

Citadel Europe LLP

MiFID II RTS 28 Best Execution Disclosure

Securitized Derivatives – Other Securitized Derivatives - Transmitted

April 2019

Quality of Execution Obtained on Execution Venues¹

Firm	Citadel Europe LLP	
Disclosure Period	1 January 2018 to 31 December 2018	
Publication Date	April 2019	
Class of instrument	Securitised Derivatives – Other Securitised Derivatives ² - Transmitted	
	Summary of Analysis	Conclusion
Execution factors	<p>The relative importance of the execution factors was determined by the following execution criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the characteristics of the Citadel Fund • the characteristics of the order • the characteristics of the financial instrument to which the order related (e.g. liquidity and transparency); and • the characteristics of the broker or trading venue/systematic internaliser/market maker/liquidity provider/ third country entity performing a similar function to which the order could be directed. 	<p>Given the liquidity of and transparency for these instruments, the Firm considered price to be the most important execution factor when assessing quality of execution. Therefore in monitoring quality of execution for these instruments, the Firm has used slippage as a tool to consider whether best execution has been provided by the execution venues.</p>
Close links, conflicts of interest and common ownership with respect to execution venues³	<p>Close links The Firm has no close links to report.</p>	<p>Close links Not applicable</p>
	<p>Conflicts of interest The Firm has no conflicts of interest to report.</p>	<p>Conflicts of interest Not applicable</p>
	<p>Common ownerships The Firm has no common ownership to report.</p>	<p>Common ownerships Not applicable</p>
Specific arrangements with execution venues³ regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received	<p>During the period under review payments were made to execution venues in respect of research, data and corporate access received. In addition, business gifts and entertainments were received. No payments, discounts or rebates were received from execution venues.</p>	<p>The Firm followed its internal policies in line with its regulatory obligations in relation to these arrangements. No issues were noted in respect of these payments made or non-monetary benefits received. In addition these arrangements did not influence the Firm's selection of execution venues, as the Firm followed its Best Execution Policy and Conflicts of Interest Policy when selecting execution venues.</p>
Factors leading to a change in the list of execution venues³ listed	<p>There were no changes to the list of execution venues referred to in the Firm's Best Execution Policy. However as a result of the ongoing monitoring of the</p>	<p>The factors leading to such changes were objective factors which were considered and applied by the Firm on an objective basis.</p>

¹ This report has been produced in line with the requirements of the recast Markets in Financial Instruments Directive and Regulation (together, "MiFID II") and Regulatory Technical Standard ("RTS") 28, as implemented in the UK.

² For the purposes of this report, 'other securitised derivatives' includes rights issues and scrip dividends.

³ For the purposes of this report, 'execution venue' means broker, trading venue, systematic internaliser, market maker, liquidity provider and/or third country entity performing a similar function.

in the order execution policy	quality of execution, the allocation of orders to the various execution venues changed throughout the period under review.	
Differentiation across client categories	All of the Firm's clients are professional clients.	Not applicable
Use of data / tools relating to quality of execution	The Firm has used a variety of data and tools relating to quality of execution during the period under review in order to inform both its real-time and periodic assessments.	These objective factors were considered and applied by the Firm on an objective basis.
Use of consolidated tape provider output	There were no consolidated tape providers during the period under review.	Not applicable

ALL TRADEMARKS, SERVICE MARKS AND LOGOS USED IN THIS DOCUMENT ARE TRADEMARKS OR SERVICE MARKS OR REGISTERED TRADEMARKS OR REGISTERED SERVICE MARKS OF CITADEL

NEITHER THIS DOCUMENT NOR ANY OF THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN IT SHALL CONSTITUTE AN OFFER, SOLICITATION, INVITATION OR INDUCEMENT TO PURCHASE, ACQUIRE, SUBSCRIBE TO, PROVIDE OR SELL ANY PARTICULAR INVESTMENT, NOR DOES IT CONSTITUTE INVESTMENT ADVICE OR ANY OTHER RECOMMENDATION REGARDING ANY PARTICULAR INVESTMENT, NOR SHALL IT OR ANY PART OF IT FORM THE BASIS OF OR BE RELIED UPON AS A PROMISE OR REPRESENTATION IN CONNECTION WITH ANY CONTRACT OR INVESTMENT DECISION.