



DISCLOSURE MATERIALS

Certified B Corporations must complete a Disclosure Questionnaire to identify potentially sensitive issues related to the company (e.g. historical fines, sanctions, material litigation, or sensitive industry practices).

This component does not affect the company's score on the B Impact Assessment. If the company answers affirmatively to any items in the Disclosure Questionnaire and B Lab deems them to be material, the company must:

- 1) Be transparent about the disclosure issues identified on the company's public B Impact Report
- 2) Describe how the company has addressed this issue.
- 3) Demonstrate that management systems are in place to avoid similar issues from arising in the future.

In all cases, the Standards Advisory council reserves the right to refuse certification if the company is ultimately deemed not to uphold the spirit of the community.

In addition to the voluntary indication of sensitive issues in the Disclosure Questionnaire, companies pursuing Certification also are subject to background checks by B Lab staff. Background checks include a review of public records, news sources, and search engines for company names, brands, executives/founders, and other relevant topics.

Sensitive issues identified through background checks may or may not be within the scope of questions in the Disclosure Questionnaire, but undergo the same review process and are subject to the same possible review by the Standards Advisory Council, including ineligibility for B Corp Certification, required remediation, or disclosure.

This document contains a copy of the company's completed Disclosure Questionnaire and related disclosure documentation provided by the company.

DISCLOSURE QUESTIONNAIRE

Company Name: Caisse d'Epargne Hauts de France - CEHDF
 Date Submitted: 10/12/2022

Industries & Products	Yes	No
Please indicate if the company is involved in production of or trade in any the following. Select Yes for all options that apply.		
Animal Products or Services		✓
Biodiversity Impacts		✓
Chemicals		✓
Company Explanation Of Disclosure Item Flags		✓
Disclosure Alcohol		✓
Disclosure Firearms Weapons		✓
Disclosure Mining		✓
Disclosure Pornography		✓
Disclosure Tobacco		✓
Energy and Emissions Intensive Industries		✓
Fossil fuels		✓
Gambling		✓
Genetically Modified Organisms		✓
Illegal Products or Subject to Phase Out		✓
Industries at Risk of Human Rights Violations		✓
Monoculture Agriculture		✓
Nuclear Power or Hazardous Materials		✓
Payday, Short Term, or High Interest Lending		✓
Water Intensive Industries		✓
Tax Advisory Services		✓

Supply Chain Disclosures	Yes	No
Please indicate if any of the following statements are true regarding your company's significant suppliers.		
Business in Conflict Zones		✓
Child or Forced Labor		✓
Negative Environmental Impact		✓
Negative Social Impact		✓
Other		✓

Outcomes & Penalties	True	False
Please indicate if the company has had any formal complaint to a regulatory agency or been assessed any fine or sanction in the past five years for any of the following practices or policies. Check all that apply.		
Anti-Competitive Behavior		✓
Breaches of Confidential Information		✓
Bribery, Fraud, or Corruption		✓
Company Explanation Of Disclosure Item Flags		✓
Company has filed for bankruptcy		✓
Consumer Protection		✓
Financial Reporting, Taxes, Investments, or Loans		✓
Hazardous Discharges Into Air/Land/Water (Past 5 Yrs)		✓
Labor Issues		✓
Large Scale Land Conversion, Acquisition, or Relocation		✓
Litigation or Arbitration	✓	
On-Site Fatality		✓
Penalties Assessed For Environmental Issues		✓
Political Contributions or International Affairs		✓
Recalls		✓
Significant Layoffs		✓
Violation of Indigenous Peoples Rights		✓
Other		✓

Practices	True	False
Please indicate if the following statements are true regarding whether or not the company engages in the following practices. Check all that apply. If the statement is true, select "Yes." If false, select "No."		
Animal Testing		✓
Company/Suppliers Employ Under Age 15 (Or Other ILO Minimum Age)		✓
Company Explanation Of Disclosure Item Flags		✓
Company prohibits freedom of association/collective bargaining		✓
Company workers are prisoners		✓
Conduct Business in Conflict Zones		✓
Confirmation of Right to Work		✓
Does not transparently report corporate financials to government		✓
Employs Individuals on Zero-Hour Contracts		✓
Facilities located in sensitive ecosystems		✓
ID Cards Withheld or Penalties for Resignation		✓
No formal Registration Under Domestic Regulations		✓
No signed employment contracts for all workers		✓
Overtime For Hourly Workers Is Compulsory		✓
Payslips not provided to show wage calculation and deductions		✓
Sale of Data		✓
Tax Reduction Through Corporate Shells		✓
Workers cannot leave site during non-working hours		✓
Workers not Provided Clean Drinking Water or Toilets		✓
Workers paid below minimum wage		✓
Workers Under Bond		✓
Other		✓

B Corp Certification - Disclosure Questionnaire Documentation

PROVIDED BY: Caisse d'Epargne Hauts de France - CEHDF

UPDATED AS OF:

10/12/2022

DISCLOSURE QUESTIONNAIRE CATEGORY	Litigation
ISSUE DATE	2021-2022
TOPIC	Litigation related to the terms of a life insurance contract and former employee alleging unequal pay and advancement opportunities
SUMMARY OF ISSUE	<p>Case 1: A client sued CEHDF for breach of duty to warn and inform of the conditions of a life insurance contract between the client and the bank. The case was ruled against CEHDF. The CEHDF has appealed the decision and a judgment will be delivered at the beginning of 2023</p> <p>Case 2: A former employee sued CEHDF alleging unequal pay and advancement opportunities during their career in CEHDF. The court partially ruled against CEHDF. The case was closed in 2021</p>
SIZE/SCOPE OF ISSUE (e.g. \$ financial implication, # of individuals affected)	<p>2 material cases in the last five years</p> <p>The total amount to be paid in damages assessed represent <0,04% of CEHDF revenues</p>
IMPACT ON STAKEHOLDERS	<p>2 stakeholders were affected by these cases, the former employee and the client</p> <p>The primary impact on these stakeholders was financial</p>
RESOLUTION	<p>Case 1: The case is currently pending before the Court of Cassation</p> <p>Case 2: The case was closed with payment by CEHDF</p>
IMPLEMENTED MGT PRACTICES	<p>Case 1: The company has provided internal resources to their employiyees on communication strategies with customers that now include specific warnings.</p> <p>Case 2: CEHDF has implemented a remuneration committee and reinforced the following policies that were already available: yearly appraisal interviews, internal posting of job openings, and increased training budget.</p>
RELATED INCIDENTS (YES/NO)	No