provide a healthcare service outside the scope of the healthcare provider’s applicable license; or

(2) Require a healthcare insurer to pay for a healthcare service described by subdivision (f)(1) of this section that is performed in violation of the laws of this state.

(g) A healthcare insurer that offers multiple health benefit plans or
that utilizes multiple healthcare provider networks shall not determine a healthcare provider's eligibility for an exemption from prior authorization for each specific health benefit plan or each specific healthcare provider network but rather shall determine the healthcare provider's eligibility for an exemption applicable to all health benefit plans and healthcare provider networks.

(h) If a healthcare insurer and a healthcare provider are engaged in a value-based reimbursement arrangement for particular healthcare services or subscribers, the healthcare insurer shall not impose any prior authorization requirements for any particular healthcare service that is included in that value-based reimbursement arrangement.


(a)(1) An organization or entity directly or indirectly providing a plan or services to patients under the Medicaid Provider-Led Organized Care Act, § 20-77-2701 et seq., or any other Medicaid-managed care program operating in this state is exempt from §§ 23-99-1120 – 23-99-1126 if the program, without limiting the program’s application to any other plan or program, develops a program to reduce or eliminate prior authorizations for a healthcare provider on or before January 1, 2025.

(2) The Arkansas Health and Opportunity for Me Program established by the Arkansas Health and Opportunity for Me Act of 2021, § 23-61-1001 et seq., or its successor program is exempt from §§ 23-99-1120 – 23-99-1126, provided that the Arkansas Health and Opportunity for Me Program, without limiting the Arkansas Health and Opportunity for Me Program’s application to any other plan or program, develops a program to reduce or eliminate prior authorizations for a healthcare provider on or before January 1, 2025.

(3) A qualified health plan that is a health benefit plan under the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, and purchased on the Arkansas Health Insurance Marketplace created under the Arkansas Health Insurance Marketplace Act, § 23-61-801 et seq., for an individual up to four hundred percent (400%) of the federal poverty level, operating in this state is exempt from §§ 23-99-1120 – 23-99-1126 if the qualified health plan, without limiting the program’s application to any other plan or program, develops a program to reduce or eliminate prior authorizations for a healthcare provider on or before January 1, 2025.
(b)(1) The programs under subsection (a) of this section to reduce or eliminate prior authorization shall be:

(A) Submitted to the State Insurance Department; and
(B) Subject to approval by the Legislative Council.

(2) If a program is not submitted to the department and approved by the Legislative Council on or before January 1, 2025, the Medicaid-managed care program operating in this state, the Arkansas Health and Opportunity for Me Program established by the Arkansas Health and Opportunity for Me Act of 2021, § 23-61-1001 et seq., or its successor program, and qualified health plans under the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, and purchased on the Arkansas Health Insurance Marketplace created under the Arkansas Health Insurance Marketplace Act, § 23-61-801 et seq., for an individual up to four hundred percent (400%) of the federal poverty level, operating in this state shall be subject to §§ 23-99-1120 – 23-99-1126 and § 23-99-1128 as of January 1, 2025.

(c) Any state or local governmental employee plan is exempt from §§ 23-99-1120 – 23-99-1126 and § 23-99-1128.

(d) A health benefit plan provided by a trust established under §§ 14-54-101 and 25-20-104 to provide benefits, including accident and health benefits, death benefits, dental benefits, and disability income benefits, is exempt from §§ 23-99-1120 – 23-99-1126.

(e)(1) Prescription drugs, medicines, biological products, pharmaceuticals, or pharmaceutical services are exempt as a healthcare service for purposes of §§ 23-99-1120 – 23-99-1126 until December 31, 2024.

(2)(A) As of January 1, 2025, the provisions of §§ 23-99-1120 – 23-99-1126 shall apply to prescription drugs, medicines, biological products, pharmaceuticals, or pharmaceutical services that have not been approved for continuation of prior authorization under § 23-99-1128.

(B) For the products in subdivision (e)(2)(A) of this section that have not been approved for continuation of prior authorization, for purposes of § 23-99-1120, then:

(i) Provisions regarding time periods specified during the calendar year 2022 shall instead apply to the same months during calendar year 2023; and

(ii) Provisions regarding time periods specified during the calendar year 2024 shall instead apply to the same months during
calendar year 2025.

23-99-1128. Prescription drugs, medicines, biological products, pharmaceuticals, or pharmaceutical services.

(a)(1) Beginning on January 1, 2024, a healthcare insurer or pharmacy benefits manager shall submit a written request to the Arkansas State Board of Pharmacy for any prescription drug, medicine, biological product, pharmaceutical, or pharmaceutical service to be reviewed for a continuation of prior authorization by a specified health benefit plan whether or not a healthcare provider has met the criteria for an exemption from prior authorization under §§ 23-99-1120 – 23-99-1126.

(2) The request under subdivision (a)(1) of this section shall state the reason the request is being made for each prescription drug, medicine, biological product, pharmaceutical, or pharmaceutical service for the specified health benefit plan.

(b) The Arkansas State Board of Pharmacy and the Arkansas State Medical Board, jointly, may establish criteria and procedures to review whether a request made under subdivision (a)(1) of this section should be granted for the requesting party and specified health benefit plan.

(c)(1) The Arkansas State Board of Pharmacy and the Arkansas State Medical Board, jointly, may determine whether or not a prescription drug, medicine, biological product, pharmaceutical, or pharmaceutical service may be subject to prior authorization by a health benefit plan under the criteria and procedures under subsection (b) of this section.

(2) The Arkansas State Board of Pharmacy shall promptly notify the entity that made the request of the joint decision made by the Arkansas State Board of Pharmacy and the Arkansas State Medical Board.

(d) The Arkansas State Board of Pharmacy shall make available to any person who requests it, a list for any health benefit plan of prescription drugs, medicines, biological products, pharmaceuticals, or pharmaceutical services that require a prior authorization under this section.


(a) If the Arkansas State Board of Pharmacy and the Arkansas State Medical Board, jointly, disallow a prior authorization of a prescription drug, medicine, biological product, pharmaceutical, or pharmaceutical service
requested under § 23-99-1128, a healthcare insurer, pharmacy benefits manager, or other interested party may file an appeal to the State Insurance Department within ninety (90) days of the disallowance of the prior authorization.

(b) No later than the thirtieth day after the date a healthcare insurer, pharmacy benefits manager, or other interested party files an appeal under subsection (a) of this section, the Insurance Commissioner shall appoint an independent review organization to review the appeal.

(c) A healthcare insurer, pharmacy benefits manager, or other interested party that files an appeal under subsection (a) of this section shall pay for the independent review organization appointed under subsection (b) of this section to review the appeal.

(d) A healthcare insurer, pharmacy benefits manager, or other interested party is bound by the independent review organization’s determination of the appeal under this section.

/s/L. Johnson
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