

KIA EV4



APPLIES TO
All variants

VEHICLE TYPE
Medium Car

ENGINE / MOTOR TYPES
Battery Electric

BUILT FROM
NZ: June 2025
AU: December 2025

ON SALE FROM
NZ: September 2025
AU: January 2026

MODEL SERIES
n/a

RATING CRITERIA
2023-2025

RATING EXPIRES
December 2031

AIRBAGS
Dual frontal, side chest,
side head, centre



ANCAP
SAFETY

TESTED
2025



The Kia EV4 was introduced in New Zealand in September 2025 and Australia in January 2026. This ANCAP safety rating applies to all variants.

Dual frontal, side chest-protecting and side head-protecting airbags are standard. A centre airbag, which provides added protection to front seat occupants in side impact crashes, is also standard.

Autonomous emergency braking (Car-to-Car, Vulnerable Road User, Junction & Crossing and Head-On), as well as a lane support system with lane keep assist (LKA), lane departure warning (LDW) and emergency lane keeping (ELK), and an advanced speed assistance system (SAS) with a speed sign recognition system, are standard.

ASSESSMENT SCORES



Adult Occupant Protection

84%

33.92 out of 40



Child Occupant Protection

86%

42.53 out of 49



Vulnerable Road User Protection

77%

49.13 out of 63



Safety Assist

81%

14.64 out of 18

RATING APPLICABILITY*

VARIANT	BODY TYPE	ENGINE / POWERTRAIN	DRIVETRAIN	AUS	NZ
Kia EV4 Air SR	4 door sedan	Battery Electric Vehicle (BEV)	2WD	✓	-
Kia EV4 Earth LR	4 door sedan	Battery Electric Vehicle (BEV)	2WD	✓	-
Kia EV4 GT-Line LR	4 door sedan	Battery Electric Vehicle (BEV)	2WD	✓	✓
Kia EV4 Light LR	4 door sedan	Battery Electric Vehicle (BEV)	2WD	-	✓

* Correct at time of publication. Subject to change. Check with manufacturer.



Adult Occupant Protection

84%

33.92 out of 40

FRONTAL OFFSET (MPDB)*
5.27 points out of 8

OBLIQUE POLE*
6.00 points out of 6

RESCUE & EXTRICATION
2.67 points out of 4

FULL WIDTH FRONTAL*
8.00 points out of 8

WHIPLASH PROTECTION
3.48 points out of 4

SIDE IMPACT*
6.00 points out of 6

FAR SIDE IMPACT
2.50 points out of 4

* Scaled scores. Total test scored out of 16.00 points.

The passenger compartment of the Kia EV4 remained stable in the **frontal offset (MPDB)** test. Dummy readings indicated ADEQUATE protection for the driver's chest, while the lower legs protection was MARGINAL. Insufficient inflation of the driver airbag allowed the driver's head to 'bottom out' and contact the steering wheel through the airbag and the driver's head score was penalised, with protection assessed as ADEQUATE. Dummy readings indicated ADEQUATE protection of the lower leg of the front passenger, with GOOD protection for all other critical body regions of both the driver and front passenger.

The front structure of the Kia EV4 presented a moderate risk to occupants of an oncoming vehicle in the MPDB test (which evaluates vehicle-to-vehicle compatibility), and a 2.29 point penalty (out of 8.00 points) was applied.

In the **full width frontal** test, protection was GOOD for all critical body regions for both the driver and rear passenger and maximum points were scored.

In the **side impact** and the **oblique pole** tests, protection offered to all critical body regions was GOOD and the Kia EV4 scored maximum points.

The Kia EV4 is equipped with a centre airbag to protect against occupant-to-occupant interaction in side impacts, however, there was contact between the occupants during the oblique pole test and a penalty was applied. Prevention of excursion (movement towards the other side of the vehicle) in the **far side impact** tests was assessed as ADEQUATE for the vehicle-to-vehicle impact scenario and MARGINAL for the vehicle-to-pole scenario.

A Rescue Sheet, providing information for first responders in the event of a crash is available, and a multi-collision braking system is fitted. It was demonstrated that, if the car entered water, the doors and windows of the Kia EV4 would remain functional for the minimum required time period.

FRONTAL OFFSET (MPDB) TEST - 50km/h



	DRIVER	FRONT PASSENGER
Head / Neck	3.00 pts	4.00 pts
Chest	3.91 pts	4.00 pts
Upper Legs	4.00 pts	4.00 pts
Lower Legs	1.91 pts	3.73 pts
Deductions	-1.00 pts (airbag bottoming)	Nil



COMPATIBILITY

Deductions	-2.29 pts
------------	-----------

FULL WIDTH FRONTAL TEST - 50km/h



	DRIVER	REAR PASSENGER
Head	4.00 pts	4.00 pts
Neck	4.00 pts	4.00 pts
Chest	4.00 pts	4.00 pts
Upper Legs	4.00 pts	4.00 pts
Deductions	Nil	Nil

SIDE IMPACT TEST - 60km/h



	DRIVER
Head	4.00 pts
Chest	4.00 pts
Abdomen	4.00 pts
Pelvis	4.00 pts
Deductions	Nil

OBLIQUE POLE TEST - 32km/h



	DRIVER
Head	4.00 pts
Chest	4.00 pts
Abdomen	4.00 pts
Pelvis	4.00 pts
Deductions	Nil



Adult Occupant Protection

84%

33.92 out of 40

FAR SIDE IMPACT TESTS - 60km/h and 32km/h



SIDE IMPACT (60km/h)	DRIVER
Head	4.00 pts
Neck	4.00 pts
Chest & Abdomen	4.00 pts
Pelvis	No penalty



OBLIQUE POLE (32km/h)	DRIVER
Head	3.00 pts
Neck	3.00 pts
Chest & Abdomen	3.00 pts
Pelvis	No penalty



OCCUPANT-TO-OCCUPANT	
Head Contact	-1.00 pts

WHIPLASH PROTECTION TESTS



	DRIVER / FRONT PASSENGER	REAR PASSENGER
Rear Impact	2.86 pts	0.63 pts

RESCUE & EXTRICATION



Rescue Sheet	●	No penalty
Door Opening / Extrication	●	No penalty
Multi-Collision Braking	●	1.00 pt
Advanced eCall	✗	0.67 pt default
Vehicle Submergence		
- Door opening	●	0.50 pt
- Window opening	●	0.50 pt

● FITTED TO TEST CAR AS STANDARD ● NOT FITTED TO TEST CAR BUT AVAILABLE AS AN OPTION ✗ NOT AVAILABLE - N/A



Child Occupant Protection

86%

42.53 out of 49

DYNAMIC TEST (FRONT)
15.72 points out of 16

RESTRAINT INSTALLATION
11.81 points out of 12

DYNAMIC TEST (SIDE)
8.00 points out of 8

ON-BOARD SAFETY FEATURES
7.00 points out of 13

In the **frontal offset** test, dummy readings indicated GOOD protection for all critical body areas of both child dummies, apart from the neck of the 10 year dummy which was assessed as ADEQUATE.

In the **side impact** test, protection of all critical body areas was GOOD for both child dummies, and maximum points were scored.

The Kia EV4 is fitted with lower ISOFix anchorages on the rear outboard seats and top tether anchorages for all rear seating positions.

Installation of typical child restraints available in Australia and New Zealand showed most child restraints could be accommodated in most rear seating positions, though one of the selected booster seats could not be correctly installed in the centre rear seating position.

A direct child presence detection (CPD) system, which provides an alert when a child may have been left in the vehicle, is fitted to all passenger seats as standard. However, the system did not meet ANCAP's requirements and was not rewarded.

FRONTAL OFFSET (MPDB) TEST - 50km/h

SIDE IMPACT TEST - 60km/h



6 YEAR OLD

10 YEAR OLD

10 YEAR OLD

6 YEAR OLD

ON-BOARD SAFETY FEATURES	FRONT PASSENGER	2nd ROW OUTBOARD	2nd ROW CENTRE	3rd ROW OUTBOARD	3rd ROW CENTRE
ISOFIX Anchorages	✗	●	✗	-	-
Top Tether Anchorage	✗	●	●	-	-
Airbag Disabling	✗	-	-	-	-
Child Presence Detection 0.00 pts (out of 4.00pts)	✗	✗	✗	-	-

● FITTED AS STANDARD ✗ NOT AVAILABLE - N/A

CHILD RESTRAINT TYPE ^{^*}	FRONT ROW PASSENGER	2nd ROW			3rd ROW		
		L	C	R	L	C	R
BELTED							
Rearward-facing capsule	✗	●	●	●	-	-	-
Rearward-facing with harness - convertible (Model A)	✗	●	●	●	-	-	-
Rearward-facing with harness - convertible (Model B)	✗	●	●	●	-	-	-
Forward-facing with harness - convertible (Model A)	✗	●	●	●	-	-	-
Forward-facing with harness - convertible (Model B)	✗	●	●	●	-	-	-
Booster - 4 to 8 years	✗	●	●	●	-	-	-
Booster - 4 to 10 years	✗	●	●	●	-	-	-
ISOFIX							
Rearward-facing capsule	✗	●	-	●	-	-	-
Rearward-facing with harness - convertible (Model A)	✗	●	-	●	-	-	-
Rearward-facing with harness - convertible (Model B)	✗	●	-	●	-	-	-
Forward-facing with harness - convertible (Model A)	✗	●	-	●	-	-	-
Forward-facing with harness - convertible (Model B)	✗	●	-	●	-	-	-

● INSTALL WITHOUT PROBLEM ● INSTALL WITH CARE ● CANNOT BE FITTED SAFELY ✗ INSTALLATION NOT ALLOWED - N/A

GOOD ADEQUATE MARGINAL WEAK POOR NOT TESTED

NOTE: The child restraints fitted to vehicles tested by Euro NCAP are relevant to the European market. For Australasian consumers, this information should be used as a guide to vehicle features only. The Child Restraint Evaluation Program (CREP) provides an independent assessment on the safety of Australasian child restraints - see www.childrearseats.com.au. * Installation of each child restraint is assessed separately in each position. Installation of multiple restraints has not been assessed and may not be possible. ^ The list of child restraints has been selected to provide a general indication of the rated vehicle's ability to accommodate various CRS types. ANCAP does not endorse or recommend any one CRS brand or model, nor does it rate the safety of child restraints.



Vulnerable Road User Protection

77%

49.13 out of 63

HEAD PROTECTION (Adult, Child, Cyclist) 9.57 points out of 18	KNEE & TIBIA PROTECTION 9.00 points out of 9	AEB CYCLIST 7.57 points out of 9
PELVIS PROTECTION 4.45 points out of 4.5	AEB PEDESTRIAN (Forward) 5.55 points out of 7	AEB MOTORCYCLE 6.00 points out of 6
FEMUR PROTECTION 4.50 points out of 4.5	AEB PEDESTRIAN (Backover) 0.00 points out of 2	LSS MOTORCYCLE 2.50 points out of 3

In **pedestrian impact** tests, the bonnet and windscreen of the Kia EV4 provided ADEQUATE protection to the head of a struck pedestrian over most of its surface, with WEAK and POOR results recorded on the stiff windscreen pillars, the base of the windscreen and at the rear and sides of the bonnet.

Protection of the pelvis was GOOD or ADEQUATE, while protection of the femurs and lower legs was GOOD.

The autonomous emergency braking (AEB) system is capable of detecting and reacting to vulnerable road users such as pedestrians, cyclists and motorcyclists.

Testing of this system showed GOOD performance in **AEB Pedestrian** test scenarios, with collisions avoided or mitigated in most tests, including in some turning scenarios. The AEB system on some higher variants react to vulnerable road users in reverse (**AEB Backover**), but the system was not standard on the tested vehicle and tests of this function were therefore not conducted.

GOOD performance was seen in **AEB Cyclist** test scenarios with collisions avoided or mitigated at most test speeds, including in turning scenarios. The vehicle provides information and warning to occupants when a bicycle is approaching from behind (**cyclist anti-dooring**).

GOOD performance was seen in the **AEB Motorcyclist** tests, including in turning and in some emergency lane keeping scenarios.

PEDESTRIAN & CYCLIST IMPACT TESTS



AUTONOMOUS EMERGENCY BRAKING (Cyclist, Pedestrian & Motorcycle)

System Name	Forward Collision-Avoidance Assist (FCA)
Type	Autonomous emergency braking with forward collision warning
Operational From	5-80 km/h

	Cyclist traveling along road (25%)	Cyclist crossing from kerb (obstructed)	Cyclist traveling along road (50%)	Cyclist crossing (nearside)	Cyclist crossing (farside)	Cyclist crossing side road, car turning (nearside)	Cyclist crossing side road, car turning (farside)
	DAY	DAY	DAY	DAY	DAY	DAY	DAY
AEB CYCLIST TEST SCENARIOS (forward)							
PERFORMANCE	GOOD	ADEQUATE	GOOD	GOOD	GOOD	GOOD	GOOD

CYCLIST DOORING

Information (driver door)	●
Warning (driver door)	✗
Retention (driver door)	✗
Warning or retention (all other doors)	●

● PASS ✗ FAIL - N/A

■ GOOD
 ■ ADEQUATE
 ■ MARGINAL
 ■ WEAK
 ■ POOR / NOT TESTED DUE TO NO PERFORMANCE PREDICTED
 ■ NOT TESTED



Vulnerable Road User Protection

77%

49.13 out of 63

AEB PEDESTRIAN TEST SCENARIOS (reverse)	Child / Adult standing behind reversing vehicle (25% offset)	Adult / Child standing behind reversing vehicle (50% offset)	Child / Adult standing behind reversing vehicle (75% offset)	Adult / Child walking behind reversing vehicle (50% offset)
	DAY	DAY	DAY	DAY
4km/h				
8km/h				
PERFORMANCE	[NOT ASSESSED]			

AEB PEDESTRIAN TEST SCENARIOS (forward)	Adult walking along road		Adult crossing towards kerb (50%)		Adult crossing from kerb (25%)		Adult crossing from kerb (75%)		Child running (obstructed)		Adult crossing side road (farside), car turning		Adult crossing side road (nearside), car turning	
	DAY	NIGHT	DAY	NIGHT	DAY	NIGHT	DAY	NIGHT	DAY	NIGHT	DAY	NIGHT	DAY	NIGHT
PERFORMANCE	GOOD													

AEB MOTORCYCLE TEST SCENARIOS (forward)	Driving towards a stationary motorcycle			Driving towards a braking motorcycle (25% offset)			Turning across the path of an oncoming motorcycle		
	100% OFFSET	12m HEADWAY	40m HEADWAY	100% OFFSET	12m HEADWAY	40m HEADWAY	TARGET MOTORCYCLE SPEED		
AEB (10-50km/h)							10km/h		
FCW (30-80km/h)							15km/h		
PERFORMANCE	GOOD			GOOD			GOOD		

LANE SUPPORT SYSTEMS (Car-to-Motorcycle)

System Name Lane Keeping Assist (LKA)
Operational From 60-200 km/h

EMERGENCY LANE KEEPING (ELK) TEST SCENARIOS Car-to-Motorcycle	Oncoming motorcycle	Overtaking motorcycle (EMT at 60km/h)		Overtaking motorcycle (EMT at 80km/h)		
		UNINTENTIONAL	INTENTIONAL	UNINTENTIONAL	INTENTIONAL	
PERFORMANCE						
	GOOD					

■ GOOD
 ■ ADEQUATE
 ■ MARGINAL
 ■ WEAK
 ■ POOR / NOT TESTED DUE TO NO PERFORMANCE PREDICTED
 ■ NOT TESTED



Safety Assist

81%

14.64 out of 18

SEAT BELT REMINDERS
0.67 points out of 1

AEB / AES (Car-to-Car)
3.50 points out of 4

LANE SUPPORT SYSTEMS
3.00 points out of 3

DRIVER MONITORING
1.50 points out of 2

AEB / AES (Junction & Crossing)
2.37 points out of 4

SPEED ASSISTANCE SYSTEMS
2.73 points out of 3

AEB / AES (Head-On)
0.88 points out of 1

The Kia EV4 is fitted with an autonomous emergency braking system capable of functioning at highway speeds, a lane support system (LSS) with lane keep assist (LKA) and emergency lane keeping (ELK) functionality, and blind spot monitoring (BSM).

Tests of the **AEB (Car-to-Car)** system showed GOOD performance with collisions avoided or mitigated in all car-to-car rear and **AEB Junction** test scenarios, and in several **AEB Crossing** scenarios where the test vehicle can autonomously brake to avoid crossing the path of an oncoming vehicle. **AEB Head-On** system functionality showed GOOD performance.

Tests of **lane support system** functionality showed GOOD performance, including in the more critical emergency lane keeping test scenarios, earning full points in this assessment.


A speed assistance system (SAS) with speed limit information function (SLIF) and intelligent adaptive cruise control (iACC) is standard, informing the driver of the local speed limit and allowing the driver to accept the change in speed accordingly.

A seatbelt reminder system is fitted to all seating positions, however occupancy detection is not fitted for the centre-rear seat.

A direct driver monitoring system (DMS) that can detect driver drowsiness and distraction is fitted as standard. The system provides a warning to the driver and can adjust driver assistance parameters.

AUTONOMOUS EMERGENCY BRAKING (Car-to-Car)

System Name	Forward Collision-Avoidance Assist (FCA)
Type	Autonomous emergency braking with forward collision warning
Operational From	5-130 km/h



■ GOOD
 ■ ADEQUATE
 ■ MARGINAL
 ■ WEAK
 ■ POOR / NOT TESTED DUE TO NO PERFORMANCE PREDICTED
 ■ NOT TESTED



Safety Assist

81%

14.64 out of 18

AUTONOMOUS EMERGENCY BRAKING (Car-to-Car Junction, Crossing and Head-On)

		JUNCTION ASSIST Turning across the path of an oncoming vehicle			CROSSING (T-BONE) Crossing the path of another vehicle				
TARGET VEHICLE SPEED		30km/h	45km/h	60km/h	20km/h	30km/h	40km/h	50km/h	60km/h
TEST VEHICLE SPEED	Start from stop	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	10km/h	GOOD	GOOD	GOOD	-	-	-	-	-
	15km/h	GOOD	GOOD	GOOD	-	-	-	-	-
	20km/h	GOOD	GOOD	GOOD	MARGINAL	MARGINAL	MARGINAL	MARGINAL	MARGINAL
	30km/h	-	-	-	MARGINAL	GOOD	MARGINAL	MARGINAL	MARGINAL
	40km/h	-	-	-	MARGINAL	MARGINAL	GOOD	MARGINAL	MARGINAL
	50km/h	-	-	-	MARGINAL	MARGINAL	MARGINAL	MARGINAL	MARGINAL
60km/h	-	-	-	MARGINAL	MARGINAL	MARGINAL	MARGINAL	MARGINAL	
PERFORMANCE		GOOD			MARGINAL				

		TARGET VEHICLE SPEED		HEAD-ON In the path of oncoming vehicle	
		50km/h	70km/h	50km/h	70km/h
TEST VEHICLE SPEED	Travelling straight	50km/h		GOOD	-
		70km/h		-	GOOD
	Lane change	50km/h		GOOD	-
		70km/h		-	MARGINAL
PERFORMANCE		GOOD			

LANE SUPPORT SYSTEMS (Car-to-Car)

System Name Lane Keeping Assist (LKA)
Operational From 60-200 km/h

		Dashed line		Solid line	
LANE KEEP ASSIST (LKA) TEST SCENARIOS Car-to-Car					
PERFORMANCE		GOOD			

		Overtaking vehicle (GVT at 72km/h)		Overtaking vehicle (GVT at 80km/h)		Road edge		Solid line	
		UNINTENTIONAL	INTENTIONAL	UNINTENTIONAL	INTENTIONAL				
EMERGENCY LANE KEEPING (ELK) TEST SCENARIOS Car-to-Car									
PERFORMANCE		GOOD							

■ GOOD
 ■ ADEQUATE
 ■ MARGINAL
 ■ WEAK
 ■ POOR / NOT TESTED DUE TO NO PERFORMANCE PREDICTED
 ■ NOT TESTED



Safety Assist

81%

14.64 out of 18

OCCUPANT STATUS

WARNING TYPE	DRIVER	FRONT PASSENGER	REAR PASSENGERS
Occupant Detection	-	●	●
Seat Belt Reminder (Visual)	●	●	●
Seat Belt Reminder (Audible)	●	●	●

* Except centre rear seat.

DRIVER MONITORING

	WARNING	INTERVENTION
Distraction	●	●
Fatigue	●	●
Unresponsive Driver	-	✗

SPEED ASSISTANCE SYSTEMS (SAS)

FEATURE	
Speed Limit Information Function (SLIF)	Camera & map
Manual Speed Limiter	✗
Intelligent Adaptive Cruise Control (iACC)	●
Intelligent Speed Limitation (ISL)	✗

HUMAN MACHINE INTERFACE (HMI)

FEATURE	
AEB: Supplementary Warning	✗
AEB: Restraint activation / dynamic retractors / emergency steering support	✗
Lane Departure Warning (LDW)	●
Blind Spot Monitoring (BSM): Car-to-Car & Car-to-Motorcycle	[NOT ASSESSED]

SAFETY FEATURES & TECHNOLOGIES

SAFETY FEATURE / TECHNOLOGY*	AUS	NZ
Seat belt pre-tensioners (front seats)	●	●
Seat belt pre-tensioners (rear outboard seats) - 2nd row	●	●
Seat belt pre-tensioners (rear centre seat) - 2nd row	✗	✗
Seat belt pre-tensioners (rear outboard seats) - 3rd row	-	-
Seat belt pre-tensioners (rear centre seat) - 3rd row	-	-
Intelligent seat belt reminder (driver)	●	●
Intelligent seat belt reminder (front passenger)	●	●
Intelligent seat belt reminder (2nd row seats)	●	●
Intelligent seat belt reminder (3rd row seats)	-	-
Airbag - dual frontal (driver & front passenger)	●	●
Airbags - side, chest protection (front seats)	●	●
Airbags - side, chest protection (2nd row seats)	✗	✗
Airbags - side, chest protection (3rd row seats)	-	-
Airbags - side, head protection (front seats)	●	●
Airbags - side, head protection (2nd row seats)	●	●
Airbags - side, head protection (3rd row seats)	-	-
Airbag - centre	●	●
Airbag - knee (driver)	✗	✗
Airbag - knee (front passenger)	✗	✗
Airbag - pedestrian (external)	✗	✗
Airbag disabling switch - automatic (front passenger)	✗	✗
Airbag disabling switch - manual (front passenger)	✗	✗
Autonomous emergency braking (AEB) - Car-to-Car	●	●
Autonomous emergency braking (AEB) - Vulnerable Road User		
- AEB Pedestrian	●	●
- AEB Backover	●	●
- AEB Cyclist	●	●
- AEB Motorcycle	●	●
Autonomous emergency braking (AEB) - Junction		
- AEB Junction (Car)	●	●
- AEB Junction (Pedestrian)	●	●
- AEB Junction (Cyclist)	●	●
- AEB Junction (Motorcycle)	●	●
Autonomous emergency braking (AEB) - Crossing	●	●
Automatic emergency call (eCall)	●	●
Blind spot monitor (BSM)	●	●
Child presence detection / alert	●	●
Cyclist dooring detection / alert	●	●
Driver monitoring system - Indirect	●	●
Driver monitoring system - Direct	●	●
Forward collision warning (FCW)	●	●
Lane departure warning (LDW)	●	●
Lane keep assist (LKA)		
- LKA (Car-to-Car)	●	●
- LKA (Car-to-Motorcycle)	●	●
Secondary / multi-collision brake	●	●
Speed assistance - intelligent adaptive cruise control (iACC)	●	●
Speed assistance - auto / intelligent speed limiter	✗	✗
Speed assistance - manual speed limiter	✗	✗
Speed assistance - speed sign recognition & warning	●	●
Vehicle-to-infrastructure communication (V2I)	✗	✗
Vehicle-to-vehicle communication (V2V)	✗	✗

● STANDARD ● AVAILABLE ON HIGHER VARIANTS ● OPTIONAL ✗ NOT AVAILABLE - NOT APPLICABLE

* Correct at time of publication. Subject to change. Check with manufacturer.

TESTED MAKE / MODEL
Kia EV4, GLS, LHD

TESTED VEHICLE ENGINE
Battery Electric (BEV)

RATING UPDATED
n/a

TESTED BODY TYPE
4 door sedan

RATING PUBLISHED
February 2026