

Analyzing Assessments and Assignments

1. Do the question being assessed?	s align with			
		the stated standa	ords? Yes/No If r	not, which standards are
2. How many of th	e items foci	us on the Major W	ork of the Grade?	Out of how many?
3. What mathema	tical vocabu	llary are included	?	
4. Do the items as: items assess each?	-	tual understandir	ng, fluency/skill, o	r application? How many
5. Are the student On how many item	-	o show evidence,	explain their thinl	king, or provide a proof?
6 How many iten	ns are at eac	h level of the rigo	r matrix?	
	DOK 1	DOK 2	DOK 3	DOK 4
Remember			-	
Understand				
Apply				
Analyze				
Evaluate				

8.	What type of feedback is given to the students?
	Is there a quality issue with the items? (ie, Readability, unintended answers, may not eld valid evidence, other)

Practitioner's Guide

Question 1: What is the standard asking of students? Are the questions asking the same of the students?

Question 2: Does the assessment spend the majority of its focus on the major work of the grade? If not, why?

Question 3: Are there non-mathematical terms, for example, turn around fact instead of commutative property? Are the vocabulary words used appropriately?

Question 4: What does the standard call for, conceptual understanding, skill/fluency, application or a mixture? Is there an appropriate balance between conceptual understanding, skill/fluency, and application questions/problems?

Question 5: How often are students required to show evidence, explain their thinking, or provide a proof? What is the quality of the evidence, explanations, or proofs?

Question 6: Where do the questions fall on the rigor matrix? Is there a pattern?

Question 7: Identify opportunities where transfer exists. What real-life application will the question lead students to be able to accomplish?

Question 8: Would the student know what to work on next based on the feedback? Is the feedback specific and actionable?

Question 9: What quality issues exist?