

Assumption Questions: June 2007, Sec III

- Sec III, #5 (Sufficient)

Evidence: Dumping Site

Conclusion: Not bringing food

Assumption: Dumping Site → Not bringing food

Sec III, #9 (Necessary)

If the tiger DID move away, the argument falls apart.
Answer is D.

- Sec III, #11 (Necessary)

If the process to preserve birds DID substantially decrease the mercury levels in birds, the argument falls apart. Answer is E.

Assumption Questions: June 2007, Sec III

- Sec III, #17 (Necessary)

Evidence: Healthy Back → Balanced Muscle Development

Conclusion: Healthy Back → Exercise opposing sides equally

Negation of B says that there is no reliable connection between balanced muscle development and exercising the opposing sides of one's back equally. So this negation destroys the argument and therefore B is correct.