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AN ACT to amend the executive law and the penal law, in relation to revocation of community supervision

The Less Is More Act

THIS BILL IS APPROVED

The New York City Bar Association (“City Bar”) supports passage of the Less Is More Act, which would provide much-needed reforms to the administration of parole supervision by eliminating incarceration for most minor non-criminal violations, requiring prompt judicial review of parole warrants, placing caps on revocation sanctions, and incentivizing parole compliance by shortening supervision terms based on good behavior.

I. BACKGROUND

Starting in 2020, historic criminal justice reforms are taking effect in New York State, eliminating the use of pretrial detention for nearly all misdemeanors and non-violent felonies, greatly expanding the scope and timeliness of pretrial discovery, and enhancing speedy trial protections.

It is estimated that bail reform alone will lead to an immediate 40 percent reduction in the State’s jail population.1 The City of New York estimates that, by 2026, its average daily jail population will drop by half from its current total of approximately 6,600 people as a result of bail reform, increased pretrial diversion, alternatives to City sentences, and anticipated continued reductions in crime rates, clearing the way for the closure of Rikers Island.2


II. THE NEED FOR PAROLE REFORM

Despite these historic gains, a persistent obstacle stands in the way of the State’s laudable efforts to further reduce its reliance on mass incarceration and increase the fairness of its justice system: the State’s parole apparatus.\(^3\)

While the primary condition imposed on people on parole in New York is that they not commit any new crimes, people on parole are also required to abide by other conditions, including curfews, travel restrictions, drug testing, and checking in regularly with their parole officer. Violations of these restrictions that do not constitute a new crime are referred to as “technical” violations.\(^4\) In 2018, 40 percent of the people sent to state prison were incarcerated not because they had committed a new crime, but because they committed technical parole violations, often a combination of missing curfew, failing a drug test, staying at an unauthorized residence, or not appearing for an appointment with a parole officer. Among the most prevalent of these technical violations is what parole authorities refer to as “absconding”: failing to maintain contact with a parole officer as required and to stay at a pre-approved residence.\(^5\) Based on the experience of the practitioners among us and conversations with parole authorities, people accused of absconding rarely flee the jurisdiction or pose a risk to public safety. Often, concerned they will be jailed for another technical violation, people stop reporting to their parole officer.\(^6\)

The New York State Department of Corrections and Community Supervision (DOCCS) can issue a warrant for a person’s arrest if a parole officer and supervisors agree that there is “reasonable cause” that the person violated a parole condition “in an important respect.” A person must be held in a local jail, for up to 105 days, until the violation is adjudicated. Release on recognizance or bail are not options.\(^7\) In 2018, New York sent 7,492 people to prison for technical parole violations, second most in the nation. This is six times higher than the number of people on parole incarcerated for committing a new crime, making parole violations a major driver of incarceration in the State.\(^8\)

\(^3\) New York State prison sentences are generally followed by a period of community supervision, including parole and post-release supervision. As relevant here, there is no substantive difference in how these forms of community supervision are administered and the procedures under which supervision is revoked and people are reincarcerated. For the sake of simplicity, we use the umbrella term “parole” here to refer to all forms of community supervision.


\(^5\) Id. at 7.

\(^6\) Id.

\(^7\) Id. at 6.

Incarceration of New Yorkers accused of technical parole violations has huge financial and human costs and is a serious obstacle to the City’s plan to close Rikers Island. It is estimated that the State spends almost $300 million per year incarcerating people found to have committed technical parole violations. In New York City, the costs of incarceration are extraordinary, with a recent estimate by the City Comptroller calculating the average annual cost to be $337,524 per person. As of October 2019, there were 700 people incarcerated in New York City awaiting adjudication of alleged technical parole violations at a projected yearly cost of more than $200 million. Combined with the approximately 900 people on parole held each day in City jails because they are accused of committing a new crime, the City spends over half a billion dollars incarcerating people accused of parole violations.

The human cost is equally unaffordable. The recent suicide attempt of Nicholas Feliciano, an 18-year-old with a history of mental illness, has again highlighted the inhuman conditions faced by those jailed in New York City. Feliciano was held at Rikers Island for over one month without appearing before a judge or being assigned a lawyer after he was accused of three technical parole violations: taking an unauthorized trip to New Jersey to visit a girlfriend, testing positive for marijuana, and missing assigned programs. On November 27, 2019, Feliciano attempted to hang himself inside his cell after waiting six hours for medical attention for a gashed lip from a fight with other inmates. Several guards reportedly stood by for seven minutes without intervening during this suicide attempt, resulting in Feliciano being placed into a medically-induced coma with no brain activity.
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Research shows that the consequences of even a brief period of incarceration can be dire. People who are suddenly jailed can lose housing and jobs and face disruption of community-based treatments, education, and childcare arrangements. All of this is extremely counterproductive towards the goal of helping a person successfully reenter society after prison and deterring them from committing future crimes. And there is scant evidence that incarcerating people for technical parole violations enhances public safety or decreases recidivism. In fact, given the turmoil caused by even a relatively short period of incarceration, studies have shown that jailing people for technical parole violations can actually increase the likelihood that they will commit future crimes. By contrast, it has been shown that increasing community-based resources is at least as effective in changing behavior as jail and can result in overall reduced crime rates.

Incarceration for technical parole violations is also inconsistent with other efforts that the City and State of New York are making to reform the criminal justice system. The City has pledged to close Rikers Island by 2026 and replace it with four borough-based facilities, located closer to courthouses and communities. This ambitious plan depends on the City’s jail population dropping by half, which will be the capacity of the new, smaller facilities. While the jail population has declined 39 percent since 2013, the number of people incarcerated for technical parole violations increased 15 percent between 2014 and 2018. People alleged to have violated parole currently account for 24 percent of all people jailed in New York City and represent the only segment of the jail population whose numbers have increased since 2016. The feasibility of the City’s plan to shutter Rikers Island depends on significantly reducing this number.

III. THE LESS IS MORE ACT

The Less is More Act provides much-needed reforms by eliminating incarceration for most technical parole violations, requiring prompt judicial review of parole warrants, placing caps on revocation sanctions for both technical and non-technical violations, and allowing people to earn time off their parole terms with good behavior. These steps would incentivize compliance, reduce absconding, and allow parole officers to help people receive necessary support. Violation and incarceration rates would fall, as would today’s unreasonably high caseloads for parole officers. While most of the reforms would focus on those accused of technical parole violations, the Less is More Act would also ensure that people on parole receive the protections of the State’s new bail law and allow for release where the parole violation is the alleged commission of a crime that otherwise requires pretrial release. These changes could save the City and State hundreds of
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millions of dollars a year that could be reinvested in programs to address the root causes of crime, support successful reentry, and further decrease the unnecessary use of incarceration.

The Less is More Act is also critical to ensuring that the City is able to close Rikers Island as planned. In its calculations, the City predicts that the number of people incarcerated for technical parole violations will be reduced by two thirds.22 However, the City offers no details about how it will accomplish this goal if the Less is More Act is not passed; indeed the City has no ability to control the number of people incarcerated for alleged parole violations, as State law currently mandates that it house all those detained by DOCCS for any parole violation. If the Less is More Act is not passed, the City may simply be stuck with Rikers Island for generations to come, an unacceptable outcome.23

The current system of mandatory detention of people alleged to have violated parole also undermines the effectiveness of the State’s new bail reform law. More than 500 people on parole are held each day in City jails because they are accused of committing a misdemeanor or nonviolent felony.24 They would be subject to mandatory release under the new bail law but for the fact that they are also accused of a parole violation based on the same conduct.25 The Less is More Act would further drive down the City’s jail population by allowing, but not requiring, a judge to release people accused of violating parole based on a new arrest. This common-sense reform would ensure that people are not detained for allegedly committing crimes that the legislature has already determined do not warrant pre-trial detention. The Lippman Commission estimates that by eliminating pre-adjudication detention for most technical violations and allowing for release of people on parole alleged to have committed misdemeanors and non-violent felonies, the Less is More Act would reduce the City’s jail population by over ten percent.26

New York City has already shown that limiting the reach of post-conviction supervision can reduce incarceration without jeopardizing public safety.27 Since the mid-90s, the City cut the number of people on probation by two-thirds. At the same time, it scaled back the conditions to which low-risk probationers were subjected and increased six fold the number of people discharged from probation early as a reward for compliance. The shrinking probation rolls freed up officers to focus on their remaining clients who needed more attention and allowed the money saved to be invested in education, job training, and community-based non-profit service providers. All of this occurred during a period where the City’s rates of violent crime and incarceration
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27 Unlike parole, which follows a period of incarceration and is administered by the State government, probation is a sentence of community supervision generally imposed in lieu of incarceration and administered by county or city governments. See New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services, General Frequently Asked Questions, https://www.criminaljustice.ny.gov/opca/general_faq.htm#1.
dropped by more than half.\textsuperscript{28} Several other states such as Louisiana, Missouri, and South Carolina have adopted graduated sanctions, provided for earned discharges as a reward for good behavior, and imposed caps on reincarceration terms for technical parole violations.\textsuperscript{29} Their experiences also demonstrate that these changes can free up vital resources for higher-risk clients and decrease violation and incarceration rates for others without compromising public safety.\textsuperscript{30}

\textbf{IV. CONCLUSION}

For the aforementioned reasons, we respectfully urge our elected officials to support the Less is More Act.
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