

-----Original Message-----

From: nuccomm@songs.sce.com

Sent: Thursday, February 23, 2017 2:21 PM

To: NUCCOMM <nuccomm@songs.sce.com>

Subject: New CEP Message

This is an automated email response from Community Engagement Panel Date Sent: 2/23/2017

First Name: Vinod

Last Name: Arora

Email address: [REDACTED]

City: Anaheim Hills

State: CA

Message: Why did SCE close San Onofre?

NRC Region IV AIT, SCE and their Global Consultants and MHI were not able to answer the following questions posed by NRC Atomic Safety Licensing Board

1. What caused the Tube Leak in San Onofre Unit 3 Replacement Steam Generators? and 2. Was Unit 2 Safe at 70% Power?

In addition, SCE and MHI were unable to agree on a repair plan to fix/rebuild the damaged Units 2 & 3 NRC Licensable replacement steam generators at 100% power to prevent in-plane fluid elastic instability or the potential of cascading tube ruptures.

So with the SONGS settlement framework in his back pocket, Ted Craver announced the retirement of Units 2 & 3 after a call to the Governor to take the high road blaming NRC for regulatory delays and MHI for design flaws and incorrect computer modeling.

ARORA's Testimony is essential and crucial for CPUC and CEP to sort out the facts this time to prevent further mistakes. Only an insider like ARORA can give CPUC and CEP the facts, which have been kept secret by SCE and MHI from CPUC and Ratepayers.