



Benesch has been ranked in the First Tier nationally in Transportation Law in the 2018 Edition of U.S. News & World Report/ Best Lawyers® “Best Law Firms” ranking.

The U.S. News & World Report/Best Lawyers® “Best Law Firms” rankings are based on an evaluation process that includes the collection of client and lawyer evaluations, peer review from leading attorneys in their field, and review of additional information provided by law firms as part of the formal submission process. For more information on Best Lawyers, please visit www.bestlawyers.com.



The *InterConnect* FLASH!

Practical Bursts of Information Regarding Critical Independent Contractor Relationships

FLASH NO. 66 FLEET MODEL WITHSTANDS MISCLASSIFICATION CHALLENGE IN MASSACHUSETTS

Recently, the U.S. District Court for the District of Massachusetts dismissed misclassification claims presented by an owner and his company holding that the Plaintiffs did not qualify as an ‘individual’ under Massachusetts Independent Contractor Law, Mass. Gen. Laws ch. 149, §148B (commonly referred to as the “Massachusetts ‘ABC’ Test”).¹

The Court’s conclusion may seem simple and reflect common sense, but based on the factual allegations in the Complaint it appeared to be anything but simple. The bottom line is that the applicable statute of limitations drove the decision and seems to reflect favorably on the use of the Fleet Owner Model and the Master Contractor Model when utilizing independent contractors in operating a motor carrier.

Plaintiffs, GCC Moving, LLC (“GCC Moving”) and Gary Cook d/b/a GCC Moving (“Cook”), filed suit against Defendants Estes Express Line Corp. and Big E Transportation, LLC (“Big E”) for recovery of insurance, payroll taxes and other business expenses as a result of Defendants’ misclassification of Plaintiffs as independent contractors.

In March, 2012, Cook, as a “sole proprietorship” and doing business under the fictitious name of “GCC Moving” entered into an Independent Contractor Operating Agreement (“ICOA”) with Big E. The ICOA required Cook to provide truck driving transportation services and to recruit/provide other licensed professional truck drivers. Also, according to the Complaint, the ICOA appears to contain the myriad of provisions one would expect to find in such an agreement and those required by the Federal Leasing Regulations.² The ICOA was renewed in September 2013.

Originally, Cook leased and personally operated a truck from Big E, prior to bringing on two additional trucks/drivers in 2012 and then further expanding to eight trucks/drivers in 2013.

Thereafter, Cook limited his personal driving so that he could “run and over-see GCC Moving”. During this period, GCC Moving was operating thirteen trucks out of seven terminals in four states while employing over twenty different drivers. GCC Moving hired a payroll company, an accountant and paid Cook profits from the proceeds of such business.³

Plaintiffs’ claims stemmed from an injury sustained in September 2013 by a driver for GCC Moving while on the ‘job’. GCC Moving had procured Occupational Accident Insurance pursuant to the requirements of the ICOA intended to cover medical expenses

for job related injuries of its drivers. Ultimately, the insurance provider denied the driver's claim under the premise that the driver was an employee (presumably of GCC Moving) and not an independent contractor of Big E.

Subsequently, Plaintiffs began paying the driver's medical expenses and secured a Workers' Compensation Policy. Plaintiffs claimed that Big E promised to reimburse Plaintiffs for the driver's medical expenses but upon such demand for payment, Big E began to reduce Plaintiffs' opportunities to provide such services before completely terminating the relationship with Plaintiffs.

The case was filed in July 2016. The Complaint contained a litany of allegations regarding provisions in the ICOA relating to the right of control; described many examples of overreaching conduct by Big E on the operational side of the relationship; and asserted collusion and bad faith dealings between and among, Big E, its workplace accident insurer and Plaintiffs. A three year statute of limitation applied to the causes of action contained in the Complaint.⁴

Ultimately, the court granted the Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment based upon the premise that Cook operated GCC Moving as a business during the three year limitation period and therefore Plaintiffs' did not provide services in an individual capacity under Prongs (1) and (3) of Section 148(B).⁵

The court relied upon the decision in *Debnam*⁶ in determining that "the undisputed factual record in the this case shows that the work performed under [GCC Moving]'s contract with Big E involved far more than [Cook's] personal services"

notwithstanding that Cook was a sole proprietor when the injury occurred to one of his drivers in September 2013. Thus, as in *Debnam*, the court was persuaded by Cook's extensive and entrepreneurial activities precluding the application of Section 148 (B).

This decision further solidifies the importance of motor carriers establishing 'business-to-business' relationships when utilizing the independent contractor model when possible. Despite the numerous allegations in the Complaint that the Defendants exercised control over Plaintiffs, the court was swayed by the use of an actual entity with real business like activities. To the Defendants' benefit, the court did not even address such elements of control in rendering its decision.

As always, Benesch's experienced and skilled transportation team would be glad to assist you with properly structuring such relationships to help ensure 'business-to-business' interactions when utilizing independent contractor drivers either directly or through a Fleet Owner Model or a Master Contractor Model.

¹ *Cook v. Estes Express Lines, Corp.*, 1:16-cv-11538-RGS, 2018 WL 1773742 (D. Mass. April 12, 2018)

² C.F.R. § T. 49, Subt. B, Ch. III, Subch. B, Pt. 376.

³ GCC Moving, LLC was formally incorporated in November 2014.

⁴ Please contact us if you would like to receive a copy of the Complaint.

⁵ Prong 2 was preempted by federal law as was previously reported in [Flash #54](#).

⁶ *Debnam v. Fedex Home Delivery*, No. 10-11025-GAO, 2013 WL 5434142 (D. Mass. Sept 27, 2013).

If you need assistance or have questions about your agreements/operations, please feel free to contact Benesch's Transportation Team.

Richard A. Plewacki at rplewacki@beneschlaw.com or (216) 363-4159

Richard is a partner with the firm's Litigation and Transportation & Logistics Practice Groups. He has been in the transportation and logistics industry, both as a businessman and an attorney, for over 40 years during which he has been heavily involved with the IC model within the trucking industry. His practice also includes advising and representing motor carriers, leasing companies, third party logistics providers, national shippers, large private fleets and water carriers in the domestic, non-contiguous trade lanes.

Matthew J. Selby at mjelby@beneschlaw.com or (216) 363-4458

Matt is Of Counsel in the firm's Transportation & Logistics Practice Group. He currently advises and represents a variety of transportation based organizations including motor carriers, leasing companies, third party logistics providers, regional and national shippers, large private fleets, both domestically and internationally. He has experience with independent contractor issues/owner-operator issues, shipper/carrier matters, industry specific litigation, transportation related service agreements, freight claims, mergers and acquisitions, insurance, licensing and permitting.

Additional Information

For additional information, please contact:

Transportation & Logistics Practice Group

Michael J. Barrie at (302) 442-7068 or mbarrie@beneschlaw.com
Marc S. Blubaugh at (614) 223-9382 or mblubaugh@beneschlaw.com
Kevin M. Capuzzi at (302) 442-7063 or kcapuzzi@beneschlaw.com
John C. Gentile at (302) 442-7071 or jgentile@beneschlaw.com
Matthew D. Gurbach at (216) 363-4413 or mgurbach@beneschlaw.com
Jennifer R. Hoover at (302) 442-7006 or jhoover@beneschlaw.com
Thomas B. Kern at (614) 223-9369 or tkern@beneschlaw.com
Peter N. Kirsanow at (216) 363-4481 or pkirsanow@beneschlaw.com
David M. Krueger at (216) 363-4683 or dkrueger@beneschlaw.com
Andi M. Metzler at (317) 685-6159 or ametzel@beneschlaw.com
Michael J. Mozes at (614) 223-9376 or mmozses@beneschlaw.com
Kelly E. Mulrane at (614) 223-9318 or kmulrane@beneschlaw.com
Lianzhong Pan at (86 21) 3222-0388 or lpn@beneschlaw.com
Martha J. Payne at (541) 764-2859 or mpayne@beneschlaw.com
Stephanie S. Penninger at (312) 212-4981 or spenninger@beneschlaw.com
Joel R. Pentz at (216) 363-4618 or jpentz@beneschlaw.com
Richard A. Plewacki at (216) 363-4159 or rplewacki@beneschlaw.com
Matthew J. Selby at (216) 363-4458 or mselecty@beneschlaw.com
Peter K. Shelton at (216) 363-4169 or pshelton@beneschlaw.com
Verlyn Suderman at (312) 212-4962 or vsuderman@beneschlaw.com
Clare R. Taft at (216) 363-4435 or ctaft@beneschlaw.com
Jonathan Todd at (216) 363-4658 or jtodd@beneschlaw.com
Joseph P. Yonadi, Jr. at (216) 363-4493 or jyonadi@beneschlaw.com
Eric L. Zalud at (216) 363-4178 or ezalud@beneschlaw.com

Labor & Employment Practice Group

W. Eric Baisden at (216) 363-4676 or ebaisden@beneschlaw.com
Maynard Buck at (216) 363-4694 or mbuck@beneschlaw.com
Joseph Gross at (216) 363-4163 or jgross@beneschlaw.com
Rick Hepp at (216) 363-4657 or rhepp@beneschlaw.com
Peter Kirsanow at (216) 363-4481 or pkirsanow@beneschlaw.com

www.beneschlaw.com

As a reminder, this Advisory is being sent to draw your attention to issues and is not to replace legal counseling.

UNITED STATES TREASURY DEPARTMENT CIRCULAR 230 DISCLOSURE: TO ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS IMPOSED BY THE IRS, WE INFORM YOU THAT, UNLESS EXPRESSLY STATED OTHERWISE, ANY U.S. FEDERAL TAX ADVICE CONTAINED IN THIS COMMUNICATION (INCLUDING ANY ATTACHMENTS) IS NOT INTENDED OR WRITTEN TO BE USED, AND CANNOT BE USED, FOR THE PURPOSE OF (i) AVOIDING PENALTIES UNDER THE INTERNAL REVENUE CODE, OR (ii) PROMOTING, MARKETING OR RECOMMENDING TO ANOTHER PARTY ANY TRANSACTION OR MATTER ADDRESSED HEREIN.