

## **Plant Prefab**

Disclosure Report Date Submitted: February 6th, 2025



### **Disclosure Materials**

Certified B Corporations must complete a Disclosure Questionnaire to identify potentially sensitive issues related to the company (e.g. historical fines, sanctions, material litigation, or sensitive industry practices).

This component does not affect the company's score on the B Impact Assessment. If the company answers affirmatively to any items in the Disclosure Questionnaire that B Lab deems relevant for public stakeholders, then, as a condition of their certification, the company must:

- Be transparent about details of the disclosure issues identified on the company's public B Impact Report
- 2) Describe how the company has addressed this issue
- 3) Demonstrate that management practices are in place to avoid similar issues from arising in the future, when necessary.

In all cases, the Standards Advisory council reserves the right to refuse certification if the company is ultimately deemed not to uphold the spirit and integrity of the community.

In addition to the voluntary indication of sensitive issues in the Disclosure Questionnaire, companies pursuing Certification also are subject to a background check by B Lab staff. Background checks include a review of public records, news sources, and search engines for company names, brands, executives/founders, and other relevant topics.

Sensitive issues identified through background checks may or may not be within the scope of questions in the Disclosure Questionnaire, but undergo the same review process and are subject to the same possible review by the Standards Advisory Council, including ineligibility for B Corp Certification, required remediation, or disclosure.

#### **B Lab's Public Complaints Process**

Any party may submit a complaint about a current B Corp through B Lab's Public Complaint Process. Grounds for complaint include:

- 1) Intentional misrepresentation of practices, policies, and/or claimed outcomes during the company's certification process
- 2) Breaches of the B Corp Community's core values as expressed in our Declaration of Interdependence

This document contains a copy of the company's completed Disclosure Questionnaire and related disclosure documentation provided by the company.



## **Disclosure Questionnaire**

#### **Industries and Products**

#### Yes No Please indicate if the company is involved in production of or trade in any of the following. Select Yes for all options that **Animal Products or Services** $\boxed{}$ **Biodiversity Impacts** Chemicals $\square$ **Disclosure Alcohol Disclosure Firearms Weapons** $\boxed{}$ **Disclosure Mining** $\boxed{}$ **Disclosure Pornography** $\boxed{}$ **Disclosure Tobacco** $\boxed{}$ **Energy and Emissions Intensive** $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ <u>Industries</u> Fossil fuels $\square$ Gambling **Genetically Modified Organisms** $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ Illegal Products or Subject to $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ **Phase Out** Industries at Risk of Human $\square$ **Rights Violations Monoculture Agriculture** $\square$ **Nuclear Power or Hazardous** $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ **Materials** Payday, Short Term, or High **Interest Lending Water Intensive Industries** $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ Tax Advisory Services

#### **Outcomes & Penalties**

	Yes	No
Please indicate if the company has had any formal complaint to a regulatory agency or been assessed any fine or sanction in the past five years for any of the following practices or policies. Check all that apply.		
Anti-Competitive Behavior		<b>V</b>
Breaches of Confidential Information		K
Bribery, Fraud, or Corruption		V
Company has filed for bankruptcy		V
Consumer Protection		V
Financial Reporting, Taxes, Investments, or Loans		N
Hazardous Discharges Into Air/Land/Water (Past 5 Yrs)		N
Labor Issues		V
Large Scale Land Conversion, Acquisition, or Relocation		N.
Litigation or Arbitration	$\checkmark$	
On-Site Fatality		V
Penalties Assessed For Environmental Issues		N
Political Contributions or International Affairs		K
Recalls		
Significant Layoffs	V	
Violation of Indigenous Peoples Rights		V
Other		<b>V</b>



### **Practices**

	Yes	No
Please indicate if the following statements are true regarding whether or not the company engages in the following practices. Check all that apply. If the statement is true, select "Yes." If false, select "No."		
Animal Testing		$\checkmark$
Company/Suppliers Employ Under Age 15 (Or Other ILO Minimum Age)		V
Company prohibits freedom of association/collective bargaining		$\searrow$
Company workers are prisoners		$\checkmark$
Conduct Business in Conflict Zones		$\searrow$
Confirmation of Right to Work		V
Does not transparently report corporate financials to government		N
Employs Individuals on Zero-Hour Contracts		V
Facilities located in sensitive ecosystems	V	
ID Cards Withheld or Penalties for Resignation		N
No formal Registration Under Domestic Regulations		V
No signed employment contracts for all workers		V
Overtime For Hourly Workers Is Compulsory		V
Payslips not provided to show wage calculation and deductions		V

	Yes	No
Sale of Data		V
Tax Reduction Through Corporate Shells		V
Workers cannot leave site during non-working hours		Ŋ
Workers not Provided Clean Drinking Water or Toilets		$\supset$
Workers paid below minimum wage		N
Workers Under Bond		$\checkmark$
Other		$\checkmark$

### Supply Chain Disclosures

	Yes	No
Please indicate if any of the following statements are true regarding your company's significant suppliers.		
Business in Conflict Zones		$\checkmark$
Child or Forced Labor		$\checkmark$
Negative Environmental Impact		$\checkmark$
Negative Social Impact		$\checkmark$
Other		$\checkmark$



Disclosure Questionnaire Category: Litigation, Arbitration, and/or Penalties

Issue Date	2022 - 2024
Topic	Litigations and Arbitration related to Labor and Contract Dispute
Summary of Issue	The company has 3 cases related to the Private Attorneys General Act (PAGA).
	A former employee filed a PAGA lawsuit against the Company, specifically for failure to provide accurate wage statements. The Company disputes these claims, asserting that it has consistently complied with California law in all matters related to the allegations. Two of the cases were dismissed, and one of the cases, which was a class action, was settled through payment by the company.
	The company has 1 case related to a contract dispute with a customer.  The customer disputed and withheld ~\$1.0M in amounts due to Plant to cover cost overages on the project. The customer submitted claims as part of a mediation attempt for alleged various construction defects and
	consequential damages totaling \$3.5 million. The case was settled through a payment by the company.
Size/Scope of Issue (e.g. \$ financial implication, # of individuals affected)	For the class action that was settled by payment, the value of the settlement was 10% of the company's total revenue in the last fiscal year.
	In the case related to the contract dispute, the value of the settlement was 20% of the company's total revenue in the last fiscal year.
Impact on Stakeholders	The primary impact in the cases was the potential financial harm to the former employees and customers.
Resolution	The cases were resolved through payments.
Management Practices	In mitigating the PAGA lawsuits, the company implemented



- 1. a new timekeeping system to ensure the accurate recording of work hours, meal breaks, and overtime.
- an attestation prompt that appears at the end of a non-exempt employee's shift which asks them if they were provided the opportunity to take their meal and rest breaks in accordance with company policy and California law.

For the customer contract dispute, the company implemented

- 1. better procedures to delineate the scope between the company and the site contractor.
- 2. thorough quality assurance checklists.
- better communication procedures to ensure that clients understand what the company's responsibility vs. their own.



Disclosure Questionnaire Category: Significant layoffs of >20% of workforce

Issue Date	February 2024
Topic	Significant layoffs due to the restructuring of the business to reduce costs.
Summary of Issue	Over the last five years, the company has experienced several rounds of layoffs due to varying root causes. In 2018-2019, significant layoffs were implemented as a cost-saving measure to improve financial stability amidst challenging economic conditions. In 2020, the global COVID-19 pandemic severely disrupted business operations and led to a substantial decline in revenue, necessitating workforce reductions. Most recently, in 2023-2024, the company faced difficulties in securing sufficient sales revenue while simultaneously opening a larger new facility in Tejon Ranch. The combination of high operational costs associated with the new facility and insufficient sales revenue created significant financial strain, leading to necessary layoffs.
Size/Scope of Issue (e.g. \$ financial implication, # of individuals affected)	Round one, 3 employees were laid off, representing 9% of the company's employees at that time. Round two, 3 employees were laid off, representing 8% of the company's employees at that time. Round three, 2 employees were laid off, representing 6% of the company's employees at that time. Round four, 1 employee was laid off, representing 3% of the company's employees at that time. Round five, 3 employees were laid off, representing 4% of the company's employees at that time. Round six, 5 employees were laid off, representing 6% of the company's employees at that time. Round seven, 2 employees were laid off, representing 3% of the company's employees at that time. Round eight, 18 employees were laid off, representing 17% of the company's employees at that time. Round nine, 3 employees were laid off, representing 3% of the company's employees at that time. Round ten, 8 employees were laid off, representing 9% of the company's employees at that time. Round eleven, 2 employees were laid off, representing 3% of the company's employees were laid off, representing 3% of the company's employees were laid off, representing 3% of the company's employees were laid off, representing 3% of the company's employees were laid off, representing 3% of the company's employees were laid off, representing 3% of the company's employees were laid off, representing 3% of the company's employees were laid off, representing 3% of the company's employees were laid off, representing 3% of the company's employees were laid off, representing 3% of the company's employees were laid off, representing 3% of the company's employees were laid off, representing 3% of the company's employees were laid off, representing 3% of the company's employees were laid off, representing 3% of the company's employees were laid off, representing 3% of the company's employees were laid off, representing 3% of the company's employees were laid off, representing 3% of the company's employees at that time.



	off, representing 25% of the company's employees at that time. Round fourteen, 8 employees were laid off, representing 13% of the company's employees at that time. Round fifteen, 4 employees were laid off, representing 8% of the company's employees at that time.
Impact on Stakeholders	The primary impact was the loss of employment for affected employees.
Implemented Management Practices	All affected employees received severance packages to help them manage their expenses during the transition period. We also provided affected employees with information and resources to help them find new job opportunities.
Related Incidents (Yes/No)	15 Rounds. (February 2018, May 2018, June 2018, August 2018, June 2019, March 2020, April 2020, January 2023, February 2023, July 2023, August 2023, November 2023, December 2023, January 2024, February 2024)



### Disclosure Questionnaire Category: Energy and Emissions Intensive Industry

**Plant Prefab** is involved in the production/sale of products or is part of an industry that can potentially be classified as energy and emission-intensive, meaning that they are more likely to have significant impacts on the environment based on their carbon emissions associated with their operations and their contribution to climate change. Certified B Corps are required to make transparent their involvement in such activities or industries.



# Disclosure Questionnaire Category: Facilities located in sensitive ecosystems

**Plant Prefab** reported having facilities adjacent to sensitive ecosystems in the past five years. Certified B Corps must make this transparent under their B Corp Profile.

Having facilities adjacent to sensitive ecosystems can impact the flora, fauna, and quality of the ecosystem (e.g. noise & light pollution from the facilities, spills, air/soil/water pollution owing to the company's operations). In addition to the environmental risks, there is a risk of negatively impacting the local communities.