

Pricing Interconnection: one regulatory economist's perspective

William Lehr

MIT

wlehr@mit.edu

CITP Conference on Global Interconnection

March 11, 2016

Princeton University

<https://citp.princeton.edu/event/interconnection/>



MIT Communications Futures Program

*"Defining the roadmap for communications
and its impact on adjacent industries."*

<http://cfp.mit.edu>

Session #3: Pricing Interconnection

- **Panel Discussion questions:**
 - What are the different ways of pricing Internet congestion?
 - What are the effects of data caps, pay-as-you-go pricing on Internet use?
 - How does zero-rating affect user demand for content or applications?
- **Clarifying questions about what real issues are:**
 - Congestion or Usage-based. Retail or wholesale?
 - Who pays for broadband access?
 - How does state of competition (practical regulatory options) impact answers?
 - *Is rise of traffic-sensitive costs the real question? Video & spectrum.*

“Congestion” or Usage-based pricing?

- **All pricing is demand sensitive, hence dynamic, Usage-based**
 - Typically multi-part : non-recurring, fixed (\$/mo), usage (\$/MB).
 - (Flat (\$/mo) v. Usage (\$/MB) is false price choice dichotomy...)
 - Dynamic: prices change w/ time, market conditions, but at what granularity (time, space, context)? Real-time pricing a special case.
- **Prices serve two broad functions:**
 - Recover costs ($\text{Rev} \geq \text{Cost}$), including fair return on capital. Costs are overwhelmingly fixed and/or shared (not incremental to individual subscriber). Most of pricing is and should be about this.
 - Signal: induce efficient behavior. (“congestion pricing to recover incremental capacity costs at peak”)
- **Are we talking about retail or wholesale pricing?**
 - Retail: consumer demand behavior
 - Wholesale: industry supply behavior (interconnection)
 - Either Retail rate or Wholesale interconnection (rate) regulation. They’re substitutes.

Usage-based pricing? *Tiered pricing way to go.*

- **Pay-as-you-go, data-caps, (zero-rating), etc. are ways to do usage-based retail pricing.**
 - Tools for **price discrimination** (and usually unavoidable!)
- **What do consumers want?**
 - Lower prices, more choice, better quality (don't we all!)
 - Also, Flat rates \Leftrightarrow **Simplicity** (No hidden/unexpected charges)
 - Marginal cost per MB $\sim \$0$ (just use, don't think about)
- **What should consumers pay?**
 - Monthly bill should cover monthly cost of service. Most of that is contribution to **fixed/shared costs** (not incremental peak).
 - Solution (broadly): Tiered pricing. If consumers in correct tier, they should not be paying significant overage (\$/MB) charges; *and* tiers should not be significantly priced above cost.
- **Regulatory concerns? The usual...reg oversight still necessary**
 - **Fairness/Access**: Are (certain) consumers paying too much?
 - **Supply-side**: investment, efficiency (natural monopoly?), competition

Zero-rating a good idea? *Yes, generally...*

- **Who pays how much for broadband?**
 - Internet is (at least) a 2-sided platform so reasonable that \$ should flow in from both (all) sides potentially
- **Zero-rating can lower retail prices for broadband access**
 - Can spur adoption, but may limit choice to subsidized content.
 - A mechanism for price discrimination, but that is not generally a problem for economists
 - But, risk of abuse for monopoly pricing or foreclosure, and that might induce us to build wrong-kind of Internet.... So need regulatory oversight.
- **Which markets?**
 - Mature BB markets (US/EU)? Yes. Need scope to innovate in services. Want competition to work.
 - Developing BB markets (India, Brazil)? Yes. Need to increase access.

Do you want your Internet with or without Video?

(work-in-progress, with Doug Sicker)

- **How should Convergence proceed?**
 - Everything over IP (or single IP network) may not be right technical solution.
 - Everything over (one) Internet may not be the right regulatory or industry structure goal.
- **Do we want media entertainment economics to drive how we build the Internet?**
 - Hollywood v. IoT (smart healthcare, green energy, ...)
 - It's not that entertainment is not important, just that fundamental economic drivers are fundamentally different
 - Consumer attention/Leisure expenditures. Choice/taste \Leftrightarrow bundling.
 - Content v. Conduit competition (and regulation)
 - Etc....
- **If all that entertainment video were NOT on Internet, would we be thinking about these pricing questions differently??**

Summing up...

- **Usage pricing ⇔ Tiered pricing (retail)**
 - Need price discrimination for universal service
 - Should not ban, but need to monitor
 - Real-time congestion for consumers? Probably not...
 - Real-time resource allocation for wholesale? Yes. SDX IXPs, etc.
- **Zero-rating ⇔ Content Providers & Consumers can both pay**
 - Innovative services need flexible business models. When Netflix used USPO, they were paying for delivery.
 - Content-subsidies can promote access.
 - Should not ban, but need to monitor.
- **Video driving Internet Traffic ⇔ Entertainment Economics**
 - What does accommodating video mean for Internet?
 - With convergence, be careful what you wish for...

Thanks for your attention!
Bill Lehr (wlehr@mit.edu)