

The project I chose is to ESTIMATE THE GLOBAL MEAN TEMPERATURE OVER THE PAST DECADE. COMPARE WITH THE GLOBAL MEAN ESTIMATE FROM IPCC.

First is the selection of the met stations. We can take 3 or 30 or 300 or 3000 and take an average – what does that tell you? Especially when the temperatures vary over 24 hours and vary over the year.

I happen to be very interested in statistics and know that more info does not mean better info.

You take one Arctic, one equatorial, one Antarctic temp and you will tell me the average is nonsense. If I add one northern at the tropic of cancer and another at the tropic of Capricorn, 5, would you be happier.

Or should it be weighted towards where populations are.

That's my drift, so I picked 3 stations where populations are and represent equatorial, and 2 near the tropics. Tianjin, China and Sao Paulo, Brazil and Singapore. One in the north and one in the south, one in the east the other in the west. How representative can we be?

I attached 3 plots for Singapore, Shanghai and Sao Paulo with the url visible.

The 3 sets of total historical radiative forcings are identical – rising from about 1.0 to 1.5 W/m²

Well, there are 8 different projections with a 66% confidence level.

So I don't know which is the definitive one number that I am comparing with.

So no conclusion from me on this.

Ww

See next file on the 3 plots of Singapore, Tianjin and Sao Paulo