# GUIDELINES FOR PROJECT ABOUT BUÑUEL'S INFLUENCE

Assignment type: Group project (2 or 3 people)

## Assignment format:

- Presentation (15 min)
- Academic blogpost (1000-1500 words + photos/videos + quotations and bibliography)

Final Grade Percentage: 20%

Deadline: 12/12/2025

<u>Description:</u> Students will research a movie or an author influenced by Buñuel. The project will compare the original (Buñuel's work) and the homage, highlighting aspects such as the mise-en-scène, symbolism, characters, and the cultural context.

Students are responsible for presenting their insights to the whole class aided by audiovisual components.

The result of their research will be compiled in a blogpost submitted to the course's website.

#### Possible ideas:

- Compare two films from different periods to understand influence through time.
- Compare two films from the same period to understand similar ways of approaching a phenomenon.
- Compare two films that use the same source material (novel or play).
- Compare motifs at a more general level (i.e. symbols that are repeated in several of Buñuel's movies).
- Focus on one specific aspect (character, object, music, space).
- Focus on the treatment of a theme (i.e. religion, patriarchy, bourgeoisie).

#### Goals:

- Analyze the relevance and influence of a particular element of Buñuel's work.
- Understand the importance of the cultural and historical contexts for the interpretation of film.
- Engage in close reading to compare and contrasts different aspects of film language and film narration.

- Present ideas in a visually attractive way using technologies for the information and communication
- Discuss and enact healthy ways of collaborating in group projects

# <u>Important information:</u>

Late work is accepted but will have a 5% penalization.

The use of **generative Al** is allowed for initial phases of the research, but the final version of the project must be original, cohesive, and academically rigorous (this includes proper quotations and bibliography).

### Rubric:

Criteria	Excellent (4 pts)	Good (3 pts)	Satisfactory (2 pts)	Needs Improvemen t (1 pt)
1. Depth of Analysis	Insightful, thorough comparison; clearly explains Buñuel's influence and film elements	Mostly thoughtful and relevant analysis; some minor gaps	Some analysis present but lacks depth or clarity	Superficial or unclear analysis; minimal connection to Buñuel's influence
2. Use of Film Language (mise- en-scène, symbolism, characters, etc.)	Excellent use and understanding of film terms and concepts	Appropriate use of terms with general understandin g	Limited or inconsistent use of film language	Rarely or incorrectly uses film terms
3. Contextual Understanding (historical/cultural)	Strong understanding of cultural/historica I context with clear connections	Some context provided; connections may be general	Limited or partially accurate understandin g of context	Little to no reference to relevant context
4. Presentation Delivery (15 min)	Clear, engaging, well-paced; confident use of media/tech	Clear and organized; minor pacing	Uneven delivery; some disorganized	Disorganized or unclear; media poorly

Criteria	Excellent (4 pts)	Good (3 pts)	Satisfactory (2 pts)	Needs Improvemen t (1 pt)
		or media issues	or unclear parts	used or missing
5. Academic Blogpost Quality (1000–1500 words, visuals, sources)	Well-structured, fully developed, visually rich, properly cited	Generally clear and organized; mostly meets format expectations	Meets minimum requirements, lacks clarity or visual/media support	Incomplete, unclear, or missing required components
6. Collaboration & Group Work	Excellent collaboration evident; roles clearly shared	Group worked well with minor imbalances	Uneven participation or unclear group roles	Significant collaboration issues or lack of group coordination