1/	1	D
¥	Ľ	14

₩ VĽ

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

FILED IN OPEN COURT

APR 16 2014 6:30 pm

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

FANY A LAWRENCE, DEPUTY

KYLE PIKALUK and DAVID SWITZER,

Case No. A-12-654252-0

Plaintiffs.

Dept. No. XXII

Vs.

C & HRV, LLC d/b/a VIRGIN RIVER HOTEL & CASINO,

Defendant.

JURY VERDICT

We, the Jury in the above-entitled matter, find the following:

Do you find, by a preponderance of the evidence, Defendant VIRGIN RIVER
 HOTEL & CASINO and/or its employees falsely imprisoned Plaintiff DAVID SWITZER?

Yes X No

If you answered "Yes, to Question No. 1, answer Question No. 2, and then go to Question No. 3. If you answered "No" to Question No. 1, skip Question No. 2, and go to Question No. 3.

2. What are compensatory damages suffered by Plaintiff DAVID SWITZER as a result of the false imprisonment suffered by him?

\$ 30,625

3. Do you find, by a preponderance of the evidence, Defendant VIRGIN RIVER HOTEL & CASINO and/or its employees committed conversion against Plaintiff DAVID SWITZER?

DEPARTMENT XXII

If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 3, answer Question No. 4. If you answered "No" to		
Question No. 3, skip Question No. 4.		
4. What are the compensatory damages suffered by Plaintiff DAVID SWITZER as a		
result of the conversion suffered by him?		
\$ 328,39		
If you answered "Yes" to either Questions No. 1 or 3, answer Question No. 5. If you		
answered "No" to both Questions Nos. 1 and 3, please skip Question No. 5 and answer Question		
No. 6.		
5. Did Defendant VIRGIN RIVER HOTEL & CASINO and/or its employees act with		
oppression, fraud or malice warranting an award of punitive damages to Defendant DAVID		
SWITZER?		
Yes <u>X</u> No		
6. Do you find, by a preponderance of the evidence, Defendant VIRGIN RIVER		
HOTEL & CASINO and/or its employees falsely imprisoned Plaintiff KYLE PIKALUK?		
Yes No		
If you answered "Yes, to Question No. 6, answer Question No. 7, and then go to Question		
No. 8. If you answered "No" to Question No. 6, skip Question No. 7, and go to Question No. 8.		
7. What are compensatory damages suffered by Plaintiff KYLE PIKALUK as a result of		
the false imprisonment suffered by him?		
s <u>41,500</u>		
8. Do you find, by a preponderance of the evidence, Defendant VIRGIN RIVER		
HOTEL & CASINO and/or its employees committed conversion against Plaintiff KYLE		
PIKALUK?		

Yes

No

SUSANIA FI. JUHINSUN DISTRICT JUDGE DEPARTMENT XXII If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 8, answer Question No. 9. If you answered "No" to Question No. 8, skip Question No. 9.

9. What are the compensatory damages suffered by Plaintiff KYLE PIKALUK as a result of the conversion suffered by him?

If you answered "Yes" to either Questions No. 6 or 8, answer Question No. 10. If you answered "No" to both Questions Nos. 6 and 8, please skip Question No. 10.

10. Did Defendant VIRGIN RIVER HOTEL & CASINO and/or its employees act with oppression, fraud or malice warranting an award of punitive damages to Plaintiff KYLE PIKALUK?

(es <u>X</u> No ____

DATED this // day of April 2014.

JURA FOREPERSON