

PEUGEOT 5008



APPLIES TO
Australian variants

BUILT FROM
September 2024

RATING CRITERIA
2023-2025

VEHICLE TYPE
Large SUV

ON SALE FROM
June 2025

RATING EXPIRES
December 2031

ENGINE / MOTOR TYPES
Hybrid

MODEL SERIES
P74

AIRBAGS
Dual frontal, side chest, side head



ANCAP
SAFETY

TESTED
2025



The Peugeot 5008 was introduced in Australia in June 2025.

This ANCAP safety rating for the Peugeot 5008 is based on testing of its partner model, the closely-related Peugeot 3008. ANCAP was provided with technical information which showed the test results achieved by the 3008 are also applicable to the 5008. This ANCAP safety rating applies to Australian variants.

Dual frontal, side chest-protecting and side head-protecting airbags are standard. A centre airbag to prevent occupant-to-occupant interaction is not available.

Autonomous emergency braking (Car-to-Car, Vulnerable Road User and Junction & Crossing), a lane support system with lane keep assist (LKA), lane departure warning (LDW) and emergency lane keeping (ELK), and an advanced speed assistance system (SAS) are standard equipment.

SAFETY NOTE

Top tether anchorages are not available in the third row of seating. Installation of child restraints in the third row is therefore not recommended.

ASSESSMENT SCORES



Adult Occupant Protection

82%

33.09 out of 40



Child Occupant Protection

87%

43.00 out of 49



Vulnerable Road User Protection

79%

50.19 out of 63



Safety Assist

65%

11.72 out of 18

RATING APPLICABILITY*

VARIANT	BODY TYPE	ENGINE / POWERTRAIN	DRIVETRAIN	AUS	NZ
Peugeot 5008 Allure	5 door SUV	1.2L hybrid	2WD	✓	-
Peugeot 5008 GT	5 door SUV	1.2L hybrid	2WD	✓	-
Peugeot 5008 GT Premium	5 door SUV	1.2L hybrid	2WD	✓	-

* Correct at time of publication. Subject to change. Check with manufacturer.



Adult Occupant Protection

82%

33.09 out of 40

FRONTAL OFFSET (MPDB)*
5.48 points out of 8

OBLIQUE POLE*
6.00 points out of 6

RESCUE & EXTRICATION
2.50 points out of 4

FULL WIDTH FRONTAL*
7.69 points out of 8

WHIPLASH PROTECTION
3.96 points out of 4

SIDE IMPACT*
6.00 points out of 6

FAR SIDE IMPACT
1.46 points out of 4

* Scaled scores. Total test scored out of 16.00 points.

The passenger compartment remained stable in the **frontal offset (MPDB)** test. Protection of the driver's chest and lower legs, as well as the front passenger's lower legs, was ADEQUATE. Protection for all other critical body regions for the driver and the front passenger was GOOD.

The front structure of the vehicle presented a moderate risk to occupants of an oncoming vehicle in the MPDB test (which evaluates vehicle-to-vehicle compatibility), and a 3.55 point penalty (out of 8.00 points) was applied.

In the **full width frontal** test, protection was ADEQUATE for the chest of both the driver and the rear passenger, and GOOD for all other critical body regions.

In the side impact test and oblique pole test, protection offered to all critical body regions was GOOD and maximum points were awarded in these tests.

A centre airbag or other countermeasure to prevent contact between the heads of front seat occupants in side impacts is not available on the Peugeot 5008. Tests to measure potential injury risk in far side impacts were therefore not conducted. Prevention of excursion (movement towards the other side of the vehicle) in the **far side impact** tests was assessed as ADEQUATE for the vehicle-to-vehicle impact scenario, and MARGINAL in the vehicle-to-pole scenario.

A Rescue Sheet, providing information for first responders in the event of a crash is available, and a multi-collision braking system is fitted. It was demonstrated that, if the car entered water, the doors of the Peugeot 5008 would remain functional for the minimum required time period, though window opening functionality was not demonstrated.

FRONTAL OFFSET (MPDB) TEST - 50km/h



	DRIVER	FRONT PASSENGER
Head / Neck	4.00 pts	4.00 pts
Chest	2.87 pts	4.00 pts
Upper Legs	4.00 pts	4.00 pts
Lower Legs	3.64 pts	3.75 pts
Deductions	Nil	Nil



COMPATIBILITY	
Deductions	-3.55 pts

FULL WIDTH FRONTAL TEST - 50km/h



	DRIVER	REAR PASSENGER
Head	4.00 pts	4.00 pts
Neck	4.00 pts	4.00 pts
Chest	3.24 pts	3.53 pts
Upper Legs	4.00 pts	4.00 pts
Deductions	Nil	Nil

SIDE IMPACT TEST - 60km/h



	DRIVER
Head	4.00 pts
Chest	4.00 pts
Abdomen	4.00 pts
Pelvis	4.00 pts
Deductions	Nil

OBLIQUE POLE TEST - 32km/h



	DRIVER
Head	4.00 pts
Chest	4.00 pts
Abdomen	4.00 pts
Pelvis	4.00 pts
Deductions	Nil



Adult Occupant Protection

82%

33.09 out of 40

FAR SIDE IMPACT TESTS - 60km/h and 32km/h



SIDE IMPACT (60km/h)	DRIVER
Head	2.00 pts
Neck	2.00 pts
Chest & Abdomen	2.00 pts
Pelvis	No penalty



OBLIQUE POLE (32km/h)	DRIVER
Head	1.00 pts
Neck	0.74 pts
Chest & Abdomen	1.00 pts
Pelvis	No penalty



OCCUPANT-TO-OCCUPANT	
Head Contact	NOT ASSESSED

WHIPLASH PROTECTION TESTS



	DRIVER / FRONT PASSENGER	REAR PASSENGER
Rear Impact	2.96 pts	1.00 pts

RESCUE & EXTRICATION



Rescue Sheet	●	No penalty
Door Opening / Extrication	●	No penalty
Multi-Collision Braking	●	1.00 pt
Advanced eCall	✗	1.00 pt default
Vehicle Submergence		
- Door opening	●	0.50 pt
- Window opening	✗	Not available

● FITTED TO TEST CAR AS STANDARD ● NOT FITTED TO TEST CAR BUT AVAILABLE AS AN OPTION ✗ NOT AVAILABLE - N/A



Child Occupant Protection

87%

43.00 out of 49

DYNAMIC TEST (FRONT)
16.00 points out of 16

RESTRAINT INSTALLATION
12.00 points out of 12

DYNAMIC TEST (SIDE)
8.00 points out of 8

ON-BOARD SAFETY FEATURES
7.00 points out of 13

In the **frontal offset** and **side impact** tests, protection of the 10 year and 6 year dummies was GOOD and the Peugeot 5008 scored maximum points in these tests.

The Peugeot 5008 is fitted with lower ISOFix anchorages for outboard seats of the second row and top tether anchorages for all second row seating positions. Top tethers are not available in the third row.

Installation of typical child restraints available in Australia and New Zealand showed that all of the selected child restraints could be accommodated in each of the seating positions of the second row, and full points were scored for this assessment.

A child presence detection (CPD) system is not available.

NOTE: Top tether anchorages are not available in the third row of seating. Installation of child restraints in the third row is therefore not recommended.

FRONTAL OFFSET (MPDB) TEST - 50km/h

SIDE IMPACT TEST - 60km/h



6 YEAR OLD

10 YEAR OLD



10 YEAR OLD

6 YEAR OLD

ON-BOARD SAFETY FEATURES	FRONT PASSENGER	2nd ROW OUTBOARD	2nd ROW CENTRE	3rd ROW OUTBOARD	3rd ROW CENTRE
ISOFIX Anchorages	✗	●	✗	✗	-
Top Tether Anchorage	✗	●	●	✗	-
Airbag Disabling	✗	-	-	-	-
Child Presence Detection 0.00 pts (out of 4.00pts)	✗	✗	✗	✗	-

● FITTED AS STANDARD ✗ NOT AVAILABLE - N/A

CHILD RESTRAINT TYPE ^{^*}		FRONT ROW PASSENGER	2nd ROW			3rd ROW		
			L	C	R	L	C	R
BELTED	Rearward-facing capsule	✗	●	●	●	✗	-	✗
	Rearward-facing with harness - convertible (Model A)	✗	●	●	●	✗	-	✗
	Rearward-facing with harness - convertible (Model B)	✗	●	●	●	✗	-	✗
	Forward-facing with harness - convertible (Model A)	✗	●	●	●	✗	-	✗
	Forward-facing with harness - convertible (Model B)	✗	●	●	●	✗	-	✗
	Booster - 4 to 8 years	✗	●	●	●	✗	-	✗
ISOFIX	Booster - 4 to 10 years	✗	●	●	●	✗	-	✗
	Rearward-facing capsule	✗	●	-	●	-	-	-
	Rearward-facing with harness - convertible (Model A)	✗	●	-	●	-	-	-
	Rearward-facing with harness - convertible (Model B)	✗	●	-	●	-	-	-
	Forward-facing with harness - convertible (Model A)	✗	●	-	●	-	-	-
	Forward-facing with harness - convertible (Model B)	✗	●	-	●	-	-	-

● INSTALL WITHOUT PROBLEM ● INSTALL WITH CARE ● CANNOT BE FITTED SAFELY ✗ INSTALLATION NOT ALLOWED - N/A

GOOD ADEQUATE MARGINAL WEAK POOR NOT TESTED

NOTE: The child restraints fitted to vehicles tested by Euro NCAP are relevant to the European market. For Australasian consumers, this information should be used as a guide to vehicle features only. The Child Restraint Evaluation Program (CREP) provides an independent assessment on the safety of Australasian child restraints - see www.childcarseats.com.au.
 * Installation of each child restraint is assessed separately in each position. Installation of multiple restraints has not been assessed and may not be possible.
 ^ The list of child restraints has been selected to provide a general indication of the rated vehicle's ability to accommodate various CRS types. ANCAP does not endorse or recommend any one CRS brand or model, nor does it rate the safety of child restraints.



Vulnerable Road User Protection

79%

50.18 out of 63

HEAD PROTECTION (Adult, Child, Cyclist)
10.95 points out of 18

PELVIS PROTECTION
4.50 points out of 4.5

FEMUR PROTECTION
4.50 points out of 4.5

KNEE & TIBIA PROTECTION
9.00 points out of 9

AEB PEDESTRIAN (Forward)
5.74 points out of 7

AEB PEDESTRIAN (Backover)
0.00 points out of 2

AEB CYCLIST
7.50 points out of 9

AEB MOTORCYCLE
6.00 points out of 6

LSS MOTORCYCLE
2.00 points out of 3

In **pedestrian impact** tests, the bonnet and windscreen provided GOOD or ADEQUATE protection to the head of a struck pedestrian over most of its surface, with MARGINAL and POOR results recorded on the stiff windscreen pillars, as well as the rear and sides of the bonnet surface. Protection of the pelvis, femurs and lower legs was GOOD.

The autonomous emergency braking (AEB) system is capable of detecting and reacting to vulnerable road users such as pedestrians, cyclists and motorcyclists.

Testing of this system showed GOOD performance in **AEB Pedestrian** test scenarios overall, however reduced performance was seen in tests with the child dummy, which is obstructed for part of the vehicle approach. The AEB system does not react to vulnerable road users in reverse, and hence **AEB Backover** tests were not conducted.

GOOD performance was seen in **AEB Cyclist** test scenarios with collisions avoided or mitigated at most test speeds including in turning scenarios. The vehicle does not provide any warning when a bicycle is approaching from behind (**cyclist anti-dooring**).

GOOD performance was seen in the **AEB Motorcyclist** tests, including in the turning scenarios. Performance in the emergency lane keeping scenarios was assessed as ADEQUATE.

PEDESTRIAN & CYCLIST IMPACT TESTS



AUTONOMOUS EMERGENCY BRAKING (Cyclist, Pedestrian & Motorcycle)

System Name	Active Safety Brake
Type	Autonomous emergency braking with forward collision warning
Operational From	8-80 km/h

	Cyclist traveling along road (25%)	Cyclist crossing from kerb (obstructed)	Cyclist traveling along road (50%)	Cyclist crossing (nearside)	Cyclist crossing (farside)	Cyclist crossing side road, car turning (nearside)	Cyclist crossing side road, car turning (farside)
	DAY	DAY	DAY	DAY	DAY	DAY	DAY
AEB CYCLIST TEST SCENARIOS (forward)							
PERFORMANCE	GOOD	GOOD	GOOD	GOOD	GOOD	GOOD	GOOD

CYCLIST DOORING

Information (driver door)	×
Warning (driver door)	×
Retention (driver door)	×
Warning or retention (all other doors)	×

● PASS × FAIL - N/A

■ GOOD
 ■ ADEQUATE
 ■ MARGINAL
 ■ WEAK
 ■ POOR / NOT TESTED DUE TO NO PERFORMANCE PREDICTED
 ■ NOT TESTED



Vulnerable Road User Protection

79%

50.18 out of 63

AEB PEDESTRIAN TEST SCENARIOS (reverse)	Child / Adult standing behind reversing vehicle (25% offset)	Adult / Child standing behind reversing vehicle (50% offset)	Child / Adult standing behind reversing vehicle (75% offset)	Adult / Child walking behind reversing vehicle (50% offset)
	DAY	DAY	DAY	DAY
4km/h				
8km/h				
PERFORMANCE	POOR			

AEB PEDESTRIAN TEST SCENARIOS (forward)	Adult walking along road		Adult crossing towards kerb (50%)		Adult crossing from kerb (25%)		Adult crossing from kerb (75%)		Child running (obstructed)		Adult crossing side road (farside), car turning		Adult crossing side road (nearside), car turning	
	DAY	NIGHT	DAY	NIGHT	DAY	NIGHT	DAY	NIGHT	DAY	NIGHT	DAY	NIGHT	DAY	NIGHT
PERFORMANCE	GOOD													

AEB MOTORCYCLE TEST SCENARIOS (forward)	Driving towards a stationary motorcycle			Driving towards a braking motorcycle (25% offset)			Turning across the path of an oncoming motorcycle		
	100% OFFSET			12m HEADWAY			TARGET MOTORCYCLE SPEED		
	40m HEADWAY						30km/h	45km/h	60km/h
AEB (10-50km/h)									
FCW (30-80km/h)									
PERFORMANCE	GOOD						GOOD		

LANE SUPPORT SYSTEMS (Car-to-Motorcycle)

System Name	Lane departure warning and Lane keeping assist
Operational From	65-180 km/h

EMERGENCY LANE KEEPING (ELK) TEST SCENARIOS Car-to-Motorcycle	Oncoming motorcycle	Overtaking motorcycle (EMT at 60km/h)		Overtaking motorcycle (EMT at 80km/h)	
		UNINTENTIONAL	INTENTIONAL	UNINTENTIONAL	INTENTIONAL
PERFORMANCE					
	ADEQUATE				

■ GOOD
 ■ ADEQUATE
 ■ MARGINAL
 ■ WEAK
 ■ POOR / NOT TESTED DUE TO NO PERFORMANCE PREDICTED
 ■ NOT TESTED



Safety Assist

65%

11.72 out of 18

SEAT BELT REMINDERS
0.00 points out of 1DRIVER MONITORING
0.35 points out of 2SPEED ASSISTANCE SYSTEMS
2.41 points out of 3AEB / AES (Car-to-Car)
3.38 points out of 4AEB / AES (Junction & Crossing)
3.08 points out of 4AEB / AES (Head-On)
0.00 points out of 1LANE SUPPORT SYSTEMS
2.50 points out of 3

The Peugeot 5008 is fitted with an autonomous emergency braking system capable of functioning at highway speeds, and a lane support system (LSS) with lane keep assist (LKA) and emergency lane keeping (ELK) functionality.

Tests of the **AEB (Car-to-Car)** system showed GOOD performance with collisions avoided or mitigated in all car-to-car rear and **AEB Junction** scenarios. The vehicle also avoided impact in some of the **AEB Crossing** scenarios where the test vehicle can autonomously brake to avoid crashes when crossing the path of an oncoming vehicle. The AEB system does not react to when turning across the path of an oncoming vehicle, and hence **AEB Head-On** tests were not conducted.


Tests of **lane support system** functionality showed GOOD performance in LKA scenarios, and ADEQUATE performance in the more critical ELK scenarios.

A speed assistance system (SAS) with speed limit information function (SLIF) and intelligent adaptive cruise control (iACC) is standard, informing the driver of the local speed limit and allowing the driver to accept the change in speed accordingly.

A seatbelt reminder system is fitted to the front seating positions only, however occupant detection is not available for the rear seats and was therefore not eligible for scoring. An indirect driver drowsiness monitor system is fitted as standard.

AUTONOMOUS EMERGENCY BRAKING (Car-to-Car)

System Name	Active Safety Brake
Type	Autonomous emergency braking with forward collision warning
Operational From	8-140 km/h



GOOD ADEQUATE MARGINAL WEAK POOR / NOT TESTED DUE TO NO PERFORMANCE PREDICTED NOT TESTED





Safety Assist

65%

11.72 out of 18

AUTONOMOUS EMERGENCY BRAKING (Car-to-Car Junction, Crossing and Head-On)

		JUNCTION ASSIST Turning across the path of an oncoming vehicle			CROSSING (T-BONE) Crossing the path of another vehicle				
TARGET VEHICLE SPEED		30km/h	45km/h	60km/h	20km/h	30km/h	40km/h	50km/h	60km/h
									
TEST VEHICLE SPEED	Start from stop	-	-	-					
	10km/h				-	-	-	-	-
	15km/h				-	-	-	-	-
	20km/h								
	30km/h	-	-	-					
	40km/h	-	-	-					
	50km/h	-	-	-					
	60km/h	-	-	-					
PERFORMANCE		GOOD			ADEQUATE				

			HEAD-ON In the path of oncoming vehicle		
			50km/h	70km/h	
TEST VEHICLE SPEED	Travelling straight	TARGET VEHICLE SPEED	50km/h		
		70km/h			
	Lane change	TARGET VEHICLE SPEED	50km/h		
		70km/h			
PERFORMANCE			POOR		

LANE SUPPORT SYSTEMS (Car-to-Car)

System Name	Lane departure warning and Lane keeping assist
Operational From	65-180 km/h

		Dashed line	Solid line
LANE KEEP ASSIST (LKA) TEST SCENARIOS Car-to-Car			
PERFORMANCE		GOOD	

		Oncoming vehicle	Overtaking vehicle (GVT at 72km/h)		Overtaking vehicle (GVT at 80km/h)	Road edge		Solid line	
			UNINTENTIONAL	INTENTIONAL	UNINTENTIONAL	INTENTIONAL			
EMERGENCY LANE KEEPING (ELK) TEST SCENARIOS Car-to-Car									
PERFORMANCE									
		ADEQUATE							

■ GOOD
 ■ ADEQUATE
 ■ MARGINAL
 ■ WEAK
 ■ POOR / NOT TESTED DUE TO NO PERFORMANCE PREDICTED
 ■ NOT TESTED



Safety Assist

65%

11.72 out of 18

OCCUPANT STATUS

WARNING TYPE	DRIVER	FRONT PASSENGER	REAR PASSENGERS
Occupant Detection	-	●	✗
Seat Belt Reminder (Visual)	●	●	●
Seat Belt Reminder (Audible)	●	●	●

DRIVER MONITORING

	WARNING	INTERVENTION
Distraction	✗	✗
Fatigue	●	●
Unresponsive Driver	-	✗

SPEED ASSISTANCE SYSTEMS (SAS)

FEATURE

Speed Limit Information Function (SLIF)	Camera & map
Manual Speed Limiter	●
Intelligent Adaptive Cruise Control (iACC)	●
Intelligent Speed Limitation (ISL)	●

HUMAN MACHINE INTERFACE (HMI)

FEATURE

AEB: Supplementary Warning	✗
AEB: Restraint activation / dynamic retractors / emergency steering support	✗
Lane Departure Warning (LDW)	●
Blind Spot Monitoring (BSM): Car-to-Car & Car-to-Motorcycle	✗

SAFETY FEATURES & TECHNOLOGIES

SAFETY FEATURE / TECHNOLOGY*	AUS	NZ
Seat belt pre-tensioners (front seats)	●	-
Seat belt pre-tensioners (rear outboard seats) - 2nd row	●	-
Seat belt pre-tensioners (rear centre seat) - 2nd row	✗	-
Seat belt pre-tensioners (rear outboard seats) - 3rd row	✗	-
Seat belt pre-tensioners (rear centre seat) - 3rd row	-	-
Intelligent seat belt reminder (driver)	●	-
Intelligent seat belt reminder (front passenger)	●	-
Intelligent seat belt reminder (2nd row seats)	●	-
Intelligent seat belt reminder (3rd row seats)	●	-
Airbag - dual frontal (driver & front passenger)	●	-
Airbags - side, chest protection (front seats)	●	-
Airbags - side, chest protection (2nd row seats)	✗	-
Airbags - side, chest protection (3rd row seats)	✗	-
Airbags - side, head protection (front seats)	●	-
Airbags - side, head protection (2nd row seats)	●	-
Airbags - side, head protection (3rd row seats)	●	-
Airbag - centre	✗	-
Airbag - knee (driver)	✗	-
Airbag - knee (front passenger)	✗	-
Airbag - pedestrian (external)	✗	-
Airbag disabling switch - automatic (front passenger)	✗	-
Airbag disabling switch - manual (front passenger)	✗	-
Autonomous emergency braking (AEB) - Car-to-Car	●	-
Autonomous emergency braking (AEB) - Vulnerable Road User		
- AEB Pedestrian	●	-
- AEB Backover	✗	-
- AEB Cyclist	●	-
- AEB Motorcycle	●	-
Autonomous emergency braking (AEB) - Junction		
- AEB Junction (Car)	●	-
- AEB Junction (Pedestrian)	●	-
- AEB Junction (Cyclist)	●	-
- AEB Junction (Motorcycle)	●	-
Autonomous emergency braking (AEB) - Crossing	●	-
Automatic emergency call (eCall)	✗	-
Blind spot monitor (BSM)	●	-
Child presence detection / alert	✗	-
Cyclist dooring detection / alert	✗	-
Driver monitoring system - Indirect	●	-
Driver monitoring system - Direct	✗	-
Forward collision warning (FCW)	●	-
Lane departure warning (LDW)	●	-
Lane keep assist (LKA)		
- LKA (Car-to-Car)	●	-
- LKA (Car-to-Motorcycle)	●	-
Secondary / multi-collision brake	●	-
Speed assistance - intelligent adaptive cruise control (iACC)	●	-
Speed assistance - auto / intelligent speed limiter	●	-
Speed assistance - manual speed limiter	●	-
Speed assistance - speed sign recognition & warning	●	-
Vehicle-to-infrastructure communication (V2I)	✗	-
Vehicle-to-vehicle communication (V2V)	✗	-

● STANDARD ● AVAILABLE ON HIGHER VARIANTS ● OPTIONAL ✗ NOT AVAILABLE - NOT APPLICABLE

* Correct at time of publication. Subject to change. Check with manufacturer.

TESTED MAKE / MODEL
Peugeot e3008 73 kWh 210, LHD

TESTED VEHICLE ENGINE
Battery Electric (BEV)

RATING UPDATED
n/a

TESTED BODY TYPE
5 door SUV

RATING PUBLISHED
July 2025