ORDINANCE NO. 01-78 AC CMS

AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO SUBMIT AN APPLICATION TO THE DISTRICT 9 PUBLIC WORKS INTEGRATING COMMITTEE FOR ISSUE II PUBLIC WORKS PROJECTS FOR THE CITY OF OBERLIN, OHIO, AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY

BE IT ORDAINED by the Council of the City of Oberlin, County of Lorain, State of Ohio, five-sevenths (5/7ths) of all members elected thereto concurring:

SECTION 1. That the City Manager is hereby authorized and directed to prepare and file an application with the District 9 Public Works Integrating Committee for Issue II Public Works funds for the following project to be undertaken in the 2002 program year:

Street Resurfacing Program

- North Park Street (Lorain to High School)
- North Pleasant Street (High School to Maple)
- . , Maple Street (Main to Pleasant)

SECTION 2. That the City of Oberlin hereby agrees to provide local fund matches for said Issue II projects, if funded by the State.

SECTION 3. It is hereby found and determined that all formal actions of this Council concerning or relating to the adoption of this ordinance were adopted in an open meeting of this Council, and that all deliberations of this Council and of any of its committees that resulted in such formal action, were in meetings open to the public in compliance with all legal requirements, including Section 121.22 of the Ohio Revised Code.

SECTION 4. That this ordinance is hereby declared to be an emergency measure necessary for the preservation of the public health and safety of the citizens of the City of Oberlin, Ohio, to wit:

"in order to complete the application in a timely manner", and shall take effect immediately upon passage.

.PASSED:

1st Reading - September 4, 2001 (E)

2nd Reading -

3rd Reading -

ATTEST:

CLERK OF COUNCIL

CHAIR OF COUNCIL

POSTED: September 5, 2001

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 5, 2001

g:/ORD01-78issue2

APPLICATION FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE Revised 4/99

IMPORTANT: <u>Please consul</u> for assistance in completion	t the "Instructions for of this form.	r Completing the	Project Application"	•.
SUBDIVISION:		CODE	# 093-57834	
DISTRICT NUMBER: 9	COUNTY:Lo	rainDA	ATE <u>9/6/</u> Δ1.	
CONTACT: Teff Banmar	PH(ONE # (A40) 7	15_7204 (THE PROJECT TO CAN BEST ANSWER OR	·
FAX: (440) 775-7208	:)			
FAX: (440) 7/5-7208	E-14	INIT PRINTER OFFI		
PROJECT NAME: Res	urfacing of Maple	, N. Pleasant,	& N. Park St.	•
	FUNDING TYPE	PEOITETTO	PROJECT TYPE	•
SUBDIVISION TYPE (Check Only 1)	(Check All Requested & Ent	er Amoquil)	(Check Largest Component)	
1.County	<u>x</u> 1. Grant \$		_x 1.Road	
	2. Loan 🎁	· · ·	2.Bridge/Culve	irt
3.Township	3. Loan Assist	ance\$	3.Water Supply	<i>7</i>
4.Village			4.Wastewater	
5.Water/Sanitary District	· - -	· •	5.Solid Waste	•
(Section 6119 or 6117 (•	_ 6.Stormwater	
TOTAL PROJECT COST: \$_ Total Issue 2 Basis of To be	DISTRICT RECO	MMENDATION		
GRANT: \$	LO	AN ASSISTANCE:	\$	
SCIP LOAN: \$	RATE:	% TERM:	vrs.	•
RLP LOAN: \$	RATE:	% TERM:	vrs.	
(Check Only 1)				
State Capital Improveme	ent Program	Sma	ll Government Progra	ші
Local Transportation Im				
	FOR OPWC	USE ONLY		He Second Transfer or
PROJECT NUMBER: C	/ C	APPROVED FU	INDING: \$	
Local Participation			Rate:	%
OPWC Participation		Loan Term:		years
Project Release Date:		Maturity Date	- 	
		Date Approve		<u> </u>
OPWC Approval:		SCIP Loan	RLP Loan	

1.0 PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

1.1	PROJECT ESTIMATED COSTS: (Round to Nearest Dollar)			Force Accoun Dollars
		TOTAL DOLLA	RS	•
a.)	Basic Engineering Services:	\$ <u>24.430</u> .:	.00	24,430
	Preliminary Design \$_1,160.00 Final Design \$_111.640.00 Bidding \$_1,160.00 Construction Phase \$_10,476.00			
	Additional Engineering Services *Identify services and costs below.	\$ <u>3,490</u>	_,00_	
b.)	Acquisition Expenses: Land and/or Right of Way	\$	_,00_	
c.)	Construction Costs:	\$ <u>232,740</u>	.00	<u> </u>
d .)	Equipment Purchased Directly:	\$.00	
e.)	Permits, Advertising, Legal: (Or Interest Costs for Loan Assistance Applications Only)	\$	<u>.00.</u>	
f.)	Construction Contingencies:	\$	<u>.00</u>	
g.)	TOTAL ESTIMATED COSTS:	\$ <u>232.740</u>	.00_	
	t Additional Engineering Services here: vice:	Cost:		
74 s	terial Mostins	\$3.490.00	•	

1.2	PROJECT FINANCIAL RESOURCES
•	(Round to Nearest Dollar and Percent)

		· Dort the	
a.)	Local In-Kind Contributions	DOLLARS \$ 27,920 .00	% —
b.)	Local Revenues	\$ <u>60,510</u> _ 00	_26
c.)	Other Public Revenues		
	ODOT	\$ <u>.00</u>	
	Rural Development	\$	
	OEPA ¹	\$	<u> </u>
	OWDA	\$.00	·
	CDBG	\$	
	OTHER	\$	
	SUBTOTAL LOCAL RESOURCES:	\$_60.510 .00	26
d.)	OPWC Funds		
•	1. Grant	\$ <u>172,230</u> .00	<u>74</u>
	2. Loan	\$ <u>.00_</u>	
•	3. Loan Assistance	\$00	
	SUBTOTAL OPWC FUNDS:	\$ <u>172,23000</u>	74
e.)	TOTAL FINANCIAL RESOURCES:	\$ <u>232,740</u> .00	<u>_100%</u>

1.3 AVAILABILITY OF LOCAL FUNDS:

Attach a statement signed by the <u>Chief Financial Officer</u> listed in section 5.2 certifying <u>all local share</u> funds required for the project will be available on or before the earliest date listed in the Project Schedule section.

ODOT PID#	Sale Date:
STATUS: (Check one)	
Traditional	<u> </u>
Local Plannin	g Agency (LPA)
State Infrastr	ucture Bank

	PROJECT NAME: Resurfacing of Maple, N. Pleasant, & N. Park St
	BRIEF PROJECT DESCRIPTION - (Sections A through C): A: SPECIFIC LOCATION:
•	Maple St from Main to Pleasant N. Pleasant St from High School Drive to Maple N. Park St from E. Lorain to High School (All are school routes)
	PROJECT ZIP CODE: 44074
	B: PROJECT COMPONENTS:
	Resurfacing, selected curb replacements, storm sewer replacements, and waterline replacement (N. Park St.)
٠.	
	C: PHYSICAL DIMENSIONS:
	No change from existing street widths and lengths.
	D: DESIGN SERVICE CAPACITY:
	Detail current service capacity versus proposed service level.
	Residential and School Access Streets
	Road or Bridge: Current ADT Year: Projected ADT: Year:
	Road or Bridge: Current ADT Year: Projected ADT: Year: Year:
	Water/Wastewater: Based on monthly usage of 7,756 gallons per household, attack current rate ordinance. Current Residential Rate: \$Proposed Rate: \$
	Stormwater: Number of households served:
•	2.3 USEFUL LIFE/COST ESTIMATE: Project Useful Life: 15 Years

7 / 1 **//** <u>1</u> 12

12/08/02

5.0 PROJECT OFFICIALS:

Construction:

Right-of-Way/Land Acquisition:

4.3

4.4

5.1	CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER	Robert Dispirito
	TITLE	City Manager
	STREET	85 S. Main Street
	CITY/ZIP	Oberlin, OH 44074
	PHONE	(440) 1775 34 1531
	FAX	(440 <u>) 775 7208 </u>
	E-MAIL	citymanager@oberlin.net
5.2	CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER	Salvatore Talarico City Auditor
	STREET	69 S. Main Street
	CITY/ZIP PHONE	Oberlin, OH 44074 (440) 775 - 7211
	FAX.	(440) <u>775</u> - <u>7208</u>
	E-MAIL	stalarico@cityofoberlin.com
5.3	PROJECT MANAGER TITLE STREET	Jeff Baumann Interim Public Works Director 85 S. Main Street
	CITY/ZIP	Oberlin, OH 44074
•	PHONE	(440) <u>775</u> - <u>7204</u>
	FAX	(440)
	E-MAIL	publicworks@oberlin.net

Changes in Project Officials must be submitted in writing from the CEO.

^{*} Failure to meet project schedule may result in termination of agreement for approved projects. Modification of dates must be requested in writing by the CEO of record and approved by the commission once the Project Agreement has been executed. The project schedule should be planned around receiving a Project Agreement on or about July 1st.

6.0 ATTACHMENTS/COMPLETENESS REVIEW:

Confirm in the blocks [] below that each item listed is attached.

- [a] A certified copy of the legislation by the governing body of the applicant authorizing a designated official to sign and submit this application and execute contracts. This individual should sign under 7.0, Applicant Certification, below.
- [>] A certification signed by the applicant's chief financial officer stating all local share funds required for the project will be available on or before the dates listed in the Project Schedule section. If the application involves a request for loan (RLP or SCIP), a certification signed by the CFO which identifies a specific revenue source for repaying the loan also must be attached. Both certifications can be accomplished in the same letter.
- [1] A registered professional engineer's detailed cost estimate and useful life statement, as required in 164-1-13, 164-1-14, and 164-1-16 of the Ohio Administrative Code. Estimates shall contain an engineer's <u>original seal or stamp and signature</u>.
- [$^{\downarrow h}$] A cooperation agreement (if the project involves more than one subdivision or district) which identifies the fiscal and administrative responsibilities of each participant.
- Projects which include new and expansion components <u>and</u> potentially affect productive farmland should include a statement evaluating the potential impact. If there is a potential impact, the Governor's Executive Order 98-VII and the OPWC Farmland Preservation Review Advisory apply.
- [v] Capital Improvements Report: (Required by O.R.C. Chapter 164.06 on standard form)
- [i] Supporting Documentation: Materials such as additional project description, photographs, economic impact (temporary and/or full time jobs likely to be created as a result of the project), accident reports, impact on school zones, and other information to assist your district committee in ranking your project. Be sure to include supplements which may be required by your local District Public Works Integrating Committee.

7.0 APPLICANT CERTIFICATION:

The undersigned certifies: (1) he/she is legally authorized to request and accept financial assistance from the Ohio Public Works Commission as identified in the attached legislation; (2) to the best of his/her knowledge and belief, all representations that are part of this application are true and correct; (3) all official documents and commitments of the applicant that are part of this application have been duly authorized by the governing body of the applicant; and, (4) should the requested financial assistance be provided, that in the execution of this project, the applicant will comply with all assurances required by Ohio Law, including those involving Buy Ohio and prevailing wages.

Applicant certifies that physical construction on the project as defined in the application has NOT begun, and will not begin until a Project Agreement for this project has been executed with the Ohio Public Works Commission. Action to the contrary will result in termination of the agreement and withdrawal of Ohio Public Works Commission funding from the project.

Certifying Representative (Type or Print Name and Title)

Original Signature Date Signed



THE OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION 65 East State Street, Suite 312, Columbus, Ohio 43215-4213

COMMISSIONERS

Chair -Deanna Hill Vice Chair -Roger R. Geiger John W. Kessler John A. McGory James F. Mears Peggy D. Reis Steven E. Stivers DIRECTOR
W. Laurence Bicking

RECEIVED

May 1, 2001

APR 30 2001

Kenneth P. Carney, Sr. 247 Hadaway Street Elyria, OH 44035ESALAR ST. P. PAGE

Re: Program Year 2002 Allocations and Program Guidelines

Dear Mr. Carney

During Program Year 2002 the Ohio Public Works Commission will allocate a minimum of \$176,436,000 to the nineteen Public Works Districts for State Capital Improvement Program and Local Transportation Improvement Program projects. This figure includes anticipated bond proceeds, and gasoline taxes but does not include unearned interest income or any unused balances from prior years being carried forward by the district.

The preliminary allocation for District #9 includes \$4,412,000 in State Capital Improvement Program funds, \$2,705,000 in Local Transportation Improvement Program funds, and \$525,000 in Revolving Loan Program funds for a total of \$7,642,000. District allocations vary from previous years due to population changes reported in the 2000 Census and the uncertainty of interest earnings and gasoline tax receipts.

Your preliminary allocation will be adjusted to include actual interest earnings and gasoline tax receipts as of July 1, 2002 which is the date legislative appropriation should be in place for Program Year 2002.

We are requiring you to submit applications in the amount of 115% of your preliminary allocation to allow those that can be funded by the adjustment to your allocation to be released along with those supported by your preliminary allocation. In addition, you must provide the Commission a list of every project application received by the district, including its priority ranking, total score, and amount of funding requested.

You may submit your district's recommended projects as early as Wednesday January 2, 2002, but no later than Friday March 29, 2002. Small Government Program proposals must be included with your submittal.

If your district is prepared to submit its recommendations prior to January 2, 2002 please contact your Program Representative. Early submittal will be considered on a case by case basis depending on the Commission's work load.

As in the past district submissions will be reviewed and processed on a first-in, first-out basis. Our ability to quickly review district recommendations depends on fully documented and approvable applications being submitted. An early submittal will assure that project agreements can be executed on or shortly after July 1, 2002.

Application procedures will be similar to prior years.

We are enclosing a summary of all district allocations and guidelines for district submissions.

Sincerely,

W. Laurence Bicking

Director ·

cc: John Hamilton

THE OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION

May 1, 2001

PROGRAM YEAR - 2002 Allocations

The Ohio Public Works Commission will allocate a minimum of \$176,436,000 to the nineteen Public Works Districts for State Capital Improvement Program and Local Transportation Improvement Program projects in Program Year 02. This figure includes anticipated bond proceeds, revolving loan fund allocations, and gasoline taxes but does not include unearned interest income or any unused balances from prior years being carried forward by the district.

This is a preliminary allocation, therefore District allocations will vary from previous years due to population changes reported in the 2000 Census and the uncertainty of future interest earnings and gasoline tax receipts.

District preliminary allocations will be adjusted to include actual interest earnings, revolving loan fund balances, and gasoline tax receipts as of July 1, 2002 which is the date legislative appropriation for the Program Year should be in place.

Districts are required to submit project applications in the amount of 115% of their preliminary allocation to allow those projects that can be funded by the adjustment to the district's allocation to be released along with those supported by their preliminary allocation. In addition, districts must submit a list of every project application received by the district, including its priority ranking, total score, and amount of funding requested.

Districts may submit their recommended projects as early as Wednesday January 2, 2002, but no later than Friday March 29, 2002. Small Government Program proposals must be included with the district submittal.

As in the past district submissions will be reviewed and processed on a first-in, first-out basis. Our ability to quickly review district recommendations depends on fully documented and approvable applications being submitted.

An early submittal will assure that project agreements can be executed on or shortly after July 1, 2002.

Application procedures will be similar to prior years.

OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION

Program Year 2002 Guidelines

District project recommendations may be submitted to the Commission as early as Wednesday January 2, 2002, but no later than Friday March 29, 2002. Small Government Program proposals must be included with the district submittal.

By July 1, 2001 each district must provide the Commission with the following materials:

- The project selection and ranking process that will be used by the district as required by Section 164.06 of the Revised Code for the Commission's review and approval. Districts are to provide each subdivision planning to submit an application a copy of the selection and ranking process in order that they may determine the competitiveness of their project(s).
- -A schedule of the district's work plan including committee and sub-committee deadlines for receiving and evaluating applications.
- -An updated roster of its District Committee, Executive Committee and <u>all sub-committees</u> including addresses, and phone numbers. Additionally, the Commission must be notified of all committee and sub-committee meeting dates, times and locations.

All applications submitted to the Ohio Public Works Commission for funding by the District Integrating Committees shall conform to the following guidelines and requirements. Incomplete project applications or documentation to support District recommendations will result in delayed processing and, if significantly out of compliance with program requirements, returned to the District. District submissions must include:

- -An application packet for each project recommended for funding including:
 a.) a complete application form, b.) the District's project rating sheet, c.)the subdivision's updated Capital Improvements Report and, d.) required certifications and signatures.
- -A list of every project application received by the District including its priority ranking, total score and amount of funding requested.
- -A summary list of projects recommended by the District, at 115% of its preliminary allocation, for each program (SCIP and LTIP) indicating the average useful life, and proposed amount of funding for each project. SCIP lists must also indicate their status as a credit enhancement, new/expansion, RLP, loan or grant (including the district's recommendation of interest rate and term) project and illustrate that the District has met the requirements noted below.

2002 Allocation Requirements

LOANS/CREDIT ENHANCEMENTS 20% NEW OR EXPANSION LIMIT 20%

OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION

ADVISORY

PROGRAM SCHEDULE: In order for Ohio Public Works Commission funded projects to commence at the earliest possible date following appropriation of funds by the Legislature, the following schedules have been established for Program Year 2002 and Program Year 2003.

Program Year 2002

You may submit your district's recommended projects as early as January 2, 2002 but no later than March 29, 2002. Small Government proposals must be included with your submittal. Approved PY-02 project agreements will be released by the Commission on July 1, 2002. Your district selection criteria, application deadlines, meeting schedule, and roster of committee members must be submitted to the Commission on or before July 1, 2001.

Program Year 2003

You may submit your district's recommended projects as early as January 2, 2003 but no later than March 31, 2003. Small Government Program proposals must be included with your submittal. Approved PY-03 project agreements will be released by the Commission on July 1, 2003. Your district selection criteria, application deadlines, meeting schedule and, roster of committee members must be submitted to the Commission on or before July 1, 2002.

Released:

May 2001, Replaces May 2000 Issue

Status:

Expires April 2002

Distribution:

District Committees/Ligisons

Gen's come crysprogramme, 98

OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION

ADVISORY

PROGRAM YEAR PLAN: Program Years of the Ohio Public Works Commission are identified by the year in which applications recommended by the District Integrating Committees are approved and agreements are released to the local community.

Advanced planning is necessary for local communities to select and submit their most competitive projects to the district. District committees must communicate their evaluation priorities and schedule to be used during the up-coming program year with local communities. Finally, the Commission reviews the district's recommendations and prepares project agreements and related materials.

The following schedule has been developed to allow approved projects to be released annually on July first.

May:

The Commission's schedule for the up-coming program year,

guidelines and other requirements are provided to the districts.

July:

Districts provide the Commission with the selection methodology to be used for the up-coming program year, their deadline for receiving applications from local communities, their schedule for project review and submission of recommendations to the Commission, and an updated

roster of their niembers.

July-Sept.

Local communities receive application materials, schedules and

project selection procedures, including selection methodology, from their

district. Districts receive applications.

Sept-Dec:

Districts review applications and select projects to be

recommended to the Commission.

Jan-March:

Districts submit recommended projects to the Commission.

Jan- May:

Commission reviews and approves district recommendations.

Local communities are notified of tentatively approved projects in March

through June.

July 1st:

Approved project agreements are released to the local community by the

Commission.

Released:

May 1997 (Replaces April 1996 Issue)

Status:

Ongoing

Distribution:

District Committees/Liaisons

Green and the second

OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISION STATE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM LOCAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROGRAM YEAR SIXTEEN (2002) ALLOCATIONS*

		•.•			•	• •
	•			MINIMUM		RLP
DISTRICT	TOTAL	LTIP	SCIP	SCIP LOAN/	MAXIMUM SCIP	ALLOCATION
	ALLOCATION	ALLOCATION	ALLOCATION	CREDIT (20%)	GRANTS (80%)	(LOAN)
				•	·	
	:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	\$7,613,000	\$12,709,000	32,541 BOO	##### \$ 70;# 67,2 00*	980.000
2	13,064,000	4,616,000	7,745,000	1,549,000	6,196,000	703,000
	16,985,000	\$898,000	20009999900		7,981,600	1.170.000
4	8,584,000	3,053,000	5,093,000	1,018,600	4,074,400	438,000
		2.987,000	4,547,000	\$119.40 0	3 537,660.	38788926000
6	7,399,000	2,636,000	4,393,000	878,600	3,514,400	370,000
<i>Tiblib</i>	- 8,87a,900	3.130000	::::::::::5:21 4 :000:::	unum 1,042,800-	:::::::::4J7J1200	
8	8,295,000	2,965,000	4,960,000	992,000	3,968,000	370,000
9 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	7,642,000	2,705,000	3. 4412.000	882,400	3529600	525,000
10	10,838,000	3,876,000	6,433,000	1,286,600	5,146,400	529,000
	9,234,000	300.316.000	5,556,000	34471.107/200	44428.800	282,000
12	7,469,000	2,485,000	4,148,000	829,600	3,318,400	836,000
	6,528,000	2 ,324,000			7,127,200	295,000
14	6,868,000	2,392,000	4,197,000	839,400	3,357,600	279,000
	7,705,000	2.501,000	4,514,000	9021800	15 5 20 E200	290,000
16	8,182,000	2,838,000	4,888,000	. 977,600	3,910,400	456,000
	7,634,000	2824000	914,495,000	899 000	3,596,000	######################################
18	6,980,000	2,238,000	4,360,000	. 872,000	3,488,000	382,000
	5794000	2.065.000	11168.900	(C)	2774300	261,000
	enzamenti elijette tertogistik 883		::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	or classical and the facility of the facility	:	
TOTALS	\$176,436,000	\$62,002,000	\$104,998,000	\$20,999,600	\$83,998,400	\$9,436,000

^{*} PRELIMINARY - FINAL ALLOCATIONS DETERMINED ON JULY 1, 2002 ALLOCATIONS BASED ON 2000 CENSUS DATA

ORDINANCE NO. 01-78 AC CMS

AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO SUBMIT AN APPLICATION TO THE DISTRICT 9 PUBLIC WORKS INTEGRATING COMMITTEE FOR ISSUE II PUBLIC WORKS PROJECTS FOR THE CITY OF OBERLIN, OHIO, AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY

BE IT ORDAINED by the Council of the City of Oberlin, County of Lorain, State of Ohio, five-sevenths (5/7ths) of all members elected thereto concurring:

SECTION 1. That the City Manager is hereby authorized and directed to prepare and file an application with the District 9 Public Works Integrating Committee for Issue II Public Works funds for the following project to be undertaken in the 2002 program year:

Street Resurfacing Program

- North Park Street (Lorain to High School)
- North Pleasant Street (High School to Maple)
- . Maple Street (Main to Pleasant)

SECTION 2. That the City of Oberlin hereby agrees to provide local fund matches for said Issue II projects, if funded by the State.

SECTION 3. It is hereby found and determined that all formal actions of this Council concerning or relating to the adoption of this ordinance were adopted in an open meeting of this Council, and that all deliberations of this Council and of any of its committees that resulted in such formal action, were in meetings open to the public in compliance with all legal requirements, including Section 121.22 of the Ohio Revised Code.

SECTION 4. That this ordinance is hereby declared to be an emergency measure necessary for the preservation of the public health and safety of the citizens of the City of Oberlin, Ohio, to wit:

"in order to complete the application in a timely manner", and shall take effect immediately upon passage.

PASSED:

1st Reading - September 4, 2001 (E)

2nd Reading -

3rd Reading -

ATTEST:

CLERK OF COUNCIL

CHAIR OF COUNCIL

POSTED: September 5, 2001

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 5, 2001

o:/ORC01-78lssge2

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of Ordinance No 21-7% as passed by

the Oberlin City Council.

Clerk of Council, City of Oberlin, Ohio

AUDITOR'S CERTIFICATE

Relative to the City's Street Resurfacing Projects for Maple, N. Park and N. Pleasant Streets,

I hereby certify that the amount of \$232,740.00 required to meet the Contract, Agreement, obligation, payment, or expenditure for the above has been lawfully appropriated or authorized or directed for such purpose and is in the Treasury or in the process of collection free from any obligation or certification on, now outstanding.

Dated Sonton by 7,2001

I. Salvatore Talarico
Auditor, City of Oberlin

Oberlin

85 South Mein Street, Oberlin Ohio 44074

September 6, 2001

To: issue 2 File

From: Keith R. Johnson, PE

Re: Proposed North Park Street, North Pleasant Street & Maple Street Resurfacing

Determination of Probable Cost of Construction

The Probable Cost Construction of the above referenced project has been determined and is presented

in the attached tabulation.

Kein R. Johnson, PE

City Engineer

Date: 9/6/0/

Funding Summary - Values Below Are Probable Construction Costs

	Α	8	Ç	D	E	F	
1	Based on Pre	liminary Det	ermination d	of Probable	Construction	Costs	
2	Item/Location	Issue II Portic	on of Project	Not Issue II Shared			
4		Issue II Grant	City Share	City	City	City	
5		74%	26%	100%	100%	100%	
6		Pavement R	Resurfacing	Not Resurfacing	Waterline Replacements	Storm Sewer Replacements	
7	Maple Street	\$ 54,668.85	\$19,207.98	\$32,147.94			
8	North Park Street	\$ 61,500.64	\$21,608.33	\$20,880.80	\$151,025.00	\$109,802.50	
9	North Pleasant Street	\$ 45,784.07	\$16,086.30	\$29,728.92	-		
10	Subtotal:	\$161,953.57	\$56,902.61	\$82,757.66	\$151,025.00	\$109,802.50	
11	Contingency	\$ 10,280.00	\$ 3,610.00	\$ 4,920.00	\$ 8,990.00	\$ 6,530.00	
12	Total	\$172,233.57	\$60,512.61	\$87,677.66	\$160,015.00	\$116,332.50	
13	Total (rounded off)	\$172,230.00	\$60,510.00	\$87,680.00	\$160,020.00	\$116,330.00	
14	Contingency %	6.35%	6.35%	5.95%	5.95%	5.95%	
15				·			
16	City Source of Funds:	-	Income Tax	Income Tax	Water Fund	Income Tax	
17							
18	Income Tax Fund Total:	\$264,520.00					
19	Water Fund Total:	\$160,020.00					
20	City Total:	\$424,540.00					
21	Issue II Grant:	\$172,230.00			<u></u>		
22	Total:	\$596,770.00					
23				•			
24	-		Total City Co.	ntingency Amo	ount	\$ 20,440.00	
25							
26	Additions For Issue	2 Form:	City - Issue II	Local Share	\$ 60,510		
27			Issue II Grant		\$ 172,230		
28			Total		\$ 232,740		
29	Note: Additional Amounts (no	nengineering & conti	ingencies) will be (entered on Issue II	Applicaion Form		
30							

Probable Cost of Proposed Resurfacing (Issue II) Plus Storm Sewer and Waterline Replacements

						"r"			·		
جندا	PROJECT TITLE	<u> </u>	C·	D	<u>E</u>	<u>ı — F</u> er & Waterline R	G	Н	<u> </u>	J	<u> </u>
1 2	LOGATION	·	көзипас	ing Plus (Storm Sewe	er & vyatenine H	epiacement	Resurfacing	Storm Sewar Replacement	Waterline Replacement	
3	PARK STREET	C/L Lorain S	St.		to	Gate @ High School	' d	X	Х	X	
4	MAPLE ST.	C/L N. Main	St.		to	C/L N. Pleasant ST		Х		<u> </u>	- in 1 to 10
5	N, PLEASANT ST.	End of High	School Drive	avay"	to	C/L Maple St.		Х			
7.8		= 					Issue II Porti	on of Project		Not Issue II Shared	
10					UNIT	ITEM	issue II Grant	City Share	City	City	Cáty .
11	ITEM DESCRIPTION	-	QUANTITY	UNIT	COST	TOTAL	74%	26%	100%	100%	100%
12							Pavement F	Resurfacing	Not Resurfacing	Waterline Replacoments	Slom Sever Roj lacements
13	ODOT 202 Wearing Course Removed		6,605.0	SY .	\$ 2.00	513,210.00	\$9,775.40	\$3,434.60			
14	ODOT 253 Pavement/Base Repairs:					•			•		
	Aggregate Base		345.2	су	\$ 38,60	\$13,324,15	\$9.859.87	\$3,464.28	•		
	Gituminous Base		517.8	СУ	\$.89.40	\$46,289.33	\$34,254.11	\$12,035,23			
17	Geotextile Fabric		3,106.7	sy	\$ 1.40	\$4,349.33	\$3,218.51	\$1,130.83	<u> </u>		
18	Subgrade Reinforcement Grid		3,105.7	87	\$ 3.60	\$11,184.00	\$6,276.16	\$2,907.84	•		,
	Subgrade Compaction	1	3,106.7	sy	\$ 11.3D	\$35,105.33	\$25,977.95	\$9,127.39			
20	ODOT 402 Asphalt Leveling Course			CY .						·	
	ODOT 403 Asphalt Leveling Course		275.2	CY	\$ 98.90	\$27,218.10	\$20,141.40	\$7,076.71			
	ODOT 404 Asphalt Surface Course		275.2	CY	S 115.30	\$31,731.52	\$23,481.33	\$8,250.20			
23	ODOT 451 Reinforced Concrete Pavement			CY		!					
24	ODOT 452 Plain Concrete Pavament		· ·	CY		· .					
	Manholes adjusted to Grade		12.0	ĒΑ	\$ 422.60	\$5,071.20	\$3,752.69	\$1,318.51			
	Catchbasins adjusted to grade		4.0	ĐΑ	\$ 422.60	\$1,890.40	\$1,250.60	\$439.50			
27	Catchhasins Repaired/Replacements		14.0	EA	S 1,521.50	\$21,301.00					\$21,301.00
28	12" RCP STS CLIV Between CB's		180.0	LF.	\$ 51.80	\$9,324.00		·- ·- ·- ·- ·- ·- ·- ·- ·- ·- ·- ·- ·- ·			. \$9,324.00
29	12" Storm Sewer Replacement		1,165.0	LF _	\$ 75.90	\$68,423.50					· \$88,423.50
30	Storm Sewer Manholes		3.0	EA	\$ 1,993.00	\$5,979.00	:				\$5,979.00
3.1	Sidewalk Replacement		233.0	S.F	3 8.10	\$1,887,30			\$1,887.30	•	
	8" Waterline		1,165.0	LF	\$ 74.80	\$87,142.00			•	\$87,142.00	
53	8* Line Valves	1	3.0	ΕA	\$ 1,265.00	\$3,795.00				\$3,795.00	
	Fire Hydrant Assemblies		4.0	ΕA	\$ 3.450.00	\$13,800.00				\$13,800.00	
	Water Service Connections		30.0	ĒΑ	\$ 1,437.50	\$43,125.00				\$43,125.00	
-38	ODOT 408 Bituminous Prime Coat		660.5	Gal	\$ 0.60	\$396.30	\$293.26	\$103.04			
	Pavement Reinforcing Fabric		6,605.0	SY	\$ 1.30	\$8,588.50	\$6,354.01	\$2,232.49			
	Site Work		3.0	LS	\$ 8,625.00	\$25,875.00			\$25,875.00		
	Maintaining Traific		3.0	LS	\$ 6,900.00	\$20,700.00	\$15,318.00	\$5,382.00			
	Concrete Curb Replacement	<u> </u>	1,701.2	LF	\$ 17.80.				\$30,281,36		
41	Class C Concrete, 25-Day/4,000 psi		40.0	CY	\$ 316.30	\$12,652.00			\$6,326.00	\$3,163.00	\$3,163.00
42			<u> </u>	-					•		
43	Contingency - See Funding Summary				·						
44]		TOTAL		\$562,441:33	\$161,953,57	\$55,9D2.61	\$64,369,56	\$151,025.00	\$128,195.50

Oberlin

85 South Main Street, Oberlin Ohio 44074

August 30, 2001

To: Issue 2 File

From: Keith R. Johnson, PE

Re: Proposed North Park Street, North Pleasant Street & Maple Street Resurfacing

Statement of Design Life of Construction

The Design life of the proposed North Park Street, North Pleasant Street & Maple Street Resurfacing is expected

to be Fifteen (15 years) for pavement surface and 30 - 50 years for other items of the project.

Keith R. Johnson, PE

City Engineer

Date: 9/6/0/

5 Year Capital Improvements Plan - 5 Year Street Plan

		TABLE I FIVE YEAR STREET PLAN										
Reference		PROJECTS	Sepment 1e				ISSUE II CRANT	CITY SHARE		TOTAL		
Нитье	L		<u>From</u>	To	Sogrami Amount	Tatal All Beginents	I ISSAC II MANAMI	ALL SINGE	GIII Giraja	1 Direc		
		2002										
<u>sue il Pr</u>	oject:						74%a	26%				
	<u> </u>			Ç/L iî, Planumi Si .	\$106.024.77	 -	254,563,65	\$19,207,99	\$32,147,94	\$105,024.7		
75.0	2002	Maple St.	QL N, Marc St. Bug of High Scholl Discoville	CR, Maple St.	\$91,589.29	 	545,704.07	\$15,086.30	\$29,720.92	591,599.2		
B1.0	2002	N, Pleasont St.	E/_Lorein St	Galla (B) High School	\$384,817.28		901,500.64	\$21,508.33		\$384.817.2		
107.0	2002	North Park SL - and revision events events	D_1001	Contragancy	\$34,330.00		\$10,260.00	\$3,610.00		\$34,330.0		
			- -	Sitami	3593,771.54		\$172,234,30	\$60,512,67	\$364,025, <u>16</u>	\$596,771.3		
		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		ARCON				\$16.397.87		\$16,397.B		
25.0	2002	East Lorain St	C/L Berger Court	CAL Arung &t.	\$16,397.8 <u>7</u> \$15,343.50	-		£15,345.50		\$15,343.5		
28.0	2502	East Lorain St	CAL Maior Bh CAL Blee Posh	CAL Opesin 9d	\$17,602.88			£17,632,66		\$17,602.6		
27.0		East Lorain St	CAL Block Post	Cat Thomas St.	\$13,554.84			\$13,554.84		\$13.554.6		
28.0 175.0		East Lorain St West Lorein St.	GIL ING 8, Applem: Pount	Highway Gorage Sign	\$6,909,67	 		16,998.57		\$6,998.6		
178.0		West Lorain St.	Highway Gurage Start	Where Sice-alli Depits	\$0,999.67			\$6,998.67	; !	\$6,998.6		
177.0	2002	West Lordin St.	Samely, Begins, (Course, 2015)	Git Anaperi St	\$6,998.67			\$6,998.67	:	\$6,995.6		
170.0	2002	West Lown St	CL Muspert St.	C/L Fugyeros BL	\$13,637.55			\$1 <u>5,537.55</u>		\$ 15,537.		
179.0	2002	Wasi Lorain St	CZ Holyelot-Bt	CAL Whomand St.	\$31,208.95			\$31,205.95		\$31,205.9		
180.0	2002	West Loran St	Cr. Woodund St	GAL ProAccedo St.	\$21,431,77			521,431.77		\$21,431.7 #17,770.5		
101.0	2002	West Lorain St.	CE Avezer II.	CAL Main St.	\$17,729,35			\$17,729.35		\$17,729.8 \$6.677.6		
182.0	2002	West Lorain St.	Worl Culp. Limit	CAL Pyll S. Annest Road	\$9,977.65			99,577.55	1	\$9,577.5		
				Signipial (Lamin Onto		\$179,376	<u> </u>	\$179,378,2 <u>5</u> \$69,282.49	·	\$179,370.3 \$89,283,4		
174.0	2002	West College St.	CIL Publish St.	ISL Nam SL	585,202		 	\$09,262,49	[-	\$206,6		
				Bubblu Donlingency	\$268,681		 	\$10,00E		\$18,6		
			<u> </u>		\$18,806 \$267,467			\$297,457		\$287,4		
				Sustain			\$172.234					
•	•	TOTAL			\$984,238	<u> </u>	\$177,234	\$-50).96k	1 1304,020			
		2003			<u> </u>	<u> </u>				_		
dua II P	nciect:			Α								
						-	74%	26%		\$63,850.6		
52.D	2003	Wast Hamilton St.	Havares Ci	Vilasrington Chron	\$63,851		\$47.250	\$16,601	<u> </u>	\$98,177.		
53.0	2003	West Hemillon St.	Ca. Washington Carde	C/L Professor St.	\$98,177		571,171		├──	\$192,634		
51.0	2003	West Hemilton St.	Lincoln Br.	Russewer CL	\$182,634		\$135,149			\$242,662		
	<u> </u>			Sumul	1342,662		\$253,574		1	s22,988.		
		<u> </u>		Contingency	\$23,986		\$17,750 \$271,320			\$266,640		
	1			E-MARIE	\$386,64	<u></u>	4211,360	1 637/055	' 	400,0010 100		
kal laave	() Project:			<u></u>		<u>! </u>		588,000		\$88,000.4		
		Sciented Micro-Peving-Maintenance	Verinus Stree <u>tS</u>		\$88,000,00		.	\$60,00		\$60,000.		
		Crack Filling	Various Streets		\$50,000.00		·	\$77,822		\$155,843		
100.0	2002	Orchad Si.	C/L E. Critique St.	CA. E. Lenet, St.	\$77,921.8			\$140.500		\$140,000.		
	<u> </u>	Çetcis Busine <u>- Stanta Sewer</u>		Sualotal	\$140,000.00 \$365,922		-	\$355,92		\$385,921.		
		100000000000000000000000000000000000000	<u> </u>	Confegency	\$25,610			\$25,614		\$25.614.		
	+	·		Support	\$591,536			5391,53		\$391,536.		
	! 	12-10-11		January .	\$758.1A		\$271,32			I \$758.		
		TOTAL			3796, In.	<u>' </u>	3	J	1	1		
		2004						FSh	† 			
:500 P	гојаса:			<u> </u>		· · ·		<u>City</u> 26%	+			
	1			1		<u>.</u>	74% 546,670			 		
56,0	2004	Çasi Haniltun St.	Cr. Math SI	C/L Pleasent SI	\$53,07		\$40,617 \$61,38			 		
<u> 57.0</u>	2004	East Hamilton St.	Cf. Pleasant St.	T=p. Sign E. Corp. Umt	\$69,43 \$96,07		\$71,8X0					
58,C	2004	Çası Magriltan Şı.	Two Sign	E CNS Umt	5223.58		\$160,14		il ·			
	+-	 	"	Centingency	\$16,00		\$51,64			T		
	+	<u> </u>		States 9	3244,58		\$180,98			I		
	-				30,7,00	 	- V	-	[T		
	Il Project		ICYL Miki 8:	CL Person 51	\$74,04	a _	1 -	. \$74.08	9#			
47.D		Groveland St.	Ct. Person 8:	LIL Pak BL	\$74,110		1	574,11				
46.D	2301	Groveland St.		(Schlob)	\$148,19		T	\$142,19				
-	-	 		Cartingancy	\$10,37			\$15,37	4			
<u>-</u>		1	 	Suidelid	\$199,57			\$156,67				
	+	STORM SEWER - PROBABLE & HY	C ILMIADY	1								
	ļ.	N. Hollywood Outfell	gageritit W IT V /	i	\$37,05	- 1	1	\$37,000.0	0			
	4	N Meh		 	125,00	81		\$26,000.0	0			
	1 -	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Survivosi	\$63,00		1	\$63,000.0				
	1 —	┪		Comingency	\$4,41			\$4,41				
	+	-		Sunna	\$67,41		H	\$67,410.0	0			
	-	Bridges - Probable & Preligigary					7		<u> </u>			
163.0	2000		 	•••	\$59,04	1	1	\$59,040.9				
194,0		PARK STREET		- i	\$76,28			\$75,259,5				
, -	 		1	8.4nouel	\$133,30			\$133,350.4		!		
	1	· · ·	1	Curtificaty	\$9,33	3		19,33		<u> </u>		
	1		 	Burnsud	\$142,63	2(\$142,631,5	2	ļ		
	1	· ·	1				I	<u> </u>		1		
							\$160,99	G 5432.20				

5 Year Capital Improvements Plan - 5 Year Street Plan

		T	·	TA	明年 i					
		 			TREET PLA	N				
Antonica		PROJECTS	Seamer	n Temini	BUDGETEO		7	I, AKNEO FIRMO	ING SOURCES	:
Nigripa		FROSECTO	From	l To	Begress Amour	Total All Bergman's	ISSUE II GRANT	CITY SHARE	CLIA CLIMEN	TOTAL
		2005								
148.0	2005	Shipherd Circle	C.L. Greek College St.	(8 Shiphers Cir (end of Driv	575,735,18				(i	
14B.0		Shipherd Circle	From Press of 36 Bir placed	To Orke of 126 Snignent	\$77,499,58					
15D.0		Shipherd Circle	From Dress of 125 Striphers	CA Collen Ct	5142,374,28				1	
123.0		Reamer Place	QL Proped SI	YV. Edge of Carl-De-Sea	560,000,88					
5.0		Caskey Ct.	East Cirtille-Size	C/L Bewer Ct.	\$77,689,95					
9.0		Cashey CL	From Symmet Cl.	Shipner: Citale	\$52,788,53					
155.D		Slewart St.	CA_Gr/W/ □	8. Cd-De-Seo	\$53,007,67		i			
152.D	2005	South Street	CJ. S. Pinterour El.	Cri. Hairi Br.	\$75,639,44					
				Sulnai	5643,054.63					
		-		Connigency	\$45,013,82		<u> </u>			
	•	1CTAL		-	\$688,008.35				1	
		2006								
13.0	2006	East College St.	G/L Previous St	CAL PAIL OL	\$63,021,22					
14.D	2006	Ess. College 51.	GAL Park Su	CAL Spring St.	\$125,516.13					
15.D	2006	East Opliege 51.	G/L Boring 91	(CAL King BL	\$114,785,72	•				
18.D	2006	Sast College St.	SAL 1990 St.	CA. Codord St.	987,594.43				I	
17.D	2006	Ess: College St.	E/L Orchard SI	441 E. College SI	\$102,373,65	•			1	1
21.D	2206	East College St.	SfL Wan St.	CAL What GI	\$90,086.30	_				1
22.D	2005	East College St.	SCMGroSt.	CA. Pinneri Si	\$51,867.21					
				Sulfished	\$645,244.86				1	
				Continguacy	\$45,237.14	ı	45.0	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
		TOTAL	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		\$691,487,00) <u> </u>		1		
		2007					<u> </u>			
				[·			<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
					#407 PAR 57	 	<u> </u>			
18.D	2006	Ees: College St.	Act E. Gullego El	CL Oberit: Ad	\$127,383,97			+	 	
19.D	2006	Esst College St.	Crt. Ozenin Rd.	CJL Thomas EJ.	\$87,954.31					
20.D	2005	East College St.	CrL Thotas Sc.	CL Laure SI.	\$120,430.79				 	
			i			•				
36.D	2003	Elm 54.	C/L Frospeci Sonet	West I and of Cul-De-Sec	\$71,023.SB					
37.D	2003	Elm 51.	CAL CHENT 3J.	C/L Prospect EL	389,099.44					
36.D	2003	Elm 51.	O/L Professor Rt.	CT_Cecer SI.	\$110,77B.64	\$270,900,06			1	
									 	
		 	- 			-			İ	F
-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		 					i	
36.0	2003	Elm 54.	C/L Prospect Prest	Wind time of Col-Zin-Rac	\$71,023.98		I			í
	F					-			1	
:67.0		Spvie Rec Treli	ľ		\$109,000.00		<u> </u>			
	l								<u> </u>	
		TOTAL			S791,696.11		ų. ————		4	

CITY OF OBERLIN ISSUE 2, ROUND 16 DISTRICT 9 PROJECT EVALUATION CRITERIA

- 1. Infrastructure Need of District
 - The proposed project is a street resurfacing project. The streets to resurfaced provide access to Oberlin High School.
 - 2. Current Design Standards of Capacity Requirements
 - The existing infrastructure is in poor condition with hazardous surface conditions. The
 application is designed to recondition the streets to increase safety.
 - 3. Age or Condition of System to be Repaired or Replaced
 - System is in immediate need of repair/replacement.
 - 4. Ability and Effort of the Applicant to finance the Project
 - Community's per capita income is \$11,253 or 91% of the County's median per capita income (1990 Census Data – 2000 Census Data not currently available.). The percentage of persons with income below the poverty level is 27%, the highest percentage of any city in the county.
 - 5. Importance of Project to Health and Safety Concerns
 - Road repair with major safety upgrades.
 - Leveraging Ratio
 - The applicant is requesting Issue 2 Funds to finance 60-74% of the total resurfacing portion of the project cost.
 - This request represents less than 27% of the city's total 2002 Street Program. For 2002, the City's Capital Plan has a budget need of over \$884,000 in local funds for street and infrastructure improvements including approximately \$180,000 for curb and other improvements in Lorain Street preparatory to the expected ODOT resurfacing of Lorain St. in 2002.
 - The Ability of Federal, State or other funds for the project.
 - No funds available.
 - MBE Setaside
 - Please see the attached letter from Mr. Whitehead.
 - Readiness of Project to Proceed after Date of Grant Award
 - Construction would be expected to begin within 30 days of Grant Award.
 - 10. Loans N\A
 - 11. Amount of Request
 - Less than \$200,000 and greater than \$100,000.
 - Other Factors
 - The City of Oberlin has established and maintains a 5 year plan for resurfacing and related infrastructure improvements its streets. Other projects for 2002, all of which are paid solely through local funds include:
 - Total Budget for street and related projects in 2000 totals over \$880,000.
 - The centerline of Maple Street between North Mains Street (SR58) and North Pleasant
 divides the street 50% in the City of Obertin and 50% in New Russia Township. North
 Pleasant Street provides access to the high school via Maple street from SR 58 and from
 SR 511 (East Lorain Street). North Park Street provides access to the high school from
 SR 511 (East Lorain Street). Together, the three (3) streets are the high school access.



85 South Main Street, Oberlin, Ohio 44074 (440) 775-1531

September 6, 2001

To Whom It May Concern:

The City of Oberlin suspended their Minority Business Enterprise Program, in accordance with Ordinance No. 00-81 AC CMS (see attached). To date, the City has not replaced this program.

Sincerely,

Marshall Whitehead

Contract Compliance Officer

/skp 🕟

ORDINANCE NO. 00-81 AC CMS

AN ORDINANCE TEMPORARILY SUSPENDING THE CITY OF OBERLIN MINORITY BUSINESS ENTERPRISE PROGRAM AS SET FORTH IN CHAPTER 158 OF THE CODIFIED ORDINANCES AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY

WHEREAS, in 1986 the City of Oberlin implemented a Minority Business Enterprise Program to ensure fair and equal contracting opportunities in regard to City of Oberlin governmental contracts and procurement; and,

WHEREAS, since the implementation of that program, which is codified in Chapter 158 of the Codified Ordinances of the City, there have been several Federal Court decisions, including the recent decision of the United States Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals in the case of <u>Associated General Contractors of Ohio. Inc. v. Drabik</u>, which have raised doubts as to the Constitutionality of such programs; and,

WHEREAS, as a result of said Court decisions the City Council of the City of Oberlin feels that it is in the best interests of the City to temporarily suspend said Minority Business Enterprise Program in order to allow the City Administration to fully assess the impact of said court decisions on the City of Oberlin Minority Business Enterprise Program, and to explore possible alternatives to such a program.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the Council of the City of Oberlin, County of Lorain, State of Ohio, five-sevenths (5/7ths) of all members elected thereto concurring:

SECTION 1. That the City of Oberlin Minority Business Enterprise Program, as set forth in Chapter 158 of the Codified Ordinances of the City and as most recently enacted by Ordinance 96-54 AC, is hereby indefinitely suspended, and the provisions of said Ordinance shall not be hereinafter enforced until authorized by Ordinance of this Council.

SECTION 2. That the City Manager, in concert with the members of the City of Oberlin Minority Business Enterprise Advisory Committee, is hereby directed to investigate alternatives and/or options available to the City of Oberlin to ensure fairness and equity in municipal contracting and procurement opportunities, and to report back in writing to this City Council not later than the end of January, 2001.

SECTION 3. It is hereby found and determined that all formal actions of this Council concerning or relating to the adoption of this ordinance were adopted in an open meeting of this Council and that all deliberations of this Council and of any of its committees that resulted in such formal action were in meetings open to the public in compliance with all legal requirements, including Section 121.22 of the Ohio Revised Code.

4/3/96 Revised 6/14/96 Final Revision 6/24/96 Revised 5/28/97 Revised 5/13/98

PROPOSED DISTRICT 9 PROJECT EVALUATION CRITERIA

- 1.) 164.06 (I) Infrastructure Needs of District
 - 5 Road, Streets and Associated Drainage
 - 4 Bridges
 - 3 Storm Water Drainage
 - 2 Water Supply
 - 1 Sanitary Collection and Treatment
- 2.) 164.06 (2) Current Design Standards of Capacity Requirements
 - -- Does existing infrastructure meet current design standards or capacity requirements?
 - 0 Yes
 - 3 No, application will bring applicant into partial compliance
 - 5 No, application is designed to bring applicant into full compliance
- 3.) 164.06 (2) Age or Condition of System to be Repaired or Replaced
 - 0 Project represents basic maintenance of an existing system in a non-deteriorated condition
 - 4 Infrastructure is deteriorated and should be repaired or replaced within the next 5-8 years
 - 7 Infrastructure is deteriorated and should be repaired or replaced within the next 2-4 years
 - 10 System is in immediate need of repair replacement

All new projects where application established a clear need for immediate action will be rated 0 in this category, but will be considered under item 12, Other Factors.

- 4.) 164.06 (3) Ability and Effort of the Applicant to Finance the Project
 - -- What is Community's per Capita Income:
 - 5 Less than 80% of their County's median
 - 4 80-95% of their County's median
 - 3 96-110% of their County's median
 - 2-111-125% of their County's median
 - 1 Greater than 125% of their County's median

- 5.) 164.06 (4) Importance of Project to Health and Safety of Citizens
 - 0 Water and sewer line maintenance and repair
 - 5 Road or drainage reconstruction (no safety upgrades), non-mandated sewer and water projects, basic resurfacing
 - 7 Mandated sewer and water projects, road or drainage projects with limited safety upgrades
 - 10 Road, bridge and culvert or drainage projects with major safety upgrades
- 6.) 164.06 (6) Leveraging Ratio
 - -- The applicant has requested Issue 2 funds to finance the following percentage of total project cost
 - 0 90 or more
 - 1 75 89
 - 2 60 74
 - 3 -- 40-59
 - 4 25 39
 - 5-24 or less
- 7.) 164.06 (7) The availability of Federal, State or other funds for the project
 - 0 Funds available no effort expended
 - 3 Funds available as part of local match
 - 4 Funds available Application rejected
 - 5 No Funds available

In order to receive points for this category, verification must be attached to application

- 8.) MBE Setaside Projects Shall Receive a Maximum of 3 Points
 - 3 MBE setaside is over 80% of total project cost
 - 2 MBE setaside is 50%-79% of total project cost
 - 1 MBE setaside is 30%-49% of total project cost
 - 0 MBE setaside is 0%-29% of total project cost

If there is no OPWC MBE setaside requirement, all applications will receive 0 for this item.

- 9.) 164.06 (9) Readiness of Project to Proceed after Date of Grant Award
 - 0 Construction will not begin for at least 12 months
 - 3 Construction will not begin for at least 6 months
 - 5 Construction can begin within 6 months
- 10.) Loans

For this section, road projects that include water or sewer line relocation are considered water or sewer projects. To qualify for points, the loan must be a minimum of 50% of the total request.

- 0 If water or sewer projects do not apply for loan
- 2 If water or sewer projects apply for loan
- 4 Road or bridge project applies for loan
- 2 If road or bridge project does not apply for loan

11.) Amount of request

- 0 More than \$750,001
- 1 500,001 750,000
- 2 350,001 500,000
- 3 200,001 350,000
- 4 100,001 200,000
- 5 -Less than 100,000

If a project is broken into phases, only one phase can be funded per round. Similar scope of work and/or contiguous construction shall be considered one project.

12.) 164.06 (10) Other Factors of Particular Importance (-5 - 10 points)

--In addition to the other 11 evaluation criteria factors, other relevant factors should be considered by the individual DNPWIC members in their evaluation of the proposed projects. This evaluation factor should be used by each committee member to assess the need of the proposed project, conformance with sound fiscal management policies, and other values considered to be important in the allocating of Issue 2 funds not totally covered by the other 11 evaluation factors. Up to 3 points can be awarded if project is shown to have a major impact on the economic health of the subdivision.

-- Loan or credit enhancement projects shall received 2 points.

--Up to 5 points may be deducted from the point total of an application if the applicant subdivision did not meet the commitments as shown in their applications from prior rounds (scheduling, MBE setasides, etc.). This penalty will be in effect if project is still open two (2) years after completion dates in the agreements.