

**From:** [aschlafly@aol.com](mailto:aschlafly@aol.com)

**Date:** November 29, 2016 at 5:00:03 PM EST

**To:** [aschlafly@aol.com](mailto:aschlafly@aol.com)

**Subject:** Supreme Ct - coalition letter for pro-life nominee

Trump is willing to pick a pro-life judge to overturn *Roe v. Wade*, as he's repeatedly stated.

But some advisers and senators are pushing for a nominee who is **not** willing to overturn *Roe v. Wade*. Leonard Leo [recently stated publicly](#) that the intention is to pick someone who will merely uphold some regulations, and not overturn *Roe*. In response to my email yesterday, Ed Whelan published an article in defense of candidates lacking a pro-life record, by using semantics to say that Scalia was not on record as being "pro-life" when he was nominated. But Scalia was clearly on record as being strongly against *Roe v. Wade* when he was nominated and confirmed. Moreover, we have a more pro-life Senate today, and a larger number of pro-life voters too.

We're not accepting another David Souter, Anthony Kennedy, Sandra Day O'Connor, or Harriet Miers for Justice Scalia's seat. If a candidate cannot withstand the pro-abortion pressure outside of D.C., then he or she won't withstand it as a Supreme Court Justice either. The attached coalition letter was just completed and already about a half-dozen groups have asked to sign on. We welcome more signatories in order to obtain an authentically pro-life nominee.

Andy Schlafly, Esq.

President, Legal Center for Defense of Life

Attorney, Eagle Forum Education & Legal Defense Fund

General Counsel, Association of American Physicians & Surgeons