#### **Park District of La Grange**

#### NEW MBO's PROPOSED BY STAFF/BOARD FOR 2020-2021

April 27, 2020



# Objective Classification A - CAPITAL Capital Projects Over \$2,000 / Listed in order of safety/legal & points

- 1. Replacement plan for drinking fountains in parks 32 points
- 2. Replace exterior doors at Waiola activity building 30 points
- 3. Replace exterior doors at Gilbert activity building 30 points
- 4. Hands free plan for recreation & fitness centers 29 points
- 5. Gilbert activity building renovation 26 points
- 6. Replace furnace at Rec Center maintenance dept. 22 points
- 7. Hydraulic lift gate 20 points
- 8. Shade structure near splash pad 17 points
- 9. Recycling & diverting waste from landfills 29 points
- 10. Cellular timer for Gordon ball fields 28 points
- 11. Virtual Programming-Fitness Equipment 27 points
- 12. Inflatable movie screen & sound system 27 points
- 13. E-Sports & E-Gaming Implementation 25 points
- 14. Outdoor fitness court 25 points
- 15. Electronic device for gym equipment 23 points
- 16. Wall treatment in entrance area 20 points
- 17. New signage for (3) preschool buildings 20 points
- 18. Resurface tennis courts at Spring Park 19 points
- 19. Waiola Park landscape upgrades 18 points
- 20. Replace (3) hanging heaters in maintenance area 17 points
- 21. Replace furnace at Community Center 17 points
- 22. Replace RTU's on roof at Recreation Center 17 points
- 23. Speakers for Rooms 108/109/110/111 15 points
- 24. Repair/repaint walls in fun jump area 14 points
- 25. Portable refrigerated ice rink 14 points
- 26. Enhance parks with landscaping and natives 13 points
- 27. Scissor lift 12 points

# Objective Classification C Projects Requiring Time but no Money

- 1. Crosswalk investigation
- 2. Investigate Sedgwick Park design and use
- 3. Sedgwick Park basketball court evaluation
- 4. Preschool/EC buildout investigation
- 5. Walldog investigation
- 6. Virtual Programming-Fitness Investigation
- 7. E-Sports & E-Gaming Investigation

Date: March 9, 2020 Project Title: Replacement plan for Park District drinking fountains

Submitted By: Chris Finn Location: Multiple Parks

Department: Recreation Facilities Parks X Administration

Description: Begin replacement of drinking fountains in the parks. Several of our drinking fountains are very old. If there is only one drinking fountain in the park, replace it with a water bottle fill/drinking fountain style. For parks with two or more drinking fountains in them, at least one new fountain will have a fill station.

C Classification: A X В D

A: Capital Projects (Costs over \$2,000)

B: Operational Costs (Costs under \$2,000)

C: Projects that require time but no money

D: Operational Budgetary Costs (Costs over \$2,000)

#### Scoring Range between 0 and 5 points. Greatest need is 5 points.

- 0 1) Legal Requirement: \*\*If required by law, objective moves to top priority\*\*
- 5 2) Safety: \*\*If item presents a safety hazard, score as a 5 and move to the top of the priority list\*\* Otherwise, to what degree will this improve safety?
- Future Capital Expense: To what degree will this avoid greater capital expenses in the future? 3 3)
- 3 4) Operational Costs: To what degree will this avoid significant operational costs?
- 1 5) Revenue: To what degree will this generate revenue?
- 0 6) Outside Funding: To what degree will this be funded by outside sources, agencies or ventures?
- 2 7) Internal Productivity: To what degree will this improve productivity for staff and/or volunteers?
- 0 8) Efficiency: To what degree will this improve efficiency of PDLG or community wide?
- 2 9) Usage: To what degree will this increase use of the Park District?
- 5 10) Industry Standard: To what degree will this improve compliance with industry standards or allow PDLG to offer services typically provided by most other park district?
- 5 Public Opinion: To what degree will this enhance the public perception of the Park District? 11)
- 3 12) Community: To what extent does this fill a need in the community?
- 3 13) Strategic Plan: To what extent does this help us achieve our 10-year Strategic Plan?

Date: February 28, 2020 Project Title: Remove and replace exterior doors at Waiola Park activity building

Submitted By: Chris Finn Location: Waiola Park

Department: Facilities Recreation Parks X Administration

Description: The exterior doors at the Waiola Park activity building need to be replaced. The work involved would be to remove the old doors and framing and replace with new framing and doors, and paint to suit building colors.

Classification: A X B C D

A: Capital Projects (Costs over \$2,000)

B: Operational Costs (Costs under \$2,000)

C: Projects that require time but no money

D: Operational Budgetary Costs (Costs over \$2,000)

#### Scoring Range between 0 and 5 points. Greatest need is 5 points.

- 0 1) Legal Requirement: \*\*If required by law, objective moves to top priority\*\*
- Safety: \*\*If item presents a safety hazard, score as a 5 and move to the top of the priority list\*\* Otherwise, to what degree will this improve safety?
- 4 3) Future Capital Expense: To what degree will this avoid greater capital expenses in the future?
- 4 4) Operational Costs: To what degree will this avoid significant operational costs?
- 0 5) Revenue: To what degree will this generate revenue?
- O dutside Funding: To what degree will this be funded by outside sources, agencies or ventures?
- 4 7) Internal Productivity: To what degree will this improve productivity for staff and/or volunteers?
- 0 8) Efficiency: To what degree will this improve efficiency of PDLG or community wide?
- 0 9) Usage: To what degree will this increase use of the Park District?
- 4 10) Industry Standard: To what degree will this improve compliance with industry standards or allow PDLG to offer services typically provided by most other park district?
- 4 11) Public Opinion: To what degree will this enhance the public perception of the Park District?
- 5 12) Community: To what extent does this fill a need in the community?
- 0 13) Strategic Plan: To what extent does this help us achieve our 10-year Strategic Plan?

30 Total Points Est. Cost \$1500-2000 Est. Hours

Date: February 28, 2020 Project Title: Remove and replace exterior doors at Gilbert Park activity building

Submitted By: Chris Finn Location: Gilbert Park

Department: Facilities Recreation Parks X Administration

Description: The exterior doors at the Gilbert Park activity building need to be replaced. These doors would include the north door and both washroom doors on the west side of the building. The work involved would be to remove the old doors and framing and replace with new framing and doors and paint to suit building colors.

#### Classification: A X B C D

A: Capital Projects (Costs over \$2,000)

- B: Operational Costs (Costs under \$2,000)
- C: Projects that require time but no money
- D: Operational Budgetary Costs (Costs over \$2,000)

#### Scoring Range between 0 and 5 points. Greatest need is 5 points.

- 0 1) Legal Requirement: \*\*If required by law, objective moves to top priority\*\*
- Safety: \*\*If item presents a safety hazard, score as a 5 and move to the top of the priority list\*\* Otherwise, to what degree will this improve safety?
- 4 3) Future Capital Expense: To what degree will this avoid greater capital expenses in the future?
- 4 4) Operational Costs: To what degree will this avoid significant operational costs?
- 0 5) Revenue: To what degree will this generate revenue?
- O utside Funding: To what degree will this be funded by outside sources, agencies or ventures?
- 4 7) Internal Productivity: To what degree will this improve productivity for staff and/or volunteers?
- 0 8) Efficiency: To what degree will this improve efficiency of PDLG or community wide?
- 0 9) Usage: To what degree will this increase use of the Park District?
- 4 10) Industry Standard: To what degree will this improve compliance with industry standards or allow PDLG to offer services typically provided by most other park district?
- 4 11) Public Opinion: To what degree will this enhance the public perception of the Park District?
- 5 12) Community: To what extent does this fill a need in the community?
- 0 13) Strategic Plan: To what extent does this help us achieve our 10-year Strategic Plan?

Date: April 1, 2020 Project Title: Hands Free Plan for Recreation Center & Fitness Center

Submitted By: Chris Finn Location: Recreation Center & Fitness Center

Department: Recreation Facilities X Parks Administration

Description: In an effort to make the Recreation Center and Fitness Center hands free in the bathrooms & locker rooms, we need to make all faucets, hand/hair dryers, urinals, & toilets hands free with censors. The park district will work with our plumbing & electrical contractors to replace the above listed items.

#### Classification: A X B C D

- A: Capital Projects (Costs over \$2,000)
- B: Operational Costs (Costs under \$2,000)
- C: Projects that require time but no money
- D: Operational Budgetary Costs (Costs over \$2,000)

#### Scoring Range between 0 and 5 points. Greatest need is 5 points.

- 1) Legal Requirement: \*\*If required by law, objective moves to top priority\*\*
- Safety: \*\*If item presents a safety hazard, score as a 5 and move to the top of the priority list\*\* Otherwise, to what degree will this improve safety?
- 0 3) Future Capital Expense: To what degree will this avoid greater capital expenses in the future?
- 2 4) Operational Costs: To what degree will this avoid significant operational costs?
- 3 5) Revenue: To what degree will this generate revenue?
- O utside Funding: To what degree will this be funded by outside sources, agencies or ventures?
- 1 7) Internal Productivity: To what degree will this improve productivity for staff and/or volunteers?
- 0 8) Efficiency: To what degree will this improve efficiency of PDLG or community wide?
- 3 9) Usage: To what degree will this increase use of the Park District?
- 4 10) Industry Standard: To what degree will this improve compliance with industry standards or allow PDLG to offer services typically provided by most other park district?
- 5 11) Public Opinion: To what degree will this enhance the public perception of the Park District?
- 3 12) Community: To what extent does this fill a need in the community?
- 3 13) Strategic Plan: To what extent does this help us achieve our 10-year Strategic Plan?
- 29 Total Points Est. Cost \$17,000 Est. Hours

Date: 2/18/	2020	Project Title:_	Gilbert Park Ac	tivity Building R	<u>enovation</u>			
Submitted By	: Kevin Miller & Diana Faught	Location:	Gilbert Park					
Department:	Recreation X Facil	ities <u>X</u> I	Parks	Administratio	n			
Description:_	Renovation of Gilbert Park	Activity Building. Th	is includes resurf	facing of floor, p	painting all interio			
walls and rem	noval of all ceiling tiles and rep	placing with a dry wa	all ceiling and nev	w light fixtures.	Renovation will			
also include r	emoval and replacement of pl	ay structure inside t	the facility.					
Classification:         AX								
A: Capital Projects (Costs over \$2,000)  B: Operational Costs (Costs under \$2,000)  C: Projects that require time but no money  D: Operational Budgetary Costs (Costs over \$2,000)								
Scoring Range	e between 0 and 5 points. Gr	eatest need is 5 poi	nts.					
01)	Legal Requirement: **If rec	juired by law, object	tive moves to top	priority**				
<u>5</u> 2)	Safety: **If item presents a list** Otherwise, to what d	•		e to the top of t	he priority			
<u>3</u> _3)	Future Capital Expense: To	what degree will th	is avoid greater o	capital expenses	in the future?			
<u>14)</u>	Operational Costs: To what	degree will this avo	id significant ope	erational costs?				
<u>2</u> 5)	Revenue: To what degree v	vill this generate rev	venue?					
<u>0</u> 6)	Outside Funding: To what o	degree will this be fu	ınded by outside	sources, agenc	ies or ventures?			
<u>1</u> 7)	Internal Productivity: To w	hat degree will this i	mprove producti	ivity for staff an	d/or volunteers?			
08)	Efficiency: To what degree	will this improve ef	iciency of PDLG	or community w	vide?			
<u>1</u> _9)	Usage: To what degree will	this increase use of	the Park District	?				
310)	Industry Standard: To what allow PDLG to offer services		•	•	standards or			
<u>5</u> 11)	Public Opinion: To what de	gree will this enhan	ce the public per	ception of the P	ark District?			
012)	Community: To what exten	t does this fill a nee	d in the commun	nity?				
513)	Strategic Plan: To what ext	ent does this help u	s achieve our 10-	year Strategic P	lan?			
<u>26</u> Tota	l Points Est. Cost_	\$23,000-\$25,000		Est. Hours	50			

Date: February 26, 2020 Project Title: Replacement furnace

Submitted By: Chris Finn Location: Recreation Center Maintenance Dept.

Department: Facilities X Recreation Parks Administration

Description: Replace the existing furnace. It is over 15 years old and the heat exchanger has failed. This unit has been down with heat for several years. The life span of these units is 10-15 years.

Classification: A X B C D

A: Capital Projects (Costs over \$2,000)

B: Operational Costs (Costs under \$2,000)

C: Projects that require time but no money

D: Operational Budgetary Costs (Costs over \$2,000)

#### Scoring Range between 0 and 5 points. Greatest need is 5 points.

- 0 1) Legal Requirement: \*\*If required by law, objective moves to top priority\*\*
- Safety: \*\*If item presents a safety hazard, score as a 5 and move to the top of the priority list\*\* Otherwise, to what degree will this improve safety?
- 0 3) Future Capital Expense: To what degree will this avoid greater capital expenses in the future?
- 5 4) Operational Costs: To what degree will this avoid significant operational costs?
- 0 5) Revenue: To what degree will this generate revenue?
- O tside Funding: To what degree will this be funded by outside sources, agencies or ventures?
- 3 7) Internal Productivity: To what degree will this improve productivity for staff and/or volunteers?
- 3 8) Efficiency: To what degree will this improve efficiency of PDLG or community wide?
- 0 9) Usage: To what degree will this increase use of the Park District?
- Industry Standard: To what degree will this improve compliance with industry standards or allow PDLG to offer services typically provided by most other park district?
- 0 11) Public Opinion: To what degree will this enhance the public perception of the Park District?
- 0 12) Community: To what extent does this fill a need in the community?
- 3 13) Strategic Plan: To what extent does this help us achieve our 10-year Strategic Plan?

22 Total Points Est. Cost \$2,900 Est. Hours

Date: 2/14/	<sup>'</sup> 2020		Pro	ject Title:	Hydra	ulic Lift Gate	(Tommy Gate)		
Submitted By	: Claudia Galla	-	Location:		Maint	enance Dept.			
Department:	Recreation	Facilities_		Parks <u>X</u>		Administrat	ion		
Description:_	Purchase a hydr	aulic lift gate; w	vould replace	the tailgate	e of a p	oick-up. Lift ga	tes aid in the		
loading/unloa	ading of heavy equi	<u>ipment, materia</u>	als or supplie	s. Using a li	ft gate	would reduce	e lifting hazards to		
staff; less cha	nce of cargo dama	ge.							
Classification	Classification:         AX								
A: Capital Projects (Costs over \$2,000)  B: Operational Costs (Costs under \$2,000)  C: Projects that require time but no money  D: Operational Budgetary Costs (Costs over \$2,000)									
Scoring Rang	e between 0 and 5	points. Greate	est need is 5	points.					
<u>0</u> 1)	Legal Requireme	nt: **If require	ed by law, obj	ective move	es to to	p priority**			
<u>5</u> 2)	Safety: **If item list** Otherwise	-	•			ve to the top	of the priority		
<u>0</u> _3)	Future Capital Ex	xpense: To wha	at degree will	this avoid g	greater	capital exper	nses in the future?		
04)	Operational Cost	s: To what deg	ree will this a	void signific	cant op	erational cos	ts?		
<u>0</u> 5)	Revenue: To wh	at degree will t	his generate	revenue?					
<u>0</u> _6)	Outside Funding	: To what degr	ee will this bo	e funded by	outsid	e sources, age	encies or ventures?		
<u>5</u> _7)	Internal Product	ivity: To what o	degree will th	is improve p	produc	tivity for staff	f and/or volunteers?		
<u>5</u> _8)	Efficiency: To w	hat degree will	this improve	efficiency o	of PDLG	or communit	:y wide?		
<u>0</u> 9)	Usage: To what	degree will this	increase use	of the Park	c Distric	ct?			
510)	Industry Standar allow PDLG to of	-		•	•		ry standards or		
011)	Public Opinion:	To what degree	e will this enh	ance the pu	ıblic pe	erception of th	ne Park District?		
012)	Community: To	what extent do	es this fill a r	need in the o	commu	inity?			
013)	Strategic Plan: 1	o what extent o	does this help	us achieve	our 10	)-year Strateg	ic Plan?		
20 Total	Points	Est. Cost	\$3500		Est. H	ours			

### **Capital/MBO Evaluation Form**

Date: February 28, 2020 Project Title: Place a shade structure (10'x10' or 10'x20') next to the splash pad

Submitted By: Chris Finn Location: Gordon Park

Department: Recreation Facilities X Parks X Administration

Description: Install a small shade structure (10'x10' or 10'x20') in the grass area next to the splash pad for parents to sit and hang out while children are in the splash pad. This will not be a large structure shelter. This will provide shelter to participants that are coming to the splash pad. There are no large trees around the splash pad for participants to seek shade on hot summer days. This also may result in a safety issue on very hot summer days. This would be a structure in addition to the one placed last year.

Classification: A X B C D

A: Capital Projects (Costs over \$2,000)

B: Operational Costs (Costs under \$2,000)

C: Projects that require time but no money

D: Operational Budgetary Costs (Costs over \$2,000)

#### Scoring Range between 0 and 5 points. Greatest need is 5 points.

- 0 1) Legal Requirement: \*\*If required by law, objective moves to top priority\*\*
- Safety: \*\*If item presents a safety hazard, score as a 5 and move to the top of the priority list\*\* Otherwise, to what degree will this improve safety?
- 0 3) Future Capital Expense: To what degree will this avoid greater capital expenses in the future?
- Operational Costs: To what degree will this avoid significant operational costs?
- 0 5) Revenue: To what degree will this generate revenue?
- O outside Funding: To what degree will this be funded by outside sources, agencies or ventures?
- 0 7) Internal Productivity: To what degree will this improve productivity for staff and/or volunteers?
- 0 8) Efficiency: To what degree will this improve efficiency of PDLG or community wide?
- 4 9) Usage: To what degree will this increase use of the Park District?
- Industry Standard: To what degree will this improve compliance with industry standards or allow PDLG to offer services typically provided by most other park district?
- 4 11) Public Opinion: To what degree will this enhance the public perception of the Park District?
- 1 12) Community: To what extent does this fill a need in the community?
- 0 13) Strategic Plan: To what extent does this help us achieve our 10-year Strategic Plan?

#### 17 Total Points Est. Cost \$11,000

Date: March 12, 2020	Project Title: Recycling and Diverting Waste from Landfills
Submitted By: Commissioner Karel Jacobs	Location: Parks
Department: Recreation Facilities	ParksX Administration
Description: The Parks and Openlands subcommittee	e would like to explore ways the PD can improve and
increase recycling efforts. NRPA recently published an a	article (2019) "Recyling is dead: now what" that discussed
the immense problem with past and current recycling p	ractices. They highlight the role parks districts can and
should have in helping reduce contributions to landfill the	nemselves, but also in engaging their communities to do
the same. It is in this spirit that we seek to evaluate with	staff the current contract and program for waste and
recycling practices, and with advice from the Environme	ntal Quality Commission (EQC) of La Grange determine
how best to improve and increase recycling in the parks	. The article and EQC highlight the critical role of proper
and current recycling labels, the structure and placemen	nt of bins, and having realistic goals. Many things people
think can be recycled cannot, but with proper signage a	nd labeling can assure much tonnage is diverted from
landfills. Changing behavior of park users is a key outcome	ne too. The end goals of the proposal are to develop
realistic landfill diversion and recycling participation goa	als for our parks, decide upon the type of bins that would
most likely help achieve that, and purchase 2-4 bins to t	rial in one park (perhaps Gordon). Adjacent or dual
purpose recycle/trash bins may be suggested in place of	the current open blue bins, and may cost between \$500-
\$1500 each. Should the bins prove successful, as measu	red by periodic waste monitoring and ongoing discussions
expansion into subsequent parks in subsequent years co	ould occur
Classification: A X B C C	<u>'</u>
A: Capital Projects (Costs over \$2,000)  B: Operational Costs (Costs under \$2,000)	
C: Projects that require time but no money	
D: Operational Budgetary Costs (Costs over \$2,000)	
Scoring Range between 0 and 5 points. Greatest need	is 5 points.
01) Legal Requirement: **If required by law	, objective moves to top priority**
<ul><li>Safety: **If item presents a safety hazar list** Otherwise, to what degree will th</li></ul>	d, score as a 5 and move to the top of the priority is improve safety?
13) Future Capital Expense: To what degree	will this avoid greater capital expenses in the future?
14) Operational Costs: To what degree will t	his avoid significant operational costs?
15) Revenue: To what degree will this gene	rate revenue?
26) Outside Funding: To what degree will th	nis be funded by outside sources, agencies or ventures?
2 7) Internal Productivity: To what degree w	ill this improve productivity for staff and/or volunteers?

<b>2</b> 9	_Total	Points Est. Cost \$4000 Est. Hours 8 hrs each person involved each month
4	_13)	Strategic Plan: To what extent does this help us achieve our 10-year Strategic Plan?
4	_12)	Community: To what extent does this fill a need in the community?
5	_11)	Public Opinion: To what degree will this enhance the public perception of the Park District?
4	_10)	Industry Standard: To what degree will this improve compliance with industry standards or allow PDLG to offer services typically provided by most other park district?
2	_9)	Usage: To what degree will this increase use of the Park District?
3	_8)	Efficiency: To what degree will this improve efficiency of PDLG or community wide?

Form Rev. 6/18/12

Date: February 26, 2020

Project Title: Installation of a new cellular equipped timer for ball field lights at Gordon park

Submitted By: Chris Finn Location: Gordon Park

Department: Facilities Recreation Parks X Administration

Description: Install a cellular equipped timer for the ball field lights at Gordon Park. This would allow staff to set the lights at the ball fields remotely, and would include turning lights on and off and the ability to set a time schedule for each week.

Classification: A X B C D

A: Capital Projects (Costs over \$2,000)

- B: Operational Costs (Costs under \$2,000)
- C: Projects that require time but no money
- D: Operational Budgetary Costs (Costs over \$2,000)

#### Scoring Range between 0 and 5 points. Greatest need is 5 points.

- 0 1) Legal Requirement: \*\*If required by law, objective moves to top priority\*\*
- O 2) Safety: \*\*If item presents a safety hazard, score as a 5 and move to the top of the priority list\*\* Otherwise, to what degree will this improve safety?
- 2 3) Future Capital Expense: To what degree will this avoid greater capital expenses in the future?
- 5 4) Operational Costs: To what degree will this avoid significant operational costs?
- 0 5) Revenue: To what degree will this generate revenue?
- Outside Funding: To what degree will this be funded by outside sources, agencies or ventures?
- 5 7) Internal Productivity: To what degree will this improve productivity for staff and/or volunteers?
- 5 8) Efficiency: To what degree will this improve efficiency of PDLG or community wide?
- 0 9) Usage: To what degree will this increase use of the Park District?
- Industry Standard: To what degree will this improve compliance with industry standards or allow PDLG to offer services typically provided by most other park district?
- 5 11) Public Opinion: To what degree will this enhance the public perception of the Park District?
- O 12) Community: To what extent does this fill a need in the community?
- 3 13) Strategic Plan: To what extent does this help us achieve our 10-year Strategic Plan?

28 Total Points Est. Cost \$700 Est. Hours

Date:	4/17/2020		Project Title:_	Virtual Programming-	<u>Fitness Equipment</u>		
Submitted By:	Jenny Bechtold & Kevin M	<u>iller</u> Location	: Fitnes	s and Recreation Center	. <u> </u>		
Department:	Recreation Faci	lities <u>X</u>	Parks	Administration	on		
Description:	After investigating	virtual progra	mming platfor	ms and choosing a plat	form, purchase the		
required techr	ology equipment (webcams	, laptops, wire	less micropho	ne systems, etc.) to off	er the virtual option		
to our commu	nity, for fitness and recreation	on programmiı	ng. This platfo	rm will create an additi	onal revenue		
stream and off	er options for participants o	utside of our o	community or	people who cannot mal	<u>ce it to the live</u>		
programming.							
Classification:	A <b>X</b> B	C	D				
A: Capital Projects (Costs over \$2,000)  B: Operational Costs (Costs under \$2,000)  C: Projects that require time but no money  D: Operational Budgetary Costs (Costs over \$2,000)							
Scoring Range	between 0 and 5 points. G	reatest need i	s 5 points.				
0 1)	Legal Requirement: **If re	quired by law,	objective mov	es to top priority**			
<u> </u>	Safety: **If item presents a safety hazard, score as a 5 and move to the top of the priority list** Otherwise, to what degree will this improve safety?						
<u> </u>	Future Capital Expense: To	what degree	will this avoid	greater capital expense	s in the future?		
3_4)	Operational Costs: To what	t degree will th	nis avoid signif	icant operational costs?			
4_5)	Revenue: To what degree	will this gener	ate revenue?				
<u> </u>	Outside Funding: To what	degree will thi	s be funded b	y outside sources, agend	cies or ventures?		
07)	Internal Productivity: To w	hat degree wi	ll this improve	productivity for staff ar	nd/or volunteers?		
3 8)	Efficiency: To what degree	will this impro	ove efficiency	of PDLG or community v	wide?		
2 9)	Usage: To what degree wi	I this increase	use of the Par	k District?			
5_10	10) Industry Standard: To what degree will this improve compliance with industry standards or allow PDLG to offer services typically provided by most other park district?						
3_11	Public Opinion: To what do	egree will this	enhance the p	ublic perception of the	Park District?		
3_12)	3_12) Community: To what extent does this fill a need in the community?						
3_13	3 13) Strategic Plan: To what extent does this help us achieve our 10-year Strategic Plan?						
27 To	tal Points Es	t. Cost	15K	Est. Hours	75		

Date: 2/26/	20		Project Title:_	Inflatable Movie Screen & Sound System
Submitted By:	: Teresa Chapman		Location:	Recreation Center and Parks
Department:	RecreationX	Facilities	Parks	Administration
Description:_	Purchase a new infla	table screen syst	em complete witl	h projector, AV and sound to replace 15-
year old syste	m			
Classification	: AX B	C	_ D	
B: Operationa C: Projects th	ojects (Costs over \$2,00) al Costs (Costs under \$2 at require time but no l al Budgetary Costs (Cos	,,000) money		
Scoring Range	e between 0 and 5 poin	ts. Greatest nee	ed is 5 points.	
01)	Legal Requirement: *	*If required by la	aw, objective mov	ves to top priority**
02)	Safety: **If item pres	•		and move to the top of the priority ty?
<u>0</u> 3)	Future Capital Expens	se: To what degr	ree will this avoid	greater capital expenses in the future?
<u>3</u> 4)	Operational Costs: To	what degree wi	II this avoid signif	icant operational costs?
<u>0</u> 5)	Revenue: To what de	egree will this ge	nerate revenue?	
<u>0</u> 6)	Outside Funding: To	what degree will	I this be funded by	y outside sources, agencies or ventures?
<u>3</u> 7)	Internal Productivity:	To what degree	e will this improve	productivity for staff and/or volunteers?
2 8)	Efficiency: To what d	egree will this in	nprove efficiency	of PDLG or community wide?
<u>3</u> 9)	Usage: To what degr	ee will this incre	ase use of the Par	k District?
<u>5</u> 10)	Industry Standard: To allow PDLG to offer s	-	•	ompliance with industry standards or other park district?
<u>5</u> 11)	Public Opinion: To w	hat degree will t	his enhance the p	ublic perception of the Park District?
<u>5</u> 12)	Community: To what	extent does this	s fill a need in the	community?
1 13)	Strategic Plan: To wh	at extent does t	his help us achiev	e our 10-year Strategic Plan?
<u>27</u> Tota	l Points Est.	Cost <b>\$17,500.00</b>		Est. Hours

Date:_	4/18/2	2020 Project Title: E-Sports & E-Gaming Implementation						
Submit	ted By:	Kevin Location: Recreation Center						
Depart	ment:	Recreation X Facilities Parks Administration						
Descrip	tion:	Implement an E-Sports and E-Gaming program series with both hosted in-house						
<u>tourna</u>	ments/	programs and utilizing online platforms, as well as purchase all necessary equipment & repurpo	<u>se</u>					
prograi	m room	104 to dedicated gaming space. Development of sponsorship levels with corporate partners w	<u>/ill</u>					
also be	integra	al part of implementation.						
A: Cap B: Ope C: Proj	ital Pro rationa ects tha	A_X B_ C_ D  jects (Costs over \$2,000) Il Costs (Costs under \$2,000) at require time but no money Il Budgetary Costs (Costs over \$2,000)						
Scoring	Range	between 0 and 5 points. Greatest need is 5 points.						
0	_1)	Legal Requirement: **If required by law, objective moves to top priority**						
0	_2)	Safety: **If item presents a safety hazard, score as a 5 and move to the top of the priority list** Otherwise, to what degree will this improve safety?						
0	3)	Future Capital Expense: To what degree will this avoid greater capital expenses in the future?						
0	4)	Operational Costs: To what degree will this avoid significant operational costs?						
4	5)	Revenue: To what degree will this generate revenue?						
3	6)	Outside Funding: To what degree will this be funded by outside sources, agencies or venture	s?					
1	7)	Internal Productivity: To what degree will this improve productivity for staff and/or volunteers?						
0	8)	Efficiency: To what degree will this improve efficiency of PDLG or community wide?						
4	9)	Usage: To what degree will this increase use of the Park District?						
5	10)	Industry Standard: To what degree will this improve compliance with industry standards or allow PDLG to offer services typically provided by most other park district?						
5	11)	Public Opinion: To what degree will this enhance the public perception of the Park District?						
3	12)	Community: To what extent does this fill a need in the community?						
0	213) Strategic Plan: To what extent does this help us achieve our 10-year Strategic Plan?							
25	Total	Points Est. Cost_\$8,500 Est. Hours35-40						

Date:		2/24/2020	)	Project Title:	Outdoor Fitness Court
Submitte	ed By:	Jenny Bechtold an	d Dean Bissias	Location:	Denning Park or Gordon Park
Departm	nent:	Recreation	_ Facilities <u> X</u>	Parks	X Administration
Descript	ion:	Apply for a grant v	with the National Fit	ness Campaign to	get a outdoor fitness court installed in
either De	enning	g or Gordon Park. Th	he outdoor fitness c	ourt would be a f	ree fitness area for our community
member	s to w	orkout to increase t	he health and welln	ess of the commu	unity.
Classifica	ation:	AX B	C	D	
B: Opera C: Proje	ationa cts tha	ects (Costs over \$2, I Costs (Costs under at require time but r I Budgetary Costs (C	\$2,000) no money		
Scoring I	Range	between 0 and 5 po	oints. Greatest nee	d is 5 points.	
0	_1)	Legal Requirement	: **If required by la	w, objective mov	es to top priority**
0	_2)	•	resents a safety haza to what degree will t		and move to the top of the priority: y?
1	_3)	Future Capital Exp	ense: To what degre	ee will this avoid	greater capital expenses in the future?
1	_4)	Operational Costs:	To what degree wil	I this avoid signifi	cant operational costs?
0	_5)	Revenue: To what	degree will this ger	erate revenue?	
5	_6)	Outside Funding:	To what degree will	this be funded by	outside sources, agencies or ventures?
0	_7)	Internal Productivi	ty: To what degree	will this improve	productivity for staff and/or volunteers?
2	_8)	Efficiency: To wha	t degree will this im	prove efficiency	of PDLG or community wide?
3	_9)	Usage: To what de	egree will this increa	se use of the Par	k District?
4	_10)	•	To what degree wi r services typically p	•	mpliance with industry standards or other park district?
3	_11)	Public Opinion: To	what degree will th	is enhance the p	ublic perception of the Park District?
3	_12)	Community: To w	hat extent does this	fill a need in the	community?
3	_13)	Strategic Plan: To	what extent does th	is help us achieve	e our 10-year Strategic Plan?
<u>25</u>	_Total	Points Es	st. Cost <u>\$50K</u>	Est. Hours	30-40

Date: February 26, 2020 Project Title: Installation of a pad/electronic device for gym equipment

Submitted By: Chris Finn Location: Recreation Center

Department: Facilities X Recreation Parks Administration

Description: Rewire and install a pad option to raise and lower all the basketball hoops and curtains in the

gymnasium.

Classification: A X B C D

A: Capital Projects (Costs over \$2,000)

B: Operational Costs (Costs under \$2,000)

C: Projects that require time but no money

D: Operational Budgetary Costs (Costs over \$2,000)

#### Scoring Range between 0 and 5 points. Greatest need is 5 points.

- 0 1) Legal Requirement: \*\*If required by law, objective moves to top priority\*\*
- O 2) Safety: \*\*If item presents a safety hazard, score as a 5 and move to the top of the priority list\*\* Otherwise, to what degree will this improve safety?
- 0 3) Future Capital Expense: To what degree will this avoid greater capital expenses in the future?
- 5 4) Operational Costs: To what degree will this avoid significant operational costs?
- 0 5) Revenue: To what degree will this generate revenue?
- O tside Funding: To what degree will this be funded by outside sources, agencies or ventures?
- 5 7) Internal Productivity: To what degree will this improve productivity for staff and/or volunteers?
- 5 8) Efficiency: To what degree will this improve efficiency of PDLG or community wide?
- 0 9) Usage: To what degree will this increase use of the Park District?
- 2 10) Industry Standard: To what degree will this improve compliance with industry standards or allow PDLG to offer services typically provided by most other park district?
- 3 11) Public Opinion: To what degree will this enhance the public perception of the Park District?
- 0 12) Community: To what extent does this fill a need in the community?
- 3 13) Strategic Plan: To what extent does this help us achieve our 10-year Strategic Plan?

23 Total Points Est. Cost \$23,000 Est. Hours

Date: March 7, 2020 Project Title: Install wall treatment in the entrance area by front office doors

Submitted By: Chris Finn Location: Recreation Center

Department: Recreation Facilities X Parks Administration

Description: Put a tile type wall covering on the wall by the door leading to the front office area. This wall area gets banged up from all of the boxes delivered for the Park District. A wall treatment would protect the wall and make it more presentable.

Classification: A X B C D

A: Capital Projects (Costs over \$2,000)

- B: Operational Costs (Costs under \$2,000)
- C: Projects that require time but no money
- D: Operational Budgetary Costs (Costs over \$2,000)

#### Scoring Range between 0 and 5 points. Greatest need is 5 points.

- 1) Legal Requirement: \*\*If required by law, objective moves to top priority\*\*
- O 2) Safety: \*\*If item presents a safety hazard, score as a 5 and move to the top of the priority list\*\* Otherwise, to what degree will this improve safety?
- 0 3) Future Capital Expense: To what degree will this avoid greater capital expenses in the future?
- 3 4) Operational Costs: To what degree will this avoid significant operational costs?
- 0 5) Revenue: To what degree will this generate revenue?
- O utside Funding: To what degree will this be funded by outside sources, agencies or ventures?
- 3 7) Internal Productivity: To what degree will this improve productivity for staff and/or volunteers?
- 0 8) Efficiency: To what degree will this improve efficiency of PDLG or community wide?
- 3 9) Usage: To what degree will this increase use of the Park District?
- Industry Standard: To what degree will this improve compliance with industry standards or allow PDLG to offer services typically provided by most other park district?
- 5 11) Public Opinion: To what degree will this enhance the public perception of the Park District?
- 0 12) Community: To what extent does this fill a need in the community?
- 3 13) Strategic Plan: To what extent does this help us achieve our 10-year Strategic Plan?

20 Total Points Est. Cost \$2,000 Est. Hours

Date: March	n 1, 2020		Proje	ect Title:	New Signage	for Learning Ladders Preschool	
Submitted By:	Linda Muth		Loca	tion: <u>R</u>	ec Center, Sed	gwick Bldg, Gilbert Bldg	
Department:	Recreation	<u>x</u>	Facilities	X	Parks	Administration	
Description: F	Purchase and in	stall new sig	ns identifyii	ng Learni	ng Ladders Pre	school at each of three locations.	
Classification:	A_X	В	_ C	_ D			
B: Operationa C: Projects th	vjects (Costs ove al Costs (Costs u at require time al Budgetary Co	inder \$2,000 but no mon	ey				
Scoring Range	e between 0 an	d 5 points. (	Greatest ne	ed is 5 po	oints.		
01)	Legal Require	ment: **If ro	equired by I	aw, obje	ctive moves to	top priority**	
0_2)	Safety: **If it list** Otherv	•				ove to the top of the priority	
<u>0</u> 3)	Future Capita	l Expense: T	o what deg	ree will t	his avoid great	er capital expenses in the future?	
04)	Operational C	osts: To wha	at degree w	ill this av	oid significant	operational costs?	
<u>3</u> 5)	Revenue: To	what degree	e will this ge	nerate re	evenue?		
<u>0</u> 6)	Outside Fund	ing: To wha	t degree wil	I this be	funded by outs	ide sources, agencies or ventures	?
<u>2</u> 7)	Internal Prod	uctivity: To	what degree	e will this	improve prod	uctivity for staff and/or volunteers	s?
<u>0</u> 8)	Efficiency: To	what degre	e will this ir	nprove e	fficiency of PD	.G or community wide?	
<u>3</u> 9)	Usage: To wh	nat degree w	vill this incre	ase use o	of the Park Dist	rict?	
<u>5</u> 10)	•		_		nprove complia I by most other	nce with industry standards or park district?	
511)	Public Opinio	n: To what o	degree will t	his enha	nce the public	perception of the Park District?	
<u>0</u> 12)	Community:	To what exte	ent does thi	s fill a ne	ed in the comn	nunity?	
213)	Strategic Plar	: To what ex	xtent does t	his help	us achieve our	10-year Strategic Plan?	
<u>20</u> Tota	l Points	Est. Cost	\$2,7	05	Est.	Hours	

Form Rev. 6/18/12





Date: March 9, 2020 Project Title: Resurface tennis courts at Spring Park

Submitted By: Chris Finn Location: Spring Park

Department: Recreation Facilities Parks X Administration

Description: Contractor would come out and do the following tasks: pressure wash the courts to remove any flacking paint, crack fill with compound, put down a leveling compound in low areas, put down one coat of resurfacer, and two coats of paint for lines and colors.

#### Classification: A X B C D

- A: Capital Projects (Costs over \$2,000)
- B: Operational Costs (Costs under \$2,000)
- C: Projects that require time but no money
- D: Operational Budgetary Costs (Costs over \$2,000)

#### Scoring Range between 0 and 5 points. Greatest need is 5 points.

- 0 1) Legal Requirement: \*\*If required by law, objective moves to top priority\*\*
- O 2) Safety: \*\*If item presents a safety hazard, score as a 5 and move to the top of the priority list\*\* Otherwise, to what degree will this improve safety?
- 3 3) Future Capital Expense: To what degree will this avoid greater capital expenses in the future?
- 2 4) Operational Costs: To what degree will this avoid significant operational costs?
- 3 5) Revenue: To what degree will this generate revenue?
- Outside Funding: To what degree will this be funded by outside sources, agencies or ventures?
- 0 7) Internal Productivity: To what degree will this improve productivity for staff and/or volunteers?
- 0 8) Efficiency: To what degree will this improve efficiency of PDLG or community wide?
- 3 9) Usage: To what degree will this increase use of the Park District?
- Industry Standard: To what degree will this improve compliance with industry standards or allow PDLG to offer services typically provided by most other park district?
- 2 11) Public Opinion: To what degree will this enhance the public perception of the Park District?
- 0 12) Community: To what extent does this fill a need in the community?
- 3 13) Strategic Plan: To what extent does this help us achieve our 10-year Strategic Plan?

19 Total Points Est. Cost \$20,000-25,000 Est. Hours

18	_Total	Points Est. Cost \$15,00	00 - \$30,000		Est. Hours
3	_13)	Strategic Plan: To what extent does thi	s help us achie	eve our 10-ye	ear Strategic Plan?
3	_12)	Community: To what extent does this	fill a need in th	e communit	y?
3	_11)	Public Opinion: To what degree will thi	s enhance the	public perce	eption of the Park District?
3	_10)	Industry Standard: To what degree will allow PDLG to offer services typically process.	•	•	•
1	_9)	Usage: To what degree will this increase	se use of the P	ark District?	
3	_8)	Efficiency: To what degree will this imp	orove efficienc	y of PDLG or	community wide?
3	_7)	Internal Productivity: To what degree v	will this improv	e productiv	ty for staff and/or volunteers?
0	_6)	Outside Funding: To what degree will t	his be funded	by outside s	ources, agencies or ventures?
0	_5)	Revenue: To what degree will this gene	erate revenue?	)	
2	_4)	Operational Costs: To what degree will	this avoid sign	ificant opera	ational costs?
0	_3)	Future Capital Expense: To what degre	e will this avoi	d greater ca	pital expenses in the future?
0	-	Safety: **If item presents a safety haza list** Otherwise, to what degree will the			to the top of the priority
0	1)	Legal Requirement: **If required by law	v, objective m	oves to top p	oriority**
Scoring I	Range	between 0 and 5 points. Greatest need	l is 5 points.		
B: Opera C: Project	ational cts tha	I Costs Over \$2,000) It require time but no money I Budgetary Costs (Costs over \$2,000)			
		AX B C ects (Costs over \$2,000)	D		
		in conjunction with playground replacen		ne area.	
	-	es . The wall to be lettered with "WAIOL			
Waiola A	ve. & 4	48 <sup>th</sup> St. To be replaced with a curving 3-4	1' wall that wo	uld protect t	he playground area and disguise
Descripti	ion:	Removal of highway guardrails, park ID	sign and yew	hedge at the	e corner of
Departm	ent: I	Recreation Facilities	Parks	Χ	Administration
Submitte	ed By:_	Claudia Galla & Chris Finn	_Location:	V	Naiola Park
Date:		2/14/2020	Project Title:	Waiola par	k landscape upgrade







Date: February 26, 2020 Project Title: Replacement of (3) hanging heaters in maintenance area

Submitted By: Chris Finn Location: Recreation Center

Department: Facilities X Recreation Parks Administration

Description: There are three hanging heaters in the maintenance area that have bad heat exchangers in them. The heat exchangers are still covered under warranty. We will get a credit for the heat exchanger but will replace the whole units.

Classification: A X B C D

A: Capital Projects (Costs over \$2,000)

B: Operational Costs (Costs under \$2,000)

C: Projects that require time but no money

D: Operational Budgetary Costs (Costs over \$2,000)

#### Scoring Range between 0 and 5 points. Greatest need is 5 points.

- 0 1) Legal Requirement: \*\*If required by law, objective moves to top priority\*\*
- O 2) Safety: \*\*If item presents a safety hazard, score as a 5 and move to the top of the priority list\*\* Otherwise, to what degree will this improve safety?
- 0 3) Future Capital Expense: To what degree will this avoid greater capital expenses in the future?
- 3 4) Operational Costs: To what degree will this avoid significant operational costs?
- 0 5) Revenue: To what degree will this generate revenue?
- Outside Funding: To what degree will this be funded by outside sources, agencies or ventures?
- 3 7) Internal Productivity: To what degree will this improve productivity for staff and/or volunteers?
- 3 8) Efficiency: To what degree will this improve efficiency of PDLG or community wide?
- 0 9) Usage: To what degree will this increase use of the Park District?
- Industry Standard: To what degree will this improve compliance with industry standards or allow PDLG to offer services typically provided by most other park district?
- 2 11) Public Opinion: To what degree will this enhance the public perception of the Park District?
- O 12) Community: To what extent does this fill a need in the community?
- 3 13) Strategic Plan: To what extent does this help us achieve our 10-year Strategic Plan?

17 Total Points Est. Cost \$10,000 Est. Hours

Date: March 9, 2020 Project Title: Replace furnace at Community Center

Submitted By: Chris Finn Location: Community Center

Department: Facilities X Recreation Parks Administration

Description: The furnace is several years old. The Community Center has two side-by-side furnaces that heat the facility; the heat exchangers in this facility are starting to fail. The placement of the units in the room limit access and you cannot get to the heat exchangers to replace them without taking one unit completely apart. It would be more cost effective to replace both units.

Classification: A X B C D

A: Capital Projects (Costs over \$2,000)

0

3

12)

13)

- B: Operational Costs (Costs under \$2,000)
- C: Projects that require time but no money
- D: Operational Budgetary Costs (Costs over \$2,000)

#### Scoring Range between 0 and 5 points. Greatest need is 5 points.

Legal Requirement: \*\*If required by law, objective moves to top priority\*\* 0 1) Safety: \*\*If item presents a safety hazard, score as a 5 and move to the top of the priority 0 2) list\*\* Otherwise, to what degree will this improve safety? 0 3) Future Capital Expense: To what degree will this avoid greater capital expenses in the future? 5 4) Operational Costs: To what degree will this avoid significant operational costs? 0 5) Revenue: To what degree will this generate revenue? 0 6) Outside Funding: To what degree will this be funded by outside sources, agencies or ventures? 3 Internal Productivity: To what degree will this improve productivity for staff and/or volunteers? 7) Efficiency: To what degree will this improve efficiency of PDLG or community wide? 3 8) 0 9) Usage: To what degree will this increase use of the Park District? 3 10) Industry Standard: To what degree will this improve compliance with industry standards or allow PDLG to offer services typically provided by most other park district? 0 11) Public Opinion: To what degree will this enhance the public perception of the Park District?

Community: To what extent does this fill a need in the community?

Strategic Plan: To what extent does this help us achieve our 10-year Strategic Plan?

17 Total Points Est. Cost \$ Est. Hours

Date: February 26, 2020 Project Title: Replace RTU's on roof at Rec Center

Submitted By: Chris Finn Location: Recreation Center

Department: Facilities X Recreation Parks Administration

Description: Most of the RTU's on the Rec Center side of the building are original from construction. Several units have had major repairs on them and need to be replaced. We will replace units in clusters and replace 3 at a time.

Classification: A X B C D

A: Capital Projects (Costs over \$2,000)

B: Operational Costs (Costs under \$2,000)

C: Projects that require time but no money

D: Operational Budgetary Costs (Costs over \$2,000)

#### Scoring Range between 0 and 5 points. Greatest need is 5 points.

- 0 1) Legal Requirement: \*\*If required by law, objective moves to top priority\*\*
- O 2) Safety: \*\*If item presents a safety hazard, score as a 5 and move to the top of the priority list\*\* Otherwise, to what degree will this improve safety?
- 0 3) Future Capital Expense: To what degree will this avoid greater capital expenses in the future?
- 3 4) Operational Costs: To what degree will this avoid significant operational costs?
- 0 5) Revenue: To what degree will this generate revenue?
- Outside Funding: To what degree will this be funded by outside sources, agencies or ventures?
- 3 7) Internal Productivity: To what degree will this improve productivity for staff and/or volunteers?
- 3 8) Efficiency: To what degree will this improve efficiency of PDLG or community wide?
- 0 9) Usage: To what degree will this increase use of the Park District?
- Industry Standard: To what degree will this improve compliance with industry standards or allow PDLG to offer services typically provided by most other park district?
- 2 11) Public Opinion: To what degree will this enhance the public perception of the Park District?
- 0 12) Community: To what extent does this fill a need in the community?
- 3 13) Strategic Plan: To what extent does this help us achieve our 10-year Strategic Plan?

17 Total Points Est. Cost \$63,000 Est. Hours

Date:		3/12/	2020		Project Title:_	Speakers 108/10	9/110/111
Submitte	ed By:_	Jenny Bechtol	d and Chris I	inn Locatio	on: <u>Recre</u>	ation Center	
Departm	nent:	Recreation	Faci	lities X	Parks	Adminis	tration
Descript	ion:	Purch	ase new spe	akers and sul	b woofers for ro	oom 108/109 and 1	10/111. The sound
systems	were r	replaced last ye	ar but we ar	e still experie	encing issues wi	th the sound due to	the speakers, which are
the origi	nal spe	eakers and have	e not been re	placed. We	would purchase	e the speakers and I	nave the parks staff
install th	iem.	_					
Classifica	ation:	A <b>X</b>	В	C	D		
B: Opera C: Proje D: Oper	ational cts tha ationa	ects (Costs over I Costs (Costs un It require time I I Budgetary Cos	nder \$2,000) out no mone its (Costs ove	y er \$2,000)			
Scoring I	Range	between 0 and	5 points. G	reatest need	is 5 points.		
0	_1)	Legal Requiren	nent: **If re	quired by lav	v, objective mov	ves to top priority*	*
0	_2)	•	•	•	rd, score as a 5 nis improve safe	and move to the to ety?	p of the priority
0	_3)	Future Capital	Expense: To	what degre	e will this avoid	greater capital exp	enses in the future?
0	_4)	Operational Co	sts: To wha	t degree will	this avoid signif	icant operational co	osts?
1	_5)	Revenue: To v	vhat degree	will this gene	erate revenue?		
0	_6)	Outside Fundi	ng: To what	degree will t	his be funded b	y outside sources, a	agencies or ventures?
1	_7)	Internal Produ	ctivity: To w	/hat degree v	vill this improve	productivity for sta	aff and/or volunteers?
0	_8)	Efficiency: To	what degree	will this imp	rove efficiency	of PDLG or commu	nity wide?
2	_9)	Usage: To wh	at degree wi	II this increas	e use of the Par	rk District?	
5	_10)	•		•	•	ompliance with inductions on the compliance with industrict of the complex of the	•
3	_11)	Public Opinion	: To what do	egree will thi	s enhance the p	oublic perception of	the Park District?
0	_12)	Community: 1	o what exte	nt does this f	ill a need in the	community?	
3	_13)	Strategic Plan:	To what ex	tent does thi	s help us achiev	e our 10-year Strat	egic Plan?
<u>15</u>	_Total	Points	Est. Cost_	5K		Est. Hours	15-20

Date: March 7, 2020 Project Title: Repair/repaint walls by fun jump

Submitted By: Chris Finn Location: Recreation Center

Department: Recreation Facilities X Parks Administration

Description: Repair and paint walls in the fun jump area, required due to the roof leaking over the fun jump, which caused water damage. New drywall will need to be hung in this area and it will need to be repainted along with the wall under it so that it all matches.

Classification: A X B C D

- A: Capital Projects (Costs over \$2,000)
- B: Operational Costs (Costs under \$2,000)
- C: Projects that require time but no money
- D: Operational Budgetary Costs (Costs over \$2,000)

#### Scoring Range between 0 and 5 points. Greatest need is 5 points.

- 1) Legal Requirement: \*\*If required by law, objective moves to top priority\*\*
- O 2) Safety: \*\*If item presents a safety hazard, score as a 5 and move to the top of the priority list\*\* Otherwise, to what degree will this improve safety?
- 0 3) Future Capital Expense: To what degree will this avoid greater capital expenses in the future?
- 0 4) Operational Costs: To what degree will this avoid significant operational costs?
- 0 5) Revenue: To what degree will this generate revenue?
- O utside Funding: To what degree will this be funded by outside sources, agencies or ventures?
- 0 7) Internal Productivity: To what degree will this improve productivity for staff and/or volunteers?
- 0 8) Efficiency: To what degree will this improve efficiency of PDLG or community wide?
- 3 9) Usage: To what degree will this increase use of the Park District?
- 4 10) Industry Standard: To what degree will this improve compliance with industry standards or allow PDLG to offer services typically provided by most other park district?
- 4 11) Public Opinion: To what degree will this enhance the public perception of the Park District?
- 0 12) Community: To what extent does this fill a need in the community?
- 3 13) Strategic Plan: To what extent does this help us achieve our 10-year Strategic Plan?

14 Total Points Est. Cost \$7,000 Est. Hours

<u>14</u> Tota	al Points Est. Cost \$ <u>79,900</u>	Est. Ho	ours				
013)	Strategic Plan: To what extent does this	help us achieve	e our 10-ye	ar Strategic Plan?			
<u>3</u> 12)	Community: To what extent does this fil	I a need in the	community	?			
411)	Public Opinion: To what degree will this	enhance the p	ublic perce <sub>l</sub>	ption of the Park District?			
2 10)	Industry Standard: To what degree will table allow PDLG to offer services typically pro	•	•	•			
<u>5</u> 9)	Usage: To what degree will this increase	use of the Par	k District?				
08)	Efficiency: To what degree will this impr	ove efficiency	of PDLG or	community wide?			
<u>0</u> 7)	Internal Productivity: To what degree w	ill this improve	productivit	y for staff and/or volunteers?			
<u>0</u> 6)	Outside Funding: To what degree will th	is be funded by	outside so	ources, agencies or ventures?			
<u>0</u> 5)	Revenue: To what degree will this gener	rate revenue?					
04)	Operational Costs: To what degree will to	his avoid signifi	cant opera	tional costs?			
03)	Future Capital Expense: To what degree	will this avoid	greater cap	ital expenses in the future?			
02)	Safety: **If item presents a safety hazard, score as a 5 and move to the top of the priority list** Otherwise, to what degree will this improve safety?						
01)	Legal Requirement: **If required by law	, objective mov	es to top p	riority**			
Scoring Range	e between 0 and 5 points. Greatest need	is 5 points.					
C: Projects th	al Costs (Costs under \$2,000)  nat require time but no money  nal Budgetary Costs (Costs over \$2,000)						
•	ojects (Costs over \$2,000)						
Classification	: AX B C	D					
on outside ter	mperatures. Initial information gathered for	rom representa	tive at iron	sleek.com			
	roximately Thanksgiving to St. Patrick 's Da			<del>.</del>			
	nnis court, basketball court or other similar						
•	A portal refrigerated ice skating rink, ap						
Department:	Recreation Facilities	_ Parks	Ac	dministration			
Submitted By	r: Commissioner Brian Opyd	Locatio	on: TBD (C	Gilbert, Gordon or Sedgwick)			
Date: 2/19/	/2020	Project Title:_	Portable I	Refrigerated Ice Rink			

Date:_	2/13/2	2020	Project Title:	Landscaping a	nd natives
Submit	ted By:	Board President Bob Ve	ear	_Location:	Parks
Depart	ment:	Recreation F	-acilities Pa	rks <u>X</u>	Administration
Descrip	otion: <u>E</u>	nhance the parks with m	ore native, perennial pla	ntings, and tree	es on borders and specified areas
To be o	<u>determi</u>	ned. e.g. Gordon (North,	West fence at parking lo	t, and South fe	nce, butterfly garden, and add trees
At East	parking	g lot); Denning (woodland	d area, swale, South bord	der); Waiola (ne	ighbor's borders and corners);
Stone I	Park boı	rders, Community Center	, Recreation Center fron	t, Rotary borde	rs
A: Cap B: Ope C: Proj	ital Pro erationa ects tha	A X B jects (Costs over \$2,000) I Costs (Costs under \$2,000) at require time but no model Budgetary Costs (Costs	00) oney		
Scoring	g Range	between 0 and 5 points.	. Greatest need is 5 poi	nts.	
0	_1)	Legal Requirement: **If	f required by law, object	ive moves to to	p priority**
0	2)	Safety: **If item presen list** Otherwise, to wh	•		ve to the top of the priority
0	3)	Future Capital Expense:	To what degree will thi	s avoid greater	capital expenses in the future?
0	4)	Operational Costs: To w	hat degree will this avoi	d significant op	erational costs?
0	5)	Revenue: To what degr	ee will this generate rev	enue?	
2	6)	Outside Funding: To wh	nat degree will this be fu	nded by outsid	e sources, agencies or ventures?
0	7)	Internal Productivity: T	o what degree will this i	mprove produc	tivity for staff and/or volunteers?
0	8)	Efficiency: To what deg	ree will this improve eff	iciency of PDLG	or community wide?
2	9)	Usage: To what degree	will this increase use of	the Park Distric	t?
2	10)	•	what degree will this imp vices typically provided b	•	re with industry standards or ark district?
4	11)	Public Opinion: To wha	t degree will this enhand	ce the public pe	rception of the Park District?
3	12)	Community: To what ex	xtent does this fill a need	d in the commu	nity?
0	13)	Strategic Plan: To what	extent does this help us	achieve our 10	-year Strategic Plan?
<u>13</u>	Total	Points Est. Co	st	_ Est. H	ours

Date:		2/14/2020	_ Project Title:	Scissor Lift
Submitte	ed By:_	Claudia Galla & Chris Finn	Location:	Recreation Center
Departm	nent:	Recreation Facilities_	X Parks	Administration
Descript	ion:	Purchase new or used scissor lif	t. Would primarily be us	sed in the rec center. This model is a
<u>smaller</u>	slim lift	t, easier to maneuver through the	e building. It's also smal	l enough to be used in our satellite
building	s. The	lift would be stored in the back st	orage; the large lift wou	Ild remain in the maintenance area.
Classific	ation:	A_X B C	D	
B: Oper C: Proje	ational	ects (Costs over \$2,000)   Costs (Costs under \$2,000)   t require time but no money   Budgetary Costs (Costs over \$2,0	000)	
Scoring	Range	between 0 and 5 points. Greate	st need is 5 points.	
0	_1)	Legal Requirement: **If require	d by law, objective move	es to top priority**
0	_2)	Safety: **If item presents a safe list** Otherwise, to what degre	•	nd move to the top of the priority y?
0	_3)	Future Capital Expense: To wha	t degree will this avoid $arepsilon$	greater capital expenses in the future?
3	_4)	Operational Costs: To what degr	ee will this avoid signific	cant operational costs?
0	_5)	Revenue: To what degree will the	nis generate revenue?	
1	_6)	Outside Funding: To what degree	ee will this be funded by	outside sources, agencies or ventures?
3	_7)	Internal Productivity: To what d	egree will this improve	productivity for staff and/or volunteers?
2	_8)	Efficiency: To what degree will t	his improve efficiency c	f PDLG or community wide?
0	_9)	Usage: To what degree will this	increase use of the Park	District?
3	_10)	Industry Standard: To what deg allow PDLG to offer services typic		mpliance with industry standards or other park district?
0	_11)	Public Opinion: To what degree	will this enhance the pu	ublic perception of the Park District?
0	_12)	Community: To what extent do	es this fill a need in the o	community?
0	_13)	Strategic Plan: To what extent o	loes this help us achieve	our 10-year Strategic Plan?
12	_Total	Points Est. Cost	\$8,500 – \$10,500	Est. Hours

# **R2632**

# Electric Scissor Lift

# **Key Specs**

#### **SEE ALL SPECS**

Platform Height: 25 ft 6 in. / 7.77 m
Machine Width: 2 ft 8 in. / 0.81 m
Working Height: 31 ft 6 in. / 9.6 m

# **Key Features**

- Reach More in Difficult Conditions: Industry-exclusive outdoor rating for use up to 19 ft
- Tackle Demanding Terrain: Active pothole protection provides increased ground clearance
- Meet the Highest Standards: Complies with A92.20 ANSI regulations
- Fit Through Standard Doorways: Ability to pass through single doorways gives you more access site-wide

Compare Models

Previous Model | Next Model

- Reach More in Difficult Conditions: Industry-exclusive outdoor rating for use up to 19 ft
- Tackle Demanding Terrain: Active pothole protection provides increased ground clearance
- Meet the Highest Standards: Complies with A92.20 ANSI regulations
- Fit Through Standard Doorways: Ability to pass through single doorways gives you more access sitewide



Date:_		2/24/202	20		Project Title:	Crosswalk	Investigation	_
Submi	tted By:	Jenny Bechtold			Location:	Recreation	Center	_
Depart	tment:	Recreation	Facilities_	X	Parks	A	dministration	_
Descri	ption:	Investiga	te if we can get	a cross	swalk put in acro	oss East Ave	in front of the Park D	)istrict.
The cr	osswalk	would increase saf	ety for participa	ants an	d members who	walk to the	Park District or who	have to
park o	n the str	eet and walk acros	s East Ave to ge	et to the	e Recreation Ce	nter.		
Classif	ication:	A B	C	<u>X</u>	D			
B: Ope	erationa jects tha	jects (Costs over \$2 I Costs (Costs unde at require time but Il Budgetary Costs (	r \$2,000) no money	00)				
Scorin	g Range	between 0 and 5 p	ooints. Greates	t need	is 5 points.			
0	<u>0</u> 1)	Legal Requiremen	t: **If required	by law	, objective mov	es to top pr	ority**	
5	<u>5</u> 2)	Safety: **If item p list** Otherwise,		•			the top of the priorit	:у
0	<u>0</u> 3)	Future Capital Exp	pense: To what	degree	e will this avoid	greater capi	tal expenses in the fu	iture?
0	<u>0</u> 4)	Operational Costs	: To what degre	ee will t	this avoid signifi	cant operat	onal costs?	
1	<u> </u>	Revenue: To wha	it degree will th	is gene	rate revenue?			
4	<u>4</u> 6)	Outside Funding:	To what degree	e will th	nis be funded by	/ outside soι	ırces, agencies or ver	itures?
1	<u>1</u> 7)	Internal Productiv	vity: To what de	egree w	vill this improve	productivity	for staff and/or volu	inteers?
1	<u>1</u> 8)	Efficiency: To wh	at degree will th	nis imp	rove efficiency o	of PDLG or c	ommunity wide?	
3	<u>3</u> 9)	Usage: To what d	legree will this i	ncreas	e use of the Par	k District?		
0	<u>0</u> 10)	Industry Standard allow PDLG to off	_		•	•	th industry standards listrict?	or
4	<u>4</u> 11)	Public Opinion: T	o what degree	will this	s enhance the p	ublic percep	tion of the Park Distr	ict?
3	<u>3</u> 12)	Community: To w	vhat extent doe	s this fi	ll a need in the	community	)	
2	<u>2</u> 13)	Strategic Plan: To	what extent do	oes this	s help us achieve	e our 10-yea	r Strategic Plan?	
24	Total	Points F	st Cost		0	Fst Hours	15-25	

Date: 3/9/	<u>/2020</u> Project 1	Fitle: Investig	ate Sedgwick Park Design & Usage
Submitted B	By: Dean Bissias/Chris Finn/Kevin Miller	Location:	Sedgwick Park
Department	:: Recreation Facilities	Parks	X Administration
Description:	Investigate the total design of Sedgwick	Park. Explore	e La Grange Little League request for
an additiona	al ballfield, pathway, drainage, landscaping, b	athroom use	, etc. Gather information and
projected co	osts.		
Classificatio	on: A B CX	D	
•	rojects (Costs over \$2,000)		
•	nal Costs (Costs under \$2,000) that require time but no money		
-	onal Budgetary Costs (Costs over \$2,000)		
Scoring Ran	ge between 0 and 5 points. Greatest need is	s 5 points.	
01)	Legal Requirement: **If required by law,	objective mo	oves to top priority**
0_2)	Safety: **If item presents a safety hazard list** Otherwise, to what degree will this		·
03)	Future Capital Expense: To what degree	will this avoid	d greater capital expenses in the future?
04)	Operational Costs: To what degree will th	nis avoid signi	ificant operational costs?
<u>0</u> _5)	Revenue: To what degree will this genera	ate revenue?	
<u>5</u> _6)	Outside Funding: To what degree will thi	s be funded b	by outside sources, agencies or ventures?
07)	Internal Productivity: To what degree wi	ll this improv	e productivity for staff and/or volunteers?
08)	Efficiency: To what degree will this impro	ove efficiency	of PDLG or community wide?
09)	Usage: To what degree will this increase	use of the Pa	ark District?
3 10)	Industry Standard: To what degree will the allow PDLG to offer services typically pro-	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
5 11)	Public Opinion: To what degree will this	enhance the	public perception of the Park District?
3 12)	) Community: To what extent does this fill	a need in the	e community?
5 13	) Strategic Plan: To what extent does this l	help us achie	ve our 10-year Strategic Plan?
<u>21</u> Tot	tal Points Est. Cost		Est. Hours

Date: March 9, 2020 Project Title: Sedgwick Park basketball court evaluation

Submitted By: Chris Finn Location: Sedgwick Park

Department: Recreation Facilities Parks X Administration

Description: Evaluate what the next step should be with the basketball court at Sedgwick Park. This will be looked at in conjunction with the Sedgwick Park masterplan. The court options will be: 1. Removal, 2. Redo surface and standards, 3. Relocate to another area within the park, 4. Remove and redo new configuration and sizing.

Classification: A B C X D

A: Capital Projects (Costs over \$2,000)

- B: Operational Costs (Costs under \$2,000)
- C: Projects that require time but no money
- D: Operational Budgetary Costs (Costs over \$2,000)

#### Scoring Range between 0 and 5 points. Greatest need is 5 points.

- 0 1) Legal Requirement: \*\*If required by law, objective moves to top priority\*\*
- O 2) Safety: \*\*If item presents a safety hazard, score as a 5 and move to the top of the priority list\*\* Otherwise, to what degree will this improve safety?
- 0 3) Future Capital Expense: To what degree will this avoid greater capital expenses in the future?
- Operational Costs: To what degree will this avoid significant operational costs?
- 0 5) Revenue: To what degree will this generate revenue?
- Outside Funding: To what degree will this be funded by outside sources, agencies or ventures?
- 3 7) Internal Productivity: To what degree will this improve productivity for staff and/or volunteers?
- 0 8) Efficiency: To what degree will this improve efficiency of PDLG or community wide?
- 0 9) Usage: To what degree will this increase use of the Park District?
- 4 10) Industry Standard: To what degree will this improve compliance with industry standards or allow PDLG to offer services typically provided by most other park district?
- 3 11) Public Opinion: To what degree will this enhance the public perception of the Park District?
- O 12) Community: To what extent does this fill a need in the community?
- 3 13) Strategic Plan: To what extent does this help us achieve our 10-year Strategic Plan?

13 Total Points Est. Cost \$ Est. Hours 10-20

Date:_	2/18/	/2020	Project Title:	Preschool/EC	Buildout Investigation
Submit	tted By	: Diana Faught & Kevin Miller	Location:	Gilbert Park	
Depart	ment:	Recreation X Facilities	X Parks	Admi	nistration
Descri	ption:_	Investigate the feasibility, layou	t and logistics of buildir	ng out south sto	rage area of the Recreation
<u>Center</u>	for Pre	eschool/Early Childhood program r	ooms and washrooms.	Investigation w	ill also include logistics and
<u>feasibi</u>	lity for	keeping 1/3 of the space storage			
Classif	ication	: A B C	<u>X</u> D		
B: Ope C: Pro D: Ope	erationa jects th erationa	ojects (Costs over \$2,000) al Costs (Costs under \$2,000) nat require time but no money hal Budgetary Costs (Costs over \$2,000)			
Scoring	g Kange	e between 0 and 5 points. Greate	st need is 5 points.		
0	1)	Legal Requirement: **If require	d by law, objective mov	es to top priorit	:y**
0	2)	Safety: **If item presents a safe list** Otherwise, to what degre	•		top of the priority
0	3)	Future Capital Expense: To wha	t degree will this avoid	greater capital e	expenses in the future?
0	4)	Operational Costs: To what degr	ee will this avoid signifi	cant operationa	al costs?
0	5)	Revenue: To what degree will th	nis generate revenue?		
0	6)	Outside Funding: To what degree	e will this be funded by	outside source	es, agencies or ventures?
1	7)	Internal Productivity: To what d	egree will this improve	productivity for	staff and/or volunteers?
1	8)	Efficiency: To what degree will t	his improve efficiency	of PDLG or comi	munity wide?
0	9)	Usage: To what degree will this	increase use of the Par	k District?	
0	10)	Industry Standard: To what deg allow PDLG to offer services typi		•	•
5	11)	Public Opinion: To what degree	will this enhance the p	ublic perceptior	of the Park District?
0	12)	Community: To what extent do	es this fill a need in the	community?	
5	13)	Strategic Plan: To what extent o	oes this help us achieve	e our 10-year St	rategic Plan?
12	Tota	al Points Est. Cost	\$0	Est. Hours	30

Date:	2/24/2020	Project Title:	Walldog Invest	igation
Submitted By	y:Jenny Bechtold	Location:	Recreation Cer	nter
Department:	Recreation FacilitiesX_	Parks	Admir	nistration
Description:_	Walldogs are a group of highly skilled p	painters and mur	al artist who pai	nt beautiful murals on
buildings. I w	vould like to investigate getting a mural pa	ainted by a walld	og on the outsid	e of the Recreation Center.
The walldog	mural would help define and brand the bu	ilding as the Parl	c District of La G	range.
Classification	n: A B CX	D		
B: Operation C: Projects the	ojects (Costs over \$2,000) nal Costs (Costs under \$2,000) hat require time but no money nal Budgetary Costs (Costs over \$2,000)			
Scoring Rang	ge between 0 and 5 points. Greatest need	d is 5 points.		
0 0 1)	Legal Requirement: **If required by law	w, objective mov	es to top priority	**
0 0 2)	Safety: **If item presents a safety haza list** Otherwise, to what degree will t			top of the priority
<u>0 0</u> 3)	Future Capital Expense: To what degre	e will this avoid a	greater capital e	xpenses in the future?
0 04)	Operational Costs: To what degree will	this avoid signifi	cant operationa	costs?
<u>1</u> <u>1</u> 5)	Revenue: To what degree will this gene	erate revenue?		
<u>1</u> <u>1</u> 6)	Outside Funding: To what degree will t	this be funded by	outside sources	s, agencies or ventures?
<u>0 0</u> 7)	Internal Productivity: To what degree	will this improve	productivity for	staff and/or volunteers?
<u>0 0</u> 8)	Efficiency: To what degree will this imp	orove efficiency o	of PDLG or comn	nunity wide?
2 29)	Usage: To what degree will this increase	se use of the Parl	CDistrict?	
3 3 10	ndustry Standard: To what degree wil allow PDLG to offer services typically p	•	•	•
3 3 11	L) Public Opinion: To what degree will th	is enhance the pu	ublic perception	of the Park District?
<u>0 0</u> 12	2) Community: To what extent does this	fill a need in the	community?	
<u>2</u> 2 13	3) Strategic Plan: To what extent does the	is help us achieve	e our 10-year Str	ategic Plan?
<u>12</u> Tota	al Points Est. Cost <u>\$0</u>		Est. Hours	10-20

Date:		4/17/2020		Projec	ct Title: <u>Virtu</u>	al Programm	ning-Fitness Inve	stigation
Submitted	By: <u>Jenny</u>	y Bechtold & Kevir	<u>n Miller</u> Lo	cation:	Fitness an	d Recreation	Center	
Departmen	nt: Recrea	ition I	Facilities	X	Parks	Admir	nistration	
Description	n:	Investigate diff	erent virtua	l platforms	to offer a vir	tual option t	o our communit	<u>ty, for</u>
fitness and	recreation	n programming. T	his platform	will create	an additiona	ıl revenue stı	ream and offer o	options for
<u>participant</u>	s outside o	of our community	or people w	<u>rho cannot r</u>	make it to the	e live progra	mming.	
Classification	on: A	B	C <u>X</u>	D				
B: Operation C: Projects D: Operation	onal Costs that requi onal Budge	costs over \$2,000) (Costs under \$2,0 ire time but no mo etary Costs (Costs	oney over \$2,000		ints.			
0	1) Legal F	Requirement: **I	f required b	y law, objec	tive moves t	o top priority	y**	
0		r: **If item preser Otherwise, to wh	•			move to the	top of the prior	ity
0	3) Future	e Capital Expense:	: To what do	egree will th	nis avoid grea	ater capital e	xpenses in the f	uture?
0	4) Opera	tional Costs: To w	vhat degree	will this avo	oid significan	t operationa	l costs?	
0	5) Rever	nue: To what degi	ree will this	generate re	venue?			
0	6) Outsid	de Funding: To w	hat degree v	will this be f	unded by ou	tside sources	s, agencies or ve	ntures?
0	7) Intern	nal Productivity: T	o what degi	ree will this	improve pro	ductivity for	staff and/or vol	unteers?
3	8) Efficie	ency: To what deg	gree will this	improve ef	ficiency of P	DLG or comn	nunity wide?	
0	9) Usage	e: To what degree	will this inc	rease use o	f the Park Di	strict?		
5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	try Standard: To v PDLG to offer ser	_				•	ds or
3	11) Publi	ic Opinion: To wh	at degree w	vill this enha	nce the publ	lic perception	n of the Park Dis	trict?
0	12) Com	munity: To what	extent does	this fill a ne	ed in the co	mmunity?		
0	13) Strat	tegic Plan: To wha	at extent do	es this help	us achieve o	ur 10-year St	trategic Plan?	
11	L_ Total Po	oints	Est. Cost_	<b>\$0</b>	Es	t. Hours	60	

Date:	4/18/2	2020		Project Title:	E-Sports & E	-Gaming Investigation	_
Submitte	ed By:	Kevin	_	Location:	Recreation C	Center	_
Departn	nent:	RecreationX	Facilities_		Parks	Administration	
Descript	ion:	Research the viability	and logisti	cs for implem	nenting an E-Spo	orts and E-Gaming progran	n series
with bot	th host	ed in-house tournamen	ts/prograr	ns and utilizir	ng online platfo	rms.	
Classific	ation:	A B	_ C <u>_ X</u> _	D			
B: Oper C: Proje D: Oper	ationa ects tha ationa	jects (Costs over \$2,000) I Costs (Costs under \$2,0 at require time but no m Il Budgetary Costs (Costs	000) oney s over \$2,0				
Scoring	Range	between 0 and 5 points	s. Greates	st need is 5 p	oints.		
0	_1)	Legal Requirement: **	If required	l by law, obje	ctive moves to	top priority**	
0	_2)	Safety: **If item prese list** Otherwise, to w		•		ove to the top of the prior	ity
0	_3)	Future Capital Expense	e: To what	degree will t	his avoid greate	er capital expenses in the f	uture?
0	_4)	Operational Costs: To v	what degr	ee will this av	oid significant c	pperational costs?	
0	_5)	Revenue: To what deg	ree will th	is generate r	evenue?		
0	_6)	Outside Funding: To w	hat degre	e will this be	funded by outsi	ide sources, agencies or ve	ntures?
0	_7)	Internal Productivity:	To what d	egree will this	s improve produ	uctivity for staff and/or vol	unteers?
0	_8)	Efficiency: To what de	gree will t	his improve e	fficiency of PDL	G or community wide?	
0	_9)	Usage: To what degree	e will this	increase use	of the Park Distr	rict?	
5	_10)	Industry Standard: To allow PDLG to offer ser	_			nce with industry standard park district?	ls or
5	_11)	Public Opinion: To wh	at degree	will this enha	nce the public p	perception of the Park Dist	rict?
0	_12)	Community: To what o	extent doe	es this fill a ne	ed in the comm	nunity?	
0	_13)	Strategic Plan: To wha	t extent d	oes this help	us achieve our 1	10-year Strategic Plan?	
10	_Total	Points Est. Co	ost_\$0		Est. Hours	50-60	