

For Six Month Period Ending DEC 13 1989
(Insert date)

Name of Registrant
Donald L. Fierce
Fierce and Associates

Registration No. 3541

Business Address of Registrant
600 New Hampshire Ave., NW
Suite 1010
Washington, D.C. 20037

I-REGISTRANT

1. Has there been a change in the information previously furnished in connection with the following:

(a) If an individual:

- (1) Residence address Yes No
- (2) Citizenship Yes No
- (3) Occupation Yes No

(b) If an organization:

- (1) Name Yes No
- (2) Ownership or control Yes No
- (3) Branch offices Yes No

2. Explain fully all changes, if any, indicated in item 1.

IF THE REGISTRANT IS AN INDIVIDUAL, OMIT RESPONSE TO ITEMS 3, 4, and 5.

3. Have any persons ceased acting as partners, officers, directors or similar officials of the registrant during this 6 month reporting period? Yes No

If yes, furnish the following information:

Name	Position	Date Connection Ended
------	----------	-----------------------

RECEIVED
DEC 13 1989
15:17

4. Have any persons become partners, officers, directors or similar officials during this 6 month reporting period?
 Yes No

If yes, furnish the following information:

<i>Name</i>	<i>Residence Address</i>	<i>Citizenship</i>	<i>Position</i>	<i>Date Assumed</i>
-------------	------------------------------	--------------------	-----------------	-------------------------

5. Has any person named in Item 4 rendered services directly in furtherance of the interests of any foreign principal?
 Yes No

If yes, identify each such person and describe his services.

6. Have any employees or individuals other than officials, who have filed a short form registration statement, terminated their employment or connection with the registrant during this 6 month reporting period? Yes No

If yes, furnish the following information:

<i>Name</i>	<i>Position or connection</i>	<i>Date terminated</i>
-------------	-------------------------------	------------------------

7. During this 6 month reporting period, have any persons been hired as employees or in any other capacity by the registrant who rendered services to the registrant directly in furtherance of the interests of any foreign principal in other than a clerical or secretarial, or in a related or similar capacity? Yes No

If yes, furnish the following information:

<i>Name</i>	<i>Residence Address</i>	<i>Position or connection</i>	<i>Date connection began</i>
-------------	------------------------------	-----------------------------------	----------------------------------

II—FOREIGN PRINCIPAL

(PAGE 3)

8. Has your connection with any foreign principal ended during this 6 month reporting period? Yes No

If yes, furnish the following information:

Name of foreign principal

Date of Termination

-
9. Have you acquired any new foreign principal¹ during this 6 month reporting period? Yes No

If yes, furnish following information:

Name and address of foreign principal

Date acquired

-
10. In addition to those named in Items 8 and 9, if any, list the foreign principals¹ whom you continued to represent during the 6 month reporting period.

Spar Aerospace Ltd.
AB Hagglund and Soner

III—ACTIVITIES

11. During this 6 month reporting period, have you engaged in any activities for or rendered any services to any foreign principal named in Items 8, 9, and 10 of this statement? Yes No

If yes, identify each such foreign principal and describe in full detail your activities and services:

See Attachments

¹The term "foreign principal" includes, in addition to those defined in section 1(b) of the Act, an individual or organization any of whose activities are directly or indirectly supervised, directed, controlled, financed, or subsidized in whole or in major part by a foreign government, foreign political party, foreign organization or foreign individual. (See Rule 100(a)(9)).

A registrant who represents more than one foreign principal is required to list in the statements he files under the Act only those foreign principals for whom he is not entitled to claim exemption under Section 3 of the Act. (See Rule 208.)

12. During this 6 month reporting period, have you on behalf of any foreign principal engaged in political activity² as defined below?
Yes No

If yes, identify each such foreign principal and describe in full detail all such political activity, indicating, among other things, the relations, interests and policies sought to be influenced and the means employed to achieve this purpose. If the registrant arranged, sponsored or delivered speeches, lectures or radio and TV broadcasts, give details as to dates, places of delivery, names of speakers and subject matter.

See Attachments

-
13. In addition to the above described activities, if any, have you engaged in activity on your own behalf which benefits any or all of your foreign principals? Yes No

If yes, describe fully.

²The term "political activities" means the dissemination of political propaganda and any other activity which the person engaging therein believes will, or which he intends to, prevail upon, indoctrinate, convert, induce, persuade, or in any other way influence any agency or official of the Government of the United States or any section of the public within the United States with reference to formulating, adopting, or changing the domestic or foreign policies of the United States or with reference to the political or public interests, policies, or relations of a government of a foreign country or a foreign political party.

IV—FINANCIAL INFORMATION

14. (a) RECEIPTS—MONIES

During this 6 month reporting period, have you received from any foreign principal named in Items 8, 9 and 10 of this statement, or from any other source, for or in the interests of any such foreign principal, any contributions, income or money either as compensation or otherwise? Yes No

If yes, set forth below in the required detail and separately for each foreign principal an account of such monies.³

<i>Date</i>	<i>From Whom</i>	<i>Purpose</i>	<i>Amount</i>
-------------	------------------	----------------	---------------

See Attachments

Total

(b) RECEIPTS—THINGS OF VALUE

During this 6 month reporting period, have you received any thing of value⁴ other than money from any foreign principal named in Items 8, 9 and 10 of this statement, or from any other source, for or in the interests of any such foreign principal? Yes No

If yes, furnish the following information:

<i>Name of foreign principal</i>	<i>Date received</i>	<i>Description of thing of value</i>	<i>Purpose</i>
--------------------------------------	--------------------------	--	----------------

³A registrant is required to file an Exhibit D if he collects or receives contributions, loans, money, or other things of value for a foreign principal, as part of a fund raising campaign. See Rule 201(e).

⁴Things of value include but are not limited to gifts, interest free loans, expense free travel, favored stock purchases, exclusive rights, favored treatment over competitors, "kickbacks," and the like.

15. (a) **DISBURSEMENTS—MONIES**

During this 6 month reporting period, have you

(1) disbursed or expended monies in connection with activity on behalf of any foreign principal named in Items 8, 9 and 10 of this statement? Yes No

(2) transmitted monies to any such foreign principal? Yes No

If yes, set forth below in the required detail and separately for each foreign principal an account of such monies, including monies transmitted, if any, to each foreign principal.

<i>Date</i>	<i>To Whom</i>	<i>Purpose</i>	<i>Amount</i>
-------------	----------------	----------------	---------------

See Attachments

15. (b) DISBURSEMENTS—THINGS OF VALUE

During this 6 month reporting period, have you disposed of anything of value⁵ other than money in furtherance of or in connection with activities on behalf of any foreign principal named in items 8, 9 and 10 of this statement?

Yes No

If yes, furnish the following information:

<i>Date disposed</i>	<i>Name of person to whom given</i>	<i>On behalf of what foreign principal</i>	<i>Description of thing of value</i>	<i>Purpose</i>
----------------------	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------------------	----------------

(c) DISBURSEMENTS—POLITICAL CONTRIBUTIONS

During this 6 month reporting period, have you from your own funds and on your own behalf either directly or through any other person, made any contributions of money or other things of value⁵ in connection with an election to any political office, or in connection with any primary election, convention, or caucus held to select candidates for political office?

Yes No

If yes, furnish the following information:

<i>Date</i>	<i>Amount or thing of value</i>	<i>Name of political organization</i>	<i>Name of candidate</i>
-------------	---------------------------------	---------------------------------------	--------------------------

See Attachments

V—POLITICAL PROPAGANDA

(Section 1(j) of the Act defines "political propaganda" as including any oral, visual, graphic, written, pictorial, or other communication or expression by any person (1) which is reasonably adapted to, or which the person disseminating the same believes will, or which he intends to, prevail upon, indoctrinate, convert, induce, or in any other way influence a recipient or any section of the public within the United States with reference to the political or public interests, policies, or relations of a government of a foreign country or a foreign political party or with reference to the foreign policies of the United States or promote in the United States racial, religious, or social dissensions, or (2) which advocates, advises, instigates, or promotes any racial, social, political, or religious disorder, civil riot, or other conflict involving the use of force or violence in any other American republic or the overthrow of any government or political subdivision of any other American republic by any means involving the use of force or violence.)

16. During this 6 month reporting period, did you prepare, disseminate or cause to be disseminated any political propaganda as defined above? Yes No

IF YES, RESPOND TO THE REMAINING ITEMS IN THIS SECTION V.

17. Identify each such foreign principal.

⁵Things of value include but are not limited to gifts, interest free loans, expense free travel, favored stock purchases, exclusive rights, favored treatment over competitors, "kickbacks," and the like.

18. During this 6 month reporting period, has any foreign principal established a budget or allocated a specified sum of money to finance your activities in preparing or disseminating political propaganda? Yes No

If yes, identify each such foreign principal, specify amount, and indicate for what period of time.

19. During this 6 month reporting period, did your activities in preparing, disseminating or causing the dissemination of political propaganda include the use of any of the following:

- Radio or TV broadcasts
- Magazine or newspaper articles
- Motion picture films
- Letters or telegrams
- Advertising campaigns
- Press releases
- Pamphlets or other publications
- Lectures or speeches

Other (specify) _____

20. During this 6 month reporting period, did you disseminate or cause to be disseminated political propaganda among any of the following groups:

- Public Officials
- Newspapers
- Libraries
- Legislators
- Editors
- Educational institutions
- Government agencies
- Civic groups or associations
- Nationality groups

Other (specify) _____

21. What language was used in this political propaganda:

- English
- Other (specify) _____

22. Did you file with the Registration Section, U.S. Department of Justice, two copies of each item of political propaganda material disseminated or caused to be disseminated during this 6 month reporting period? Yes No

23. Did you label each item of such political propaganda material with the statement required by Section 4(b) of the Act? Yes No

24. Did you file with the Registration Section, U.S. Department of Justice, a Dissemination Report for each item of such political propaganda material as required by Rule 401 under the Act? Yes No

VI—EXHIBITS AND ATTACHMENTS

25. EXHIBITS A AND B

(a) Have you filed for each of the newly acquired foreign principals in Item 9 the following:

- Exhibit A⁶ Yes No
- Exhibit B⁷ Yes No

If no, please attach the required exhibit.

(b) Have there been any changes in the Exhibits A and B previously filed for any foreign principal whom you represented during this six month period? Yes No

If yes, have you filed an amendment to these exhibits? Yes No

If no, please attach the required amendment.

⁶The Exhibit A, which is filed on Form CRM-157 (Formerly OBD-67) sets forth the information required to be disclosed concerning each foreign principal.

⁷The Exhibit B, which is filed on Form CRM-155 (Formerly OBD-65) sets forth the information concerning the agreement or understanding between the registrant and the foreign principal.

26. EXHIBIT C

If you have previously filed an Exhibit C⁸, state whether any changes therein have occurred during this 6 month reporting period. Yes No

If yes, have you filed an amendment to the Exhibit C? Yes No

If no, please attach the required amendment.

27. SHORT FORM REGISTRATION STATEMENT

Have short form registration statements been filed by all of the persons named in Items 5 and 7 of the supplemental statement? Yes No

If no, list names of persons who have not filed the required statement.

The undersigned swear(s) or affirm(s) that he has (they have) read the information set forth in this registration statement and the attached exhibits and that he is (they are) familiar with the contents thereof and that such contents are in their entirety true and accurate to the best of his (their) knowledge and belief, except that the undersigned make(s) no representation as to the truth or accuracy of the information contained in attached Short Form Registration Statement, if any, insofar as such information is not within his (their) personal knowledge.

(Type or print name under each signature)

(Both copies of this statement shall be signed and sworn to before a notary public or other person authorized to administer oaths by the agent, if the registrant is an individual, or by a majority of those partners, officers, directors or persons performing similar functions who are in the United States, if the registrant is an organization.)

Donald L. Fierce

Donald L. Fierce

Subscribed and sworn to before me at District of Columbia

this 17th day of April, 19 89

My Commission Expires January 1, 1994

Gillian Cramer

(Signature of notary or other officer)

⁸The Exhibit C, for which no printed form is provided, consists of a true copy of the charter, articles of incorporation, association, constitution, and bylaws of a registrant that is an organization. (A waiver of the requirement to file an Exhibit C may be obtained for good cause upon written application to the Assistant Attorney General, Criminal Division, Internal Security Section, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530.)

Fees received for services July through December 1988:

Spar

1,500
1,500
1,500
1,500
1,500
1,500
4,000
4,000
4,000
4,000
4,000

33,000

Hagglunds

1,500
1,500
1,500
1,500
1,500
1,500
2,800
2,800
2,800
2,800
2,800
2,800

25,800

TOTAL: 58,800

RECEIVED
DEPT. OF JUSTICE
CRIMINAL DIV.
89 APR 18 P5:17
INTERNAL SECURITY
REGISTRATION DIV.

EXPENSES - HAGGLUNDS JULY THROUGH DECEMBER 1988

JULY

Cabs.....\$26.00
Long Distance Telephone (L.D.).....\$65.81
Entertainment/Meals.....\$364.78

AUGUST

Cabs.....\$18.00
(L.D.).....\$85.47
Entertainment/Meals.....\$402.08

SEPTEMBER

Cabs.....\$21.00
(L.D.).....\$59.87
Entertainment/Meals.....\$474.51

OCTOBER

Cabs.....\$16.00
(L.D.).....\$53.80
Entertainment/Meals.....\$444.57

NOVEMBER

Cabs.....\$11.00
(L.D.).....\$35.31
Entertainment/Meals.....\$85.70

DECEMBER

Cabs.....\$17.50
(L.D.).....\$40.90
Entertainment/Meals.....\$121.92

TOTAL: \$2,344.22

TOTAL FOR HAGGLUNDS AND SPAR: \$3,963.55

EXPENSES - SPAR JULY THROUGH DECEMBER 1988

JULY

Cabs.....\$36.00
Long Distance Telephone (L.D.).....\$51.46
Entertainment/Meals.....\$301.94

AUGUST

Cabs.....\$45.35
(L.D.).....\$57.46
Entertainmet/Meals.....\$298.77

SEPTEMBER

Cabs.....\$45.00
(L.D.).....\$42.51
Meals/Entertainment.....\$255.15

OCTOBER

Cabs.....\$14.50
(L.D.).....\$35.75
Meals/Entertainment.....\$134.06

NOVEMBER

Cabs.....\$15.20
(L.D.).....\$35.75
Meals/Entertainment.....\$147.01

DECEMBER

Cabs.....\$9.25
(L.D.).....\$17.51
Entertainment/Meals.....\$33.50

TOTAL: \$1,619.33

SPAR (July through December 1988 explanation of activity)

During this period I monitored the NASA Appropriations and Authorization Bills as they pertained to Space Station and more particularly robotics. At no time did I disseminate any written communication, press releases, policy or other materials. This task required that I gather information on the status of legislation and report to SPAR. Attached are some examples of these reports.

August 29, 1988

MEMORANDUM

TO: Charles Danneman and Eric Grimshaw
FROM: Don Fierce and David O'Brien
RE: Recent emphasis on "Space Policy" in Presidential Politics

With his Speech to workers at the Marshall Space Flight Center in Huntsville, Alabama, Massachusetts Governor Michael Dukakis has thrust "space" and "space policy" into the forefront of political issues currently dominating presidential politics in these critical months preceding the election.

Dukakis comes out strongly for a "permanently manned space station" and a space program that ". . . will inspire our young people; challenge our scientists; strengthen our economy, and make NASA once again the symbol of what high standards and excellence in public service are all about." The speech, given August 18th, comes just days after pro-NASA Democrats met with a Dukakis issues director to push a stronger Dukakis space policy (as reported in our memo of August 19th).

Dukakis' pro-space station statement represented to some a "flip-flop" on his previously articulated space policy and confusion about the type of space station Dukakis is actually endorsing. Analysis of the Marshall speech and sources on the Hill, lead us to believe that while Dukakis is hesitant to commit himself to every technical detail of the NASA space station, his reference to "strengthening ties" with our international partners and having a station that would "eventually serve as a staging base for longer voyages" would seem to point to the only configuration that could accommodate those goals, and that is the NASA designed Station.

In what is soon to become a game of political one-ups-manship, Republican presidential candidate George Bush is expected to come out with a stronger statement on space policy and the manned space station. Currently, the Republican platform offers many specifics about the party's ambitions for space. Dukakis and the Democratic platform, until recently have been silent about space issues. Now, however, both candidates seem eager to talk about space.

It would seem that it is beginning to dawn on both Dukakis and Bush that the facts of the electoral college make key NASA contracting states extremely important to the November race.

States like Florida, Texas and Ohio have major stakes in NASA's \$20 billion dollar space station project, as well as a combined total of 73 electoral college votes. Also, it is conceivable that sometime in early to mid-October the Space Shuttle will fly again, creating an increased interest in the U.S. space program, dormant now for over two years. Capitalizing on renewed interest and enthusiasm in the space program would be in the interests of both candidates in the key weeks leading up to election day.

We will keep watch for more stirrings in both the Dukakis and Bush camps regarding space, but it has become clear that "Space Policy" has zoomed to the fore! Attached, please find a copy of the Dukakis speech, as delivered to the workers at Marshall Space Flight Center.

October 25, 1988

MEMORANDUM

TO: Charles Danneman

FROM: Don Fierce and David O'Brien

RE: Final passage of NASA FY-1989 Authorization Bill

On Friday, October 21st, the last day of the 100th Congress, the Senate passed the final version of the FY-1989 Authorization Bill for the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. As you know, the Appropriations Bill has been signed and passed, yet the completion and final passage of the Authorization, as amended, send some strong signals to the 101st Congress.

The bill authorizes \$11.2 billion for fiscal 1989 which represents a compromise between the House-passed figure of \$11.5 billion and the Senate \$11.1 billion. A major compromise to the Senate and House versions of the bill is the passage of a three year authorization for the NASA Space Station Freedom.

The Bill automatically authorizes \$2.1 billion for FY-1990 and \$2.9 billion for FY-1991. However, our Hill sources claim that the novel authorization plan is officially viewed only as a cap on spending and could end up to be less. The important point, however, is that the three year authorization sends the signal that Space Station funds are no longer up for negotiation. The three year authorization signifies a commitment to deployment of the Space Station and a continuity in funding for a NASA priority project that has not existed for many years.

The Bill also contains language stipulating that the International Space Station will be used "for peaceful purposes only". This particular language has appeared in the last three appropriations bills, and its presence again reinforces that position. Some Members of Congress are adamant about assuring that the Space Station shall not be used to transport or house any weapon of mass destruction.

This year's bill again contains language authorizing the establishment of the National Space Council in the Executive Office of the President. The Space Council idea has been a

controversy throughout the Reagan Administration. In previous years President Reagan has vetoed the Authorization Bill because of the Space Council language, believing it to be an effort by Congress to dictate to the Executive Branch the method for establishing Presidential policy. However, there is the feeling that this year Reagan will not veto the bill because of the strong endorsement of the Space Council by Presidential candidate George Bush. In fact, as we reported to you in previous memos, both Vice-President Bush and Governor Dukakis have promised vigorous support of the Space Council. Therefore, we anticipate the President will sign the bill into law this week.

We will be calling you near the end of the week to discuss this memo and our November trip to SPAR.

December 8, 1988

MEMORANDUM

TO: Charles Danneman
FROM: Don Fierce and David O'Brien
RE: New Congressional line-up for NASA

In spite of the fact that over 95% of the Congress was re-elected in the November election, NASA will experience the most significant change in their key Committee Chairmen in eighteen years. This is primarily due to the retirements of Congressman Boland and Senator Proxmire who were Chairmen of the House and Senate HUD and Independent Agencies Appropriations Subcommittees. These two chairmanships are clearly the most powerful positions relating to NASA budget issues in the Congress.

SENATE

On the surface, NASA appears to have gained support in the new Senate since their biggest nemesis, Proxmire, has retired. Proxmire will be replaced by Senator Barbara Mikulski of Maryland. As Chairman of the HUD and Independent Agencies Subcommittee, Senator Mikulski is expected to be a strong supporter of NASA particularly NASA Goddard activities. However, in the past, Senator Mikulski has had a rocky relationship with NASA. She expected NASA to locate the Space Station headquarters in Maryland and was very disappointed when Reston, Virginia was selected. Senator Mikulski has also been an advocate for increased funding for housing programs which will create difficult choices for her in her new role. Senator Garn will remain as ranking Republican on the HUD Subcommittee and will continue in his role as NASA's chief advocate on Capitol Hill.

In terms of changes in Senate leadership positions, NASA may not have fared as well. Senator Mitchell of Maine, the new Majority Leader, is a strong environmentalist which could have a negative impact on NASA since the Environmental Protection Agency competes directly with NASA for funding in the HUD and Independent Agencies appropriations bill. The good news for NASA is that Mitchell is not expected to be a leader that tries to force his will on the Senate.

On the NASA authorizing committee, Senator Hollings will continue as Chairman of the Commerce Committee but Senator Riegle, who was Chairman of the Science, Technology and Space Subcommittee has left the Committee. Riegle's replacement has not been named.

Marty Kress will continue as Staff Director which will insure strong support for NASA regardless of who is selected as Chairman.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Congressman Bob Traxler of Michigan will take over the Chairmanship of HUD and Independent Agencies from Congressman Boland. Traxler has served on this Subcommittee since 1974. He has been a supporter of NASA but has not been deeply involved with the details of NASA programs. Traxler is taking his new duties very seriously as evidenced by the fact that he plans to visit every NASA center prior to the beginning of the new Congress. In addition, Traxler has decided to retain Dick Malow as Staff Director of the Subcommittee because of Malow's in depth knowledge of NASA programs. This may result in Traxler's carrying forward some of Boland's pet projects such as the Flight Telerobotic Servicer.

Bob Roe will continue as Chairman of the House Science, Space and Technology Committee and Bill Nelson will remain as Chairman of the NASA Subcommittee. Congressman Bob Walker will take over as ranking Republican on the full committee from Manuel Lujan, who retired.

As a result of all these changes NASA should be in a stronger position than in the last Congress. However, given the continuing budget deficits and the increases that NASA requires to fund the Space Station they will need all the help they can get.

Biography of Representative Bob Traxler - D-Michigan

Probable successor to Edward Boland as Chairman of the powerful HUD-Independent Agencies Subcommittee, Traxler has served in the U.S. House of Representatives since 1974, seven full terms. A frequent ally of Boland, Traxler has argued against some of the strictest cut backs for the National Aeronautics and Space Administration in recent years. In addition to being second in line at HUD, Traxler is also a ranking member on the Agriculture Subcommittee where he has been a champion of farmers and nutrition programs over the years. A native of Michigan, Traxler has devoted a lot of attention to protecting the concerns of the auto industry as well as actively addressing several environmental issues affecting Michigan's Eighth District.

Biography of Senator Barbara Mikulski - D-Maryland

Likely successor to William Proxmire as Chairman of the Senate HUD-Independent Agencies Subcommittee. A local politician from the start, Mikulski has maintained ties with her native Baltimore and blue collar-based constituency throughout her rise in the ranks of the U.S. Senate. She has been a strong advocate for women's issues and believes in generous government spending for the needy and homeless. Last budget battle, Mikulski was one of the few holdouts pushing for continuation of Urban Development Action Grants (UDAG). Goddard Space Flight Center located in Greenbelt, Maryland employs many workers on behalf of NASA and will certainly be of concern to Senator Mikulski as she takes over the Subcommittee that appropriates funds for NASA's pet project the Space Station.

Biography of Senator George Mitchell - D-Maine

November 29th, 1988 George Mitchell was voted by his Senate Democratic colleagues as the successor to Majority Leader Robert Byrd. He takes over this very powerful position after eight years in the U.S. Senate. Appointed to the Senate in 1980 to fill a vacancy, Mitchell spent the early part of his political career in state and local politics. He was Chairman of the Maine Democratic Party for two years and ran for Governor of Maine as the Democratic nominee in 1974. Mitchell rose to prominence recently when he was appointed to the special committee investigating the Iran-Contra Affair during the summer of 1987. Mitchell took an active and vocal role during the hearings and was praised for his eloquent and thoughtful speeches. Throughout his Senate career, Mitchell has been keenly involved in

environmental issues particularly "acid rain". The acid rain issue has put him in the middle of an ongoing regional debate that has held up passage of the Clean-Air Act for several years. Early in his Senate career, Mitchell crusaded for the "superfund" bill which required chemical companies to finance hazardous waste cleanup. Mitchell is a former federal judge and known for his steady intellectual style. But despite the outward appearance Mitchell has proven himself to be an aggressive politician. The choice of Senator Mitchell for Majority Leader has been lauded by many in Washington and the feeling is he will be a strong leader and negotiator in the Senate.

HAGGLUNDS (July through December 1988 explanation of activities)

During this period I monitored the FY 89 Defense Authorization and Appropriations Bills as they pertain to SUSV's and TAC's. The House and Senate finally reached an agreement and passed a revised version of the FY-89 DOD Authorization bill, which the President signed into law. This assured funding for SUSV's.

At no time did I disseminate any written communication, press releases, policy or other materials. Attached is an example of our reports.

September 29, 1988

MEMORANDUM

TO: Jarl Hallin and Urban Lundberg
FROM: Don Fierce and David O'Brien
RE: Small Unit Support vehicle

Yesterday, the Congress passed a revised version of the FY-1989 DOD Authorization bill which the President is expected to sign into law. In addition, the House/Senate Conference on the FY-1989 DOD Appropriations bill also completed their work last night. The following is the status of the SUSV program:

FY-1988 Army supplemental authorization of SUSV's - \$20 million

This authorization completes the \$38 million appropriation that was passed last year. After the President signs this bill, which should be in the next few days, the Army can obligate the \$20 million.

FY-1989 Army funding for 51 SUSV's - \$8 million

As we reported earlier, the House "receded" to the Senate and, as a result, the final Appropriations bill contains the full amount for the 51 SUSV's. The revised Authorization bill also contains the \$8.794 million for the SUSV's. After the President signs both bills the Army can obligate the \$8 million without any additional Congressional action.

FY-1989 National Guard funding for SUSV's - \$8 million

The House/Senate conference on the FY-1989 Appropriations bill agreed to appropriate the \$8 million for SUSV's. These SUSV's are earmarked for the 6th Light Infantry Division of the Army National Guard.

As you know, this National Guard money was not in the original budget request that DOD submitted to the Congress. We lobbied for these funds on the Senate Appropriations Committee and we were successful in getting the House Appropriations Committee to agree with this funding.

This \$8 million for the National Guard is not contained in this year's Authorization bill and therefore the Army National Guard may not be able to spend it until it is authorized in the FY-1990 Authorization bill. We will have a definite answer in two to three weeks.

cc: John Albino

MEETINGS ON BEHALF OF HAGGLUNDS

7/13/88

Government Entity - Senate Government Affairs Committee
Official - Robert Coakley (Staff Assistant)
Purpose - Status of House Authorization on Armed Services

8/8/88

Government Entity - Senate Appropriations Committee
Official - Sean O'Keefe (Staff Assistant)
Purpose - Appropriations for Small Unit Support Vehicle (SUSV)

8/16/88

Government Entity - Senate Armed Services Committee
Official - Arnold Punaro (Staff Director)
Purpose - Discussion of SUSV

8/29/88

Government Entity - House Appropriations Committee
Official - Paul Cambone
Purpose - Discussing funding levels for Defense and status of
Appropriation for SUSV

10/3/88

Government Entity - House Appropriations Committee
Official - Congressman Robert Livingston
Purpose - Status of House Appropriations for FY89

10/11/88

Government Entity - Senate Government Affairs Committee
Official - Robert Coakley (Staff Assistant)
Purpose - Discussion of Appropriations for Non-Authorized items

10/28/88

Government Entity - Office of Management and Budget
Official - Wayne Arny (Associate Director)
Purpose - Discussion of Levels of DoD Funding for FY89 and FY90

11/30/88

Government Entity - House of Representatives
Official - Congressman Earl Hutto
Purpose - Discussion of funding for SUSV

12/12/88

Government Entity - Senate Government Affairs Committee

Official - Robert Coakley (Staff Assistant)

Purpose - Discussion of Funding for Appropriations but not
Authorization

MEETINGS ON BEHALF OF SPAR

7/1/88

Government Entity - Senate Government Affairs Committee
Official - Robert Coakley (Committee Council)
Purpose - Status of funding levels for Space Station

7/15/88

Government Entity - Office of Management and Budget
Official - Wayne Army (Associate Director)
Purpose - Space Station funding levels and status of international space budget

7/29/88

Government Entity - Office of Management and Budget
Official - Dan Taft (Deputy Budget Director)
Purpose - Status of international space agreement

8/7/88

Government Entity - Senate Commerce Committee
Official - Marty Kress (Committee Council)
Purpose - Authorization status for Space Station

8/21/88

Government Entity - Senate Government Affairs Committee
Official - Robert Coakley (Committee Council)
Purpose - Status of HUD and Independent Agency funding

9/7/88

Government Entity - Senate Appropriations Committee
Official - Mike Hael (Committee Council)
Purpose - Status of Senate Appropriations process for FY89

9/21/88

Government Entity - House Appropriations Committee
Official - Paul Cambone (Committee Assistant)
Purpose - Status of House Appropriations process

10/3/88

Government Entity - House of Representatives
Official - Congressman Livingston
Purpose - Status of House Appropriations Legislation

10/12/88

Government Entity - Senate Government Affairs Committee
Official - Robert Coakley (Committee Council)
Purpose - Status of international space agreement

10/27/88

Government Entity - Office of Management and Budget
Official - Wayne Arny (Associate Director)
Purpose - Status of international space agreement

11/14/88

Government Entity - Senate Commerce Committee
Official - Marty Kress (Committee Council)
Purpose - Status of international participation on Space Station

POLITICAL CONTRIBUTIONS (July through December 1988)

- 1) Fund for Republican Majority - (Senator Stevens) 8/13/88
\$1000.00
- 2) Earl Hutto - 10/11/88
\$1000.00
- 3) Campaign America - (Dole) 10/20/88
\$1000.00
- 4) Connie Mack - 11/2/88
\$500.00