

# STANDARDS-BASED GRADING: RIGHT FOR YOU?

Ben Hyman, [bjhyman@cps.edu](mailto:bjhyman@cps.edu)

Jill Tani, [jtani1@cps.edu](mailto:jtani1@cps.edu)

# SBG: The Big Picture



- Break a unit into distinct outcomes/standards (skills + concepts).
- Assess student understanding based on holistic portrait of that outcome.
  - ▣ We will tell you how this translates to a letter grade!
- Multiple opportunities to demonstrate understanding, as necessary for each student.

# SBG: One Perspective



- Our system has evolved in the last four years.
  - ▣ Now: Blended assessment, as opposed to 100% outcomes
- Disclaimer: We're not experts, we're just sharing our experiences.

# How blended grading works



- Outcomes: worth 65% of grade
  - ▣ Proficient – 1 pt per outcome
  - ▣ Not Yet Proficient – 0 points
- Synthesis: 35% of grade (we'll get to this)
- Final letter grade: 85 – 100% A, 72 – 84% B, 60 – 72% C, < 60% F; must also have passed 3/4 of all outcomes for that semester in order to pass

# Implementation



- First identify outcomes (opportunity to link to CCSS) – not just titles, but details.
- Then, distribute to students, so they know what we expect them to learn.

# Sample Outcome Handouts

## Algebra 1

### Chapter 5 Outcomes: Functions

*Note: Students need to be able to do most algebraic skills with and without their TI-Nspires.*

#	Sec.	Outcome	Proficient	✓
5a	5.4, 5.5	Evaluate functions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Given a function, evaluate for a given value</li><li>Basic operations on functions</li><li>Evaluate composition of functions</li><li>Determine x-value for value of function (e.g. Given <math>f(x) = 5</math>, find x.)</li></ul>	
5b	5.2, 5.10, 5.11	Write functions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Given a scenario, write a corresponding function</li><li>Represent a sequence using function notation</li></ul>	
5c	5.3, 5.6	Determine whether or not a relation is a function	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Determine if a graph, table, or description represents a function; justify your answer</li></ul>	
5d	5.5	Identify the domain of a function	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Identify the domain (all possible inputs) of a function</li></ul>	

# Sample Outcome Handouts

## Geometry

### Chapter 2 Outcomes: Congruence and Proof

#	Sec.	Outcome	Proficient
2b	2.4-2.6	Identify and use congruent triangles.	<p>Given a pair of triangles:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Determine whether they are congruent;</li><li>• Write a congruence statement using correct notation;</li><li>• Identify the postulate or theorem justifying congruence;</li><li>• Deduce further truths based on triangle congruence.</li></ul> <p>Explain why (or give a counterexample showing) AAA and SSA do not guarantee congruence.</p>
2c	2.6-2.7	Know and use relationships between angles formed by parallel lines.	<p>Given a pair of parallel lines and one or more transversals,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Describe the relationship (if any) between a given pair of angles;</li><li>• Identify angles corresponding to a given relationship (e.g. same-side interior angles), using correct vocabulary;</li><li>• Determine which angles are congruent.</li></ul> <p>Use angle relationships to determine whether lines are parallel. Given a figure with parallel lines, find measures of missing angles.</p>

# Quizzes & Boosts



- Every outcome is equally weighted.
- “Boosting” is central to the outcomes process.
- Grading individual assessments
  - ▣ Can the student complete the outcome reliably?

# Sample Geometry Quiz

---



Microsoft Office  
Word Document

# Synthesis



- Rather than unit tests, we have synthesis tasks.
- Students are faced with novel tasks, and must determine how to combine previously learned concepts (outcomes).
- These can also look similar to traditional tests.

# Homework



- Department philosophy: “You don’t get credit for daily homework.”
- What does that mean for outcomes?
- What are the resulting effects?

# Pros & Cons

## **Hypothetical Pros** *(in the ideal case)*

- Students encouraged to persevere
- Building metacognition
- Showing mastery at your own pace
- Challenge opportunities from synthesis

## **Actual Cons** *(these happened!)*

- Teacher workload
- Record-keeping
- Showing mastery at your own pace
- Parent + student confusion

# Helpful ingredients



- Course planning teams
- A collaborative department
- Time built in to day

# Specific Cases



- **Student A:** Student who would unquestionably have failed
- **Student B:** Student who wouldn't have mastered anything, but has enough partial understanding to scrape together a 'C' in a normal model
- **Student C:** Students who had been pulling easy A's

# Years 1 - 2



- Outcomes only, no synthesis
- Point scale for outcomes
  - ▣ Not Yet Proficient – 0 points
  - ▣ Proficient – 2 pts
  - ▣ High Performing – 3 pts

# Questions?



- Ben Hyman, [bjhyman@cps.edu](mailto:bjhyman@cps.edu)
- Jill Tani, [jtani1@cps.edu](mailto:jtani1@cps.edu)