

Subject: MCR10155, Changes for call, define_area_, check_equal_name_ and lex_string_

Author: Gary Dixon

Date: 2025-07-22

Multics Ticket: <http://multics-trac.swenson.org/ticket/333>
<http://multics-trac.swenson.org/ticket/334>
<http://multics-trac.swenson.org/ticket/337>
<http://multics-trac.swenson.org/ticket/339>

This MCR proposes a set of changes found necessary for an enhancement of the ssu_ subsystem. The changes fix problems or add enhancements to subroutines used by ssu_ and its many subsystems. However, these changes apply to other software besides ssu_ subsystems. They should be considered separately on their own merits.

A) lex_string_ should support define_area_ extensible areas

Ticket 333 reminds us that define_area_ now supports creation of both freeing and non-freeing extensible areas. It therefore suggests that lex_string_.pl1 support allocations in such areas, while retaining support for the older translator_temp_ version of extensible non-freeing areas.

This can easily be done by checking the area_header of the caller-supplied area for supported standard-area version numbers (0 or 1) and the area_header.flags.extended switch. If all these attributes are found, the area will be treated as supplied by define_area_ allowing lex_string_ token descriptors to be created using a PL/I alloc statement; otherwise, the descriptors will still be created using the translator_temp_-supplied allocate subroutine.

B) define_area_ ignores the ssu_\$get_area comment argument

The ssu_\$get_area subroutine includes a comment argument documented as follows.

```
help ssu_$get_area -ca comment
```

```
>doc>info>ssu_.info    (54 lines in entry point)
```

```
Entry: 1983-01-19  ssu_$get_area
```

Arguments:

comment

is a comment identifying the use to which the area will be put.

(Input) It is used in constructing the owner name for the call to define_area_, in the form:

subsys_name.N (comment)

where subsys_name is the name of the subsystem, N is the invocation level for this invocation, and the comment (if any) follows, in parentheses. This is done to make it easier to identify the segment names listed by list_temp_segments.

ssu_temp_mgr_\$get_area stores the "subsys_name.N (comment)" string in the area_info.owner element when it calls define_area_ to create an area with specified characteristics in a temporary segment.

Ticket 337 reports that define_area_ embeds the area_info.owner field in a caller argument it passes to the get_temp_segment_ subroutine. However, because area_info.owner is a non-varying character string, define_area_ truncates the .owner field before the first SP character, then appends a ".area" suffix to that owner. Since ssu_\$get_area places its caller's comment in parenthesis preceded by a SP character, the comment argument to the ssu_\$get_area subroutine is never used. This approach represents a defect.

For example, a call to ssu_\$get_area by the test_parms subsystem with comment = "token_area" generates a temporary segment with the comment (displayed by list_temp_segments command) as follows...

```
list_temp_segments

          22 Segments,  8 Free
...
!BBBKXkgPkQlgbk.temp.0454  test_parms.1.area
```

A preferable display would include the caller-provided comment rather than the ".area" suffix added arbitrarily by define_area_. For example...

```
list_temp_segments

          22 Segments,  8 Free
...
!BBBKXkgPkQlgbk.temp.0454  test_parms.1 (token_area)
```

C) call command mis-allocates storage for decimal(P) unaligned arguments

The Multics data types involving 4-bit decimal digits are selected by the PL/I Attributes:

- real fixed decimal (P) unaligned:
which selects data type 43: real_fix_dec_4bit_bytealigned_ls_dtype
- real float decimal (P) unaligned:
which selects data type 44: realflt_dec_4bit_bytealigned_dtype

The Multics Programmer's Reference Guide (AG91-04) Appendix D (page D-18) reports that stored scalar or array values of these data types must begin on a word boundary and occupy a full number of words. This requirement was verified by checking array argument descriptors created by the PL/I compiler. These argument descriptors report the offset in bits between adjacent array elements.

Ticket 334 reports that calculations made by the call command for these data types do not account for this word-boundary alignment, nor the requirement that each scalar element occupy a full number of words. These calculations are made in the storage_for_pl1_dtype subroutine whose code resides in the call_dtype_fcns.incl.pl1 include segment.

The proposed change to this calculation is shown below.

```

A209  unalStoreSize (real_fix_dec_4bit_bytealigned_ls_dtype):
        /* +2 for sign nibble + round up to full byte boundary. */
A210      call st(BOUNDARY.Byte, divide(dsize+2, packed_digits_per_character,24,0));
A211  unalStoreSize (real_flt_dec_4bit_bytealigned_dtype):
        /* +2 for sign nibble + round up to full byte boundary. */
A212      /* +1 after divide for the binary exponent field. */
A213      call st(BOUNDARY.Byte, divide(dsize+2, packed_digits_per_character,24,0)+1);
Changed by B to:
B242  unalStoreSize (real_fix_dec_4bit_bytealigned_ls_dtype):
        /* +1 for sign nibble + round up to end on word boundary. */
B243      call st(BOUNDARY.Word,
B244          divide( dsize + 1 +
B245              (packed_digits_per_character * characters_per_word) - 1,
B246              (packed_digits_per_character * characters_per_word), 24, 0);
B247  unalStoreSize (real_flt_dec_4bit_bytealigned_dtype):
        /* +1 for sign nibble +2 for the binary exponent field */
B248      /* rounded up to full word boundary */
B249      call st(BOUNDARY.Word,
B250          divide( dsize + 3 +
B251              (packed_digits_per_character * characters_per_word) - 1,
B252              (packed_digits_per_character * characters_per_word), 24, 0);

```

D) get_equal_name and check_equal_name improvements

Ticket 339 reports problems in subroutines which handle equal names. When the get_equal_name_\$check_equal_name entry point was added, the change did not add the name check_equal_name onto the bound_library_1 object segment. That name is needed to permit this subroutine to be referenced as check_equal_name. Instead, it must be referenced as get_equal_name_\$check_equal_name.

Also, the change did not add an include file with named constants defining the EQUAL_TYPE_xxx values that can be returned in the subroutine's code argument (in addition to the possible error_table values that argument also returns).

The get_equal_name.info segment also does not document these EQUAL_TYPE_xxx values. Any programmer that needs to use the check_equal_name entry point must: examine existing callers code to see how to use the results; or examine get_equal_name_\$check_equal_name entry point code to see what code values it returns.

These omissions boost importance of this bug from an enhancement to a defect.

This MCR proposes the following changes.

1. Change bound_library_1.bind: As part of the objectname: get_equal_name; entry, add a synonym: keyword naming check_equal_name as a full synonym for the get_equal_name object segment. This was cause the loader to add check_equal_name as a name on >sl1>bound_library_1 bound object containing get_equal_name.
2. Create a >ldd>incl>check_equal_name.incl.pl1 segment defining three new constants describing the non-failing code values that may be returned by check_equal_name_\$check_equal_name.

```

declare  (
    EQUAL_TYPE_USE_ORIGINAL_NAME  initial (2),
                                   /* Equal name is == or ===; it selects full original name.*/
    EQUAL_TYPE_USE_GET_EQUAL_PROC initial (1),
                                   /* Equal name selects some parts of original name.      */
    EQUAL_TYPE_USE_EQUAL_NAME     initial (0)
                                   /* Equal name has no = or % chars; it replaces original. */
    )
                                   fixed bin (2) static options (constant);

```

3. Create a new `check_equal_name_.info` segment to document the calling sequence of the `check_equal_name_` entry point under its new, shorter name. It will also refer the user to the values in the new `check_equal_name.incl.pl1` segment.
4. Remove use of underlining in the `get_equal_name_.pl1` comments which give details about the finite state machine implementing that program. These comments are unreadable on a video terminal. Also replace `\014` pagination chars used throughout with the more modern `%page;` macro.

Proposed Changes

Ticket_333

- L-1) Change `lex_string_` to allocate token descriptors in either: a no-freeing extensible area created by `translator_temp_$get_segment`; or an extensible area (freeing or no-freeing) created by `define_area_`.
Note: `ssu_$get_area` can create extensible areas using `define_area_`.

Ticket_334

- C-1) In `call_dtype_fcns.incl.pl1`, change handling of `dec(P)` unaligned numeric values, which are 4-bit byte-aligned but always begin on word-aligned boundary and are left-justified in a full number of words.
- C-2) Recompile `call.pl1` (the only includer of this include segment) to pickup the change.

Ticket_337

- D-1) In `define_area_.pl1`, honor entire `area_info.owner` field when possible.

Ticket_339

- E-1) Change `bound_library_1_.bind`:
As part of the objectname: `get_equal_name_`; entry:
add a synonym: keyword naming `check_equal_name_` as a full synonym for the `get_equal_name_` object segment. This will cause the loader to add `check_equal_name_` as a name on `>sl1>bound_library_1_` bound object containing `get_equal_name_`.
- E-2) Create `check_equal_name.incl.pl1` segment which declares three constants that can be returned by the `check_equal_name_` subroutine.
- E-3) Add a `check_equal_name_.info` segment to fully document this existing subroutine under its new, shorter name: `check_equal_name_`.
- E-4) Edit `get_equal_name_.info` to remove reference to the `check_equal_name_` entry point in the `get_equal_name_` subroutine. Item (6) above substitutes for the removed entry point documentation.
- E-5) Remove use of underlining in comments atop `get_equal_name_.pl1` source which detail the finite state machine implementing that program. These comments are unreadable (because of use of backspace chars) on today's video terminals.

Changes --

Bound_obj:	bound_library_1_	IN: hard UPDATE;
bindfile:	bound_library_1_.bind	REPLACE;
source:	define_area.pl1	REPLACE compiler: pl1 -ot;
source:	get_equal_name.pl1	REPLACE compiler: pl1 -ot;
Bound_obj:	bound_proj_admin_	IN: sss UPDATE;
source:	lex_string.pl1	REPLACE compiler: pl1 -ot;
Bound_obj:	bound_trace_stack_	IN: sss UPDATE;
source:	call.pl1	REPLACE compiler: pl1 -ot;
Include:	call_dtype_fcns.incl.pl1	IN: sss.include REPLACE;
Include:	check_equal_name.incl.pl1	IN: sss.include ADD;
Info:	check_equal_name.info	IN: sss.info ADD;
Info:	get_equal_name.info	IN: sss.info REPLACE;
Info:	lex_string.info	IN: sss.info REPLACE;

The finite state machine diagram from get_equal_name.pl1 is shown below.

Algorithm

1) Parse the equal name into components and subcomponents.

a) each name component is classified into one of the following types:

type	format	description
13	===	a triple equal sign component
14	==	a double equal sign component
15	00	a component of one or more other characters (characters besides "=", "%", or ".")
16	0=%	a component containing %'s or ='s and other chars
17	=	a single equal sign component
18	BAD	a bad equal name component format.

b) each component is, in turn, divided into one or more subcomponents of the following types:

type	format	description
1	0's	a string of one or more consecutive other chars.
2	% 's	a string of one or more consecutive %'s.
3	= 's	a string of one, two, or three consecutive ='s.

Thus, component types === (13), == (14), 00 (15), and = (17) are each composed of a single subcomponent, and component type 0=% (16) is composed of two or more components.

2) Parse the entry name into components.

3) Construct the target name from the parsed equal and entry names.

The hardest part of this process is the parsing of the equal name into components and subcomponents, while at the same time checking for a bad equal name. The current restraints on the format of equal names are:

- 1) The equal name is an entry name composed of 32 or fewer ASCII characters or spaces, excluding ">" and "<". That is,
 $0 < \text{length}(\text{equal}) \leq 32$
 $E_i \leq \text{PAD}$
 $E_i \wedge = ">"$
 $E_i \wedge = "<"$
 where E_i are the characters of the equal name.
- 2) The equal name is composed of from one to sixteen components, none of which may be null. That is, the equal name may not begin or end with a period ("."), and may not contain two or more consecutive periods.
- 3) Each component of an equal name may contain one or more %'s, each of which represents the corresponding letter in the corresponding component of the entry name.
- 4) Each equal name component may contain one =, which represents the corresponding component of the entry name. An equal component which contains an = may not contain %'s.
- 5) An equal name may contain, at most, one == (double equal sign) component in any component position which represents all components of the entry name which are not represented by other components of the equal name.
- 6) An equal name may contain one or more === (triple equal sign) components in any component position which represents the original name. If === is used, no == component or component containing %'s or ='s may be used.

Each component of the equal name is parsed by a finite state machine, the diagram of which is shown below. The process of parsing each component begins in state start and it continues through the machine, according to the characters of the equal name component ("=", "%", ".", or another character) until a period ends the component, or until all the characters of the equal name have been exhausted. The parsing process identifies bad equal names (terminal state BAD), and it also classifies each valid component as being one of the component types mentioned above. The type is defined to be the state value of the finite state machine when the component has been parsed. During the parsing, information about the location and length of each component and subcomponent are gathered for later use during the construction of the target name.



Testing

Code in `get_equal_name.pl1` was changed only to use the named constants defined in the new `check_equal_name.incl.pl1` (rather than referencing them by numeric constants). No special testing beyond recompilation is required. The changes to `bound_library_1_.bind` were checked to see that the `check_equal_name_name` was added to that bound object.

The change to `define_area_` was tested by exercising the changed code dealing with the `area_info.owner` field processing to ensure that a suitably-short owner (with any parenthesized list) would be included in the comment displayed by the `list_temp_segments` command.

The changes to `lex_string_` were tested by verifying that token descriptor structures could be generated in an area provided by `define_area_`; and that generation of structures in a `translator_temp_segment` continued to work correctly.

The changes to `call` were verified by ensuring that a decimal(P) unaligned value is correctly handled by a test program.

The new/changed info segments were checked using the `verify_info (vi)` command.

Documentation

The info segment added for `check_equal_name_` is shown below.

Entry: 2024-09-13 `check_equal_name_`

Function: This subroutine checks the validity of an `equalname` based on rules of the `equal` convention. For information about these rules, type: `help equal_name.gi`

Syntax:

```
declare check_equal_name_ entry (char(*), fixed bin (35));
```

```
call check_equal_name_ (equalname, code);
```

Arguments:

`equalname`

is the `equal` string to be checked. (Input)

`code`

is a standard system error code to diagnose an error in `equalname` formation; or it can be an `EQUAL_TYPE` value which summarizes how that `equalname` selects characters or name components from an original name. (Output) See "List of `equal_type` values" and "List of system error codes" below.

List of `equal_type` values:

The following constants are declared in the `check_equal_name.incl.pl1` include segment.

`EQUAL_TYPE_USE_ORIGINAL_NAME` (2)

`Equal name` is "=" or "==". Either string selects the full original name for the target name. Caller may copy the original name to the target name.

`EQUAL_TYPE_USE_GET_EQUAL_PROC` (1)

`Equalname` selects some parts of original name as the target name. Call the `get_equal_name_` or `get_equal_name_$component` subroutines to determine the correct target name.

`EQUAL_TYPE_USE_EQUAL_NAME` (0)

`Equalname` has no = or % chars; so it replaces original. Caller may copy the `equalname` as the target name.

List of system error codes:

The error code may be one of the following.

`error_table_$bad_equal_name`

the `equal` name has a bad format.

Version History

INSTRUCTIONS: End with a version table including details shown below.

Date	Revision	Author	Comment
2025-07-22	1.0	Gary Dixon	Initial version of this MCR.