First Three Years: Where We Are and Where We Are Headed

CEP Perspective
CEP Goals

• Two-way conduit of communication
• Focused on topics of central importance to the communities
• Public education and understanding
Public Dialogue

Dialogue with recognized experts and leaders

Presented by: Tim Brown

Logos of various organizations:
- U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (US.NRC)
- Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC)
- Bipartisan Policy Center
- U.S. Department of Energy
- Beacon Economics
- Union of Concerned Scientists
- Areva
- Holtec International
- Edlow International Company
- California State Lands Commission
- RWMA
- Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future
Advancing CIS

A central goal that has emerged over the last 3 years: **Safely removing used fuel from San Onofre**

- Key elements for advancing CIS

- **Building support at local and state levels**

- **Bolster support among federal legislators**

- **Expedite state level transportation planning**

Presented by: Glenn Pascall
CEP Discussion

Strategic Plan: Advocating for Federal Legislation to Enable Consolidated Interim Storage (CIS)
Priorities for the Next Three Years
Federal Legislation

Track Bills for 2017 Reintroduction

• Interim Consolidated Storage Act of 2015 HR 3643
  • Rep. Conaway (R-TX)
  • Rep. Issa (R-CA)

• Nuclear Waste Administration Act of 2015 S 854
  • Sen. Feinstein (D-CA)
  • Sen. Alexander (R-TN)
Used Fuel Transportation

• Transportation routes and community input
• State strategy for transportation planning
• Roles / coordination among federal agencies
Defense-in-Depth

• Continued routine updates from SCE
• SCE / industry / vendor progress on aging management programs
• Translating technical literature into English
• Helping communities how technology is evolving DID
Environmental Stewardship

• Continued updates on CEQA process
• Department of the Navy role in NEPA process
• Routine updates on SONGS marine mitigation
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Communities Perspective
Sara Kaminske
Interjurisdictional Planning Committee Chair &
OC Sheriff Emergency Management
Dan Dominguez
Utility Workers Union of America
Local 246
Charles Langley
San Clemente Green
Known Faults Exceed Design Basis for 7.0 Earthquake
Complaints of Safety Problems at U.S. Nuclear Power Plants
from All Sources External to the NRC
January 2011 - October 2011

San Onofre - continues worst safety record of all 104 U.S. Reactors!
Date: Wednesday 2/3/2010
Time: 1200
Room: D4A
Subject: NRC Telephonic Exit Meeting for November 2009 Focused PI&R Inspection

This morning, I contacted NRC inspector Michelle Catts to obtain details on the information she plans to present at today's telephonic exit meeting. The information is summarized below.

Focus Group Interviews / NRC Allegation Statistics

Ms. Catts will note that during the Focus Group Interviews conducted as part of theNuclear Safety Concerns Program, the following results were obtained:

- 25% expressed fear of retaliation for raising concerns to the NRC.
- A large percentage indicated that the Nuclear Safety Concerns Program and did not have an understanding of how to use it.
- A significant number of respondents indicated SAP is difficult to use and they did not receive the support they needed to use it.
- A percentage indicated it is difficult to get feedback on the status of Nuclear Notifications, and there are times when multiple NNs have to be generated in order to get a problem properly resolved.
- With respect to the Human Performance Tools (i.e., the Blue Book), many interviewees indicated the Pre-Job Brief is the most valuable tool, but that the remaining portions of the Blue Book do not help employees in performing their jobs.
Excerpts from anonymous letter sent to SCG on May 16, 2016

Obviously, I wish to remain anonymous. I would like to continue to work at SONGS

Issue 1- $80 M exchanging hands for no apparent gain

You have to wonder if the approximately 50 million in equipment and the 30 million in termination fees were attractive enough to tilt the bid evaluation to Holtec vs AREVA given that there is no clear advantage (semiburied) for the Holtec design and appears to have some disadvantages (nearness to water table, this design is not currently licensed for SONGS, etc).

Issue 2- Damaged fuel may have been improperly loaded into dry casks

The real issue is that fuel is experiencing additional damage/degradation while in spent fuel pool storage and potentially in dry cask storage. Additionally, if the fuel is not considered damaged/failed, it is not placed in a damaged fuel can in the dry storage container prior to being stored in the ISFSI.
In summary, the NRC has determined that the information does not describe an impropriety or inadequacy associated with NRC-regulated activities. Therefore, the NRC plans no further action regarding that information.

If you would like to discuss the issues contained in the letter in greater detail, please contact me at the phone number provided below.

V/r


Jesse M. Rollins
Senior Allegation Coordinator, Allegation Coordination and Enforcement Staff
US. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region IV
1600 E. Lamar Blvd
Arlington, TX 76011
817-892-9677, Ext. 1245
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Panel Discussion with CEP
BREAK

Information Booths Available
CEP General Updates
CEP Membership

Thank you for your service!

John Alpay
Capistrano Unified School District Board of Trustees

Carlos Olvera
City of Dana Point
2017 CEP Potential Topics

- Scripps Seismic Study
- Decommissioning General Contractor Introduction
  - Background, scope, and performance period
- Department of Energy Report on Consent-Based Siting
  - Bipartisan Policy Center Perspective
- Consolidated Interim Storage (CIS)
  - Advocacy
    - Briefings by Waste Control Specialists, Eddy-Lea Energy Alliance
    - Transportation Planning
- Aging Management Programs / Defense in Depth
- Environmental Reviews – CEQA Update
- ISFSI Offload and Spent Fuel Pools
- Department of the Navy
  - NEPA Review Timing, Leases
Decommissioning San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station

CEP Discussion
Public Comment

Submit written comments to:

nuccomm@songs.sce.com
Join us in 2017!
Meeting schedule will be posted on

www.SONGScommunity.com