
Medical Apps and Devices The Convergence of FDA, FTC, and State and Federal Regulation

Barry H. Boise T. Stephen Jenkins


Moderated by Mark A. Kadzielski

Health Care Services Webinar | December 17, 2015




1

Audio




Audio






Cisco WebEx Event Center





_ 🗇 ×

Download PPT Slides



Download PPT Slides

File	Save Document	As		X		_ 0 ×
	Save <u>i</u> n:	Ny Documents		•		2 Q&A
Í	Recent Places	Name	No items match your search.	Date modified		ф ×
	Desktop Libraries	Select 'Save As' and Select .PDF as type		[(***) ** *	
	Computer	•		4		
		File <u>n</u> ame:	Webinar Cover Slide	✓ <u>Save</u>		
		Save as <u>t</u> ype:	Portable Document Format (*.pdf)	▼ Cancel		Send

CLE credit available in CA, NY, PA. NJ CLE credit is available through reciprocity and VA CLE credit is pending.

Contact Brian Dolan at <u>dolanb@pepperlaw.com</u> for CLE form



Mark A. Kadzielski

Partner and National Chair, Health Care Services 213.928.9820 kadzielskim@pepperlaw.com

- Represents hospitals, medical staffs, managed care enterprises, and institutional and individual health care providers throughout the United States
- Work includes government regulatory investigations, contracting issues, credentialing, peer review, licensing, medical staff bylaws, joint commission accreditation and Medicare certification
- Has prepared more than 200 sets of medical staff bylaws and has handled numerous peer review hearings and appeals, including litigation in many courts

er Hamilton LLP



Barry H. Boise

Partner and Vice Chair, Health Effects Litigation 215.981.4591 boiseb@pepperlaw.com

- Defends and counsels medical device, pharmaceutical, and life science companies concerning litigation and risk mitigation strategies concerning marketed products, investigational new drugs, new technologies, and payor relationships
- Represents companies in Attorney General investigations, litigation and resolution, including engagements involving multi-state consortiums and private plaintiff led litigation
- Member of Pepper's Health Care Service and Life Science Practices





T. Stephen Jenkins

Associate, Commercial Litigation 215.981.4743 jenkinst@pepperlaw.com

- Practice involves a range of commercial litigation and compliance, including privacy, antitrust, and unfair competition
- Member of Pepper's Privacy, Security and Data Protection practice, the Antitrust Section in the Commercial Litigation Practice Group, and the Non-Compete and Trade Secrets practices




Mobile Medical Applications:

Convergence of Privacy and Patient Safety

Johns Hopkins researchers to use Apple Watch data to study epilepsy

Epilepsy.com Launches New iPhone App to Help People With Epilepsy Manage Seizures, Symptoms and Treatment

EpiWatch app will help researchers better understand epilepsy, develop new methods for monitoring, managing the disorder









9:46 PM

Carrier 🗢

Welcome to Mole Mapper!

This app helps you track your moles over time and participate in a research study to better understand skin health and melanoma risks

Email consent document Swipe to preview



Sage Bionetworks Launches Mole Mapper iPhone-app Enabled Research Study to Better Understand Melanoma

Patient-centered app-based study to

quantitatively track moles and help detect

early signs of malignant melanoma -- the

deadliest form of skin cancer

OCTOBER 20, 2015 OCTOBER 2015 OCTOBER 20, 2015 OCTOBER 2005 OCTOBER 200

Data Breach: Health Information


- The average cost of a data breach is estimated to be \$3.8 million
 - Healthcare records have the highest cost per stolen record at an average of \$363.
- PHI is worth up to 20 times more on black markets than financial information



Crowded Regulatory Field Federal Trade Commission Protecting America's Consumers OF OIO Dashboard HETVER HETVER HETVER HETVER STATES OF DEPARTMENT MINA JUSTITI IST National Institute of National Association of Attorneys General Standards and Technology

Pepper Hamilton

Attorneys at Law



Today's Presentation The Topics

- ► FDA
- ► FTC
- State Attorney Generals
- Consumer Class Actions
- Takeaways





Food and Drug Administration Regulation and Enforcement



What is a Medical Device?

A medical device is defined as:

- An instrument, apparatus, implement, machine, contrivance, or other similar or related article, including a component part or accessory, that is intended:
 - For use in the diagnosis of disease or other conditions;
 - For use in the cure, mitigation, treatment, or prevention of disease; or
 - To affect the structure or any function of the body



If a Medical Device...

FDA Regulatory Requirements include:

- Establishment Registration and Medical Device Listing
- Investigational Devices Exemption (IDE) requirements
- Labeling Requirements
- Premarket submission for approval or clearance (based on classification)
- Quality System Regulation
- Medical Device Reporting
- Correcting Problems



If a Medical Device...

FDA Regulatory Requirements include:

- Risk based classification based on controls necessary to provide reasonable assurances of safety and efficacy
 - Class I (low to moderate risk): general controls
 - Class II (moderate to high risk): general and Special controls
 - Class III (high risk): general controls and Premarket Approval



February 9, 2015, FDA Guidance on **Mobile Medical Applications**

- What is the force of a "Guidance"?
- FDA intends to regulate mobile medical software that poses a threat to public safety
- The key regulatory factor is the intended use of the mobile health application
- Mobile medical Apps will be subject to the same standards FDA applies to traditional medical devices





Intended Use Driving Classification

Will FDA regulate a **flashlight app**?

- If the app is advertised as a flashlight, FDA unlikely to regulate
- But if the app is advertised as an alternative to an ophthalmoscope (the light doctors flash in your eye), it may be subject to FDA regulation





Example of an FDA-Regulated Accessory


uChek app allowed users to analyze their urinalysis dipsticks using the camera on their mobile phone

- Dipstick is a cleared Medical Device approved only for direct visual reading
- App now enables a mobile phone to analyze the dipstick





Approved Device Analyzed by App Requires New FDA Clearance



FDA Will Regulate:

- Extending medical device to control the device for use in active patient monitoring
- Using attachments, screens, sensors to transform mobile platform into a medical device
- Performing patient-specific analysis
- Assisting with diagnosis or patient-specific treatment recommendations



FDA Does Not Intend to Regulate:

- Providing patients with tools to organize/track health information
- Helping patients document or communicate medical information to providers or access Medical Records
- Performing simple calculations used in clinical practice



FDA Does Not Intend to Regulate:

- Examples
 - Fitness Coach from iTunes
 - Apps for patients to log data they collect (*e.g.*, blood pressure) and report to provider
 - BMI calculators; delivery date estimators
 - Web portals to access own records
- Even if no FDA, other regulators (and regulations) may apply:
 - FTC
 - HIPAA/HITECH with transmission of PHI
 - State Consumer Protection Laws



FDA and Cybersecurity

- FDA recently supplemented an existing guidance with additional information addressing cybersecurity vulnerabilities with networked medical devices
 - Unauthorized access into networked medical devices could impair the safety or efficacy of the device
- Continuing obligation to maintain safety and efficacy of device placed on the manufacturer, including accounting for cybersecurity



Visit <u>http://bit.ly/1RS779b</u> to download a PDF of a presentation from the 2015 Annual Fall Conference of the NJ/Delaware Valley Chapters of the Healthcare Information Management Systems Society (DVHIMSS) that addresses the serious security challenges resulting from the burgeoning use of mobile health care applications and the practical steps to manage such mobility risks.



Source: July 28, 2015 "Information for Healthcare Organizations about FDA's "Guidance for Industry: Cybersecurity for Networked Medical Devices Containing Off-The-Shelf (OTS) Software"

2016 OIG Work Plan

Auditing and Enforcement

- Calls for increased scrutiny into data security capabilities of "networked medical devices" that are connected to electronic medical records (EMRs)
- Plans to examine whether FDA's oversight is sufficient to keep electronic protected health information (ePHI) contained within medical devices safe



FDA and Cybersecurity

- July 2015 FDA Safety Alert regarding Hospira Symbiq Infusion System
 - FDA urged facilities to transition away from these devices because external hackers could control the device and change the dosage the pump delivers remotely





FDA and Cybersecurity: FDA Safety Alert

The FDA is alerting users of the Hospira Symbiq Infusion System to cybersecurity vulnerabilities with this infusion pump.

> Hospira Symbiq Infusion System: FDA Safety Communication

Date Issued: July 31, 2015

accessed remotely through a hospital's network. This could allow an unauthorized user to control the device and change the dosage the pump delivers, which could lead to over- or under-infusion of critical patient therapies. The FDA and Hospira

The FDA, the U.S. Department of Homeland Security's industrial Control Systems Cyber Emergency Response Team (ICS-CERT), and Hospira are aware of cybersecurity vulnerabilities associated with the Symbiq Infusion System.

ospira and an independent researcher confirmed that Hospira's Symbiq infusion System could b

the FDA strongly encourages health care facilities to begin

transitioning to alternative infusion systems as soon as possible.

While transitioning to an alternative infusion system, consider taking the following steps to reduce the risk of unauthorized system access:

12/14/2015

http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/Safety/AlertsandNotices/ucm456815.htm





Federal Trade Commission Enforcement



Topics

- The FTC's Interest in Mobile Medical Devices and Apps
- Select FTC Enforcement



FTC Interest

- The FTC sees itself as the super-regulator, best equipped to protect consumers
 - Safety and advertising
 - Competition and economic impact
 - Privacy-by-design/Internet of Things
- FTC is empowered under, FTC Act § 5, which penalizes "unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce."





FTC Enforcement

- Accretive Health, Inc.
- GMR Transcription Services, Inc.
- FTC v. Wyndam
- FTC v. LabMD



Visit <u>http://bit.ly/1YhdEiK</u> to download materials and listen to a recent Pepper/Bloomberg BNA webinar that addresses compliance and best practices under the FTC's 'Start with Security' initiative.



Accretive Health, Inc. FTC Enforcement

- Accretive provides "revenue cycle" operations services to hospitals
- Accretive used actual live consumer personal information for training purposes
- An employee left a laptop containing information relating to 23,000 patients in a locked passenger compartment of a car, which was then stolen

LESSONS LEARNED

- Start with security
 - Don't use personal information when it isn't necessary
 - Protect devices that process personal information
- Secure paper, physical media, and devices
 - Keep safety standards in place when data is en route by implementing reasonable security policies



GMR Transcription Services, Inc. FTC Enforcement

- GMR provided services to transcribe audio files, including for health care providers and hospitals, which included sensitive information about consumers
- GMR relied almost exclusively on third party service providers to transcribe the audio files, including medical transcription files
- FTC alleged that GMR did not adequately verify that their major service provider for medical transcription implemented reasonable security measures

LESSONS LEARNED

- Make sure your service providers implement reasonable security standards
 - Put it in writing when contracting with third party vendors
 - Verify compliance through audits



FTC v. Wyndham FTC Enforcement

- Action arose from three (3) separate hacking incidents in 2008 and 2009
 - 619,000 customer records (names, addresses, credit cards) compromised
 - \$10.6 million in losses
- FTC alleged, among other things, that Wyndham
 - failed to maintain reasonable security measures to monitor unauthorized computer access;
 - failed to conduct security investigations; and
 - failed to reasonably limit third-party access to company networks and computers.
- FTC brought an enforcement action under both the unfairness and deceptive prongs of Section 5



FTC v. Wyndham FTC Enforcement

- Wyndham moved to dismiss at District Court level
 - Wyndham only challenged FTC's unfairness authority under Section 5. Wyndham claimed
 - Data security practices are not included in the definition of "unfair and deceptive" practices under Section 5
 - Section 5 violated principles of fair notice and due process because FTC fails to notify companies of rules, regulations and guidelines governing data security
- District of New Jersey denied motion to dismiss but certified the unfairness claim for appeal



FTC v. Wyndham FTC Enforcement

- Third Circuit affirmed trial court and found FTC has authority to pursue enforcement action. The Court found
 - Unfairness does not require unfair conduct or unethical behavior and can occur even if company is victimized by criminal conduct
 - FTC does not have to publish rules and regulations for fair notice
 - FTC enforcement actions with other companies were sufficient to provide Wyndham with notice of acceptable cybersecurity standards
 - FTC's authority to police cyber-breaches is solidified
- Wyndham recently settled with the FTC
 - Settlement looks fairly similar but has enhanced monitoring provisions



FTC v. LabMD FTC Enforcement

- LabMD is a privately held company that operated as a medical services provider, performing tests for patients at the request of doctors
- As part of its business, LabMD stored electronic billing records and medical records on an office computer
- In May 2008, a third party contacted LabMD and told LabMD that some of these files was available through LimeWire, a peer-to-peer sharing system



FTC v. LabMD FTC Enforcement

- After being notified, LabMD determined that LimeWire had been installed on its billing computer, which LabMD promptly removed
- LabMD also searched and monitored LimeWire for several months for any evidence of the leaked files but found no evidence beyond the third party
- Nevertheless, the FTC brought an enforcement action against LabMD under the unfairness prong of Section 5



Comparison of Allegations FTC Enforcement

FTC v. Wyndham

- failed to maintain reasonable security measures to monitor unauthorized computer access;
- failed to conduct security investigations; and
- failed to reasonably limit third-party access to company networks and computers

FTC v. LabMD

- did not employ readily available measures to prevent or detect unauthorized access to personal information on its computer networks; and
- did not develop, implement, or maintain a comprehensive information security program to protect consumers' personal information



FTC v. LabMD FTC Enforcement

- Holding: No evidence that any consumer suffered any actual harm from alleged failure to employ "reasonable" data security
- There was no also evidence of a high likelihood of future harm

LESSONS LEARNED

- It matters how you react to an alleged data breach
- In the event of a data breach, use independent judgment to perform thorough investigations
- Monitor computer systems to determine if unauthorized software has been installed
- Breach does not necessarily mean liability





State Attorneys General Regulation and Enforcement



State AGs: Intense Interest

Letter to Congressional Leaders from the National Association of Attorneys General (Oct. 27, 2015)





 Data Breaches and Identity Theft Cause Significant Harm to Consumers

- States Play an Important Role Responding to Data Breaches and Identify Theft
- Federal Law Should Not Preempt State Law
- Data Security Vulnerabilities Are Too Common

State AGs

Data Breach Notification Laws

- 47 states have enacted data breach notification laws
 - All require prompt notification of breach to consumers
 - Some require companies to adopt reasonable data security practices
- Provide for additional liability and impose civil penalties



State AGs

Enforcement Mechanisms

- What does a typical consent decree look like?
 - Penalties and fines dependent on the extent of the breach
 - Creation of new policies and procedures to ensure future compliance
 - Free identify theft protection/mitigation services
 - Mandatory audits and reporting back to State AGs
 - Creation of security based roles, including Chief Privacy Officer
 - Mandatory employee training on data security practices
 - Updates to technological infrastructure



State AGs

Consumer Protection

- Violating Data Breach Disclosure Laws can be a violation of Unfair Trade Practices Act
- State consumer protection laws based on Section 5 of FTC:
 - "Capable of misleading"
 - "Violates public policy"
 - "Unfair"
 - "Concealing or omitting a material fact in selling product"
 - Misrepresenting "characteristics or benefits..."
- Injunctive relief, restitution, civil penalties, disgorgement
- No proof of harm to collect civil penalties



Consumer Class Actions



Consumer Class Actions

- In addition to the state and federal government authorities, mobile medical app providers are also at risk for class action suits brought by private litigants
 - Sutter Health Class Action
 - Nike FuelBand Class Action



Sutter Health

Consumer Class Actions

- An unencrypted (but password-protected) laptop was stolen
- Plaintiffs sought statutory damages of \$1000 per patient for over 4 million patients, totaling over \$4 billion in nominal damages
- A purported class plaintiff claimed that Sutter Health violated California's Confidentiality of Medical Information Act (CMIA)
- The trial court denied Sutter Health's motion to dismiss, and Sutter Health appealed



Sutter Health Consumer Class Actions

The Court of Appeal vacated the decision and ordered the case dismissed because there was no evidence that patient information had been accessed or viewed by an unauthorized person

LESSONS LEARNED

- State statutory law has the potential to expose recipients of health information to large damages awards
- Even if ultimately successful, opposing class actions brought on state statutory grounds can be costly
- Securing physical media/devices is equally as important as securing electronic access to information



Nike FuelBand

Consumer Class Actions

- Purported class plaintiff brought action in California state court claiming Nike and Apple falsely advertised that the Nike Plus FuelBand electronic wristband accurately records each calorie burned by its wearer during physical activity
- Case settled for \$15 check (or \$25 Nike gift card) per consumer and \$2.4 million in attorneys' fees all paid by Nike

LESSONS LEARNED

- Be careful what you advertise your product does
- When working with collaborators, hold collaborators to your standards and/or seek indemnification



Final Thoughts and Questions



Start with Security

The FTC provided guidance from lessons learned from 50+ data security related enforcement actions

- 1. Start with security
- 2. Control access to data sensibly
- 3. Require secure passwords and authentication
- 4. Store sensitive personal information securely and protect it during transmission
- 5. Segment your network and monitor who is trying to get in and out
- 6. Secure remote access to your network
- 7. Apply sound security practices when developing new products
- 8. Make sure your service providers implement reasonable security standards
- 9. Put procedures in place to keep your security current and address vulnerabilities that may arise
- 10. Secure paper, physical media, and devices



START SECURITY

Questions to Ask Yourself

- What is the function and use of the device?
- Is it a non-mobile device with the same function already regulated?
- Who are your customers?
- What PII/PHI do you absolutely need to operate?
- Which business areas/practice segments interact directly with those customers or their data?
- Who has oversight over the laws and regulations that apply to your industry and company?
- Which business areas are interact directly with these regulators?
- What standards are established and/or are developing in your industry regarding data privacy and security?



Resources Regulatory Guidance

October 2014, California Department of Justice, "California Data Breach Report"

June 2015, Federal Trade Commission, "Start with Security Guidance"

NIST Guidance

October 2, 2014, Food Drug and Administration, Guidance regarding "Content of Premarket Submissions for Management of Cybersecurity in Medical Devices"

February 9, 2015, Food Drug and Administration, Guidance regarding "Mobile Medical Applications"

July 28, 2015, Food Drug and Administration, 'Information for Healthcare Organizations about FDA's Guidance for Industry: Cybersecurity for Networked Medical Devices Containing Off-The-Shelf (OTS) Software'"



Resources Pepper Resources

March 24, 2015, <u>Federal Court Holds that Data Breach Plaintiffs Have No</u> <u>Standing Unless They Show Misuse</u>

September 11, 2015, How to Avoid and Respond to a Cybersecurity Breach

September 29, 2015, <u>Jumpstart Your Cybersecurity Program: Compliance and</u> <u>Best Practices Under The FTC's 'Start With Security' Initiative</u>


July 8, 2014, <u>Telemedicine and Mobile Health Innovations Amid Increasing</u> <u>Regulatory Oversight</u>

April 14, 2015, <u>Beyond HIPAA: Connected Health Care and the Internet of</u> <u>Things</u>

March 7, 2014, <u>When Is an iPad More than an iPad? When It Is an FDA</u> <u>Regulated Medical Device</u>

June 24, 2013, <u>Unhack My Heart: FDA Issues Guidance to Mitigate</u> <u>Cybersecurity Threats in Medical Devices</u>





Questions?

Barry H. Boise 215.981.4591 boiseb@pepperlaw.com

T. Stephen Jenkins 215.981.4743 jenkinst@pepperlaw.com

Michael D. Vives 215.981.4217 vivesm@pepperlaw.com

