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## Salinity variability makes estuaries unique

- Tidal and subtidal fluctuations in salinity are a unique feature of estuaries.
- Changes in salinity levels have a wide range of effects on the ecosystem.
- Oyster disease (Powell et al., 1992)
- Ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (Bernhard et al., 2005)
- Phytoplankton blooms (Gallegos and Jordan, 2002)
- Changes caused by climate change and other influences have the potential to influence estuarine salinity.
- Streamflow
- Sea level
- Wind stress
- Oceanic salinity

These variables have been measured throughout the Estuary

- Streamflow: USGS
- Salinity: USGS, NOAA/NOS
- Sea level: PSMSL (Woodworth and Player, 2003)
- Oceanic salinity: Gulf Stream Index (Taylor, 1995)
- Wind stress: North American Regional Reanalysis (Mesinger et al., 2006)


## Sea level is rising



## Streamflow is increasing



How is salinity responding?


## Influences can be determined with statistical models

- Multiple linear regression:

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(S_{i}\right)=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} Q_{i}+\beta_{2} H_{i}+\beta_{\text {Month }}
$$

## Influences can be determined with statistical models

Generalized additive mixed model:

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(S_{i}\right)=\beta_{0}+f_{Q}\left(Q_{i}\right)+f_{H}\left(H_{i}\right)+f_{M}\left(\text { Month }_{i}\right)
$$

- $f_{Q}(), f_{H}(), f_{M}()$ : Smooth functions that relate streamflow, sea level, and month to salinity.
$f_{Q}(), f_{H}(), f_{M}()$ : Smooth functions that relate streamflow, sea level, and month to salinity.

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(S_{i}\right)=\beta_{0}+f_{Q}\left(Q_{i}\right)+f_{H}\left(H_{i}\right)+f_{M}\left(\text { Month }_{i}\right)
$$





## The model handles autocorrelated errors

- Residual lag-1 autocorrelation is roughly 0.4.
- Among other effects, autocorrelation results in decreased degrees of freedom for the smooth functions.
- We assume an $\operatorname{AR}(1)$ autocorrelation model.

The model closely fits the observed salinity
Black: observed Reedy Island salinity. Green: modeled Reedy Island salinity.


- The fits upstream in the Estuary are not as good.


## Streamflow has the largest effect

Reedy Island Jetty


- The results are similar at the other locations.


## Sea level is also important

Reedy Island Jetty


## Sea level is also important

Other locations

Ship John Shoal


Fort Mifflin


Chester


Ben Franklin Bridge


## Alongshore wind stress may be important

Reedy Island Jetty


- Similar result at Ship John Shoal.
- Not significant at upstream locations.


## Oceanic salinity is not important

- Oceanic salinity does not have a significant effect at any location, and the signs of the slope of the modeled effect vary.
- This makes sense, since oceanic salinity probably only has an influence over longer time scales.


## Sea level will rise significantly

- For the A2 emissions scenario, Vermeer and Rahmstorf (2009) project that mean sea level in 2100 will be $0.98-1.55 \mathrm{~m}$ above 1990 MSL with a model mean of 1.24 m above 1990 MSL.
- At Atlantic City, this translates to sea level rising from 7.1 m to $8.3 \mathrm{~m}(8.0-8.6 \mathrm{~m})$.


## Salinity will increase as a result of sea level rise



## Streamflow changes are uncertain

- On average, models predict an increase in precipitation, leading to a $15 \pm 20 \%$ change in streamflow by the end of the century for the A2 scenario (Najjar et al., 2009).
- However, a warming of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ results in a $15-40 \%$ decrease in streamflow due to increased evapotranspiration (Najjar et al., 2009)
- We consider three scenarios:

1. $20 \%$ increase (to $540 \mathrm{~m}^{3} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ )
2. $20 \%$ decrease (to $360 \mathrm{~m}^{3} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ )
3. $45 \%$ decrease (to $247 \mathrm{~m}^{3} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ )

## Salinity responds weakly to streamflow change



## Summary

- Streamflow has the largest effect on monthly variability of salinity.
- The magnitude of the response to streamflow is relatively weak, so the long term trend in salinity may be dominated by sea level.
- Alongshore wind stress may be important, particularly downstream in the Estuary and Bay.
- Mean salinity will decrease slightly if mean streamflow increases and will increase slightly if mean streamflow decreases.
- Mean salinity will increase significantly if sea level rises as projected.
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