

EXAMPLE 1.

BANGKOK, Thailand — They have been compared to human viruses. They have been violently purged from their homes into ghettoized camps. Officials have called them ogres and designed laws to lower their birthrate.

Such is the fate of the Rohingya, a coastal-dwelling Muslim minority from Myanmar.

The Rohingya have endured a bloody exodus from their homes at the hands of Buddhist vigilantes and complicit authorities. Nearly 150,000 are now stuck in camps where food and medicine are scarce and armed troops man the gates.

This is textbook ethnic cleansing. But is it genocide?

For better or worse, the word is increasingly used by highly influential figures to describe the Rohingya crisis.

Archbishop Desmond Tutu, among the world's best known activists, has called it "slow genocide." Other Nobel Peace Prize winners call it "nothing less than genocide." Billionaire philanthropist George Soros, who funds political activists in Myanmar, has said the "parallels to Nazi genocide are alarming."

Long simmering in obscurity, the Rohingya nightmare has become a global cause célèbre. It has even attracted its first celebrity: Matt Dillon, who recently visited Rohingya camps.

The Rohingya have roused the world's guilt over past horrors that humanity failed to prevent — think Cambodia, Bosnia, Rwanda — and compelled many to condemn their persecution in the strongest language possible. (Myanmar's government, which considers Rohingya to be invaders from Bangladesh, calls the genocide designation "unbalanced.")

But genocide isn't just a chilling descriptor. It's also a legal term that came to prominence in the wake of the Holocaust. And it's defined by the United Nations as a crime against international law.



Rohingya migrant women cry as they sit on a boat drifting in the sea off the coast of Thailand on May 14, 2015.

According to the UN, a genocide can take place when any of the following acts are committed with "intent to destroy" an ethnic or religious group:

"Killing members of the group"

Rohingya have been hacked up and driven from their homes by Buddhist vigilantes. Authorities did little to prevent these attacks and, in some cases, abetted the violence, according to Fortify Rights, a nonprofit watchdog group that specializes in documenting anti-Rohingya abuse.

"Homes would be set ablaze, mosques would be set ablaze," says Matthew Smith, the group's founder. "And when local populations would attempt to extinguish the fires, state security forces would open fire."

"Causing serious bodily or mental harm to members of the group"

Rohingya men interviewed by GlobalPost explain that corrupt cops or troops can victimize them at will. Men coerced into forced labor can't work to feed their families. "We began to starve," says a Rohingya man who once stayed in the camps and now lives in Thailand. "I was always working for police or soldiers for free."

It is reasonable, right now, to be talking about genocide prevention. The situation is that serious.

"Deliberately inflicting on the group conditions of life calculated to bring about its physical destruction in whole or in part"

Mob attacks on Rohingya have been systematic and well planned, Smith says. "There were essentially town-hall style meetings ... in which the sole topic of discussion was how to remove Muslims from villages," he says.

Though Myanmar's central government doesn't acknowledge the Rohingya's right to live on their native lands, there is no national strategy to kill them. Most evidence suggests that members of a local political faction, formerly called the Rakhine National Development Party, were key instigators of the Rohingya purges that raged hottest in 2012.

Those purges forced Rohingya into camps that are notoriously bleak. As many as 250,000 Rohingya have also fled into the Bay of Bengal, and many ended up in brutal trafficking camps in neighboring countries.

"Imposing measures intended to prevent births within the group"

Rohingya women who have more than two kids can be fined or arrested. Even marriage licenses for Rohingya are restricted.

"Forcibly transferring children of the group to another group"

No evidence suggests this has happened to the Rohingya on any significant scale.

There's no denying that the Rohingya are among Asia's most subjugated groups. But what separates the Rohingya crisis from the most infamous genocides is scale.

The death toll from Rohingya purges since 2012 is believed to number in the low hundreds. Far more have likely died attempting to flee their native areas on creaky boats. Exact figures are elusive but, however high, the amount is tiny compared to genocides that have cost millions of lives.

Death tolls, however, aren't the only way to measure a genocide. "I think one of the common misconceptions is that, in order for genocide to happen, there needs to be a situation with mass killings," Smith says.

"The popular imagination around genocide has been shaped by horrific genocides that have occurred in the past," he says. "In a way, that has prevented people from accurately calling a genocide a genocide."

While academics and Nobel laureates use the word "genocide" to label the Rohingya nightmare, groups that work closely on the Rohingya cause, such as Fortify Rights and Human Rights Watch, have not attempted to make a case for Rohingya genocide under international law.

"But it is reasonable, right now, to be talking about genocide prevention," Smith says. "The situation is that serious."

Those who warn of genocide as a potential threat are "definitely on the right path," says Phil Robertson, the deputy Asia director at Human Rights Watch. "What we've seen is certainly ethnic cleansing. We've certainly seen crimes against humanity."

But genocide, he says, is probably not a "legally accurate" description.

"Some of the international advocates for the Rohingya, who are screaming from the rooftops that genocide is already taking place, don't do their arguments service," Robertson says. "It certainly doesn't help efforts to pressure the government to reverse course and undo some of the things that are leading to warnings of calamity."

Troops turning their guns on inhabitants of Rohingya camps would certainly constitute genocide, Robertson says, as would any government action plan designed to exterminate the Rohingya.

"If a UN agency did decide there's a genocide taking place ... then we'd have a responsibility to protect them," he says. "It could compel the UN Security Council to authorize action. It would become a major international imperative."

But for now, the UN isn't even remotely close to using the word "genocide." Its approach has been to gently nudge the government toward better behavior. Among UN officials, even the word "Rohingya" is avoided: it can infuriate Myanmar officials who prefer the term "Bengali," a label that suggests Rohingya are native to Bangladesh, not Myanmar.

Only once has a UN official let slip the word "genocide" in reference to the Rohingya. Last year, the then-Special Rapporteur for Human Rights, Tomás Ojéa Quintana, mentioned in a speech that the Rohingya crisis has "elements of genocide." But he quickly added: "I myself do not use the term genocide for strategic reasons."

ANATHOMY OF A GENOCIDE

For genocide to happen, there must be certain preconditions. Foremost among them is a national culture that does not place a high value on human life. A totalitarian society, with its assumed superior ideology, is also a precondition for genocidal acts.[100]

In addition, members of the dominant society must perceive their potential victims as less than fully human: as "pagans," "savages," "uncouth barbarians," "unbelievers," "effete degenerates," "ritual outlaws," "racial inferiors," "class antagonists," "counterrevolutionaries," and so on.[101] In themselves, these conditions are not enough for the perpetrators to commit genocide.

To do that—that is, to commit genocide—the perpetrators need a strong, centralized authority and bureaucratic organization as well as pathological individuals and criminals. Also required is a campaign of vilification and dehumanization of the victims by the perpetrators, who are usually new states or new regimes attempting to impose conformity to a new ideology and its model of society. — M. Hassan Kakar

THE JEWISH HOLOCAUST WAS ALSO A GENOCIDE

Richard von Coudenhove-Kalergi and the Genocide of the European Peoples

27Sep 29, 2017 By Mundilfury | redice.tv Attack on Europe Tags: Europe European Union Kalergi Plan Richard von Coudenhove-Kalergi war on Whites White genocide

Richard von Coudenhove-Kalergi plans

You have most likely asked the question: why is the European Union engaged in such self-destructive behaviour? Normally, admitting a hostile dependency class into your member states at an astronomical financial cost is unthinkable. The loss of social cohesion as a host society grapples with the needs of millions of hostile dependants is deterrent enough. The deluge of migrants, however, continues without any sign of relenting. Why would the elites of some of the most powerful EU member states allow this to continue? Why would EU officials condone and even encourage this activity? Who set this apocalypse in motion? What are some of the underlying ideas that prompted such a radical set of policy changes? Part of the answer may be found in the ideas and writings of one man: Richard von Coudenhove- Kalergi.

Count Richard Nikolas Eijiro von Coudenhove-Kalergi (1894-1972) was a man of mixed-race parentage. His father, Heinrich, was a Bohemian noble from a family of European heritage (Flemish, Czech, Austrian, Hungarian, and Greek) and a long line of nobility (his lineage was linked to an 800 year-old oligarchical family). His mother, Mitsuko Aoyama, was a wealthy Japanese woman.[1] Incidentally, her family was not pleased with the union and disowned her.

Richard was born on 17 November 1894, and would become the second eldest of seven children. Two years after Richard was born, the family moved from Tokyo [2] into Ronsperg Castle in the western Bohemian forest. After his father's death in 1906, Richard was enrolled in the Augustine Grammar School in Brixen (Bressanone)

While he was a student, he married the famous Viennese actress Ida Roland in 1915. This union did not go over well and led to a momentary split within the Coudenhove family as Roland was thirteen years his senior and previously divorced. Kalergi went on to graduate from the university in 1917.[6] Kalergi wrote several books and articles regarding European integration over the course of his life.

Writer Beau Albrecht points out that Kalergi's father died and left him with a vast inheritance, which freed him to pursue his geopolitical, intellectual, and cultural interests full-time. [3] His family then moved to Vienna, where he became a student at the Theresianum (1908-1913).[4] According to academic Michael Gehler, Kalergi did not feel at home there or in Viennese social settings because "his ideas about the questions of life and politics were too different from those of his rank."[5] After his graduation from the Theresianum, Kalergi went on to study philosophy at the University of Vienna.

His first book entitled Adel, translated as Nobility, along with Pazifismus (1924), served as the basis for his most famous work Praktischer Idealismus: Adel-Technik-Pazifismus or Practical Idealism: Nobility-Technique-Pacifism (1925). His 1922 article Pan-Europa – A Proposal and his book Pan-Europa (1923) effectively inaugurated the Pan European movement, and his monthly journal Paneuropa (1924-1938) and books The Fight for Paneuropa (1925-1928, three volumes) and Europa Erwacht (Europe Awakened, 1934) among other works, further elaborated his views on European integration.

His first book entitled Adel, translated as Nobility, along with Pazifismus (1924), served as the basis for his most famous work Praktischer Idealismus: Adel-Technik-Pazifismus or Practical Idealism: Nobility-Technique-Pacifism (1925). His 1922 article Pan-Europa – A Proposal and his book Pan-Europa (1923) effectively inaugurated the Pan European movement, and his monthly journal Paneuropa (1924-1938) and books The Fight for Paneuropa (1925-1928, three volumes) and Europa Erwacht (Europe Awakened, 1934) among other works, further elaborated his views on European integration.[7] Prominent individuals financed Kalergi's Pan-European movement. In 1924, one of Kalergi's friends, Baron Louis Nathaniel de Rothschild, introduced him to Hamburg banker Max Warburg. Warburg financed the first three years of the movement to the tune of 60,000 gold marks. After their initial meeting, Kalergi and Warburg remained in constant intellectual dialogue thereafter

He was then introduced to Max's brothers Felix and Paul Warburg; the American financier Bernard Baruch in 1925; and the German industrialist Robert Bosch who set up the Pan-European Development Fund.[8] Further financial and promotional support of the movement was garnered from the Thurn und Taxis, Wittlebachs, Hapsburgs, and Kalergi families.[9] Kalergi founded the Pan-European Union (PEU) in 1946 and is seen as the founding father of the European Union. He was awarded the first Charlemagne prize in 1950, which has since been renamed the European Prize Coudenhove-Kalergi.

This award is now presented every second year to "leading personalities for their extraordinary commitment in the European unification process." As per academic Claire Ellis, there are several other EU awards and acknowledgements that are a testament to Kalergi's influence and legacy as a major figurehead in the integration process of Europe: the Europe Square in Austria, a gold Euro coin, an Austrian peace monument and stamp, the European anthem, and a Viennese park. The Pan European Union continues to hold influence over European unity in the present.[10] The Pan European movement was a political project that was predicated upon the ideas of peace, international reliance, and the ardent wish to never repeat the First World War experience.

The Paris Peace conference of 1919 saw the victorious powers of the Entente set peace terms for the defeated Central Powers. The conference also led to the formation of the League of Nations on 10 January 1920. The League, as Claire Ellis points out, was based upon the ideas of Atlantic world federalism and peace movements; it endeavoured to achieve peace through disarmament and international arbitration.[11] Europe of the 1920s and 1930s, however, was fraught with conflict as the defeated central power states experienced terrible internal conflagrations characterized by extreme political violence

Furthermore, three distinctive political ideologies emerged on the continent that had competing visions: Liberal Democracy in France and Britain; Communism in Russia; and authoritarian nationalism in Italy and Germany.[12] Clare Ellis points out that it was amidst this climate of war, competing ideologies, and the hostile rivalry between Germany and France that Kalergi wrote: Europe as a political concept does not exist. The part of the world with that name covers peoples and States in chaos, a powder keg of international conflicts, the breeding ground of future conflicts.

This is the European Question: the mutual hatred of Europeans for each other poisons the international atmosphere and is a perpetual worry to even the most peace-loving countries of the world... the European Question will be resolved only by the union of the peoples of Europe. This will come about either voluntarily, by the construction of a pan-European federation or coercively by Russian conquest...The greatest obstacle to the realisation of the United States of Europe is the thousand year rivalry between the two most populous nations of Pan-Europe: Germany and France... After Germany's defeat in the First World War, France deployed tens of thousands of black colonial troops from Madagascar, West Africa, Morocco, and Algeria to the German Rhineland.

This was given the name the "Black horror on the Rhine" as these foreign troops were "unreliable savages who posed great danger, especially for the German female and juvenile population." The German president of the day, Friedrich Ebert was appalled: "the deployment of coloured troops of the most inferior culture as overseers of a population of such high spiritual and economic importance as the Rhinelanders is an intolerable violation of the law of European civilization." Clare Ellis points out that this was the first of many assaults on German ethnic identity in the Twentieth century

[13] Genocidal Intent Kalergi undoubtedly derived some of his ideas from his own mixed-race lineage. He foresaw Europe as a pan-European entity of perpetual peace, Cosmopolitanism, and harmony. What is most insidious about this pan-European vision, however, is his conceptualization of race. Kalergi thought that the solution was tied with a Pan-European conceptualization of Europe, a united Europe. The races of this union were to be blended out in favour of a mixed race reminiscent of the ancient Egyptians: The man of the future will be of mixed race. Today's races and castes will gradually disappear owing to the vanishing of space, time, and prejudice. The Eurasian-Negroid race of the future, similar in its appearance to the Ancient Egyptians, will replace the diversity of peoples with a diversity of individuals.[14]

In her most recent article for the Occidental Quarterly, Clare Ellis points out that "demographic engineering, the deliberate mixing of ethnic, cultural, and political composition of nations through immigration, can make indigenous peoples minorities within their own nations and can lead to unprecedented power transitions, civil war, and the end of distinct ethnic legacies."[15] It is also a well established fact that multi-ethnic societies are prone to violence and that "pluralistic or divided societies are often prone to genocidal conflict."[16] This demographically engineered mixed race conglomeration of humanity was to be ruled over by a Jewish elite, according to Kalergi.

Kalergi believed that the creation of a new Pan-Europe bereft of ethnic characteristics and based on the new ideals of social democracy would be presided over by a "social aristocracy of the spirit"[17] He claimed that certain traits such as "strength of character combined with sharpness of spirit" were of utmost importance for providing the spiritual aristocratic leadership of Europe; and that these traits were only to be found in the Jewish people

These characteristics predestined them "to be leaders of urban humanity, the protagonists of capitalism as well as the revolution." It was, however, "the Jewish Socialist leaders [who] want to redeem us with the highest self-denial from the original sin of capitalism, free people from injustice, violence and serfdom and change the liberated world into an earthly paradise"[18] He goes on to write: [T]he influence of the nobility of blood decreases, the influence of the nobility of spirit is growing. Such a development, and with it the chaos of modern politics, will find its end only when an aristocracy of spirit will seize the reins of society's power: by seizing powder, gold, and printing ink to devote to the welfare of the community.[19]

Kalergi did not characterize Jews as a hostile elite, and as seen in the above quotations, he thought of Jews as the ideal rulers of the future European mixed-race citizenry. There are striking similarities between what he advocated for and what has occurred. The utopian vision of a racial or ethnic elite magnanimously presiding over a European continent in perpetual peace is something that has not been fulfilled. This experiment has resulted in the formation of a hostile elite[20] that has enabled the violence, rape, murder, dispossession and displacement of the native White European populace by way of demographic engineering. It has resulted in attempted genocide in the present day.

Kalergi's demographic engineering concepts were couched in ideal, utopian terms. Similarly, in the present, demographic engineering characterized by unfettered immigration is used as a weapon by state and non-state liberals, leftists, Jewish groups, Islamists, and Third Worldists to displace ethnic European populations

This immigration is defended "on the grounds of universalism, anti-racism, and anti-colonialism, as a way to undermine the national sovereignty, alter the political culture, transform the national institutions, and deconstruct the ethnic identities of native Europeans...As such, the economic and political motivations behind demographic engineering need to be analyzed in terms of genocide."[21] Article II of the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide defines genocide as acts committed with intent to destroy, in whole or in part, a national, ethnical, racial or religious group in the following ways: Killing members of the group; Causing serious bodily or mental harm to members of the group; Deliberately inflicting on the group conditions of life calculated to bring about its physical destruction in whole or in part; Imposing measures intended to prevent births with the group; Forcibly transferring children of the group to another group.[22]

According to academic Raphael Lemkin: Genocide has two phases: one, destruction of the national pattern of the oppressed group; the other, the imposition of the national pattern of the oppressor. This imposition, in turn, may be made upon the oppressed population which is allowed to remain, or upon the territory alone, after removal of the population and colonization of the area by the oppressor's own nationals.[23] While the biological destruction of a distinct people is certainly genocide, genocide does not necessarily have to take on that character. It can also constitute the destruction of the "essential foundations" of a people, their distinct biological means and "patterns" for survival at the national level. This "national pattern" is replaced by another alien "national pattern" that is forced upon them by a hostile colonizing force

Clare Ellis writes that "In the Europe of today this can be understood in terms of chain migration (reverse colonization), and Islamization, Asianization, cosmopolitanization, Africanization. and Worldization."[24] These hostile phenomena are remarkably similar and arguably trace their lineage to the ideas of Kalergi who subscribed to the ideologies of Cosmopolitanism, Perpetual Peace, and World Federation. Additionally, his conceptualizations of Eurafrica and Eurabia, geopolitical blocs that would see Europe joined with nations in Africa and the Muslim world with the Mediterranean Sea as its central axis, certainly inspired government and non-governmental officials of the present day.[25] The Bureaucratic Imperative In addition to fulfilling ethnic in-group interests, ideological, and corporate interests, widespread unfettered immigration and irregular immigration from Africa and the Middle East also fulfill EUlevel bureaucratic interests.

In his MA Thesis, academic Adam Ludtke examines the complexities of establishing an EU level immigration policy in opposition to the nationalist interests of individual European Union states.[26] It stands to reason that both corporate managerial interests as well as public sector managerial interests have a vested interest in destroying bourgeois style small local nationalistic entities as per Sam Francis' monograph Leviathan & Its Enemies.[27] Overarching policies could more easily be implemented if nation-states were eliminated.

What better way to do away with nation-states than to replace their traditional founding peoples? Ludtke goes on to write that "The actual term "spillover", although it has never been adequately defined, ostensibly refers to the possibility that a wide range of immigration-related policy issues can eventually "spill over" into the EU's area of competence because of the radical political changes resulting from implementation of the single market

"[28] The genocide and effective replacement of native European peoples has several interrelated benefits for the Hostile Elites of Europe: their activities have consolidated their political power through the importation of a new voting class, they fulfill ethnic interests by doing away with native White Europeans (a hostile outgroup), it fulfills bureaucratic interests for entrenched bureaucracies at the supranational level (the level of the EU) and allied national bureaucracies; it also fulfills other bureaucratic welfare state big government interests.

What better managerial project than the replacement of one's own populace? It also fulfills corporate labour and consumer interests: what better way to insure a steady supply of cheap labour than the importation of a class of people conditioned to obey authoritarian rulers? And what better way to provide a mindless cohort of compliant consumers? Conclusion French New Right philosopher Guillaume Faye has categorized Europe's present dire predicament as a convergence of catastrophes, and his assessment is a correct one.

While the entirety of Europe's hostile bureaucratic elites are not all of the same ethnic in-group, it is safe to say that all enemy-allied native Europeans are acting in a similar manner. Their willingness to import millions of Arab and African migrants in an effort to replace their native populace is a genocidal activity couched in moral universalist terms. Not only do these actions conform with the vision set out by Richard Coudenhove-Kalergi, they were propelled many radical steps forward. The events we are witnessing in Europe today are the manifestation of his utopian vision although in practise the scheme has entered genocidal and apocalyptic territory.

While the entirety of Europe's hostile bureaucratic elites are not all of the same ethnic in-group, it is safe to say that all enemy-allied native Europeans are acting in a similar manner. Their willingness to import millions of Arab and African migrants in an effort to replace their native populace is a genocidal activity couched in moral universalist terms. Not only do these actions conform with the vision set out by Richard Coudenhove-Kalergi, they were propelled many radical steps forward. The events we are witnessing in Europe today are the manifestation of his utopian vision although in practise the scheme has entered genocidal and apocalyptic territory.

A simple hyperlink.

How George Soros was instrumental in his second European human genocide.

Peter Sutherland with his many connections in the global elite, the Vatican and the UN as Secretary for refugees was a driving force convincing the European governments of the importance of racial integration and the removal of both national borders and family adherence. He did untold damage to humanity during his lifetime but is now having to answer to his makers.

How George Soros was instrumental in his second European human genocide.



A simple hyperlink.

How George Soros was instrumental in his second European human genocide.

George Soros, already tainted with bloody hands as a young jew assisting the German Gestapo during WWII with the hunting out of his fellow Jews for deportation to the death camps and extermination. In later interviews he blatantly reveals his concience is not burdened by these facts, and exclaimed "If I didn´t do it, someone else would have". Soros, through his hundreds of foundations are adding fuel to the fire in many countries around the world, setting one faction up againt another, collecting the dividends of others death and misery.

His part and responsibility for the human tragedy of the ongoing human genocide in Europe is without a doubt one of the worst criminal acts in history. Not to forget the thousands of innocent immigrants from Africa, all of Muslim faith, which he intermittently tempted and threatened into life-threatening journeys across the Mediterranean where thousands of young men, woman and children met their untimely death by drowning.

IS THE EUROPEAN GENOCIDE AVOIDABLE?

A simple hyperlink.

The unfortunate bottom line is: THERE IS NO SOLUTION! With the millions of immigrants already innside the Scengen area, unable to work or contribute in any way for generations, and with a formation rate difference of 1.4 to 8 children, it is obvious to anyone who is still able to think for themselves, that our etchnicity and way of life will be gone in 20 years or so. Meantime we can look forward to increasing hatred and violence between ethnick Europeans and the intruding Muslim hordes.

Richard von Coudenhove-Kalergi plans for the Genocide of the European Peoples have, as carefully planned, come to perfect fruition!