Hello again Esther. Just wanted to say thank you for distributing my personal letter to CEP Chair David Victor last night prior to the meeting, to other CEP Panel members, speakers from NRC, and SCE. Mr. David acknowledged my letter, and indicated it would be would be included in public record.

Since the CEP Meeting Agenda was packed with relevant topics, it was impressive that CEP Chair Victor kept the Meeting organized and on schedule last night in San Juan Capistrano. There was a long way to go (distance), and a short time to get there (9:00 pm) before the meeting was adjourned.

Generally, it seemed CEP’s Public Meeting was mostly productive, and it’s outcome seemed better than usual, at least to me?

I hope, and expect, my perception is similar that of other stakeholders.

A renewed SCE commitment was welcomed by the public, to reflect Licensee’s pledge to improve outreach efforts by performing a number of additional followup tasks, which were specifically defined by CEP Panel. CEP Panel agreed that Licensee response materials should be agendized as topics at future CEP Meetings. SCE confirmed that CEP Meetings are still expected to continue. SCE confirmed that California Energy Commission is expected to Agendize a CEP Topic for their next Commission meeting in San Francisco, on May 17, 2015 about San Onfore (SONGS 2 & 3) Decommissioning Plans, and pending permit approvals by various public agencies.

SCE also confirmed that Licensee would continue to provide 100% funding for Emergency Plans onsite, until 2019. At that point (currently projected in 2019), SCE expects Emergency Plan funding, and performance scope will be reduced, but fully maintained at an appropriate level of security and monitoring, to ensure an equivalent level for ongoing public health and safety will be maintained, and safety margins will not be reduced, or threatened.

Stakeholders strongly support Chair David Victor's request that Licensee also prepare an additional time sequence projection - to reflect an ongoing 'evergreen calendar' for projected benchmark milestones completed, and that it be routinely updated at least monthly on status updates, and to prepare an ongoing,calendar to reflect future CEP Meeting(s), and any relevant NRC public comment deadlines on Rulemakings, or any relevant NRC Commission Meetings pertaining to Decommissioning Plans, Waste Storage, Cask Designs, Advice Letters, pending interim policy guidance issues.

I have not had opportunity to view any newspaper articles about last night’s CEP Meeting, from papers circulated in Orange County, LA County, San Diego, or Riverside County. As a remote viewer of the CEP’s webcast (with live meeting broadcast online), I noticed there were were many mainstream media members present at the meeting, so stakeholders would welcome any comprehensive media coverage from last night’s CEP Panel meeting in San Juan Capistrano.
My primary purpose in today's email, is to extend thanks for your consideration, and courtesy to distribute my personal letter to CEP Panel, in advance of the meeting. Since I viewed the website live broadcast remotely from Escondido, your assistance was certainly a vital necessity for me. Generally, it seemed that the take-away from CEP Panel Meeting was that new options, for potential state, or regional Consolidated Interim Waste Storage facilities might be examined further by Licensee, and advanced as new Agenda Topic for Next CEP Panel Meeting in May 2015. SCE also confirmed that the application for submittal to California Coastal Commission is currently being undertaken.

It seemed reassurances and agreed upon follow up tasks defined by CEP Panel provided an improved 'comfort level' among stakeholders, that was welcome. Again, I hope my perception is consistent with public perceptions by other stakeholders, and if it is not, I hope SCE will inform me. I am hopeful also, that CEP Panel's future meeting(s) will remain on-track.