

## PICO 2

### Review information

#### Authors

Sundhedsstyrelsen<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>[Empty affiliation]

Citation example: S. PICO 2. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews [Year], Issue [Issue].

### Characteristics of studies

#### Characteristics of included studies

*Whitman et al.*

Methods	
Participants	
Interventions	
Outcomes	
Notes	

#### Risk of bias table

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Low risk	n
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Low risk	n
Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)	High risk	n
Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)	Unclear risk	n
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)	Low risk	n
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Low risk	n
Other bias	Low risk	n

*Footnotes*

#### Characteristics of excluded studies

*Footnotes*

#### Characteristics of studies awaiting classification

*Footnotes*

#### Characteristics of ongoing studies

*Footnotes*

### References to studies

#### Included studies

*Whitman et al.*

[Empty]

#### Excluded studies

### Data and analyses


#### 1 ledmobiliserende behandling vs. standardbehandling

Outcome or Subgroup	Studies	Participants	Statistical Method	Effect Estimate
1.1 Funktionsevne changes (ODI)	1		Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
1.1.1 Funktionsevne (ODI 0-12 weeks)	1	56	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-3.93 [-9.81, 1.95]
1.1.2 Funktionsevne (ODI, 1 years FU)	1	56	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-2.11 [-8.35, 4.13]

1.2 Gangdistance change	1		Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
1.2.3 Walk distance, 0-12 weeks	1	58	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-163.20 [-375.63, 49.23]
1.2.4 Walk distance, 1 year FU	1	55	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-79.40 [-302.66, 143.86]
1.3 Behov for smertestillende medicin	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
1.3.1 Behov for smertestillende 0-12 uger	1	47	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.02 [0.68, 1.54]
1.4 Smerter	1		Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
1.4.1 Smerte (NRS) 0-12 uger	1	58	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-0.40 [-1.68, 0.88]
1.4.2 Smerte (NRS) 1 year FU	1	54	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.20 [-1.15, 1.55]

## Figures

**Figure 1 (Analysis 1.1)**




Test for subgroup differences: Chi<sup>2</sup> = 0.17, df = 1 (P = 0.68), I<sup>2</sup> = 0%

### Risk of bias legend

- (A) Random sequence generation (selection bias)
- (B) Allocation concealment (selection bias)
- (C) Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)
- (D) Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)
- (E) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)
- (F) Selective reporting (reporting bias)
- (G) Other bias

Forest plot of comparison: 1 Outcome, outcome: 1.1 Funktionsevne changes (ODI).

**Figure 2 (Analysis 1.4)**




Test for subgroup differences: Chi<sup>2</sup> = 0.40, df = 1 (P = 0.53), I<sup>2</sup> = 0%

### Risk of bias legend

- (A) Random sequence generation (selection bias)
- (B) Allocation concealment (selection bias)
- (C) Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)
- (D) Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)
- (E) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)
- (F) Selective reporting (reporting bias)
- (G) Other bias


Forest plot of comparison: 1 Intervention vs. control, outcome: 1.4 Smerter.

**Figure 3 (Analysis 1.2)**



Forest plot of comparison: 1 Intervention vs. control, outcome: 1.2 Gangdistance change.

**Figure 4 (Analysis 1.3)**



Forest plot of comparison: 1 Outcome, outcome: 1.3 Behov for smertestillende medicin.