

First Three Years: Where We Are and Where We Are Headed

CEP Perspective

CEP Goals

- Two-way conduit of communication
- Focused on topics of central importance to the communities
- Public education and understanding

Public Dialogue

Dialogue with recognized experts and leaders



NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL



BIPARTISAN POLICY CENTER



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF
ENERGY



[Union of
Concerned Scientists
Science for a healthy planet and safer world



CALIFORNIA
ENERGY COMMISSION



California
STATE LANDS
Commission




BLUE RIBBON COMMISSION
ON AMERICA'S NUCLEAR FUTURE

Advancing CIS

A central goal that has emerged over the last 3 years:
Safely removing used fuel from San Onofre

- Key elements for advancing CIS



CEP Discussion

*Strategic Plan: Advocating for Federal
Legislation to Enable Consolidated Interim
Storage (CIS)*

Priorities

for the

Next Three Years

Federal Legislation

Track Bills for 2017 Reintroduction

- Interim Consolidated Storage Act of 2015 HR 3643
 - Rep. Conaway (R-TX)
 - Rep. Issa (R-CA)
- Nuclear Waste Administration Act of 2015 S 854
 - Sen. Feinstein (D-CA)
 - Sen. Alexander (R-TN)

Used Fuel Transportation

- Transportation routes and community input
- State strategy for transportation planning
- Roles / coordination among federal agencies

Defense-in-Depth

- Continued routine updates from SCE
- SCE / industry / vendor progress on aging management programs
- Translating technical literature into English
- Helping communities how technology is evolving DID

Environmental Stewardship

- Continued updates on CEQA process
- Department of the Navy role in NEPA process
- Routine updates on SONGS marine mitigation

**First Three Years: Where We Are and
Where We Are Headed**

Communities Perspective


Sara Kaminske

*Interjurisdictional Planning Committee Chair
&
OC Sheriff Emergency Management*

Dan Dominguez

*Utility Workers Union of America
Local 246*

Charles Langley
San Clemente Green




Known Faults Exceed Design Basis for 7.0 Earthquake

Complaints of Safety Problems at U.S. Nuclear Power Plants

from All Sources External to the NRC*

January 2011 - October 2011





MIKE
MCBREARTY/SONGS/SCE/EI
X

02/03/2010 09:39 AM

To: Ross Ridenoure/SONGS/SCE/EIX@SCE, Al
Hochevar/SONGS/SCE/EIX@SCE, Doug
Bauder/SONGS/SCE/EIX@SCE, Bruce

cc

bcc

Subject: NRC Final Debrief for today's Focussed PI&R Telephonic
Exit Meeting

Date: Wednesday 2/3/2010

Time: 1200

Room: D4A

Subject: NRC Telephonic Exit Meeting for November 2009 Focussed PI&R Inspection

This morning, I contacted NRC Inspector Michelle Catts to obtain details on the information she plans to present at today's telephonic exit meeting. The information is summarized below.

Focus Group Interviews / NRC Allegation Statistics

Ms. Catts will note that during the Focus Group Interviews conducted, the following results were obtained:

- 25% expressed fear of retaliation
- A large percentage of interviewees did not receive training on the Nuclear Safety Concerns Program and did not receive a copy of the program.
- Interviewees indicated SAP is difficult to use and they did not receive adequate training on SAP.
- Interviewees indicated it is difficult to get feedback on the status of Nuclear Notifications. There are times when multiple NNs have to be generated in order to get a problem properly resolved.
- With respect to the Human Performance Tools (i.e., the Blue Book), many interviewees indicated the Pre-Job Brief is the most valuable tool, but that the remaining portions of the Blue Book do not help employees in performing their jobs.

25% expressed fear of retaliation for raising concerns to the NRC.



Excerpts from anonymous letter sent to SCG on May 16, 2016

Obviously, I wish to remain anonymous. I would like to continue to work at SONGS

Issue 1- \$80 M exchanging hands for no apparent gain

You have to wonder if the approximately 50 million in equipment and the 30 million in termination fees were attractive enough to tilt the bid evaluation to Holtec vs AREVA given that there is no clear advantage (semiburied) for the Holtec design and appears to have some disadvantages (nearness to water table, this design is not currently licensed for SONGS, etc).

Issue 2- Damaged fuel may have been improperly loaded into dry casks

The real issue is that fuel is experiencing additional damage/degradation while in spent fuel pool : storage and potentially in dry cask storage. Additionally, if the fuel is not considered damaged/failed, it is not placed in a damaged fuel can in the dry storage container prior to being stored in the ISFSI.



R4ALLEGATION Resource <R4ALLEGATION.Resource@nrc.gov>

Jul 14 ★



to me, Greg ▾

Dear Sir,

The NRC has reviewed the information you provided to Mr. Greg Warnick on June 23, 2016.

In summary, the NRC has determined that the information does not describe an impropriety or inadequacy associated with NRC-regulated activities. Therefore, the NRC plans no further action regarding that information.

If you would like to discuss the issues contained in the letter in greater detail, please contact me at the phone number provided below.

V/r



Jesse M. Rollins

Senior Allegation Coordinator, Allegation Coordination and Enforcement Staff
US. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region IV
1600 E. Lamar Blvd
Arlington, TX 76011
[800-952-9677](tel:800-952-9677), Ext. 1245

**First Three Years: Where We Are and
Where We Are Headed**

Panel Discussion with CEP

BREAK

Information Booths Available

CEP General Updates

CEP Membership

Thank you for your service!

John Alpay

Capistrano Unified School District Board of Trustees

Carlos Olvera

City of Dana Point



Decommissioning
San Onofre
Nuclear Generating Station

2017 CEP Potential Topics

- **Scripps Seismic Study**
- **Decommissioning General Contractor Introduction**
 - Background, scope, and performance period
- **Department of Energy Report on Consent-Based Siting**
 - Bipartisan Policy Center Perspective
- **Consolidated Interim Storage (CIS)**
 - **Advocacy**
 - Briefings by Waste Control Specialists, Eddy-Lea Energy Alliance
 - Transportation Planning
- Aging Management Programs / Defense in Depth
- Environmental Reviews – CEQA Update
- ISFSI Offload and Spent Fuel Pools
- Department of the Navy
 - NEPA Review Timing, Leases

1Q
2017



Decommissioning San Onofre

Nuclear Generating Station

CEP Discussion

Public Comment

Submit written comments to:
nuccomm@songs.sce.com

SAN ONOFRE
COMMUNITY
ENGAGEMENT
PANEL

Join us in 2017 !

Meeting schedule will be posted on

www.SONGScommunity.com