

Paper - Research abstract as type:

All criteria are scored using 5-point standard Likert scale with standard wording, for the start of every question:

- 5 – Strongly Agree
- 4 – Agree
- 3 – Neutral / Neither agree nor disagree
- 2 – Disagree
- 1 – Strongly Disagree

1. The abstract is clearly written and well-structured.	1	2	3	4	5
2. The research project described in the abstract would be of interest to attendees from various types of health sciences libraries.	1	2	3	4	5
3. The abstract submission is appropriate for the selected format of paper.	1	2	3	4	5
4. The overall objectives of the research are specifically described.	1	2	3	4	5
5. The research question or hypothesis is stated clearly.	1	2	3	4	5
6. This research project responds to an identified gap in the health sciences literature.	1	2	3	4	5
7. The method(s) of the research are stated clearly.	1	2	3	4	5
8. The research method(s) used are appropriate for the question.	1	2	3	4	5
9. Appropriate analyses of data (statistical, qualitative, etc.) are described clearly.	1	2	3	4	5

Paper, Program Description abstract as type:

All criteria are scored using 5-point standard Likert scale with standard wording, for the start of every question:

5 – Strongly Agree

4 – Agree

3 – Neutral / Neither agree nor disagree

2 – Disagree

1 – Strongly Disagree

1. The abstract is clearly written and well-structured.	1	2	3	4	5
2. The program described in the abstract would be of interest to attendees from various types of health sciences libraries.	1	2	3	4	5
3. The abstract submission is appropriate for the selected format of paper.	1	2	3	4	5
4. The overall objectives of the program are specifically described.	1	2	3	4	5
5. The main purpose of the program is identified and described clearly.	1	2	3	4	5
6. The key steps of the program are clearly described and can be easily identified.	1	2	3	4	5
7. The program responds to an identified need or presents a novel concept in the health sciences.	1	2	3	4	5
8. An appropriate evaluation of the program is described clearly.	1	2	3	4	5
9. The projected/anticipated outcomes of the program are relevant to supporting the health librarianship field.	1	2	3	4	5

Poster, Research abstract as type:

All criteria are scored using 5-point standard Likert scale with standard wording, for the start of every question:

5 – Strongly Agree

4 – Agree

3 – Neutral / Neither agree nor disagree

2 – Disagree

1 – Strongly Disagree

1. The abstract is clearly written and well-structured.	1	2	3	4	5
2. The research project described in the abstract would be of interest to attendees from various types of health sciences libraries.	1	2	3	4	5
3. The abstract submission is appropriate for the selected format of poster.	1	2	3	4	5
4. The overall objectives of the research are specifically described.	1	2	3	4	5
5. The research question or hypothesis is stated clearly.	1	2	3	4	5
6. This research project responds to an identified gap in the health sciences literature.	1	2	3	4	5
7. The method(s) of the research are stated clearly.	1	2	3	4	5
8. The research method(s) used are appropriate for the question.	1	2	3	4	5
9. Appropriate analyses of data (statistical, qualitative, etc.) are described clearly.	1	2	3	4	5

Poster, Program Description abstract as type:

All criteria are scored using 5-point standard Likert scale with standard wording, for the start of every question:

5 – Strongly Agree

4 – Agree

3 – Neutral / Neither agree nor disagree

2 – Disagree

1 – Strongly Disagree

1. The abstract is clearly written and well-structured.	1	2	3	4	5
2. The program described in the abstract would be of interest to attendees from various types of health sciences libraries.	1	2	3	4	5
3. The abstract submission is appropriate for the selected format of poster.	1	2	3	4	5
4. The overall objectives of the program are specifically described.	1	2	3	4	5
5. The main purpose of the program is identified and described clearly.	1	2	3	4	5
6. The key steps of the program are clearly described and can be easily identified.	1	2	3	4	5
7. The program responds to an identified need or presents a novel concept in the health sciences.	1	2	3	4	5
8. An appropriate evaluation of the program is described clearly.	1	2	3	4	5
9. The projected/anticipated outcomes of the program are relevant to supporting the health librarianship field.	1	2	3	4	5

Lightning talk, Research abstract as type:

All criteria are scored using 5-point standard Likert scale with standard wording, for the start of every question:

5 – Strongly Agree

4 – Agree

3 – Neutral / Neither agree nor disagree

2 – Disagree

1 – Strongly Disagree

1. The abstract is clearly written and well-structured.	1	2	3	4	5
2. The research project described in the abstract would be of interest to attendees from various types of health sciences libraries.	1	2	3	4	5
3. The abstract submission is appropriate for the selected format of lightning talk.	1	2	3	4	5
4. The overall objectives of the research are specifically described.	1	2	3	4	5
5. The research question or hypothesis is stated clearly.	1	2	3	4	5
6. This research project responds to an identified gap in the health sciences literature.	1	2	3	4	5
7. The method(s) of the research are stated clearly.	1	2	3	4	5
8. The research method(s) used are appropriate for the question.	1	2	3	4	5
9. Appropriate analyses of data (statistical, qualitative, etc.) are described clearly.	1	2	3	4	5

Lightning talk, Program Description as type:

All criteria are scored using 5-point standard Likert scale with standard wording, for the start of every question:

5 – Strongly Agree

4 – Agree

3 – Neutral / Neither agree nor disagree

2 – Disagree

1 – Strongly Disagree

1. The abstract is clearly written and well-structured.	1	2	3	4	5
2. The program described in the abstract would be of interest to attendees from various types of health sciences libraries.	1	2	3	4	5
3. The abstract submission is appropriate for the selected format of lightning talk.	1	2	3	4	5
4. The overall objectives of the program are specifically described.	1	2	3	4	5
5. The main purpose of the program is identified and described clearly.	1	2	3	4	5
6. The key steps of the program are clearly described and can be easily identified.	1	2	3	4	5
7. The program responds to an identified need or presents a novel concept in the health sciences.	1	2	3	4	5
8. An appropriate evaluation of the program is described clearly.	1	2	3	4	5
9. The projected/anticipated outcomes of the program are relevant to supporting the health librarianship field.	1	2	3	4	5